A dyrosaurid from the Paleocene of Senegal

Jeremy E. Martin¹, Raphaël Sarr², Lionel Hautier³

¹Univ. Lyon, ENS de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, UMR 5276
Laboratoire de Géologie de Lyon: Terre, Planètes, Environnement, F-69342 46 Allée d'Italie,
Lyon, France.

²Laboratoire de Sédimentologie et Biostratigraphie, Département de Géologie, Université
Cheikh Anta Diop de Dakar, Sénégal

³Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, UMR5554, CNRS, IRD, EPHE, Université de
Montpellier, Montpellier, France.

Running Header: Paleocene dyrosaurid from Senegal

Abstract.—We describe a partial dyrosaurid skeleton recently prepared out of a limestone block discovered in the 1930s from Danian strata along the Atlantic coast of Senegal. The specimen, from a single individual, comprises nicely preserved elements of the appendicular and axial skeleton from the abdominal and sacral region, which enables us to refine our knowledge on some postcranial characteristics of the Dyrosauridae. Although Dyrosauridae are abundant in early Eocene deposits of North Africa, the present discovery in the Danian of Senegal fills a patchier record early after the K/Pg boundary and provides an important comparative datapoint with the few other Danian dyrosaurid records such as *Atlantosuchus* from nearby Morocco or *Guarinisuchus* and *Hyposaurus* from Brazil and the USA, respectively.

Introduction

The Dyrosauridae represent a monophyletic group of crocodylomorphs that radiated in the marine environment during the Cretaceous. Their earliest record dates from the Late Cretaceous with mentions from the Campanian (Churcher and Russel, 1992; Lamanna et al. 2004; Kear et al. 2008; Salih et al. 2015) or from undetermined Late Cretaceous levels (Sertich et al. 2006) and perhaps from levels as old as the Cenomanian (Buffetaut and Lauerjat, 1978). The group survived through the end Cretaceous biological crisis and became extinct during the early Eocene, most probably during the Ypresian (Buffetaut, 1978a; Buffetaut, 1982; Hill et al. 2008; Martin et al. 2014). Accounts of dyrosaurid remains in the Lutetian (Pilgrim, 1940; Tessier, 1952; Buffetaut, 1978a, b) should be re-evaluated in view of recent stratigraphic studies (e.g. O’Leary et al. 2006) before they can be validated or not. Although the fossil record of dyrosaurids is especially abundant with relatively complete specimens from the late Paleocene (Buffetaut, 1980; Jouve, 2007) and early Eocene (Bergounioux, 1956; Buffetaut, 1978a; Jouve, 2005), fewer representatives from the early Paleocene have been reported so far. They include genera such as *Hyposaurus* from the Danian of the USA (Troxell, 1925; Denton et al. 1997), *Atlantosuchus* from the Danian of Morocco (Buffetaut, 1979; Jouve et al. 2008) and *Guarinisuchus* from the Danian of Brazil (Barbosa et al. 2008). The genus *Phosphatosaurus* has been reported from Mali and Niger and may also be Danian in age according to Buffetaut (1978a). Other fragmentary finds from Bolivia (Buffetaut, 1991), Brazil (Cope, 1886), Pakistan (Buffetaut, 1977) and Senegal (Tessier, 1952) have been attributed a Danian or early Paleocene age. Current data indicate that dyrosaurid diversity was lower during the Late Cretaceous than during the Paleocene (6

genera versus 11, respectively). On the other hand, the diversity of dyrosaurids during the Cretaceous may parallel that of the Paleocene depending on phylogenetic resolution within Dyrosauridae (Hill et al. 2008). Some authors have proposed that this could be explained by a shift with the extinction of Cretaceous predators such as mosasaurs freeing space for dyrosaurids (Jouve et al., 2008; Barbosa et al. 2008). Nevertheless, such diversity counts merge the dyrosaurid fossil record of the entire Paleocene, and dyrosaurid diversity immediately after the K/Pg crisis is poorly known and difficult to evaluate. Records of large vertebrate predators immediately after the K/Pg boundary are equally important as those from the Maastrichtian because they may provide insights into the reorganization of marine foodwebs following a major biotic disruption.

Here, we describe a dyrosaurid specimen collected in the 1930s by two French geologists, Fernand Jacquet and Maurice Nicklès, who both worked for the Service Géologique de l'Afrique Occidentale Française (AOF) in Dakar. Their survey of Paleogene outcrops along the Atlantic coast of Senegal led to the discovery, near the village of Poponguine (Fig. 1), of the presently described specimen, which has been briefly mentioned in several notes (Malavoy, 1934 p.7; 1935 p. 7; Jacquet, 1936). The specimen consists of articulated postcranial elements belonging to a single individual, the bones of which were deposited in a low energy marine environment of Danian age according to associated microfossils.

History of the discovery.—Before we borrowed the specimen for preparation and study, the following inscription was visible on the surface of the sediment: “Poponguine – Sénégal, Falaise du Bungalow” (Fig. 3). “La Falaise du Bungalow” is a small seaside cliff subjected to wave erosion and made of an alternating sequence of marls and limestones (see geological

section). Nowadays, the Presidential residence is sitting on top of it, having replaced the bungalow of the “Gouverneur général de l’Afrique Occidentale Française”.

A note from the head of the Service géologique de l’AOF indicates 1934 as the year of the discovery of the Poponguine specimen, originally identified as a fossil mammal with eight vertebrae, three elongate bones and six ribs (Malavoy, 1934). The following year, the same specimen was identified as a crocodile from Poponguine (Malavoy, 1935). Jacquet (1936), reporting on some debris of a marine crocodylian, provided a brief context for the specimen indicating a provenance from alternating levels of marls and limestones from Poponguine. He attributed the deposits to be early Eocene in age on the basis of their invertebrate content, although more recent studies consider the deposits to be Danian in age (see geological section). Following the tragic loss in 1937 of F. Jacquet during a field survey in Mauritania, M. Nicklès was put in charge of handling the works of his colleague (Legoux, 1937). A few years later, Arambourg and Joleaud (1943 p. 63) mentioned that M. Jacquet recovered vertebrae and limb bones belonging to *Dyrosaurus* in the area of Poponguine. Tessier (1952) mentions that this material has been observed by C. Arambourg, citing “1943 *Dyrosaurus* sp. Arambourg (6), p. 63” and referring to the collection Jacquet of the MNHN (Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle, Paris). Therefore, the specimen must have been part of the MNHN collections at least until 1952 but it is unclear when the specimen was transferred from Dakar to Paris. From this date, the whereabouts of the specimen become unknown and no mention of it is made in the literature. The specimen re-surfaces much later in Toulouse. The dyrosaurid specimen was stored in the collections of the Institut Catholique de Toulouse from an unknown date until the mid 90s when it was donated to the Toulouse Museum (MHNT) on September 09 1996 (Y. Laurent, pers. comm.). Understanding how the specimen transited from MNHN Paris to Toulouse is unclear at this stage and we can only speculate on potential links between Camille Arambourg (1885–1969), then Professor at MNHN who

made the observations on the specimen (see above), and Frédéric-Marie Bergounioux (1900–1983), paleontologist and theologian at the Institut Catholique de Toulouse. Both researchers knew each other, with C. Arambourg having sent to F-M. Bergounioux several fossil turtle specimens for study (Bergounioux, 1952). It is therefore possible that the dyrosaurid specimen arrived at the collections of Toulouse in this way. However, no mention of the Poponguine specimen is made in Bergounioux' monograph, which extensively describes dyrosaurid material from Tunisia (Bergounioux, 1956).

Geological setting

Paleocene strata crop out on a narrow littoral stretch between Toubab Dialaw until the southern end of the horst of Diass (Fig. 1). Most of the outcrops are represented by alternating marls and limestones, which take part in the Ndayane Formation. This formation is overlain by discarding shelly limestones of the Poponguine Formation, which crop out East of Poponguine. The Ndayane Formation is visible in a cliff, the altitude of which is less than 10 m above sea level. The Ndayane Formation unconformably overlies the Maastrichtian gritty limestone containing ammonites with a conglomeratic level (Castelain et al. 1965). A lithological section along the stretch of coastline shows the following units totalling 27 m in thickness:

From the base, grey argillaceous limestone becomes replaced by lenticular limestone (2.5 m thick) with gypsum veins;

Most of the cliff (17.5 m thick) is made of limestone beds alternating with yellowish marly limestones with gypsum veins. The limestone beds become thicker in the median section of the cliff and the marly limestone beds become lenticular. Near the summit, limestone beds become thinner whereas marly-limestone beds become thicker.

Marls containing calcite rosettes show at their base thin lenticular levels of marly limestones (7m thick).

The microfauna of the Ndayane Formation is rich and diverse and includes planktonic and benthic foraminifers as well as ostracods. These microfaunas have been studied by several authors (Castelain et al., 1965; Faye, 1983; Sarr, 1995; Sarr and Ly, 1998; Sarr, 1998) who established a middle to upper Danian age for the formation on the basis of the following planktonic foraminifer species, also identified here in sediment associated with MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45: *Chiloguembelina morsei* (Kline), *Morozovella inconstans*, *M. pseudobulloides* (Plummer), *M. cf. trinidadensis* (Bolli), and *Planorotalites compressa* (Plummer) (Fig. 2). This age is in agreement with that established by Tessier (1952) on the basis of macrofaunas.

The depositional environment corresponds to a middle to external platform with a water depth comprised between 100 and 150 m) with a muddy bottom covered with algae, receiving episodically coarse material from the infralittoral environment (Sarr, 1998).

Systematic Paleontology

Crocodylomorpha Hay, 1930

Dyrosauridae De Stefano, 1903

Dyrosauridae indet.

Figures 3–10

Description.—The specimen was preserved as three blocks (Fig. 3.1), which underwent acid preparation (Fig. 3.2). The largest block was prepared so that most elements of the specimen preserve their articulation. Several elements were totally freed from the matrix and could be

described individually. The main block preserves the last five thoracal vertebrae (#11 to #15); thoracal vertebra #10 has been freed from the matrix as well as two other thoracal vertebrae (one being heavily weathered and the other only consisting of the centrum) thus totalizing eight thoracic vertebrae. The two sacral vertebrae are preserved on the main block. The second sacral vertebra is split in half and is loose from the main block (Fig. 4). Four caudal vertebrae are also available. The right ischium is firmly connected to a fragmentary ilium (Fig. 5). The right femur (Fig. 6) is preserved and is slightly displaced from the pelvic area. The articulated vertebrae are positioned within a mix of ribs, gastralia, and displaced dorsal and ventral osteoderms.

Materials.—MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45, postcranial elements of a single specimen from the abdominal and sacral region.

Thoracal vertebrae.—The anteriormost thoracal vertebra is complete (Fig. 4.1–5) and corresponds to thoracal vertebra #10. In anterior or posterior views, the amphicoelous centrum has a subquadrangular outline, being nearly higher than wide. The posterior margin of the centrum displays a pathological area near its anterolateral corner, which may correspond to an erosive lesion (arrow in Fig. 4.2). The centrum is slightly elongated, being longer than high. In lateral view, the ventral margin of the centrum is faintly concave. The main corpus of the centrum is slightly constricted laterally with thick anterior and posterior margins that define the limits of the anterior and posterior surfaces. The neurocentral suture is visible and runs transversally along the lateral margin of the centrum, in its dorsal half. A distinct and shallow circular pleurocoele spreads over the centrum and neural arch, below the posterior margin of the transverse process. The neural arch is robustly attached to the centrum. The neural spine is relatively short, being nearly as high as the centrum. Its dorsal

margin is straight and represents half the length of the centrum. The dorsal surface of the neural spine is vascularized. In anterior and posterior views, the neural arch shows an extensive medial sulcus. The neural canal is heart-shaped in cross-section with its dorsal margin receiving a ventrally projecting lamina at the level of the neural spine. The anterior extent of the prezygapophyses is almost in line with the anterior margin of the centrum, whereas the posterior extent of the postzygapophyses project far beyond the posterior margin of the centrum. The articular faces on the pre- and postzygapophyses are obliquely oriented with respect to the neural spine. The laminar transverse processes are short and comparable in dimensions to the centrum width, but their anteroposterior length is slightly shorter than the length of the centrum. In dorsal view, the distal margin of the transverse processes is unequal with a parapophysis shorter than the diapophysis.

Sacrum.—The anterior part of the first sacral vertebra is connected to the rest of the vertebral column and is partly embedded in the sediment. The second sacral vertebra detached during preparation, revealing a strong sutural surface. Much like *Hyposaurus* (Schwarz et al., 2006), the two sacral vertebrae appear to have not fused together, whereas these bones are fused in *Dyrosaurus* sp. (Schwarz et al., 2006). The anterior and posterior surfaces of the sacral centra are ovoid in outline, being wider than tall. The sutural surface of the anterior margin of the second sacral vertebra is complex and presents two parallel sulci along its midline (Fig. 4.6). Such similar sulci have previously been reported on the posterior, but not anterior, surface of the second sacral vertebra of *D. phosphaticus* and of *Hyposaurus* (YPM 753) by Schwarz et al. (2006). The second sacral vertebra can be observed in ventral aspect and no obvious shallow sulcus is observed here close to its anterior margin, contrary to the condition in *Dyrosaurus* sp. and cf. *Rhabdognathus* (Schwarz et al. 2006). As observed in dorsal view, the first and second sacral ribs are hourglass shaped. The sacral ribs of the first sacral vertebra are

about twice as long as the ribs of the second sacral vertebra (Figs. 3, 4.8), as is commonly observed among crocodylians. The first sacral rib occupies the anterior two thirds of the lateral surface of the centrum length, whereas the second sacral rib occupies the entire length of the centrum. On the first sacral vertebra, as observed in posterior view, a distinct circular fossa is visible at the sutural intersection of the neural arch, centrum and sacral rib. As in *Dyrosaurus* sp., the proximal insertion of the sacral rib for the second sacral vertebra is restricted to the corpus of the second sacral centrum (Schwarz et al. 2006) and unlike the condition in *Rhabdognathus* where the sacral rib overlaps on the centrum of the first caudal vertebra (Langston, 1995). The iliac symphyseal surface of the first rib does not exceed the anterior and posterior margins of the centrum. An anterolateral process is present as in *Dyrosaurus* sp. and cf. *Rhabdognathus* (Schwarz et al. 2006). In lateral view, the anterolateral process is thin and shows a concave articular surface; the posterior articular process of the rib is located more medially than the anterolateral process and is nearly as tall as the centrum height, being concave. The iliac symphyseal surface of the second sacral rib is slightly smaller and symmetrical to the first sacral rib, with a tall anterior surface and a thin posterior process. This iliac symphyseal surface exceeds slightly the posterior margin of the second sacral vertebra. The neural spines have weathered out in the described specimen.

Caudal vertebrae.—At least three caudal vertebrae were prepared out of the second block. Schwarz et al. (2006) described shallow bowl-shaped fossae on the anterior and posterior surfaces of the centrum of the caudal vertebrae. Here, such fossae are also observed on both thoracal and caudal vertebrae. The first caudal vertebra possesses no facets for the haemal arches (Figs. 4.14, 4.15). The second caudal vertebra possesses a facet for the haemal arches only on the posteroventral margin of its centrum (Figs. 4.19, 4.20). The third caudal vertebra possesses articular facets for the haemal arches both on the anteroventral and posteroventral

margins of its centrum (Fig. 4.21). This pattern of articulation between caudal centra and haemal arches differs from that described in *Dyrosaurus* sp. and cf. *Rhabdognathus* where the facet is present on the anteroventral surface from the second vertebra (Langston, 1995; Schwarz et al. 2006). It is, however, similar to *Congosaurus bequaerti* where this pattern of articulation starts from the third caudal vertebra (Schwarz et al. 2006). The first caudal vertebra has proportions of the centrum similar to the sacral vertebrae; from the second caudal vertebra, the centrum is longer than high with a quadrangular outline of the anterior and posterior margins; the posteroventral margin is also distinctly lowered than the anteroventral margin (compare Figs. 4.15, 4.20, 4.21).

Ischium.—The nearly complete right ischium is preserved in connection with the ilium in the main block (Figs. 3, 5, 5.7, 5.8, 5.9). The left ischium was isolated during preparation; it is eroded on its medial surface and misses its distal blade (Fig. 5.5, 5.6). The ischium measures about 100 mm in its maximum length, the blade representing about 70 percent of this length. The anterior and posterior margins of the blade are parallel and do not seem to expand significantly near the distal end of the blade, but this area is damaged. The medial surface of the right element is smooth and gently concave. The broken blade of the left element shows that the anterior margin is thinner than the posterior margin.

The proximal portion of the ischium shows the distinct acetabular foramen, which is bordered anteriorly by the forward-projecting anterior iliac process and posteriorly by the posterior iliac process. The anterior margin of the anterior iliac process is vertical and bears in its lower half an anteriorly oriented pubic process. The pubic process sends a short spiny ventral projection, resulting in a markedly concave margin. The facet for accommodating the ilium is flat and obliquely oriented. On the posterior iliac process, the dorsal facet for the ilium is concave, pierced by foramina and bordered by a thin bony rim; its lateral portion is

shorter than the medial portion, matching the triangular outline of the connecting ilium. Anterior to this facet, there is a flat surface that descends within the acetabulum and corresponds to the articular area for the femoral head (in lateral view, this gives to the posterior iliac process, a truncated outline). The anterior region of the acetabulum, at the level of the anterior iliac process, is anterolaterally depressed. In the same area but near the medial border, the margin is faintly crested. As seen in posterior view, the posterior iliac process possesses a short but distinct muscle scar near its proximal end (Fig. 5.9)

Ilium.—The right ilium measures 67 mm in its maximum height, is connected to the ischium in the main block (Fig. 3) but is heavily damaged. The left ilium is complete, although its internal surface is slightly eroded (Figs. 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4). The anterior process of the ilium is massive and projects dorsally whereas it projects anteriorly in *Congosaurus*. Just below it, the supraacetabular crest is well defined as a thin ridge delimiting the anterodorsal outer margin of the acetabulum. The anterior peduncle of the ilium is mediolaterally thin with the ischial facet, although eroded, facing ventromedially. The posterior peduncle is massive, D-shaped in ventral view and the ischial facet is here facing fully ventrally. The acetabulum occupies about one third of the lateral surface of the bone and nearly reaches the dorsal surface. The acetabular foramen is large and occupies more space than in *Congosaurus*. The posterior blade of the ilium is concave on its lateral surface, and in dorsal view, the ilium is sigmoidal. Except near the anterior spine, the dorsal margin of the blade is widely convex (not wasp-waisted), and is indented for muscle attachment. The posteroventral margin of the blade bears strong indentations, especially on the medial surface. The dorsal and ventral margins of the blade merges into a short peduncle projecting posteriorly. The ventral margin between the posterior peduncle and the strong indented area is smooth and concave on the lateral side.

275 *Femur*.—The right femur preserves much of the femoral head and a proximal portion of the
276 shaft. The left femur is complete and partly connected to the block (Fig. 6). The femur has a
277 general sigmoid curvature with a strongly medially bent femoral head, a thick shaft, ovoid in
278 section and terminated distally with a pair of massive and ventrally directed condyles. In
279 proximal view, the femoral head is ovoid being wider than high. On its dorsal surface, an
280 anomalous depression filled with sediment is visible (star in Fig. 6.2). The medial most
281 outline of the femoral head is distinctly set apart from the main shaft as originally observed in
282 *Hyposaurus* (Troxell, 1925). The ventral margin close to the femoral head possesses a small
283 but distinct knob, which sits on the proximal part of an acute ridge that marks the
284 ventrolateral margin of the femoral head. This ridge merges with the lateral margin of the
285 shaft, which is straight and also acute until the level of the fourth trochanter. Dorsal to this
286 ridge, the lateral surface of the femur bears a large depressed zone (Figs. 6.2, 6.7) but is also
287 heavily weathered. At this level, a process is visible on the dorsal surface (Fig. 6.2, 6.7). The
288 fourth trochanter is prominent and is projecting ventrally. Dorsal to it, a shallow ovoid surface
289 corresponds to the caudofemoral flange, which faces fully medially. From this level, and as
290 seen in medial or lateral view, the shaft gently bends ventrally with two massive condyles that
291 project strongly ventrally toward the distal extremity of the shaft. The distal portion of the
292 shaft is largely hidden by an osteoderm. In ventral view, a wide intercondylar fossa is present
293 (Fig. 6.4), which separates a narrow medial condyle from a more massive lateral condyle (Fig.
294 6.5). The medial surface of the medial condyle is flat and the condyle expands as a narrow
295 outgrowth dorsally, giving to the dorsal surface of the femur an uneven outline. The lateral
296 condyle is sturdy and possesses a slight projection on its convex lateral margin.

297
298 *Tibia*.—The proximal epiphysis of a left tibia is preserved and is bent from the shaft in a
299 posteromedial direction (Fig. 6). The proximal end is 45 mm mediolaterally by 41 mm

anteroposteriorly, and the oval shaft, as preserved is much thinner being 20 mm mediolaterally by 18 mm anteroposteriorly. It strongly resembles another indeterminate dyrosaurid tibia described from Pakistan (Fig. 9 in Storrs, 1986). Its proximal articular surface is deeply depressed in the middle as in *Congosaurus bequaerti* (Schwarz et al. 2006). This surface possesses two articular facets that are continuous on the medial and posterior margins. Both facets are separated by a marked posterior cleft (Figs. 6.9, 6.11). The medial articular facet is about twice larger and markedly concave in comparison to the posterior one. The anterior margin of the articular surface is straight and does not show an articular facet. In anterior view, the medial facet is angled relative to the rest of the proximal margin of the tibia, giving to the shaft a strongly concave medial margin. Conversely, the lateral margin is straight and nearly perpendicular with the articular surface (Fig. 6.10). Here, the cnemial crest is not particularly standing out, being distinct only in its most proximal portion where a step-like process accommodates the proximal margin of the fibula (Figs. 6.9, 6.11). A 9 mm long ovoid depression is observed on the anterolateral corner of the tibia (Fig. 6.8), as previously observed in the tibia of *Guarinisuchus munizi* (Sena et al. 2017, fig. 3M).

Calcaneum.—A complete left calcaneum is preserved (Fig. 7). The anterior ball is demarcated from the posterior tuber by an extensive medial channel that runs along most of the medial surface of the posterior tuber, just posterior to the medial flange. As observed in medial view, the calcaneal socket, which accommodates the astragalar peg, is deep. Posterior to it, the anterior face of the medial flange is vast and has a gently concave margin that may have limited rotation of the astragalar peg. The anterior ball is convex in its anterior and dorsal parts, and flat in its plantar margin as in extant crocodylians. From a lateral view, the anterior ball shows a vertical wall. Ventrally, it is well demarcated from the posterior tuber by

a deep lateroventral channel. The posterior tuber shows on its posterior margin a shallow and rugose groove.

Radiale.—The right radiale is preserved but misses most of its proximal portion (Figs. 8.1, 8.2) and is heavily eroded on its posterior surface. A portion of the facet for the ulnare is preserved on the lateral margin and distinctly projects laterally from the proximal portion of the bone. The shaft is wasp-waisted. The distal articular surface is concave.

Metacarpals.—Three metacarpals are preserved. The right metacarpal I (Figs. 8.3–8.6) is 35 mm long and possesses a straight shaft with proximal and distal portions being nearly as wide and in line with each other. On the proximoventral edge of the bone, a large and wider than long depression is observed on a lateral projection lateral (Figs. 8.3, 8.5) to the main shaft. The proximal articular surface is marked by a distinct dorsoventral sulcus (Fig. 8.3). The distal surface bears two condyles, each possessing deep pits on their medial and lateral surfaces. The right (Figs. 8.7, 8.10) and left metacarpals II or III are preserved. Each bone is 41 mm long with a rod-like shaft and a flared and convex proximal margin. In dorsal view, the proximal epiphysis is rugose and projects briefly along the lateral half of the shaft (rp in Fig. 8.8). Immediately medial to it, an extensive flat facet is inclined toward the medial margin (Fig. 8.8). In palmar view (Fig. 8.9), a wide shallow depression is delimited by a proximomedial bony ridge. The shaft has a rotated axis with the distal condyles approximately 90° from the proximal portion (Fig. 8.10), as observed in *Congosaurus* and *Hyposaurus* (Schwarz et al. 2006). The distal condyles are well individualized and possess marked pits on their medial and lateral edges. The right or left metacarpal V (Fig. 8.11) is partly weathered at both extremities, has a rod-like shaft and is 38 mm long.

349 *Phalanx*.—A single carpal phalanx is preserved (Figs. 8.12–8.15). It is 18 mm long, wasp-
350 waisted with a proximal portion nearly as wide as the distal portion. In palmar view, the distal
351 condyles are well demarcated by a marked intercondylar groove.

352
353 *Osteoderms*.—Several osteoderms are associated with the skeleton (Figs. 3, 9). They are all
354 displaced and therefore no clear configuration of the dorsal or ventral shield can be deduced.
355 All dorsal osteoderms lack an external keel (Figs. 3, 9.1, 9.2) a condition identical to that of
356 various hyposaurine dyrosaurids (Schwarz et al. 2006). The dorsal osteoderms attributed to
357 the paravertebral shield are square to trapezoidal in outline being slightly wider than long.
358 Their anterior and posterior margins are slightly vaulted and thin in comparison to the thick
359 median body. As observed in dorsal view, the anterior margin is concave in its median portion
360 and its dorsal surface shows a smooth facet covering the entire width of the osteoderm. A
361 short anterolateral process emerges from this smooth facet. Just posterior to the anterior facet,
362 a row of nearly circular and well-aligned cupules is present. This row is distinct from the rest
363 of the dorsal surface, which is slightly raised and ornamented with slightly larger and
364 randomly distributed cupules. The posterior margin is gently convex. The median and lateral
365 margins are nearly straight. As for the lateral margin, some incipient indentations are
366 sometime visible and may have contacted a more lateral osteoderm. The ventral surface is
367 perfectly smooth and sometime exhibits a few foramina.

368 Isolated ventral osteoderms were also identified and present a wide V-shaped margin
369 and a peg on the opposite margin (Fig. 9.3). Part of the ventral shield is still articulated but
370 sediment hides much of the organization. A suite of four articulated osteoderms is visible on
371 one side of the specimen and is positioned ventral to the gastralia (Figs. 9.4, 9.5). Ventral
372 osteoderms are smaller than dorsal osteoderms and are distinctly wider than long. Their
373 margins possess interdigitations for suturing to other osteoderms. The medial margin is

strongly concave whereas the lateral margin possesses a pointed process that strongly projects laterally (Fig. 9.3). The external surface is ornamented with a few barely visible shallow circular pits, which do not spread near the margins of the osteoderm. Those ventral osteoderms are gently vaulted, being nearly flat.

Ribs, gastralites and other structures.—Several elongated elements are preserved in the main block and are intertwined with the locally disarticulated skeletal parts. Their encasing in the sediment and the hiding of articular portions render their identification tentative. Thin, straight rod-like structures with a perfectly circular cross section spread to the left of the thoracic region from the eleventh to thirteenth thoracic vertebrae (Fig. 3). They are less than 5 mm in diameter. Thicker elements, about 10 mm in diameter, correspond to ribs that are also scattered in this area and offer sigmoid outlines. Another type of morphology is represented by two nearly complete structures observed in this same area (Fig. 3) as well as by some fragments recovered during acid preparation (Fig. 10). These are wide, flat on one side, convex on the other, and gently curved rib-like structures with an articular facet on one end. Remarkably, they display numerous ovoid pits on both sides that range in diameter from 1 to 3 mm. These pits are similar to those observed on the external surface of osteoderms.

Gastroliths.—At least five pebbles (1 to 3 cm large) are scattered adjacent to the thirteenth thoracic vertebra (Fig. 3). Another one is observed near the eleventh vertebra. Another one was freed from the block. The nature of these stones is pure quartz and therefore different to the embedding carbonate matrix. The pebbles are not perfectly rounded and possess smooth angular edges.

Discussion

400 *Taxonomic identification and comparison.*—The material was initially identified as a suite of
401 vertebrae and limb bones belonging to the genus *Dyrosaurus* in Arambourg and Joleaud
402 (1943). The unprepared block permitted observations of weathered bone surface only (Fig. 3),
403 and although no details are given in Arambourg and Joleaud (1943), some osteoderms were
404 obviously identifiable. Since Thevenin (1911a,b), dyrosaurids were recognized as part of the
405 Crocodylia with ample descriptions available, for example *Hyposaurus* (Troxell, 1925) or
406 *Congosaurus* (Swinton, 1950). As noted by Brochu et al. (2002), dyrosaurid taxonomy has
407 been largely established on characters of the rostrum and lower jaw. Most recently erected
408 dyrosaurid species have also been established on the basis of complete skulls (Barbosa et al.
409 2008; Hastings et al. 2010; 2011; 2015). Isolated skull remains or partially articulated
410 postcranial elements are difficult to assign to a given genus or species, despite extensive
411 description of the postcranial anatomy for Hyposaurinae (e.g. Schwarz et al. 2006). Although
412 the presently described skeleton from Senegal, MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45, lacks those diagnostic
413 characters established on cranial morphology, it is assigned to the Dyrosauridae on the basis
414 of the following postcranial characters in association: (1) amphiplatyan vertebral centra; (2)
415 femoral head being twisted along the shaft by about 30° from the distal condyles; (3) femur
416 with strong beak-like projection of the proximal head (Troxell, 1925); (4) strong posterior
417 cleft on the proximal surface of the tibia; (5) ischium with forward-projecting anterior iliac
418 process; (6) elongated anterior ball and narrow posterior tuber of the calcaneum; (7) absence
419 of external keel on dorsal osteoderms; (8) presence of ventral osteoderms being twice as wide
420 as long. A last character, (9) deeply pitted rib-like structures, may not be used in the diagnosis
421 of Dyrosauridae, but is discussed herein due to a single previous report in a dyrosaurid
422 specimen. These characters are detailed below and anatomical comparisons are made with
423 *Alligator mississippiensis*, which represents an extant eusuchian.

Amphiplatyan vertebrae widely occur in non-eusuchian crocodylomorphs. In the post-Cretaceous fossil record, only two groups of crocodylomorphs possess such a type of vertebrae: Sebecosuchia represented by taxa living exclusively in the continental environment, and Dyrosauridae sharing the marine habitat with longirostrine eusuchians, for which vertebral centra cannot be confounded due to their procoelous intervertebral articulation.

The femur from Poponguine is identical to that of any dyrosaurid in possessing a beak-like projecting head. As such, a right femur with a “strong beak-like curve of the head and the weak fourth trochanter” was described in *Hyposaurus* (Troxell, 1925). The right femur of *Congosaurus bequaerti* was described by Jouve and Schwarz (2004) and by Schwarz et al. (2006). A flattened femur is known for *Acherontisuchus guajiraensis*, for which “the fourth trochanter is large for the family and the paratrochanteric fossa is deeper than the shallow pit seen in *C. bequaerti*” (Hastings et al. 2011). Sena et al. (2017) described the proximal portion of a right femur for *Guarinisuchus munizi*. A right dyrosaurid femur was described by Arambourg (1952), who noticed that the proximal head is in line with the distal condyles (Arambourg, 1952, fig. 62), a condition also identified in the specimen from Poponguine (measuring about 30°). On the other hand, the proximal head is arranged perpendicular to the distal condyles in *Congosaurus bequaerti* and modern crocodylians (Arambourg, 1952; Schwarz et al. 2006 report 140° in *Congosaurus bequaerti*). According to previous works, the morphology of the femur is variable within the family and the specimen from Poponguine most resembles *Hyposaurus* in sharing with it a weakly developed fourth trochanter and with the dyrosaurid femur described by Arambourg (1952) in sharing with it a femoral head in line with the distal condyles.

The tibia of dyrosaurids is distinctive in possessing a marked posterior cleft as well as a strong embayment underlining the cnemial crest, both features being well expressed at the

proximal extremity of the bone. Although these features are present in *Alligator* too, they are not as marked. Overall, the tibia of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 is similar to other tibia described for dyrosaurids (Langston, 1995; Schwarz et al. 2006; Sena et al., 2017).

The acetabular foramen is completely enclosed by both the ischium and the ilium, which contribute to two-third and one third of it, respectively. No clear difference is noticeable between the Senegalese ilium and that of extant eusuchians. The ischium of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 is comparable to other dyrosaurid ischia as described for *Hyposaurus* (Troxell, 1925, fig. 13), for an indeterminate Pakistanese dyrosaurid (Storrs, 1986), for *Dyrosaurus* sp. (Schwarz et al. 2006), for *Dyrosaurus maghribensis* (Jouve et al. 2006) and for *Acherontisuchus guajiraensis* (Hastings et al. 2011), which all display a strongly projecting anterior ischium process, an overhanging projection of the ischium process that holds the dorsoventrally elongate facet for the pubis, a narrow to blade-like shaft with nearly parallel edges and a distal process that does not particularly widen distally. These characters are also visible in some notosuchians such as *Yacarerani boliviensis* (Leardi et al., 2015, fig. 13) or *Stratiotosuchus maxhechti* (Riff and Kellner, 2011, fig. 12). This is contrary to the situation observed in thalattosuchians (e.g. Herrera et al. 2013, fig. 5), in extant eusuchians (e.g. Mook, 1921, fig. 17) or in coelognathosuchians such as the pholidosaurids *Terminonaris robusta* (Fig.7 in Wu et al. 2001), *Oceanosuchus boecensis* (Hua et al. 2007, fig. 4) or the goniopholidid *Anteophthalmosuchus* (Martin et al. 2016, fig. 15). The shaft in the continuity of the ischiac blade of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 is wide but not as wide as that of *Dyrosaurus* sp. (Schwarz et al. 2006) and the overhanging anterior ischiac process is not as much constricted against the shaft as that seen in *Dyrosaurus* sp. (compare with Schwarz et al. 2006, fig. 10C).

The only other described calcaneum for a dyrosaurid belongs to *Rhabdognathus* sp. from the Paleocene of Saudi Arabia (Storrs, 1995), which is preserved in connection with an

astragalus and is similar in its proportions to MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45. The calcaneum of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 differs from that of the extant *Alligator* in possessing a longer than wide anterior ball and a taller than wide posterior tuber. The calcaneum of *Alligator* possesses a lateral projection arising from the lateral surface of the posterior tuber; in the dyrosaurid MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45, this process is absent. All other characters described in MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 are similar to that of *Alligator*.

The dermal armor of dyrosaurids is composed of both a dorsal and a ventral shield (e.g. Schwarz et al. 2006), and the specimen from Poponguine preserves disarticulated dorsal elements as well as some ventral elements in articulation (Fig. 9). The dorsal elements of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 are quadrangular and lack a median keel, a condition seemingly unique to Dyrosauridae (Schwarz et al. 2006; Martin, 2015). Among Dyrosauridae, the osteoderms of *Anthracosuchus balrogus* are different from those described here or from any other dyrosaurids in being thick, unpitted and devoid of an imbricating surface (Hastings et al., 2015). Thick osteoderms have also been described in *Congosaurus bequaerti* (Jouve and Schwarz, 2004; Schwarz et al., 2006) but these are not as thick as in *A. balrogus*. The dorsal osteoderms of the median series in *D. maghribiensis* exhibit a laterally projecting peg on their anterolateral margin (Jouve et al., 2006). None of these characters are seen in the Poponguine specimen, which osteoderms morphology is typical of hyposaurine taxa (Schwarz et al., 2006). As stated previously and contrary to Dyrosauridae, the osteoderms of Goniopholididae and Pholidosauridae share a wider than long morphology and the possession of an anterolateral keel (Martin, 2015). In MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45, a series of four elements of the ventral shield are preserved in articulation (Figs. 9.4, 9.5). These wider than long elements are not comparable to the hexagonal ventral elements described in goniopholidids (Martin et al. 2016) or in the pholidosaurid *Terminonaris robusta* (Wu et al. 2001).

Massive, flat and deeply pitted rib-like structures are present in the Poponguine specimen (Fig. 10). Similar bony structures were briefly described and figured for *Rhabdognathus* sp. by Langston (1995) and identified as costal cartilages. At present, these elements cannot be identified with certainty. Their morphology is intriguing and attention should be kept in the future on such elements, whether they could be pathological or more widespread among dyrosaurids.

The present description of dyrosaurid postcranial elements, although not permitting an identification beyond Dyrosauridae indet., brings some additional knowledge on the morphology of this particular group with details often obscured by compression or articulation when relatively complete specimens are available. A close relationship between dyrosaurids and outgroup taxa such as the Pholidosauridae, Thalattosuchia or Gavialoidea has often been proposed in phylogenetic works and problems related to branch attraction in phylogenies have been discussed (Pol and Gasparini, 2009; Martin et al. 2016). Updating phylogenetic codings with new morphological details as those presented here, may help tease apart such problems. For example, the morphology of osteoderms, both dorsal and ventral, differs between these groups of longirostrine forms. Current efforts of describing the postcranial anatomy of various crocodylomorph lineages (e.g. Pol et al. 2011; Herrera et al. 2013; Leardi et al. 2015; Martin et al. 2016) will, among other phylogenetic problems, permit to identify what characters are independent of longirostry.

Presence of gastroliths.—The presence and significance of gastroliths or stomach stones in marine reptiles (Taylor, 1993) and more generally among vertebrates (Wings, 2007) has been discussed at length. Denton et al. (1997) interpreted the presence of gastroliths in the stomachal area of the dyrosaurid *Hyposaurus* to serve as ballasts, both for diving or to prevent rolling in the waves. Our observations on the Senegalese specimen does not support this view

because despite their sizeable dimensions, the total mass of the gastroliths is estimated at about 100 grams and is insufficient to account for a role as ballast in comparison to the total weight of the animal. Indeed, the animal total weight cannot be precisely reconstructed here but may have certainly surpassed 50 kg, which is a highly imprecise estimation. It is nevertheless highly unlikely that 0.2% of this weight would have a ballast effect. Furthermore, previous studies including crocodiles fed experimentally with stones and experimental models revealed that gastrolith masses accounting for less than 2% of body mass do not play a role in buoyancy or stability because the studied crocodiles compensate for added weight by augmenting lung volume (Kirshner, 1985; Henderson, 2003; Grigg and Kirshner, 2015).

One hypothesis that requires further attention invokes an improvement of dive time thanks to gastrolith weight due to the indirect consequence of increasing lung volume (Seymour, 1982 and discussion in Gregg and Kirshner 2015). Observations on extant crocodiles have shown that pebbles are deliberately swallowed with some animals going into specific areas to collect gastroliths (Cott, 1961). The gastroliths preserved in the stomachal cavity of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 are clearly allochthonous, the depositional environment where the specimen was recovered consisting exclusively of fine-grained limestone. Dyrosaurids had enhanced capacities for swimming (Schwarz et al. 2006) and may have travelled long oceanic distances. Therefore, the origin of the gastroliths is unknown and their precise function, if any, remain unknown.

Fossil record of Danian dyrosaurids.—The Senegalese dyrosaurid described in the present work adds to the limited record of Danian dyrosaurids from Africa. Originally presented as Montian (an equivalent to the Selandian) by Buffetaut (1979), the taxon *Atlantosuchus coupatezi* from Sidi Chenane in the Oulad Abdoun Basin of Morocco has been attributed a

Danian age based on the selachian assemblage recovered in the sedimentary matrix (Jouve et al. 2008). *Atlantosuchus coupatezi* is known from a mandibular symphysis (Buffetaut, 1979) and from skull elements including a nearly complete skull as well as some cervical vertebrae (Jouve et al. 2008). There is no anatomical overlap with the material from Poponguine so no comparison can be made. Another African dyrosaurid of possible Danian age is *Phosphatosaurus* sp. reported on the basis of a portion of symphysis from Gadamata in the Adar Douthi of Niger as well as from fragmentary remains collected by René Lavocat in Mali (Buffetaut, 1978a). Again, no anatomical overlap exists with the Senegalese specimen.

At about the same latitude as Senegal, dyrosaurids are also known from Danian deposits in Brazil (Cope, 1886) and from the early Paleocene of Bolivia (Buffetaut, 1991). Given the proximity of coastlines during the Eocene of the recently opened North Atlantic Ocean, faunal exchanges between these two provinces may have been easily covered by marine dwelling organisms such as dyrosaurids. Reports from the Danian of Brazil including *Hyposaurus derbianus* (Cope, 1886) concerned fragmentary specimens from the Maria Farinha Formation (see review in Hastings et al. 2010). *Guarinisuchus munizi* is known from a complete skull and few postcranial elements, also from the Maria Farinha Formation of Brazil (Barbosa et al. 2008) and recently, other mandibular and cranial remains have been assigned to this species (Sena et al. 2017).

Finally, Danian material from Alabama, USA has been revised and assigned to *Hyposaurus rogersii* by Denton et al. (1997). The material includes various vertebrae, osteoderms, an ischium, part of a femur and other postcranial fragments (see list in Denton et al. 1997). Although anatomical overlap with the Poponguine material exists, the Alabama specimens have not been described in detail. Moreover, their attribution to *Hyposaurus rogersii* needs to be re-evaluated by emending the diagnosis of the species with postcranial

material. Presently, the most diagnostic specimen of the species comprises one complete skull from the Maastrichtian of New Jersey (Buffetaut, 1976; Denton et al. 1997).

A revision of *H. rogersii* is potentially important to better understand dyrosaurid survival across the K/Pg boundary. As presently understood, four species of dyrosaurids (perhaps five if *Phosphatosaurus* is included) are known from Danian deposits worldwide and include *Atlantosuchus coupatezi*, *Guarinisuchus munizi*, *Hyposaurus derbianus* and *Hyposaurus rogersii*. Previous studies have merged post-K/Pg dyrosaurid diversity (i.e. Danian, Selandian, Thanetian) in a whole Paleocene time bin (e.g. Jouve et al. 2008), which may overestimate diversity counts and not reflect the effects of the K/Pg mass extinction on dyrosaurid survival directly after the event. Therefore, focusing on the Danian diversity through collecting efforts will certainly improve this aspect. Jouve et al. (2008) and Barbosa et al. (2008) concurred with the idea that dyrosaurids may have been under competition with the diverse mosasaurs of the Maastrichtian. Whether the extinction of mosasaurs at the K/Pg boundary freed some ecological space for dyrosaurids during the Paleocene is possible but still untested (Martin et al. 2017). It is equally possible that dyrosaurid diversity only started to increase later in the Paleocene but admittedly, the dating constraints on the Paleocene dyrosaurid record remains imprecise, despite the availability of some stratigraphic studies, notably from Mali (Hill et al. 2008).

Conclusions

Although the early Paleocene marine deposits of Senegal are less fossiliferous with respect to vertebrate remains than other terrains in northern Africa such as the phosphate deposits of Morocco, they are potentially important for the fossil record of the Dyrosauridae and other marine reptiles that survived the end Cretaceous mass extinction events. The present

description of a partial dyrosaurid skeleton from Danian deposits adds to the limited record of dyrosaurids of that age worldwide. Thanks to its state of preservation, the specimen also provides an update on the postcranial morphology of the Dyrosauridae and therefore adds new insights into potential characters to consider in future phylogenies.

Acknowledgments

Several persons provided insights and information that helped us retrace part of the lost history of the specimen described in this work. We express our sincere thanks to Y. Laurent and F. Duranthon (MHNT, Toulouse), E. Buffetaut (ENS Paris), J. Claude (ISEM, Montpellier), E. Robert and S. Passot (Univ. Lyon) and S. Charbonnier (MNHN, Paris). The specimen was prepared by S. Unal and S. Jiquel (ISEM, Montpellier). We thank S. Jouve, A. Hastings and E. Frey for their time and effort in reviewing a previous version of this work.

References

- Arambourg, C., 1952, Les vertébrés fossiles des gisements de phosphates (Maroc, Algérie, Tunisie): Notes et mémoires du service géologique du Maroc, v. 92, p. 1–396.
- Arambourg, C., and Joleaud, L., 1943, Vertébrés fossiles du bassin du Niger: Bulletin de la direction des mines, Dakar, Grande Imprimerie Africaine, v. 7, p. 30–76.
- Barbosa, J.A., Kellner, A.W.A., and Viana, M.S.S., 2008, New dyrosaurid crocodylomorph and evidences for faunal turnover at the K–P transition in Brazil: Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences, v. 275, p. 1385–1391.
- Bergounioux, F-M., 1952, Appendice: Chéloniens fossiles des phosphates de Gafsa, *in* Arambourg, C., ed., Les vertébrés fossiles des gisements de phosphates (Maroc,

622 Algérie, Tunisie): Notes et mémoires du service géologique du Maroc, v. 92, p. 377–
 623 396.

624 Bergounioux, F-M., 1956, Les reptiles fossiles des dépôts phosphatés sud tunisiens: Annales
 625 des mines et de la géologie, v. 15, p. 1–105.

626 Brochu, C.A., Mamadou, L.B., Sissoko, F., Roberts, E.M., and O'Leary., M.A., 2002, A
 627 dyrosaurid crocodyliiform braincase from Mali: Journal of Paleontology, v. 76, p. 1060–
 628 1071.

629 Buffetaut, E., 1976, Une nouvelle définition de la famille des Dyrosauridae de Stefano, 1903
 630 (Crocodylia, Mesosuchia) et ses conséquences: inclusion des genres *Hyposaurus* et
 631 *Sokotosuchus* dans les Dyrosauridae: Geobios, v. 9, p. 333–336.

632 Buffetaut, E., 1977, Données nouvelles sur les crocodiliens paléogènes du Pakistan et de
 633 Birmanie: Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris, série D, v. 285, p. 869–
 634 872.

635 Buffetaut, E., 1978a, Les Dyrosauridae (Crocodylia, Mesosuchia) des phosphates de l'Eocène
 636 inférieur de Tunisie : *Dyrosaurus*, *Rhabdognathus*, *Phosphatosaurus*: Géologie
 637 Méditerranéenne, v. 5, p. 237–256.

638 Buffetaut, E., 1978b, Crocodilian remains from the Eocene of Pakistan: *Neues Jahrbuch für*
 639 *Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen*, v. 156, p. 262–283.

640 Buffetaut, E., 1979, *Atlantosuchus coupatezi*, n. g., n. sp., un nouveau Dyrosauridé
 641 (Crocodylia, Mesosuchia) des phosphates Montiens du Maroc: Bulletin Trimestriel de
 642 la Société Géologique de Normandie et des Amis du Muséum du Havre, v. 66, p. 85–
 643 90.

644 Buffetaut, E., 1980, Les crocodiliens paléogènes du Tilemsi (Mali) : un aperçu systématique.
 645 *Palaeovertebrata*, v. Mémoire Jubilaire en l'honneur de René Lavocat, p. 15–35.

- 646 Buffetaut, E., 1982, Radiation évolutive, paléoécologie et biogéographie des crocodiliens
647 mésosuchiens: Société géologique de France, v. 142 , p. 1–85.
- 648 Buffetaut, E., 1991, Fossil crocodilians from Tiupampa (Santa Lucia Formation, Early
649 Paleocene), Bolivia : a preliminary report: Revista Técnica de Yacimientos Petrolíferos
650 Fiscales Bolivianos, Fosiles y Facies da Bolivia, I, Vertebrados, v. 12, p. 541–544.
- 651 Buffetaut, E., and Lauerjat, J., 1978, Un crocodilien d'un type particulier dans le Cénomani
652 de Nazaré: Comptes Rendus Sommaires de la Société Géologique de France, v. 2, p.
653 79–82.
- 654 Castelain J., Jardiné, S., and Monciardini, C., 1965, Excursions géologiques dans le Sénégal
655 occidental - Colloque International de Micropaléontologie (Dakar): Mémoire du Bureau
656 de Recherches Géologiques et Minières, v. 32, p. 357–365.
- 657 Churcher C.S., and Russell, D.A., 1992, Terrestrial vertebrates from Campanian strata in Wadi
658 El-Gedid (Kharga and Dahkleh Oases), Western Desert of Egypt: Journal of Vertebrate
659 Paleontology, v. 12, p. 23A.
- 660 Cope, E.D., 1886, A contribution to the vertebrate paleontology of Brazil: Proceedings of the
661 American Philosophical Society, v. 23, p. 1–20.
- 662 Cott, H.B., 1961, Scientific results of an inquiry into the ecology and economic status of the
663 Nile Crocodile (*Crocodilus niloticus*) in Uganda and Northern Rhodesia: The
664 Transactions of the Zoological Society of London, v. 29, p. 211–356.
- 665 Denton, R.K., Dobie, J.L., and Parris, D.C., 1997, The marine crocodilian *Hyposaurus* in North
666 America, in Callaway, J.M., and Nicholls, E.L., eds., Ancient Marine Reptiles:
667 Academic Press, p. 375–397.
- 668 De Stefano, G., 1903, Nuovi rettili degli strati a fosfato della Tunisia: Bollettino delle Società
669 Geologica Italiana, v. 22, p. 51–80.

670 Faye, A, 1983, Contribution à l'étude géologique et hydrogéologique du horst de Ndiass
671 (Sénégal occidental): Thèse doctorat 3^{ème} cycle, Université de Dakar, 160 p.

672 Grigg, G., and Kirshner, D., 2015, Biology and evolution of crocodylians: CSIRO Publishing,
673 Australia, 627 p.

674 Hastings, A.K., Bloch, J.I., Cadena, E.A., and Jaramillo, C.A., 2010, A new small short-
675 snouted dyrosaurid (Crocodylomorpha, Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Paleocene of
676 Northeastern Colombia: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 30, p. 139–162.

677 Hastings, A.K., Bloch, J.I., and Jaramillo, C.A., 2011, A new longirostrine dyrosaurid
678 (Crocodylomorpha, Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Paleocene of north-eastern Colombia:
679 biogeographic and behavioural implications for New-World Dyrosauridae:
680 Palaeontology, v. 54, p. 1095–1116.

681 Hastings, A.K., Bloch, J.I., and Jaramillo, C.A., 2015, A new blunt-snouted dyrosaurid,
682 *Anthracosuchus balrogus* gen. et sp. nov. (Crocodylomorpha, Mesoeucrocodylia), from
683 the Palaeocene of Colombia: Historical Biology, v. 27, p. 998–1020.

684 Hay, O.P., 1930, Second Bibliography and Catalogue of the Fossil Vertebrata of North
685 America: Carnegie Institute Washington, Washington DC, v. 2, 1094 p..

686 Henderson, D.M., 2003, Effects of stomach stones on the buoyancy and equilibrium of a
687 floating crocodilian: a computational analysis: Canadian Journal of Zoology, v. 81, p.
688 1346–1357.

689 Herrera, Y., Fernández, M.S., and Gasparini, Z., 2013, Postcranial skeleton of *Cricosaurus*
690 *araucanensis* (Crocodyliformes: Thalattosuchia): morphology and palaeobiological
691 insights: Alcheringa, v. 37, p. 285–298.

692 Hill, R.V., McCartney, J.A., Roberts, E., Bouaré, M., Sissoko, F., and O'leary, M.A., 2008,
693 Dyrosaurid (Crocodyliformes: Mesoeucrocodylia) fossils from the Upper Cretaceous

694 and Paleogene of Mali: implications for phylogeny and survivorship across the K/T
695 Boundary: American Museum Novitates, v. 3631, p. 1–19.

696 Jacquet, F., 1936, Sur l'âge éocène inférieur des couches phosphatées du Sénégal: Comptes
697 Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, v. 202, p. 335–337.

698 Jouve, S., 2005, A new description of the skull of *Dyrosaurus phosphaticus* (Thomas, 1893)
699 (Mesoeucrocodylia : Dyrosauridae) from the Lower Eocene of North Africa: Canadian
700 Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 42, p. 232–337.

701 Jouve, S., 2007, Taxonomic revision of the dyrosaurid assemblage (Crocodyliformes :
702 Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Paleocene of the Iullemmeden Basin, West Africa: Journal
703 of Paleontology, v. 81, p. 163–175.

704 Jouve, S., and Schwarz, D., 2004, *Congosaurus bequaerti*, a Paleocene dyrosaurid
705 (Crocodyliformes ; Mesoeucrocodylia) from Landana (Angola): Bulletin de l'Institut
706 Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, sciences de la terre, v. 74, p. 129–146.

707 Jouve, S., Bouya, B., and Amaghaz, M., 2008, A long-snouted dyrosaurid (Crocodyliformes,
708 Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Palaeocene of Morocco: phylogenetic and
709 palaeobiogeographic implications: Palaeontology, v. 51, p. 281–294.

710 Kear, B.P., Rich, T.H., Ali, M.A., Al-Mufarrih, Y.A., Matiri, A.H., Masary, A.M., and Attia,
711 Y., 2008, Late Cretaceous (Campanian–Maastrichtian) marine reptiles from the Adaffa
712 Formation, NW Saudi Arabia: Geological Magazine, v. 145, p. 648–654.

713 Kirshner, D.S., 1985, Buoyancy control in the estuarine crocodile, *Crocodylus porosus*
714 Schneider. PhD thesis, The University of Sydney, 165 p.

715 Lamanna, M.C., Smith, J.B., Attia, Y.S., and Dodson, P., 2004, From dinosaurs to dyrosaurids
716 (Crocodyliformes): removal of the post-Cenomanian (Late Cretaceous) record of
717 Ornithischia from Africa: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 24, p. 764–768.

718 Langston, W., 1995, Dyrosaurs (Crocodilia, Mesosuchia) from the Paleocene Umm Himar
719 Formation, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: US Geological Survey Bulletin, v. 2093, p. F1–
720 F36.

721 Leardi, J.M., Pol, D., Novas, F.E., and Suárez Riglos, M., 2015, The postcranial anatomy of
722 *Yacarerani boliviensis* and the phylogenetic significance of the notosuchian postcranial
723 skeleton: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 35, e995187.

724 Legoux, P., 1937, Service Géologique de l’Afrique Occidentale Française. Rapport Annuel, p.
725 1–21.

726 Malavoy, J., 1934, Service Géologique de l’Afrique Occidentale Française. Rapport Annuel, p.
727 1–18.

728 Malavoy, J., 1935, Service Géologique de l’Afrique Occidentale Française. Rapport Annuel, p.
729 1–19.

730 Martin, J.E., Amiot, R., Lécuyer, C., and Benton, M.J., 2014, Sea surface temperature
731 contributes to marine crocodylomorph evolution: Nature Communications, v. 5, 4658,
732 doi:10.1038/ncomms5658.

733 Martin, J.E., 2015, A sebecosuchian in a middle Eocene karst with comments on the dorsal
734 shield in Crocodylomorpha: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, v. 60, p. 673–680.

735 Martin, J.E., Delfino, M., and Smith, T., 2016, Osteology and affinities of Dollo's
736 goniopholidid (Mesoeucrocodylia) from the Early Cretaceous of Bernissart, Belgium:
737 Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 36, e1222534.

738 Martin, J.E., Raslan-Loubatié, J., and Mazin, J.-M., 2016, Cranial anatomy of *Pholidosaurus*
739 *purbeckensis* from the Lower Cretaceous of France and its bearing on pholidosaurid
740 affinities: Cretaceous Research, v. 66, p. 43–59.

741 Martin, J.E., Vincent, P., Tacail, T., Khaldoune, F., Jourani, E., Bardet, N., and Balter, V.,
 742 2017, Calcium Isotopic Evidence for Vulnerable Marine Ecosystem Structure Prior to
 743 the K/Pg Extinction: *Current Biology*, v. 27, p. 1641–1644.

744 O’Leary, M.A., Roberts, E.M., Bouare, M., Sissoko, F., and Tapanila, L., 2006, Malian
 745 paenungulata (Mammalia : Placentalia) : new african afrotheres from the early Eocene.
 746 *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, v. 26, p. 981–988.

747 Pilgrim, G.E., 1940, Middle Eocene mammals from North-West India: *Proceedings of the*
 748 *Zoological Society of London*, B, v. 110, p. 127–152.

749 Pol, D., and Gasparini, Z., 2009, Skull anatomy of *Dakosaurus andiniensis* (Thalattosuchia:
 750 Crocodylomorpha) and the phylogenetic position of Thalattosuchia: *Journal of*
 751 *Systematic Palaeontology*, v. 7, p. 163–197.

752 Pol, D., Leardi, J.M., Lecuona, A., and Krause, M., 2012, Postcranial anatomy of *Sebecus*
 753 *icaeorhinus* (Crocodyliformes, Sebecidae) from the Eocene of Patagonia: *Journal of*
 754 *Vertebrate Paleontology*, v. 32, p. 328–354.

755 Salih, K.A.O., Evans, D.C., Bussert, R., Klein, N., Nafi, M., and Müller, J., 2015, First record
 756 of *Hyposaurus* (Dyrosauridae, Crocodyliformes) from the Upper Shendi Formation of
 757 Sudan: *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, v. 36, e1115408.

758 Sarr, R., 1995, Étude biostratigraphique et paléoenvironnementale des séries d’âge Crétacé
 759 terminal à Eocène moyen du Sénégal occidental. Systématique et migration des
 760 ostracodes: Thèse de Doctorat d’Etat ès Sciences, Université Cheikh Anta Diop de
 761 Dakar, 384 p.

762 Sarr, R., 1998, Les ostracodes paléocènes du horst de Diass (Sénégal): biostratigraphie,
 763 systématique, paléoenvironnements: *Revue de Micropaléontologie*, v. 41, p. 151–174.

764 Sarr R., and Ly, A., 1998, Contribution à l’étude biostratigraphique de la falaise de Poponguine
 765 (Sénégal occidental): *Bulletin de l’IFAN Cheikh Anta Diop, série A*, v. 49, p. 103–132.

766 Schwarz, D., Frey, E., and Martin, T., 2006, The postcranial skeleton of the hyposaurinae
 767 (Dyrosauridae; Crocodyliformes): *Palaeontology*, v. 49, p. 695–718.

768 Sena, M.V.A., de Andrade, R.C.L.P., Bantim, M., Sayão, J.M., Barbosa, J.A., and de Oliveira,
 769 G. R., 2017, New dyrosaurid remains (Crocodyliformes, Mesoeucrocodylia) from the
 770 Paleocene of the Paraíba Basin, NE Brazil: *Revista Brasileira de Paleontologia*, v. 20, p.
 771 345–354.

772 Sertich, J., Manthi, F.K., Sampson, S., Loewen, M., and Getty, M., 2006, Rift valley dinosaurs:
 773 a new Late Cretaceous vertebrate fauna from Kenya: *Journal of Vertebrate*
 774 *Paleontology*, v. 26, p. 124A.

775 Seymour, R.S., 1982, Physiological adaptations to aquatic life, *in* Gans, C., and Pough, F.H.,
 776 Eds., *Biology of the Reptilia, Physiological Ecology*: Academic Press v. 13.: p. 1–51.

777 Storrs, G., 1986, A dyrosaurid crocodile (Crocodylia : Mesosuchia) from the Paleocene of
 778 Pakistan: *Postilla*, v. 197, p. 1–16.

779 Swinton, W.E., 1950, On *Congosaurus bequaerti* Dollo. *Annales du Musée du Congo Belge*: v.
 780 13, p. 9–56.

781 Taylor, M., 1993, Stomach stones for feeding or buoyancy? The occurrence and function of
 782 gastroliths in marine tetrapods: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of*
 783 *London, B*, v. 341, p. 163–175.

784 Tessier, F., 1952, Contribution à la stratigraphie et à la paléontologie de la partie Ouest du
 785 Sénégal (Crétacé et Tertiaire): *Bulletin de la Direction des Mines de l'AOF, Dakar*, v.
 786 14, p. 1–267.

787 Thévenin, A., 1911a, Le *Dyrosaurus* des phosphates de Tunisie: *Annales de Paléontologie*, v.
 788 7, p. 95–108.

789 Thévenin, A., 1911b, Sur la persistance d'un type de Reptile secondaire au début du Tertiaire:
 790 Comptes Rendus Sommaires des Séances de la Société Géologique de France, v. 12–13,
 791 p. 136–137.

792 Troxell, E.F., 1925, *Hyposaurus*, a marine crocodilian: American Journal of Sciences, v. 9, p.
 793 33–514.

794 Wings, O., 2007, A review of gastrolith function with implications for fossil vertebrates and a
 795 revised classification: Acta Palaeontologica Polonica, v. 52, p. 1–16.

796 Wu, X-C., Russell, A.P., and Cumbaa, S.L., 2001, *Terminonaris* (Archosauria:
 797 Crocodyliformes): new material from Saskatchewan, Canada, and comments on its
 798 phylogenetic relationships: Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, v. 21, p. 492–514.

800 FIGURE CAPTIONS

801

802 FIGURE 1—Location of the dyrosaurid specimen presented in this work. **(1)** Map of Senegal
 803 indicating the locality of Poponguine; **(2)** the Danian succession at Poponguine where the
 804 specimen was discovered as photographed by G. Labitte in the 1920s from Tessier (1952).

805

806 FIGURE 2—Planktonic foraminifers recovered from the sediment of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45,
 807 except in **(2)**, which is from Toubab Dialaw. *Chiloguembelina morsei* (Kline, 1941) in **(1)**
 808 lateral view (PV4); **(2)** lateral view for comparison (TD90/4); **(3)** Apertural view (P88/34).
 809 *Morozovella* cf. *trinidadensis* (Bolli, 1957) in **(4)** umbilical (P88/23); **(5)** apertural (P88/23)
 810 and **(6)** spiral (P88/33) views. *Planorotalites compressa* (Plummer, 1926) in **(7)** spiral
 811 (P88/14), **(8)** umbilical (P88/14). *Morozovella inconstans* (Subbotina, 1953) in **(9)** spiral
 812 (P88/38) and **(10)** umbilical (P88/38) views. *Morozovella pseudobulloides* (Plummer, 1928)
 813 in **(11)** spiral (P88/10) and **(12)** spiral (P88/40) views.

814

815 FIGURE 3—Main block containing the partially articulated dyrosaurid skeleton
816 MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 from the Danian of Poponguine, Senegal, **(1)** before as indicated with
817 the stipple area and **(2)** following preparation. Abbreviations: g=gastrolith; lf=left femur;
818 lil=left ilium; lis=left ischium; ost=osteoderm; rf=right femur; ril=right ilium; ris=right
819 ischium; rls=rib-like structures; c1–c4=caudal vertebrae; s1–s2=sacral vertebrae; t11–
820 t15=thoracic vertebrae.

821

822 FIGURE 4—Selected vertebrae of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 from the Danian of Poponguine,
823 Senegal. Thoracic vertebra #10 in **(1)** anterior; **(2)** posterior; **(3)** dorsal; **(4)** ventral and **(5)** left
824 lateral views; sacral vertebra #2 in **(6)** anterior; **(7)** posterior; **(8)** dorsal; **(9)** ventral and **(10)**
825 right lateral views; caudal vertebra #1 in **(11)** anterior; **(12)** posterior; **(13)** dorsal; **(14)** ventral
826 and **(15)** left lateral views; caudal vertebra #2 in **(16)** anterior; **(17)** posterior; **(18)** dorsal; **(19)**
827 ventral and **(20)** left lateral views; caudal vertebra #3 in **(21)** right lateral view. The black
828 arrows indicate the position of haemal facets; the white arrow indicates the erosive lesion.
829

830 FIGURE 5—Elements of the sacrum of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 from the Danian of
831 Poponguine, Senegal. Left ilium in **(1)** dorsal; **(2)** ventral; **(3)** lateral; **(4)** medial views. Left
832 ischium in **(5)** dorsal and **(6)** lateral views. Right ischium and fragmentary right ilium in
833 lateral view with **(7)** line drawing and **(8)** associated photograph; **(9)** posterior view.
834 Abbreviations: af=acetabular foramen; afi=anterior facet for ilium; afis=anterior facet for
835 ischium; afp=anterior facet for pubis; aip=anterior iliac process; ap=anterior process;
836 fe=femur; ff=facet for femur; ib=ischiac blade; il=ilium; ost=osteoderm; pfi=posterior facet
837 for ilium; pfis=posterior facet for ischium; pip=posterior iliac process; pp=posterior process;
838 ps=posterior scar; sac=supraacetabular crest; s1=sacral vertebra 1.

839

840 FIGURE 6—The hind limb of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 from the Danian of Poponguine,
841 Senegal. Left femur in **(1)** proximal view; **(2)** dorsal view of the proximal third portion; **(3)**
842 ventral view of the proximal third portion; **(4)** ventral view of the distal end; **(5)** distal view;
843 **(6)** medial and **(7)** lateral views. Left tibia in **(8)** lateral; **(9)** proximal; **(10)** anterior and **(11)**
844 posterior views. Abbreviations: cff=caudofemoralis flange; cn=enemial crest; dep=depressed
845 zone; lc=lateral condyle; k=knob; mc=medial condyle; ost=osteoderm; pcl=posterior cleft;
846 proc=process; 4t=fourth trochanter.

847

848 FIGURE 7—The left calcaneum of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 from the Danian of Poponguine,
849 Senegal in **(1)** medial; **(2)** anterior; **(3)** lateral; **(4)** posterior; **(5)** dorsal and **(6)** ventral views.
850 Abbreviations: ab=anterior ball; cs=calcaneal socket; lvc=lateroventral channel; mc=medial
851 channel; mf=medial flange; ptu=posterior tuber.

852

853 FIGURE 8—Elements of the manus of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 from the Danian of Poponguine,
854 Senegal. Right radiale in *1*, anterior and *2*, distal views. Right metacarpal I in **(3)** proximal;
855 **(4)** dorsal; **(5)** palmar and **(6)** distal views. Right metacarpal II or III in **(7)** proximal; **(8)**
856 dorsal; **(9)** palmar and **(10)** distal views. **(11)** Metacarpal V in lateral or medial view. Phalanx
857 in **(12)** proximal; **(13)** dorsal; **(14)** palmar and **(15)** distal views. Abbreviations: mf=medial
858 facet; plf=proximolateral flange; uf=ulnare facet of radiale; vlp=ventrolateral projection;
859 rp=rugose projection; sd=shallow depression. For proximal and distal views, the dorsal aspect
860 is up.

861

862 FIGURE 9—The osteoderms of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 from the Danian of Poponguine,
863 Senegal. **(1, 2)** examples of dorsal elements and of a **(3)** ventral element with an arrow

864 indicating the lateral expansion. Series of four osteoderms from the ventral shield preserving
865 their original organization in **(4)** ventral and **(5)** lateral views.

866

867 FIGURE 10—Rib-like element of MHNT.PAL.2012.0.45 from the Danian of Poponguine,
868 Senegal presenting numerous ovoid pits on its surface. Abbreviation: af=articular facet.

1

2

pfis

afis

3

ap

sac

pp

1 cm

4

ap

5

ff

af

6

aip

afp

pip

7

ff

il

fe

pip

af

aip

ost

8

9

il

ps

s1

ost

ib

1 cm

1

2

3

4

5

1 cm

1 cm