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It is shown that the transport in low temperature, collisional, bounded plasma is enhanced by instabilities at high magnetic field. While the magnetic field confines the electrons in a stable plasma, the instability completely destroys the confinement such that the transport becomes independent of the magnetic field in the highly magnetized limit. An analytical expression of the instability-enhanced collision frequency is proposed, based on a magnetic field independent edge-to-center density ratio.

In low temperature plasma discharges, the transport from the ionization region to the walls determines the electron temperature and hence the global discharge from the ionization region to the walls determines the Instability-enhanced transport in low temperature magnetized plasma. The classical drift-diffusion theory of low density magnetized plasma transport1–3 predicts that the plasma should reach a steady-state equilibrium qualitatively analogous to that of a non-magnetized discharge4–6 and that the confinement increases with the magnetic field. However, when the value of the magnetic field is high enough, strong instabilities develop that deconfine the electrons, which enhance the macroscopic transport and the third term is the drift associated with collisions. The normalized electron continuity equation is:

\[
\frac{d\nu}{dt} = \nabla \phi - \nabla \times b - \frac{\nabla n}{n} - \nu \nu
\]  

where \(d/dt\) is the Lagrangian time derivative, \(\nu\) is the electron fluid velocity, \(n\) is the electron density, \(\nu\) is the electron collision frequency, and \(b\) is the unit vector in the direction of the magnetic field. It is assumed that the steady-state plasma properties depend only on the variable \(x\) and that the magnetic field is along \(z\) (\(b = e_z\)). We investigate a symmetrical single-ion plasma where the plasma density drops monotonically from the discharge center at \(x = 0\) to a floating sheath region, near \(x = l/2\). The ions have a mass \(m_i\), their temperature is much lower than the electron temperature, such that ion diffusion is neglected compared to the mobility driven flux, and the plasma is quasineutral.

At steady-state, and making the common assumption that electron inertia is negligible due to the small electron mass2,3,20–23, Eq. (1) is

\[
u_y = -n'/n + \phi' - \nu v_x
\]

\[
u_y = v_x/\nu
\]

In Eq. (2), the first term of the right-hand side represents the diamagnetic drift, the second term is the \(E \times B\) drift, and the third term is the drift associated with collisions.

The normalized electron continuity equation is

\[
\partial n/\partial t + \nabla \cdot (n\nu) = n\nu_z
\]

where \(\nu_z\) is a normalized electron impact ionization frequency. The continuity equation is the same for ions and leads to equal electron and ion fluxes along \(x\), under the assumption that the fluid velocity is zero for both species at the discharge center. This allows to use the same fluid velocity \(v_s\) for both species. We assume that the ion Larmor radius is larger than the discharge dimensions so the ions are not magnetized. In 1D, Eq. (4) becomes

\[
v' + n'/n = v_x = \nu_z.
\]
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Eliminating $v_y$ from Eqs. (2) and (3), we obtain

$$-\phi' = -\frac{n'}{n} - v_x \left(\frac{1}{\nu} + \nu\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

A very simple collisionless momentum conservation equation is used here for the ions:

$$\frac{v_i^2}{2\mu} = -\phi \Rightarrow \frac{v_i v'_i}{\mu} = -\phi'. \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $\mu = m_i/m_e$. The electric field and the pressure gradient terms are eliminated using Eqs. (7) and (5) respectively, and inserted in Eq. (6), which yields

$$\left(1 - \frac{v_i^2}{\mu}\right) v'_i = \nu_{iz} + \alpha v_i^2. \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $\alpha = 1/\nu + \nu$. This first order differential equation is integrated to obtain

$$(\alpha + \nu_{iz}) \arctan \left(\frac{v_x \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\nu_{iz}^2}\right) - (\alpha \nu_{iz})^{\frac{1}{2}} v_x = \mu \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \nu_{iz}^2 \frac{1}{2} x$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

The quasineutral assumption, that is valid in the plasma bulk, breaks at the sheath edge. The boundary condition for the quasineutral plasma is chosen to be the Bohm criterion:\textsuperscript{23}

$$v_x = \mu^{1/2} \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

that needs to be satisfied at the sheath edge $x = l/2$, even for magnetized plasmas.\textsuperscript{22,24} Equation 9 yields the equation for the electron temperature

$$\left[\left(\frac{\alpha \nu_{iz}}{\nu_{iz}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\frac{\nu_{iz}}{\alpha \mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \arctan \left[\left(\frac{\alpha \nu_{iz}}{\nu_{iz}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1\right] = \frac{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha l}{2} \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

that can easily be solved numerically for $\nu_{iz}(T_e)$. From Eq. (7), the electric field is derived:

$$-\phi' = \frac{v_x (\nu_{iz} + \alpha v_i^2)}{\mu - v_i^2} \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

and so is the plasma density profile from Eq. (5)

$$\frac{n}{n_0} = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha v_i^2}{\nu_{iz}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\nu_{iz}}{\alpha} \right) \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

We now consider the high magnetic field limit in which $\alpha, x, l \gg 1$, for $x/l \neq 0$. Eq. (11) yields

$$\nu_{iz} = \frac{\pi^2}{\alpha l^2} \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

such that Eq. (9) is also

$$v_x = \left(\frac{\nu_{iz}}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tan \left(\frac{\nu_{iz}^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} x}{2}\right) \approx \frac{\pi}{\alpha l} \tan \left(\frac{\pi x}{l}\right) \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

At a given relative position $x/l < 1/2$, $v_x \rightarrow 0$.

The ratio between the electric field and the velocity term in Eq. (6) is hence

$$\frac{\pi^2/(\alpha l)^2 + v_i^2}{\mu - v_i^2} \rightarrow 0 \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

everywhere in the plasma bulk ($v_x < \mu^{1/2}$). This means that at high magnetic, the electron drift is dominated by the diamagnetic drift, except at the sheath edge, as will be shown below.

In the bulk, Eq. (15) yields

$$v'_i = \nu_{iz}/\cos^2 \left(\nu_{iz}^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right) = \nu_{iz}^2/\sin^2 \left(\nu_{iz}^{\frac{1}{2}} x\right) \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

Using Eq. (7), the electric field has a finite value at the sheath edge

$$-\phi' = \alpha \mu^{1/2} \hspace{1cm} (18)$$

This is used in Eq. (6) to estimate the diamagnetic drift term at the sheath edge

$$-\frac{n'}{n} = 2 \alpha \mu^{1/2} \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

Hence, for $\alpha > 1/(2 \mu^{1/2})$, the diamagnetic drift is larger than the electron thermal velocity. The diamagnetic drift is a purely fluid drift term and should typically not be higher than the thermal velocity. This intuition was confirmed by the PIC simulation and gives a hint that $\alpha$ cannot be arbitrarily large due to the magnetic field. If this is the case, then there should be an effective electron momentum transfer collision frequency $\nu_B$, that depends on the magnetic field, and that should satisfy roughly (in normalized dimensions) $\nu_B > 2 \mu^{1/2}$, such that with $\alpha = 1/\nu_B$, the right hand side of Eq. (19) is less than unity. In general, this effective collision frequency may depend on $x$. However, it will be shown by the PIC simulation that the uniform effective collision model provides relevant corrections to the classical description.

In a 1D system, the $h$ factor that characterizes the ion losses to the walls is $h = n_s/n_0$, where $n_s$ is the plasma density at the sheath edge. Integrating Eq. (5) leads to

$$\nu_{iz} = \pi h \mu^{1/2}/l \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

where

$$h = \frac{\pi}{\alpha l \mu^{1/2}} \hspace{1cm} (21)$$

because of Eq. (13). At high magnetic field,

$$\nu_B = h \mu^{1/2}/\pi. \hspace{1cm} (22)$$

---

\textsuperscript{1} Ion collisions could be included here, with a discussion on how to compute the ion momentum transfer collision frequency,\textsuperscript{6,16} but with no influence on the strongly magnetized regime.
In dimensional units,
\[ \nu_B = \frac{\hbar m \omega_p^2 L}{\pi (m_k B T_e)^{1/2}}. \]  
(23)
where \( L = \rho_L l \) is the normalized system size. At this point, both the \( h \) factor and the effective collision frequency may depend on the magnetic field, which is consistent with instability enhanced transport regimes.

We now examine the plasma unstable behavior. It is assumed that a steady-state solution is perturbed by a harmonic wave propagating in the \( y \) direction such that the first order densities \( n_{e1} \) and \( n_{i1} \) for electrons and ions respectively, and the first order potential \( \phi_1 \) are proportional to \( \exp(-i \omega t + iy \gamma) \), where \( \omega \) is the complex wave frequency (normalized to \( \omega_{ce} \)), and \( k \) is the wavenumber (normalized to \( k_{l1} \)). Collisions were added to the classical inhomogeneous fluid plasma theory\(^{25} \) (see Appendix), and the perturbed electron density response to the potential is given by the susceptibility

\[ \chi_e \equiv \frac{\omega_p^2 n_{e1}}{k^2 \phi_1} = \frac{\omega_p^2}{k^2} \left( \omega_0 + i \nu \right) + \frac{k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i \nu)}{k^2 (\omega + \omega_0) + k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i \nu)} \]  
(24)

where

\[ \omega_0 = -k \phi' , \quad \omega_\ast = -k n'/n \]  
(25)

and \( \omega_p^2 = n_0 e^2 / (\epsilon_0 m_e \omega_{ce}^2) \) is the normalized electron plasma frequency. Equation (24) is also introduced by the gyroviscosity formalism in Smolyakov et al.\(^{11} \). The cold, collisionless, non-magnetized ions do not drift in the \( y \) direction. Their susceptibility is\(^{26} \)

\[ \chi_i \equiv -\frac{\omega_p^2 n_{i1}}{k^2 \phi_1} = -\frac{\mu \omega_p^2}{\omega^2}. \]  
(26)

The first order Poisson’s equation\(^{26} \)

\[ 1 + \chi_e + \chi_i = 0 \]  
(27)
provides the wave dispersion relation. Introducing the polynomials

\[ P_0(\omega) = (\omega + \omega_0) \left[(1 + k^2 + \omega_p^2) \omega^2 - \mu \omega_p^2 (1 + k^2)\right] + \omega_p^2 \omega_\ast / k^2 \]  
(28)
\[ Q(\omega) = (\omega_p^2 + k^2) \omega^2 - \mu \omega_p^2 k^2, \]  
(29)
the dispersion relation is

\[ P(\omega) = P_0(\omega) + i \nu Q(\omega) = 0. \]  
(30)

Equation (30) is valid as long as the frequency of the instability is smaller than the electron cyclotron harmonics, where a kinetic description of the Bernstein modes is required.\(^{26-29} \) Inspecting the following table, \( P_0 \) has always two negative roots and one positive root:

| \( \omega \) | \(-\infty\) | \(-\omega_0\) | \(0\) | \(+\infty\) |
| \( P_0(\omega) \) | \(<0\) | \(0\) | \(<0\) | \(>0\) |

Let \( \omega_r \) be the positive root. Since \( \nu \ll 1 \), collisions can be treated as a perturbation term. The perturbed solution being \( \omega_r + iv \omega_1 \), to the first order in \( \nu \),

\[ P(\omega_r + iv \omega_1) = 0 \iff \omega_1 = -Q(\omega_r) / P_0'(\omega_r) \]  
(31)

Since \( P_0'(\omega_r) > 0 \), the mode stability is determined by the sign of \( Q(\omega_r) \). If \( \omega_\ast = \omega_0 = 0 \), the positive root is

\[ \omega_r = \mu^{1/2} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p^2} + \frac{1}{1 + k^2} \right)^{-1/2} \]  
(32)
a mode transiting from the lower hybrid frequency at low \( k \)'s to the ion plasma frequency at high \( k \)'s, and damped by collisions. If \( \omega_0 = 0 \), but \( \omega_\ast \neq 0 \), the solution is

\[ \omega_r = \frac{\omega_\ast \omega_p^2}{2k^2(1 + k^2 + \omega_p^2)} \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{4 \mu k^4 (1 + k^2) (1 + k^2 + \omega_p^2)}{\omega_p^2 \omega_p^2 \omega_\ast^2} \right]^{1/2} - 1 \right\} \]  
(33)
The general instability criterion is found by solving jointly \( P_0(\omega) = 0 \) (the mode exists) with \( Q(\omega) = 0 \) (the mode is at stability limit):

\[ \omega_\ast - \omega_0 = \frac{\mu^{1/2} k}{(1 + k^2/\omega_p^2)^{1/2}}. \]  
(34)

This means that the plasma is unstable when the fluid electron drift is higher than the ion sound speed. The numerical resolution of the two other roots of \( P \) shows that they are all stable in the regime of interest here. Using Eqs. (25) and (6) for low wavenumbers, the plasma is unstable if:

\[ v_s (1/\nu + \nu) > \mu^{1/2}. \]  
(35)

At the sheath edge, \( v_s = \mu^{1/2} \), and \( 1/\nu + \nu \) is greater than 1 for all \( \nu > 0 \). Therefore, the plasma is always unstable, at least at the sheath edge, as long as the electrons are magnetized (\( l \gg 1 \)). The destabilization of similar modes by collisions was first found experimentally\(^{30,31} \) and explained theoretically by Chen\(^{32} \) as a particular type of resistive drift mode.\(^{33} \) More recently, several experiments highlighted drift wave instabilities in magnetized plasma columns.\(^{34,35} \) As shown by Lakhin et al. taking into account finite Larmor radius effects,\(^{36,37} \) the condition \(-n' \phi' / n < \mu / 4\) is sufficient to ensure electrostatic modes stability in a collisionless plasma, and only collisions can destabilize it in this case.

In order to validate the 1D model, the PIC/MCC simulation needs to be 2D to capture both the direction of the instability and the gradients of the equilibrium solution. Simulations of a square argon discharge were performed at gas pressures of 3, 6, and 12 mTorr and uniform magnetic fields between 0 and 40 mT or oriented along \( z \), perpendicular to the simulation plane. The discharge is sustained by a uniform radio-frequency (RF) electric field at 13.56 MHz along \( z \). The amplitude of the heating electric field is adjusted at each RF cycle to control the
power absorbed by the plasma, fixed to 9.6 kW/m$^3$. The $x$ and $y$ components of the electric field come from the solution of the Poisson’s equation, with the potential set to zero at the conducting walls.

Super-particles lost at the walls are discarded and new particles are generated through self-consistent ionization with a uniform background gas at 300 K. The newly created ions and electrons are initialized with Maxwellian distribution functions at 0.026 eV and 4 eV respectively. The ion temperature remains lower than 0.2 eV in all simulation conditions. The steady-state electron temperature is nearly uniform in the bulk plasma and varies between 3.6 and 5.3 eV depending on the cases. A realistic kinetic scheme for argon is used¹⁷ using cross sections from the LXCat database.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ The simulation time step and the cell size satisfy the classical stability criteria (Birdsall and Langdon¹²). The number of particles per cell was always greater than 100 in the center (150 in most cases). A simulation at 20 mT and 3 mTorr was performed with 4 times more particles per cell (600 part./cell in the discharge center) with a change of less than 3% for the discharge global properties.

Plasma sheaths form in about one microsecond, and at high magnetic field, instabilities rotating in the electron drift direction develop rapidly. After a transient of about 20 μs (depending on the pressure and the magnetic field), the volume-averaged plasma properties reach a steady-state and the instability saturates with a continuous spectrum featuring a maximum around 3 MHz. The instability wavevector is directed mainly in the azimuthal direction.

Figure 1 shows the electron density and the electron current at 3 mTorr and 20 mT. When the plasma properties are averaged over several tens of microseconds, the discharge aspect is the same as in the non-magnetized case,¹⁶ the only qualitative difference being that the electrons are rotating in the direction of the diamagnetic drift. On a shorter time-scale, the instabilities are visible and the waves seem to break against the sheath.

In Figure 2, the electron azimuthal drifts measured in the PIC simulations are compared with simple asymptotic formulae coming from the model equations. Neglecting curvature effects, the azimuthal direction is treated as $y$, and the radial direction as $x$. The colored dashed lines in Figure 2 correspond to the weakly-magnetized limit of Eq. (15),

$$v_\theta = \frac{h \mu^{1/2}}{\nu} \tan \left( \frac{\pi r}{l} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)$$

for each value of the pressure. The following formula coming from 2D theories of non-magnetized plasma transport was used for the $h$ factor¹⁶

$$h = 0.55 \left[ 3 + 0.5 \frac{L}{\lambda_i} + 0.2 \frac{T_i}{T_e} \left( \frac{L}{\lambda_i} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)$$

where $\lambda_i$ is the (dimensional) ion mean free path and $T_i$ is the ion temperature. The solid black line in Figure 2 corresponds to the diamagnetic drift

$$v_\theta = \frac{\pi}{l} \tan \left( \frac{\pi r}{l} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)$$

for simplicity, a reference temperature of 3.6 eV was considered in all cases.

Figure 3(a) shows the azimuthal electric field as a func-

---

**Figure 1.** Electron density and norm of the electron flux at 91 μs (b, d), and averaged over the last 27 μs of the simulation (a, c). In (c), the spiral streamlines represent the electron flux. The data come from a 3 mTorr, 20 mT LPPic simulation.

**Figure 2.** Azimuthal drift velocities measured for various values of the pressure and magnetic field, measured at $r = 9$ mm from the discharge center. The various dashed lines correspond to the classical regime (Eq. (36)), and the solid black line corresponds to the instability dominated regime described by Eq. (38).
Figure 3. (a) Azimuthal electric field obtained at 3 mTorr, and 20 mT, at a distance of 9 mm from the center of the simulation domain. (b) The corresponding spatio-temporal FT (colorplot) with a numerical solution of Eq. (30) in cyan, approximate solutions in dashed and solid black lines, with the parameters of Table I.

Table I. Numerical quantities of the simulation used to solve the dispersion relation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnetic field</th>
<th>20 mT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>3 mTorr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma density</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{16}$ m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron temperature</td>
<td>3.84 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamagnetic drift</td>
<td>17.3 km/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E \times B$ drift</td>
<td>-4.3 km/s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Effective electron collision frequency (Eq. (39)) plotted against the instability-enhanced collision frequency predicted by Eq. (22) using Eq. (37). The error bars correspond to all the data collected at various radial positions, from 1 to 14 mm from the discharge center. At low magnetic field, the effective collision frequency is equal to the classical collision frequency. In the strongly magnetized regime, the effective collision frequency is correctly described by Eq. (23), assuming an $h$ factor that does not depend on the magnetic field (Eq. (37)).

A 1D model of the cross field plasma transport was derived and validated using 2D PIC simulations. It was shown that if the diamagnetic drift at the sheath edge remains below the electron thermal velocity, then the effective electron collision frequency should depend on the magnetic field. This result is consistent with the plasma unstable behavior predicted by the linear theory of wave perturbations, and by PIC simulations. The effective collision frequency scales as $\omega_{ce}^2$ at high magnetic field. The transport across a magnetic field in a weakly ionized plasma is much better described by assuming that the $h$ factor does not depend on the magnetic field than by assuming a classical collision frequency.$^{11,13}$ The plasma transport becomes completely insensitive to the magnetic field due to the electron drift resistive instability.
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$^{11}$ The dependence of the $h$ factor on the magnetic field, from the classical regime to the instability-enhanced regime, will be the focus of another paper.
Using again Eq. (50),

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{v}) = 0. \tag{53}
\]

So to the first order in \(\epsilon\),

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [n(\mathbf{v}_E + \mathbf{v}_d + \mathbf{v}_p + \mathbf{v}_{dp})] = 0, \tag{54}
\]

or

\[
\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi) \cdot \nabla n + \nabla \cdot \left[ n \left( \frac{d}{dt} + \nu \right) \left( \nabla \phi - \frac{\nabla n}{n} \right) \right] = 0 \tag{55}
\]

The only term contributing to the motion of the electron guiding centers is the \(E \times B\) drift\(^{41}\)

\[
d/dt = \partial/\partial t + \mathbf{v}_E \cdot \nabla \tag{56}
\]

Using the property

\[
\nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \nabla) \nabla \phi] = (\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \nabla) \nabla^2 \phi, \tag{57}
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{v}_p) = n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_E \cdot \nabla + \nu \right) \nabla^2 \phi + \nabla n \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_E \cdot \nabla + \nu \right) \nabla \phi. \tag{58}
\]

For the density gradient polarization drift,

\[
\nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{v}_{dp}) = \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{\nabla n}{n} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_E \cdot \nabla \right) n \right] - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nu \right) \nabla^2 n - \nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{v}_E \cdot \nabla) \nabla n]. \tag{59}
\]

We assume

\[
n = n_0(x) + n_1(y, t) ; \quad \phi = \phi_0(x) + \phi_1(y, t) \tag{60}
\]

with \(n_1 \ll n_0\) and \(\phi_1 \ll \phi_0\), and \(n_1\) and \(\phi_1\) proportional to \(\exp(-i\omega t + ik y)\). To the first order in \(\phi_1\) and \(n_1\):

\[
\nabla \cdot (n\mathbf{v}_p) = n_0 \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi_0) \cdot \nabla \right] \nabla^2 \phi_1 + \nu n_1 \nabla^2 \phi_0 + n_0 (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi_1) \cdot \nabla \nabla^2 \phi_0 + \nabla n_0 \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi_1) \nabla \nabla \phi_0 = in_0 k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i\nu) \phi_1 + in_1 \phi_0'' - ikn_0 \phi_0'' \phi_1 + i\omega n_0 \phi_0'' \phi_1 \tag{61}
\]
where \( \omega_s = -k n_0'/n_0 \) and \( \omega_0 = -k \phi_0' \). Similarly,

\[
\nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{v}_{dp}) = \nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{n n_0}{n_0} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left( \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi_0 \cdot \nabla \right) \right] n_1 \right\} \\
+ \nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{n n_0}{n_0} \left( \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla \right) n_0 \right\} \\
- \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nu \right) \nabla^2 n_1 - \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi_0 \cdot \nabla \right) n_1 \nabla n_1 \\
- \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{b} \times \nabla \phi_1 \cdot \nabla \right) \nabla n_0 \right\} \\
= -i n \left( \omega + \omega_0 \right) \left( \frac{n_0''}{n_0} - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2} \right) - i n_1 \omega_s \phi_0'' \\
+ i \omega_s n_0 \phi_1 \left( \frac{2 n_0''}{n_0} - \frac{\omega^2}{k^2} \right) \\
- i k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i \nu) n_1 + i k \phi_1 n_0''' .
\]

Finally, Eq. (51) yields

\[
\nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{v}_E) = -i \omega n_1 + i \omega_s n_0 \phi_1
\]

To the first order in \( \omega_s \), and neglecting second and third order derivatives of \( n_0 \) and \( \phi_0 \) (no shear),

\[
\nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{v}_p) = i n \nu n_1' \left( \omega + \omega_0 + i \nu \right) \phi_1
\]

\[
\nabla \cdot (n \mathbf{v}_{dp}) = -i k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i \nu) n_1
\]

Eq. (53) is therefore:

\[
-i \omega n_1 - i \omega_0 n_1 + i \omega_s n_0 \phi_1 + in_0 k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i \nu) \phi_1 \\
- i k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i \nu) n_1 = 0
\]

Hence,

\[
\frac{n_1}{n_0} = \frac{\omega_s + k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i \nu)}{\omega + \omega_0 + k^2 (\omega + \omega_0 + i \nu)} \phi_1
\]

This result is valid within the assumptions of the model derived here (Eqs. (41) and (42)), but can be generalized by the Padé approximation\cite{10,11} to all wavenumbers.
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