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The profound morphofunctional changes that Schwann cells (SCs) undergo during their migration and elongation on axons, as well as during axon sorting, ensheathment, and myelination, require their close interaction with the surrounding laminin-rich basal lamina. In contrast to myelinating central nervous system glia, SCs strongly and constitutively express the giant scaffolding protein AHNAK1, localized essentially underneath the outer, abaxonal plasma membrane. Using electron microscopy, we show here that in the sciatic nerve of ahnak1−/− mice the ultrastructure of myelinated, and unmyelinated (Remak) fibers is affected. The major SC laminin receptor β-dystroglycan co-immunoprecipitates with AHNAK1 shows reduced expression in ahnak1−/− SCs, and is no longer detectable in Cajal bands on myelinated fibers in ahnak1−/− sciatic nerve. Reduced migration velocity in a scratch wound assay of purified ahnak1−/− primary SCs cultured on a laminin substrate indicated a function of AHNAK1 in SC motility. This was corroborated by atomic force microscopy measurements, which revealed a greater mechanical rigidity of shaft and leading tip of ahnak1−/− SC processes. Internodal lengths of large fibers are decreased in ahnak1−/− sciatic nerve, and longitudinal extension of myelin segments is even more strongly reduced after acute knockdown of AHNAK1 in SCs of developing sciatic nerve. Together, our results suggest that by interfering in the cross-talk between the transmembrane form of the laminin receptor dystroglycan and F-actin, AHNAK1 influences the cytoskeleton organization of SCs, and thus plays a role in the regulation of their morphology and motility and lastly, the myelination process.
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Introduction

Since the pioneering work of Bunge and others (Bunge and Bunge, 1978; Cornbrooks et al., 1983) we know that intricate contact of SCs with laminin-containing basement membrane is a prerequisite for the myelination process in peripheral nervous system (PNS), in addition to signaling from axons. SCs are polarized, with an apical domain corresponding to their adaxonal membrane and the myelin loops, whose extension is finely controlled with regard to the axon diameter, while their abaxonal membrane (in contact with basal lamina) represents the basolateral domain (Ozcelik et al., 2010). Laminin, produced partly by the SCs themselves, is indeed essential for SC proliferation, morphogenesis, and the myelination process (McKee et al., 2012). Thus, congenital muscular dystrophy and many other hereditary peripheral neuropathies are caused by mutations in certain laminin isoforms, or their receptors on SCs (i.e., dystroglycan and integrins; Colognato et al., 2005; Helbling-Leclerc et al., 1995; Sunada et al., 1994). During development, SCs perform a radial sorting of fibers: groups of small caliber axons (<1 μm) are enwrapped by SC membrane without myelin deposition (Remak fibers), larger axons are pushed out of the bundle and are myelinated. Fiber sorting is severely affected in mutant mice lacking laminin receptors dystroglycan, and/or β-integrins (Berti et al., 2011; Feltri and Wrabetz, 2005).
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We previously reported the giant scaffold protein AHNAK1 (700 kDa) to be constitutively expressed in SCs (Salim et al., 2009), while it is absent from CNS oligodendrocytes (von Boxberg et al., 2006). We had shown that siRNA knockdown of ahnak1 in cultured SCs induced morphological changes and detachment from the laminin substrate. AHNAK1 protein has a rather unusual tripartite structure (Shivelman et al., 1992), the largest part of which is composed of repeat domains, flanked by a short PDZ domain-bearing N-terminus, and a longer C-terminal domain responsible for most of its functions known so far. Based on sequence homology revealed by BLAST experiments, and a similar gene structure (a giant exon flanked by one or more small exons forming a continuous ORF, translated into a tripartite repeat protein; see de Morree et al., 2012), AHNAK1 can be grouped in a small protein family with AHNAK2, whose primary, secondary, and tertiary structures closely resemble those of AHNAK1 (Komuro et al., 2004), and periaxin, a protein involved in the organization of abaxonal SC domains (Court et al., 2004; Gillespie et al., 1994). AHNAK1 is widely expressed, particularly in cells enduring physical stress, such as endo- and epithelial cells, and muscle (Gentil et al., 2003). It is implicated in Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling by interfering with the annexin-2/S100A10 complex in epithelial cells (Benaud et al., 2004), and with the L-type Cav channel interfering with the annexin-2/S100A10 complex in epithelial in vitro SCs, and influences their motility and mechanical properties transmembrane form of the laminin receptor dystroglycan on (Komuro et al., 2004; Kouno et al., 2004).

AHNAK1 is thought to interact with the actin cytoskeleton (Benaud et al., 2004; Haase et al., 2009), but also in cytoplastic T cells (Matza et al., 2008, 2009). AHNAK1 is thought to interact with the actin cytoskeleton (Benaud et al., 2004; Haase et al., 2004), and its distribution is secondarily affected in certain muscular dystrophies (Huang et al., 2007, 2008; Marg et al., 2010; Zacharias et al., 2011). However, its precise function remains unclear, and mutant mice lacking AHNAK1 are perfectly viable (Komuro et al., 2004; Kouno et al., 2004).

Here, we demonstrate that AHNAK interacts with the transmembrane form of the laminin receptor dystroglycan on SCs, and influences their motility and mechanical properties in vitro, and the length of myelin segments in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Generation of ahnak1\(^{-/-}\) mice has been previously described (Kouno et al., 2004). The mice used in this study were originally obtained from RIKEN BioResource Center (Experimental Animal Division; Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan), before transfer and further breeding in our own animal facilities. Ahnak1\(^{-/-}\) mice of either sex were used for experiments, with wildtype littermate for comparison. Animal care and experimental procedures were in accordance with European Union Committee's directives (86/609/EEC). For preparation of teased fibers and dissection of tissues for immunohistochemistry or Western blotting, mice were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital, and intracardially perfused either with saline supplemented with heparin followed by fixative (4% paraformaldehyde [PFA] in 0.1 M phosphate buffer), or with saline alone (for biochemistry).

Reagents and Antibodies

We used monoclonal anti-\(\beta\)-actin (C4, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), polyclonal anti-\(\beta\)-dystroglycan (H-242, Santa Cruz), monoclonal anti-\(\beta\)-dystroglycan (NCL-b-DG, NovoCastra), anti-dystrophin (H4, generous gift of H. Hardin-Pouzet; Royuela et al., 2003), rabbit anti-paranodin/Caspr (L51, generous gift of L. Goutebroze), monoclonal anti-GFP (clone 3E6, Invitrogen), monoclonal anti-myelin basic protein (MBP, Chemicon), rabbit anti-AHNAK A5 (generated against a GST-fusion protein corresponding to clone A5 partial ahnak sequence from rat, GeneBank acc. no. DQ203292.1; von Boxberg et al., 2006), anti-AHNAK peptide antibodies KIS and CQL (kindly provided by J. Baudier; Gentil et al., 2003), and anti-p75 low affinity NGF receptor (AB1554, Millipore). Fluorescent secondary antibodies were Alexa-555 or -488 coupled, in some cases associated with Alexa488-phalloidin labeling (all Molecular Probes, Invitrogen).

Immunohistochemistry

Cells or nerves were (post-)fixed in 4% PFA in 11% sucrose in PBS for 30 min, permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS, and non-specific binding sites blocked with 10% normal goat serum (NGS) in PBS (or horse serum in case of goat-derived primary antibodies). After incubation with primary antibodies diluted in 5% NGS/0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 3 h at RT or overnight at 4°C, specimen were rinsed, incubated with appropriate fluorescent Alexa-555 or -488 coupled secondary antibodies, and mounted in Mowiol. For imaging, a Leica DMRB, or a Zeiss Axiosvert 200 standard light microscope, or a Leica DMI 6000B laser scanning microscope was used.

For analysis of internodal lengths, sciatic nerves from 2 to 4 months old wildtype and ahnak1\(^{-/-}\) mice (four mice per genotype) were teased on glass slides, postfixed in 2% PFA, and immunolabeled with antibodies against myelin basic protein (MBP, for measurement of total diameters of myelinated fibers), and Caspr/paranodin (for detection of Ranvier nodes). Slides were scanned and photographed on a Zeiss AxioZoom-V16 macro-apotome microscope, and individual fiber diameters and corresponding internodal distances were measured manually using ImageJ (Fiji) software (already evaluated fibers remain marked by the software, avoiding multiple counting of same internodes).

Electron Microscopy

Mice were deeply anesthetized as above, and perfused first with phosphate buffer (PB), then with “Webster” fixative (PB with 0.5% PFA and 2.5% glutaraldehyde). After dissection, about 2 mm long pieces of sciatic nerve were postfixed overnight in Webster buffer at 4°C, washed, treated with 2.5% osmium for 2–3 h, washed again in PB, then in water, before being dehydrated in an ethanol series, and mounted in Epon resin. Ultrathin sections were cut on a Reichert Ultracut-S microtome (Leica), mounted on grids, treated with lead citrate/uranyl acetate, and analyzed in a Zeiss 912 Omega electron
microscope. Fiber diameters in Remak bundles were manually measured on electron microscope photomicrographs of transverse sections of sciatic nerve from wildtype and ahnak1−/− mice (>1,350, and >2,200 fibers, respectively, evaluated from three different mice per genotype), and special care was taken to avoid multiple counting of the same fibers on overlapping images.

**SC Culture and Migration Assay**

SCs were prepared from sciatic and trigeminal nerves of 2–4 months old mice. Cultures on poly-L-lysine/laminin substrate in serum-free N2 medium supplemented with 2 µg/ml forskolin and 10 ng/mL heregulin-β1, p75 antibody-based magnetic cell sorting (to get rid of fibroblast contamination), and scratch wound migration assays (using a 200 µl pipette tip; Meintanis et al., 2001) were performed as described in Bouquet et al. (2007). Evaluation of migration velocity was done on six scratch assays per condition (two independent cultures, each composed of three individual lamellae for both wildtype, and ahnak1−/− SC). Cultures were photographed at different time-points; re-colonization of the scratch was measured on photographic images taken of always the same area, and for statistical evaluation finally expressed as percentage of closure of the gap. Mean migration velocity was calculated assuming that the scratch was 1 mm wide.

**Electrophoresis and Western Blotting**

Sciatic nerve was cut into small pieces and homogenized in 0.32 M sucrose/2 mM EDTA/1 mM EGTA/10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4, centrifuged at 1,000 g for 5 min, and the supernatant centrifuged at 17,000 g for 1 h at 4°C, before solubilizing the pellet of the last centrifugation step. SCs in culture dishes or flasks were washed with PBS, and directly solubilized in the appropriate buffer for one- or two-dimensional electrophoresis, performed on a micro-scale as described (Boxberg, 1988; Salim et al., 2009). To reveal the rather acidic isoelectric points of β-dystroglycan by non-equilibrium pH gradient electrophoresis (NEPHGE), carrier ampholyte (“Servalyt,” Serva) concentration was 1 % 3–10, 1 % 3–5, 1 % 4–6, 2 % 5–8. Relative expression levels of β-dystroglycan in wildtype versus ahnak1−/− cells were determined from six independent experiments.

**Co-immunoprecipitation**

After testing different buffer systems for efficient immunoprecipitation of SC protein extract with AHNAK antibodies (see also: Benaud et al., 2004), cells were finally homogenized on ice in calcium/zinc containing “NP-40 buffer” (10 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.2, 1% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl2, 10 µM ZnSO4, plus protease inhibitor cocktail, Sigma), by passing the cell suspension about 20 times through a syringe equipped with a 26G needle; starting with a near confluent T25 flask, final volume was about 0.5 ml. After centrifugation for 5 min at 10,000 g, the supernatant was subjected to immunoprecipitation using ~5 µg of CQL- or KIS-anti-AHNAK antibody coupled to Protein-A Dynabeads (Dynal, Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, the reaction being performed first for 10 min at RT, then 2 h at 4°C. Alternatively, 5 µg of polyclonal β-dystroglycan antibody (Santa Cruz) was used (a monoclonal antibody was then used for Western blot detection of immunoprecipitated β-dystroglycan). After extensive washing of beads in NP-40 buffer, bound proteins were finally eluted with 20 µl 8.5 M urea/0.5 M thiourea/1% NP-40 solution (same as used for protein solubilization for two-dimensional electrophoresis).

**Atomic Force Microscopy Analysis of SC Processes**

SCs were seeded at medium density onto poly-L-lysine/laminin-coated glass coverslips and cultured for 24 h under usual conditions, before individual cells (well separated from other SCs or contaminating fibroblasts) were subjected to measurement of local process height and rigidity, using an AFM bench (JPK NanoWizard®, Berlin, Germany) combined with an inverted microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 200), equipped with a silicon nitride cantilever probe with a lowest spring constant of typically 0.03 N/m (µMash, Sofia, Bulgaria). During the AFM measurement procedure, care was taken to keep temperature (37°C) and pH of the medium constant (25 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.3 was added). Calculation of process height and Young’s modulus elasticity values was performed as described, using laboratory-made software (Fereol et al., 2011). Mean values were finally determined from measurements of 9 wildtype and 10 ahnak1−/− cells.

**shRNA Knockdown of ahnak1 in Sciatic Nerve of Mice**

Five different ahnak1 shRNA constructs (derived from mouse cDNA sequence NM_175108) packaged in MISSION® lentiviral transduction particles (Sigma; clone IDs: TRCN0000104945–104949) were tested on cultured SCs for their efficiency to knock down ahnak. Magnetically purified SCs cultured on poly-L-lysine/laminin-coated six-well plates in medium containing 10% fetal calf serum plus heregulin/forskolin were infected overnight with the different viral particles at a ratio (MOI) of five virus particles per cell (no polybrene [hexadimethrine bromide] was added, in contrast to standard infection protocols), before completely changing the medium. Forty-eight hours after viral infection, the medium was changed again, and 1–2 µg/ml puromycin added (higher doses were found to be toxic). Cells were allowed to grow for another 48 h, and finally harvested for AHNAK1 expression analysis by Western blotting. Selected MISSION® shRNA constructs (TRCN0000104947 and -104948 (hereafter termed #7 and #8) cloned into the pKO1-CMV-turboGFP plasmid vector (Sigma) were amplified in E. coli DH5α, before preparation of high titer virus solutions by transfection of HEK293T cells, and injection of virus into surgically exposed sciatic nerve of postnatal days 3–4 Swiss mice (Janvier, France) under isoflurane anesthesia, as described previously (Ozcelik et al., 2010). Mice were killed 2 months later, and teased fibers prepared from injected sciatic nerves. For cell size measurements, at least two injected animals were used for each condition. Wounded or dying cells were not counted. The length and the diameter (mean value of 10–20 measurements along the cell) of GFP-positive cells were determined using ImageJ software.

**Statistical Analysis**

Results are shown as average, statistical differences were determined using the Student’s t-test with GraphPadPrism software, and
considered significant with values $P<0.01$ (**), $<0.001$ (***)
<0.0001 (****), error bars represent SEM.

**Results**

**Lack of AHNAK1 Affects Fiber Sorting and Myelination in Sciatic Nerve**

We have previously shown that AHNAK1, although widely expressed in various tissues, is absent from central nervous system neurons, astroglia, and oligodendrocytes, while expressed in blood–brain barrier forming endothelial cells (von Boxberg et al., 2006). In contrast, it is found in small sized neurons and unmyelinated fibers in peripheral nervous system, and is strongly and constitutively expressed by both myelinating and non-myelinating SCs throughout life (Salim et al., 2009). This prompted us to investigate whether its absence from SCs would affect peripheral nerve organization/ultrastructure. As seen on semithin sections of sciatic nerve (Fig. 1), myelination of *ahnak1*<sup>−/−</sup> nerve appeared normal at first sight, and g-ratios of myelinated fibers (ratio between axon and myelin sheath mean diameters) were not
significantly different from wildtype values (not shown). Nevertheless, abnormally myelinated fibers were present in all 

This was confirmed by electron microscopic analysis of  

Myelin abnormalities exhibited a considerable variability between individual mice, and was thus not quantified. Lack of AHNAK1 particularly affected small caliber, non-myelinated "Remak" fibers. Whereas in wildtype nerve, these fibers were generally well separated within the bundle, that is, individually enwrapped by cytoplasmic processes of a SC (Fig. 2A), Remak fiber ensheathment in  

FIGURE 2: Electron microscopic analysis reveals defects of ahnak1-deficient unmyelinated Remak fibers. (A) Normal appearance of Remak bundles in wildtype sciatic nerve: most fibers exhibit a diameter around 0.5 μm, and are well separated by plasma membrane of non-myelinating SCs. (B–F) Examples of unusually large diameter non-myelinated fibers (asterisks) in Remak bundles found within ahnak1−/− nerve, often non separated by SC membrane (arrowheads in C), sometimes appearing blown-up and almost devoid of cytoskeletal elements (D). Numerous instances of very small fibers are also observed (small arrow in C). Often, relatively large diameter non-myelinated axons are situated next to myelinated ones of rather smaller diameter (asterisks in E, F; the one in F appears degenerating). Note that while these cases are frequent in ahnak1−/− nerve, many Remak fibers exhibit a rather normal appearance (see, e.g., Remak bundles in F).  

For each genotype, >1,300 Remak fibers were measured on electron microscopic images from sciatic nerve sections prepared from three different mice; with regard to the comparatively low absolute numbers of fibers larger than 1 μm, two graphs are presented for calibers below 1 μm (G; average values with standard deviation, *P = 0.028), and above 1 μm (H; bar graph because of the low number, resp. absence of fibers for certain diameter values above 1.4 μm). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
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Ahnak1-/- Sciatic Nerve Fibers Exhibit Aberrant Distribution of β-Dystroglycan

Axon sorting, ensheathing, and myelination by SCs being dependent on their close interaction with basal lamina, it seemed likely that the aforementioned defects may reflect an altered distribution of laminin receptors on the ahnak1-/- SC abaxonal membrane. Indeed, while staining of teased fibers revealed a more or less uniform distribution of β-dystroglycan in SC membranes of wildtype nerve (see also Court et al., 2011), β-dystroglycan was almost exclusively localized to membrane appositions in ahnak1-/- sciatic nerve (Fig. 3A,B). Its distribution resembled that of the actual laminin-binding subunit α-dystroglycan, absent from Cajal bands, and restricted to appositions of the abaxonal membrane to the outer myelin lamella (characterized by the presence of periaxin and Drp2; Court et al., 2011). In contrast, no difference between wildtype and ahnak1-/- nerve was observed in the distribution of another laminin receptor, β1-integrin (Fig. 3B1,B2). This latter staining (as well as labeling for S100 protein, not shown) also suggests that the formation of Cajal bands is not affected in ahnak1-/- nerve. Figure 3C shows the localization of AHNAK protein in Cajal bands of wildtype nerve (cf., Salim et al., 2009).

AHNAK1 Interaction with β-Dystroglycan

Figure 4A shows that β-dystroglycan expression in cultured purified ahnak1-/- SCs is reduced by about 35% compared with wildtype values (Fig. 4A1, arbitrary density values for wildtype and ahnak1-/- protein bands are 94.7 ± 3.8 vs. 56.7 ± 6.5; n = 6, P < 0.0001), with a similar reduction being observed for sciatic nerve. This finding is consistent with the decreased presence of β-dystroglycan in Cajal bands within ahnak1-/- nerve (Fig. 3), and our previous report on the results of siRNA knockdown of ahnak1 in cultured SCs (Salim et al., 2009). The transmembrane laminin receptor β-dystroglycan is known to form a complex with submembranous dystrophin-116, the expression of which is also decreased in ahnak1-/- SCs (Fig. 4A, bottom). Furthermore, β-dystroglycan43 co-immunoprecipitates with AHNAK1 from a protein extract of purified primary SC using protein A-coupled AHNAK1 antibodies (“CQL,” anti-AHNAK-Cter peptide antibody used in Fig. 4B, as well as “KIS” anti-repeat domain peptide antibody, not shown), and AHNAK1 is also co-immunoprecipitated from wildtype SC protein extract using β-dystroglycan antibodies (Fig. 4B).

A cross-talk between β-dystroglycan and AHNAK1 is further suggested by two-dimensional electrophoresis of protein extracts from cultured purified wildtype and ahnak1-/- SCs, revealing a shift of the isoelectric point of β-dystroglycan to slightly more acidic values in cells lacking AHNAK1 (Fig. 4C). This may indicate a higher degree of phosphorylation, which is interesting as cSrc phosphorylation of Tyr992 is

FIGURE 3: Altered distribution of β-dystroglycan on teased fibers from ahnak1-/- nerve. (A) β-Dystroglycan is present throughout the abaxonal SC membrane on myelinated fibers in wildtype nerve (wt, left), but restricted to membrane appositions in ahnak1-/- nerve, resembling the distribution of α-dystroglycan (ko, right). (B) Bundles of teased fibers double stained for β-dystroglycan (left), and β1-integrin (right), in wildtype (B1) and ahnak1-/- nerve (B2). Note that lack of AHNAK1 does obviously not affect the distribution of β1-integrin, another major laminin receptor of SC, present in Cajal bands. (C) Teased fiber from wildtype nerve stained for AHNAK1, localized exclusively in Cajal bands. Bar: A, C, 20 μm; B, 30 μm.
known to affect β-dystroglycan binding to dystrophin/utrophin, and to induce a redistribution of the protein from the plasma membrane to internal membranes, such as recycling endosomes (James et al., 2000; Sotgia et al., 2003). This interpretation is in accordance with our observation that in cultured primary SCs β-dystroglycan colocalizes with AHNAK1, and disappears from the plasma membrane when the cells reach confluency and thereby loose substrate contact, concomitant with a redistribution of AHNAK1 to the cytosol (Salim et al., 2009). Our data thus demonstrate that the submembranous scaffold protein AHNAK1 and transmembrane β-dystroglycan do indeed interact in cultured primary SCs, suggesting a similar interaction to occur also in peripheral nerve in vivo.

**Actin Cytoskeleton Organization and Motility of ahnak1−/− SCs In Vitro**

Several studies provided evidence for interaction of AHNAK1 with the actin cytoskeleton (Benaud et al., 2004; Haase et al., 2004; Shankar et al., 2010). In SC processes and notably, in their tips resembling neuronal growth cones (see Bouquet et al., 2007), phalloidin labeling of F-actin revealed characteristic differences between wildtype and ahnak1−/− cells (Fig. 5A): wildtype process tips usually displayed a rounded, “exploring” growth cone-like shape with actin filaments splayed in multiple directions across the lamellipodium. In ahnak1−/− SC tips, actin filament organization was rather rectilinear, and many process tips appeared thinner, lancet-like. The likely effect of AHNAK1 deficiency on the interplay between cell surface laminin receptors and actin cytoskeleton then prompted us to assess the motility of ahnak1−/− SCs using a scratch wound assay (Bouquet et al., 2007; Meintanis et al., 2001): on a confluent culture of cells plated on poly-L-lysine/laminin in defined serum-free medium a cell-free stripe of about 1 mm is created, into which the cells then start to migrate from the border of the wound. As shown previously by Meintanis et al. (2001) and Bouquet et al. (2007), cell proliferation does not significantly contribute to reduction of the gap under these conditions. Cell migration was monitored over a 40 h period, after which wildtype SC had almost
completely re-colonized the gap. Mean velocity of cell migration into the gap over the first 23 h was 16.9 μm/h for wildtype, versus 8.6 μm/h for ahnak1/−/− cells (Fig. 5B,C). Thus, migration velocity of ahnak1/−/− SCs was reduced by about 50% in comparison to wildtype cells.

**Stiffness of SC Processes Increased by Lack of AHNAK1**

The reduced motility of ahnak1/−/− SCs and the modified morphology and actin-filament organization of their process end tips prompted us to evaluate the rigidity of these cells. We thus used atomic force microscopy (AFM) to compare tension forces in wildtype and ahnak1/−/− SC processes extending on a homogeneous laminin substrate. Indeed, internal tension and organization of the cytoskeleton can be related to the local rigidity of the cell or its processes (Canadas et al., 2002; Laurent et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002; Wendling et al., 1999), which we assessed here as detailed previously (Fereol et al., 2009, 2011). Figure 6 summarizes our data obtained from measurements of 9 wildtype (283 individual points), and 10 ahnak1/−/− (330 individual points) SC processes. Thus, excepting their distal tip, wildtype processes display rather low rigidity along their shaft, as indicated by a mean Young’s modulus of about 5 kPa (5.42 ± 0.025 kPa). At the growth cone-like tip, stiffness rises to a mean Young’s modulus value of about 13 kPa (13.05 ± 1.43 kPa; locally up to 30 kPa). Both these values are significantly higher for processes of ahnak1/−/− SCs: mean Young’s modulus values are about 7 kPa for the process shaft (6.97 ± 0.41 kPa), and about 19 kPa (18.99 ± 1.28 kPa) for the growth cone-like tip (+22%, and +31%, respectively, compared with wildtype values; P < 0.01). Moreover, process height (z-axis measure of the distance between top of process and substrate) is also significantly different between wildtype and ahnak1/−/− SCs, notably at end tips: the height of ahnak1/−/− process tips is increased by roughly 43% compared with values of wildtype cells (1.13 ± 0.036 μm for ahnak1/−/− SC vs. 0.64 ± 0.087 μm for wildtype SC; P < 0.0001). Even proximal parts of ahnak1/−/− SC processes are about 16% higher than their wildtype counterparts (1.13 ± 0.048 μm for wildtype, vs. 1.35 ± 0.042 μm for ahnak1/−/− processes). These differences are likely reflected in the shapes, and the respective actin cytoskeleton organization of typical wildtype and ahnak1/−/− SC end tips (Fig. 5A). Finally, for ahnak1/−/− SCs, rigidity values along the shaft appear to vary considerably, whereas they are almost constant for wildtype processes.

**Does lack of AHNAK1 in SCs Affect Internodal Length?**

The altered actin cytoskeleton, higher than normal stiffness, lower substrate adhesion, and reduced migration velocity of ahnak1/−/− SCs, as well as the abnormal β-dystroglycan distribution in myelinated fibers of ahnak1/−/− mouse sciatic nerve suggested to us that lack of AHNAK1 might affect the
We therefore compared internodal lengths of teased fibers of sciatic nerve prepared from 2 to 4 months old (mature) wild-type and \( \text{ahnak}^{1/-} \) mice (\( n = 4 \) per genotype). The scatter graph (Fig. 7A) representing internodal lengths of individual fibers in relation to their diameter shows that for a given fiber diameter, mean internodal lengths were indeed higher in wildtype than in \( \text{ahnak}^{1/-} \) nerve (the blue and red lines indicate mean values for wildtype, and \( \text{ahnak}^{1/-} \) fibers, respectively). As illustrated in Fig. 7B, internodal length differences are significant only for larger fibers, similar to results reported by Court et al. (2009) for mice lacking dystroglycan, dystrophin, or utrophin. For fiber calibers between 10 and 15 \( \mu \text{m} \), the reduction in mean internodal length in \( \text{ahnak}^{1/-} \) nerve is about 10%, lower than that observed in dystroglycan-ko mice, but comparable to dystrophin-ko mice.

**shRNA Knockdown of \text{ahnak}^1 In Vivo Results in Drastic Shortening of Internodes**

As lack of AHNAK appeared to only mildly affect internodal length and peripheral nerve ultrastructure, in contrast to what may have been expected from its more pronounced effects on purified cultured SCs, we decided to perform an acute knockdown of \text{ahnak} in sciatric nerve of live mice, as described in Ozcelik et al. (2010) and Cotter et al. (2010). To select appropriate shRNA constructs for efficient knockdown, we first infected cultured purified SCs (and MDCK cells, an endothelial cell line expressing high amounts of AHNAK; not shown) with lentiviral vectors harboring different shRNA constructs (MISSION\textsuperscript{R}, Sigma). After puromycin selection, infected cells were harvested and AHNAK1 levels assessed by Western blotting. Two shRNA constructs found to efficiently knock down AHNAK1 in cultured SCs (#7 and #8, Fig. 8A) were then subcloned into a cassette containing a turbo-GFP sequence for easy tracing of infected cells, and inserted into pSICOR lentiviral plasmids. High titer virus solutions were produced and injected into sciatric nerves of 3–4 days old mouse pups, when peripheral myelination is starting. In these conditions, lentivirus have been shown to infect mostly myelinating Schwann cells (see Cotter et al., 2010; Ozcelik et al., 2010). Mice were sacrificed 2 months later when myelination is accomplished, and teased fibers from sciatric nerve prepared to examine the morphology of AHNAK1-silenced SCs using confocal microscopy (an example of a GFP expressing
AHNAK1-silenced vs. a control, non-target shRNA-infected SC is shown in Fig. 8B1; Fig. 8B2 illustrates efficient silencing of AHNAK1 in #sh8-infected SCs). We found that cells expressing AHNAK1 shRNA #8 were dramatically shorter and thinner than control cells expressing a non-targeted shRNA (Fig. 8C,D): mean length of control cells was 332.0 ± 16.2 μm, that of AHNAK1-silenced cells 82.5 ± 4.3 μm; P < 0.0001; mean diameter of control cells was 6.7 ± 0.3 μm, compared with 3.8 ± 0.1 μm for AHNAK1-silenced cells; P < 0.0001. Similar results were obtained after infection with the lentiviral construct harboring AHNAK1 shRNA #7 (not shown), suggesting that the observed effect on internodal length is not due to off target silencing. In the absence of compensatory mechanisms likely to be active in ahnak1-deficient nerve during embryonic development (see Discussion), acute knockdown of ahnak1 in SCs at the onset of myelination thus leads to an important decrease in the elongation of SCs on the axons.

Discussion
This data show that axon sorting and ensheathing are affected in peripheral nerve of ahnak1−/− mice. Moreover, acute ahnak1-shRNA knockdown targeted to SCs results in strikingly shorter internodes. In vitro, the lower motility, and higher mechanical rigidity of ahnak1−/− SCs indicate a perturbed interaction with the laminin substrate. Together, our results suggest that AHNAK1, through interaction with the dystroglycan/dystrophin/utrophin complex, is involved in actin cytoskeleton regulation in reaction to binding of the SC plasma membrane to laminin.

The differences between myelinating glial cells of PNS and CNS are manifold, and AHNAK1 constitutes an additional molecular marker differentiating SCs from oligodendrocytes. Thus, in addition to myelination and ensheathing, SCs are capable of phagocytosis of myelin debris, controlling inflammation, and production of trophic factors in response to injury; in contrast to oligodendrocytes, myelinating SCs exhibit a strict one-to-one relationship with axons; Schmidt-Lanterman incisures and paranodal microvilli are unique to peripheral myelin, whose molecular composition is also partially different (for review: Sherman and Brophy, 2005). Here, with regard to the potential role of AHNAK1 in SCs, we focus on their requirement to closely interact with the laminin-rich basal lamina surrounding their outer (abaxonal) plasma membrane. After myelination, this abaxonal membrane envelops the residual SC cytoplasm, organized in “Cajal bands” separated by patches of membrane directly apposed to the myelin sheath (another striking difference with oligodendroglia; Court et al., 2004). No basement membrane is present around myelinated fibers in CNS, although laminin
signaling may play a role during oligodendrocyte lineage progression (Relucio et al., 2009).

To assure optimal nerve conductance, myelin segment diameter and extension are controlled by precise regulation of size and organization of baso-lateral (abaxonal) versus apical SC domains (adaxonal membrane, myelin, and Schmidt-Lanterman incisures), avoiding under- as well as over-myelination (Cotter et al., 2010; Ozcelik et al., 2010). In extension of Sherman and Brophy (2005), Court et al. (2011; see Fig. 8 therein) propose a model for the structural organization of abaxonal compartments: domains characterized by direct apposition of plasma membrane to the myelin sheath contain periaxin, linked by Drp2 to transmembrane β-dystroglycan43 that via α-dystroglycan finally binds to basement membrane laminin. In Cajal band domains (and nodal microvilli), F-actin is linked to the plasma membrane via the dystroglycan/dystrophin-116/utrophin complex, but the extracellular part of β-dystroglycan is cleaved in a finely regulated mechanism by metalloproteinases MMP-2 and -9. The resulting β-dystroglycan31 no longer binds α-dystroglycan, which in turn leads to lack of laminin interaction (see also Fig. 4A, showing relatively high levels of β-dystroglycan31 in sciatic nerve, compared with cultured primary SCs. Note, however, that the relevance of β-dystroglycan31 formation has meanwhile been questioned; Sherman et al., 2012). The ratio between apposed domains and Cajal bands, constant in normal nerve, is correlated with internodal length, and disturbed in muscular dystrophies. Having shown that (i) AHNAK1, which interacts with F-actin (Benaud et al., 2004; Haase et al., 2004), is strongly expressed in Cajal bands, (ii) that siRNA-interference with aghan1 in SCs affects expression and membrane targeting of the major laminin receptor β-dystroglycan (Salim et al., 2009), (iii) whose distribution is altered in aghan1−/− peripheral nerve, (iv) that co-immunoprecipitates with AHNAK1, and finally, (v) that internodal length in large fibers of aghan1−/− peripheral nerve is reduced, a phenomenon even more pronounced after acute knockdown of aghan1 in developing SC in vivo, we

FIGURE 8: In vivo knockdown of aghan1 in sciatic nerve of newborn mice. (A) Different MISSION (Sigma) shRNA lentiviral constructs were tested on cultured SCs for their capacity to knock down AHNAK expression, assessed here by Western blotting after protein extraction from puromycin-selected cells. Consequently, constructs #7 and #8 were chosen for experiments in live animals. (B1) Representative examples of sciatic nerve SCs infected in vivo with lentivirus harboring GFP-tagged shRNA #8 constructs (top), and control virus (bottom); teased fiber preparation. (B2) Examples of teased fibers from GFP-tagged shRNA #8 infected nerve, double stained with antibodies against AHNAK1 (red) and GFP (green in merge image), showing effective downregulation of AHNAK1 in the GFP-positive SC. DAPI staining (blue) in the right merge image shows the nucleus of the shRNA #8-infected SC. Bar, 50 μm. (C) Graph showing length and diameter of individual GFP-tagged SCs on teased fiber preparations from lentivirus-infected nerve (about 45 cells measured per condition). (D) Statistical evaluation of mean length and mean diameter of control shRNA- versus aghan1-shRNA #8-infected SC. Knockdown of AHNAK1 leads to about 75% shorter, and 40% thinner cells. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
suggest that AHNAK1 is implicated in the complex cross-talk between the actin cytoskeleton and basement membrane laminin. Our in vitro demonstration that siRNA knockdown of AHNAK1 leads to impaired adhesion to laminin is not contradictory to the model proposed by Court, as the above described domain organization is not found in primary cultured SC. Given the polymeric rod structure of the molecule supposedly spanning up to 1.2 μm (Shitivelman et al., 1992; see also Komuro et al., 2004), it even seems possible that AHNAK1 constitutes a physical link between periaxin- and Cajal band domains.

It should be noted that fibers originating from small DRG neurons are themselves expressing AHNAK1 (Salim et al., 2009), and the presence of oversized unmyelinated axons in abnak1−/− sciatic nerve (Fig. 2) might thus be related to AHNAK1 deficiency in SCs and/or axons. However, results of our targeted shRNA knockdown in vivo show that lack of AHNAK1 in SCs suffices to affect the ensheathing process. Moreover, not only myelination, but also Remak bundle formation require basement membrane adhesion of SCs (Yu et al., 2009), and radial sorting of fibers during development is likely to be affected by the altered expression of β-dystroglycan in abnak1−/− SCs (cf., Berti et al., 2011).

The considerably higher stiffness of abnak1−/− SC processes revealed by our AFM measurements, reflecting a more rigid, less dynamic actin cytoskeleton, correlates well with the observed reduced migration velocity in vitro, and impaired elongation of SCs on axons in vivo. Although to date, the elasticity of SC process in culture seems almost unexplored, we may compare our data with those obtained for neurons, given the resemblance between neurite growth cones and motile tips on SCs, particularly with regard to cytoskeleton composition and organization (see, e.g., Bouquet et al., 2007). Thus, it has long been known that the tension forces implicated in the advance of neurites growing from cultured neurons are essentially generated at the growth cone (Bray, 1979; Lamoureux et al., 1989). In AFM measurements, this is reflected by the higher stiffness of the growth cone, compared with the proximal neurite shaft (Fereol et al., 2011). In fact, strong substrate adhesion along the shaft would rather counteract the traction forces generated at the growth cone, and thus slow down neurite growth (O’Toole et al., 2008). In contrast, a higher than normal stiffness of the abnak1−/− process end tip will inevitably affect its dynamics and motility. The relationship between migration speed and cell-to-substrate adhesion forces is biphasic (Gaussian): intermediate forces are optimal as they allow for both adhesion and release of contacts, while too low forces impede adhesion, too strong forces release of contacts (Cox and Huttenlocher, 1998).

Increased rigidity of SCs lacking AHNAK1 may also have consequences beyond PNS development, as AHNAK1 is thought to contribute to the elastic properties of cell types constantly exposed to physical stress (see, e.g., Gentil et al., 2003; Marg et al., 2010), similar to the function in muscle of titin, another protein of exceptionally high molecular weight (Freiburg et al., 2000). The presence of AHNAK1 could thus be advantageous for peripheral nerve, which in contrast to CNS is not encapsulated in a rigid bone structure.

Interaction between AHNAK1 and the actin cytoskeleton may occur in several ways: (i) via AHNAK1 binding to β-dystroglycan, itself part of a complex with dystrophin-116/utrophin, perturbed in abnak1−/− SCs. In SCs, only utrophin associates with F-actin (Court et al., 2009), whereas dystrophin-116 has no actin-binding domain (Byers et al., 1993), in contrast to the high molecular weight isoform from muscle; (ii) via direct binding of AHNAK1-linked β-dystroglycan to F-actin, as demonstrated for muscle (Chen et al., 2003); (iii) via interaction with the annexin-2/S100A10 complex that in turn regulates the cortical actin cytoskeleton in epithelial cells (Benaud et al., 2004); (iv) in muscle, a naturally occurring AHNAK fragment can by itself stabilize F-actin, and induce actin filament bundling in vitro (Haase et al., 2004; Hohaus et al., 2002). Finally, AHNAK1 was shown to be required for the pseudopodial actin dynamics during the epithelial–mesenchymal transition of metastatic cancer cells (Shankar et al., 2010), and the migration of aortic smooth muscle cells in vivo and in vitro (Lim et al., 2013). Thus, absence of AHNAK1 interaction with F-actin (be it direct, or dystroglycan/utrophin-mediated) is a likely explanation for the observed alterations in abnak1−/− SC morphology and motility. The exact mechanism of this interaction, and where exactly AHNAK1 may intervene in the laminin-dystroglycan complex signaling converging onto the actin cytoskeleton, needs to be further elucidated.

The dystroglycan complex itself has been shown to be indispensable for proper compartmentalization of abaxonal SC domains, thereby influencing myelin stability, sodium channel distribution, and nerve conductance (Saito et al., 2003; Sherman et al., 2012). Dystroglycan-knockout mice exhibit a significant reduction of internodal lengths in peripheral nerve (Court et al., 2009). We demonstrate here that absence of AHNAK1 in myelinating SCs also affects internodal length, most likely due to perturbation of β-dystroglycan distribution in the abaxonal membrane, albeit to a much lesser degree than complete absence of dystroglycan. In both cases, the reduction of internodal length concerns particularly the larger fibers. Absence of dystroglycan from membrane appositions (that never contain AHNAK1; Salim et al., 2009) will have more dramatic consequences on internodal length than absence of β-dystroglycan from Cajal bands, which may rather affect their relative extension in comparison to appositions (the relative contributions of appositions and Cajal...
bands in the determination of internodal length are subject of some debate; Court et al., 2011; Sherman et al., 2012). The fact that lack of AHNAK1 does obviously not impede formation of Cajal bands (see Fig. 3) is consistent with the finding that they develop normally also in utrophin-ko mice (as well as in Drp2-ko mice; Sherman et al., 2012).

In view of the rather dramatic effect of the acute abnak1 knockdown on SC elongation on axons (Fig. 8, in comparison to the constitutive abnak1 knockout, Fig. 7), as well as the significantly higher rigidity, and lower motility of mutant SCs in vitro, it may be asked why the sciatic nerve ultrastructure seems only mildly affected in abnak1−/− mice. Moreover, we could not detect any significant differences with wildtype mice in behavioral tests (e.g., toe placement and footprint tests for motor, von Frey-hair stimulation for sensory function; not shown). After all, the absence of a strong phenotype of abnak1−/− mouse PNS did not come as a surprise, as these mice behave and reproduce like their wildtype littermates, and a detailed investigation of development and integrity of their epidermis did not reveal any abnormalities (Kouno et al., 2004), despite the well-documented abnak1−/− mouse PNS did not come as a surprise, as these mice behave and reproduce like their wildtype littermates, and a detailed investigation of development and integrity of their epidermis did not reveal any abnormalities (Kouno et al., 2004), despite the well-documented AHNAK1 interaction with cortical F-actin and annexin2/S100A10 in epithelial cells (Benaud et al., 2004). The same is true for T cells: although AHNAK1 is involved in their calcium-dependent activation (Matza et al., 2008), their phenotype in abnak1−/− mice is almost normal. There is good reason to believe that during development (as observed for other knockout mice) expression of a protein with similar properties, probably AHNAK2, compensates for the lack of AHNAK1. Both AHNAK proteins are often co-distributed (Komuro et al., 2004; Marg et al., 2010), both fulfill scaffolding functions, and not least with regard to the structural similarities between AHNAK2 and periaxin (de Morree et al., 2012; Han and Kursula, 2014) it seems likely that AHNAK2 is also implicated in linking the extracellular matrix to the cytoskeleton. This compensatory mechanism is not activated by our acute shRNA knockdown, because development until birth has occurred in presence of AHNAK1.

Although so far no AHNAK1-related pathologies are known, the protein was recently implicated in various diseases ranging from obesity (Kim et al., 2010), to cancer, where its expression level may be a useful marker for potential therapeutic outcome (Chatterjee et al., 2006; Dumitu et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2013; Leong et al., 2012). In muscle, AHNAK seems involved in dysferlin complex-mediated sarcolemma repair (Dempsey et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2012; Zacharias et al., 2011), and its expression and distribution are secondarily affected in certain myopathies of the limb girdle muscular dystrophy type (Huang et al., 2007, 2008). About 50% of the hereditary sensorimotor system neuropathies are due to gene mutations related to SCs, or axons (Patzko and von Boxberg et al.: AHNAK1 Function in Schwann Cell Motility Shy, 2011), at the instar of Charcot-Marie-Tooth-4F neuropathy caused by a mutated periaxin gene (Court et al., 2004, 2009). The data presented here should contribute to the ongoing elucidation of the highly complex, polarized interactions between SCs, axons, and surrounding extracellular matrix required for SC migration, axon ensheathing and myelination during development, but also during the reaction of SCs to pathological situations in the adult.
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