Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) feeding on lumpfish (*Cyclopterus lumpus*) in northern Norway

Eve Jourdain, Richard Karoliussen, Jacques Vos, Stanislav Zakharov,
Christelle Tougard

► To cite this version:

Eve Jourdain, Richard Karoliussen, Jacques Vos, Stanislav Zakharov, Christelle Tougard. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) feeding on lumpfish (*Cyclopterus lumpus*) in northern Norway. *Marine Mammal Science*, 2020, 36 (1), pp.89-102. 10.1111/mms.12618 . hal-02162319

HAL Id: hal-02162319

<https://hal.science/hal-02162319>

Submitted on 7 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) feeding on lumpfish (*Cyclopterus lumpus*) in northern Norway

2 E. Jourdain^{1*}, R. Karoliussen¹, J. de Vos¹, S. E. Zakharov¹, C. Tougard²

4 ¹Norwegian Orca Survey, Haugesveien 30, 8480 Andenes, Norway

5 ²ISEM, Université de Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, 34 090 Montpellier, France

7 *Corresponding author email: post@norwegianorcasurvey.no

26

27 Abstract

28 Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in Norwegian waters have long been known to rely on
29 Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus*) as a main prey resource. However, research almost
30 exclusively conducted at seasonal herring grounds may have biased studies away from
31 detecting other potentially significant prey species. Since 2013, dedicated research efforts
32 have focused on monitoring killer whale occurrence and foraging ecology throughout the year
33 in northern Norway. This study presents results on site-fidelity of photographically identified
34 individuals, predation records and behavioral patterns from five spring seasons (March-April)
35 in 2014-2018 in Andfjord, northern Norway. A minimum number of 75 adult and subadult
36 killer whales (out of a catalogue of 971 individuals) returned seasonally to the study area for
37 foraging and residency for up to six weeks. Lumpfish (or lumpsucker, *Cyclopterus lumpus*)
38 was the only type of prey identified (based on molecular or visual identification) on 22
39 predation events from 2016 ($n=4$), 2017 ($n=2$) and 2018 ($n=16$). Spatial group cohesion
40 observed when foraging was a potential adaptation for efficiently hunting this prey species.
41 These whales were also encountered at herring wintering grounds the same years, but with
42 different group sizes. Such behavioral adaptations suggested intra-annual switching between
43 prey resources and foraging strategies.

44

45

46 Keywords

47 Killer whale, *Orcinus orca*, Foraging, Feeding behavior, Foraging strategy, Seasonality, Site-
48 fidelity

49

50

Introduction

The killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) is found in all the world's oceans and as a species is generalist, with a wide range of prey documented including fish, marine mammals, cephalopods, reptiles and seabirds (Ford 2009). However, populations have been shown to be prey specialists. For example, in the temperate coastal northeastern Pacific, killer whales feed exclusively on either marine mammals or fish (e.g., Baird and Dill 1995, Ford *et al.* 1998, Ford and Ellis 2006). Such prey specialization, including underlying foraging behavior and knowledge about particular prolific feeding areas, are culturally transmitted in the form of learned behavior within groups and persist over time (Ford *et al.* 1998, Riesch *et al.* 2012). Resulting ecological and cultural divergences among social groups promote the formation of sympatric socially and genetically isolated ecotypes (Ford and Ellis 2014, Foote *et al.* 2016). Divergent foraging strategies, by creating differences in occurrence and movement patterns as well as social organization, may further play a role in population structuring (Hoelzel *et al.* 2007, Morin *et al.* 2010, Parsons *et al.* 2013).

In the North Atlantic, two ecotypes of killer whales were proposed based on dietary specialization, Type 1 and Type 2, with nitrogen stable isotope values and tooth wear used as proxies to indicate key prey choice for each type (Foote *et al.* 2009, 2012). Type 1 refers to killer whales from Iceland, Norway, and the North Sea, which rely on the Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus*) and/or the Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) as their main food source(s). In contrast, Type 2 primarily feeds on other cetaceans. However, this simplistic classification seems unlikely to encapsulate the broad-scale diversity of the diet of North Atlantic killer whales. In fact, there is strong evidence for inter-individual variation in the

relative proportions of prey types consumed within Type 1 killer whales. For example, some may also prey on pinnipeds, as evidenced by field observations (Foote *et al.* 2010, Vongraven and Bisther 2014, Jourdain *et al.* 2017, Samarra *et al.* 2018). As such, different feeding specializations may exist within populations. Recent studies using ecological tracers were further supportive of inter-individual variation in dietary habits among regions (*e.g.*, Faroe Islands/Denmark vs Greenland; Bourque *et al.* 2018), within regions (Samarra *et al.* 2017), and within social groups (in Greenland, see Bourque *et al.* 2018). However, the lack of killer whale identification data and predation records for most regions has impeded our understanding of fine-scale seasonal and/or group-specific prey specializations so far. The potential occurrence of variable foraging strategies and dependence on main and alternative prey resources remains to be investigated further in the North Atlantic.

Between 1986 and 2005, Norwegian killer whale studies were mainly focused on wintering grounds of the Norwegian Spring Spawning (NSS) stock of the Atlantic herring. At that time these grounds were located in a fjord system (Vestfjord-Ofotfjord-Tysfjord) in the Lofoten region, northern Norway (68°13'N, 15°37'E). Research efforts were focused on photographic identification and investigation of feeding behavior, occurrence patterns, social ecology, and acoustics (Similä and Ugarte 1993, Similä *et al.* 1996, Similä 1997, Domenici *et al.* 2000, Van Parijs *et al.* 2004, Van Opzeeland *et al.* 2005). These studies highlighted killer whales as efficient herring predators using specialized feeding strategies (*e.g.*, Similä and Ugarte 1993). Photo-identification surveys conducted on both wintering and spawning grounds of the NSS herring, combined with satellite tracking of six individual whales tagged in 2000 and 2001, further suggested that some groups may follow the herring's movements for most of the year (Bisther and Vongraven 1995, Similä *et al.* 1996, Stenersen and Similä 2004), though effective herring-foraging offshore remained largely inconclusive. As a result,

and due to lack of evidence for additional prey items, herring has been regarded as the main prey for killer whales in Norwegian waters. This conclusion was further supported by a lack of intra-population variation in stable isotope values from skin samples collected from whales in the NSS wintering grounds (Foote *et al.* 2012).

However, focusing research efforts on seasonal herring grounds may have biased studies away from detecting other potentially significant prey species. In fact, recent studies conducted outside seasonal herring grounds documented predation on Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*, Vester and Hammerschmidt 2013), Atlantic mackerel (Nøttestad *et al.* 2014), harbor porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*, Cosentino 2015), and on pinnipeds (Vongraven and Bisther 2014, Jourdain *et al.* 2017), suggesting intra-population ecological variation. Combining sighting and predation records of individuals over time would help in assessing prey specializations of killer whales in this region.

Longitudinal photo-identification studies, as initiated by colleagues three decades ago, have been re-established in 2013 at current herring wintering (November-February) grounds (see Huse *et al.* 2010, Jourdain and Vongraven 2017). From mid-January to mid-February, the herring migrate to the main spawning area located 800-1,000 km south, off the central coast of Norway (Dragesund 1997), leading to the departure of the winter aggregating killer whales. Research efforts yet maintained throughout the rest of the year in the study area aimed at collecting photo-identification data and predation records.

Here, we report on a subset of known herring-feeding killer whales showing site-fidelity in a northern Norwegian fjord in spring (March-April), after the herring has departed, for the period 2014-2018. Behavior and predation records were collected over multiple years in order

to assess foraging as a predominant function for seasonal use of the area in spring, and to identify the target prey. Group cohesion was investigated with reference to the focal prey and group sizes were compared to winter observations for insights into behavioral adaptations and therefore, indication of seasonal prey switching and specialization for these whales.

Materials & Methods

Data collection

Data were collected in March - April of 2015 – 2018 east of Andøya (Andfjord, 69°19'N, 16°08'E; Fig. 1), in Vesterålen region, northern Norway. Because killer whale presence was not known to be regular in the study area at this specific time of year, surveys were largely opportunistic, and effort varied considerably across years. In 2015, surveys were always conducted in response to sightings being reported by locals. In the following years, and based on the 2015 sighting records, land-based watches searched for killer whales on good weather days, as did opportunistic boat surveys, in addition to relying on sighting reports.

Supplementary photographs of individual killer whales within the study area with associated date and time data were provided by local wildlife photographers for April-March of 2014-2017, and those of high enough quality were accepted for use in the analyses.

Surveys were conducted on a 20-foot-long aluminum research vessel in sea conditions of Beaufort scale 4 or less. We defined an encounter as a sighting of a group of killer whales. A group was defined as all individuals observed in apparent association, acting in a coordinated manner during the observation period and which were within the visual range of the observers (as per Baird and Dill 1995). For each encounter, the geographic position (GPS Garmin) was recorded and the whales were systematically photographed using a digital reflex camera and a

mounted lens of 70-200 mm in focal length. Predominant behavioral pattern, group size estimate and cohesion index (see below) were also recorded at five-minute intervals for the majority of surveys. Surveys terminated when the group was lost from sight, travelled away from the study site, or if light and/or sea conditions deteriorated.

Behavior was divided into five categories (adapted from Ford 1989): (1) *Foraging* – erratic swimming in varying directions, sudden lunges and high-speed swimming, presence of prey fragments and seabirds; (2) *Travelling* – consistent movement with all group members, in a tight group formation, following the same course at the same speed, while displaying synchronous dives and surfacings; (3) *Milling* – low speed movement with individuals engaged in frequent changes in direction leading to no directional movement by the group; (4) *Resting* – low level activity with individuals being stationary lined-up and floating motionless at the surface while displaying coordinated breathings; (5) *Socializing* – high energy activity in which individuals may physically interact with one another and/or perform aerial displays such as leaps, tail slaps, and spy-hops (defined as vertical half-rise of the body out of the water). Activities displayed by the majority of the whales was considered as the main behavioral pattern for the group.

Spatial cohesion of the group, often variable throughout encounters, was characterized using a cohesion index (CI) (adapted from Daura-Jorge *et al.* 2005). Within a group, individuals scattered over distances (visually assessed) greater than 200 m were classified as low cohesion (CI=1), individuals occupying a 60 to 200 m area were classified as intermediate cohesion (CI=2) and individuals aggregated within 50 m were classified as high cohesion (CI=3). Smaller sub-groups, often composed of adult female(s) with offspring, were

considered as part of the main group. Classifications were made by the same observer throughout the study, reducing potential observer-related bias.

From 2016 onwards, collection of tissue fragments from prey killed and consumed by killer whales was also attempted. Based on the methods developed by Ford *et al.* (1998), we used speed bursts, directional chases, fast and sharp turns, and head nodding-movements of surfacing whales along with scavenging seabirds as clues to feeding events. Upon detection of such events, the research vessel slowly approached the focal whale, stopped in the fluke print (glassy area visible at the surface as the whale dives and its tail creates an upwelling, as defined by Ford and Ellis 2006) and observers assessed potential presence of prey remains in the water column. Using a long-handled (3 m) fine-mesh net, one observer onboard collected any accessible prey fragments. Because collection was challenged by fast-sinking prey parts and common rough sea state, a professional free-diver assisted in collection of prey remains in April 2018. Upon collection, fragments were placed in a plastic bag and stored in a cooling box while in the field. Ashore, fragments were stored in 1.5 mL vials containing 95% ethanol at -20°C until analysis.

Whenever a focal whale was observed feeding at close range to the vessel, photographs were also taken in an attempt to visually-identify target prey species. An unmanned aircraft DJI Phantom IV carrying a DJI 1/2.3" CMOS camera was used on three days in 2018 to capture still images and video footage of prey capture events.

Data processing

Killer whales were individually identified based on location and number of notches in the leading and/or trailing edge of the dorsal fin, along with pigmentation pattern of and natural

markings occurring on the saddle patch (Bigg 1982). Only individuals displaying one or several unique features were considered as distinctive and effectively catalogued. Calves and other individuals lacking persistent identifying markings were excluded. Individuals with distinctly taller dorsal fins were classified as 'Male' (Olesiuk *et al.* 1990), adult female-sized individuals repeatedly seen with one calf or older offspring(s) swimming in echelon position throughout the study period were qualified as 'Female' and individuals for which sex was uncertain were qualified as 'Unknown'. Photographs were scored for quality ($0 < Q < 2$) based upon sharpness, contrast and angle. To minimize misidentification, only excellent quality (Q2) photographic records of distinctive catalogued killer whales were considered in this study. Both left and right-side identification photos were used for ID, but only whales catalogued from the left side were retained for analysis.

Data analysis

Sighting and behavioral patterns

To assess site-fidelity patterns, individual sighting records were compared across days and years. Despite sampling biases due to uneven effort throughout seasons and across years, we considered minimum residency to be informative of individuals' site-fidelity periods within seasons. Therefore, the number of days elapsed from the first to the last sighting within each season was calculated for each individual, as compared to the total length of survey period for each given season (as per Weinrich *et al.* 1997). Mean residency values were calculated across individuals per season, excluding individuals not seen, and averaged for the entire study period.

To investigate seasonal usage of the study area, relative predominance of observed behavioral states was calculated. Further seasonal behavioral characteristics, assumed to be

adaptive to the target prey, were tested as follows. A Chi-Squared test was used to test the relationship between behavior and cohesion index (CI). Due to low occurrence, records of resting and socializing behaviors were not included in the test. Records of group size collected for this study were compared to group sizes recorded at herring wintering grounds for these same whales in 2015-2018 (S1) using a Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test. Statistical tests were performed in R software (R Core Team 2016).

Molecular and visual prey identification

Molecular analyses were conducted on prey tissue samples for identification of target species. Total DNA from 11 samples was extracted from ethanol-preserved samples following standard procedures (Sambrook *et al.* 1989). A fragment of the cytochrome *c* oxidase I gene (COI) was PCR-amplified using the GoTaq® G2 Hot Start Colorless Master Mix (Promega) and the primers Pros1Fwd and Pros2Rev (Sparks and Smith 2004) with an annealing step at 46°C. Direct sequencing was carried out in both directions at the technical facilities of the Genotyping and Sequencing Platform of the ‘Institut des Sciences de l’Evolution de Montpellier’ (ISEM). Sequences were then aligned in MEGA v7 (Kumar *et al.* 2016) and blasted (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>) against sequences from the GenBank database (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>). Photographs and video footages of predation events were scanned for visual identification of prey species.

Results

Effort

From 2015 to 2018, 42 d at sea in March-April resulted in 123.2 h spent with killer whales and 14,170 photographs taken over 51 encounters from a dedicated research vessel.

Photographic contributions from wildlife photographers led to 11 additional encounter days for the period 2014-2017. Behavior and CI were recorded for 62 h from 48 encounters. Molecular ($n=11$) and photographic ($n=11$) evidence enabled positive identification of prey species for 22 predation events from 11 encounter days in 2016-2018. For the period 2014-2018, photographs resulted in 276 identifications of 75 distinctively marked individuals (Fig. 2). The number of identified individuals per season varied from a minimum of six (in 2014) to a maximum of 42 (in 2018), with a mean of 27 (standard deviation $SD=13.3$). Poor weather conditions in 2015 and 2017 resulted in lower numbers of encounter days and identifications for these years. Sampling effort is summarized in Table 1.

Characterization of individual killer whales

The 75 identified individuals represent 7.7% of the 971 killer whales identified in the study area (Jourdain and Karoliussen 2018). Of these, 34 (45.3%) were adult males, 21 (28%) were adult females and 20 (26.7%) were of unknown sex; 61 (81.3%) were encountered at herring wintering grounds on one or multiple years between 2013 and 2018 (unpublished data). Social associations appeared highly variable across encounters, and thus we considered individuals independently. Plotting the cumulative number of newly identified individuals in the period March-April from 2014 to 2018 revealed a steadily decreasing identification rate, suggesting that most distinctive whales using Andfjord in spring had been identified during the study (Fig. 2).

Sighting frequencies

Of 75 individuals, 20 (26.7%) were sighted only once, while 55 (73.3%) were sighted during two or more encounters in March-April from 2014 to 2018. Of these, 40 were seen in multiple years (range=2-4; Fig. 3). Averaging all five spring seasons, individuals were sighted

during a mean of 1.8 seasons (SD=0.9; range=1-4) and on 3.7 d (SD=2.7; range=1-12). For individuals sighted on a minimum of two encounter days within each spring season (Fig. 3), mean (minimum) residency varied from 8.3 d (in 2015) to 16 d (in 2018) leading to a mean of 11.3 d (SD=6.2; range=2-39; Table 2) throughout the study period.

Behavioral patterns

Foraging (70.3%) and traveling (23.9%) represented the most frequently observed behavioral states, while milling, resting, and socializing accounted for less than 6% of the observations. Group cohesion varied with behavior ($X^2=3,940$; $df=4$; $P<0.001$). Individuals adopted a tighter group formation when travelling as opposed to scattered over several hundred meters and up to 1 km when foraging. Groups encountered were significantly smaller (Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon: $W=297$, $P=0.001$) in spring (median=8, range=1–30, $n=49$) than in winter (median=14, range=1-40, $n=23$; Fig. 4).

Prey identification

Prey fragments from all 11 predation events sampled were identified to be from lumpfish (*Cyclopterus lumpus*; Table 3). The alignment of the COI fragment was 587 nucleotides long. From the 11 sequences obtained for molecular identification, all BLAST queries resulted in the identification of the lumpfish with 99% to 100% of similarity with the sequence JN311799 (Knebelsberger *et al.* 2014). The new sequences were deposited in GenBank under the accession numbers MF688049 and MH922998-MH923009.

Photo and aerial video material enabled unambiguous identification of the lumpfish as the consumed prey for seven and four additional predation events, respectively (Fig. 5, Table 3). Thirteen individual killer whales were confirmed feeding on lumpfish from these 22 predation events, of which five were adult males, three were adult females and five were of unknown

sex including three sub-adults (Table 3). Five individuals (NKW-0004, NKW-0572, NKW-0712, NKW-0715 and Y093) fed on lumpfish on multiple occasions (range=2-4) during the same encounter. Adult male NKW-0004 was further confirmed to be feeding on lumpfish over two different days, five days apart, in April 2018.

Discussion

We have shown that a subset of killer whales seasonally visited Andfjord in March-April of 2014-2018 and remained in the area for several days and up to several weeks. Most of these whales were also encountered at herring wintering grounds in the same years. This further supports the idea that killer whales make adaptive seasonal movements in response to spatial and temporal changes in prey availability in this region (Similä *et al.* 1996). Marked seasonality and predominance of foraging in spring suggests the use of a seasonally reliable food source. All 22 predation events where the prey was identified revealed lumpfish to be the target prey species in March and April.

The lumpfish is widely distributed throughout the Norwegian Sea (Holst 1993). It remains offshore often at abyssal depths most of the year and migrates to coastal breeding areas from April to July (Davenport 1985). Due to dorsal muscles allowing only limited locomotory abilities (Davenport and Kjørsvik 1986), the lumpfish travels at low swimming speeds (*i.e.*, 0.72 km/h in Mitamura *et al.* 2012). Spawning male and female lumpfish are about 28-30 and 40-45 cm in length, respectively (Albert *et al.* 2002). Spent females may complete spawning over a period of a few weeks and then return to the open ocean, or alternatively may visit adjacent fjords to lay eggs at multiple locations (Goulet *et al.* 1986, Mitamura *et al.* 2012). Males then guard the nest until the eggs hatch six to 10 weeks later

(Davenport 1985). These movement patterns suggest seasonal increased availability of the lumpfish nearshore in spring, as supported by exclusive seasonal fishery from April through mid-June for this species (Bertelsen 1994).

To the best of our knowledge, the lumpfish has not hitherto been reported as a prey species of Norwegian killer whales, despite previous analysis of 95 stomach contents (Christensen 1982) and observational studies (*e.g.*, Similä and Ugarte 1993, Similä *et al.* 1996). However, the lumpfish was listed as prey of killer whales off West Greenland where stomachs of 30 harvested killer whales contained only lumpfish (Laidre *et al.* 2006), and off Iceland from sporadic predation records (Samarra *et al.* 2018). Our results list the lumpfish as prey for killer whales in an additional region of the North Atlantic. Lumpfish repeatedly recorded as prey within single season ($n=16$ records in 2018) and across years (2016-2018; Fig. 5, Table 3) in this study suggests consistency of this seasonal dietary pattern, likely in response to the increased availability of lumpfish when migrating horizontally towards spawning areas.

In spring, not only were encountered killer whales and predation records consistent across seasons, but observed foraging behavior was in striking contrast to cooperative herring feeding strategies observed from November to February in the same region (Jourdain and Vongraven 2017). When feeding on herring, killer whales aggregate in a tight group formation for coordinated school-herding, prior to slapping the fish with the underside of their flukes and then individually consuming stunned fish (Similä and Ugarte 1993, Similä 1997). Similar hunting behavior of killer whales feeding on schooling mackerel in the Norwegian Sea in July-August has also been observed (Nøttestad *et al.* 2014). In contrast, killer whales foraging on solitary lumpfish (Davenport 1985) were spread over several hundred meters and

aerial footage supported individual rather than group-based foraging. This behavior appears more similar to that of killer whales feeding on loose patches of Atlantic salmon in the Lofoten region, Norway (Vester and Hammerschmidt 2013). This is in further support of killer whales adapting feeding strategies to behavioral traits of target prey (*e.g.*, Similä and Ugarte 1993, Baird and Dill 1995, Samarra and Miller 2015). Lumpfish-feeding killer whales also adopted smaller group sizes in spring than when observed at herring wintering grounds (Fig. 4). By maximizing energetic gain, smaller groups could be adaptive to foraging on a scattered prey resource as opposed to highly concentrated wintering herring where a more cooperative foraging strategy is required (Nøttestad and Axelsen 1999, Nøttestad *et al.* 2002). Our results bring the first robust evidence, featuring individual records of site-fidelity, behavior and predation over several years, for seasonal adaptation and switching between alternative prey resources in Norwegian waters.

The NSS herring is found in most dense aggregations on its wintering grounds, compared to lower densities due to looser schools on spawning and feeding grounds (Nøttestad *et al.* 1996). The NSS herring is also subject to important changes in fat content throughout its life cycle with the highest rate of energy depletion occurring during the spawning migration. As such, herring fat content is about 22% when entering the wintering area in September and is reduced to eight to 10% after spawning in February-April (Slotte 1999). As herring both loses fat content and becomes more dispersed in spring, lumpfish with fat content ranging from >8% for gravid females to 20% for males (Davenport and Kjørsvik 1986) enters the coastal waters to spawn. Some killer whale groups may have diversified their diet and be able to switch to foraging on this alternative, seasonally abundant and apparently relatively nutritious, prey.

Our results showed that at least 75 different killer whales have adapted to the seasonality of spawning lumpfish in Andfjord, returning year after year for temporary residence and foraging (Fig. 2 and 3, Table 2). This represents 7.7 % of the total number of marked individuals identified in the study area between 2007 and 2018 (Jourdain and Karoliussen 2018). This number should be considered as a baseline minimum since it does not include unmarked individuals and calves also observed feeding on lumpfish during this study. Additionally, because this study was entirely based on opportunistic efforts and covered a relatively small area, and because killer whales may temporarily travel away from feeding sites or display group-specific preferences in habitat use, individuals or entire groups may have been undetected (Kuningas *et al.* 2014). While the lumpfish is a common species along the Norwegian coast with spawning grounds found in multiple regions (Davenport 1985, Holst 1993) it could very well be part of the diet of killer whales elsewhere and this feeding behavior could be important at the population level.

Although the NSS herring has long been assumed to be the main prey of killer whales in Norway, recent year-round data collection efforts uncovered persistent dietary specializations on pinnipeds (Jourdain *et al.* 2017) and on lumpfish (present study) in northern Norway. This highlights the likelihood that killer whale research conducted on a seasonal basis can miss seasonal specialized feeding behaviors and underestimating important prey resources. Our results further emphasize the importance of individual-focused studies, allowing for the detection of inter-individual variations in dietary habits and prey specializations.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by grants from the Sea World and Busch Gardens Conservation fund and from Sea World and Parks Entertainment. CT thanks the team of the Genotyping and Sequencing platform shared with the LabEx CeMEB (Centre Méditerranéen de l'Environnement et de la Biodiversité, Montpellier, France) for their technical help. Photographs contributed by Northern Explorers, Marten Bril (Sea Safari Andenes AS), Camilla Ilmoni, Helge Hellesund and Daniele Zanoni (Whale Safari AS) enabled identifications of individuals that were included in this study. We thank Dag Vongraven for mapping the study area. Comments and suggestions from three anonymous reviewers substantially improved the manuscript.

Literature cited

- Albert, O. T., E. Torstensen, B. Bertelsen, S. T. Jonsson, I. H. Pettersen and J. C. Holst. 2002. Age-reading of lumpsucker (*Cyclopterus lumpus*) otoliths: dissection, interpretation and comparison with length frequencies. *Fisheries Research* 55:239-252.
- Baird, R. W. and L. M. Dill. 1995. Occurrence and behaviour of transient killer whales: seasonal and pod-specific variability, foraging behaviour, and prey handling. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 73:1300-1311.
- Bertelsen, B. 1994. Bestandsutvikling og bestandsstruktur hos rognkjeks og rognkall (*Cyclopterus lumpus* L.) i Sifjorden i Troms, og maskeseleksjon og fangsteffektivitet ved garn- og rusefiske etter arten. Fiskerikandidatoppgave, The Norwegian College of Fishery Science, University of Tromsø, 89 pp.
- Bigg, M. 1982. An assessment of killer whale *Orcinus orca* stocks off Vancouver Island, British Columbia. *Report of the International Whaling Commission* 32:655-666.

423 Bisther, A. and D. Vongraven. 1995. Studies of social ecology of Norwegian killer whales
424 (*Orcinus orca*). Pages 169-176 in A. S. Blix, L. Walløe and Ø. Ulltang eds. Whales,
425 seals, fish and man. Elsevier Science.

426 Bourque, J., R. Dietz, C. Sonne, *et al.* 2018. Feeding habits of a new Arctic predator: insight
427 from full-depth blubber fatty acid signatures of Greenland, Faroe Islands, Denmark,
428 and managed-care killer whales *Orcinus orca*. Marine Ecology Progress Series 603:1-
429 12.

430 Christensen, I. 1982. Killer whales in Norwegian coastal waters. Report of the International
431 Whaling Commission 32:633-641.

432 Cosentino, A. M. 2015. First record of Norwegian killer whales attacking and feeding on a
433 harbour porpoise. Marine Biodiversity Records 8.

434 Daura-Jorge, F. G., L. L. Wedekin, V. D. Q. Piacentini and P. C. Simões-Lopes. 2005.
435 Seasonal and daily patterns of group size, cohesion and activity of the estuarine
436 dolphin, *Sotalia guianensis* (P.J. van Bénédén) (*Cetacea, Delphinidae*), in southern
437 Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Zoologia 22:1014-1021.

438 Davenport, J. 1985. Synopsis of biological data on the lumpsucker, *Cyclopterus lumpus*
439 (Linnaeus, 1758). Food & Agriculture Org.

440 Davenport, J. and E. Kjørsvik. 1986. Buoyancy in the lumpsucker *Cyclopterus lumpus*.
441 Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK 66:159-174.

442 Domenici, P., R. S. Batty, T. Similä and E. Ogam. 2000. Killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) feeding
443 on schooling herring (*Clupea Harengus*) using underwater tail-slaps: kinematic
444 analyses of field observations. Journal of Experimental Biology 203:283-294.

445 Dragesund, O., Johannessen, A., & Ulltang, Ø. 1997. Variation in migration and abundance of
446 Norwegian Spring Spawning herring (*Clupea harengus* L.). Sarsia, 82:97-105.

447 Foote, A. D., J. Newton, S. B. Piertney, E. Willerslev and M. T. P. Gilbert. 2009. Ecological,
 448 morphological and genetic divergence of sympatric North Atlantic killer whale
 449 populations. *Molecular Ecology* 18:5207-5217.

450 Foote, A. D., T. Similä, G. Vikingsson and P. T. Stevick. 2010. Movement, site fidelity and
 451 connectivity in a top marine predator, the killer whale. *Evolutionary Ecology* 24:803-
 452 814.

453 Foote, A. D., H. Vester, G. A. Vikingsson and J. Newton. 2012. Dietary variation within and
 454 between populations of Northeast Atlantic killer whales, *Orcinus orca*, inferred from
 455 $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analyses. *Marine Mammal Science* 28:E472-E485.

456 Foote, A. D., N. Vijay, M. C. Avila-Arcos, *et al.* 2016. Genome-culture coevolution promotes
 457 rapid divergence of killer whale ecotypes. *Nature Communications* 7.

458 Ford, J. K. B. 1989. Acoustic behavior of resident killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) off Vancouver
 459 Island, British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 67:727-745.

460 Ford, J. K. B. 2009. Killer whales *Orcinus orca*. Pages 650-657 in W. F. Perrin, B. Würsig
 461 and J. G. M. Thewissen eds. *The encyclopedia of marine mammals*. Academic Press,
 462 San Diego, USA.

463 Ford, J. K. B. and G. M. Ellis. 2006. Selective foraging by fish-eating killer whales *Orcinus*
 464 *orca* in British Columbia. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 316:185-199.

465 Ford, J. K. B. and G. M. Ellis. 2014. You are what you eat: foraging specializations and their
 466 influence on the social organization and behavior of killer whales. Pages 75-98 in J.
 467 Yamagiwa and L. Karczmarski eds. *Primates and cetaceans: field research and*
 468 *conservation of complex mammalian societies*. Springer.

469 Ford, J. K. B., G. M. Ellis, L. G. Barrett-Lennard, A. B. Morton, R. S. Palm and K. C.
 470 Balcomb. 1998. Dietary specialization in two sympatric populations of killer whales

471 (*Orcinus orca*) in Coastal British Columbia and adjacent waters. Canadian Journal of
472 Zoology 76:1456-1471.

473 Goulet, D., J. M. Green and T. H. Shears. 1986. Courtship, spawning, and parental care
474 behavior of the lumpfish, *Cyclopterus lumpus* L., in Newfoundland. Canadian Journal
475 of Zoology 64:1320-1325.

476 Hoelzel, A. R., J. Hey, M. E. Dahlheim, C. Nicholson, V. Burkanov and N. Black. 2007.
477 Evolution of population structure in a highly social top predator, the killer whale.
478 Molecular Biology and Evolution 24:1407-1415.

479 Holst, J. C. 1993. Observations on the distribution of lumpsucker (*Cyclopterus Lumpus*, L.) in
480 the Norwegian Sea. Fisheries Research 17:369-372.

481 Huse, G., A. Fernö and J. C. Holst. 2010. Establishment of new wintering areas in herring co-
482 occurs with peaks in the 'first time/repeat spawner' ratio. Marine Ecology Progress
483 Series 409:189-198.

484 Jourdain, E. and R. Karoliussen. 2018. Identification catalogue of Norwegian killer whales:
485 2007-2018. doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.4205226.

486 Jourdain, E. and D. Vongraven. 2017. Humpback whale (*Megaptera Novaeangliae*) and killer
487 whale (*Orcinus orca*) feeding aggregations for foraging on herring (*Clupea harengus*)
488 in Northern Norway. Mammalian Biology - Zeitschrift fur Saugetierkunde 86:27-32.

489 Jourdain, E., D. Vongraven, A. Bisther and R. Karoliussen. 2017. First longitudinal study of
490 seal-feeding killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in Norwegian coastal waters. Plos One
491 12:e0180099.

492 Knebelsberger, T., M. Landi, H. Neumann, *et al.* 2014. A reliable DNA barcode reference
493 library for the identification of the North European shelf fish fauna. Molecular
494 Ecology Resources 14:1060-1071.

495 Kumar, S., G. Stecher and K. Tamura. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics
 496 analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33:1870-
 497 1874.

498 Kuningas, S., T. Similä and P. S. Hammond. 2014. Population size, survival and reproductive
 499 rates of Northern Norwegian killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in 1986-2003. *Journal of the*
 500 *Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 94:1277-1291.

501 Laidre, K. L., M. P. Heide-Jorgensen and J. R. Orr. 2006. Reactions of narwhals, *Monodon*
 502 *monoceros*, to killer whale, *Orcinus orca*, attacks in the Eastern Canadian Arctic.
 503 *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 120:457-465.

504 Mitamura, H., E. B. Thorstad, I. Uglem, *et al.* 2012. Movements of lump sucker females in a
 505 Northern Norwegian fjord during the spawning season. *Environmental Biology of*
 506 *Fishes* 93:475-481.

507 Morin, P. A., F. I. Archer, A. D. Foote, *et al.* 2010. Complete mitochondrial genome
 508 phylogeographic analysis of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) indicates multiple species.
 509 *Genome Research* 20:908-916.

510 Nøttestad, L., M. Aksland, A. Beltestad, A. Fernö, A. Johannessen and O. Arve Misund.
 511 1996. Schooling dynamics of Norwegian Spring Spawning herring (*Clupea harengus*
 512 *L.*) in a coastal spawning area. *Sarsia* 80:277-284.

513 Nøttestad, L. and B. E. Axelsen. 1999. Herring schooling manoeuvres in response to killer
 514 whale attacks. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 77:1540-1546.

515 Nøttestad, L., A. Ferno and B. E. Axelsen. 2002. Digging in the deep: killer whales' advanced
 516 hunting tactic. *Polar Biology* 25:939-941.

517 Nøttestad, L., L. D. Sivle, B. A. Krafft, *et al.* 2014. Prey selection of offshore killer whales
 518 *Orcinus orca* in the Northeast Atlantic in late summer: spatial associations with
 519 mackerel. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 499:275-283.

520 Olesiuk, P. F., M. A. Bigg and G. M. Ellis. 1990. Life history and population dynamics of
 521 resident killer whales *Orcinus orca* in the coastal waters of British Columbia and
 522 Washington State. Report of the International Whaling Commission 12:209-243.
 523 Parsons, K. M., J. W. Durban, A. M. Burdin, *et al.* 2013. Geographic patterns of genetic
 524 differentiation among killer whales in the Northern North Pacific. Journal of Heredity
 525 104:737-754.
 526 R Core Team. 2016. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R
 527 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <http://www.R-project.org>.
 528 Riesch, R., L. G. Barrett-Lennard, G. M. Ellis, J. K. B. Ford and V. B. Deecke. 2012. Cultural
 529 traditions and the evolution of reproductive isolation: ecological speciation in killer
 530 whales? Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 106:1-17.
 531 Samarra, F. I. P., M. Bassoi, J. Beesau, *et al.* 2018. Prey of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) in
 532 Iceland. Plos One 13:e0207287.
 533 Samarra, F. I. P. and P. J. O. Miller. 2015. Prey-induced behavioural plasticity of herring-
 534 eating killer whales. Marine Biology 162:809-821.
 535 Samarra, F. I. P., M. Vighi, A. Aguilar and G. A. Vikingsson. 2017. Intra-population variation
 536 in isotopic niche in herring-eating killer whales off Iceland. Marine Ecology Progress
 537 Series 564:199-210.
 538 Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual.
 539 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New York.
 540 Similä, T. 1997. Sonar observations of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) feeding on herring
 541 schools. Aquatic Mammals 23:119-126.
 542 Similä, T., J. C. Holst and I. Christensen. 1996. Occurrence and diet of killer whales in
 543 Northern Norway: seasonal patterns relative to the distribution and abundance of

544 Norwegian Spring-Spawning Herring. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic
 545 Sciences 53:769-779.

546 Similä, T. and F. Ugarte. 1993. Surface and underwater observations of cooperatively feeding
 547 killer whales in Northern Norway. Canadian Journal of Zoology 71:1494-1499.

548 Slotte, A. 1999. Differential utilisation of energy during wintering and spawning migration in
 549 Norwegian Spring-Spawning Herring. Journal of Fish Biology 54:338-355.

550 Sparks, J. S. and W. L. Smith. 2004. Phylogeny and biogeography of cichlid fishes (Teleostei:
 551 Perciformes: Cichlidae). Cladistics 20:501-517.

552 Stenersen, J. and T. Similä. 2004. Norwegian Killer Whales. Tringa forlag.

553 Van Opzeeland, I. C., P. J. Corkeron, T. Leyssen, T. Similä and S. M. Van Parijs. 2005.
 554 Acoustic behaviour of Norwegian killer whales, *Orcinus orca*, during carousel and
 555 seiner foraging on Spring-Spawning Herring. Aquatic Mammals 31:110-119.

556 Van Parijs, S. M., T. Leyssen and T. Similä. 2004. Sounds produced by Norwegian killer
 557 whales, *Orcinus orca*, during capture. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America
 558 116:557-560.

559 Vester, H. and K. Hammerschmidt. 2013. First record of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*) feeding
 560 on Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) in Northern Norway suggest a multi-prey feeding
 561 type. Marine Biodiversity Records 6:1-5.

562 Vongraven, D. and A. Bisther. 2014. Prey switching by killer whales in the North-East
 563 Atlantic: observational evidence and experimental insights. Journal of the Marine
 564 Biological Association of the United Kingdom 94:1357-1365.

565 Weinrich, M., M. Martin, R. Griffiths, J. Bove and M. Schilling. 1997. A shift in distribution
 566 of humpback whales, *Megaptera novaeangliae*, in response to prey in the southern
 567 Gulf of Maine. Fishery Bulletin 95:826-836.

568

569

570 Figure 1. Location of the study area in northern Norway. Box A corresponds to Andfjord
571 where the data presented in this study were collected. Box B locates the wintering herring
572 grounds where the whales identified in this study were encountered in November-February in
573 2015-2018 while feeding on herring. Spatial distribution of killer whale encounters during
574 March-April in 2014-2018, with corresponding behavioral patterns are plotted. Depth
575 contours (m), as well as GPS tracks (blue plots) of the opportunistic surveys conducted in
576 2018 are also shown.

577

578 Figure 2. Cumulative number of identified individual killer whales in Andfjord in March-
579 April plotted against cumulative identifications. Each data point represents a survey. The 1:1
580 plot is shown for reference. Dashed lines separate the five calendar years (2014-2018).

581

582 Figure 3. (A) Distribution of individual sighting frequencies within season (March-April),
583 averaged over the entire study period 2014-2018; (B) Distribution of individual sighting
584 frequencies across years, *i.e.* number of seasons (March-April) in which individuals were
585 photographed from 2014 to 2018.

586

587 Figure 4. Distribution of group size adopted by the lumpfish-feeding killer whales when
588 encountered at the lumpfish spawning grounds ($n=49$) vs herring wintering grounds ($n=23$) in
589 2015-2018. Data distribution is displayed as second and third quartiles (box plot), median
590 (vertical crossing line) and mean (star symbol) values for spring and winter seasons.

591

592 Figure 5. Sample of photographs used as evidence supportive of killer whale predation on
593 lumpfish in Andfjord. All photos were taken in April 2016-2018; (A) Lumpfish observed

below the surface prior to capture and consumption by adult male killer whale NKW-0572;
(B) Adult male killer whale NKW-0712 catching a lumpfish; (C) Adult female-sized killer
whale feeding on the carcass of a lumpfish; (D) A subadult killer whale consumed the inner
part of a lumpfish before discarding the carcass.

617 Table 1. Sampling effort for the period March-April in 2014-2018 in Andfjord.

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Total
<i>Dedicated effort by researchers</i>						
Effort days	0	7	11	6	20	44
Encounter days	0	7	11	4	20	42
Killer whale encounters	0	7	15	4	25	51
Hours spent with whales	0	11.7	22.8	8.1	80.6	123.2
Hours of behavioral sampling	0	6.0	13.7	4.3	38.0	62.0
Photographs taken	0	2,480	5,080	990	5,620	14,170
Predation events for which prey remains were collected	0	0	2	0	9	11
Predation events for which prey were visually identified	0	0	2	2	7	11
<i>Additionnal photographic contributions</i>						
Encounter days	1	0	3	7	0	11
Photographs provided	300	0	127	1280	0	1707
<i>Total</i>						
Encounter days	1	7	14	11	20	53

Identifications	6	41	70	40	119	276
Distinct individuals	6	29	33	25	42	-

Table 2. Summary of the parameters used to assess minimum residency of killer whales in Andfjord during March-April in 2014–2018.

Calculated residency should be considered as minimum values due to opportunistic data collection.

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Number of encounter days	1	7	14	11	20
First-to-last encounter period (d)	-	19	32	42	44
Individuals resighted	-	9	21	8	26
Residency mean $\pm$ SD (min–max)	-	8.3 $\pm$ 3.7 (2-14)	10.6 $\pm$ 4.8 (3-20)	10.3 $\pm$ 6.2 (4-16)	16 $\pm$ 10.3 (4-39)

627 Table 3. Summary of predation events for which evidence was collected for identification of the target prey. All resulted in identification of the
628 lumpfish as prey species.

629

Events	Season (day/month)	Evidence	ID
1, 2	2016 (30/4)	Prey fragments	NKW-0712
3, 4	2016 (30/4)	Photographs	NKW-0712
5, 6	2017 (12/4)	Photograph	NKW-0572
7	2018 (5/4)	Prey fragments	NKW-0004
8-10	2018 (9/4)	Prey fragments	NKW-0004
11	2018 (9/4)	Photographs	NKW-0912
12	2018 (11/4)	Photographs	NKW-0079
13	2018 (12/4)	Prey fragments	NKW-0910
14	2018 (13/4)	Aerial footage	Unidentified sub-adult
15	2018 (13/4)	Aerial footage	NKW-0998
16	2018 (14/4)	Aerial footage	NKW-0908
17	2018 (15/4)	Aerial footage	Unidentified sub-adult

18, 19	2018 (22/4)	Prey fragments	NKW-0715
20	2018 (22/4)	Prey fragments	NKW-0716
21	2018 (24/4)	Prey fragments	Y093
22	2018 (24/4)	Photographs	Y093

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

640

641 Figure 4

642