CorPhU: an algorithm based on phase closure for the correction of unwrapping errors in SAR interferometry

Angélique Benoit¹, Béatrice Pinel-Puysségur², Romain Jolivet¹ and Cécile Lasserre³

¹ Laboratoire de Géologie, Département de Géosciences, Ecole Normale Supérieure, PSL University, UMR CNRS 8538, Paris, France, angelique.benoit@ens.fr.

² CEA, DAM, DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, France.

³ Université de Lyon, UCBL, ENSL, CNRS, LGL-TPE, 69622 Villeurbanne, France.

Accepted: XXX; Received XXX; in original form XXX

1 Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar is commonly used in Earth Sciences to
2 study surface displacements or construct high resolution topographic maps. Recent
3 satellites such as those of the Sentinel-1 constellation allow to derive dense deforma-
4 tion maps with millimetric precision with high revisit frequency. However, InSAR is
5 still limited by interferometric coherence. Interferometric phase noise resulting from
6 a loss of coherence, due to changes in scattering properties between repeated SAR ac-
7 quisitions, may lead to unwrapping errors, which then in turn lead to centimetric errors
8 in time series reconstruction. We present an algorithm based on interferometric phase
9 closure to automatically correct unwrapping errors. We describe the algorithm and
10 highlight its performances with two case studies, in Lebanon with Envisat satellite data
11 and in Central Turkey with Sentinel-1 data. The first dataset is particularly affected
12 by unwrapping errors because of long spatial (500 m) and temporal baseline interfero-
13 grams (6 years) and decorrelation due, in particular, to vegetation. The second dataset
14 contains unwrapping errors because of temporal changes in the scattering properties
15 of the ground. For these two examples, the algorithm allows the correction of almost
16 all detectable unwrapping errors, without requiring visual inspection or manual dele-
17 tions. Our algorithm is efficient especially on large datasets, such as with Sentinel-1

18 constellation, where interferometric phase is redundant and improves eventually the
19 reconstruction of time series.

20

21 Radar interferometry – Image processing – Creep and deformation.

22 **1 Introduction**

23 Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (InSAR) is a geodetic technique developped
24 in the 70's for geophysical applications and, originally, to construct topographic maps
25 of the Earth (Graham, 1974; Zebker & Goldstein, 1986), Venus (Rogers & Ingalls,
26 1970) and the Moon (Zisk, 1972a,b; Margot et al., 2000). In the 90's, InSAR was then
27 used for the study of surface displacements related to earthquakes (Massonnet et al.,
28 1993; Zebker et al., 1994), inflation of volcanoes (Massonnet et al., 1995) or ice sheet
29 motion (Goldstein et al., 1993). InSAR is based on the acquisition of successive SAR
30 images over the same area and from close positions by a side looking radar onboard
31 a plane or a satellite. The complex conjugate product of two SAR images is called
32 an interferogram. The phase of an interferogram, hereafter called the interferometric
33 phase, corresponds to the relative travel time difference of the electromagnetic wave
34 between two SAR acquisitions. The interferometric phase depends on satellite orbits,
35 topography, spatio-temporal variations in the refractive index of the atmosphere be-
36 tween two acquisitions, ground deformation along the satellite line-of-sight (LOS) and
37 various sources of noise, including Digital Elevation Model, orbits errors and instru-
38 mental noise. With two simultaneous acquisitions from two points of view, InSAR is a
39 measurement of topography used to build DEMs, while with successive acquisitions it
40 can be used to measure ground deformation. Measurements of deformation and ground
41 velocity using InSAR have now reached a millimeter accuracy (Simons & Rosen, 2015;
42 Elliott et al., 2016).

43 Reconstructing continuous signals, including deformation, involves phase unwrap-

ping, which consists in adding the appropriate multiple of 2π to the interferometric phase and multiple methods have been developed to do so. Branch-cut algorithms consist in identifying consistent and inconsistent paths to integrate the phase signal (Goldstein et al., 1988; Prati et al., 1990; Lin et al., 1994; Hertzberg et al., 2018). Least-squares techniques, weighted or unweighted, minimize the mean deviation between the estimated (wrapped) and unknown (unwrapped) discrete derivatives of the phase (Ghiglia & Romero, 1994; Flynn, 1997; Costantini, 1998; Chen & Zebker, 2001), sometimes using external data such as GPS to constrain the unwrapping process (Agram & Zebker, 2010). Ultimately, Permanent or Persistent Scatterers InSAR (PS-InSAR) methods, based on the identification of pixels with stable backscattering properties in time, use the temporal information of multiple interferograms to unwrap the phase in time and space (Pepe & Lanari, 2006; Hooper & Zebker, 2007; Hussain et al., 2016).

However, phase unwrapping may fail, especially within low coherence regions (Rosen et al., 1996). In an interferogram, each pixel phase value corresponds to the phase of the coherent sum of backscattered electromagnetic wave from scatterers on the ground within the pixel. If scattering properties change over time or if the geometry of acquisition is too different between each pass of the satellite, the phase change between two neighbouring pixels may exceed one phase cycle (i.e. 2π). Coherence is a measure of the spatial correlation of phase (Lee et al., 1994). A coherence of 1 indicates the phase is constant within a cell surrounding the pixel. Over low coherence regions, higher phase noise may lead to phase differences between neighbouring pixels higher than π . In addition, large deformation gradients may lead to similar situation, for instance close to a fault that ruptured in a large seismic rupture (e.g. Simons et al., 2002).

Phase unwrapping is based on the hypothesis that the phase of two neighbouring pixels of an interferogram only differs by a fraction of π . This hypothesis is only valid in high coherence regions with a moderate fringe rate. When this assumption breaks

71 down, unwrapping methods may fail, creating erroneous offsets of multiples of 2π in
72 the unwrapped phase. The size of the affected region may vary from a few pixels
73 to a significant fraction of the image. In Earth science applications, almost all inter-
74 ferograms have large regions where phase decorrelates due to changes in scattering
75 properties (e.g. vegetation, humidity, anthropic changes), high topographic gradients
76 or high deformation areas and unwrapping becomes challenging (Simons et al., 2002;
77 Zebker et al., 2007). Unwrapping errors bias estimations of surface deformation by in-
78 troducing inconsistencies in the interferometric network in case of time series analysis.
79 Unwrapping errors are sometimes manually detected and masked (e.g. Jolivet et al.,
80 2012) and methods based on interferometric network misclosure analysis (e.g. López-
81 Quiroz et al., 2009) and time series analysis have been proposed (e.g. Hussain et al.,
82 2016).

83 We propose an efficient algorithm, named CorPhU (CORrection of Phase Unwrap-
84 ping errors), for the correction of unwrapping errors after phase unwrapping, based on
85 the phase closure of interferogram triplets within an interferometric network. A proof
86 of concept of this algorithm has been presented by Pinel-Puysségur et al. (2018) and we
87 describe in details the formulation, implementation and performances of the algorithm
88 in this paper. Phase unwrapping errors detected by the algorithm are automatically and
89 iteratively corrected. In the following sections, we describe the algorithm and present
90 qualitative results focusing on two case studies where decorrelation is high and could
91 be a limiting factor, including data from the Envisat satellite over Lebanon and data
92 from the Sentinel-1 constellation over Turkey. We then perform a quantitative assess-
93 ment of the algorithm. Finally, we discuss limitations and possible improvements of
94 our approach.

2 Method

By construction, the sum of the phase of three unwrapped interferograms forming a closed loop equals 0 (Jennison, 1958). For a triplet T of three SAR acquisitions k , l and m , the triplet phase closure Φ_T is:

$$\Phi_T = \phi_{kl} + \phi_{lm} - \phi_{km}, \quad (1)$$

where ϕ_{kl} , ϕ_{lm} and ϕ_{km} are the unwrapped phase of interferograms I_{kl} , I_{lm} and I_{km} computed from acquisitions k , l and m . By construction, phase closure Φ_T should be equal to 0, up to phase inconsistencies due to variations of the backscattering properties of the ground, including for instance soil moisture (De Zan et al., 2015). Once this later contribution is removed, the remaining phase closure inconsistencies correspond to phase unwrapping errors exactly equal to a multiple of 2π . Our algorithm detects and corrects such unwrapping errors within a stack of coregistered interferograms formed from SAR images (Fig. 1). First, we identify all triplets in the interferogram network. Second, we compute the phase closure for each triplet following equation 1 and identify unwrapping errors. Third, for each of these incorrectly unwrapped regions, we identify the interferogram incorrectly unwrapped among the three possible ones using the so-called “flux” or “mean closure” methods, described in sections 2.2 and 2.3 respectively. Once we have identified the interferogram incorrectly unwrapped, we correct the unwrapping error. We proceed iteratively through the network of triplets.

2.1 Automatic identification of unwrapping errors

For all available triplets, we start by building masks m_{kl} , m_{lm} and m_{km} associated to interferograms I_{kl} , I_{lm} and I_{km} , based on the coherence map. Pixels with a coherence lower than a given threshold (0.8 by default) are masked out. If none of the three individual masks is empty, we construct the total mask of the triplet m_T^{tot} as the

118 intersection of masks m_{kl} , m_{lm} and m_{km} . We then compute triplet closure on un-
 119 wrapped interferograms using equation 1. We distinguish two sources of misclosure
 120 in unwrapped interferograms. The first one is unwrapping errors and is specific to un-
 121 wrapped interferograms. The second one arises from interferogram multilooking prior
 122 to unwrapping. Indeed, multilooking is a non-coherent summation of different pix-
 123 els, leading to small phase inconsistencies in the wrapped interferograms and thus to
 124 non-zero closure (De Zan et al., 2015). We therefore calculate the closure of wrapped
 125 interferograms, defined as:

$$\Phi_T^w = (\phi_{I_{kl}}^w + \phi_{I_{lm}}^w - \phi_{I_{km}}^w)[2\pi], \quad (2)$$

126 where ϕ_{kl}^w , ϕ_{lm}^w and ϕ_{km}^w are the phase of wrapped interferograms computed from ac-
 127 quisitions k , l and m and modulo $[2\pi]$ indicates phase signals are within the interval
 128 $[0; 2\pi]$. We subtract closure of wrapped interferograms Φ_T^w from closure Φ_T com-
 129 puted on unwrapped interferograms in order to remove misclosures related to phase
 130 consistency loss in multilooking (Eq. 3, Fig. 2). The total triplet closure Φ_T^{tot} hence
 131 writes:

$$\Phi_T^{tot} = (\Phi_T - \Phi_T^w)m_T^{tot}. \quad (3)$$

132 We then round the total triplet closure Φ_T^{tot} modulo 2π to estimate how many multi-
 133 ples of 2π should be corrected. We consider non-zero values as unwrapping errors and
 134 group them into regions using structuring elements (Fig. 3b; Verveer, 2003). Remain-
 135 ing zero-values (i.e. pixel has been correctly unwrapped) are grouped into regions,
 136 considered as reference for the flux method described in the next section. Phase un-
 137 wrapping errors generally arise in noisy or high fringe rate areas on interferograms.
 138 The error spreads from this area, forming a connected region on which phase has been
 139 locally correctly unwrapped but is inconsistent with neighbouring regions. We then
 140 associate each unwrapping error region to the largest reference region in the vicinity.

141 Now that we know where each unwrapping error is, we need to determine which in-
142 terferogram of the triplet has been incorrectly unwrapped using a two-steps detection
143 approach.

144 2.2 Step 1: flux method selection

145 We first try to identify which interferogram of a triplet shows an abnormal phase off-
146 set, called “flux”, between an unwrapping error and its associated reference region. To
147 compute this flux, we need to both erode and dilate the incorrectly unwrapped region
148 to respectively isolate pixels within the unwrapping error from outside adjacent pixels,
149 which are within a reference region (i.e. phase closure equals to zero). As explained in
150 details in [Pinel-Puysségur et al. \(2018\)](#), we first fill up masked pixels within the error
151 region (Figs 3b and c; [Verveer, 2003](#)) and we erode and dilate using a structuring ele-
152 ment, here chosen as a square of 2x2 pixels ([Matheron, 1967](#)). The difference between
153 the dilated and the original regions determines the outer border of the unwrapping er-
154 ror. Similarly, the difference between the eroded and the original regions determines
155 the inner border of the unwrapping error (Fig. 3d). The size of the structuring element
156 used for erosion and dilatation has been empirically chosen for the borders to be thin
157 enough to compute the flux between neighbouring pixels but wide enough to ensure a
158 sufficient number of flux measurements. We then discard pixels of the inner border that
159 do not have any neighbour in the outer border, for example when they are on the image
160 border, close to a masked region or far from the reference region. We calculate flux
161 vectors along this boundary by differencing the phase of an inner pixel with the phase
162 of the neighbouring outer pixel (Fig. 3e). We define p_{flux} as the minimum proportion
163 of flux vectors to correct an interferogram. For each interferogram of a triplet, we esti-
164 mate the proportion of flux vectors equal to a multiple of 2π . If only one interferogram
165 has more than p_{flux} of its flux vectors equal to a multiple of 2π , this interferogram is
166 marked as incorrectly unwrapped and the error is corrected by adding the appropriate

multiple of 2π . If two or three interferograms have a proportion greater than p_{flux} , we cannot discriminate which interferogram is to be corrected. In our case, p_{flux} is set to 30%. This choice is empirical and based on a user decision. In our two case studies, if p_{flux} is too small (i.e. we have very few flux vectors), the algorithm misses the interferogram to correct.

2.3 Step 2: mean closure method selection

If the flux method cannot determine which interferogram has to be corrected, we try to identify the interferogram incorrectly unwrapped by computing the mean closure of the three interferograms for all their triplets. We consider interferogram I_{kl} that belongs to $N_{I_{kl}}$ triplets. The mean closure of interferogram I_{kl} , noted $\Phi_{I_{kl}}^{mean}$, is defined as the sum of the phase closure Φ_n on its $N_{I_{kl}}$ triplets, normalized by the number of triplets $N_{I_{kl}}$:

$$\Phi_{I_{kl}}^{mean} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N_{I_{kl}}} \Phi_n}{m_{I_{kl}}} M_{I_{kl}}, \quad (4)$$

where $M_{I_{kl}}$ is the intersection of all masks associated to each triplet and $m_{I_{kl}}$ is an image containing for each pixel the number of defined triplets (without mask) for interferogram I_{kl} . We define p_{mc} as the minimum proportion of pixels equal to a multiple of 2π to correct an interferogram. For each interferogram of the triplet, we compute the proportion of pixels in the unwrapping error zone such that the mean closure is equal to a multiple of 2π . If only one interferogram has more than p_{mc} pixels equal to a multiple of 2π in the unwrapping error region, this one is marked as incorrectly unwrapped and the error is corrected. If two interferograms fulfill this condition and if the ratio between the two proportions, noted r_{mc} , is greater than 2 (by default), the interferogram of highest proportion is corrected. Otherwise, we cannot discriminate which interferogram to correct. As the error may be corrected in another triplet, the algorithm then processes the following triplet. In our case studies, p_{mc} is set to 50%, a

191 number that has been found empirically to increase the reliability of corrections. This
192 threshold should be chosen on a case-by-case basis and is region dependent.

193

194 In general, the algorithm should be iteratively run multiple times until no unwrap-
195 ping corrections are needed. Several parameters such as the size of the structuring
196 element used for dilation and erosion and threshold values may influence the perfor-
197 mances of the algorithm. Users have to determine which set of parameters provides
198 adequate unwrapping error corrections for each dataset. We propose in the following
199 to evaluate the performance of our method and provide guidelines on how to chose
200 various parameters.

201 **3 A qualitative examination on two case studies**

202 We experiment our algorithm on two sets of SAR acquisitions and present the effects
203 of unwrapping errors on time series analysis. First, we process the archive of SAR
204 acquisitions from the Envisat C-Band satellite over Lebanon. There, unwrapping errors
205 arise because of low phase coherence due to interferograms with long perpendicular
206 baselines (max. perpendicular baseline: 500 m; max. temporal baseline: 6 years)
207 and to the presence of vegetation. Second, we process SAR acquisitions from the
208 constellation of Sentinel-1 C-Band satellites over Central Turkey (max. perpendicular
209 baseline: 250 m; max. temporal baseline: 1 year). This constellation offers a much
210 shorter revisit time and a larger coverage compared to products from Envisat (revisit
211 time of 6 days, 300 km wide). Manual corrections of unwrapping errors cannot be
212 performed because of the untractable size of the resulting dataset. The two case studies
213 below differ by satellites and processing approach, however, both aim at retrieving
214 slow tectonic deformations. As we are attempting to measure slow deformation rates
215 at a regional scale and because of strong decorrelation effects, due for example to
216 residual atmospheric artefacts, vegetation and snow in the winter, strong multilooking

217 is required to enhance coherence in both cases, otherwise unwrapping of the phase is
218 almost impossible.

219 **3.1 Application to Envisat dataset in Lebanon**

220 The Levant fault system is a complex active fault system of 1200 kilometers-long,
221 where large earthquakes of magnitude up to 7.5 occurred in the past (e.g. [Elias et al.,](#)
222 [2007](#)). This major continental fault bounds the Arabian and African plates.

223 We process data from Envisat ASAR track 78 with NSBAS ([Doin et al., 2011](#)),
224 a processing chain based on the Repeat Orbit Interferometry PACKage (ROIPAC)
225 ([Rosen et al., 2004](#)). We coregister SLCs to a master image taking into account lo-
226 cal topography ([Guillaso et al., 2008](#)). We use DORIS orbits from the European
227 Space Agency (ESA) and SRTM Digital Elevation Model (DEM) Version 3.0 ([Farr](#)
228 [et al., 2007](#)) to compute the orbital and topographic phase contributions. We multi-
229 look wrapped interferograms by a factor of 4 in range and 20 in azimuth. We use
230 MuLSAR (Multi-Link Interferograms) in order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio of
231 interferograms ([Pinel-Puysségur et al., 2012](#)). We then correct wrapped interferograms
232 from stratified tropospheric delays estimated from the ERA-Interim global atmospheric
233 reanalysis from ECMWF ([Doin et al., 2009](#); [Jolivet et al., 2011](#)). We evaluate and com-
234 pensate DEM errors by estimating the bias induced by perpendicular baselines ([Ducret](#)
235 [et al., 2014](#)). Finally, we filter interferograms using a Goldstein filter ([Goldstein &](#)
236 [Werner, 1998](#)), multilook by an additional factor of 4 (16 looks in range, 80 looks in
237 azimuth) and unwrap them using the branch-cut method ([Goldstein et al., 1988](#)). Our
238 final dataset is made of 165 unwrapped interferograms.

239 Our algorithm identifies 282 triplets, among which 186 are corrected. We illustrate
240 automatic corrections with a long temporal baseline interferogram, spanning 4 years,
241 where three corrections are performed (Fig. 4). The first error (number 1 in Fig. 4)
242 is clearly well corrected. The two other errors (number 2 and 3 in Fig. 4) are more

challenging due to the effect of filtering on high fringe rate areas. In both cases, a sharp fringe, partially visible on the interferogram before filtering (arrows in Fig. 4d and f), disappears through filtering (arrows in Fig. 4e and g) hence leading to discontinuities in the unwrapped interferograms (red circles in Fig. 4b). After correction, the algorithm restores continuity where a 2π phase offset was inconsistently introduced by the unwrapping procedure (red circles in Fig. 4c) and discontinuity in high fringe rate areas (arrows in Fig. 4c).

3.2 Application to Sentinel-1 dataset in Central Turkey

The North Anatolian Fault is an active right-lateral strike-slip fault that accommodates the rotation of Anatolia with respect to Eurasia. During the 19th century, seismic activity was characterized by a westward propagation of large earthquakes ($\sim M_W$ 7.0) along this 1200 kilometers-long fault (Stein et al., 1997). The last earthquake to date is the Izmit event M_W 7.5 in 1999, east of the Sea of Marmara (e.g. Reilinger et al., 2000).

We process data from Sentinel-1 track 87 with the InSAR Scientific Computing Environment (ISCE) software (Gurrola et al., 2010). We define the acquisition of July, 9th 2017 as the master Single Look Complex (SLC) and coregister all SLCs to this master image. Coregistration is enhanced using the spectral diversity of burst overlaps refined within the network of interferograms (Fattahi et al., 2017). We generate interferograms, accounting for digital elevation model (SRTM Version 3.0; Farr et al., 2007) and orbital contributions, and merge tiles for each of them using bursts and swaths overlaps. We multilook merged interferograms with factors of respectively 81 and 27 in azimuth and range directions for a final pixel size of 540 x 420 meters, in range and azimuth respectively. We correct the phase from tropospheric signals using ERA-5, the latest global atmospheric reanalysis from ECMWF (Hersbach & Dee, 2016). Finally, we filter (Goldstein & Werner, 1998) and unwrap interferograms using the branch-cut

method (Goldstein et al., 1988). Before building triplets, we discard low coherence interferograms which cannot be sufficiently unwrapped (less than 20% of the area). Our final dataset is made of 686 coregistered and unwrapped interferograms.

Our algorithm identifies 2880 triplets, among which 986 triplets are corrected eventually (Fig. 5a). We calculate the percentage of corrected pixels per interferogram by summing the number of pixels detected as unwrapping errors and corrected by the algorithm in all triplets of the interferogram. We see that most of the interferograms are totally corrected from unwrapping errors during a first pass of the algorithm (Fig. 5b). We illustrate automatic corrections with two examples of corrected interferograms, one with a large unwrapping error of 10388 pixels (4% of the interferogram, Fig. 5c) and another with two unwrapping errors localized in different places (Fig. 5d). In both cases, 99% of the unwrapping error is automatically detected and corrected by the algorithm. Uncorrected pixels are located in the masked region of the triplet. The second example shows that the algorithm can perform multiple corrections in a single interferogram (Fig. 5d). In this case, it detects two unwrapping errors in the same interferogram and corrects them in the same triplet.

4 Discussion and quantitative tests

4.1 Unwrapping errors and time series analysis

One potential application of SAR interferometry is to perform time series analysis and estimate ground velocity over a given region from a stack of interferograms. We illustrate the effect of automatic corrections of unwrapping errors on the estimation of ground velocity and the associated decrease in errors on ground surface deformation measurement.

We perform two time series analysis on the Sentinel-1 dataset (Section 3.2): the first one is applied to the original stack of interferograms not corrected from unwrap-

ping errors, the second one is applied to the interferograms corrected by the proposed approach. We invert the temporal evolution of the phase for both datasets identically using the small baseline NSBAS approach (Doin et al., 2011) implemented in the Generic InSAR Analysis Toolbox (GIAnt) (Agram et al., 2012). In this method, we consider each pixel independently to recover the phase change with time (López-Quiroz et al., 2009; Doin et al., 2011; Jolivet et al., 2012). In addition to phase reconstruction, NSBAS includes a time dependent model of the phase to predict the phase evolution with time when interferometric links are missing between two disconnected subsets of interferograms.

For each time series analysis, we first remove interferograms that have less than 35% unwrapped pixels, hence reducing the dataset to 627 interferograms. We then multilook interferograms by a factor of 2 in order to reduce noise on the interferograms (due to the presence of vegetation and snow) and spatially reference them by choosing a region where the phase is set to be equal in all interferograms. We correct orbital biases in interferograms by estimating a linear ramp. Terms of the ramp are refined accounting for the interferometric network (Lin et al., 2010; Jolivet et al., 2012). We then perform a least squares inversion of phase delays of each pixel to solve for the total phase delay of each date relative to the first date and for a parametric evolution of phase change across the whole acquisition period. The parametric evolution of surface deformation is a combination of a linear term and a seasonal-annual function.

We obtain two velocity maps over Central Turkey (Fig. 6a and b). If we do not correct interferograms from unwrapping errors before the inversion, surface velocity is strongly affected by unwrapping errors (Fig. 6a, b, d and e). In particular, several suspicious discontinuities visible on the first velocity map (Fig 6a and 6d) are not detected on the second one (Fig 6b and 6e). The difference in velocity between the two fields reaches up to 4 mm/yr in large regions (Fig. 6c), corresponding in our case to about 20% of the expected tectonic displacement in the area. We can also identify small dif-

321 ferences of about 1 mm/yr (Fig. 6c and f), due to a difference in referencing between
 322 the two velocity maps. If we choose a reference region within an unwrapping error, the
 323 inversion will differ hence the resulting velocity maps will be different.

324 The effect of unwrapping errors can be evaluated quantitatively by computing a
 325 Root Mean Square (RMS) map, defined as:

$$\Phi_{RMS} = \frac{1}{N} \left[\sum_N \left(\phi_{ij} - \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} m_k \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad (5)$$

326 where ϕ_{ij} is the measured phase between acquisitions i and j and $\sum_{k=i}^{j-1} m_k$ is the re-
 327 constructed phase between the same acquisitions (Fig. 7; [Cavalié et al., 2007](#)). This
 328 RMS evaluates the quality of the time series reconstruction and should then reflect in-
 329 terferometric misclosure. If we do not correct interferograms from unwrapping errors
 330 before the inversion, RMS reaches 12 mm (Fig. 7a), compared to few millimeters if
 331 unwrapping errors are corrected with the proposed approach (Fig. 7c). Average RMS
 332 is of 1.61 mm without corrections and 0.98 mm with corrections. In the case where
 333 unwrapping errors are not corrected, deviation in RMS is much larger than when er-
 334 rors are corrected, with extreme values of 8 to 14 mm (Fig. 7b). Since pixels with a
 335 large RMS after time series analysis cannot be trusted for further interpretation, our
 336 approach allows to extend the area over which we can interpret the LOS displace-
 337 ment signal. Therefore, correcting unwrapping errors allows to expand the zone over
 338 which we confidently measure ground velocity, in the present case by 20% with a RMS
 339 threshold of 3 mm.

340 4.2 Parametric tests on the Lebanon dataset

341 The validation of an automatic correction algorithm for phase unwrapping errors is a
 342 difficult task. The ideal way to assess the performance of such an algorithm would
 343 be to have a comprehensive ground truth where every pixel of every interferogram of

the database is labelled as correctly or incorrectly unwrapped. Then, for each pixel incorrectly unwrapped, the number of cycles of the error and its associated sign should be known. In addition, such ground truth would allow identifying false alarms, i.e. pixels correctly unwrapped but detected as incorrectly unwrapped.

Practically, on a real database, there is no simple and efficient way to determine which pixels have been incorrectly unwrapped. It should be stressed that pixels incorrectly unwrapped may be detected easily on a triplet thanks to its closure but that determining them directly on interferograms is a tedious task. Indeed, even a thorough visual examination of interferograms does not always allow determining if a region is incorrectly unwrapped and if so to which extent. Furthermore, no independent dataset provides comparable measurement at the resolution allowed by InSAR.

There are two strategies to quantitatively validate the results of the proposed algorithm. The first one is to establish an experimental ground truth on a part of a real database by visual inspection. The second one would be to create a synthetic database on which unwrapping errors would be known. This last one will be explored in future work. In this paper, we chose to derive quantitative performances attempting to compare with our experimental ground truth.

Because we need to identify manually unwrapping errors, we will apply our validation on the Lebanon dataset. We select 22 interferograms with easily identifiable unwrapping errors. For each interferogram, we manually detect and label the regions of unwrapping errors. For each region, we also identify the signed number of phase cycles of the error. We thoroughly perform this manual task to ensure that the experimental ground truth does not contain any error. The ground truth contains 26 error regions with a total of 120235 pixels. To check if the algorithm detects false alarms, we select 15 interferograms and manually cut out and store regions that do not contain any unwrapping errors, with a total of 983310 pixels.

CorPhU depends on four parameters (see Table 1). Instead of a full parametric

study which is beyond the scope of this paper, we focus our parametric tests on two out of the four parameters identified as the most important ones, including the thresholds p_{flux} and p_{mc} used for the identification of the incorrectly unwrapped interferogram of a triplet.

p_{flux} and p_{mc} , two proportions of flux or pixels, are defined between 0 and 100%. For the parametric tests, we test values ranging from 10% and 90% with a step of 20%. We also test the algorithm with only one of the two steps. To do so, we set p_{flux} (resp. p_{mc}) to a value strictly greater than 100%. As a proportion would never attain such a value, we only use the 2nd step (resp. 1st step) during the run. In practice, the case with both p_{flux} and p_{mc} strictly greater than 100% prohibits any correction and is not applicable.

We run CorPhU with each of these combinations on the whole Lebanon dataset, with two iterations. We then compute the True Detection (TD) and False Alarm (FA) rates on the ground truth as follows. For each region labelled as incorrectly unwrapped in the ground truth, we compute the difference between the interferogram obtained after CorPhU's processing and the original one. We compare this difference to the expected correction, which is known from our estimates of ground truth. If these quantities are equal, then the error region has been well detected. Then, we compute the ratio of well detected regions as the TD rate. For the FA rate, we compare all regions of the ground truth labelled as correctly unwrapped to the difference of the interferograms before and after CorPhU's processing. This difference should be zero. If not, we count the region as a FA.

The results do not show any sufficient variation as a function of the parameters. For almost every test, the TD rate is quasi constant between 46% and 50%. The only cases where the TD rate decreases significantly (under 40%) are for p_{mc} or p_{flux} strictly greater than 100% (only one of the steps is used). Similarly, the FA rate is almost always equal to 0 except for some cases where it reaches 2%. These results do not

398 sufficiently vary to draw any conclusion on the influence of the parameters. However,
399 we can draw two conclusions.

400 First, only half of unwrapping errors of this particular ground truth are detected.
401 This poor performance can be explained as follows. In the Lebanon test case, there are
402 only 282 triplets for 165 interferograms or an average of 1.71 triplets per interferogram.
403 Moreover, many interferograms are partially masked. As triplet closure is only defined
404 on the intersection of the definition domain of the three interferograms, the effective
405 number of triplets per interferogram is even strictly smaller than 1.71. CorPhU should
406 work better when the number of triplets increases for several reasons: first, the errors
407 can only be detected on triplets closures; second, the first step needs a neighboring
408 reference region on which the triplet closure is defined; third, the second step needs at
409 least two triplets per interferogram under examination to determine which one is badly
410 unwrapped. The poor TD rate on this database could thus be explained by the lack of
411 triplets and the large masked areas, especially compared to the Turkey dataset where
412 an interferogram belongs to 8.2 triplets on average.

413 Second, we think our estimate of ground truth is not sufficient and not precise
414 enough to assess the parameters influence on CorPhU’s performances. It highlights
415 the need of a synthetic database where the number of interferograms and triplets, the
416 masked areas surface or other variables could be changed and their effect on CorPhU’s
417 performances properly assessed.

418 Consequently, we choose to assess the influence of tunable parameters on the per-
419 formance by counting the number of pixels incorrectly unwrapped before and after the
420 run. We automatically compute the total number of pixels on all triplets with non-zero
421 triplet closure, W . We then derive the difference ΔW between W before (W_{init}) and
422 after (W_{final}) the run to derive the percentage of corrected pixels (here, W_{init} is equal
423 to 1847173 pixels, see Table 2) .

424 Table 2 shows that the percentage of corrected pixels highly depends on the pa-

parameter value. We highlight in green in Table 2 the range of best parameters. We highlight acceptable sets of parameters in blue while red values correspond to settings that should not be used. Settings highlighted in green allow more than 90% of the detected pixels to be corrected. The algorithm performs the best when $p_{flux} = 50\%$, whatever the value of p_{mc} . However, for $p_{flux} \leq 30\%$, the performances slightly deteriorate for decreasing p_{flux} . Although still very acceptable for $p_{flux} = 30\%$, the performances are less good for $p_{flux} = 10\%$, especially if p_{mc} is small. Surprisingly, for p_{flux} between 10% and 50%, CorPhU still performs well even without the second step (see last line of Table 2).

For $p_{flux} \geq 70\%$, performances degrade with increasing p_{flux} . In particular, for $p_{flux} > 1$ (only step 2), the percentage of corrected pixels drops under 35%. When p_{flux} increases, less corrections are possible with step 1 so CorPhU moves to step 2 to determine the wrong interferogram. The loss of performance suggests that step 2 is less effective than step 1 and that relying only on step 2 degrades the performances of CorPhU in our case. This suggests that many efficient corrections due to step 1 disappear when p_{flux} is too high. It should be noted however that the poor performance of the second step in the Lebanon case may be due to the relatively small number of triplets as a greater number of triplets should enhance the robustness of the second step. In general, if p_{flux} is set between 10% and 50% and p_{mc} between 30% and 90%, at least 85% of the pixels are corrected.

Nonetheless, analysis of this difference might not be a perfect assessment method for several reasons. First, some unwrapping errors cannot be detected by triplet misclosure, because the interferogram does not belong to any triplet or because of the masked areas. Second, errors cannot be detected either when two interferograms of the same triplet contain on a common region errors that compensate each other in the closure. This is the case when one acquisition of the triplet contains a sharp atmospheric delay incorrectly unwrapped in two interferograms. Third, the true parameter of interest

would be the total number of incorrectly unwrapped pixels on all interferograms. Instead, W counts several times the same error of an interferogram as soon as it belongs to several triplets. Thus there is no simple relationship between these two variables. Fourth, if the misclosure of a triplet disappears after the run, it is hopefully due to a right correction but it also may be due to an incorrect correction. Although such a wrong correction may then increase the number of pixels with non-zero closure on other triplets, it is not always the case. Nonetheless, W seems to vary for a given range of parameters and tendencies arises, hence it is a proxy of the performance of CorPhU.

Even if this parametric analysis is partial, some conclusions can be drawn: the most determining factor is p_{flux} which should be set ideally around 50% whereas p_{mc} should lie above 30%. However, these results are relative to a specific dataset, hence they should be taken with caution if applied to another dataset. Among others, the number of interferograms and triplets, the multilooking factors, the shape and size of decorrelating areas should influence the optimal choice of parameters. A solution to determine the best set of parameters for a given dataset would be to first run CorPhU for different sets of parameters in order to make an automatic diagnosis. The algorithm should then be run again with appropriate values of parameters. Another solution would be to vary the parameters settings during the iterations. The thresholds could be set to high values during the first iterations to allow only few corrections with a high confidence level and progressively decrease during the following iterations. The aim is to avoid any false corrections at the beginning of the process that may later induce other false corrections.

4.3 Effectiveness of the algorithm in correcting unwrapping errors in high redundancy datasets

We also assess the effectiveness of the algorithm in correcting unwrapping errors in large datasets using the proxy W , corresponding to the total number of pixels on all

478 triplets with non-zero closure (unwrapping errors) automatically computed before and
 479 after two successive runs. We run the algorithm four times, iteratively, on the 686 in-
 480 terferograms of the Turkey dataset. Before performing any corrections, the algorithm
 481 detects around 2 millions of pixels incorrectly unwrapped on the 2880 triplets built
 482 (Figure 8). At the end of the first run, the number of pixels corresponding to unwrap-
 483 ping errors is about 500000, indicating that the algorithm corrects 75% of the initial
 484 pixels in a single iteration. At the end of the second run, the number of pixels de-
 485 creases to 100000, illustrating that the algorithm corrects 95% of the initial incorrectly
 486 unwrapped pixels in only two iterations. Next runs show that the algorithm converge in
 487 two iterations, as the number of pixels incorrectly unwrapped does not decrease any-
 488 more after the second run. This might be due to the size of residual errors, too small to
 489 be corrected considering our threshold, for this particular case, of a minimum 200 pix-
 490 els for a single unwrapping error, or to errors which are not or no longer connected to
 491 references regions (no misclosure), and therefore where the flux method cannot be per-
 492 formed. As a conclusion, the strength of this algorithm is that it automatically corrects
 493 almost all of the unwrapping errors from a large dataset in only two iterations.

494 **4.4 Effectiveness of the algorithm in correcting unwrapping errors** 495 **using a least-square unwrapping method**

496 We test our algorithm not only on interferograms unwrapped using a branch-cut method
 497 but also on those unwrapped using a least-square approach. We unwrap the 686 inter-
 498 ferograms of the Turkey dataset using the Snaphu algorithm and run the algorithm four
 499 times as described above. Before performing any corrections, the algorithm detects
 500 around 20 millions of pixels incorrectly unwrapped on the 2880 triplets built, com-
 501 pared to the 2 millions of pixels for the branch-cut method (Figure 9). At the end of the
 502 first run, the number of pixels corresponding to unwrapping errors does not decrease
 503 significantly, as only 20000 pixels have been corrected during the iteration. Other iter-

504 ations do not lead to perform a high number of corrections.

505 Results suggest that the algorithm is not adapted for interferograms unwrapped using a least-square approach, such as Snaphu. First, as Snaphu is a global minimization
506 procedure, resulting triplets phase closure maps are not obvious to interpret, compared
507 to those computed for interferograms unwrapped using a branch-cut method. Closure
508 maps are equal to 0 plus or minus a residual that might not necessarily equal a
509 multiple of 2π . Therefore, the algorithm detects, in this case, ten times more pixels
510 incorrectly unwrapped with Snaphu than with the branch-cut method. Second, global
511 minimization leads to a less marked spatial signature of unwrapping errors (lower phase
512 gradients between outer and inner pixels of an unwrapping error). Consequently, the
513 so-called flux method of our algorithm, designed for the detection of unwrapping errors
514 using phase gradients, fails most of the time. The mean closure method also fails
515 most of the time in correcting unwrapping errors because errors are not necessarily a
516 multiple of 2π . Further work is required to adapt our method to global minimization
517 unwrapping methods.
518

519 **5 Conclusions, limits and future work**

520 We developed an algorithm called CorPhU, using phase closure of triplets of interferograms to correct unwrapping errors left after phase unwrapping. We assess its efficiency on two datasets in Lebanon and Turkey, respectively with Envisat and Sentinel-1
521 satellites. Our algorithm helps the interpretation of the interferometric phase in low coherence regions, polluted by unwrapping errors, without requiring visual interferogram
522 inspection or manual deletions of unwrapping errors. As the contribution of unwrapping errors to velocity maps may reach up to 1 cm/yr and as they lead to RMS errors
523 up to 1 cm, it is critical to correct these errors for interseismic strain measurements
524 in active tectonic environments, where deformation rates are typically on the order of
525 millimeters per year.
526
527
528
529

530 As the algorithm is based on triplet information, the more interferograms are con-
531 structed, the larger the network of triplets is built, hence the higher the probability to
532 correct recurrent unwrapping errors. The algorithm is particularly powerful for large
533 datasets such as from Sentinel-1, where the revisit time is 6 days hence allowing to
534 construct large networks. However, there are some limitations. Processing time is
535 one of the main constraints and depends on the size of the dataset. For example, the
536 algorithm takes about six hours to process the Turkey dataset, which corresponds to
537 2880 triplets, using 24 threads on a classic desktop machine. One way to increase the
538 speed of processing is to take more benefits from triplets information considering the
539 first iteration. The goal is to determine which interferograms to correct first so that it
540 helps for the correction of other interferograms, hence reducing processing time. For
541 instance, triplets with small-baseline interferograms should be corrected in priority as
542 they are supposed to be less affected by decorrelation and therefore less affected by un-
543 wrapping errors. Long-baseline interferograms should be corrected afterwards, using
544 triplets where small-baseline interferograms have been corrected. Another improve-
545 ment would be to parallelize some of the steps of the algorithm, for instance to deal
546 with independent triplets in parallel.

547 Our automatic method, designed for dense networks of interferograms, requires
548 technical improvements but, in overall, fits well into existing lines of research, where
549 we increasingly face “big data” related challenges, which must be converted from a
550 highway to hell to a stairway to heaven.

551 **6 Acknowledgments**

552 This project received fundings from the European Research Council (ERC) under the
553 European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Geo-4D project,
554 grant agreement 758210). We use the Sentinel-1 and Envisat products, respectively
555 provided by the Plateforme d’Exploitation des Produits Sentinel (PEPS) for Turkey

and ESA through Cat1 proposal and EOLi-SA platform for Lebanon. We process acquisitions using the ISCE system developed at JPL/Caltech (Turkey) and NSBAS chain based on ROI-PAC as well (Lebanon). This work was partially supported by The Laboratoire de Recherche Commun Yves Rocard. Data analysis on Lebanon was supported by CNES through the TOSCA program. The code of our open-source algorithm is available on Github (<https://github.com/AngeliqueBenoit/CorPhU>).

References

- Agram, P., Jolivet, R., & Simons, M., 2012. Generic InSAR Analysis Toolbox (GIAN-T) - User Guide, <http://earthdef.caltech.edu>.
- Agram, P. S. & Zebker, H., 2010. Edgelist phase unwrapping algorithm for time series InSAR analysis, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, **27**(3).
- Cavalié, O., Doin, M.-P., Lasserre, C., & Briole, P., 2007. Ground motion measurement in the Lake Mead area, Nevada, by differential synthetic aperture radar interferometry time series analysis: Probing the lithosphere rheological structure, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**(B3).
- Chen, C. W. & Zebker, H. A., 2001. Two-dimensional phase unwrapping with use of statistical models for cost functions in nonlinear optimization, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, **18**(2), 338–351.
- Costantini, M., 1998. A novel phase unwrapping method based on network programming, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, **36**(3), 813–821.
- De Zan, F., Zonno, M., & Lopez-Dekker, P., 2015. Phase Inconsistencies and Multiple Scattering in SAR Interferometry, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, **53**(12), 6608–6616.
- Doin, M.-P., Lasserre, C., Peltzer, G., Cavalié, O., & Doubre, C., 2009. Corrections of stratified tropospheric delays in SAR interferometry: Validation with global atmospheric models, *J. Appl. Geophys.*, **69**(1), 35–50.
- Doin, M.-P., Lodge, F., Guillaso, S., Jolivet, R., Lasserre, C., Ducret, G., Grandin, R., Pathier, E., & Pinel, V., 2011. Presentation of the small baseline NSBAS processing chain on a case example: the Etna deformation monitoring from 2003 to 2010 using Envisat data, *Proc. ESA 'Fringe 2011 Work. Frascati, Italy, (19-23 Sept. 2011)*, pp. 19–23.
- Ducret, G., Doin, M.-P., Grandin, R., Lasserre, C., & Guillaso, S., 2014. DEM Corrections Before Unwrapping in a Small Baseline Strategy for InSAR Time Series Analysis, *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.*, **11**(3), 696–700.

590 Elias, A., Tapponnier, P., Singh, S. C., King, G. C., Briaies, A., Daëron, M., Carton, H.,
591 Sursock, A., Jacques, E., Jomaa, R., & Klinger, Y., 2007. Active thrusting offshore
592 Mount Lebanon: Source of the tsunamigenic A.D. 551 Beirut-Tripoli earthquake,
593 *Geology*, **35**(8).

594 Elliott, J., Walters, R., & Wright, T., 2016. The role of space-based observation in
595 understanding and responding to active tectonics and earthquakes, *Nat. Commun.*,
596 **7**(1).

597 Farr, T. G., Rosen, P. A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M.,
598 Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., Seal, D., Shaffer, S., Shimada, J., Umland, J.,
599 Werner, M., Oskin, M., Burbank, D., & Alsdorf, D., 2007. The Shuttle Radar To-
600 pography Mission, *Rev. Geophys.*, **45**(2).

601 Fattahi, H., Agram, P., & Simons, M., 2017. A Network-Based Enhanced Spectral
602 Diversity Approach for TOPS Time-Series Analysis, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote*
603 *Sens.*, **55**(2), 777–786.

604 Flynn, T. J., 1997. Two-dimensional phase unwrapping with minimum weighted dis-
605 continuity, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, **14**(10).

606 Ghiglia, D. C. & Romero, L. A., 1994. Robust two-dimensional weighted and un-
607 weighted phase unwrapping that uses fast transforms and iterative methods, *J. Opt.*
608 *Soc. Am. A*, **11**(1).

609 Goldstein, R. M. & Werner, C. L., 1998. Radar interferogram filtering for geophysical
610 applications, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **25**(21), 4035–4038.

611 Goldstein, R. M., Zebker, H. A., & Werner, C. L., 1988. Satellite radar interferometry:
612 Two-dimensional phase unwrapping, *Radio Sci.*, **23**(4), 713–720.

613 Goldstein, R. M., Engelhardt, H., Kamb, B., & Frolich, R. M., 1993. Satellite Radar
614 Interferometry for Monitoring Ice Sheet Motion: Application to an Antarctic Ice
615 Stream, *Science* (80-.), **262**(5139), 1525–1530.

616 Graham, L., 1974. Synthetic interferometer radar for topographic mapping, *Proc.*
617 *IEEE*, **62**(6), 763–768.

618 Guillaso, S., Lasserre, C., Doin, M.-P., Cavalie, O., Sun, J., & Pelzer, G., 2008. InSAR
619 measurement of interseismic strain in areas of low coherence: example across the
620 Haiyuan fault (Gansu, China) using a local InSAR adaptive range filter, *EGU*, **10**.

621 Gurrola, E., Rosen, P. A., Sacco, G. F., Seliga, W., Zebker, H., Simons, M., & Sandwell,
622 D., 2010. InSAR Scientific Computing Environment, *2010 Am. Geophys. Union*
623 *Meet.*.

624 Hersbach, H. & Dee, D., 2016. ERA5 reanalysis is in production, *ECMWF Newsl.*,
625 **147**.

626 Herszterg, I., Poggi, M., & Vidal, T., 2018. 2D-Phase Unwrapping via Balanced Span-
627 ning Forests, (1995).

- 628 Hooper, A. & Zebker, H. A., 2007. Phase unwrapping in three dimensions with appli-
629 cation to InSAR time series, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, **24**(9).
- 630 Hussain, E., Hooper, A., Wright, T. J., Walters, R. J., & Bekaert, D. P. S., 2016. In-
631 terseismic strain accumulation across the central North Anatolian Fault from iter-
632 atively unwrapped InSAR measurements, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, **121**(12),
633 9000–9019.
- 634 Jennison, R. C., 1958. A Phase Sensitive Interferometer Technique for the Measure-
635 ment of the Fourier Transforms of Spatial Brightness Distributions of Small Angular
636 Extent, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **118**(3), 276–284.
- 637 Jolivet, R., Grandin, R., Lasserre, C., Doin, M.-P., & Peltzer, G., 2011. Systematic
638 InSAR tropospheric phase delay corrections from global meteorological reanalysis
639 data, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **38**(17).
- 640 Jolivet, R., Lasserre, C., Doin, M.-P., Guillaso, S., Peltzer, G., Dailu, R., Sun, J., Shen,
641 Z.-K., & Xu, X., 2012. Shallow creep on the Haiyuan Fault (Gansu, China) revealed
642 by SAR Interferometry, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, **117**(B6).
- 643 Lee, J., Hoppel, K., Mango, S., & Miller, A., 1994. Intensity and phase statistics
644 of multilook polarimetric and interferometric SAR imagery, *IEEE Trans. Geosci.*
645 *Remote Sens.*, **32**(4), 1017–1028.
- 646 Lin, Q., Vesecky, J. F., & Zebker, H. A., 1994. Phase unwrapping through fringe-line
647 detection in synthetic aperture radar interferometry, *Appl. Opt.*, **33**(2).
- 648 Lin, Y.-n. N., Simons, M., Hetland, E. A., Muse, P., & DiCaprio, C., 2010. A multi-
649 scale approach to estimating topographically correlated propagation delays in radar
650 interferograms, *Geochem., Geophys. Geosys.*, **11**(9).
- 651 López-Quiroz, P., Doin, M.-p., Tupin, F., Briole, P., & Nicolas, J.-m., 2009. Time
652 series analysis of Mexico City subsidence constrained by radar interferometry, *J.*
653 *Appl. Geophys.*, **69**(1), 1–15.
- 654 Margot, J.-L., Campbell, D., Jurgens, R., & Slade, M., 2000. Digital elevation models
655 of the Moon from Earth-based radar interferometry, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote*
656 *Sens.*, **38**(2), 1122–1133.
- 657 Massonnet, D., Rossi, M., Carmona, C., Adragna, F., Peltzer, G., Feigl, K., & Rabaute,
658 T., 1993. The displacement field of the Landers earthquake mapped by radar inter-
659 ferometry, *Nature*, **364**(6433), 138–142.
- 660 Massonnet, D., Briole, P., & Arnaud, A., 1995. Deflation of Mount Etna monitored by
661 spaceborne radar interferometry, *Nature*, **375**(6532), 567–570.
- 662 Matheron, G., 1967. *Elements pour une theorie des milieux poreux*, Paris, masson edn.
- 663 Pepe, A. & Lanari, R., 2006. On the Extension of the Minimum Cost Flow Algorithm
664 for Phase Unwrapping of Multitemporal Differential SAR Interferograms, *IEEE*
665 *Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, **44**(9), 2374–2383.

666 Pinel-Puysségur, B., Michel, R., & Avouac, J.-P., 2012. Multi-Link InSAR Time Se-
667 ries: Enhancement of a Wrapped Interferometric Database, *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl.*
668 *Earth Obs. Remote Sens.*, **5**(3), 784–794.

669 Pinel-Puysségur, B., Lasserre, C., Benoit, A., Jolivet, R., Doin, M.-p., & Champenois,
670 J., 2018. A Simple Phase Unwrapping Errors Correction Algorithm Based on Phase
671 Closure Analysis, in *IGARSS 2018 - 2018 IEEE Int. Geosci. Remote Sens. Symp.*,
672 vol. 1, pp. 2212–2215, IEEE.

673 Prati, C., Giani, M., & Leuratti, N., 1990. SAR Interferometry: A 2-D Phase Unwrap-
674 ping Technique Based On Phase And Absolute Values Informations, in *10th Annu.*
675 *Int. Symp. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, pp. 2043–2046, IEEE.

676 Reilinger, R. E., Ergintav, S., Bürgmann, R., McClusky, S., Lenk, O., Barka, A.,
677 Gurkan, O., Hearn, L., Feigl, K. L., Cakmak, R., Aktug, B., Ozener, H., & Tökösoz,
678 M. N., 2000. Coseismic and Postseismic Fault Slip for the 17 August 1999, M = 7.5,
679 Izmit, Turkey Earthquake, *Science (80-.)*, **289**(5484), 1519–1524.

680 Rogers, A. E. E. & Ingalls, R. P., 1970. Radar Mapping of Venus With Interferometric
681 Resolution of the Range-Doppler Ambiguity, *Radio Sci.*, **5**(2), 425–433.

682 Rosen, P. A., Hensley, S., Zebker, H. A., Webb, F. H., & Fielding, E. J., 1996. Surface
683 deformation and coherence measurements of Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii, from SIR-C
684 radar interferometry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**(E10).

685 Rosen, P. A., Hensley, S., Peltzer, G., & Simons, M., 2004. Updated repeat orbit
686 interferometry package released, *Eos, Trans. Am. Geophys. Union*, **85**(5).

687 Simons, M. & Rosen, P., 2015. Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar Geodesy, in
688 *Treatise Geophys.*, vol. 3, pp. 339–385, Elsevier.

689 Simons, M., Fialko, Y., & Riviera, L., 2002. Coseismic Deformation from the 1999
690 Mw 7.1 Hector Mine, California, Earthquake as Inferred from InSAR and GPS Ob-
691 servations, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, **92**(4), 1390–1402.

692 Stein, R. S., Barka, A. A., & Dieterich, J. H., 1997. Progressive failure on the North
693 Anatolian fault since 1939 by earthquake stress triggering, *Geophys. J. Int.*, **128**(3),
694 594–604.

695 Verveer, P., 2003. GitHub repository, SciPy library.

696 Zebker, H., Shankar, P., & Hooper, A., 2007. InSAR Remote Sensing Over Decor-
697 relating Terrains: Persistent Scattering Methods, in *2007 IEEE Radar Conf.*, pp.
698 717–722, IEEE.

699 Zebker, H. A. & Goldstein, R. M., 1986. Topographic mapping from interferometric
700 synthetic aperture radar observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **91**(B5), 4993–4999.

701 Zebker, H. A., Rosen, P. A., Goldstein, R. M., Gabriel, A., & Werner, C. L., 1994. On
702 the derivation of coseismic displacement fields using differential radar interferome-
703 try: The Landers earthquake, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, **99**(B10).

- 704 Zisk, S. H., 1972a. Lunar Topography: First Radar-Interferometer Measurements of
705 the Alphonsus-Ptolemaeus-Arzachel Region, *Science* (80-.), **178**(4064), 977–980.
- 706 Zisk, S. H., 1972b. A new, earth-based radar technique for the measurement of lunar
707 topography, *Moon*, **4**(3-4), 296–306.

Table 1: Default values for the algorithm thresholds.

Name	Value (default)
$minSize$	200
p_{flux}	30%
p_{mc}	50%
r_{mc}	2

Table 2: Percentage of corrected pixels for the 35 parametric tests performed on the Lebanon dataset. Column $p_{flux} > 100\%$ (respectively $p_{mc} > 100\%$) corresponds to step 2 (resp. step 1) only. NA: not applicable.

$p_{mc} \backslash p_{flux}$	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%	>100%
10%	51.24	87.57	91.88	83.30	70.52	34.28
30%	87.83	87.49	90.83	83.16	40.22	7.89
50%	87.72	87.27	90.66	83.12	39.76	8.07
70%	87.36	86.99	90.52	83.29	45.66	13.30
90%	87.25	86.71	90.30	82.90	44.20	11.97
>100%	91.25	90.49	81.92	44.45	8.85	NA

Figure 1: Algorithm implementation. First, we build the network of triplets. We process then each triplet. We identify unwrapping errors and reference regions using triplet phase closure. We correct each unwrapping error using a two-steps detection, with the flux method or with the mean closure method, in case the flux method cannot determine which interferogram to correct.

Figure 2: Closure maps (left) and profiles across an unwrapping error (right). Top) Closure from unwrapped interferograms. Center) Closure from wrapped interferograms. Non zero closure is due to multilooking. Bottom) Total closure computed by removing misclosures due to multilooking effects.

Figure 3: Steps to identify and correct an unwrapping error by the so-called flux method. a) Total phase closure of the triplet. b-c) Masked pixels within the unwrapping error zone are filled by erosion and dilation tool. d) Erosion and dilation of the unwrapping error zone to identify inner (red) and outer (blue) border. e) Computation of flux vectors between outer and inner pixels of the unwrapping error.

Figure 4: Results for Envisat dataset in Lebanon. a) Example of an interferogram spanning 2004/08/01 - 2008/07/06 which contains unwrapping errors (red circles). b) and c) Zooms of not corrected and corrected unwrapped interferograms. Error 1 is well corrected by the algorithm. Errors 2 and 3 are challenging areas, where the high fringe gradient, visible on wrapped interferograms, disappears by filtering before unwrapping (arrows in b). The algorithm restores the correct positions of offsets (arrows in c). d), e), f) and g) Zooms of unwrapping errors 2 and 3 on wrapped interferograms, before and after filtering. Filtering erases fringes in high fringe rate regions (arrows).

Figure 5: Results for Sentinel-1 dataset in Turkey. a) Perpendicular baseline plot with corrected triplets in black. Dots are SAR acquisitions and lines are interferograms. b) Histogram of the number of interferograms corrected as a function of percentage of corrected pixels. c) and d) Examples of corrections spanning 2017/04/22 - 2017/11/12 and 2017/03/05 - 2017/11/12, respectively.

Figure 6: Influence of unwrapping error corrections on time series analysis. a) Velocity map calculated from a stack of interferograms not corrected from unwrapping errors and b) from a stack of interferograms corrected from unwrapping errors. c) Differences between a and b. d) e) and f) Profiles across a, b and c, respectively.

Figure 7: Influence of unwrapping errors on root mean square (RMS) maps. a) and c) RMS maps where unwrapping errors are not corrected and corrected, respectively. Unwrapping errors have a large contribution on the estimation of RMS. b) and d) Histograms of RMS maps a and c, respectively.

Figure 8: Number of pixels incorrectly unwrapped by a branch-cut method (unwrapping errors) detected by the algorithm during 4 successive runs. The algorithm converge in two iterations, leading to correct 95% of the 2 millions pixels incorrectly unwrapped at the beginning.

Figure 9: Comparison of the number of pixels incorrectly unwrapped by a branch-cut (blue diamonds) and a least-square (red squares) approach detected by the algorithm during 3 successive runs.