



**HAL**  
open science

## Genetic diversity and population structure of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains isolated from traditional alcoholic beverages of Côte d'Ivoire

Youan Charles Tra Bi, Tiemele Laurent Simon Amoikon, Clémentine A. Kouakou, Jacques Noemie, Marine Lucas, Cecile Grondin, Jean-Luc Legras, Florent K. N'Guessan, Theodore N. Djeni, Marcellin K. Djè, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Youan Charles Tra Bi, Tiemele Laurent Simon Amoikon, Clémentine A. Kouakou, Jacques Noemie, Marine Lucas, et al.. Genetic diversity and population structure of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains isolated from traditional alcoholic beverages of Côte d'Ivoire. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 2019, 297, pp.1-10. 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.03.001 . hal-02168620

**HAL Id: hal-02168620**

**<https://hal.science/hal-02168620>**

Submitted on 22 Oct 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 Genetic diversity and population structure of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains isolated from  
2 traditional alcoholic beverages of Côte d'Ivoire

3  
4 Charles Y. Tra Bi<sup>a,b,†\*</sup>, Tiémélé L.S. Amoikon<sup>a,b,†</sup>, Clémentine A. Kouakou<sup>a</sup>, Jacques  
5 Noémie<sup>b,‡</sup>, Marine Lucas<sup>b,¶</sup> Cécile Grondin<sup>b</sup>, Jean-Luc Legras<sup>c</sup>, Florent K. N'guessan<sup>a</sup>,  
6 Théodore N. Djéni<sup>a</sup>, Marcellin K. Djè<sup>a</sup>, Serge Casaregola<sup>b</sup>

7  
8 <sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Biotechnologie et Microbiologie des Aliments, Unité de Formation et de  
9 Recherche en Sciences et Technologie des Aliments (UFR-STA), Université Nangui-  
10 Abrogoua, 02 BP 801 Abidjan 02, Côte d'Ivoire

11 <sup>b</sup> Micalis Institute, INRA, AgroParisTech, CIRM-Levures, Université Paris-Saclay, 78350  
12 Jouy-en-Josas, France

13 <sup>c</sup> SPO, Université Montpellier INRA, Montpellier SupAgro, , F-34060, Montpellier, France

14 <sup>#</sup> Present address: Bioger, INRA/AgroParisTech, 78850, Thiverval-Grignon, France

15 <sup>¶</sup> These authors have contributed equally to this work.

16 \*Corresponding author, phone: +225 09 96 97 48; +225 06 18 80 99, E-mail:  
17 charlestrabiyouan@yahoo.fr

## 19 **Abstract**

20 In order to assess the genetic diversity and population structure of indigenous *S. cerevisiae*  
21 from Côte d'Ivoire, a total of 170 strains were isolated from four traditional alcoholic  
22 beverages through nine regions. Microsatellite analysis performed at 12 loci revealed that  
23 strains of palm oil and raffia wine were genetically related, unlike those of tchapalo and ron  
24 wine which formed two distinct and homogeneous clusters. Furthermore, *S. cerevisiae*  
25 isolates of traditional beverages from Côte d'Ivoire appear to be differentiated according to  
26 the ecological niche. Indeed, a much higher heterozygosity was observed for isolates from ron  
27 wine and tchapalo beer, whereas isolates from palm oil wine and raffia wine were clearly  
28 inbred. In comparison with the European, North American, Asian and others West African  
29 populations, Ivorian population was well defined, although most of these strains were  
30 admixed. Among these strains, only isolates from raffia wine appeared to have alleles in  
31 common to all populations.

32

33 **Keywords:** Microsatellite, population, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, diversity, traditional  
34 beverage, genotyping.

35

36

37

38

39

## 40 **1 Introduction**

41 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is one of the main actor involved in various biotechnology  
42 processes. These last decades, several studies have been focused on genetic diversity and  
43 biogeographical distribution of diverse *S. cerevisiae* strains. Molecular techniques used for  
44 this purpose among many others are pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) (Veziñhet *et al.*,  
45 1990), restriction analysis of the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA-RFLP) (Aigle *et al.*, 1984;  
46 Querol *et al.*, 1992), amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) (Azumi and Goto-  
47 Yamamoto., 2001), amplification of interdelta regions by PCR (Ness *et al.*, 1993; Legras and  
48 Karst 2003) and microsatellite markers (Techera *et al.*, 2001; Legras *et al.*, 2005).  
49 Microsatellite analyses have offered significant advances in understanding distribution and  
50 dynamics of *S. cerevisiae* population from different terroirs. Thus, Viel *et al.* (2017) have  
51 detected specificities of *S. cerevisiae* at vineyard scale from the North-East of Italy,  
52 suggesting a geographic differentiation according to the winemaking region with a  
53 widespread dissemination of *S. cerevisiae* industrial strains that was very high in the areas  
54 where the native strains abundance was low. Likewise, microsatellite analyses on *S.*  
55 *cerevisiae* populations isolated from African traditional fermented foods allowed to highlight  
56 the presence of an African phylogenetic branch separate from European (bread, wine, beer,  
57 cheese) and Asian strains (sake) (Legras *et al.*, 2007; Ezeronye and Legras, 2009; Tapsoba *et*  
58 *al.*, 2015), which was confirmed with recent genomic data (Liti *et al.*, 2009; Peter *et al.*,  
59 2018). This suggests that African traditional fermented foods could be a reservoir of  
60 genetically different strains of *S. cerevisiae* to be explored for applications such as food,  
61 bioethanol production, biopreservation, biocontrol, etc. Most of *S. cerevisiae* commonly used  
62 in biotechnology have been isolated from natural niches and have undergone various  
63 domestication events that have resulted in the selection of strains adapted to human activities  
64 (technological strains, etc.). Although several studies have been performed on *S. cerevisiae*  
65 isolated from some natural niches (Naumov *et al.*, 1998; Sniegowski *et al.*, 2002; Lorca *et al.*,

66 2018), it seems important to assess the structure, the genetic diversity and the distribution of  
67 native *S. cerevisiae* obtained from African, and Ivoirian niches particularly, which has been  
68 very little studied so far. The characterization of these unexplored ecological niches may  
69 provide new genotypes with beneficial properties for biotechnological applications. The aim  
70 of this work was firstly to assess the genetic diversity of *S. cerevisiae* strains present in  
71 traditional beverages from Côte d'Ivoire compared to other African beverages and then  
72 characterize the population structure of the isolates of this species. For this purpose, this study  
73 focused on the yeast populations isolated from four fermented beverages obtained with  
74 different vegetal material. These beverages were tchapalo beer produced from sorghum  
75 (*Sorghum bicolor*) and palm oil, raffia and ron wines respectively obtained from *Elaeis*  
76 *guineensis*, *Raphia hookeri* and *Borassus aethiopum* sap fermentation.

77

## 78 **2 Material and Methods**

### 79 **2.1 Sample collection and yeast isolation**

80 Samples of palm wine (raffia, palm oil and ron wines) were collected during ten sampling  
81 campaigns in different regions of Côte d'Ivoire. Sampling areas for raffia wine were located  
82 at Grand-Lahou, Alepé, Adzopé and Abengourou; those for palm oil wine were located at  
83 Bingerville, Bonoua, Grand-Lahou, Alépé and Attinguié while those for ron wine were  
84 located at Toumodi. Sorghum beer or tchapalo samples were collected during four sampling  
85 campaigns at Abidjan and Bingerville. These sampling areas were located in south-eastern  
86 and middle of Côte d'Ivoire as indicated by the sample map (Figure 1). Distance between the  
87 sampling areas and the city of Abidjan as well as their geographical coordinates are shown in  
88 the Table 1. Local producers in 26 different sites were contacted and sampling was carried out  
89 from September 2014 to January 2015 and from August 2016 to September 2016. Sampling  
90 was twice a day (morning and evening) done at weekly intervals, to collect samples of 9 to  
91 15hr fermentation duration. Samples were collected into pre-sterilized 250 ml Plexiglas  
92 containers, and immediately immersed in an isothermal box containing dry ice, and brought to  
93 the laboratory within 3 h.

94 Total titratable acidity (TTA), pH, and total soluble sugars (TSS) for each sample were  
95 determined as previously described (Tra Bi *et al.*, 2016). For yeast isolation, each beverage  
96 sample (1 mL) was directly diluted in tenfold series in buffered peptone water (BIO-RAD,  
97 France) and aliquots (0.1 mL) were plated in duplicate on Yeast Extract Peptone Dextrose  
98 (YPD) agar. After incubation at 30°C for 3-5 days, ten yeast colonies were randomly selected  
99 from each sample and purified by streaking two times on YPD agar. *Saccharomyces* yeasts

100 were presumptively isolated by cultivation of purified colonies on lysine agar medium  
101 (Sigma-Aldrich, France). Thus, a total of 262 isolates were selected for molecular  
102 identification and maintained at -80°C in YPD broth containing 20% (v/v) glycerol (Merck,  
103 France).

104 Variance analysis (ANOVA) and Tukey HSD tests (Honestly Significant Difference) with R  
105 statistical software v3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017) were used to compare the physicochemical  
106 and microbiological characteristics of each beverage taken from the different sampling areas.  
107 Differences were considered significant for values of  $P < 0.05$ .

108

## 109 **2.2 Molecular identification of *Saccharomyces* species**

110 The species level identity of *Saccharomyces* strains was checked initially by non-transcribed  
111 spacer PCR-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (NTS2 PCR-RFLP) analysis using  
112 *AluI* and **BanI** enzymes in comparison with reference strains. From strains showing *S.*  
113 *cerevisiae* NTS2 PCR-RFLP profile (Nguyen and Gaillardin, 1997), thirty representative  
114 strains were selected for D1/D2 region sequencing (Kurtzman and Robnett, 1998). The D1/D2  
115 region of 26S rRNA gene was amplified with the primer pair NL-1 (5-  
116 GCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGAAAAG-3) and NL-4 (5-GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG-3)  
117 (Kurtzman and Robnett, 1998). Reactions were performed in an automatic thermal cycler  
118 (Gene Amp PCR System 2700, Thermo Fisher Scientific) under the following conditions:  
119 initial denaturation at 94°C for 4 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s,  
120 primer annealing at 54°C for 40 s and DNA extension at 72°C for 1 min 30 s. A final  
121 extension was completed at 72°C for 7 min. Amplicons were visualized on 0.8% agarose gel.  
122 Finally, 20 µL of PCR products were sequenced on both strands with the primers used for the  
123 PCR amplification (Eurofins, Germany). Assembly of both strands sequences was performed  
124 using the phred/phrap/consed suite (Gordon *et al.*, 1998). Identification queries were fulfilled  
125 by a BLAST search of the National Center for Biotechnology Information database (NCBI,  
126 Bethesda, USA) and the YeastIP databases (<http://genome.jouy.inra.fr/yeastip/>).

127

## 128 **2.3 Microsatellite analysis**

129 The genomic DNA extraction of isolates was performed following the procedure described  
130 by Hoffman and Winston (1987), based on a mechanical grinding of cells in presence of  
131 detergent, followed by several steps of protein and RNA removal from a 24 hour yeast culture  
132 in YPD broth. Microsatellite loci analysis was performed according to Legras *et al.* (2007).  
133 Amplification was performed at 12 loci combined in two multiplex of 6 loci (Table S1 in the

134 supplemental material), and the size of fluorescent amplicons was measured on an ABI3100  
135 (Applied Biosystems) capillary electrophoresis device, using Gene Scan HD400Rox size  
136 standard (Applied Biosystems). These genotypes were compared to data obtained in previous  
137 work on strains from other origins.

138

## 139 **2.4 Population analysis**

140 The evaluation of relationships between *S. cerevisiae* strains was carried out from the genetic  
141 relative dissimilarity distance which reflects the number of alleles differing between two  
142 individuals, and calculated with the library POPPR (v2.5.0) under R statistical software v3.4.1  
143 (R Core Team, 2017). Trees were obtained from distance matrices with R library ape v5.0,  
144 and drawn using R library ggtree v1.10.5 (Yu *et al.*, 2017). In order to assess genetic structure  
145 in *S. cerevisiae* populations, two different approaches were used. The first one was Bayesian  
146 clustering analysis implemented with InStruct 2.3.4 software (Gao *et al.*, 2007) to identify the  
147 most likely number of genetic clusters or ‘populations’ (denoted by K) and assign individuals  
148 to their most likely cluster. In order to deduce ancestry on that set of strains, 8 runs were  
149 performed with Instruct for values from K = 2 to 17, and 8 additional runs for values from K  
150 = 14 to 16. The most likely K value was identified as the value giving the lowest mean value  
151 of Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) of the different runs. The results of the 16 runs of  
152 the best value of K were combined with CLUMPAK (Kopelman *et al.*, 2015) according to the  
153 LargeK Greedy method. The second was a multivariate method based on discriminant  
154 analysis in principal components (DAPC) (Jombart *et al.*, 2010) and implemented with the R  
155 Adegenet package v2.1.0 (Jombart, 2008) in R v3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2017). DAPC identified  
156 clusters of genetically related organisms by partitioning genetic variability into clusters that  
157 maximize between-group and minimize within-group differentiation. This approach was an  
158 ideal clustering algorithm for datasets that does not followed Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.  
159 Analysis was performed taking into account alpha score function to choose the optimal  
160 number of principal components for the analysis of dataset (López-Urbe *et al.*, 2016) due to  
161 the changes of the individual membership probability according to the number of PCA axes  
162 retained. Population analyses were performed using the hierfstat v0.04-22 R package (Goudet,  
163 2005) for Nei (1973) estimates of effect of subpopulations compared to the total population  
164 ( $F_{st}$ ). Population differentiation according to sampling area was tested with OBSTRUCT  
165 (Gayevskiy *et al.*, 2014). The inbreeding coefficient of an individual relative to the  
166 subpopulation ( $F_{is}$ ) was estimated with hierfstat. Average selfing rates were estimated as  $1 -$   
167  $o$ , where  $o$  the outcrossing rate is  $o = (1 - F_{is}) / (1 + F_{is})$

168

## 169 **3 Results**

### 170 **3.1 Characteristics of beverages**

171 The global characteristics of the four different beverages from which strains were isolated in  
172 this study were presented in Table 2. It appeared from this investigation that these four  
173 beverages had in general a similar acidic pH and a Total Titratable Acidity (TTA) varying  
174 between 0.47 and 1.35. The soluble sugars content varied from one beverage to another with  
175 the highest content in tchapalo. The yeast load observed in the Ivorian beverages sampled was  
176 generally of the same order. Average values were  $1.1 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL in ron wine,  $1.7 \times 10^7$   
177 CFU/mL in tchapalo beer,  $1.4 \times 10^7$  CFU/mL in palm oil wine and  $1.3 \times 10^7$  CFU/mL in raffia  
178 wine (Table 2).

179

### 180 **3.2 Assessment of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* diversity**

181 A total of 170 isolates from Côte d'Ivoire traditional beverages (Table S2 in the supplemental  
182 material) were identified as belonging to the species *S. cerevisiae* by using molecular  
183 methods. Indeed, the RFLP of NTS2 PCR products showed 2 bands for *AluI* (1500 and 200  
184 pb) and 3 bands for *BanI* (660, 450 and 220 pb) typical to *S. cerevisiae* strains (Nguyen and  
185 Gaillardin, 1997). Then, the sequencing of the D1/D2 domains of the 26S rDNA confirmed  
186 the identity of some strains tested as *S. cerevisiae* with a little sequence divergence of 1 to 4  
187 bp for 16 strains and 100% identity for 14 strains (Table S3 in the supplemental material).  
188 Sequence divergence of 3 to 4 bp was already observed from *S. cerevisiae* strains isolated  
189 from tchapalo (N'Guessan *et al.*, 2011). The 170 isolates derived from four ecological niches  
190 sampled at 26 different sites from 9 regions (south-eastern and middle) of Côte d'Ivoire.  
191 Among them, 58 have been isolated from ron wine, 64 from raffia wine, 29 from palm oil  
192 wine and 19 from tchapalo beer. These isolates were subjected to a microsatellite analysis  
193 which allowed to generate genotypic data. In order to compare the populations between the  
194 different type of beverages, Fst statistics between isolates from tchapalo beer, palm oil, raffia  
195 and ron wines were calculated with these data. Population comparisons indicated significant  
196 differences between all populations ( $P < 0.01$ ). Fst data showed weak values ranging from 0-  
197 0.107 (Table 3a), indicating a little genetic differentiation between the significantly different  
198 beverage isolates. The smallest differentiation was observed between palm oil wine and raffia  
199 wine strains, and in contrast, tchapalo was the most differentiated population from other  
200 populations. Ron wine population presented a moderate differentiation from tchapalo and  
201 raffia wine.

202 Impact of geographical origin on *S. cerevisiae* diversity was studied for isolates from palm oil  
203 wine, raffia wine and tchapalo beer. Ron wine with only one sampling area was not  
204 considered for this study. The Nei pairwise  $F_{st}$  distances matrix for the two sampling areas of  
205 tchapalo beer (Abidjan and Bingerville) showed low  $F_{st}$  values and non-significant  
206 differentiation. In contrast the four sampling areas of raffia wine (Grand-Lahou, Alépé,  
207 Adzopé and Abengourou) and most of the five sampling areas of palm oil wine showed low  
208  $F_{st}$  values and significant differentiation between sites (Table 3). Furthermore, pairwise  $F_{st}$   
209 statistic values for all sampling areas demonstrated no correlation to geographical distance,  
210 suggesting that diversity of *S. cerevisiae* was not related to geographical origin. Although  
211 isolates of palm oil and raffia wines were genetically related, *S. cerevisiae* isolates from Côte  
212 d'Ivoire traditional beverages appeared to be differentiated according to the ecological niche.  
213 The  $F_{is}$  values evaluated for each population were 0.603 and 0.544, respectively, for  
214 individuals from palm oil wine and raffia wine, 0.234 and 0.138 for individuals from ron wine  
215 and tchapalo beer that contained strains not corresponding to one specific fermentation (Table  
216 4). Such high values of  $F_{is}$  which indicate a low outcrossing rate (and a high mean inbreeding  
217 rate) as well as the high observed homozygosity of strains isolated from palm oil wine and  
218 raffia wine (26 and 25 % of observed sites are heterozygotes), suggest that palm oil wine and  
219 raffia wine are inbred. In contrast, the low  $F_{is}$  values which indicate a high outcrossing rate  
220 (and a low mean selfing rate) as well as the high observed heterozygosity (52 and 76 % of  
221 observed sites are heterozygotes) for isolates from ron wine and tchapalo beer suggest more  
222 outbred populations.

223

### 224 **3.3 Genetic relationship among strains**

225 The phylogenetic relationships among Ivorian strains of *S. cerevisiae* were highlighted by a  
226 phylogenetic tree (Figure 2a). This dendrogram showed that strains of tchapalo (in green) and  
227 ron wine (in blue) formed two distinct and homogeneous clusters, unlike those of palm oil (in  
228 brown) and raffia wines (in red). Strains of the latter two groups appeared mixed in the  
229 remaining clusters.

230 To further detect the specificities of these Ivorian *S. cerevisiae* populations in comparison to  
231 populations from other African countries, and in comparison to well characterized populations  
232 such as those from wine, sake, and bread, we built a tree including the 170 Ivorian strains and  
233 214 strains from multiple African countries or other origins (Figure 2b) analysed in a previous  
234 work (Tapsoba *et al.*, 2015). This consensus neighbour-joining tree was obtained from a  
235 pairwise individual distance matrix calculated with relative dissimilarity distance. It showed

236 several clusters of different genetic origin closely related to geographic origin. Thus, there  
237 was a cluster formed from European strains: wine and bread strains. Five clusters of strains  
238 originated from African substrates, one of which consists of tchapalo and millet beer  
239 (different shades of green) and the four others of different types of palm wine. The last two  
240 clusters encompassed Asian and North American strains. Ivorian strains are mainly  
241 encountered as sub groups inside the main clusters. In contrast to sorghum beer strains, ron  
242 wine strains from Côte d'Ivoire are found in two main clusters, one close to Burkina Faso ron  
243 wine strains, and in a second different cluster. A similar situation can be observed for raffia  
244 wine strains: one group of strains is detected close to Nigerian raffia wine strains, whereas the  
245 second group is different.

246

### 247 **3.4 Population structure**

248 Population structure was inferred with the Bayesian clustering software InStruct which does  
249 not assume Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, but instead assigns individuals proportional  
250 membership coefficients in different populations based on inbreeding rates, which is well  
251 suited for *S. cerevisiae* population analysis (Gao *et al.*, 2007; Martiniuk *et al.*, 2016).  
252 Deviance information criterion indicated the most likely ancestral population number to be  $K$   
253 = 15.

254 Each population was labelled by the geographic location and/or substrate from which the  
255 strains were isolated (Figure 3). The 15 populations included 11 African beverage populations  
256 among them, five originated from Côte d'Ivoire, two from European wine and bread  
257 populations, one from the Asian sake population and one from the North American oak  
258 population. The InStruct inferences revealed that most strains were assigned to a single  
259 ancestry cluster although admixtures were observed. About 8 out of 18 clusters were  
260 composed of individuals with one ancestral cluster membership coefficients higher than 0.80.  
261 The 10 **other clusters** (bread, sake from Japan, oak from North-America, laboratory, Bertram  
262 palm from Malaysia, various origins from Africa, *Hyphaene thebaica* from Djibouti, raffia,  
263 palm oil and ron wines from Côte d'Ivoire) appeared as admixtures. A small number of  
264 individuals within ron (*Borassus aethiopum*) wine strains had alleles common with those of  
265 ron strains from Burkina Faso, raffia and palm oil wines from Côte d'Ivoire. Strains of palm  
266 oil wine have some alleles in common with strains from Djibouti, Ghana (bili), Burkina Faso  
267 (dolo), other strains from Côte d'Ivoire (tchapalo and millet beer) and European wine strains.  
268 Strains of raffia wine appeared to have alleles in common with all populations, but in small  
269 proportion (Table S2 in the supplemental material).

270 In order to improve the detection of population structure of this study, a discriminant principal  
271 component analysis (Jombart *et al.*, 2010) based on 80 % of the global variation obtained  
272 from the 50 first PCA axis was conducted (Figure 4). The first two axes enabled  
273 differentiation of sorghum and millet beers strains (different shades of pink in the lower part  
274 of Figure 4a) from Burkina Faso ron wines strains (shade of brown), Côte d'Ivoire (coral) and  
275 miscellaneous African strains (magenta) in the upper part of Figure 4a. Genetic differentiation  
276 between African strains following some of their ecological niche was observed in the second  
277 axis: strains from the sap of *Arecaceae* family plants of the (palm oil palm, raffia palm,  
278 *Borassus* palm...) in the upper quadrant of Figure 4a were distinguished from those *Poaceae*  
279 family (millet and sorghum) in the lower part. The cluster strains corresponding to Ivorian  
280 tchapalo beer isolates were colocalized with Burkina Faso dolo beer isolates and Côte d'Ivoire  
281 millet beer strains. As for axes 3 and 4 (Figure 4b), they highlighted the differentiation  
282 between North-America populations and Ghana cocoa strains from that of the rest of the  
283 world, and the axis 4 differentiate Nigeria palm strains (and to a lower extent sake strains)  
284 from other strains. The two plots (Figure 4) showed that palm oil, raffia and ron wines from  
285 Côte d'Ivoire were also clustered but these clusters overlapped with clusters strains from  
286 Djibouti palm, Nigeria raffia wine, Burkina Faso ron wine and African miscellaneous origins.  
287 This clustering was also in agreement with the clustering obtained at  $K = 15$  for InStruct.  
288 Obstruct tests were performed to evaluate if the population structure revealed in Bayesian  
289 ancestry profiles obtained from Instruct was correlated with the geography and confirmed of  
290 Nei pairwise  $F_{st}$  distance. For this analyse, only palm oil and raffia wines isolates, which had  
291 more than two sampling areas were considered. Obstruct analysis and canonical discriminant  
292 analysis clearly showed that the differences between isolates for most sampling areas of palm  
293 oil wine (Attinguié, Grand-Lahou, Alépé, Bingerville and Bonoua) were significant (Figure 5,  
294 Table S4a in the supplemental material). Strains isolated from Bonoua and Grand-Lahou were  
295 very different from the others. For strains from sampling areas of raffia wine, the differences  
296 between populations were also significant with the isolates from Alépé and Grand-Lahou and  
297 very different from the others isolates (Figure 5, Table S4b in the supplemental material).

298

#### 299 **4 Discussion**

300 This study was centered on the genetic diversity and population structure of indigenous *S.*  
301 *cerevisiae* from Cote d'Ivoire, as well as the factors that may explain the structure of the  
302 resulting populations. For this purpose, four traditional alcoholic beverages (raffia wine, palm  
303 oil wine, ron wine and tchapalo beer) collected in nine regions of Côte d'Ivoire were studied.

304 The pH of these beverages, which fluctuated between 3 and 5, were similar to those reported  
305 by several authors in palm wines after the first day of tapping (Amoa-Awua *et al.*, 2007;  
306 Karamoko *et al.*, 2012) and in tchapalo beer produced by traditional brewers (Aka *et al.*,  
307 2008). These ranges of pH could be a major advantage for the bio-preservation of these  
308 beverages by protecting them from the development of some pathogenic flora (Aka *et al.*,  
309 2008; Yao *et al.*, 2009). The Total Titratable Acidity (TTA) and Total Soluble Sugars (TSS)  
310 contents were variable from one beverage to another, while the yeast average load was around  
311  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  CFU /mL in the four beverages. The low TSS contents observed in beverages of  
312 this study could be related to the high yeast load, but also to the rest of the microbiota of these  
313 beverages. TTA rates observed in beverages could be due to the production of a variety of  
314 organic acids by yeasts and bacteria (N'guessan *et al.*, 2008; Karamoko *et al.*, 2012). Yeast  
315 loads found in this study could probably be due to the sum of various physical, chemical, and  
316 biotic factors such as temperature, humidity, presence of nutrients, such as sugars (Santiago-  
317 Urbina *et al.*, 2015). Similar yeast loads were reported in traditional fermented beverages  
318 produced in Africa (Aka *et al.*, 2008; Stringini *et al.*, 2009; Karamoko *et al.*, 2012). Diversity  
319 analysis indicated that ecological niche had a major influence on *S. cerevisiae* population's  
320 native of Côte d'Ivoire. Fis values of palm oil and raffia wines strains (0.603 and 0.544)  
321 revealed an excess of homozygote individuals which is very likely due to high inbreeding  
322 within each population rather than to population differentiation (Albertin *et al.*, 2014).  
323 Schuller and Casal (2007) also observed a significant excess of homozygotes in their study on  
324 three vineyards located in the northwest of Portugal. These authors explained this fact by  
325 asexual reproduction with some cycles of homothallic self-mating (genome renewal).  
326 Moreover, these authors proposed as an alternative explanation that a mitotic recombination  
327 or gene conversion during asexual reproduction may generate such a low of heterozygosity.  
328 The high degree of homozygosity would point to the existence of genetically isolated clonal  
329 subpopulations of *S. cerevisiae* strains with distinct genetic constitution. However, the  
330 microsatellite loci analyzed here represent only a tiny fraction of the genome and provide an  
331 indication of the mean heterozygosity. The heterozygosity detected at these microsatellite loci  
332 was found in good agreement with genomic data (i.e. Legras *et al.*, 2007; 2018) but other loci  
333 in the genome including those with technological relevance can present at different  
334 heterozygosity status.

335 Evaluation of genetic diversity of Ivorian strains only revealed that isolates sharing the same  
336 micro-environment would be genetically similar to each other, regardless of their  
337 geographical origin, implying that ecological origin is the main factor responsible for the

338 close phylogenetic relationship between *S. cerevisiae* strains. Impact of geographical origin  
339 on genetic diversity evaluated with Obstruct showed a difference between most of strains  
340 population according to sampling area, which was in accordance with Nei Fst pairwise  
341 distance. However these genetic distances remained low. Phylogenetic tree, Instruct and  
342 Discriminant Analysis of Principal Components (DAPC), considering only African strains,  
343 presented that subgroups appeared to be formed by differentiation according to ecological  
344 origin. One of the possible explanations is that at a relative close geographical distance,  
345 insects like bees, wasps, and fruit flies, as well as birds, which are known to be vectors for  
346 yeasts, could have homogenized these yeast populations. Also, Human activities (sharing  
347 seasonal staff and equipment during the harvest and fermentation periods) could influence the  
348 yeast population structure and promote dispersal (Börlin *et al.*, 2016). Although strains of  
349 palm oil wine, raffia wine and ron wine were isolated in Côte d'Ivoire, they have been  
350 associated to the same cluster containing the strains of other African countries which derived  
351 also of plant sap from the *Arecaceae* family (*Elaeis guineensis*, *Raphia hookeri*, *Borassus*  
352 *aethiopum*, *Borassus akeassii* and *Hyphaene thebaica*). The clustering of strains from  
353 different traditional beers, notably tchapalo in Côte d'Ivoire, dolo in Burkina Faso and bili bili  
354 in Ghana in the same cluster would reflect the history of the inoculum used. In Côte d'Ivoire,  
355 sorghum beer was initially produced by populations living in the North and North-East of the  
356 country (Djè *et al.*, 2008; N'guessan *et al.*, 2010). Inocula found in Southern and Eastern Côte  
357 d'Ivoire may have been introduced by traditional producers from North and North East of  
358 Côte d'Ivoire and those of Burkina Faso, Mali and Ghana. An exception to this distribution of  
359 strains according to their geographical origin was the genetic affiliation of strains from Asia  
360 (Japanese sake, Malaysian palm wine), and from North America (oak) of some Ivorian  
361 strains, a result in agreement with those of Liti *et al.* (2009). Strains from palm oil, raffia  
362 wines and tchapalo beer had common alleles with those of European wine, suggesting that  
363 these strains could have a hybrid origin as reported by Ezeronye and Legras (2009). This  
364 origin could always be explained by the fact that the European colonization of Africa would  
365 have led to the introduction of *S. cerevisiae* in the same way, as cachaça strains in Brazil  
366 (Barbosa *et al.*, 2018). This particular ecological situation, where African and European wine  
367 or bread species are present in the same environment, may have provided the opportunity for  
368 cross-breeding (Legras *et al.*, 2007). Our results confirm that the beverage production areas  
369 represent a reservoir of indigenous strains with a particular genotypic profile, selected by  
370 interactions between yeasts and their environment, as suggested by Martínez *et al.* (2007).

371 The presence of few European wine and bread strains alleles, in low proportions among  
372 Ivorian strains would have provided them with some genes of interest, expressing phenotypic  
373 characteristics which could be interesting to exploit in fermentation processes. However, a  
374 thorough analysis of the biotechnological properties of these strains will be necessary to  
375 confirm this idea.

376

377

### 378 **Acknowledgment**

379 This work was financially supported by the International Foundation for Science, Sweden  
380 (E/5560-1) and the National Institute for Agricultural Research (INRA, France). We thank Dr.  
381 Didier Montet who help for the project design, Christelle Louis-Mondésir for expert technical  
382 assistance and Tionhonkélé Drissa Soro for design of sampling map.

383

### 384 **Reference**

385

386 Aigle, M., Erbs, D., Moll, M., 1984. Some molecular structures in the genome of lager  
387 brewing yeasts. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 42, 1-7. [https://doi.org/10.1094/ASBCJ-](https://doi.org/10.1094/ASBCJ-42-0001)  
388 42-0001

389 Aka, S., Djeni, N.T., N'guessan, K.F., Yao, K.C., Djè, K.M., 2008. Variabilité des propriétés  
390 physico-chimiques et dénombrement de la flore fermentaire du tchapalo, une bière  
391 traditionnelle de sorgho en Côte d'Ivoire. *Afr. Sci.* 4, 274-286.

392 Albertin, W., Panfili, A., Miot-Sertier, C., Goulielmakis, A., Delcamp, A., Salin, F., Lonvaud-  
393 Funel, A., Curtin, C., Masneuf-Pomarède, I., 2014. Development of microsatellite  
394 markers for the rapid and reliable genotyping of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* at strain  
395 level. *Food Microbiol.* 42, 188-195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2014.03.012>

396 Amoa-awua, W.K., Sampson, E., Tano-Debrah, K., 2007. Growth of yeasts, lactic and acetic  
397 bacteria in palm wine during tapping and fermentation from felled oil palm (*Elaeis*  
398 *guineensis*) in Ghana. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 102, 599-606.  
399 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03074.x>.

400 Azumi, M., Goto-Yamamoto, N., 2001. AFLP analysis of type strains and laboratory and  
401 industrial strains of *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* and its application to phenetic  
402 clustering. *Yeast.* 18, 1145–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.767>.

403 Barbosa, R., Pontes, A., Santos, R.O., Montandon, G.G., de Ponzzes-Gomes, C.M., Morais,  
404 P.B., Gonçalves, P., Rosa, C.A., Sampaio, J.P., 2018. Multiple rounds of artificial

405 selection promote microbe secondary domestication – the case of cachaça yeasts.  
406 Genome Biol Evol. evy132, <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evy132>.

407 Börlin, M, Venet, P, Claisse, O, Salin, F, Legras, J-L, Masneuf-Pomarede, I., 2016. Cellar-  
408 associated *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* population structure revealed high level  
409 diversity and perennial persistence at Sauternes wine estates. *Appl Environ*  
410 *Microbiol* 82, 2909–2918. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03627-15>.

411 Cockerham, C.C., 1969. Variance of gene frequencies. *Evolution*. 23, 72-84.  
412 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2406485>

413 Djè, K.M., N’guessan, K.F., Djeni, N.T., Dadié, A.T., 2008. Biochemical changes during  
414 alcoholic fermentation in the production of tchapalo, a traditional sorghum beer. *Int.*  
415 *J. Food Eng.* 4, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.2202/1556-3758.1408>

416 Ezeronye, O.U., Legras, J.L., 2009. Genetic analysis of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains  
417 isolated from palm wine in eastern Nigeria. Comparison with other African strains. *J.*  
418 *Appl. Microbiol.* 106, 1569-1578. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652672.2008.04118.x>

419 Gao, H., Williamson S., Bustamante C.D., 2007. A Markov chain Monte Carlo approach for  
420 joint inference of population structure and inbreeding rates from multilocus genotype  
421 data. *Genetics*, 176, 1635-1651. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.072371>

422 Gayevskiy, V., Klaere, S., Knight, S., Goddard, M.R., 2014. ObStruct: A Method to  
423 Objectively Analyse Factors Driving Population Structure Using Bayesian Ancestry  
424 Profiles. *PLoS ONE*. 9 (1), e85196. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085196>

425 Gordon, D., Abajian, C., Green, P., 1998. Consed: a graphical tool for sequence finishing.  
426 *Genome Res.* 8, 195-202.

427 Goudet, J., 2005. Hierfstat, a package for R to compute and test hierarchical F-statistics. *Mol*  
428 *Ecol Notes*. 5, 184-186. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00828.x>

429 Hoffman, C.S., Winston, F., 1987. A ten-minute preparation from yeast efficiently releases  
430 autonomous plasmids for transformation of *Escherichia coli*. *Gene*, 57, 267-272.  
431 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119\(87\)90131-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(87)90131-4)

432 Jombart, T., 2008. Adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers.  
433 *Bioinformatics*, 24, 1403-1405. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129>

434 Jombart, T., Devillard, S., Balloux, F., 2010. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a  
435 new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genomics*.  
436 11, 94. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-11-94>.

437 Karamoko, D., Djeni, N.T., N’guessan, K.F., Bouatenin, K.M.J-P., Djè, K.M., 2012. The  
438 biochemical and microbiological quality of palm wine samples produced at different

439 periods during tapping and changes which occurred during their storage. *Food*  
440 *Control.* 26, 504-511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.02.018>

441 Kopelman, N.M., Mayzel, J., Jakobsson, M., Rosenberg, N.A., Mayrose, I., 2015. Clumpak: a  
442 program for identifying clustering modes and packaging population structure  
443 inferences across. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 15, 1179-1191.  
444 <https://doi.org/10.1111/17550998.12387>

445 Kurtzman, C.P., Robnett, C.J., 1998. Identification and phylogeny of ascomycetous yeasts  
446 from analysis of nuclear large subunit (26S) ribosomal DNA partial sequences.  
447 *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek.* 73, 331–371. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1001761008817>

448 Legras, J-L., Karst F., 2003. Optimisation of Inter-Deltas analysis for *Saccharomyces*  
449 *cerevisiae* strain characterisation. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 221, 249-255.  
450 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097\(03\)00205-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00205-2)

451 Legras, J-L., Ruh, O., Merdinoglu, D., Karst, F., 2005. Selection of hypervariable  
452 microsatellite loci for the characterization of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *Int. J.*  
453 *Food. Microbiol.* 102, 73-83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.12.007>

454 Legras, J-L., Merdinoglu, D., Cornuet, J-M., Karst, F., 2007. Bread, beer and wine:  
455 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* diversity reflects human history. *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 2091-  
456 2102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03266.x>

457 Legras, J-L., Galeote, V., Camarasa, C., Blondin, B., Dequin, S. 2017. Ecology, Diversity  
458 and Applications of *Saccharomyces* Yeasts in Food and Beverages. In:  
459 Satyanarayana T., Kunze G. (eds) *Yeast Diversity in Human Welfare*. Springer,  
460 Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2621-8\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2621-8_12)

461 Legras, J-L., Galeote, V., Bigey, F., Camarasa, C., Marsit, S., Nidelet, T., Sanchez, I.,  
462 Couloux, A., Guy, J., Franco-Duarte, R., Marcet-Houben, M., Gabaldon, T.,  
463 Schuller, D., Sampaio, J.P., Dequin, S., 2018. Adaptation of *S. cerevisiae* to  
464 Fermented Food Environments Reveals Remarkable Genome Plasticity and the  
465 Footprints of Domestication. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1712-1727.  
466 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy066>

467 Liti, G., Carter, D.M., Moses, A.M., Warringer, J., Parts, L., James, S.A., Davey, R.P.,  
468 Roberts, I.N., Burt, A., Koufopanou, V., Tsai, I.J., Bergman, C.M., Bensasson, D.,  
469 O'Kelly, M.J., Van Oudenaarden, A., Barton, D.B., Bailes, E., Nguyen, A.N., Jones,  
470 M., Quail, M.A., Goodhead, I., Sims, S., Smith, F., Blomberg, A., Durbin, R., Louis,  
471 E.J., 2009. Population genomics of domestic and wild yeasts. *Nature.* 458, 337-341.  
472 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07743>

473 López-Urbe, M.M., Cane, J.H., Minckley, R.L., Danforth, B.N., 2016. Crop domestication  
474 facilitated rapid geographical expansion of a specialist pollinator, the squash bee  
475 *Peponapis pruinosa*. *Proc. Biol. Scis.* 283, 20160443.  
476 <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0443>

477 Lorca, G., Uribe, S., Godoy, L., Ganga, M.A., Martinez Fernandez, C.A., Martinez  
478 Fernandez, C. A., 2018. Screening of native *S. cerevisiae* strains in the production of  
479 Pajarete wine: a tradition of Atacama Region, Chile. *J. Wine. Res.* 29, 130-142.  
480 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09571264.2018.1465900>

481 Martínez, C., Cosgaya, P., Vásquez, C., Gac, S., Ganga, A., 2007. High degree of correlation  
482 between molecular polymorphism and geographic origin of wine yeast strains. *J.*  
483 *Appl. Microbiol.* 103, 2185-2195. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652672.2007.03493.x>

484 Martiniuk, J.T., Pacheco, B., Russel, G., Tong, S., Backstrom, I., Measday, V., 2016. Impact  
485 of commercial strain use on *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* population structure and  
486 dynamics in pinot noir vineyards and spontaneous fermentations of a Canadian  
487 Winery. *PLoS One.* 11, e0160259. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160259>

488 N'guessan, F.K., Djeni, N.T., Dadié, A.T., Djè K.M, 2008. Influence of alcoholic  
489 fermentation temperature on sorghum beer quality. *Food.* 2, 119-123.

490 N'guessan, F.K., N'Dri, D.Y., Camara, F., Djè K.M., 2010. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and  
491 *Candida tropicalis* as starters cultures for the alcoholic fermentation of tchapalo, a  
492 traditional sorghum beer. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 26, 693-699.  
493 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-0224-y>

494 N'guessan, F.K., Brou, K., Jacques, N., Casaregola, S., Djè, K.M., 2011. Identification of  
495 yeasts during alcoholic fermentation of tchapalo, a traditional sorghum beer from  
496 Côte d'Ivoire. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek.* 99, 855-864.  
497 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-011-9560-7>.

498 Naumov, G.I., Naumova, E.S., Sniegowski, P.D., 1998. *Saccharomyces paradoxus* and  
499 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* are associated with exudates of North American oaks.  
500 *Can. J. Microbiol.* 44, 1045-1050.

501 Nei, M., 1973. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*  
502 *U.S.A.* 70, 3321-3323.

503 Ness, F., Lavallée, F., Dubourdieu, D., Aigle, M., Dulau, L., 1993. Identification of yeast  
504 strains using the polymerase chain reaction. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 62, 89-94.  
505 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2740620113>

506 Nguyen, H.-V., Gaillardin, C., 1997. Two subgroups within the *Saccharomyces bayanus*  
507 species evidenced by PCR amplification and restriction polymorphism of the  
508 nontranscribed spacer 2 in the ribosomal DNA unit. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 20, 286-  
509 294. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020\(97\)80075-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0723-2020(97)80075-6)

510 Peter, J., De Chiara, M., Friedrich, A., Yue, J.X., Pflieger, D., Bergström, A., Sigwalt, A.,  
511 Barre, B., Freil, K., Llored, A., Cruaud, C., Labadie, K., Aury, J.M., Istace, B.,  
512 Lebrigand, K., Barbry, P., Engelen, S., Lemainque, A., Wincker, P., Liti, G.,  
513 Schacherer, J., 2018. Genome evolution across 1,011 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*  
514 isolates. *Nature.* 556, 339-344. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0030-5>

515 Querol, A., Barrio, E., Huerta, T., Ramon, D., 1992. Molecular monitoring of wine  
516 fermentations conducted by active dry yeast strains. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58,  
517 2948-2953.

518 Santiago-Urbina, J.A., Arias-García., J.A, Ruiz-Terán, F., 2015. Yeast species associated with  
519 spontaneous fermentation of taberna, a traditional palm wine from the southeast of  
520 Mexico. *Ann. Microbiol.* 65, 287-296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-014-0861-8>

521 Schuller, D., Casal, M., 2007. The genetic structure of fermentative vineyard-associated  
522 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* populations revealed by microsatellite analysis. *Antonie*  
523 *Van Leeuwenhoek.* 91, 137-150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-006-9104-8>

524 Sniegowski, P.D., Dombrowski, P.G., Fingerman, E., 2002. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and  
525 *Saccharomyces paradoxus* coexist in a natural woodland site in North America and  
526 display different levels of reproductive isolation from European conspecifics. *FEMS*  
527 *Yeast Res.* 1, 299-306. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2002.tb00048.x>

528 Stringini, M., Comitini, F., Taccari, M., Ciani, M., 2009. Yeast diversity during tapping and  
529 fermentation of palm wine from Cameroon. *Food Microbiol.* 26, 415-420.  
530 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2009.02.006>

531 Tapsoba, F., Legras, J-L., Savadogo, A., Dequin, S., Traore A.S., 2015. Diversity of  
532 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains isolated from *Borassus akeassii* palm wines from  
533 Burkina Faso in comparison to other African beverages. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 15,  
534 30065-30069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.07.010>

535 Techera, A.G., Jubany, S., Carrau, F.M., Gaggero, C., 2001. Differentiation of industrial wine  
536 yeast strains using microsatellite markers. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 33, 71-75.  
537 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-765X.2001.00946.x>

538 Tra Bi, C.Y., N'guessan, F.K., Kouakou, C.A., Noémie, J., Casaregola, S., Djé, M.K, 2016.  
539 Identification of yeasts isolated from raffia wine (*Raphia hookeri*) produced in Côte


540 d'Ivoire and genotyping of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains by PCR inter-delta.  
541 World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 32, 125-134. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-0162095-3)  
542 0162095-3

543 Vezinhet, F., Blondin, B., Hallet, J.N., 1990. Chromosomal DNA patterns and mitochondrial  
544 DNA polymorphisms as tools for identification of enological strains of  
545 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 32, 568-571.  
546 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00173729>

547 Viel, A., Legras, J.L., Nadai, C., Carlot, M., Lombardi, A., Crespan, M., Migliaro, D.,  
548 Giacomini, A., Corich, V., 2017. The geographic distribution of *Saccharomyces*  
549 *cerevisiae* isolates within three Italian neighboring winemaking regions reveals  
550 strong differences in yeast abundance, genetic diversity and industrial strain  
551 dissemination. Front Microbiol. 8, 1595. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01595>


552 Yao, A., Egounlety, M., Kouamé, L.P., Thonart, P., 2009. Les bactéries lactiques dans les  
553 aliments ou boissons amylicés et fermentés de l'Afrique de l'Ouest: leur utilisation  
554 actuelle. Ann. Med. Vet. 153, 54-65.

555 Yu, J., Dossa K., Wang, L., Zhang, Y., Wei, X., Liao, B., Zhang, X., 2017. PMDBase: a  
556 database for studying microsatellite DNA and marker development in plants.  
557 Nucleic. Acids Res. D1046–D1053. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw906>  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564




**Figure 1:** Map of Côte d'Ivoire showing the areas from where samples were collected.






**Figure 2b:** Phylogenetic tree among *S. cerevisiae* strains of diverse geographical origins and substrates. The tree is drawn according to the neighbour-joining method from a pairwise individual distance matrix calculated with relative dissimilarity distance between the 284 strains (from 18 different ecological niches) and based on the polymorphism at 12 loci.

Inference of each population ancestry with InStruct




**Figure 3:** Inferred population structure using InStruct program on the 384 *S. cerevisiae* strains. Deviance information criterion indicated the most likely population number to be  $K = 15$ . *S. cerevisiae* populations are delimited vertically according to their ecological origin. Colours represent the different alleles that constitute each population.


(a)



(b)



**Figure 4:** Discriminant principal component analysis (DPCA) (a): Plot showing representation of the different clusters according to axes 1 and 2; (b) plot showing representation of the different clusters according to axes 3 and 4. Clusters are shown by different colours and inertia ellipses, while dots represent individuals.



**Figure 5:** Canonical discriminant analysis of the ancestry obtained for palm oil wine (a) and raffia wine (b) isolates from Côte d’Ivoire with OBSTRUCT, after removal of strains from other countries. The outer blue ellipsoid labelled group reflects the variation of the group means around the grand mean while the red circle reflects the pooled within-group dispersion and covariation. The black points indicate predefined populations while numbers at the arrows indicate inferred populations.

**Table 1:** Geographical information of the sampling areas and sample codes

| Sampling areas | Geographical coordinates                            | Distance between the sampling area and the city of Abidjan (Km) | Beverage collected | Code                          |
|----------------|---|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Abidjan        | $1: 5^{\circ}18.5796' N$<br>$L: 4^{\circ}0.7596' W$ | 0   | Tchapalo beer      | $\frac{TLM/ Ab/}{TT14/ TPA/}$ |
| Bingerville    | $1: 5^{\circ}21.3486' N$                            | 18  | Palm oil wine      | BingerVP                      |
|                | $L: 3^{\circ}53.1222' W$                            |   | Tchapalo beer      | Binger-T                      |
| Attinguié      | $1: 5^{\circ}29'40'' N$<br>$L: 4^{\circ}03'06'' W$  | 22  | Palm oil wine      | AttgiéP-Vp                    |
| Alépé          | $1: 5^{\circ}29'46'' N$                             | 45  | Palm oil wine      | PA                            |
|                | $L: 3^{\circ}39'49'' W$                             |   | Raffia wine        | AR                            |
| Bonoua         | $1: 5^{\circ}16.3482' N$<br>$L: 3^{\circ}35.775' W$ | 59  | Palm oil wine      | Bon Vp                        |
| Adzopé         | $1: 6^{\circ}06'24'' N$<br>$L: 3^{\circ}51'42'' W$  | 104   | Raffia wine        | Adz-R                         |
| Grand-Lahou    | $1: 5^{\circ} 8' 7 N$                               | 152   | Palm oil wine      | PG                            |
|                | $L: 5^{\circ} 1' 26 ' W$                            |   | Raffia wine        | GR                            |
| Toumodi        | $1: 6^{\circ}33.4794' N$<br>$L: 5^{\circ}1.0614' W$ | 198   | Ron wine           | TR                            |
| Abengourou     | $1: 6^{\circ}43'46'' N$<br>$L: 3^{\circ}29'47'' W$  | 210   | Raffia wine        | Abeng R                       |

**Table 2:** Physico-chemical characteristics and yeast count of alcoholic beverages of the study

| Beverages     |         | pH           | Total titratable acidity (%) | Total soluble sugars (°Brix) | Yeast count (CFU/mL)                   |
|---------------|---------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Palm oil wine | Average | 3.73 ± 0.09* | 0.80 ± 0.05*                 | 5.41 ± 0.77*                 | 1.4 ± 0.1×10 <sup>7</sup> *            |
|               | Minimum | 3.45 ± 0.04  | 0.75 ± 0.07                  | 3.72 ± 1.13                  | 9.7 ± 0.5×10 <sup>6</sup>              |
|               | Maximum | 4.30 ± 0.19  | 0.87 ± 0.03                  | 6.65 ± 1.41                  | 1.7 ± 0.2×10 <sup>7</sup>              |
| Raffia wine   | Average | 3.80 ± 0.12* | 0.81 ± 0.10*                 | 4.35 ± 0.79 <sup>†</sup>     | 1.3 ± 0.2×10 <sup>7</sup> *            |
|               | Minimum | 3.21 ± 0.26  | 0.47 ± 0.04                  | 3.00 ± 0.30                  | 9.5 ± 2.3×10 <sup>6</sup>              |
|               | Maximum | 4.35 ± 0.01  | 1.35 ± 0.07                  | 7.00 ± 0.40                  | 4.8 ± 1.8×10 <sup>7</sup>              |
| Ron wine      | Average | 3.72 ± 0.12* | 0.68 ± 0.09 <sup>†</sup>     | 4.88 ± 0.40 <sup>†</sup>     | 1.1 ± 0.3×10 <sup>8</sup> <sup>†</sup> |
|               | Minimum | 3.54 ± 0.08  | 0.48 ± 0.11                  | 4.03 ± 0.25                  | 1.9 ± 0.7×10 <sup>7</sup>              |
|               | Maximum | 3.91 ± 0.21  | 1.00 ± 0.14                  | 5.80 ± 0.30                  | 2.6 ± 0.6×10 <sup>8</sup>              |
| Tchapalo beer | Average | 3.46 ± 0.13* | 0.93 ± 0.03 <sup>‡</sup>     | 7.70 ± 1.04 <sup>‡</sup>     | 1.7 ± 0.1×10 <sup>7</sup> *            |
|               | Minimum | 3.38 ± 0.1   | 0.92 ± 0.02                  | 5.81 ± 0.57                  | 1.2 ± 0.1×10 <sup>7</sup>              |
|               | Maximum | 3.5 ± 0.14   | 0.94 ± 0.04                  | 10.87 ± 2.0                  | 2.4 ± 0.1×10 <sup>7</sup>              |

The values expressed are the average of three measurements. On the same column, mean values with the same symbol are not significantly different (Tukey HSD test range at P < 0.05).

**Table 3a:** Pairwise Fst distance matrix between populations isolated from different beverages. Fst is calculated according to Nei (1973). Fst values are given in the lower matrix and upper matrix indicates P-value estimated from 1000 permutations of the genotypes dataset.

|               | Palm oil wine | Raffia wine | Ron wine | Tchapalo beer |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------------|
| Palm oil wine | 0.000         | 0.008       | 0.001    | 0.001         |
| Raffia wine   | 0.021         | 0.000       | 0.001    | 0.001         |
| Ron wine      | 0.061         | 0.069       | 0.000    | 0.001         |
| Tchapalo beer | 0.107         | 0.074       | 0.073    | 0.000         |

**Table 3b:** Pairwise Fst distance matrix between sampling areas. Fst is calculated according to Nei (1973). Fst values are given in the lower matrix and upper matrix indicates P-value estimated from 1000 permutations of the genotypes dataset.

| Palm oil wine                |          |             |       |             |        |
|------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------|-------------|--------|
|                              | Attingué | Grand-Lahou | Alépé | Bingerville | Bonoua |
| <b>Number of individuals</b> | 6        | 7           | 7     | 5           | 4      |
| Attingué                     | 0.000    | 0.022       | 0.035 | 0.077       | 0.002  |
| Grand-Lahou                  | 0.066    | 0.000       | 0.001 | 0.033       | 0.001  |
| Alépé                        | 0.045    | 0.070       | 0.000 | 0.008       | 0.001  |
| Bingerville                  | 0.093    | 0.092       | 0.084 | 0.000       | 0.001  |
| Bonoua                       | 0.070    | 0.064       | 0.073 | 0.118       | 0.000  |

| Raffia wine                  |             |       |        |            |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------|--------|------------|
|                              | Grand-Lahou | Alépé | Adzopé | Abengourou |
| <b>Number of individuals</b> | 16          | 35    | 6      | 7          |
| Grand-Lahou                  | 0.000       | 0.003 | 0.008  | 0.044      |
| Alépé                        | 0.011       | 0.000 | 0.019  | 0.031      |
| Adzopé                       | 0.049       | 0.022 | 0.000  | 0.051      |
| Abengourou                   | 0.054       | 0.026 | 0.061  | 0.000      |

| Tchapalo beer |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
|---------------|--|--|--|--|

---

|                              | Abidjan | Bingerville |
|------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| <b>Number of individuals</b> | 17      | 2           |
| Abidjan                      | 0.000   | 0.198       |
| Bingerville                  | 0.022   | 0.000       |

---

Values are significantly different for P-value < 0.05.

**Table 4:** Fis value per population and corresponding estimate of selfing rate

|  | Ecological niche |               |               |          |
|--|------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
|  | Raffia wine      | Palm oil wine | Tchapalo beer | Ron wine |
| <b>Number of individuals</b>                 | 64               | 29            | 19            | 58       |
| Fis  | 0.603            | 0.544         | 0.138         | 0.214    |
| Outcrossing estimate ( $o=(1-Fis)/(1+Fis)$ ) | 0.25             | 0.30          | 0.76          | 0.65     |
| Selfing estimate ( $=1-o = 2Fis/(1+Fis)$ )   | 0.75             | 0.70          | 0.24          | 0.35     |