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ABSTRACT

The interface formation and energy band alignment at interfaces between polycrystalline BiVO$_4$ and high work function RuO$_2$ and low work function Sn-doped In$_2$O$_3$ (ITO) has been studied using photoelectron spectroscopy with in-situ thin film deposition of the contact materials. The Schottky barrier heights for both contact films differ by 0.85 eV, which is smaller than the difference in work function and the differences observed for other semiconducting oxides, indicating a partial Fermi level pinning. Based on the present results and the comparison with other photoelectrochemically active oxides, the differences of band alignment obtained from solid/electrolyte and from solid/solid interfaces, which can exhibit substantial differences, are discussed.
1. INTRODUCTION

A transition from fossil to renewable energy sources is highly needed to reduce the impact of the carbon dioxide emissions on the Earth’s climate. In this regard, hydrogen can play a crucial role as renewable energy carrier and chemical reactant. A sustainable way to produce hydrogen is through water splitting, which can be achieved either by a combination of photovoltaics and subsequent water electrolysis or through direct photoelectrosynthetic processes. A direct photo(electro)chemical system would allow easy use of solar heat to enhance the electrochemical reactions and may offer cost benefits compared to a combined photovoltaic-electrolysis system.\(^1\) However, up until now, no material combination has been found that fulfills all prerequisites for efficient and stable photo(electro)chemical hydrogen production.\(^2\)

The photochemical production of hydrogen by titanium dioxide (TiO\(_2\)) was first demonstrated more than 45 years ago.\(^3\) Since then, more than 130 compounds have been identified as catalysts for photo(electro)chemical oxygen evolution, hydrogen evolution or overall water splitting.\(^4\) Mostly wide band-gap semiconductors such as TiO\(_2\) and zinc oxide (ZnO) have been studied for water splitting. However, the wide band-gap of these semiconductors only allows to absorb a minor fraction of the solar spectrum, which limits the efficiency according to the Shockley-Queisser limit.\(^5\) Several alternatives with reduced band-gaps have been proposed, i.e. iron oxide (Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), 2.2 eV), cuprous oxide (Cu\(_2\)O, 2.0 eV) and bismuth vanadate (BiVO\(_4\), 2.4 eV), which, however, do not reach sufficient photovoltage for water splitting, so that sacrificial agents or a bias have to be applied.\(^6\) BiVO\(_4\) is promising due to its relatively good stability and its reduced band-gap, allowing to reach a theoretical solar to hydrogen efficiency of 9.2 %.\(^7\) In a photoelectrochemical setup BiVO\(_4\) could be used as a photoanode for oxygen evolution in the water splitting process. However, BiVO\(_4\) based devices should be carefully structured to
overcome the inherent slow reaction kinetics and inefficient charge carrier separation of BiVO$_4$. The structuring strategies that have been investigated are nanostructuring, co-catalyst deposition and creation of p-n junctions.$^{8-12}$ Combining these strategies with the incorporation of hexavalent impurities have allowed to achieve efficiencies approaching the theoretical efficiency of BiVO$_4$ based devices, which require PV cells for unbiased water splitting.$^7,13$ Current research efforts are focused on determining and quantifying the beneficial effects of heterostructuring to figure out whether surface passivation, improved reaction kinetics or enhanced charge carrier collection has the strongest influence on the efficiency of BiVO$_4$. $^{14,15}$

The beneficial role of heterostructures is related to the particular alignment of the energy bands at the interface. This can be estimated from the band positions before contact,$^{16,17}$ which neglects the actual surface and interface structures and the possibility of Fermi level pinning, however. A more reliable approach consists in determining the band alignment and phenomena such as Fermi level pinning experimentally. However, such experiments are only performed rarely and mostly interface phenomena are just derived from the band positions before contact. The band positions before contact are commonly probed through (electrochemical) flat band potential measurements, whereby the Mott-Schottky analysis is used most often.$^{18-23}$ The energy bands are then aligned with respect to the standard H$^+$/H$_2$ redox potential.$^{24,25}$ From such studies, the conduction band of BiVO$_4$ has been found to lie about 0.1 eV below the hydrogen reduction potential.$^{26}$ The alignment at the interface between two materials is then obtained by subtracting the energy level alignment with respect to the hydrogen evolution potential. This is not a direct measurement but assumes that band alignments are transitive. Up to now, this hypothesis has not been demonstrated yet for electrochemical alignments and has been proven to not be valid for
classical semiconductors. Additionally, the Mott-Schottky approach is based on several assumptions which are not always met experimentally.

To obtain direct information on heterojunctions and the transitivity of band alignment, the heterostructure formation has to be studied directly, i.e. in situ. This can be done through a combination of thin film deposition and photoelectron spectroscopy.

In this report, this approach was used to analyze the interfaces of BiVO₄ with tin-doped indium oxide (Sn-doped In₂O₃, ITO) and ruthenium(IV) oxide (RuO₂). The two contact materials were selected due to their strong difference in work function and their ability to form non-reactive interfaces with oxides. Additionally, RuO₂ has been identified as one of the most efficient stand-alone electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution reaction. For BiVO₄, RuO₂ has been successfully used as a co-catalyst for the thiophene photooxidation and water oxidation in a Z-scheme system. ITO on the other side is a transparent conductive oxide since it has a high conductivity combined with a high optical transparency. Because of these properties it can be implemented as a transparent electrode in photovoltaic devices or photoelectrochemical cells. In BiVO₄ photoanodes both ITO and fluorine-doped SnO₂ (FTO) have been used as back contacts.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Synthesis of BiVO₄ thin films and Mo:BiVO₄ single crystals

The polycrystalline BiVO₄ thin films were prepared by reactive magnetron sputtering using a home-built magnetron sputtering system equipped with a load lock. A co-sputtering setup was used with two separate 2 inches diameter metallic Bi (99.9 %) and V (99.99 %) targets, inclined by 30° to each other and focused onto a soda lime glass substrate with a size of 22 x 22 mm². The glass substrates were coated with a highly conductive and transparent SnO₂:F film, which
served as back contact during the XPS measurements. The sputtering atmosphere was an Ar/O\textsubscript{2} gas mixture (20 % O\textsubscript{2}) at a total sputtering pressure of 1 Pa. The DC power at the Bi target was about 20-30 W, while the DC power at the V target was 450 W. While the V-target-to-substrate distance was 6 cm, the Bi-target-to-substrate distance was 12 cm. Since no rotation stage was used during sputtering, every sample contained a Bi/V compositional gradient along the sample width. After sputtering, the films were annealed in a muffle furnace at 500 °C in air for 2 hours, using a temperature ramp of 10 K/min.

A molybdenum doped BiVO\textsubscript{4} single crystal (Mo:BiVO\textsubscript{4}) with an exposed (010) surface was used as a reference for XPS and Raman measurements. The single crystal was grown through the Czochralski method with RF induction heating and automatic control of the crystal diameter.$^{42}$ The amount of molybdenum oxide in the crucible was adjusted to reach a 1% substitution of vanadium.

Raman spectra were recorded with a Raman spectrometer (LabRAM HR) using an Argon laser (488nm) as excitation source with a laser power on the sample of approximately 10mW and a 10x objective generating a spot size of approximately 2µm.

2.2. Interface formation and analysis

Surface analysis and interface experiments were performed at the Darmstadt Integrated System for Materials research (DAISY-MAT).$^{31,43}$ This setup is a combination of several thin film deposition chambers, including ALD, CVD and sputtering, with a Physical Electronics PHI 5700 multi-technique surface analysis system, used for recording Ultraviolet (UPS) and X-ray (XPS) photoelectron spectra. Transferring the samples between the different compartments is possible without breaking the ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) conditions, avoiding contamination in between deposition and analysis. XPS measurements were performed using Al K\textalpha radiation with an
energy resolution of approximately 400meV, determined from the Gaussian broadening of the Fermi edge of a sputter cleaned Ag sample. The Fermi edge of the silver sample was also used to calibrate the binding energies of all measured samples. Background subtraction was performed with a Tougaard function for the Bi 4f peaks and Shirley functions for V 2p\textsubscript{3/2} and O 1s peaks. To determine the V/Bi surface ratio with XPS, peak areas of the Bi 4f and V 2p\textsubscript{3/2} background corrected peaks were integrated and then corrected using machine specific sensitivity factors.\textsuperscript{44} Ultraviolet photoelectron spectra were measured using He I radiation.

To study the band alignment of BiVO\textsubscript{4} in contact with a specific compound, the following interface experiments were performed. First, the BiVO\textsubscript{4} substrates were cleaned with acetone in an ultrasonic bath for 15 minutes, then rinsed with ethanol and water and subsequently dried using compressed air. The substrates were then entered through a load lock into the DAISY-MAT. Remaining organic compounds were removed from the substrate surface with an oxygen plasma treatment of 15 minutes. Then the interface experiments were performed, whereby the target compound was stepwise sputtered onto the BiVO\textsubscript{4} substrate, which was held at room temperature during deposition. After each deposition step, core level binding energies of BiVO\textsubscript{4} and the sputtered compound were measured through XPS. Additionally, UPS was performed on the BiVO\textsubscript{4} substrate and on the deposited film to measure the secondary electron cutoff, which was used to obtain the work functions of both materials. The deposition parameters of the investigated contact materials, RuO\textsubscript{2} and ITO, can be found in Table 1. RuO\textsubscript{2} was reactively sputtered from a metallic Ru target and ITO was sputtered from a ceramic ITO target. All targets had a diameter of 2 inches.
Table 1. Magnetron Sputtering Deposition Parameters for RuO$_2$ and ITO Thin Films$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RuO$_2$</th>
<th>ITO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{sub}}$ (°C)</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_r$ (Pa)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2$/Ar ratio (%)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (W)</td>
<td>10 (DC)</td>
<td>25 (RF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux (sccm)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d (cm)</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (nm.min$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $T_{\text{sub}}$ is substrate temperature, RT is room temperature, $P_r$ stands for pressure, P is the power applied to the sputter target, d is the target-to-substrate distance and R stands for the deposition rate of the film.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Substrate analysis

Due to the absence of sample rotation during BiVO$_4$ thin film co-sputtering, a lateral variation in the Bi/V composition is observed. Hereby, Raman spectroscopy was used to identify the phases along the Bi/V gradient. Raman spectra at specific positions along the lateral Bi/V gradient are shown in Figure 1. Along the entire gradient, monoclinic BiVO$_4$ is observed as the main phase with characteristic resonances at 127, 213, 328, 370, 712, 828 cm$^{-1}$.$^{45}$ Features at 828 and 712 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to antisymmetric and symmetric stretching vibration modes of the VO$_4$ tetrahedra.$^{46}$ The bending modes of the VO$_4$ tetrahedra are the cause of the resonances at 370 and 328 cm$^{-1}$ whereas the peaks at 213 and 147 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to external modes$^{47}$ and crystal lattice vibration of BiVO$_4$. In the V rich part of the film additional peaks appear at 147,
287, 531, 997 cm⁻¹. These peaks correspond to the frequencies of the Raman active modes of orthorhombic V₂O₅.⁴⁵,⁴⁸ Towards the middle of the film, at 10 mm distance from the Bi edge, no extra peaks can be observed anymore, which indicates that no

![Raman spectra](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Raman spectra of the BiVO₄ gradient thin film and of a Mo:BiVO₄ single crystal. The Raman spectra of the gradient thin film were measured at specific distances from the Bi rich edge.

other phases besides monoclinic BiVO₄ are present. The amount of noise increases while moving further to the Bi rich edge, making it difficult to distinguish any other phases besides monoclinic BiVO₄.

The surface composition and electronic properties of the BiVO₄ gradient thin films were also studied by photoelectron spectroscopy. In Figure 2 X-ray photoelectron survey spectra were recorded with a spot size of 1 mm² along the Bi/V gradient. The main elements present at the film surface are Bi, V and O since the Bi4d, Bi4f, Bi5d, V2p, V3s, V3d and O1s core level emissions are detected at every measurement position. Additionally, at some positions a weak signal of the Sn3d core level was registered. The detection of tin is due to small holes between
the grains of the BiVO$_4$ film that form during coalescence of the grains at elevated temperatures, exposing some of the underlying FTO layer. Due to an oxygen plasma treatment, used to remove the carbon contamination layer, no core level emissions corresponding to carbon were detected (Figure S1). Besides the removal of carbon from the surface the oxygen plasma resulted in slight binding energy shifts but did not change the chemical composition of the film as can be noticed form the more detailed core level spectra of Bi4f, V2p$_{3/2}$ and O1s in Figure S2.

**Figure 2.** Survey XP spectra of the gradient BiVO$_4$ thin film at specific distances from the Bi rich edge.

More detailed core level spectra were recorded for Bi4f, V2p$_{3/2}$ and O1s (Figure 3). The Bi4f$_{7/2}$ core level binding energy is around 159.0 eV, which matches to Bi$^{3+}$ in BiVO$_4$ and the V2p$_{3/2}$ core level position around 516.7 eV is typical for V$^{5+}$ in BiVO$_4$. All core level binding energies shift towards higher energies with increasing V content in the film. This increase is partly due to a changing Fermi energy since Bi4f and O1s binding energies both shift similarly by 0.18 eV. However, the core level of V2p$_{3/2}$, shifts 0.30 eV up in energy, which is slightly different from the other core level shifts and is probably due to a change in surface chemistry. The chemical change can be due to the presence of V$_2$O$_5$, which was detected with Raman spectroscopy.
Figure 3. Bi4f, V2p\textsubscript{3/2}, O1s core level and valence band XP spectra of the gradient BiVO\textsubscript{4} thin film at specific distances from the Bi rich edge.

The core level spectra were also used to determine the surface V/Bi ratio by integrating the peak areas of the Bi4f and V2p\textsubscript{3/2} core levels and multiplying the integrated areas by the respective tabulated sensitivity factors.\textsuperscript{44} In Figure 4 the V/Bi ratios are shown as a function of the distance to the Bi rich edge of the film. Preferably, interface experiments are performed on stoichiometric BiVO\textsubscript{4} and for pure stoichiometric BiVO\textsubscript{4} a V/Bi ratio of 1:1 would be expected. However, there is no position on the film where this ratio is observed. After 15 mm, there is a sudden increase to a V/Bi ratio of 1.4, indicating that the surface is V rich at this position, which is probably due to the presence of the vanadium oxide phase, which was detected by Raman spectroscopy. From 4 mm to 13 mm the V/Bi ratio increases almost linearly from 0.5 to 0.76. In this region the surface, thus, seems Bi rich.
Figure 4. XPS V/Bi ratio: using the tabulated sensitivity factors (red crosses) and after applying the correction factor determined from the (010) exposed Mo:BiVO$_4$ single crystal (green squares). In the inset the V/Bi ratio of the (010) Mo:BiVO$_4$ single crystal is shown after various treatments: 0: no treatment; 1: polished; 2: polished + 15 min O$_2$ plasma; 3: 2$^{nd}$ time polished + 15 min O$_2$ plasma.

However, in Raman no additional Bi rich phase was found so it is not clear where this high off-stoichiometry comes from. Possibly, the calculated V/Bi ratios are different from the real V/Bi ratios, because the sensitivity factors used in the calculations do not accurately correct for the difference in detection probability of Bi$4f$ and V$2p_{3/2}$ core electrons. Instrument specific sensitivity factors were used, but it could be that they are not specific for BiVO$_4$. Improper determination of stoichiometry has also been observed at the same system, whenever large cations are involved, as for example for CdS.$^{49}$

In order to provide more information about the stoichiometry determination, a monoclinic 1% Mo doped BiVO$_4$ single crystal with a (010) exposed crystal facet was used as a reference to find the sensitivity factors that correctly predict the detection probability of Bi$4f$ and V$2p_{3/2}$ core electrons and to eventually determine more accurate V/Bi ratios. The Raman spectrum of the Mo:BiVO$_4$ single crystal in Figure 1 confirms that it is monoclinic BiVO$_4$ because the observed peaks (at 127, 213, 328, 370, 712, 828 cm$^{-1}$) correspond to the Raman active modes expected for monoclinic BiVO$_4$.}$^{45}$
Figure 5. A) V2p$_{3/2}$, Bi4f core level and valence band XP spectra and B) UP spectra of the gradient BiVO$_4$ thin film at 13 mm from the Bi rich edge (red) and the polished (010) surface of the Mo:BiVO$_4$ single crystal (blue). Both samples were cleaned by sputtering with an oxygen plasma.

The single crystal was polished along the (010) surface to expose the internal structure and sputter cleaned with an oxygen plasma for 15 minutes. In Figure 5A the Bi4f, V2p$_{3/2}$ and valence band X-ray photoelectron spectra of the polished and sputter cleaned Mo:BiVO$_4$ single crystal are shown as well as those of a sputter cleaned gradient BiVO$_4$ thin film at 13 mm from the Bi rich edge. The Bi4f and V2p$_{3/2}$ spectra of the single crystal are shifted by about 0.2 eV towards higher binding energies and its $E_F$-$E_{VB}$ lies at 2.2 eV, compared to an $E_F$-$E_{VBM}$ 1.8 eV for the gradient thin film. The shift towards higher binding energies could be due to a shift in the Fermi level position, originating from the Mo doping in the single crystal. However, due to the low conductivity of BiVO$_4$ the binding energy shift in the XP spectra could also be due to surface charging. The effect of surface charging can be clearly seen in the UP spectra of the corresponding samples (Figure 5B) where the $E_F$-$E_{VBM}$ and secondary electron edge of the single crystal are shifted by about 2.5 eV towards higher energies, a shift too large to be explained by doping. The eventual surface charging has, however, no impact on the XP core level peak areas,
so that the V/Bi ratio could still be determined from the integrated Bi4f\(7/2\) and V2p\(3/2\) peak areas (inset Figure 4). After polishing and O\(_2\) plasma exposure, a V/Bi ratio of 0.79 was obtained using the standard instrument sensitivity factors. This V/Bi ratio differs largely from the expected ratio of 0.99, considering that 1% of the vanadium lattice sites are substituted by molybdenum. Thus, the V/Bi surface ratios calculated from the tabulated sensitivity factors are incorrect and should be adjusted by a correction factor. A correction factor of 1.25 can be calculated by dividing the expected V/Bi ratio of 0.99 through the sensitivity factor determined ratio of 0.79. The corrected V/Bi ratios for the gradient BiVO\(_4\) thin films are included in Figure 4. A V/Bi ratio of 0.95 is obtained at 13 mm from the Bi edge, which is closer to stoichiometric BiVO\(_4\). The bulk V/Bi ratio was determined through EDS and showed that at 11 mm distance a V/Bi ratio of 1.02 was obtained, thus very similar to the XPS result (Figure S3).

From Raman, EDS and XPS measurements it can be concluded that at 13 mm distance from the Bi rich edge the gradient film consists of single phase monoclinic BiVO\(_4\). Therefore, this position was used to interpret interface experiments between monoclinic polycrystalline BiVO\(_4\) and various contact layers. During interface experiments all photoelectron spectra were, thus, measured at 13 mm distance from the Bi rich edge.

### 3.2. Interface analysis

First, the interface between BiVO\(_4\) and RuO\(_2\) was studied. RuO\(_2\) films with increasing thickness were subsequently sputtered on a BiVO\(_4\) thin film and the changes during the BiVO\(_4\)/RuO\(_2\) interface formation were probed through photoelectron spectroscopy. The X-ray photoelectron spectra of Bi4f, V2p\(3/2\), O1s, Ru3d core levels and valence band are shown in Figure 6 after each deposition step of RuO\(_2\). The increasing thickness of RuO\(_2\) can be monitored as the intensity of the Ru3d core level peak increases while the intensity of Bi4f and V2p\(3/2\) core
levels decreases after each deposition step. To investigate how the energy bands between semiconducting BiVO₄ and metallic RuO₂ align, the change in VB maximum of BiVO₄ should be followed.

**Figure 6.** O1s, V2p₃/₂, Ru3d, Bi4f core level and valence band XP spectra for the BiVO₄/RuO₂ interface. RuO₂ deposition times are denoted at the O1s spectra.

However, following this change is not feasible due to superposition of BiVO₄ and RuO₂ valence bands. Therefore, the change in substrate valence band maximum (VBM) has to be evaluated from the change of the substrate core level energies. This method is commonly referred to as the Kraut method. The Bi4f and V2p₃/₂ core level spectra shift gradually to lower binding energies. As both Bi4f₇/₂ and V2p₃/₂ core levels have a similar shift of 0.71 eV, the shift can be attributed to a change of Fermi level at the substrate surface due to RuO₂ induced band bending.

Next, the interface between ITO and BiVO₄ was investigated. The core level spectra of Bi4f, V2p₃/₂, O1s, In3d₅/₂ and valence bands for the stepwise sputter deposition of ITO on BiVO₄ are
displayed in Figure 7. As the In3d core level intensity increases, the Bi4f, V2p3/2 core level intensity drops. The O1s core level intensity stays approximately constant with increasing ITO thickness. In contrast to the RuO$_2$ deposition, the Bi4f and V2p$_{3/2}$ peak maxima shift to higher binding energies after ITO deposition. This is expected since the work function of ITO is substantially lower than the work function of RuO$_2$.

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7.** O1s, V2p$_{3/2}$, In3d$_{5/2}$, Bi4f core level and valence band XP spectra for BiVO$_4$/ITO interface. ITO deposition times are denoted in the O1s spectra.

After the first deposition step the peak maxima of both V2p$_{3/2}$ and Bi4f$_{7/2}$ shift by approximately 0.16 eV. Thereafter, both peak maxima stay around the same value with increasing film thickness. Since both V2p$_{3/2}$ and Bi4f$_{7/2}$ shift in the same way, the shift can be interpreted as a shift caused by downward band bending.

Combining the information from the interface experiments with the determined work functions from ultraviolet photoelectron spectra (Figure 8: 5.6-5.7 eV for BiVO$_4$, 6.5 eV for RuO$_2$ and 4.4 eV for ITO) allow to construct complete energy band diagrams for the BiVO$_4$/RuO$_2$ and
BiVO$_4$/ITO interfaces (Figure 9). The band-gap of BiVO$_4$, 2.4 eV, and from ITO, 2.8 eV, were taken from literature.$^{51,52}$

The energy bands displayed in Figure 9 include the vacuum energy levels of the materials, which are derived from work function measurements using UPS. According to the measured work functions, the vacuum energies of BiVO$_4$ and RuO$_2$ are aligned while there is a dipole potential

**Figure 8.** UP spectra (He I excitation) of RuO$_2$ (blue), BiVO$_4$ (from RuO$_2$ interface experiment) (gold), BiVO$_4$ (from ITO interface experiment), and ITO (red) with secondary electron edge (SEE) values.
Figure 9. Energy band diagrams of the BiVO$_4$/RuO$_2$ and BiVO$_4$/ITO interface derived from the combination of X-ray and ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy. The Fermi level, band-gap, band bending and barrier heights are shown, whereby all energy values are denoted in eV. The band-gaps of BiVO$_4$ and ITO were taken from literature.$^{51,52}$

step of about 1 eV between BiVO$_4$ and ITO. The latter might be caused by a high density of interface states in the BiVO$_4$, which pin the Fermi energy.

It is known, however, that semiconducting oxides often exhibit a huge dipole potential step upon forming a Schottky barrier with RuO$_2$.\textsuperscript{31} These Schottky barrier heights would imply a work function of RuO$_2$ of about 5.6 eV; if this work function would be considered instead of the work function of 6.5 eV measured in Figure 8, a similar dipole potential step would be present at both the BiVO$_4$/RuO$_2$ and BiVO$_4$/ITO interfaces. The dipole potential step is possibly due to the oxygen plasma treatment of the BiVO$_4$ surface, which could lead to a more negatively charged surface compared to the ITO or RuO$_2$ contact layer. The difference in Fermi energy at the
BiVO$_4$/RuO$_2$ and BiVO$_4$/ITO interfaces amounts to 0.85 eV (1.11 vs 1.96 eV for E$_F$-E$_{VBM}$, respectively, see Figure 9.

4. DISCUSSION

Capacitance-derived flat-band potential measurements from Mott-Schottky plots give, next to information on the kinetics and energetics of the semiconductor-liquid junctions, information on the location of the band positions with respect to the reference electrode. Such measurements were often performed in the early days of semiconductor electrochemistry (1970s – 1980s), mostly on defined surfaces of single crystals. These were used to align the commonly studied semiconductor electrodes relative to the H$^+/\text{H}_2$ redox potential.$^{20-23}$ By assuming transitivity of band alignment this provides a comparison of band edge energies of the different photoelectrodes. The energy band alignment of different oxides inferred from such studies is shown in Figure 10.

From the electrochemical alignment of the energy bands, which positions the valence and conduction band energies relative to the H$^+/\text{H}_2$ redox potential and which is established in literature,$^{20,22,53}$ the relative alignment between two semiconducting oxides can be directly extracted by assuming transitivity. In principle, the same can be achieved using the Fermi level position at the interfaces of the oxides with the same metal, also assuming transitivity.$^{54}$ The interface experiments with RuO$_2$ and ITO described in this article can, in principle, both be used
Figure 10. Conduction band and valence band alignment of a series of semiconductor oxides relative to the H⁺/H₂ standard redox potential and the Fermi level position of these oxides at the interfaces with RuO₂ (blue) and ITO (red).

Transitivity of band alignment is, however, not necessarily valid when Fermi level pinning is involved in interface formation, as this affects the measured Fermi level positions. In the extreme case of pinning, known as Bardeen limit, the Fermi level at an interface becomes independent on metal work function. In such a case, the Fermi level at the interfaces with RuO₂ and ITO would be identical. This is definitely not the case, as evidenced by Figure 10. Additionally, the band edge positions or dipole layer at the interface may drift due to adsorbates as well as ionic surface terminations. Such offsets may be expected, especially for semiconductor/electrolyte interfaces, but they have hardly been studied yet in a systematic manner.

For classical semiconductors, Fermi level pinning might be induced by virtual gap states, or by deposition-induced defects. The latter are almost always observed during deposition of elementary metals on clean oxide surfaces, but can be avoided by contact formation with conducting metal oxides, depending on the applied deposition parameters. The Fermi levels observed at contacts of BiVO₄ (this work) and other oxides with RuO₂ (high work function) and ITO (low work function) are included in Figure 10. In the present case, the variation of Fermi
level position at the interfaces of BiVO₄ amounts to 0.85 eV. This variation is slightly higher than observed for rutile and anatase TiO₂, but lower than the variation observed for SrTiO₃. The experimentally observed variation of Fermi level positions therefore indicates that the Fermi level at the studied BiVO₄ interfaces can vary but not as much as for other oxides.

Whether this reduced splitting of the Fermi level is caused by an insufficient upward shift of E_F at the BiVO₄/ITO interface or by an insufficient downward shift of E_F at the BiVO₄/RuO₂ interface or both cannot be discriminated from the present experiments. More extended studies of the variation of the Fermi energy using different sample treatments and interface formation would be required to resolve this. However, the partial Fermi level pinning will most likely have no severe effect on the efficiency of BiVO₄ photoanodes, since an upwards band bending of 0.71 eV was observed for the contact between BiVO₄ and the high work function material, RuO₂. The possibility of high upwards band bending makes that holes can be efficiently separated from electrons to partake in water oxidation at the BiVO₄ photoanode surface.

In the case of (Ba,Sr)TiO₃ and Pb(Zr,Ti)O₃, the alignment determined using transitivity with ITO or RuO₂ and the alignment extracted from direct interface formation all agree within 0.2 eV, giving substantial credit to this approach. However, there is substantial deviation between the electrochemical alignment and those obtained by aligning the Fermi energies at interfaces with RuO₂ and ITO for the materials included in Figure 10. This difference is valid even if the different magnitude of Fermi level splitting for the different oxides is taken into account. An instructive example is given by anatase and rutile TiO₂, where it was shown recently using complementary approaches that the valence band of rutile is about 0.7 eV higher than that of anatase, which substantially differs from the alignment obtained using electrochemical studies.

62,65,66
With respect to BiVO$_4$, the valence band maximum is 0.4 eV above that of SrTiO$_3$ according to the electrochemical alignment from flatband potential measurements.$^{67,68}$ Using the RuO$_2$ and ITO interfaces, the valence band of BiVO$_4$ would be 0.75/1.25 eV higher than that of SrTiO$_3$. The latter alignment would correspond well with the alignment of SrTiO$_3$ with other perovskites having Bi$^{3+}$ or Pb$^{2+}$ as A-site cations.$^{32,69,70}$ The higher valence band maximum of the latter perovskites is explained by the contribution of the occupied 6s orbitals of Bi or Pb.$^{71}$ As higher valence band maxima are quite generally observed for such compounds, it seems reasonable to expect that the valence band maximum of BiVO$_4$ is also about 1 eV higher than that of SrTiO$_3$, which would better agree with the alignment obtained from transitivity of RuO$_2$ and ITO interfaces.

Using the Butler-Ginley approach, which is based on electronegativities of the involved atoms, the energy bands of BiVO$_4$ are positioned about 0.4 eV lower in energy compared to the electrochemical alignment shown in Figure 10.$^{72,73}$ In the light of the discussion above this seems to be unrealistic, which concurs with the observation that band positions calculated from absolute electronegativities deviate from experimental measurements for ternary oxides.$^{74}$

The deviation of the energy band alignment obtained using transitivity from electrochemical or solid-state interfaces may be explained either by the presence of Fermi level pinning or by a fundamental difference between solid/electrolyte and solid/solid interfaces. For solid/solid interfaces, the presence or the degree of Fermi level pinning can be obtained by comparing the variation of the Fermi energy using contact materials with different work functions. Such experiments should, however, take into account that Fermi level pinning might also be caused by lattice defects, which are frequently introduced on oxide surfaces by metal deposition.$^{31,75}$

However, even in the case of unreactive interfaces, such as those between two oxides used in the
present study, bulk or surface defects may also result in a substantial variation of band alignment.\textsuperscript{56,76–78} As a consequence, one may expect a substantial dependence of band alignment on preparation conditions. For instance, different concentrations of oxygen vacancies in ZnO and metallic precipitates in Cu\textsubscript{2}O result in a variation of band alignment by more than 1 eV at the interface between these materials.\textsuperscript{56} In contrast, the effect of defects on the band alignment at oxide/liquid interfaces has been less extensively studied.

In addition, specific surface interaction mechanisms must be considered for solid/electrolyte interfaces, which will be different in solid/solid interfaces. First of all, the substrate surface may be terminated in a different way due to specific adsorption of H\textsuperscript{+}, OH\textsuperscript{−}, or molecular H\textsubscript{2}O species from the solution phase. Specific adsorption of supporting electrolyte species and solution contaminants may also play a role. The adsorption of ionic species results in the formation of a charged inner Helmholtz layer, which does not exist in similar form on solid surfaces. Additionally, an electrochemical double layer, the outer Helmholtz layer is formed due to electrostatic attraction of charged species or dipoles in the electrolyte to the (charged) solid surface. Due to thermal diffusion and dipolar interactions this layer can extend up to several hundreds of nm into the electrolyte solution (Gouy-Chapman or Stern layer). Finally, the different procedures in contact formation may lead to additional differing defect formation or passivation reactions at the interface. For solid/solid interfaces, defect passivation or surface reactions typically take place during contact formation, after which the contact properties show little or no further changes. In contrast, the properties of the solid/electrolyte interface can be easily—and often reversibly—modified afterwards by e.g. applying a potential, changing the pH of the solution, or by illumination in case of a semiconducting solid.
The RuO$_2$ interface experiment revealed an upward band bending of 0.7 eV after the deposition of a 3 nm thick RuO$_2$ film. Thus, a Schottky junction is formed that should provide effective charge carrier separation and, together with the good electrocatalytic properties, make RuO$_2$ a suitable oxidation co-catalyst for BiVO$_4$. Possible limitations could still be its high cost, compared to less expensive co-catalysts such as CoPi and FeOOH/NiOOH, and its inefficient surface passivation.\textsuperscript{7,10,15} Regarding the ITO interface, electrons should flow efficiently from BiVO$_4$ into ITO due to the small difference in conduction band energy. This observation agrees well with the use of ITO as ohmic contact to BiVO$_4$.\textsuperscript{7,13}

5. CONCLUSION

A combination of thin film sputtering and photoelectron spectroscopy was used to study the BiVO$_4$/RuO$_2$ and the BiVO$_4$/ITO interfaces. Because of the strongly different work functions of RuO$_2$ and ITO, different Schottky barriers were induced in BiVO$_4$ after depositing the respective contact layers. An upwards band bending of 0.71 eV for BiVO$_4$/RuO$_2$ and a downwards band bending of 0.16 eV for BiVO$_4$/ITO were observed. The difference in Schottky barrier height of 0.85 eV is lower than what was observed in similar interface experiments on other oxide semiconductors, which indicates a partial Fermi level pinning. The assumed transitivity of the RuO$_2$ and ITO interface experiments allowed to align BiVO$_4$ relative to other oxides studied for photo(electro)chemical water splitting, showing that the alignment based on solid/electrolyte and solid/solid interfaces differ from each other. These findings clearly show that the alignment based on solid/electrolyte interfaces cannot be used to interpret the junctions of heterostructured materials. Thus, interface experiments, which allow the direct analysis of the contact properties
of newly formed junctions, helps to understand such heterojunctions and may help to design more efficient photoelectrodes.
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