Chemical communication in springtails: a review of facts and perspectives

Sandrine Salmon¹, Sylvie Rebuffat², Soizic Prado², Michel Sablier³, Cyrille D’Haese¹, Jian-Sheng Sun⁴, Jean-François Ponge¹

¹*Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Département Adaptations du Vivant, UMR 7179 MECADEV, 4 avenue du Petit Château, 91800 Brunoy, France*

²*Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Département Adaptations du Vivant, UMR 7245 MCAM, 57 rue Cuvier, CP 54, 75005 Paris, France*

³*Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Département Origines et Évolution, USR 3224 CRC, 57 rue Cuvier, CP 21, 75005 Paris, France*

⁴*Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Département Adaptations du Vivant, 57 rue Cuvier, CP 26, 75005 Paris, France*

Corresponding author: Jean-François Ponge, ponge@mnhn.fr, tel. +33 6 78930133

ORCID numbers: Sandrine Salmon: 0000-0003-1873-6940, Sylvie Rebuffat: 0000-0002-5257-5039, Soizic Prado: 0000-0002-8071-9642, Michel Sablier: 0000-0001-6508-8566, Cyrille D’Haese: 0000-0001-6065-0927, Jian-Sheng Sun: 0000-0003-0614-1931, Jean-François Ponge: 0000-0001-6504-5267

Abstract

The present knowledge on chemical communication in springtails (Collembola), one of the two most abundant invertebrate groups living in soil and environments in tight contact with soil (e.g. plant litter, moss), is reviewed here. Chemical communication in an environment where light is absent or dimmed becomes a prominent driver of trophic and non-trophic interactions between soil organisms at a time when better knowledge on the biological determinants of soil communities is required. Like insects and many other arthropods, collembolan individuals of the same population intercommunicate by

pheromones, which allow them to signal a risk or to cluster in places favourable for feeding, mating, moulting and ovipositing. Olfaction is also used to select preferred food and mates. Researches so far conducted allowed to discern common trends in the role and chemical composition of odour blends used by Collembola. However, much more needs to be done before reaching straightforward conclusions about chemical communication issues at evolutionary and community levels, making this domain even more rewarding.

Keywords: Chemical communication, Collembola, Pheromones, Aggregation, Phylogeny, Community

33 **Introduction**

34 Chemical communication among soil organisms is a still poorly explored scientific domain, despite
 35 recent focus on the functional importance of chemical signalling in plant-microbial (Peters and Verma
 36 1990; Sanon et al. 2009; Combès et al. 2012), plant-faunal (Blouin et al. 2005; Rosenstiel et al. 2012;
 37 Leach et al. 2017) and plant-faunal-microbial interactions (Bonkowski 2004; Bais et al. 2006; Jousset
 38 et al. 2008). However, better knowledge of these interactions might illuminate our still imperfect
 39 knowledge of soil food webs (DeAngelis 2016). Ignored for a long time, positive interactions
 40 (Bertness and Callaway 1994; Leigh and Rowell 1995; Stachowicz 2001) are now recognized as a key
 41 process in the building and stability of communities, involving plants, microbes and animals (Wall and
 42 Moore 1999; Callaway et al. 2002; Wisz et al. 2013). Positive interactions are also acknowledged as a
 43 driving force of evolution (Laland and Boogert 2010; Rosenberg and Zilber-Rosenberg 2016; Prinzing
 44 et al. 2017). Negative and positive interactions among species are at least partly governed by chemical
 45 signals (Callaway 2002; Taga and Bassler 2003). This makes chemical communication a key factor of
 46 species coexistence (Vet 1999; Austin et al. 2014).

47 Chemical signals, based on volatile (olfactory) or contact molecules (O'Connell 1986), are
 48 known to play a prominent role in positive as well as negative interactions between soil organisms
 49 (Wenke et al. 2010; Lavelle et al. 2016). In soil invertebrates, they play a direct role in mating (Zizzari
 50 et al. 2017), search for food (Brückner et al. 2018), search of safe sites for growth and reproduction
 51 (Kuenen and Nooteboom 1963), aggregation (Benoit et al. 2009), avoidance of death risk (Nilsson and
 52 Bengtsson 2004a), prey location and catching (Huber 1978) and deterrence of predators (Smolanoff et
 53 al. 1975). Some chemical compounds excreted by soil animals have hormonal properties which
 54 stimulate the growth of plants and their resistance against stress factors and pathogens (Puga-Freitas
 55 and Blouin 2015). All these chemical signals are known as semiochemicals and are classified into two
 56 broad classes depending on their intra- or interspecific action. Pheromones are intraspecific
 57 compounds capable of acting outside the body of the secreting individual to impact the behaviour of a
 58 receiving individual of the same species (Karlson and Lüscher 1959). These chemical signals allow

individuals of the same species to interact between them in the course of aggregation (aggregation pheromones), mating (sex pheromones) or by signalling a risk (alarm pheromones) (Wilson and Bossert 1963; Shorey 1973). Conversely, allelochemicals are interspecific molecules that are grouped according to the benefits they provide to both producer and receiver. Allomones, kairomones and synomones are produced by a given species and act on another species (Sbarbati and Osculati 2006). They are favourable either to the producer (allomones, e.g. repulsive odours) or to the receiver (kairomones, e.g. fungal odours), or to both of them (synomones).

Springtails (Collembola) are among the most widespread and abundant groups of soil arthropods (20,000 to 400,000 individuals.m²), and as such they impact ecosystem functioning (Seastedt 1984; Hopkin 1997). They have long been considered as insects, but now belong to a separate taxonomic class. Collembola are phylogenetically sisters of other hexapods, which comprise mainly the insects. They are divided into four orders: Entomobryomorpha (~4000 known species), Poduromorpha (~3300 species), Symphypleona (~1200 species) and Neelipleona (~50 species). These microarthropods (0.25–3 mm in length) live mainly, but not exclusively, in soil and litter. The main role of Collembola is the decomposition of organic matter and the recycling of nutrients that can subsequently be taken up by plants (De Vries et al. 2013). They exert a direct action on organic matter decomposition and recycling of nutrients through the consumption of litter. Indirect effects occur through the regulation and dispersion of microorganisms (fungi and bacteria on which they feed), which are responsible for the mineralization of organic matter. As the role of Collembola varies according to species, the chemical communication regulating the interactions between Collembola species indirectly impacts soil functioning (Bardgett and Van der Putten 2014).

Collembola are known for a long time for their aggregative behaviour (Glasgow 1939). Aggregation is mediated by environmental heterogeneity (Poole 1961; Usher 1969), mass dispersal (Lyford 1975), selection of proper habitats (Joosse 1970; Verhoef and Nagelkerke 1977; Salmon and Ponge 1999; Auclerc et al. 2009) and food resources (Ponge 2000; Salmon 2004; Chauvat et al. 2014). Evidence for the existence of sex (Waldorf 1974a) and aggregation pheromones (Mertens and

Bourgoignie 1977; Verhoef et al. 1977a, b) stimulated studies on olfactory signals involved in communication between congeners and mates in an environment and a zoological group such as soil-dwelling species where visual systems are rudimentary (Leinaas 1983; Pfander and Zettel 2004; Porco et al. 2009). Remarkable fossils preserved in Early Cretaceous amber allow describing a courtship behaviour in a Symphyleona and an aggregative behaviour in an Entomobryomorpha, thus suggesting the presence of pheromones more than 105 Ma ago (Sánchez-García et al. 2018). However, information on the importance of chemical communication for coexistence or avoidance and the evolution of collembolan species is still scarce (Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004b, Wertheim et al. 2005).

Given the accumulated data it is now time to review the present state of knowledge on chemical communication in Collembola, and make a prospect of ecological and evolutionary perspectives.

The use of pheromones, allomones and kairomones by Collembola

Collembola are endowed with a strong sensitivity to olfactory signals. Receptors are mostly localized on the antenna, in particular the two apical segments. This has been demonstrated directly by anatomical investigations (Keil 1999; Kollmann et al. 2011), total and partial antennal amputations (Waldorf 1976; Verhoef et al. 1977b), and indirectly by checking antennal cleaning behaviour (Waldorf 1974b). However, the chemosensitivity of other cephalic organs like postantennal organs cannot be excluded (Karuhize 1971; Altner and Thies 1976). Although this has not been demonstrated as yet on these tiny arthropods, it can be postulated that the double nature of the antennae allows them not only to detect chemical signals at distance, but also to evaluate the direction of the odour source like in insects (Bell and Tobin 1982). This might explain directional movements towards preferred food (Auclerc et al. 2010), as it has been observed in aboveground invertebrates (Schooley and Wiens 2003). Furthermore, pheromones produced by collembolans can also be diverted from their purpose and used as kairomones by predators to detect their prey (Kielty et al. 1996).

Aggregation pheromones

Pheromones play a key role in the aggregation process of Collembola, such as in numerous other arthropods (Ishii and Kuwahara 1967; Wertheim et al. 2005). They have been first evidenced by their effects, e.g. the fact that a place previously conditioned by the presence of one or more individuals becomes attractive to conspecifics (Mertens and Bourgoignie 1977; Verhoef et al. 1977a, b; Mertens et al. 1979; Joosse and Koelman 1979). When extracted from a conditioned substrate with an appropriate solvent, pheromones were able to reproduce the same phenomenon (Manica et al. 2001). However, these experiments did not demonstrate that the molecules were remotely detected. In fact, erratic movements of an animal may favour random encounters with conditioned places, upon which the animal ceases to move: only contact (non-volatile) molecules are required in this type of chemical signalling. Other experiments showed that individuals attracted and induced the aggregation of conspecifics without any contact or visual signal, suggesting a volatile signal (Leonard and Bradbury 1984; Krool and Bauer 1987). Motility decreased then ceased totally at high concentration, i.e. at short distance from conspecifics (Shorey 1973; Mertens and Bourgoignie 1977).

Aggregation pheromones have been evidenced in a large number of collembolan species (Table 1), encompassing Poduromorpha and Entomobryomorpha living above or below ground in various environments. Surprisingly, Neelipleona and Symphypleona are absent from this list, when excluding short courtships displayed between sexual partners (Betsch-Pinot 1977; Kozłowski and Shi 2006).

Aggregation pheromones allow encounters between individuals of the same species in places favourable for feeding (Barra and Christiansen 1975; Benoit et al. 2009), mating (Joosse 1970; Verhoef and Nagelkerke 1977), moulting (Joosse and Verhoef 1974; Verhoef and Nagelkerke 1977) and ovipositing (Verhoef and Nagelkerke 1977; Benoit et al. 2009). Aggregation in favourable micro-niches is of paramount importance to limit water losses (Joosse 1970; Benoit et al. 2009). Limiting water loss is more particularly required during moulting periods which happen repetitively all along the life of Collembola (Verhoef and Nagelkerke 1977). Synchronized moulting controlled by chemical communication has been demonstrated in group-living hypogastrurids (Leinaas 1983). It also appears

that species that are most sensitive to desiccation would be also most prone to aggregation (Joosse 1970, 1971). Awaiting further confirmation on a larger array of species, parthenogenetic species are less prone to aggregation than sexual species (Chernova et al. 2010). Although aggregations comprising different species are commonplace in Collembola (Poole 1961; Hale 1966; Benoit et al. 2009; Joosse 1970), only two cross-species attractions by pheromones have been demonstrated (*Tomocerus minor* attracted to *Orchesella villosa* and *O. cincta*) out of the three interactions tested in two independent studies (Verhoef et al. 1977a, Benoit et al. 2009).

Aggregation pheromones are produced by adults of both sexes (Verhoef et al. 1977a; Krool and Bauer 1987), even during starvation (Verhoef 1984) and moulting periods (Verhoef and Nagelkerke 1977; Verhoef et al. 1977a). Their production is negatively abundance-dependent (Verhoef 1984). They are deposited on the substrate (Mertens and Bourgoignie 1977) and some of them are seemingly volatile, being attractive at distance (Verhoef et al. 1977a; Leonard and Bradbury 1984; Krool and Bauer 1987; Manica et al. 2001; Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004b). They are emitted through the intestine, as being found in the faeces (Verhoef 1984), but probably also through the cuticle, as they were detected in extracts from entire uncrushed individuals (Benoit et al. 2009).

Sex pheromones

Sex pheromones are produced by females, conditioning the deposition of spermatophores by males (Waldorf 1974a) and attracting them (Porco et al. 2009) while spermatophores produced by males are also known to attract females (Zizzari et al. 2017). They are present in the cuticle (Porco et al. 2004, 2009) and in spermatophores (Zizzari et al. 2017). Sex pheromones are thought to mediate sexual selection (Hedlund et al. 1990; Stam et al. 2002; Zizzari et al. 2009). Although still largely speculative in the studied group, the idea of an evolutionary role of sex pheromones has been considered by entomologists (Greenfield 1981; Symonds and Elgar 2008), but deeper research in this direction is needed before clear hypotheses could emerge.

Alarm pheromones and allomones

Alarm pheromones have been recorded in a number of species, belonging to Poduromorpha, Entomobryomorpha and Symphypleona (Table 2). They are emitted after rupture of the cuticle when springtails die (necromones), or in the presence of predators. They elicit a dispersive behaviour of conspecifics (Purrington et al. 1991; Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004a). Defence strategies vary according to the taxonomic group considered. Some collembolan species have an aggregative behaviour in the presence of a predator, while others move away from each other. This suggests various behavioural effects of alarm pheromones (Negri 2004).

Predator-repulsive allomones have been shown to be emitted by podurid species, e.g. *Ceratophysella denticulata* against a rove beetle (Bitzer et al. 2004), or the giant springtail *Tetradotonphora bielensis* against a ground beetle (Dettner et al. 1996). The emission of predator-repulsive allomones occurs when predatory attack is simulated with a needle as in *Protaphorura lata* (Usher and Balogun 1966). However, the odour of springtails may also be used by some of their predators as a cue to catch them, such as in the ground beetle *Nebria brevicollis* (Kielty et al. 1996).

Kairomones

Preferences for some food items are known for a long time (Knight and Angel 1967). Despite a wide array of food items potentially used by the same species, preferences may differ to a large extent among species (Jørgensen et al. 2005; Ferlian et al. 2015). It has been shown that selection of or attraction to preferred food can be mediated by olfaction. Indeed, it occurs in advance, and even in the absence of tasting (Salmon and Ponge 2001; Staaden et al. 2011; Chauvat et al. 2014). Attraction to preferred food is associated with directional and rapid movements towards the odour source upon detection at a distance varying from 1 to 40 cm (Bengtsson et al. 1994; Salmon and Ponge 2001; Auclerc et al. 2010). Attraction is followed by cessation of movement in the presence of food (Westerberg et al. 2008).

Microcosm experiments demonstrated that fungal strains are preferred or avoided when their odour is attractive or repellent, respectively (Bengtsson et al. 1988; Hedlund et al. 1995; Sadaka-Laulan et al. 1998). They also demonstrated that discrimination between preferred and discarded

187 fungal colonies is mediated by secondary metabolites (Staadén et al. 2011). Preferred fungal strains
 188 maximize fitness parameters, with the exception of a few erroneous choices (Sadaka-Laulan et al.
 189 1998; Heděné et al. 2013). The volatile compounds present in the odour of preferred fungal strains
 190 were isolated and tested. An attractive effect of some specific compounds was demonstrated on the
 191 onychiurid *Protaphorura armata* (Bengtsson et al. 1991). A strong influence of fungal defence
 192 chemicals on the aggregative behaviour of the fungus-feeding *Folsomia candida* has also been shown
 193 by using wild-type fungi and modified strains lacking the ability to secrete secondary metabolites
 194 (Stötefeld et al. 2012).

195 Collembolan olfactory cues are also provided by mosses. A win-win process is mediated by
 196 moss volatile compounds which act as kairomones. They increase moss fertilization success by
 197 favouring collembolan search for preferred habitat (Gerson 1969) and even for preferred food,
 198 although it has not been proven that sperm cells can act as a reward (Rosenstiel et al. 2012).
 199 Kairomones are also emitted by leaf litter, repellence to the collembolan *Sinella coeca* being induced
 200 by isoprene (2-methylbuta-1,3-diene) (Michelozzi et al. 1997). Repellence mediated by the smell of
 201 artificial compounds, such as the herbicide 2,4,5-T (2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid), has also been
 202 demonstrated in *Onychiurus quadriocellatus* (Eijsackers 1978). The odour of soil animals, e.g.
 203 earthworm themselves and their excreta, can be perceived at distance and used as a cue to find proper
 204 food and habitat, including protection from predators (Salmon and Ponge 2001; Salmon 2001, 2004;
 205 Salmon et al. 2005).

206 All these results point to a fundamental role of chemical communication in the functional
 207 relationships between soil organisms (DeAngelis 2016) and co-adaptation experiments even suggest
 208 that they could play a key role in the co-evolution between insects and fungi (Biedermann et al. 2019).
 209 It is here suggested that attractive, neutral and repellent odours could explain the so-called ‘home-field
 210 advantage hypothesis’, i.e. the optimization of litter decomposition when decomposers are processing
 211 litter in their own habitat (Perez et al. 2013; Austin et al. 2014; Veen et al. 2015). They could also
 212 explain ‘natal habitat preference induction’, i.e. the propensity of animal species to disperse in an
 213 environment that contains stimuli similar to those found in their original environment (Stamps and

214 Swaisgood 2007).

215 **Composition of collembolan semiochemicals**

216 Most insect pheromones are made of mixtures of various molecules, the proportion of which varies
 217 among species and within and among populations of the same species (Wertheim et al. 2005; Symonds
 218 and Elgar 2008). In various species, aggregation and sex pheromones are alcohols, esters, amino acids,
 219 fatty acids, aldehydes and ketones commonly linked to a hydrophobic alkyl chain. They are often
 220 derived from fatty acids, or from phenol (O'Connell 1986; Leal 1997; Tillman et al. 1999).
 221 Recognition mechanisms based on insect pheromones are highly species-specific (Roelofs and Brown
 222 1982; De Bruyne and Baker 2008; Kaissling 2014). This suggests that in collembolan too, the ratio of
 223 chemical compounds found in pheromones allows a fine tuning between emitters and receptors (Bruce
 224 et al. 2005; Lei et al. 2013).

225 In Collembola, various compounds have been isolated among alarm pheromones and
 226 necromones (chemicals arising from dead individuals). Most of them are aromatic compounds and
 227 fatty acids (Purrington et al. 1991; Messer et al. 1999; Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004b). Some
 228 compounds commonly isolated from collembolan alarm pheromones, such as linoleic acid, have a
 229 wide spectrum of action, being accordingly repulsive to ants, aphids, beetles and woodlice (Greenway
 230 et al. 1978; Nijholt 1980; Howard and Blomquist 1982; Rollo et al. 1994). Three compounds have
 231 been isolated from collembolan repulsive allomones (Table 3). The onychiurids *T. bielanensis*,
 232 *Deuteraphorura scotaria* and *Onychiurus circulans* were shown to excrete 2,3-dimethoxy-pyrido[2,3-
 233 b] pyrazine, a heterocyclic aromatic compound. However, the repellent effect of this molecule has
 234 only been observed in *T. bielanensis*. Furthermore, all onychiurids do not produce this molecule, since
 235 it has not been found in *P. armata* (Dettner et al. 1996; Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004b). These
 236 compounds were identified in fluids excreted by secretory organs (pseudocella) and were not found in
 237 food and faeces (Dettner et al. 1996). The hypogastrurid *Ceratophysella denticulata* was shown to
 238 excrete 3-hydroxy-4,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid (DmHbA) and 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid,
 239 better known as syringic acid (Bitzer et al. 2004). These phenolic acids are known for their strongly

irritating properties (Hayashi et al. 1999). The strong resemblance between repellent substances emitted by arthropods and allelochemicals produced by plants against herbivores (and other plants, i.e. allelopathy) has been highlighted a long time ago (Smolanoff et al. 1975). It can be explained by the use of plant semiochemicals as precursors of insect pheromones (Reddy and Guerrero 2004; Stökl and Steiger 2017; Blomquist et al. 2010). Nevertheless, Malcicka et al. (2017, 2018) showed that, similar to some other soil invertebrates, some collembolan species were able to synthesize de novo linoleic acid, a common component of alarm pheromones, while other arthropods needed to get it from their food. The attraction of males of *T. bielanensis* by the odour of females was shown to be mediated by the polar fraction of cuticular extracts, e.g. fatty acids, ketones, alcohols and esters (Porco et al. 2009). The (Z)-14-tricosenol hydrocarbon was shown to be the main volatile compound emitted by spermatophores (spermatic capsules deposited on the substrate) of the entomobryid *Orchesella cincta* (Zizzari et al. 2017). We did not find any study describing unambiguously the identification and biological activity of aggregation pheromones isolated from collembolan extracts. The only exception is a recent study in which potentially attractive cuticular extracts were isolated and fatty acids proposed as the pheromones (Liu and Wu 2017). However, the authors neither demonstrated unambiguously the role of the extracts in aggregation nor the emission or excretion of the compounds in the environment. Hence in the conducted experiments, the extracted fatty acids could have been dissolved from the animal body (Malcicka et al. 2017), making the results questionable. In the search of necromones (repellent molecules), synthetic palmitic acid was shown to be attractive to *P. armata* and was present in *P. armata*, *Folsomia candida*, *D. scotaria* and *O. circulans* (Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004a, b). However, these authors could not demonstrate that *P. armata* was attractive to *O. circulans* and *D. scotaria*. It suggests that the sensitivity to a given concentration of palmitic acid can vary according to species. Furthermore, fatty acids and fatty acid-related compounds (e.g. n-alkanes, n-alcohols) are well-known as persistent components of soil organic matter (Marseille et al. 1999). Consequently, distinguishing their potential role in aggregation phenomena is very challenging (Baldock and Nelson 2000, Reiffarth et al. 2016).

Despite their lack of chemical identification, diverse solubility and polarity properties of some collembolan aggregation pheromones have been established as yet. Those produced by *Hypogastrura viatica* and *O. cincta* (Mertens et al. 1979) are soluble in water while those emitted by the semi-aquatic *Anurida maritima* are insoluble in water but soluble in methanol (Manica et al. 2001). Aggregation pheromones extracted from *Cryptopygus antarcticus* and *Friesea grisea* were more soluble in methanol than in water and acetone, and were insoluble in hexane (Benoit et al. 2009). Those extracted from *P. armata* and *F. candida* were soluble in cyclohexane, hexane or dichloromethane (Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004a; Liu and Wu 2017). This wide range of polarities, while pointing to a common poor solubility in water, highlights the diversity of chemical compounds that can play a role as aggregation pheromones among collembolan species, as well as the difficulty to establish an efficient and common protocol for their isolation. The chemical characterization of such pheromones is still also challenging, most probably because there are produced at very low concentration. This implies a high number of individuals necessary for pheromone collection (Benoit et al. 2009). Olfactory attraction tests allowed deducing that aggregation pheromones are at least composed of some volatile molecules, while the effect of non-volatile molecules acting by contact cannot be excluded (Benoit et al. 2009). Given our knowledge of the chemical compositions of insect pheromones, it can be reasonably hypothesized that collembolan pheromones should be also complex mixtures of a broad range of chemical compounds (Wertheim et al. 2005).

Ecological and evolutionary perspectives in chemical communication among Collembola

Given that our knowledge on chemical communication among arthropods is mainly based on insect pheromones, we will present some ‘insect’ facts which should be of interest for future studies of Collembola semiochemicals. Among the most attractive research directions we can identify (i) the evolutionary point of view, and (ii) the roles played by the microbiota associated with springtails. The metabolic potential of endo- and ectomicrobiota opens new tracks. Furthermore, some of the molecules previously identified as springtail pheromones may possibly originate from the

292 microorganisms they host.

293 First, we question at which phylogenetic level olfactory signals vary, and whether they
 294 intervene in speciation mechanisms. It is known that scarab beetles of the same genus share the same
 295 sex pheromones (Leal 1997). This suggests that in this zoological group, speciation was allopatric and
 296 mediated by natural geographic or temporal discontinuities. Inter-species pheromone interactions even
 297 occur between species belonging to distinct insect orders, e.g. male wine flies responding to honey bee
 298 queen sex pheromones (Croft et al. 2017). In butterflies there were strong discrepancies between
 299 phylogenies based on morphological characters and those based on synthetic pathways of sex
 300 pheromones (Dugdale 1997). Most of these data nevertheless suggest a strong phylogenetic
 301 conservatism of sexual olfactory signals, as otherwise demonstrated in insect-plant interactions
 302 (Futuyma and Mitter 1996).

303 Contrary to sex pheromones, variegated results were obtained with insect aggregation
 304 pheromones. Despite preferences for conspecifics, cross-attraction between species belonging to
 305 various families is known as an adaptive mechanism thought to enhance species coexistence (Vet
 306 1999; Wertheim et al. 2005). Working with phylogenetic distances, Symonds and Elgar (2004)
 307 showed that no links between morphologically- and chemically-based scolytid phylogenies could be
 308 evidenced. On the contrary, a close relationship between both phylogenies was shown in drosophilids
 309 (Symonds and Wertheim 2005). In the former case, random saltational shifts in chemical
 310 communication systems, a typical case of punctuated equilibrium (Gould and Eldredge 1993), are
 311 expected to favour sympatric speciation. In this case, like geographical or ecological isolation,
 312 aggregation pheromones create behavioural barriers against gene flow (Baker 2002; Wertheim et al.
 313 2005; Mendelson et al. 2014). In the latter case, phyletic gradualism is expected because of the
 314 absence of selection pressure on aggregation pheromones, non-chemical cues being used in mate
 315 assortment (Symonds and Wertheim 2005).

316 Despite the lack of large datasets allowing discerning evolutionary trends in Collembola, some
 317 multi-species experiments deserve our attention. Positive as well as negative results of cross-repulsion

by necromones of another species were obtained (Purrington et al. 1991; Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004b). These results are not in favour of the existence of a phylogenetic signal related to necromones. Thus, further research is needed on this question, especially on sex or aggregation pheromones, before drawing straightforward conclusions. Multi-species attraction experiments in the order Entomobryomorpha showed that *Tomocerus minor* (family Tomoceridae) was attracted by aggregation pheromones of two species of *Orchesella* (family Entomobryidae), while *Orchesella* species were only attracted by their conspecifics (Verhoef et al. 1977a). On the contrary, two co-occurring Antarctic species, *Cryptopygus antarcticus* (Entomobryomorpha, family Isotomidae) and *Friesea grisea* (Poduromorpha, family Neanuridae) did not reveal any cross-attraction (Benoit et al. 2009). The same was observed for *P. armata* versus *O. circulans* and *D. scotaria*, all belonging to the same Onychiuridae family in Poduromorpha (Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004b). To date, no research of cross-attraction has been reported in Symphypleona and Neelipleona, the former displaying elaborate mating courtships in many species. Clearly, all these results are insufficient to discern a pattern of attraction as a function of the phylogenetic distance between species, since only one cross-attraction was observed between species belonging to different families. Consequently inferring a general trend in the role of chemical communication in collembolan species assembly rules and speciation is premature. Nevertheless, the partial results so far obtained point to the existence of asymmetrical effects of semiochemicals across species. Some species repel or attract other species, while the reverse does not apply (species “1” repels or attracts species “2”, but species “2” does not repel or attract species “1”). This might contribute to explain dominance effects in multi-species laboratory cultures (Christiansen 1967; Culver 1974; Christiansen et al. 1992; Walsh and Bolger 1993). Such mechanisms might also explain the field co-occurrence (Benoit et al. 2009) or on the contrary segregation (Ponge 1973; Ponge and Salmon 2013) of species sharing similar ecological requirements.

Some aspects of chemical communication, so far unquestioned within soil ecosystems, should now deserve the attention of researchers. When several species are attracted to the same food or habitat (Maraun et al. 2003; Staaden et al. 2011; Boulay et al. 2019), several events can occur following the encounter instar. Both species can compete by using the same resource (exploitative

competition), or be expelled from each other (interference competition), or even one of them may kill the other (egg predation, cannibalism). The sign (negative, positive, or nil) of pheromone interactions between species might determine the fate of their encounters. Indifference, avoidance or attraction might possibly act in advance of any contact or agonistic behaviour. Such a phenomenon cannot be revealed in laboratory culture conditions where species are constrained to cohabit in a restricted space. Positive or nil chemical interactions (between-species attraction or indifference, respectively) will result in multi-species aggregations around the same food or habitat, in the former case with a stabilizing mechanism according to Barot and Gignoux (2004). Conversely, negative interactions, whether symmetrical or asymmetrical, will result in either niche shifts or checkerboard distributions, according to different or similar ecological species requirements, respectively. A better knowledge of chemical communication systems might thus contribute to explain fine-scale niche segregation (Anderson 1978; Zhao et al. 2013) and competition-mediated over-dispersion of functional traits (Widenfalk et al. 2016), as observed in soil samples, and then to finally resolve the famous “enigma of soil animal species diversity” (Anderson 1975; Maraun et al. 2003; Wehner et al. 2016).

Finally, the development of our knowledge on the roles played by symbiotic and more largely associated microorganisms in the biology, physiology and biochemistry of any organism, from plants to mammals, thanks to metagenomics and metabolomics methods, opens avenues for further research on springtail communication molecules. Furthermore, many of the molecules previously identified as springtail pheromones are possibly originating from the microorganisms they host, rather than from the springtails themselves.

Undoubtedly, the role of the Collembola-associated microbiota in intra- and interspecies communication should be a major research field in the next decade. Until now, this role has been scarcely explored in insect communication since the 1970’s, and more actively since 2000 (Dillon and Charnley 2002; Sharon et al. 2013). Gut microbiota appears as the most important insect-associated microbial community, contributing to the production of sex or aggregation pheromones, or to the insect defence. As an example, the gut microbiota of the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* was

shown to produce guaiacol and phenols having both antimicrobial and aggregation pheromone functions (Dillon and Charnley 2002). Molecular defence in insects through their associated microorganisms has been largely explored (for a review, see Van Arnam et al. 2018). On another side, chemical studies point to the production of pyrazines as common communication molecules in many organisms, including Collembola (Dettner et al. 1996; Nilsson and Bengtsson 2004b). This suggests the possible involvement of the microbial compartment in animal chemical communication because pyrazine molecules are synthesized by several bacterial species (Dickschat et al. 2005).

However, in springtails, these orientations are still nascent. Bahrndorff et al. (2018) described the composition of the microbial communities associated with *Orchesella cincta* (Entomobryidae). The results supported a functional role of the microbiota in the enzymatic digestion of food, in the metabolism of aromatic amino acids that act as growth factors, and in soil metabolism including decomposition processes and biodegradation. However, the search for a role in communication was not a focus of the study.

Conclusions and future research needs

This review shows that the existence of aggregation, alarm and sex pheromones is unquestionable and verified experimentally. However, the studies suffered from several difficulties in collecting enough material for the identification of the molecules eliciting a signal. The small size of these animals, and difficulties in rearing them, notably but not only for Symphypleona, may explain why collembolan communication science does not make progress as fast as for other insects. Nothing is known on the receptors involved although strong clues indicate that antennal organs would play a role (Kollmann et al. 2011). It appears highly probable that given their phylogenetic position at the base of Hexapoda (Giribet et al. 2004), Collembola would share with bristletails the absence of specialized olfactory receptors (Missbach et al. 2014) to the benefit of generalized ionotropic receptors. Indeed, basal hexapods are proposed to have ionotropic receptors in common with Crustacea (Corey et al. 2013). Studies on antennal transcriptomes might solve this question.

The origin and kind of molecules involved in collembolan chemical communication needs more attention to the methods used to extract them and experimentally reconstruct the processes in play in aggregation, mating, foraging, and other behavioural responses. The origin of extracted molecules should be clearly determined. Especially, it is crucial to know whether they are excreted in the environment by the animal or not, and from which organ or compartment in the animal they arise. The role of extracted and identified molecules should be unambiguously demonstrated. A simple and instantaneous attraction does not mean that a given molecule enters mandatorily in the composition of aggregation pheromones. These molecules have also to induce a decrease in motility and a clustering of individuals in the course of time. As an example, fatty acids extracted from the body of *Folsomia candida* and attracting *F. candida* (Liu and Wu 2017) are known to attract also other microarthropods towards food sources such as bacteria (Brückner et al. 2018). Thus, all attractive molecules are not necessarily pheromone components. Rather than yes/no sensitivity to a single compound, there are clues in favour of the ability of Collembola to react quantitatively to chemical ‘fingerprints’, like this has been shown to occur in other arthropods (Barata et al. 2002).

We also opened avenues in the evolutionary and functional importance of collembolan chemical communication. More research on multi-species aggregation (versus segregation) might throw light on the reasons why so many species contribute to similar or complementary functions in the same soil sample (Bardgett 2002) while repeated sampling shows so much unexplained micro-scale variation (Ims et al. 2004). Moreover, the roles that the associated microbial communities could play in the production of collembolan semiochemicals may explain several discrepancies observed in the results acquired until now, such as variations in the chemical nature of the main pheromone components identified according to the location of collected individuals or their number (Benoit et al. 2009). It also appears that many of the results accumulated for the last 40 years would need being re-examined in the light of analysing the composition and metabolic potential of the endo- and global associated microbiota, using metagenomics and metabolomics. In this context it is clear that, taking into-account the current methodological advances in analytical chemistry, massively parallel sequencing technology (NGS) and the potential applications of signalling molecules in various

domains and particularly in agricultural ecology to reduce pest incidence (Beck et al 2018),
deciphering springtail communication strategies offers an extraordinary opportunity for future
multidisciplinary research.

References

- Altner H, Thies G (1976) The postantennal organ: a specialized unicellular sensory input to the
protocerebrum in apterygotan insects (Collembola). *Cell Tissue Res* 167:97–110
- Anderson JM (1975) The enigma of soil animal species diversity. In Vanek J (Ed) *Progress in soil
zoology*. Academia, Prague, pp 51–58
- Anderson JM (1978) Competition between two unrelated species of soil Cryptostigmata (Acari) in
experimental microcosms. *J Anim Ecol* 47:787–803
- Auclerc A, Libourel PA, Salmon S, Bels V, Ponge JF (2010) Assessment of movement patterns in
Folsomia candida (Hexapoda: Collembola) in the presence of food. *Soil Biol Biochem*
42:657–659
- Auclerc A, Ponge JF, Barot S, Dubs F (2009) Experimental assessment of habitat preference and
dispersal ability of soil springtails. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:1596–1604
- Austin AT, Vivanco L, González-Arzac A, Pérez LI (2014) There's no place like home ? An
exploration of the mechanisms behind plant litter-decomposer affinity in terrestrial
ecosystems. *New Phytol* 204:307–314
- Bais HP, Weir TL, Perry LG, Gilroy S, Vivanco JM (2006) The role of root exudates in rhizosphere
interactions with plants and other organisms. *Ann Rev Plant Biol* 57:233–266
- Baker TC (2002) Mechanism for saltational shifts in pheromone communication systems. *Proc Natl
Acad Sci USA* 99:13368–13370
- Baldock JA, Nelson PN (2000) Soil organic matter. In Sumner ME et al. (eds) *Handbook of soil*

- 446 science. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp B25–B84
- 447 Barata EN, Mustaparta H, Pickett JA, Wadhams LJ, Araujo J (2002) Encoding of host and non-host
 448 plant odours by receptor neurones in the eucalyptus woodborer, *Phoracantha semipunctata*
 449 (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). J Comp Physiol A 188:121–133
- 450 Bahrndorff, S, De Jonge N, Hansen JK, Lauritzen JMS, Spanggaard LH, Sorensen MH, Yde M,
 451 Nielsen JL (2018) Diversity and metabolic potential of the microbiota associated with a soil
 452 arthropod. Sci Reports 8:2491
- 453 Bardgett RD (2002) Causes and consequences of biological diversity in soil. Zoology 105:367–374
- 454 Bardgett RD, Van der Putten WH (2014) Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning.
 455 Nature 515:505–511
- 456 Barot, S, Gignoux J (2004) Mechanisms promoting plant coexistence: can all the proposed processes
 457 be reconciled? Oikos 106:185–192
- 458 Barra JA, Christiansen K (1975) Experimental study of aggregations during the development of
 459 *Pseudosinella impediens* (Collembola, Entomobryidae). Pedobiologia 15:343–347
- 460 Beck JJ, Alborn HT, Block AK, Christensen SA, Hunter CT, Rering CC, Seidl-Adams I, Stuhl CJ,
 461 Torto B, Tumlinson JH (2018) Interactions among plants, insects, and microbes: elucidation
 462 of inter-organismal chemical communications in agricultural ecology. J Agric Food Chem
 463 66:6663–6674
- 464 Bell WJ, Tobin TR (1982) Chemo-orientation. Biol Rev Cambridge Phil Soc 57:219–260
- 465 Bengtsson G, Erlandsson A, Rundgren S (1988) Fungal odour attracts soil Collembola. Soil Biol
 466 Biochem 20:25–30
- 467 Bengtsson G, Hedlund K, Rundgren S (1991) Selective odor perception in the soil Collembola
 468 *Onychiurus armatus*. J Chem Ecol 17:2113–2125

- 469 Bengtsson G, Hedlund K, Rundgren S (1994) Food- and density-dependent dispersal: evidence from a
470 soil collembolan. *J Anim Ecol* 63:513–520
- 471 Benoit JB, Elnitsky MA, Schulte GG, Lee RE Jr, Denlinger D.L (2009) Antarctic collembolans use
472 chemical signals to promote aggregation and egg laying. *J Insect Behav* 22:121–133
- 473 Bertness MD, Callaway R (1994) Positive interactions in communities. *Trends Ecol Evol* 9:191–193
- 474 Betsch-Pinot MC (1977) Les parades sexuelles primitives chez les Collemboles Symphypléones. *Rev*
475 *Ecol Biol Sol* 14:15–19
- 476 Biedermann PHW, De Fine Licht HK, Rohlf M (2019) Evolutionary chemo-ecology of insect-fungus
477 interactions: still in its infancy but advancing. *Fungal Ecol* 38:1–6
- 478 Bitzer C, Brasse G, Dettner K, Schulz S (2004) Benzoic acid derivatives in a hypogastrurid
479 collembolan: temperature-dependent formation and biological significance as deterrents. *J*
480 *Chem Ecol* 30:1591–1602
- 481 Blomquist GJ, Figueroa-Teran R, Aw M, Song MM, Gorzalski A, Abbott NL, Chang E, Tittiger C
482 (2010) Pheromone production in bark beetles. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 40:699–712
- 483 Blouin M, Zuily-Fodil Y, Pham-Thi AT, Laffray D, Reversat G, Pando A, Tondoh J, Lavelle P (2005)
484 Belowground organism activities affect plant aboveground phenotype, inducing plant
485 tolerance to parasites. *Ecol Lett* 8:202–208
- 486 Bonkowski M (2004) Protozoa and plant growth: the microbial loop in soil revisited. *New Phytol*
487 162:617–631
- 488 Boulay J, Aubernon C, Ruxton GD, Hédouin V, Deneubourg JL, Charabidzé V (2019) Mixed-species
489 aggregations in arthropods. *Insect Sci* 26:2–19
- 490 Bruce TJA, Wadhams LJ, Woodcock CM (2005) Insect host location: a volatile situation. *Trends Plant*
491 *Sci* 10:269–274

- 492 Brückner A, Schuster R, Smit T, Pollierer MM, Schäffler I, Heethoff M (2018) Track the snaff:
 493 olfactory cues shape foraging behaviour of decomposing soil mites (Oribatida). *Pedobiologia*
 494 66:74–80
- 495 Callaway RM (2002) The detection of neighbors by plants. *Trends Ecol Evol* 17:104–105
- 496 Callaway RM, Brooker RW, Choler P, Kikvidze Z, Lortie CJ, Michalet R, Paolini L, Pugnaire FI,
 497 Newingham B, Aschehoug ET, Armas C, Kikodze D, Cook BJ (2002) Positive interactions
 498 among alpine plants increase with stress. *Nature* 417:844–848
- 499 Chauvat M, Perez G, Ponge JF (2014) Foraging patterns of soil springtails are impacted by food
 500 resources. *Appl Soil Ecol* 82:72–77
- 501 Chernova NM, Potapov MB, Savenkova YY, Bokova AI (2010) Ecological significance of
 502 parthenogenesis in Collembola. *Entomol Rev* 90:23–38
- 503 Christiansen K (1967) Competition between collembolan species in culture jars. *Rev Ecol Biol Sol*
 504 4:439–462
- 505 Christiansen K, Doyle M, Kahlert M, Gobaleza D (1992) Interspecific interactions between
 506 collembolan populations in culture. *Pedobiologia* 36:274–286
- 507 Combès A, Ndoye I, Bance C, Bruzaud J, Djediat C, Dupont J, Nay B, Prado S (2012) Chemical
 508 communication between the endophytic fungus *Paraconiothyrium variabile* and the
 509 phytopathogen *Fusarium oxysporum*. *PLoS ONE* 7:e47313
- 510 Corey EA, Bobkov Y, Ukhanov K, Ache BW (2013) Ionotropic crustacean olfactory receptors. *PLoS*
 511 *ONE* 8:e60551
- 512 Croft JR, Liu T, Camiletti AL, Simon AF, Thompson GJ (2017) Sexual response of male *Drosophila*
 513 to honey bee queen mandibular pheromone: implications for generic studies of social insects. *J*
 514 *Comp Physiol A* 203:143–149

- 515 Culver D (1974) Competition between Collembola in a patchy environment. *Rev Ecol Biol Sol*
 516 11:533–540
- 517 DeAngelis KM (2016) Chemical communication connects soil food webs. *Soil Biol Biochem* 102:48–
 518 51
- 519 De Bruyn, M, Baker TC (2008) Odor detection in insects: volatile codes. *J Chem Ecol* 34:882–897
- 520 Dettner K, Scheuerlein A, Fabian P, Schulz S, Francke W (1996) Chemical defense of giant springtail
 521 *Tetradotonphora bielanensis* (Waga) (Insecta: Collembola). *J Chem Ecol* 22:1051–1074
- 522 De Vries FT, Thébault E, Liiri M, Birkhofer K, Tsiafouli MA, Bjørnlund L, Bracht Jørgensen H,
 523 Brady MV, Christensen S, De Ruiter PC, D’Hertefeldt T, Frouz J, Hedlund K, Hemerik L, Hol
 524 WHG, Hotes S, Mortimer SR, Setälä H, Sgardelis SP, Uteseny K, Van der Putten WH,
 525 Wolters V, Bardgett RD (2013) Soil food web properties explain ecosystem services across
 526 European land use systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110:14296–14301
- 527 Dickschat JS, Reichenbach H, Wagner-Dobler I, Schulz S (2005) Novel pyrazines from the
 528 myxobacterium *Chondromyces crocatus* and marine bacteria. *Eur J Org Chem* 4141–4153
- 529 Dillon R, Charnley K (2002) Mutualism between the desert locust *Schistocerca gregaria* and its gut
 530 microbiota. *Res Microbiol* 153:503–509
- 531 Dugdale JS (1997) Pheromone and morphology-based phylogenies in New Zealand tortricid moths. In
 532 Carde RT, Minks AK (eds) *Insect pheromone research: new directions*. Chapman and Hall,
 533 London, pp 463–472
- 534 Eijsackers H (1978) Side effects of the herbicide 2,4,5-T affecting mobility and mortality of the
 535 springtail *Onychiurus quadricellatus* Gisin (Collembola). *Z Angew Entomol* 86:349–372
- 536 Ferlian O, Klärner B, Langeneckert AE, Scheu S (2015) Trophic niche differentiation and utilisation
 537 of food resources in collembolans based on complementary analyses of fatty acids and stable
 538 isotopes. *Soil Biol Biochem* 82:28–35

- 539 Futuyma DJ, Mitter C (1996) Insect-plant interactions: the evolution of component communities. *Phil*
 540 *Trans R Soc London B* 351:1361–1366
- 541 Gerson U (1969) Moss-arthropod associations. *Bryologist* 72:495–500
- 542 Giribet G, Edgecombe GD, Carpenter JM, D’Haese C, Wheeler WC (2004) Is *Ellipura* monophyletic?
 543 A combined analysis of basal hexapod relationships with emphasis on the origin of insects.
 544 *Organ Divers Evol* 4: 319–340
- 545 Glasgow JP (1939) A population study of subterranean soil Collembola. *J Anim Ecol* 8:323–353
- 546 Gould SJ, Eldredge N (1993) Punctuated equilibrium comes of age. *Nature* 366:223–227
- 547 Greenfield MD (1981) Moth sex pheromones: an evolutionary perspective. *Florida Entomol* 64:4–17
- 548 Greenway AR, Griffiths DC, Lloyd SL (1978) Response of *Myzus persicae* to components of aphid
 549 extracts and to carboxylic acids. *Entomol Exp Appl* 24:369–374
- 550 Hale WG (1966) A population study of moorland Collembola. *Pedobiologia* 6:65–99
- 551 Hayashi M, Nakamura Y, Higashi K, Kato H, Kishida F, Kaneko H (1999) A quantitative structure-
 552 activity relationship study of the skin irritation potential of phenols. *Toxicol Vitro* 13:915–922
- 553 Heděnc P, Radochová P, Nováková A, Kaneda S, Frouz J (2013) Grazing preference and utilization
 554 of soil fungi by *Folsomia candida* (Isotomidae: Collembola). *Eur J Soil Biol* 55:66–70
- 555 Hedlund K, Bengtsson G, Rundgren S (1995) Fungal odour discrimination in two sympatric species of
 556 fungivorous collembolans. *Funct Ecol* 9:869–875
- 557 Hedlund K, Ek H, Gunnarsson T, Svegborn C (1990) Mate choice and male competition in *Orchesella*
 558 *cincta* (Collembola). *Experientia* 46:524–526
- 559 Hopkin SP (1997) Biology of the springtails (Insecta: Collembola). Oxford University Press, Oxford
- 560 Howard RW, Blomquist GJ (1982) Chemical ecology and biochemistry of insect hydrocarbons. *Ann*

- 561 Rev Entomol 27:149–172
- 562 Huber I (1978) Prey attraction and immobilization by allomone from nymphs of *Womersia*
 563 *strandtmanni* (Acarina: Trombiculidae). *Acarologia* 20:112–115
- 564 Ims RA, Leinaas HP, Coulson S (2004) Spatial and temporal variation in patch occupancy and
 565 population density in a model system of an arctic Collembola species assemblage. *Oikos*
 566 105:89–100
- 567 Ishii S, Kuwahara Y (1967) An aggregation pheromone of the German cockroach *Blatella germanica*
 568 L. (Orthoptera: Blatellidae). I. Site of the pheromone production. *Appl Entomol Zool* 2:203–
 569 217
- 570 Joosse ENG (1970) The formation and biological significance of aggregations in the distribution of
 571 Collembola. *Netherl J Zool* 20:299–314
- 572 Joosse ENG (1971) Ecological aspects of aggregation in Collembola. *Rev Ecol Biol Sol* 8:91–97
- 573 Joosse ENG, Koelman TACM (1979) Evidence for the presence of aggregation pheromones in
 574 *Onychiurus armatus* (Collembola), a pest insect in sugar beet. *Entomol Exp Appl* 26:197–201
- 575 Joosse ENG, Verhoef HA (1974) On the aggregational habits of surface dwelling Collembola.
 576 *Pedobiologia* 14:245–249
- 577 Jørgensen HB, Johansson T, Canbäck B, Hedlund K, Tunlid A (2005) Selective foraging of fungi by
 578 collembolans in soil. *Biol Lett* 1:243–246
- 579 Jousset A, Scheu S, Bonkowski M (2008) Secondary metabolite production facilitates establishment of
 580 rhizobacteria by reducing both protozoan predation and the competitive effects of indigenous
 581 bacteria. *Functional Ecology* 2008:714–719
- 582 Kaissling KE (2014) Pheromone reception in insects: the example of silk moths. In: Mucignat-Caretta
 583 C (ed.) *Neurobiology of chemical communication*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp 99–146

- 584 Karlson P, Luscher M (1959) Pheromones: new term for a class of biologically active substances.
585 Nature 183:55-56
- 586 Karuhize GR (1971) The structure of the postantennal organ in *Onychiurus sp.* (Insecta: Collembola)
587 and its connection to the central nervous system. Z Zellforsch Mikroskop Anatomie 118:263–
588 282
- 589 Keil TA (1999) Morphology and development of the peripheral olfactory organs. In: Hansson BS (ed),
590 Insect olfaction. Springer Nature, Stuttgart, pp 5–47
- 591 Kieilty JP, Allen-Williams LJ, Underwood N, Eastwood EA (1996) Behavioral responses of three
592 species of ground beetle (Coleoptera: Carabidae) to olfactory cues associated with prey and
593 habitat. J Insect Behav 9:237–250
- 594 Knight CB, Angel RA (1967) A preliminary study of the dietary requirements of *Tomocerus*
595 (Collembola). Am Midl Nat 77:510–517
- 596 Kollmann M, Huetteroth W, Schachtner J (2011) Brain organization in Collembola (springtails).
597 Arthropod Struct Develop 40:304–316
- 598 Kozlowski MW, Shi AX (2006) Ritual behaviors associated with spermatophore transfer in
599 *Deuterosminthurus bicinctus* (Collembola: Bourletiellidae). J Ethol 24:103–109
- 600 Krool S, Bauer T (1987) Reproduction, development, pheromone secretion in *Heteromurus nitidus*
601 Templeton 1835 (Collembola, Entomobryidae). Rev Ecol Biol Sol 24:187–195
- 602 Kuenen DJ, Nooteboom HP (1963) Olfactory orientation in some land-isopods (Oniscoidea,
603 Crustacea). Entomol Exp Appl 6:133–142
- 604 Laland KN, Boogert NJ (2010) Niche construction, co-evolution and biodiversity. Ecol Econom
605 69:731–736
- 606 Lavelle P, Spain A, Blouin M, Brown G, Decaëns T, Grimaldi M, Jiménez JJ, McKey D, Mathieu J,

- 607 Velasquez E, Zangerlé A (2016) Ecosystem engineers in a self-organized soil: a review of
608 concepts and future research questions. *Soil Sci* 181:91–109
- 609 Leach JE, Triplett LR, Argueso CT, Trivedi P (2017) Communication in the phytobiome. *Cell*
610 169:587–596
- 611 Leal WS (1997) Evolution of sex pheromone communication in plant-feeding scarab beetles. In Carde
612 RT, Minks AK (eds), *Insect pheromone research: new directions*. Chapman and Hall, London,
613 pp 505–513
- 614 Lei H, Chiu HY, Hildebrand JG (2013) Responses of protocerebral neurons in *Manduca sexta* to sex-
615 pheromone mixtures. *J Comp Physiol A* 199:997–1014
- 616 Leigh EG Jr, Rowell TE (1995) The evolution of mutualism and other forms of harmony at various
617 levels of biological organization. *Ecologie* 26:131–158
- 618 Leinaas HP (1983) Synchronized moulting controlled by communication in group-living Collembola.
619 *Science* 219:193–195
- 620 Leonard MA, Bradbury PC (1984) Aggregative behaviour in *Folsomia candida* (Collembola:
621 Isotomidae), with respect to previous conditioning. *Pedobiologia* 26:369–372
- 622 Liu J, Wu DH (2017) Chemical attraction of conspecifics in *Folsomia candida* (Collembola). *J Insect*
623 *Behav* 30:331–341
- 624 Lyford WH (1975) Overland migration of Collembola (*Hypogastrura nivicola* Fith) colonies. *Am*
625 *Midl Nat* 94:205–209
- 626 Malcicka M, Ruther J, Ellers J (2017) *De novo* synthesis of linoleic acid in multiple Collembola
627 species. *J Chem Ecol* 43:911–919
- 628 Malcicka M, Visser B, Ellers J (2018) An evolutionary perspective on linoleic acid synthesis in
629 animals. *Evolutionary Biology* 45, 15-26.

- 630 Manica A, McMeechan FK, Foster W.A (2001) An aggregation pheromone in the intertidal
631 collembolan *Anurida maritima*. Entomol Exp Appl 99:393–395
- 632 Maraun M, Martens H, Migge S, Theenhaus A, Scheu S (2003) Adding to the ‘enigma of soil animal
633 diversity’: fungal feeders and saprophagous soil invertebrates prefer similar food substrates.
634 Eur J Soil Biol 39:85–95
- 635 Marseille F, Disnar JR, Guillet B, Noack Y (1999) *n*-Alkanes and free fatty acids in humus and A1
636 horizons of soils under beech, spruce and grass in the Massif-Central (Mont-Lozère), France.
637 Eur J Soil Sci 50:433–431
- 638 Mendelson TC, Martin MD, Flaxman S (2014) Mutation-order divergence by sexual selection:
639 diversification of sexual signals in similar environments as a first step in speciation. Ecol Lett
640 17:1053–1066
- 641 Mertens J, Blancquaert JP, Bougoignie R (1979) Aggregation pheromone in *Orchesella cincta*
642 (Collembola). Rev Ecol Biol Sol 16:441–447
- 643 Mertens J, Bourgoignie R (1977) Aggregation pheromone in *Hypogastrura viatica* (Collembola).
644 Behav Ecol Sociobiol 2:41–48
- 645 Messer C, Dettner K, Schulz S, Francke W (1999) Phenolic compounds in *Neanura muscorum*
646 (Collembola, Neanuridae) and the role of 1,3-dimethoxybenzene as an alarm substance.
647 Pedobiologia 43:174–182
- 648 Michelozzi M, Raschi A, Tognetti R, Tosi L (1997) Eco-ethological analysis of the interaction
649 between isoprene and the behaviour of Collembola. Pedobiologia 41:210–214
- 650 Missbach C, Dweck HKM, Vogel H, Vilcinskis A, Stensmyr MC, Hansson BS, Grosse-Wilde E
651 (2014) Evolution of insect olfactory receptors. eLIFE 3:e02115
- 652 Negri I (2004) Spatial distribution of Collembola in presence and absence of a predator. Pedobiologia
653 48:585–588

- 654 Nijholt WW (1980) Pine oil and oleic acid delay and reduce attacks on logs by ambrosia beetles
655 (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Can Entomol* 112:199–204
- 656 Nilsson E, Bengtsson G (2004a) Death odour changes movement pattern of a Collembola. *Oikos*
657 104:509–517
- 658 Nilsson E, Bengtsson G (2004b) Endogenous free fatty acids repel and attract Collembola. *J Chem*
659 *Ecol* 30:1431–1443
- 660 O’Connell RJ (1986) Chemical communication in invertebrates. *Experientia* 42:232–241
- 661 Perez G, Aubert M, Decaëns T, Trap J, Chauvat M (2013) Home-Field Advantage: a matter of
662 interaction between litter biochemistry and decomposer biota. *Soil Biol Biochem* 67:245–254
- 663 Peters NK, Verma DPS (1990) Phenolic compounds as regulators of gene expression in plant-microbe
664 interactions. *Mol Plant-Microb Interact* 3:4–8
- 665 Pfander I, Zettel J (2004) Chemical communication in *Ceratophysella sigillata* (Collembola:
666 Hypogastruridae): intraspecific reaction to alarm substances. *Pedobiologia* 48:575–580
- 667 Ponge JF (1973) Application de l’analyse factorielle des correspondances à l’étude des variations
668 annuelles dans les populations de microarthropodes. *Bull Ecol* 4:319–327
- 669 Ponge JF (2000) Vertical distribution of Collembola (Hexapoda) and their food resources in organic
670 horizons of beech forests. *Biol Fertil Soils* 32:508–522
- 671 Ponge JF, Salmon S (2013) Spatial and taxonomic correlates of species and species trait assemblages
672 in soil invertebrate communities. *Pedobiologia* 56:129–136
- 673 Poole TB (1961) An ecological study of the Collembola in a coniferous forest soil. *Pedobiologia*
674 1:113–137
- 675 Porco D, Deharveng L, Gers C (2004) Sexual discrimination with cuticular lipids in *Schoetella*
676 *ununguiculata* (Tullberg, 1869) (Collembola: Hypogastruridae). *Pedobiologia* 48:581–583

- 677 Porco D, Deharveng L, Skarżyński D (2009) Sex pheromone in *Tetrodontophora bielanensis* (Waga,
678 1842) (Collembola: Onychiuridae): indirect reproduction mediated by cuticular compounds.
679 Pedobiologia 53:59–63
- 680 Prinzing A, Ozinga WA, Brändle M, Courty PE, Hennion F, Labandeira C, Parisod C, Pihain M,
681 Bartish IV (2017) Benefits from living together? Clades whose species use similar habitats
682 may persist as a result of eco-evolutionary feedbacks. New Phytol 213:66–82
- 683 Puga-Freitas R, Blouin M (2015) A review of the effects of soil organisms on plant hormone
684 signalling pathways. Environ Exp Bot 114:104–116
- 685 Purrington FF, Kendall PA, Bater JE, Stinner BR (1991) Alarm pheromone in a gregarious
686 poduromorph collembolan (Collembola: Hypogastruridae). Great Lakes Entomol 24:75–78
- 687 Reddy GVP, Guerrero A (2004) Interactions of insect pheromones and plant semiochemicals. Trends
688 Plant Sci 9:253–261
- 689 Reiffarth EL, Petticrew PN, Owens DA Lobb DG (2016) Sources of variability in fatty acid (FA)
690 biomarkers in the application of compound-specific stable isotopes (CSSIs) to soil and
691 sediment fingerprinting and tracing: a review. Sci Total Environ 565:8–27
- 692 Roelofs WL, Brown RL (1982) Pheromones and evolutionary relationships of Tortricidae. Ann Rev
693 Ecol Syst 13:395–422
- 694 Rollo CD, Czyzewska E, Borden JH (1994) Fatty acid necromones for cockroaches.
695 Naturwissenschaften 81:409–410
- 696 Rosenberg E, Zilber-Rosenberg I (2016) Microbes drive evolution of animals and plants: the
697 hologenome concept. mBio 7:e01395-15
- 698 Rosenstiel TN, Shortlidge EE, Melnychenko AN, Pankow JF, Eppley SM (2012) Sex-specific volatile
699 compounds influence microarthropod-mediated fertilization of moss. Nature 489:431–433

- 700 Sadaka-Laulan N, Ponge JF, Roquebert MF, Bury É, Boumezzough A (1998) Feeding preferences of
701 the collembolan *Onychiurus sinensis* for fungi colonizing holm oak litter (*Quercus*
702 *rotundifolia* Lam.). Eur J Soil Biol 34:179–188
- 703 Salmon S (2001) Earthworm excreta (mucus and urine) affect the distribution of springtails in forest
704 soils. Biol Fertil Soils 34:304–310
- 705 Salmon S (2004) The impact of earthworms on the abundance of Collembola: improvement of food
706 resources or of habitat? Biol Fertil Soils 40:323–333
- 707 Salmon S, Geoffroy JJ, Ponge JF (2005) Earthworms and Collembola relationships: effects of
708 predatory centipedes and humus forms. Soil Biol Biochem 37:487–495
- 709 Salmon S, Ponge JF (1999) Distribution of *Heteromurus nitidus* (Hexapoda, Collembola) according to
710 soil acidity: interactions with earthworms and predator pressure. Soil Biol Biochem 31:1161–
711 1170
- 712 Salmon S, Ponge JF (2001) Earthworm excreta attract soil springtails: laboratory experiments on
713 *Heteromurus nitidus* (Collembola: Entomobryidae). Soil Biol Biochem 33:1959–1969
- 714 Sánchez-García A, Peñalver E, Delclòs X, Engel MS (2018) Mating and aggregative behaviors among
715 basal hexapods in the Early Cretaceous. PLoS One 13:e0191669
- 716 Sanon A, Andrianjaka ZN, Prin Y, Bally R, Thioulouse J, Comte G, Duponnois R (2009) Rhizosphere
717 microbiota interferes with plant-plant interactions. Plant Soil 321:259–278
- 718 Sbarbati A, Osculati F (2006) Allelochemical communication in vertebrates: kairomones, allomones
719 and synomones. Cells Tissues Organs 183:206–219
- 720 Schooley RL, Wiens JA (2003) Finding habitat patches and directional connectivity. Oikos 102:559–
721 570
- 722 Seastedt TR (1984) The role of microarthropods in decomposition and mineralization process. Ann

- 723 Rev Entomol 29:25-46
- 724 Sharon G, Segal D, Ringo JM, Hefetz A, Zilber-Rosenberg I, Rosenberg E (2013) Commensal
 725 bacteria play a role in mating preference of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Proc Natl Acad Sci
 726 USA 110:4853-4853
- 727 Shorey HH (1973) Behavioural responses to insect pheromones. Ann Rev Entomol 18:349–380
- 728 Smolanoff J, Kluge AF, Meinwald J, McPhail A, Miller RW, Hicks K, Eisner T (1975) Polyzonimine:
 729 a novel terpenoid insect repellent produced by a millipede. Science 188:734–736
- 730 Staaen S, Milcu A, Rohlf M, Scheu S (2011) Olfactory cues associated with fungal grazing intensity
 731 and secondary metabolite pathway modulate Collembola foraging behaviour. Soil Biol
 732 Biochem 43:1411–1416
- 733 Stachowicz JJ (2001) Mutualism, facilitation, and the structure of ecological communities. BioScience
 734 51:235–246
- 735 Stam E, Isaaks A, Ernsting G (2002) Distant lovers: spermatophore deposition and destruction
 736 behaviour by male springtails. J Insect Behav 15:253–268
- 737 Stamps JA, Swaisgood RR (2007) Someplace like home: experience, habitat selection and
 738 conservation biology. Appl Anim Behav Sci 102:392–409
- 739 Stökl J, Steiger S (2017) Evolutionary origin of insect pheromones. Curr Opinion Insect Sci 24:36–42
- 740 Stötefeld L, Scheu, S, Rohlf M (2012) Fungal chemical defence alters density-dependent foraging
 741 behaviour and success in a fungivorous soil arthropod. Ecol Entomol 37:323–329
- 742 Symonds MRE, Elgar MA (2004) The mode of pheromone evolution: evidence from bark beetles.
 743 Proc R Soc London B 271:839–846
- 744 Symonds MRE, Elgar M.A (2008) The evolution of pheromone diversity. Trends Ecol Evol 23:220–
 745 228

- 746 Symonds MRE, Wertheim B (2005) The mode of evolution of aggregation pheromones in *Drosophila*
747 species. J Evol Biol 18:1253–1263
- 748 Taga ME, Bassler BL (2003) Chemical communication among bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
749 100:14549–14554
- 750 Tillman JA, Seybold SJ, Jurenka RA, Blomquist G.J (1999) Insect pheromones: an overview of
751 biosynthesis and endocrine regulation. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 29:481–514
- 752 Usher MB (1969) Some properties of the aggregations of soil arthropods: Collembola. J Anim Ecol
753 38:607–622
- 754 Usher MB, Balogun RA (1966) A defence mechanism in *Onychiurus* (Collembola, Onychiuridae).
755 Entomol Monthly Mag 102:237–238
- 756 Van Arnam EB, Currie CR, Clardy J (2018) Defense contracts: molecular protection in insect-microbe
757 symbioses. Chem Soc Rev 47:1638–1651
- 758 Veen GF, Freschet GT, Ordonez A, Wardle DA (2015) Litter quality and environmental controls of
759 home-field advantage effects on litter decomposition. Oikos 124:187–195
- 760 Verhoef HA (1984) Releaser and primer pheromones in Collembola. J Insect Physiol 30:665–670
- 761 Verhoef HA, Nagelkerke CJ (1977) Formation and ecological significance of aggregations of
762 Collembola. Oecologia 31, 215–226.
- 763 Verhoef HA, Nagelkerke CJ, Joosse ENG (1977a) Aggregation pheromones in Collembola. J Insect
764 Physiol 23:1009–1013
- 765 Verhoef HA, Nagelkerke CJ, Joosse ENG (1977b) Aggregation pheromones in Collembola
766 (Apterygota): a biotic cause of aggregation. Rev Ecol Biol Sol 14:21–25
- 767 Vet LEM (1999) From chemical to population ecology: infochemical use in an evolutionary context. J
768 Chem Ecol 25:31–49

- 769 Waldorf ES (1974a) Sex pheromone in the springtail, *Sinella curviseta*. Environ Entomol 3:916–918
- 770 Waldorf ES (1974b) Variations in cleaning between the sexes of *Sinella coeca* (Collembola:
771 Entomobryidae). Psyche 81:254–257
- 772 Waldorf ES (1976) Antennal amputation in *Sinella curviseta* (Collembola: Entomobryidae). Ann
773 Entomol Soc Am 69:841–842
- 774 Wall DH, Moore J.C (1999) Interactions underground: soil biodiversity, mutualism, and ecosystem
775 processes. BioScience 49:109–117
- 776 Walsh MI, Bolger T (1993) Effects of diet on the interactions between *Hypogastrura denticulata*
777 Bagnall and *Onychiurus furcifer* Börner in laboratory cultures. Eur J Soil Biol 29:155–160
- 778 Wehner K, Norton RA, Blüthgen N, Heethoff M (2016) Specialization of Oribatid mites to forest
779 microhabitats: the enigmatic role of litter. Ecosphere 7:e01336
- 780 Wenke K, Kai M, Piechulla B (2010) Belowground volatiles facilitate interactions between plant roots
781 and soil organisms. Planta 231:499–506
- 782 Wertheim B, Van Baalen EJA, Dicke M, Vet LEM (2005) Pheromone-mediated aggregation in non-
783 social arthropods: an evolutionary ecological perspective. Ann Rev Entomol 50:321–346
- 784 Westerberg L, Lindström T, Nilsson E, Wennergren U (2008) The effect on dispersal from complex
785 correlations in small-scale movement. Ecol Modell 213:263–272
- 786 Widenfalk LA, Malmström A, Berg MP, Bengtsson J (2016) Small-scale Collembola community
787 composition in a pine forest soil: overdispersion in functional traits indicates the importance of
788 species interactions. Soil Biol Biochem 103:52–62
- 789 Wilson EO, Bossert WH (1963) Chemical communication among animals. Recent Prog Horm Res
790 19:673–716
- 791 Wisz MS, Pottier J, Kissling WD, Pellissier L, Lenoir J, Damgaard CF, Dormann, CF, Forchhammer

- 792 MC, Grytnes JA, Guisan A et al. (2013) The role of biotic interactions in shaping distributions
793 and realised assemblages of species: implications for species distribution modelling. *Biol Rev*
794 88:15–30
- 795 Zhao C, Griffin JN, Wu XW, Sun SC (2013) Predatory beetles facilitate plant growth by driving
796 earthworms to lower soil layers. *J Anim Ecol* 82:749–758
- 797 Zizzari ZV, Braakhuis A, Van Straalen NM, Ellers J (2009) Female preference and fitness benefits of
798 mate choice in a species with dissociated sperm transfer. *Anim Behav* 78:1261–1267
- 799 Zizzari ZV, Engl T, Lorenz S, Van Straalen NM, Ellers J, Groot AT (2017) Love at first sniff: a
800 spermatophore-associated pheromone mediates partner attraction in a collembolan species.
801 *Anim Behav* 124:221–227

802

803 **Table 1.** Observations of aggregation pheromones in collembolan species.

Order	Superfamily	Species name	Literature source
Poduromorpha	<i>Hypogastruridae</i>	<i>Hypogastrura viatica</i>	Mertens and Bourgoignie (1977)
	<i>Neanuridae</i>	<i>Anurida maritima</i>	Manica et al. (2001)
	<i>Neanuridae</i>	<i>Friesea grisea</i>	Benoit et al. (2009)
	<i>Onychiuridae</i>	<i>Protaphorura armata</i>	Joosse (1970), Joosse and Koelman (1979)
Entomobryomorpha	<i>Entomobryidae</i>	<i>Entomobrya nivalis</i>	Joosse (1970)
	<i>Entomobryidae</i>	<i>Heteromurus nitidus</i>	Krool and Bauer (1987)
	<i>Entomobryidae</i>	<i>Lepidocyrtus cyaneus</i>	Joosse (1970)
	<i>Entomobryidae</i>	<i>Orchesella cincta</i>	Mertens and Bourgoignie (1977), Verhoef et al. (1977a)
	<i>Entomobryidae</i>	<i>Orchesella villosa</i>	Verhoef et al. (1977a)
	<i>Tomoceridae</i>	<i>Tomocerus minor</i>	Joosse (1970), Verhoef et al. (1977a)
	<i>Isotomidae</i>	<i>Cryptopygus antarcticus</i>	Benoit et al. (2009)
	<i>Isotomidae</i>	<i>Folsomia candida</i>	Leonard and Bradbury (1984)
	<i>Isotomidae</i>	<i>Isotoma viridis</i>	Joosse (1970)
	<i>Isotomidae</i>	<i>Isotomurus palustris</i>	Joosse (1970)

804

805

806 **Table 2.** Observations of alarm pheromones in collembolan species

Order	Superfamily	Species name	Literature source
Poduromorpha	Hypogastruridae	<i>Ceratophysella sigillata</i>	Pfander and Zettel (2004)
	Hypogastruridae	<i>Hypogastrura pannosa</i>	Purrington et al. (1991)
	Neanuridae	<i>Neanura muscorum</i>	Messer et al. (1999), Purrington et al. (1991)
	Onychiuridae	<i>Onychiurus encarpatus</i>	Purrington et al. (1991)
	Onychiuridae	<i>Protaphorura armata</i>	Nilsson and Bengtsson (2004)
Entomobryomorpha	Isotomidae	<i>Folsomia candida</i>	Purrington et al. (1991)
	Isotomidae	<i>Isotomurus bimus</i>	Purrington et al. (1991)
	Entomobryidae	<i>Lepidocyrtus pallidus</i>	Purrington et al. (1991)
Symphyleona	Katiannidae	<i>Sminthurinus elegans</i>	Purrington et al. (1991)
Neelipleona	Neelidae	<i>Megalothorax minimus</i>	Purrington et al. (1991)

807

808

809 Table 3. Communication molecules in springtails, localization and proposed functions

Collembola species (super-family)	Localization/ Organ	Function	Communication type	Molecule	Class of Molecule	Reference
<i>Tetradotonphora bielensis</i> (Onychiuridae)	Pseudocells	Defense	Repulsive allomones	2,3-dimethoxy-pyrido[2,3-b]pyrazine	Pyridopyrazine alkaloids	Dettner et al. (1996)
				2-methoxy-4 <i>H</i> -pyrido[2,3-b]pyrazine-3-one (CAS Name : Pyrido[2,3-b]pyrazin-3(4H)-one, 2-methoxy-)
				3-isopropyl-2-methoxypyrido[2,3-b]pyrazine (CAS Name : 2-Methoxy-3-(1-methylethyl)pyrido[2,3-b]pyrazine)

<i>Protaphorura armata</i> <i>Deuteraphorura scotaria</i> <i>Onychiurus circulans</i> (Onychiuridae)	Unknown (whole body extract)	Defense	Necromone *	linoleic acid	Fatty acids	Nilsson and Bengtsson (2004b)
<i>Ceratophysella denticulata</i> (Hypogastruridae)	Integument	Defense	Deterrent allomone	3-hydroxy-4,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxybenzoic acid (syringic acid)	Phenolic acids	Bitzer et al. (2004)
<i>Neanura muscorum</i>	Hemolymph, eggs	Defense	Alarm pheromone	1,3-dimethoxybenzene	Phenolic derivative	Messer et al. (1999)

(Neanuridae)
<i>Orchesella cincta</i> (Entomobryidae)	Male spermatophores	Female attractant	Sex pheromone	(Z)-14-tricosenol	Polyene	Zizzari et al. (2017)
<i>Protaphorura armata</i> <i>Onychiurus scotarius</i> <i>Onychiurus circulans</i> (Onychiuridae) <i>Folsomia candida</i> (Isotomidae)	Unknown (whole body extract)	Conspecific attractant	Aggregation pheromone*	palmitic acid	Fatty acid	Nilsson and Bengtsson (2004b)
<i>Folsomia candida</i> (Isotomidae)	Cuticle	Conspecific attractant	Aggregation pheromones*	Blend composed of: (Z)-11-hexadecenoic acid palmitic acid	Fatty acids	Liu and Wu (2017)

				oleic acid
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

810 *Repellent and attractant effects of linoleic and palmitic acid, respectively have been demonstrated only against *Protaphorura armata*

811