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This work provides preliminary results indicating that helical markers and neural networks can enable efficient monitoring of the 3D shape and orientation of an active catheter from isolated 2D fluoroscopic images.

1 Introduction

Accurate performance of minimally invasive surgeries (MIS) requires intra-operative feedback. For active catheters, particularly, it is necessary to track beyond the tip and include an extended longitudinal section so as to avoid tissue damages by unintended operations of active components along the length.

The present study aims to obtain shape and orientation of active catheters with fluoroscopy, which has been a standard protocol for catheter monitoring[1]. To overcome the limitations of 2D while avoiding the additional computational and financial costs of bi-plane imaging[2], radiopaque markers are introduced.

Publications have shown that band markers aid tip orientation tracking[3], and that helical markers aid curvature sensing[4]. This work trains a shallow neural network (NN) to reconstruct the full-length 3D configuration of an active catheter from projections of designed markers. The system can potentially be generalized beyond fluoroscopy for ultrasound[6][7] or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)[8].

2 Methods

2.1 Experimental setup and variables

A catheter prototype was made from a torque coil (Fig.1). To assist with tracking, compression springs were attached as radiopaque helices surrounding the coil. An additional copper wire looped around one end of the coil to serve as a reference for base point. Images of the prototype in various shapes and orientations were acquired by Siemens’ radiology system, Artis Zeego.

The orientation of a catheter can be defined by three variables– yaw, roll, and pitch (Fig. 2A). The shape of an active catheter can be approximated by a global bending angle measured between the base and the tip (Fig. 2B). This study focused on two of the variables:

Roll angle $\theta_{roll}$ is the angle about the unbent catheter’s length. $\theta_{roll}$ varied between 0° and 75° in 188 increments automatically by the 20sDR-H 30 protocol on Artis Zeego.

Bending angle $\theta_{bend}$ is the difference between angles in the distal and proximal segments. In this work, the catheter was manually deflected into five different $\theta_{bend}$.

There were, therefore, a total of 940 configurations. Note that the variations of yaw and pitch are also crucial and have been part of the work in progress (see 3.2).
Figure 3: An example of 2D image (left) and the image overlaid with extracted peaks, catheter shape, and reference point (right).

Figure 4: The 2D trajectories of helical peaks in different roll ($\theta_{\text{roll}}$) and bending ($\theta_{\text{bend}}$) angles. All subplots share the same horizontal axis limit.

2.2 Image analysis

All images were post-processed in MATLAB™. Fig. 3 shows an example frame before and after processing. The projected shape of the catheter was approximated as a 3rd-order polynomial. Helical peaks were identified by two methods. The first method found regions of connected pixels and retained those in proper sizes. In each region, the pixel furthest away from the catheter was labeled as a peak. Nevertheless, due to $\theta_{\text{bend}}$ and projection perspective, not all peaks displayed as closed areas to be identifiable with the first method. The second method, based on the Qhull algorithm, searched for points which formed the greatest convex hull around the catheter. After eliminating overlaps and falsely identified peaks by thresholding inter-peak and peak-to-catheter distances, the trajectories of peaks over $\theta_{\text{roll}}$ are shown in each subplot in Fig. 4 for each $\theta_{\text{bend}}$.

3 Results

3.1 Neural network prediction

Each catheter configuration yielded about two dozens of x- and y- of helical peaks. The information of each set of peaks was consolidated into single variables. To uniquely recognize the two catheter configuration variables ($\theta_{\text{bend}}$ and $\theta_{\text{roll}}$), it is expected that a minimum of two predictors are needed.

A two-layer feedforward network was trained with a nonlinear least square fitting algorithm. All data were divided randomly into training (70%), validating (15%), and testing (15%) sets. Different predictors were tested in a number of sessions. The two predictors resulting in the best shape recognition were $d_0$ (longitudinal distance between the most proximal marker and the reference point) and $d_1 - d_2$ (difference between concave and convex average inter-peak distances).

The correlations between predicted and actual $\theta_{\text{bend}}$ and $\theta_{\text{roll}}$ of the testing set ($n = 141$) are depicted in Fig. 5A. The errors of both variables are plotted in Fig. 5B. Almost all errors are under 15°, and neither of the errors seem to display any trends of variation.

3.2 Discussions

A significant contribution of the present work is the recognition of large $\theta_{\text{bend}}$ at large $\theta_{\text{roll}}$ (e.g. bright markers in the fifth subplot in Fig. 4), an ambiguity primarily introduced by $\theta_{\text{roll}}$. The markers does not interfere with the incision in cases where the markers are covered with an external layer. It is also worth noting that the present study attempted a framework without regard to the perturbation of the table and the calibration of the projection perspective.

Several aspects still need to be addressed. Improved image quality and processing may resolve the small portion of missing or erroneous peaks in the current results. Moreover, simulation covering a broader variety of possible configurations is expected to robustize neural network performance. Presently, $\theta_{\text{bend}}$ variation was limited to five distinct values. As mentioned in 2.1, future work is ongoing to expand the model to include $\theta_{\text{yaw}}$ and $\theta_{\text{pitch}}$ variations. Separate simulations supported the validity of $\theta_{\text{pitch}}$ recognition with the addition of one predictor—coefficient of variation of inter-peak distances. As for $\theta_{\text{yaw}}$, parallel to the imaging plane, it is expected to be correlated with the overall x-y slopes.

In summary, to achieve efficient shape and orientation identification of a 3D catheter with single-plane fluoroscopy, the present work demonstrated the potential of neural network and helical markers.
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