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Abstract

JSON is a useful data serialization and messaging format. This specification defines JSON-LD, a JSON-based format to serialize Linked Data. The syntax is designed to easily integrate into deployed systems that already use JSON, and provides a smooth upgrade path from JSON to JSON-LD. It is primarily intended to be a way to use Linked Data in Web-based programming environments, to build interoperable Web services, and to store Linked Data in JSON-based storage engines.

Status of This Document

_This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current W3C publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the W3C technical reports index at https://www.w3.org/TR./_

This document has been developed by the JSON-LD Working Group and was derived from the JSON-LD Community Group's Final Report.

There is a live JSON-LD playground that is capable of demonstrating the features described in this document.

This document was published by the JSON-LD Working Group as a Working Draft. This document is intended to become a W3C Recommendation.

GitHub Issues are preferred for discussion of this specification. Alternatively, you can send comments to our mailing list. Please send them to public-json-ld-wg@w3.org (archives).

Publication as a Working Draft does not imply endorsement by the W3C Membership. This is a draft document and may be updated, replaced or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to cite this document as other than work in progress.

This document was produced by a group operating under the W3C Patent Policy. W3C maintains a public list of any patent disclosures made in connection with the deliverables of the group; that page also includes instructions for disclosing a patent. An individual who has actual knowledge of a patent which the individual believes contains Essential Claim(s) must disclose the information in accordance with section 6 of the W3C Patent Policy.
This document is governed by the 1 March 2019 W3C Process Document.

§ Set of Documents

This document is one of three JSON-LD 1.1 Recommendations produced by the JSON-LD Working Group:

- **JSON-LD 1.1**
- **JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API**
- **JSON-LD 1.1 Framing**
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§ 1. Introduction

This section is non-normative.

Linked Data [LINKED-DATA] is a way to create a network of standards-based machine interpretable data across different documents and Web sites. It allows an application to start at one piece of Linked Data, and follow embedded links to other pieces of Linked Data that are hosted on different sites across the Web.

JSON-LD is a lightweight syntax to serialize Linked Data in JSON [RFC8259]. Its design allows existing JSON to be interpreted as Linked Data with minimal changes. JSON-LD is primarily intended to be a way to use Linked Data in Web-based programming environments, to build interoperable Web services, and to store Linked Data in JSON-based storage engines. Since JSON-LD is 100% compatible with JSON, the large number of JSON parsers and libraries available today can be reused. In addition to all the features JSON provides, JSON-LD introduces:
• a universal identifier mechanism for JSON objects via the use of IRIs,
• a way to disambiguate keys shared among different JSON documents by mapping them to IRIs via a context,
• a mechanism in which a value in a JSON object may refer to a resource on a different site on the Web,
• the ability to annotate strings with their language,
• a way to associate datatypes with values such as dates and times,
• and a facility to express one or more directed graphs, such as a social network, in a single document.

JSON-LD is designed to be usable directly as JSON, with no knowledge of RDF [RDF11-CONCEPTS]. It is also designed to be usable as RDF, if desired, for use with other Linked Data technologies like SPARQL [SPARQL11-OVERVIEW]. Developers who require any of the facilities listed above or need to serialize an RDF Graph or Dataset in a JSON-based syntax will find JSON-LD of interest. People intending to use JSON-LD with RDF tools will find it can be used as another RDF syntax, as with [Turtle] and [TriG]. Complete details of how JSON-LD relates to RDF are in section § 10. Relationship to RDF.

The syntax is designed to not disturb already deployed systems running on JSON, but provide a smooth upgrade path from JSON to JSON-LD. Since the shape of such data varies wildly, JSON-LD features mechanisms to reshape documents into a deterministic structure which simplifies their processing.

§ 1.1 How to Read this Document

This section is non-normative.

This document is a detailed specification for a serialization of Linked Data in JSON. The document is primarily intended for the following audiences:

• Software developers who want to encode Linked Data in a variety of programming languages that can use JSON
• Software developers who want to convert existing JSON to JSON-LD
• Software developers who want to understand the design decisions and language syntax for JSON-LD
• Software developers who want to implement processors and APIs for JSON-LD
Software developers who want to generate or consume Linked Data, an RDF graph, or an RDF Dataset in a JSON syntax

A companion document, the JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API], specifies how to work with JSON-LD at a higher level by providing a standard library interface for common JSON-LD operations.

To understand the basics in this specification you must first be familiar with JSON, which is detailed in [RFC8259].

This document almost exclusively uses the term IRI (Internationalized Resource Indicator) when discussing hyperlinks. Many Web developers are more familiar with the URL (Uniform Resource Locator) terminology. The document also uses, albeit rarely, the URI (Uniform Resource Indicator) terminology. While these terms are often used interchangeably among technical communities, they do have important distinctions from one another and the specification goes to great lengths to try and use the proper terminology at all times.

§ 1.2 Contributing

This section is non-normative.

There are a number of ways that one may participate in the development of this specification:

- Technical discussion typically occurs on the working group mailing list: public-json-ld-wg@w3.org
- The working group uses #json-ld IRC channel is available for real-time discussion on irc.w3.org.
- The #json-ld IRC channel is also available for real-time discussion on irc.freenode.net.

§ 1.3 Typographical conventions

This section is non-normative.

The following typographic conventions are used in this specification:

- markup
  Markup (elements, attributes, properties), machine processable values
(string, characters, media types), property name, or a file name is in red-orange monospace font.

**variable**
A variable in pseudo-code or in an algorithm description is in italics.

**definition**
A definition of a term, to be used elsewhere in this or other specifications, is in bold and italics.

**definition reference**
A reference to a definition in this document is underlined and is also an active link to the definition itself.

**markup definition reference**
A references to a definition in this document, when the reference itself is also a markup, is underlined, red-orange monospace font, and is also an active link to the definition itself.

**external definition reference**
A reference to a definition in another document is underlined, in italics, and is also an active link to the definition itself.

**markup external definition reference**
A reference to a definition in another document, when the reference itself is also a markup, is underlined, in italics red-orange monospace font, and is also an active link to the definition itself.

**hyperlink**
A hyperlink is underlined and in blue.

**[reference]**
A document reference (normative or informative) is enclosed in square brackets and links to the references section.

**Changes from Recommendation**
Sections or phrases changed from the previous Recommendation are highlighted.

**NOTE**
Notes are in light green boxes with a green left border and with a "Note" header in green. Notes are always informative.
### 1.4 Terminology

This document uses the following terms as defined in JSON [RFC8259]. Refer to the JSON Grammar section in [RFC8259] for formal definitions.

**array**
In the JSON serialization, an array structure is represented as square brackets surrounding zero or more values. Values are separated by commas. In the internal representation, an array is an ordered collection of zero or more values. While JSON-LD uses the same array representation as JSON, the collection is unordered by default. While order is preserved in regular JSON arrays, it is not in regular JSON-LD arrays unless specifically defined (see Sets and Lists in the JSON-LD Syntax specification [JSON-LD11]).

**JSON object**
In the JSON serialization, an object structure is represented as a pair of curly brackets surrounding zero or more members composed of name-value pairs. A name is a string. A single colon comes after each name, separating the name from the value. A single comma separates a value from a following name. In JSON-LD the names in an object MUST be unique. In the internal representation a JSON object is equivalent to a dictionary (see [WEBIDL]), composed of dictionary members with key-value pairs.

**JSON-LD internal representation**
The JSON-LD internal representation is the result of transforming a JSON syntactic structure into the core data structures suitable for direct processing: arrays, dictionaries, strings, numbers, booleans, and null.

**null**
The use of the null value within JSON-LD is used to ignore or reset values. A dictionary member in the @context where the value, or the @id of the value, is null, explicitly decouples a term's association with an IRI.
A dictionary member in the body of a JSON-LD document whose value is null has the same meaning as if the dictionary member was not defined. If @value, @list, or @set is set to null in expanded form, then the entire JSON object is ignored.

**number**
In the JSON serialization, a number is similar to that used in most programming languages, except that the octal and hexadecimal formats are not used and that leading zeros are not allowed. In the internal representation, a number is equivalent to either a long or double, depending on if the number has a non-zero fractional part (see [WEBIDL]).

**string**
A string is a sequence of zero or more Unicode (UTF-8) characters, wrapped in double quotes, using backslash escapes (if necessary). A character is represented as a single character string.

**true and false**
Values that are used to express one of two possible boolean states.

Furthermore, the following terminology is used throughout this document:

**absolute IRI**
An absolute IRI is defined in [RFC3987] containing a scheme along with a path and optional query and fragment segments.

**active context**
A context that is used to resolve terms while the processing algorithm is running.

**base IRI**
The base IRI is an absolute IRI established in the context, or is based on the JSON-LD document location. The base IRI is used to turn relative IRIs into absolute IRIs.

**blank node**
A node in a graph that is neither an IRI, nor a JSON-LD value, nor a list. A blank node does not contain a de-referenceable identifier because it is either ephemeral in nature or does not contain information that needs to be linked to from outside of the linked data graph. A blank node is assigned an identifier starting with the prefix _:.

**blank node identifier**
A blank node identifier is a string that can be used as an identifier for a blank node within the scope of a JSON-LD document. Blank node identifiers begin with _:.

**compact IRI**
A compact IRI has the form of `prefix:suffix` and is used as a way of expressing an IRI without needing to define separate term definitions for each IRI contained within a common vocabulary identified by `prefix`.

**context**

**default graph**
The default graph is the only graph in a JSON-LD document which has no graph name. When executing an algorithm, the graph where data should be placed if a named graph is not specified.

**default language**
The default language is set in the context using the `@language` key whose value MUST be a string representing a [BCP47] language code or null.

**default object**
A default object is a dictionary that has a `@default` key.

**edge**
Every edge has a direction associated with it and is labeled with an IRI or a blank node identifier. Within the JSON-LD syntax these edge labels are called properties. Whenever possible, an edge should be labeled with an IRI.

(FEATURE AT RISK) ISSUE
The use of blank node identifiers to label properties is obsolete, and may be removed in a future version of JSON-LD.

**embedded context**
An embedded context is a dictionary composed of a combination of term definitions, a vocabulary mapping, a base IRI and default language. An embedded context may appear as part of a node object or value object using the `@context` member.

**expanded term definition**
An expanded term definition is a term definition where the value is a dictionary containing one or more keyword keys to define the associated absolute IRI, if this is a reverse property, the type associated with string values, and a container mapping.

**frame**
A JSON-LD document, which describes the form for transforming another JSON-LD document using matching and embedding rules. A frame document allows additional keywords and certain dictionary members to describe the matching and transforming process.

**frame object**
A frame object is a dictionary element within a frame which represents a specific portion of the frame matching either a node object or a value object in the input.

**graph name**
The IRI or blank node identifying a named graph.

**graph object**
A graph object represents a named graph as the value of a dictionary member within a node object. When expanded, a graph object MUST have an @graph member, and MAY also have @id, and @index members. A simple graph object is a graph object which does not have an @id member. Note that node objects may have a @graph member, but are not considered graph objects if they include any other members. A top-level object consisting of @graph is also not a graph object. Note that a node object may also represent a named graph it it includes other properties.

**id map**
An id map is a dictionary value of a term defined with @container set to @id. The values of the id map MUST be node objects, and its keys are interpreted as IRIs representing the @id of the associated node object. If a value in the id map contains a key expanding to @id, it’s value MUST be equivalent to the referencing key in the id map.

**implicitly named graph**
A named graph created from the value of a dictionary member having an expanded term definition where @container is set to @graph.

**index map**
An index map is a dictionary value of a term defined with @container set to @index, whose values MUST be any of the following types: string, number, true, false, null, node object, value object, list object, set object, or an array of zero or more of the above possibilities.

**IRI**
An Internationalized Resource Identifier as described in [RFC3987].

**JSON literal**
A JSON literal is a typed literal where the associated IRI is rdf:JSON. In the value object representation, the value of @type is @json. JSON literals represent values which are valid JSON [RFC8259]. See JSON datatype in [JSON-LD11].

**JSON-LD document**
A JSON-LD document is a serialization of a collection of graphs and comprises exactly one default graph and zero or more named graphs.

**JSON-LD Processor**
A JSON-LD Processor is a system which can perform the algorithms
defined in [JSON-LD11-API].

**JSON-LD value**

A JSON-LD value is a string, a number, true or false, a typed value, or a language-tagged string.

**keyword**

A string that is specific to JSON-LD, specified in the JSON-LD Syntax specification [JSON-LD11] in the section titled Syntax Tokens and Keywords.

**language map**

An language map is a dictionary value of a term defined with @container set to @language, whose keys MUST be strings representing [BCP47] language codes and the values MUST be any of the following types: null, string, or an array of zero or more of the above possibilities.

**language-tagged string**

A language-tagged string consists of a string and a non-empty language tag as defined by [BCP47]. The language tag MUST be well-formed according to section 2.2.9 Classes of Conformance of [BCP47], and is normalized to lowercase.

**linked data graph**

A labeled directed graph, i.e., a set of nodes connected by edges, as specified in the Data Model section of the JSON-LD specification [JSON-LD11]. A linked data graph is a generalized representation of an RDF graph as defined in [RDF11-CONCEPTS].

**list**

A list is an ordered sequence of IRIs, blank nodes, and JSON-LD values. See RDF collection in [RDF-SCHEMA].

**list object**

A list object is a dictionary that has a @list key. It may also have an @index key, but no other members.

**literal**

An object expressed as a value such as a string, number or in expanded form.

**local context**

A context that is specified with a dictionary, specified via the @context keyword.

**named graph**

A named graph is a linked data graph that is identified by an IRI or blank node.

**nested property**

A nested property is a key in a node object whose value is a dictionary.
containing members which are treated as if they were values of the node object. The nested property itself is semantically meaningless and used only to create a sub-structure within a node object.

**node**
Every node is an IRI, a blank node, a JSON-LD value, or a list. A piece of information that is represented in a linked data graph.

**node object**
A node object represents zero or more properties of a node in the graph serialized by the JSON-LD document. A dictionary is a node object if it exists outside of the JSON-LD context and:

- it does not contain the @value, @list, or @set keywords, or
- it is not the top-most dictionary in the JSON-LD document consisting of no other members than @graph and @context.

The members of a node object whose keys are not keywords are also called properties of the node object.

**object**
An object is a node in a linked data graph with at least one incoming edge. See RDF object in [RDF11-CONCEPTS].

**prefix**
A prefix is the first component of a compact IRI which comes from a term that maps to a string that, when prepended to the suffix of the compact IRI, results in an absolute IRI.

**processing mode**
The processing mode defines how a JSON-LD document is processed. By default, all documents are assumed to be conformant with JSON-LD 1.0 [JSON-LD]. By defining a different version using the @version member in a context, or via explicit API option, other processing modes can be accessed. This specification defines extensions for the json-ld-1.1 processing mode.

**property**
The IRI label of an edge in a linked data graph. See RDF predicate in [RDF11-CONCEPTS].

**RDF dataset**
A dataset as specified by [RDF11-CONCEPTS] representing a collection of RDF graphs.

**RDF resource**
A resource as specified by [RDF11-CONCEPTS].

**RDF triple**
A triple as specified by [RDF11-CONCEPTS].
relative IRI
A relative IRI is an IRI that is relative to some other absolute IRI, typically the base IRI of the document. Note that properties, values of @type, and values of terms defined to be vocabulary relative are resolved relative to the vocabulary mapping, not the base IRI.

scoped context
A scoped context is part of an expanded term definition using the @context member. It has the same form as an embedded context.

set object
A set object is a dictionary that has an @set member. It may also have an @index key, but no other members.

subject
A subject is a node in a linked data graph with at least one outgoing edge, related to an object node through a property. See RDF subject in [RDF11-CONCEPTS].

term
A term is a short word defined in a context that MAY be expanded to an IRI.

term definition
A term definition is an entry in a context, where the key defines a term which may be used within a dictionary as a key, type, or elsewhere that a string is interpreted as a vocabulary item. Its value is either a string (simple term definition), expanding to an absolute IRI, or an expanded term definition.

type map
An type map is a dictionary value of a term defined with @container set to @type, whose keys are interpreted as IRIs representing the @type of the associated node object; the value MUST be a node object, or array of node objects. If the value contains a term expanding to @type, it's values are merged with the map value when expanding.

typed literal
A typed literal is a literal with an associated IRI which indicates the literal's datatype. See RDF literal in [RDF11-CONCEPTS].

typed value
A typed value consists of a value, which is a string, and a type, which is an IRI.

value object
A value object is a dictionary that has an @value member.

vocabulary mapping
The vocabulary mapping is set in the context using the @vocab key whose
value MUST be an IRI or null.

§ 1.5 Design Goals and Rationale

This section is non-normative.

JSON-LD satisfies the following design goals:

Simplicity
No extra processors or software libraries are necessary to use JSON-LD in its most basic form. The language provides developers with a very easy learning curve. Developers only need to know JSON and two keywords (@context and @id) to use the basic functionality in JSON-LD.

Compatibility
A JSON-LD document is always a valid JSON document. This ensures that all of the standard JSON libraries work seamlessly with JSON-LD documents.

Expressiveness
The syntax serializes labeled directed graphs. This ensures that almost every real world data model can be expressed.

Terseness
The JSON-LD syntax is very terse and human readable, requiring as little effort as possible from the developer.

Zero Edits, most of the time
JSON-LD ensures a smooth and simple transition from existing JSON-based systems. In many cases, zero edits to the JSON document and the addition of one line to the HTTP response should suffice (see § 6. Interpreting JSON as JSON-LD). This allows organizations that have already deployed large JSON-based infrastructure to use JSON-LD's features in a way that is not disruptive to their day-to-day operations and is transparent to their current customers. However, there are times where mapping JSON to a graph representation is a complex undertaking. In these instances, rather than extending JSON-LD to support esoteric use cases, we chose not to support the use case. While Zero Edits is a design goal, it is not always possible without adding great complexity to the language. JSON-LD focuses on simplicity when possible.

Usable as RDF
JSON-LD is usable by developers as idiomatic JSON, with no need to understand RDF [RDF11-CONCEPTS]. JSON-LD is also usable as RDF, so people intending to use JSON-LD with RDF tools will find it can be used like any other RDF syntax. Complete details of how JSON-LD relates to
RDF are in section § 10. Relationship to RDF.

§ 1.6 Data Model Overview

This section is non-normative.

Generally speaking, the data model described by a JSON-LD document is a labeled, directed graph. The graph contains nodes, which are connected by edges. A node is typically data such as a string, number, typed values (like dates and times) or an IRI.

Within a directed graph, nodes may be unnamed, i.e., not identified by an IRI or representing data such as strings or numbers. Such nodes are called blank nodes, and may be identified using a blank node identifier. These identifiers may be required to represent a fully connected graph using a tree structure, such as JSON, but otherwise have no intrinsic meaning.

This simple data model is incredibly flexible and powerful, capable of modeling almost any kind of data. For a deeper explanation of the data model, see section § 8. Data Model.

Developers who are familiar with Linked Data technologies will recognize the data model as the RDF Data Model. To dive deeper into how JSON-LD and RDF are related, see section § 10. Relationship to RDF.

At the surface level, a JSON-LD document is simply JSON, detailed in [RFC8259]. For the purpose of describing the core data structures, this is limited to arrays, dictionaries (the parsed version of a JSON Object), strings, numbers, booleans, and null, called the JSON-LD internal representation. This allows surface syntaxes other than JSON to be manipulated using the same algorithms, when the syntax maps to equivalent core data structures.

NOTE

Although not discussed in this specification, parallel work using YAML [YAML] and binary representations such as CBOR [RFC7049] could be used to map into the internal representation, allowing the JSON-LD 1.1 API [JSON-LD11-API] to operate as if the source was a JSON document.

§ 1.7 Syntax Tokens and Keywords
JSON-LD specifies a number of syntax tokens and **keywords** that are a core part of the language:

: The separator for JSON keys and values that use **compact IRIs**.

**@base**
Used to set the **base IRI** against which to resolve those **relative IRIs** interpreted relative to the document. This keyword is described in [§ 4.1.3 Base IRI](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/).

**@container**
Used to set the default container type for a **term**. This keyword is described in the following sections:

- [§ 4.3 Value Ordering](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/)
- [§ 4.6.1 Data Indexing](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/)
- [§ 4.6.2 Language Indexing](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/)
- [§ 4.6.3 Node Identifier Indexing](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/)
- [§ 4.6.4 Node Type Indexing](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/)
- [§ 4.8 Named Graphs](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/)
- [§ 4.8.3 Named Graph Indexing](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/), and
- [§ 4.8.2 Named Graph Data Indexing](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/)

**@context**
Used to define the short-hand names that are used throughout a JSON-LD document. These short-hand names are called **terms** and help developers to express specific identifiers in a compact manner. The **@context** keyword is described in detail in [§ 3.1 The Context](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/).

**@graph**
Used to express a **graph**. This keyword is described in [§ 4.8 Named Graphs](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/).

**@id**
Used to uniquely identify **node objects** that are being described in the document with **IRIs** or **blank node identifiers**. This keyword is described in [§ 3.3 Node Identifiers](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/).

**@index**
Used to specify that a container is used to index information and that processing should continue deeper into a JSON data structure. This keyword is described in [§ 4.6.1 Data Indexing](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/).

**@json**
Used as the **@type** value of a **JSON literal**. This keyword is described in [§ 4.2.2 JSON Literals](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/).
@language
   Used to specify the language for a particular string value or the default language of a JSON-LD document. This keyword is described in § 4.2.4 String Internationalization.

@list
   Used to express an ordered set of data. This keyword is described in § 4.3.1 Lists.

@nest
   Collects a set of nested properties within a node object.

@none
   Used as an index value in an index map, id map, language map, type map, or elsewhere where a dictionary is used to index into other values.

@prefix
   With the value true, allows this term to be used to construct a compact IRI when compacting.

@reverse
   Used to express reverse properties. This keyword is described in § 4.7 Reverse Properties.

@set
   Used to express an unordered set of data and to ensure that values are always represented as arrays. This keyword is described in § 4.3.2 Sets.

@type
   Used to set the type of a node or the datatype of a typed value. This keyword is described further in § 3.5 Specifying the Type and § 4.2.1 Typed Values.

NOTE
   The use of @type to define a type for both node objects and value objects addresses the basic need to type data, be it a literal value or a more complicated resource. Experts may find the overloaded use of the @type keyword for both purposes concerning, but should note that Web developer usage of this feature over multiple years has not resulted in its misuse due to the far less frequent use of @type to express typed literal values.

@value
   Used to specify the data that is associated with a particular property in the graph. This keyword is described in § 4.2.4 String Internationalization and § 4.2.1 Typed Values.

@version
   Used in a context definition to set the processing mode. New features
since JSON-LD 1.0 [JSON-LD] described in this specification are only available when processing mode has been explicitly set to json-ld-1.1.

@vocab
Used to expand properties and values in @type with a common prefix IRI. This keyword is described in § 4.1.2 Default Vocabulary.

All keys, keywords, and values in JSON-LD are case-sensitive.

§ 2. Conformance

As well as sections marked as non-normative, all authoring guidelines, diagrams, examples, and notes in this specification are non-normative. Everything else in this specification is normative.

The key words MAY, MUST, MUST NOT, RECOMMENDED, SHOULD, and SHOULD NOT are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

A JSON-LD document complies with this specification if it follows the normative statements in appendix § 9. JSON-LD Grammar. JSON documents can be interpreted as JSON-LD by following the normative statements in § 6. Interpreting JSON as JSON-LD. For convenience, normative statements for documents are often phrased as statements on the properties of the document.

This specification makes use of the following namespace prefixes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>IRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dc11</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/">http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dcterms</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.org/dc/terms/">http://purl.org/dc/terms/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cred</td>
<td><a href="https://w3id.org/credentials#">https://w3id.org/credentials#</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>foaf</td>
<td><a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/">http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geojson</td>
<td><a href="https://purl.org/geojson/vocab#">https://purl.org/geojson/vocab#</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prov</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#">http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rdf</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#">http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>schema</td>
<td><a href="http://schema.org/">http://schema.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skos</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#">http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xsd</td>
<td><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These are used within this document as part of a compact IRI as a shorthand
for the resulting absolute IRI, such as dcterms:title used to represent http://purl.org/dc/terms/title.

§ 2.1 Processor Levels

JSON-LD mostly uses the JSON syntax [RFC8259] along with various micro-syntaxes based on XML Schema datatypes [XMLSCHEMA11-2]. However, it has become increasingly common to include JSON within a script element within an HTML document [HTML], as described in § 7. Embedding JSON-LD in HTML Documents. As not all processors operate in an environment which can include HTML, this specification describes various categories of JSON-LD processors.

A pure JSON Processor only requires the use of a JSON processor and is restricted to processing documents retrieved with a JSON content type (e.g., application/ld+json or other JSON type).

A full Processor is capable of processing JSON-LD embedded in HTML, in addition to the capabilities of a pure JSON Processor.

§ 2.1.1 Additional Processor Levels

This section is non-normative.

In addition to the normatively defined processor levels, an additional processor level is defined for reference.

A event-based JSON Processor processes a stream of characters expecting an event after each syntactic element is encountered. Such processors are sensitive to the order of the members of JSON objects, which can have a performance impact if the members of JSON objects are encountered in an unexpected order. An event-based JSON Processor may process JSON-LD embedded in HTML.

NOTE

An event-based JSON Processor may be sensitive to processing certain keywords in order, including @context, @id, and @type.

§ 3. Basic Concepts
This section is non-normative.

JSON [RFC8259] is a lightweight, language-independent data interchange format. It is easy to parse and easy to generate. However, it is difficult to integrate JSON from different sources as the data may contain keys that conflict with other data sources. Furthermore, JSON has no built-in support for hyperlinks, which are a fundamental building block on the Web. Let's start by looking at an example that we will be using for the rest of this section:

**EXAMPLE 2**: Sample JSON document

```json
{
    "name": "Manu Sporny",
    "homepage": "http://manu.sporny.org/",
    "image": "http://manu.sporny.org/images/manu.png"
}
```

It's obvious to humans that the data is about a person whose name is "Manu Sporny" and that the homepage property contains the URL of that person's homepage. A machine doesn't have such an intuitive understanding and sometimes, even for humans, it is difficult to resolve ambiguities in such representations. This problem can be solved by using unambiguous identifiers to denote the different concepts instead of tokens such as "name", "homepage", etc.

Linked Data, and the Web in general, uses IRIs (Internationalized Resource Identifiers as described in [RFC3987]) for unambiguous identification. The idea is to use IRIs to assign unambiguous identifiers to data that may be of use to other developers. It is useful for terms, like name and homepage, to expand to IRIs so that developers don't accidentally step on each other's terms. Furthermore, developers and machines are able to use this IRI (by using a web browser, for instance) to go to the term and get a definition of what the term means. This process is known as IRI dereferencing.

Leveraging the popular schema.org vocabulary, the example above could be unambiguously expressed as follows:
In the example above, every property is unambiguously identified by an IRI and all values representing IRIs are explicitly marked as such by the @id keyword. While this is a valid JSON-LD document that is very specific about its data, the document is also overly verbose and difficult to work with for human developers. To address this issue, JSON-LD introduces the notion of a context as described in the next section.

This section only covers the most basic features of JSON-LD. More advanced features, including typed values, indexed values, and named graphs, can be found in § 4. Advanced Concepts.

§ 3.1 The Context

This section is non-normative.

When two people communicate with one another, the conversation takes place in a shared environment, typically called "the context of the conversation". This shared context allows the individuals to use shortcut terms, like the first name of a mutual friend, to communicate more quickly but without losing accuracy. A context in JSON-LD works in the same way. It allows two applications to use shortcut terms to communicate with one another more efficiently, but without losing accuracy.

Simply speaking, a context is used to map terms to IRIs. Terms are case sensitive and any valid string that is not a reserved JSON-LD keyword can be used as a term.

For the sample document in the previous section, a context would look
something like this:

**EXAMPLE 4**: Context for the sample document in the previous section

```json
{
   "@context": {
      "name": "http://schema.org/name",
      "image": {
         "@id": "http://schema.org/image",
         "@type": "@id"
      },
      "homepage": {
         "@id": "http://schema.org/url",
         "@type": "@id"
      }
   }
}
```

As the context above shows, the value of a **term definition** can either be a simple string, mapping the **term** to an **IRI**, or a **dictionary**.

A **context** is introduced using a **member** with the key `@context` and may appear within a **node object** or a **value object**.

When a **member** with a **term** key has a **dictionary** value, the **dictionary** is called an **expanded term definition**. The example above specifies that the values of **image** and **homepage**, if they are strings, are to be interpreted as **IRIs**. **Expanded term definitions** also allow terms to be used for **index maps** and to specify whether **array** values are to be interpreted as **sets** or **lists**. **Expanded term definitions** may be defined using **absolute** or **compact IRIs** as keys, which is mainly used to associate type or language information with an **absolute** or **compact IRI**.

**Contexts** can either be directly embedded into the document (an **embedded context**) or be referenced using a URL. Assuming the context document in the previous example can be retrieved at [https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.jsonld](https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.jsonld), it can be referenced by adding a single line and allows a
JSON-LD document to be expressed much more concisely as shown in the example below:

EXAMPLE 5: Referencing a JSON-LD context

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```json
{
    "@context": "https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.jsonld",
    "name": "Manu Sporny",
    "homepage": "http://manu.sporny.org/",
    "image": "http://manu.sporny.org/images/manu.png"
}
```

The referenced context not only specifies how the terms map to IRIs in the Schema.org vocabulary but also specifies that string values associated with the `homepage` and `image` property can be interpreted as an IRI ("@type": "@id", see § 3.2 IRIs for more details). This information allows developers to re-use each other's data without having to agree to how their data will interoperate on a site-by-site basis. External JSON-LD context documents may contain extra information located outside of the `@context` key, such as documentation about the terms declared in the document. Information contained outside of the `@context` value is ignored when the document is used as an external JSON-LD context document.

JSON documents can be interpreted as JSON-LD without having to be modified by referencing a context via an HTTP Link Header as described in § 6. Interpreting JSON as JSON-LD. It is also possible to apply a custom context using the JSON-LD 1.1 API [JSON-LD11-API].

In JSON-LD documents, contexts may also be specified inline. This has the advantage that documents can be processed even in the absence of a connection to the Web. Ultimately, this is a modeling decision and different use cases may require different handling.
This section only covers the most basic features of the JSON-LD Context. The Context can also be used to help interpret other more complex JSON data structures, such as indexed values, ordered values, and nested properties. More advanced features related to the JSON-LD Context are covered in § 4. Advanced Concepts.

§ 3.2 IRIs

This section is non-normative.

IRIs (Internationalized Resource Identifiers [RFC3987]) are fundamental to Linked Data as that is how most nodes and properties are identified. In JSON-LD, IRIs may be represented as an absolute IRI or a relative IRI. An absolute IRI is defined in [RFC3987] as containing a scheme along with path and optional query and fragment segments. A relative IRI is an IRI that is relative to some other absolute IRI. In JSON-LD, with exceptions that are as described below, all relative IRIs are resolved relative to the base IRI.
NOTE

As noted in § 1.1 How to Read this Document, IRIs can often be confused with URLs (Uniform Resource Locators), the primary distinction is that a URL locates a resource on the web, an IRI identifies a resource. While it is a good practice for resource identifiers to be dereferenceable, sometimes this is not practical. In particular, note the [URN] scheme for Uniform Resource Names, such as UUID. An example UUID is urn:uuid:f81d4fae-7dec-11d0-a765-00a0c91e6bf6.

NOTE

Properties, values of @type, and values of properties with a term definition that defines them as being relative to the vocabulary mapping, may have the form of a relative IRI, but are resolved using the vocabulary mapping, and not the base IRI.

A string is interpreted as an IRI when it is the value of a dictionary member with the key @id:

EXAMPLE 7: Values of @id are interpreted as IRI

{
  ...
  "homepage": { "@id": "http://example.com/" }
  ...
}

Values that are interpreted as IRIs, can also be expressed as relative IRIs. For example, assuming that the following document is located at http://example.com/about/, the relative IRI ../ would expand to http://example.com/ (for more information on where relative IRIs can be used, please refer to section § 9. JSON-LD Grammar).
**Absolute IRIs** can be expressed directly in the key position like so:

**EXAMPLE 8:** IRIs can be relative

```
{  
  ...  
  "homepage": { "@id": "../" }  
  ...  
}
```

**EXAMPLE 9:** IRI as a key

```
{  
  ...  
  "http://schema.org/name": "Manu Sporny",  
  ...  
}
```

In the example above, the key `http://schema.org/name` is interpreted as an **absolute IRI**.

Term-to-IRI expansion occurs if the key matches a term defined within the **active context**:

**EXAMPLE 10:** Term expansion from context definition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>`{</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@context&quot;: {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://schema.org/name">http://schema.org/name</a>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>},</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;Manu Sporny&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;status&quot;: &quot;trollin'&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JSON keys that do not expand to an IRI, such as `status` in the example above, are not Linked Data and thus ignored when processed.

If type **coercion** rules are specified in the `@context` for a particular term or property IRI, an IRI is generated:
In the example above, since the value `http://manu.sporny.org/` is expressed as a JSON string, the type coercion rules will transform the value into an IRI when processing the data. See § 4.2.3 Type Coercion for more details about this feature.

In summary, IRIs can be expressed in a variety of different ways in JSON-LD:

1. Dictionary members that have a key mapping to a term in the active context expand to an IRI (only applies outside of the context definition).
2. An IRI is generated for the string value specified using @id or @type.
3. An IRI is generated for the string value of any key for which there are coercion rules that contain an @type key that is set to a value of @id or @vocab.

This section only covers the most basic features associated with IRIs in JSON-LD. More advanced features related to IRIs are covered in section § 4. Advanced Concepts.

§ 3.3 Node Identifiers

This section is non-normative.

To be able to externally reference nodes in a graph, it is important that nodes have an identifier. IRIs are a fundamental concept of Linked Data, for nodes to be truly linked, dereferencing the identifier should result in a
representation of that node. This may allow an application to retrieve further information about a node.

In JSON-LD, a node is identified using the @id keyword:

EXAMPLE 12: Identifying a node

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```json
{
    "@context": {
        ...
        "name": "http://schema.org/name"
    },
    "@id": "http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/",
    "name": "Markus Lanthaler",
    ...
}
```

The example above contains a node object identified by the IRI http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/.

This section only covers the most basic features associated with node identifiers in JSON-LD. More advanced features related to node identifiers are covered in section § 4. Advanced Concepts.

§ 3.4 Uses of JSON Objects

As a syntax, JSON has only a limited number of syntactic elements:

- **Numbers**, which describe literal numeric values,
- **Strings**, which may describe literal string values, or be used as the keys in a JSON object,
- **Boolean** true and false, which describe literal boolean values,
- **null**, which describes the absence of a value,
- **Arrays**, which describe an ordered set of values of any type, and
- **JSON objects**, which provide a set of dictionary members, relating keys with values.

The JSON-LD data model allows for a richer set of resources, based on the RDF data model. The data model is described more fully in § 8. Data Model. JSON-LD uses JSON objects to describe various resources, along with the
relationships between these resources:

**Node objects**
Node objects are used to define nodes in the linked data graph which may have both incoming and outgoing edges. Node objects are principle structure for defining resources having properties. See § 9.2 Node Objects for the normative definition.

**Value objects**
Value objects are used for describing literal nodes in a linked data graph which may have only incoming edges. In JSON, some literal nodes may be described without the use of a JSON object (e.g., numbers, strings, and boolean values), but in the expanded form, all literal nodes are described using value objects. See § 4.2 Describing Values for more information, and § 9.5 Value Objects for the normative definition.

**List Objects** and **Set objects**

**Map Objects**
JSON-LD uses various forms of dictionaries as ways to more easily access values of a property.

**Language Maps**
Allows multiple values differing in their associated language to be indexed by language tag. See § 4.6.2 Language Indexing for more information, and § 9.8 Language Maps for the normative definition.

**Index Maps**
Allows multiple values (node objects or value objects) to be indexed by an associated @index. See § 4.6.1 Data Indexing for more information, and § 9.9 Index Maps for the normative definition.

**Id Maps**
Allows multiple node objects to be indexed by an associated @id. See § 4.6.3 Node Identifier Indexing for more information, and § 9.11 Id Maps for the normative definition.

**Type Maps**
Allows multiple node objects to be indexed by an associated @type. See § 4.6.4 Node Type Indexing for more information, and § 9.12 Type Maps for the normative definition.

**Named Graph Indexing**
Allows multiple named graphs to be indexed by an associated graph name. See § 4.8.3 Named Graph Indexing for more information.

**Graph objects**
A graph object is much like a node object, except that it defines a named graph. See § 4.8 Named Graphs for more information, and § 9.4 Graph Objects for the normative definition. A node object may also describe a
named graph, in addition to other properties defined on the node. The notable difference is that a graph object only describes a named graph.

**Context Definitions**
A Context Definition uses the JSON object form, but is not itself data in a linked data graph. A Context Definition also may contain expanded term definitions, which are also represented using JSON objects. See § 3.1 The Context, § 4.1 Advanced Context Usage for more information, and § 9.14 Context Definitions for the normative definition.

§ 3.5 Specifying the Type

This section is non-normative.

In Linked Data, it is common to specify the type of a graph node; in many cases, this can be inferred based on the properties used within a given node object, or the property for which a node is a value. For example, in the schema.org vocabulary, the givenName property is associated with a Person. Therefore, one may reason that if a node object contains the property givenName, that the type is a Person; making this explicit with @type helps to clarify the association.

The type of a particular node can be specified using the @type keyword. In Linked Data, types are uniquely identified with an IRI.

**EXAMPLE 13: Specifying the type for a node**

```json
{
    "@context": {
        ...
        "givenName": "http://schema.org/givenName",
        "familyName": "http://schema.org/familyName"
    },
    "@id": "http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/",
    "@type": "http://schema.org/Person",
    "givenName": "Markus",
    "familyName": "Lanthaler",
    ...
}
```

A node can be assigned more than one type by using an array:
The value of a @type key may also be a term defined in the active context:

EXAMPLE 14: Specifying multiple types for a node

```
{
  ...
  "@id": "http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/",
  "@type": [
    "http://schema.org/Person",
    "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Person"
  ],
  ...
}
```

The value of a @type key may also be a term defined in the active context:

EXAMPLE 15: Using a term to specify the type

```
{
  "@context": {
    ...
    "Person": "http://schema.org/Person"
  },
  "@id": "http://example.org/places#BrewEats",
  "@type": "Person",
  ...
}
```

In addition to setting the type of nodes, @type can also be used to set the type of a value to create a typed value. This use of @type is similar to that used to define the type of a node object, but value objects are restricted to having just a single type. The use of @type to create typed values is discussed more fully in § 4.2.1 Typed Values.

Typed values can also be defined implicitly, by specifying @type in an expanded term definition. This is covered more fully in § 4.2.3 Type Coercion.

§ 4. Advanced Concepts

JSON-LD has a number of features that provide functionality above and beyond the core functionality described above. JSON can be used to express data using such structures, and the features described in this section can be
used to interpret a variety of different JSON structures as Linked Data. A JSON-LD processor will make use of provided and embedded contexts to interpret property values in a number of different idiomatic ways.

**Describing values**

One pattern in JSON is for the value of a property to be a string. Often times, this string actually represents some other typed value, for example an IRI, a date, or a string in some specific language. See § 4.2 Describing Values for details on how to describe such value typing.

**Value ordering**

In JSON, a property with an array value implies an implicit order; arrays in JSON-LD do not convey any ordering of the contained elements by default, unless defined using embedded structures or through a context definition. See § 4.3 Value Ordering for a further discussion.

**Property nesting**

Another JSON idiom often found in APIs is to use an intermediate object to represent the properties of an object; in JSON-LD these are referred to as nested properties and are described in § 4.4 Nested Properties.

**Referencing objects**

Linked Data is all about describing the relationships between different resources. Sometimes these relationships are between resources defined in different documents described on the web, sometimes the resources are described within the same document.

---

### EXAMPLE 16: Referencing Objects on the Web

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```
{  
  "@context": {  
    "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
    "knows": {"@type": "@id"}  
  },  
  "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
  "@type": "Person",
  "name": "Manu Sporny",
  "knows": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me"
}
```

In this case, a document residing at http://manu.sporny.org/about may contain the example above, and reference another document at https://greggkellogg.net/foaf which could include a similar
A common idiom found in JSON usage is objects being specified as the value of other objects, called object embedding in JSON-LD; for example, a friend specified as an object value of a Person:

```
{  
    "@context": {  
        "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/"  
    },  
    "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",  
    "@type": "Person",  
    "name": "Manu Sporny",  
    "knows": {  
        "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",  
        "@type": "Person",  
        "name": "Gregg Kellogg"  
    } 
}
```

See § 4.5 Embedding details these relationships.

**Indexed values**

Another common idiom in JSON is to use an intermediate object to represent property values via indexing. JSON-LD allows data to be indexed in a number of different ways, as detailed in § 4.6 Indexed Values.

**Reverse Properties**

JSON-LD serializes directed graphs. That means that every property points from a node to another node or value. However, in some cases, it is desirable to serialize in the reverse direction, as detailed in § 4.7 Reverse Properties.

The following sections describe such advanced functionality in more detail.

§ 4.1 Advanced Context Usage

*This section is non-normative.*

Section § 3.1 The Context introduced the basics of what makes JSON-LD
This section expands on the basic principles of the context and demonstrates how more advanced use cases can be achieved using JSON-LD.

In general, contexts may be used any time a dictionary is defined. The only time that one cannot express a context is as a direct child of another context definition (other than as part of an expanded term definition). For example, a JSON-LD document may have the form of an array composed of one or more node objects, which use a context definition in each top-level node object:

**EXAMPLE 18: Using multiple contexts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tbody>
</table>
Duplicate context terms are overridden using a most-recently-defined-wins mechanism.

EXAMPLE 20: Embedded contexts within node objects

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "name": "http://example.com/person#name",
    "details": "http://example.com/person#details"
  },
  "name": "Markus Lanthaler",
  ...
  "details": {
    "@context": {
      "name": "http://example.com/organization#name"
    },
    "name": "Graz University of Technology"
  }
}
```
In the example above, the name term is overridden in the more deeply nested details structure, which uses its own embedded context. Note that this is rarely a good authoring practice and is typically used when working with legacy applications that depend on a specific structure of the dictionary. If a term is redefined within a context, all previous rules associated with the previous definition are removed. If a term is redefined to null, the term is effectively removed from the list of terms defined in the active context.

Multiple contexts may be combined using an array, which is processed in order. The set of contexts defined within a specific dictionary are referred to as local contexts. The active context refers to the accumulation of local contexts that are in scope at a specific point within the document. Setting a local context to null effectively resets the active context to an empty context, without term definitions, default language, or other things defined within previous contexts. The following example specifies an external context and then layers an embedded context on top of the external context:

**EXAMPLE 21: Combining external and local contexts**

```json
{
    "@context": [
        "https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.jsonld",
        {
            "pic": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/depiction"
        }
    ],
    "name": "Manu Sporny",
    "homepage": "http://manu.sporny.org/",
    "pic": "http://twitter.com/account/profile_image/manusporny"
}
```

**NOTE**

When possible, the context definition should be put at the top of a JSON-LD document. This makes the document easier to read and might make streaming parsers more efficient. Documents that do not have the context at the top are still conformant JSON-LD.
NOTE

To avoid forward-compatibility issues, terms starting with an @ character are to be avoided as they might be used as keyword in future versions of JSON-LD. Terms starting with an @ character that are not JSON-LD 1.1 keywords are treated as any other term, i.e., they are ignored unless mapped to an IRI. Furthermore, the use of empty terms (""") is not allowed as not all programming languages are able to handle empty JSON keys.

§ 4.1.1 JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Mode

*This section is non-normative.*

New features defined in JSON-LD 1.1 are available when the processing mode is set to json-ld-1.1. This may be set using the @version member in a context set to the value 1.1 as a number, or through an API option.

**EXAMPLE 22:** Setting @version in context

```
{
   "@context": {
      "@version": 1.1,
      ...
   },
   ...
}
```

The first context encountered when processing a document which contains @version determines the processing mode, unless it is defined explicitly through an API option. This means that if "@version": 1.1 is encountered after processing a context without @version, the former will be interpreted as having had "@version": 1.1 defined within it.

NOTE

Setting the processing mode explicitly for JSON-LD 1.1 is necessary so that a JSON-LD 1.0 processor does not attempt to process a JSON-LD 1.1 document and silently produce different results.
§ 4.1.2 Default Vocabulary

This section is non-normative.

At times, all properties and types may come from the same vocabulary. JSON-LD’s `@vocab` keyword allows an author to set a common prefix which is used as the vocabulary mapping and is used for all properties and types that do not match a term and are neither a compact IRI nor an absolute IRI (i.e., they do not contain a colon).

**EXAMPLE 23**: Using a default vocabulary

```
{
    "@context": {
        "@vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/"
    },
    "@id": "http://example.org/places#BrewEats",
    "@type": "Restaurant",
    "name": "Brew Eats"
    ...
}
```

If `@vocab` is used but certain keys in an dictionary should not be expanded using the vocabulary IRI, a term can be explicitly set to `null` in the context. For instance, in the example below the `databaseId` member would not expand to an IRI causing the property to be dropped when expanding.

**EXAMPLE 24**: Using the null keyword to ignore data

```
{
    "@context": {
        "@vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
        "databaseId": null
    },
    "@id": "http://example.org/places#BrewEats",
    "@type": "Restaurant",
    "name": "Brew Eats",
    "databaseId": "23987520"
}
```

Since `json-ld-1.1`, the vocabulary mapping in a local context can be set to the
a relative IRI, which is concatenated to any vocabulary mapping in the active context (see § 4.1.2.1 Using the Document Base for the Default Vocabulary for how this applies if there is no vocabulary mapping in the active context).

**EXAMPLE 25: Using a default vocabulary relative to a previous default vocabulary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```json
{
  "@context": [{
    "@vocab": "http://example.com/"
  }, {
    "@version": 1.1,
    "@vocab": "vocab/"
  }],
  "@id": "http://example.org/places#BrewEats",
  "@type": "Restaurant",
  "name": "Brew Eats"
}
```

§ 4.1.2.1 *Using the Document Base for the Default Vocabulary*

In some cases, vocabulary terms are defined directly within the document itself, rather than in an external vocabulary. Since json-ld-1.1, the vocabulary mapping in a local context can be set to a relative IRI, which is, if there is no vocabulary mapping in scope, resolved against the base IRI. This causes terms which are expanded relative to the vocabulary, such as the keys of node objects, to be based on the base IRI to create absolute IRIs.
This section is non-normative.

JSON-LD allows IRIs to be specified in a relative form which is resolved against the document base according to [RFC3986]. The base IRI may be explicitly set with a context using the @base keyword.

For example, if a JSON-LD document was retrieved from http://example.com/document.jsonld, relative IRIs would resolve against that IRI:
EXAMPLE 28: Use a relative IRI as node identifier

```
{
   "@context": {
      "label": "http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#label"
   },
   "@id": "",
   "label": "Just a simple document"
}
```

This document uses an empty @id, which resolves to the document base. However, if the document is moved to a different location, the IRI would change. To prevent this without having to use an absolute IRI, a context may define an @base mapping, to overwrite the base IRI for the document.

EXAMPLE 29: Setting the document base in a document

```
Original | Expanded | Statements | Turtle | Open in playground
```

```
{
   "@context": {
      "@base": "http://example.com/document.jsonld",
      "label": "http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#label"
   },
   "@id": "",
   "label": "Just a simple document"
}
```

Setting @base to null will prevent relative IRIs from being expanded to absolute IRIs.

Please note that the @base will be ignored if used in external contexts.

§ 4.1.4 Compact IRIs

This section is non-normative.

A compact IRI is a way of expressing an IRI using a prefix and suffix separated by a colon (:). The prefix is a term taken from the active context and is a short string identifying a particular IRI in a JSON-LD document. For example, the prefix foaf may be used as a shorthand for the Friend-of-a-Friend vocabulary, which is identified using the IRI http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/. A developer may append any of the FOAF vocabulary terms to the
end of the prefix to specify a short-hand version of the absolute IRI for the vocabulary term. For example, foaf:name would be expanded to the IRI http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name.

### EXAMPLE 30: Prefix expansion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@context&quot;: {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;foaf&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/">http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/</a>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>},</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@type&quot;: &quot;foaf:Person&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;foaf:name&quot;: &quot;Dave Longley&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the example above, foaf:name expands to the IRI http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name and foaf:Person expands to http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Person.

Prefixes are expanded when the form of the value is a compact IRI represented as a prefix:suffix combination, the prefix matches a term defined within the active context, and the suffix does not begin with two slashes (//). The compact IRI is expanded by concatenating the IRI mapped to the prefix to the (possibly empty) suffix. If the prefix is not defined in the active context, or the suffix begins with two slashes (such as in http://example.com), the value is interpreted as absolute IRI instead. If the prefix is an underscore (_), the value is interpreted as blank node identifier instead.

It's also possible to use compact IRIs within the context as shown in the following example:
When operating with the default **processing mode** for JSON-LD 1.0 compatibility, terms may be chosen as **compact IRI** prefixes when compacting only if a **simple term definition** is used where the value ends with a URI **gendelim** character (e.g, /, # and others, see [RFC3986]).

In JSON-LD 1.1, terms may be chosen as **compact IRI** prefixes when compacting only if a **simple term definition** is used where the value ends with a URI **gendelim** character, or if their **expanded term definition** contains a **@prefix** member with the value **true**.

**NOTE**

The term selection behavior for 1.0 processors was changed as a result of an errata against JSON-LD 1.0 reported [here](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/). This does not affect the behavior of processing existing JSON-LD documents, but creates a slight change when compacting documents using **Compact IRIs**.

The behavior when compacting can be illustrated by considering the following input document in expanded form:

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@version": 1.1,
        "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
        "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
        "foaf:homepage": { "@type": "@id" },
        "picture": { "@id": "foaf:depiction", "@type": "@id" }
    },
    "@id": "http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/",
    "@type": "foaf:Person",
    "foaf:name": "Markus Lanthaler",
    "foaf:homepage": "http://www.markus-lanthaler.com/",
    "picture": "http://twitter.com/account/profile_image/markuslanthaler"
}
```
Using the following context in the default 1.0 processing mode will now select the term vocab rather than property, even though the IRI associated with property captures more of the original IRI.

Example 32: Expanded document used to illustrate compact IRI creation

```json
[
    "http://example.com/vocab/property": [{"@value": "property"}],
    "http://example.com/vocab/propertyOne": [{"@value": "propertyOne"}]
]
```

Example 33: Compact IRI generation context (1.0)

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
        "property": "http://example.com/vocab/property"
    }
}
```

Example 34: Compact IRI generation term selection (1.0)

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
        "property": "http://example.com/vocab/property"
    },
    "property": "property",
    "vocab:propertyOne": "propertyOne"
}
```

In the original [JSON-LD], the term selection algorithm would have selected property, creating the Compact IRI property:One. If the processing mode is json-ld-1.1, the original behavior can be made explicit using @prefix:
In this case, the property term would not normally be usable as a prefix, both because it is defined with an expanded term definition, and because its @id does not end in a gen-delim character. Adding "@prefix": true allows it to be used as the prefix portion of the compact IRI property:One.

§ 4.1.5 Aliasing Keywords

This section is non-normative.

Each of the JSON-LD keywords, except for @context, may be aliased to application-specific keywords. This feature allows legacy JSON content to be utilized by JSON-LD by re-using JSON keys that already exist in legacy
documents. This feature also allows developers to design domain-specific implementations using only the JSON-LD context.

**EXAMPLE 37: Aliasing keywords**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{</td>
<td>&quot;@context&quot;: {</td>
<td>&quot;url&quot;: &quot;@id&quot;,</td>
<td>&quot;a&quot;: &quot;@type&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name">http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name</a>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;url&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://example.com/about#gregg">http://example.com/about#gregg</a>&quot;,</td>
<td>&quot;a&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Person">http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Person</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                               |   "name": "Gregg Kellogg" |                            |                         |                    |}

In the example above, the @id and @type keywords have been given the aliases url and a, respectively.

Other than for @type, properties of expanded term definitions where the term is a keyword are ignored. When processing mode is set to json-ld-1.1, there is an exception for @type; see § 4.3.3 Using @set with @type for further details.

Since keywords cannot be redefined, they can also not be aliased to other keywords.

**NOTE**

Aliased keywords MUST NOT be used within a context, itself.

§ 4.1.6 IRI Expansion within a Context

This section is non-normative.

In general, normal IRI expansion rules apply anywhere an IRI is expected (see § 3.2 IRIs). Within a context definition, this can mean that terms defined within the context may also be used within that context as long as there are no circular dependencies. For example, it is common to use the xsd namespace when defining typed values:
**EXAMPLE 38**: IRI expansion within a context

```
{
    "@context": {
        "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
        "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
        "age": {
            "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/age",
            "@type": "xsd:integer"
        },
        "homepage": {
            "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage",
            "@type": "@id"
        }
    },
    ...
}
```

In this example, the `xsd` term is defined and used as a prefix for the `@type` coercion of the `age` property.

Terms may also be used when defining the IRI of another term:

**EXAMPLE 39**: Using a term to define the IRI of another term within a context

```
{
    "@context": {
        "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
        "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
        "name": "foaf:name",
        "age": {
            "@id": "foaf:age",
            "@type": "xsd:integer"
        },
        "homepage": {
            "@id": "foaf:homepage",
            "@type": "@id"
        }
    },
    ...
}
```

**Compact IRIs** and **IRIs** may be used on the left-hand side of a term definition.
In this example, the **compact IRI** form is used in two different ways. In the first approach, `foaf:age` declares both the IRI for the term (using short-form) as well as the @type associated with the term. In the second approach, only the @type associated with the term is specified. The full IRI for `foaf:homepage` is determined by looking up the `foaf` prefix in the context.

⚠️ **Warning**

If a **compact IRI** is used as a term, it must expand to the value that **compact IRI** would have on its own when expanded. This represents a change to the original 1.0 algorithm to prevent terms from expanding to a different absolute IRI, which could lead to undesired results.
Absolute IRIs may also be used in the key position in a context:

**EXAMPLE 41**: Illegal Aliasing of a compact IRI to a different absolute IRI

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
    "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
    "name": "foaf:name",
    "foaf:age": {
      "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/age",
      "@type": "xsd:integer"
    },
    "foaf:homepage": {
      "@id": "http://schema.org/url",
      "@type": "@id"
    }
  }
}
```

**EXAMPLE 42**: Associating context definitions with absolute IRIs

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
    "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
    "name": "foaf:name",
    "foaf:age": {
      "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/age",
      "@type": "xsd:integer"
    },
    "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage": {
      "@type": "@id"
    }
  }
}
```

In order for the absolute IRI to match above, the absolute IRI needs to be used in the JSON-LD document. Also note that foaf:homepage will not use the `{ "@type": "@id" }` declaration because foaf:homepage is not the same as http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage. That is, terms are looked up in a context using direct string comparison before the prefix lookup mechanism is
applied.

⚠ Warning

Neither a compact IRI nor an absolute IRI may expand to some other unrelated IRI. This represents a change to the original 1.0 algorithm which allowed this behavior but discouraged it.

The only other exception for using terms in the context is that circular definitions are not allowed. That is, a definition of term1 cannot depend on the definition of term2 if term2 also depends on term1. For example, the following context definition is illegal:

**EXAMPLE 43**: Illegal circular definition of terms within a context

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "term1": "term2:foo",
        "term2": "term1:bar"
    },
    ...
}
```

§ 4.1.7 Scoped Contexts

This section is non-normative.

An expanded term definition can include a @context property, which defines a context (a scoped context) for values of properties defined using that term. This allows values to use term definitions, base IRI, vocabulary mapping or default language which is different from the node object they are contained in, as if the context was specified within the value itself.
In this case, the social profile is defined using the schema.org vocabulary, but interest is imported from FOAF, and is used to define a node describing one of Manu's interests where those properties now come from the FOAF vocabulary.

Expanding this document, uses a combination of terms defined in the outer context, and those defined specifically for that term in a scoped context.

Scoping can also be performed using a term used as a value of @type:
### EXAMPLE 45: Defining an `@context` within a term definition used on `@type`

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@version": 1.1,
        "name": "http://schema.org/name",
        "interest": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/interest",
        "Person": "http://schema.org/Person",
        "Document": {
            "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Document",
            "@context": {"@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/"}
        }
    },
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Manu Sporny",
    "interest": {
        "@id": "https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/",
        "@type": "Document",
        "name": "JSON-LD",
        "topic": "Linking Data"
    }
}
```

Scoping on `@type` is useful when common properties are used to relate things of different types, where the vocabularies in use within different entities calls for different context scoping. For example, `hasPart/partOf` may be common terms used in a document, but mean different things depending on the context.

When expanding, each value of `@type` is considered (ordering them lexicographically) where that value is also a term in the active context having its own scoped context. If so, that scoped context is applied to the active context.

**NOTE**

The values of `@type` are unordered, so if multiple types are listed, the order that scoped contexts are applied is based on lexicographical ordering.

For example, consider the following semantically equivalent examples:
EXAMPLE 46: Expansion using embedded and scoped contexts

This example, shows how properties and types can define their own scoped contexts, which are included when expanding.

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "@vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/
    "property": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/vocab/property",
      "@context": {
        "term1": "http://example.com/vocab/term1"
        ↑ Scoped context for "property" defines term1
      },
    },
    "Type1": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/vocab/Type1",
      "@context": {
        "term3": "http://example.com/vocab/term3"
        ↑ Scoped context for "Type1" defines term3
      },
    },
    "Type2": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/vocab/Type2",
      "@context": {
        "term4": "http://example.com/vocab/term4"
        ↑ Scoped context for "Type2" defines term4
      },
    },
    "property": {
      "@context": {
        "term2": "http://example.com/vocab/term2"
        ↑ Embedded context defines term2
      },
      "@type": ["Type2", "Type1"],
      "term1": "a",
      "term2": "b",
      "term3": "c",
      "term4": "d",
    }
  }
}
```

Contexts are processed depending on how they are defined. A scoped context for a property is processed first, followed by any embedded
context, followed lastly by the scoped contexts for any types, in the appropriate order. The previous example is logically equivalent to the following:

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
        "property": "http://example.com/vocab/property",
        "Type1": "http://example.com/vocab/Type1",
        "Type2": "http://example.com/vocab/Type2",
    },
    "property": {
        "@context": [{
            "term1": "http://example.com/vocab/term1"
        }, {
            "term2": "http://example.com/vocab/term2"
        }, {
            "term3": "http://example.com/vocab/term3"
        }, {
            "term4": "http://example.com/vocab/term4"
        }]
    },
    "@type": ["Type2", "Type1"],
    "term1": "a",
    "term2": "b",
    "term3": "c",
    "term4": "d",
}
```

**NOTE**

If a term defines a scoped context, and then that term is later re-defined, the association of the context defined in the earlier expanded term definition is lost within the scope of that re-definition. This is consistent with term definitions of a term overriding previous term definitions from earlier less deeply nested definitions, as discussed in § 4.1 Advanced Context Usage.
NOTE

Scoped Contexts are a new feature in JSON-LD 1.1, requiring processing mode set to json-ld-1.1.

§ 4.1.8 Protected Term Definitions

This section is non-normative.

JSON-LD is used in many specifications as the specified data format. However, there is also a desire to allow some JSON-LD contents to be processed as plain JSON, without using any of the JSON-LD algorithms. Because JSON-LD is very flexible, some terms from the original format may be locally overridden through the use of embedded contexts, and take a different meaning for JSON-LD based implementations. On the other hand, "plain JSON" implementations may not be able to interpret these embedded contexts, and hence will still interpret those terms with their original meaning. To prevent this divergence of interpretation, JSON-LD 1.1 allows term definitions to be protected.

A protected term definition is a term definition with a member @protected set to true. It generally prevents further contexts from overriding this term definition, either through a new definition of the same term, or through clearing the context with "@context": null. Such attempts will raise an error and abort the processing (except in some specific situations described below).
When all or most term definitions of a context need to be protected, it is possible to add a member `@protected` set to `true` to the context itself. It has the same effect as protecting each of its term definitions individually. Exceptions can be made by adding a member `@protected` set to `false` in some term definitions.

**EXAMPLE 47:** A protected term definition can generally not be overridden

```json
{
   "@context": [ 
   {
      "@version": 1.1,
      "Person": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Person",
      "knows": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/knows",
      "name": {
         "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
         "@protected": true
      }
   },
   {
      "name": "http://schema.org/name"
   }
   ],
   "@type": "Person",
   "name": "Manu Sporny",
   "knows": {
      "@context": [ 
      {
         "@context": [ 
         null,
         "http://schema.org/"
      ],
      "name": "Gregg Kellogg"
      }
   }
}
```

When all or most term definitions of a context need to be protected, it is possible to add a member `@protected` set to `true` to the context itself. It has the same effect as protecting each of its term definitions individually. Exceptions can be made by adding a member `@protected` set to `false` in some term definitions.
While protected terms can in general not be overridden, there is an exception to this rule: a property-scoped context is not affected by protection, and can therefore override protected terms, either with a new term definition, or by clearing the context with `@context`: null.

The rationale is that "plain JSON" implementations, relying on a given specification, will only traverse properties defined by that specification. Scoped contexts belonging to the specified properties are part of the specification, so the "plain JSON" implementations are expected to be aware of the change of semantics they induce. Scoped contexts belonging to other properties apply to parts of the document that "plain JSON" implementations will ignore. In both cases, there is therefore no risk of diverging interpretations between JSON-LD-aware implementations and "plain JSON" implementations, so overriding is permitted.
EXAMPLE 49: overriding permitted in property scoped context

```
{
  "@context": [
    {
      – This context reflects the specification used by "plain JSON" in
        "@version": 1.1,
        "@protected": true,
        "Organization": "http://schema.org/Organization",
        "name": "http://schema.org/name",
        "employee": {
          "@id": "http://schema.org/employee",
          "@context": {
            "@protected": true,
            "name": "http://schema.org/familyName"
          }
        }
      ↑ overrides the definition of "name"
    },
    {
      – This context extends the previous one,
      – only JSON-LD-aware implementations are expected to use it
        "location": {
          "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/based_near",
          "@context": [
            null,
            ↑ clears the context entirely, including all protected terms
            { "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/" }
          ]
        }
    }
  ],
  "@type": "Organization",
  "name": "Digital Bazaar",
  "employee": {
    "name": "Sporny"
  },
  "location": {
    "name": "Blacksburg, Virginia"
  }
}
```
NOTE

By preventing terms from being overridden, protection also prevents any adaptation of a term (e.g., defining a more precise datatype, restricting the term's use to lists, etc.). This kind of adaptation is frequent with some general purpose contexts, for which protection would therefore hinder their usability. As a consequence, context publishers should use this feature with care.

NOTE

Protected term definitions are a new feature in JSON-LD 1.1, requiring processing mode set to json-ld-1.1.

§ 4.2 Describing Values

This section is non-normative.

Values are leaf nodes in a graph associated with scalar values such as strings, dates, times, and other such atomic values.

§ 4.2.1 Typed Values

This section is non-normative.

A value with an associated type, also known as a typed value, is indicated by associating a value with an IRI which indicates the value's type. Typed values may be expressed in JSON-LD in three ways:

1. By utilizing the @type keyword when defining a term within an @context section.
2. By utilizing a value object.
3. By using a native JSON type such as number, true, or false.

The first example uses the @type keyword to associate a type with a particular term in the @context:
The modified key's value above is automatically interpreted as a dateTime value because of the information specified in the @context. The example tabs show how a JSON-LD processor will interpret the data.

The second example uses the expanded form of setting the type information in the body of a JSON-LD document:

Both examples above would generate the value 2010-05-29T14:17:39+02:00 with the type http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime. Note that it is also possible to use a term or a compact IRI to express the value of a type.
The `@type` keyword is also used to associate a type with a node. The concept of a node type and a value type are different. For more on adding types to nodes, see § 3.5 Specifying the Type.

A node type specifies the type of thing that is being described, like a person, place, event, or web page. A value type specifies the data type of a particular value, such as an integer, a floating point number, or a date.

**EXAMPLE 52**: Example demonstrating the context-sensitivity for `@type`

```
{
  ...
  "@id": "http://example.org/posts#TripToWestVirginia",
  "@type": "http://schema.org/BlogPosting", ← This is a node type
  "http://purl.org/dc/terms/modified": {
    "@value": "2010-05-29T14:17:39+02:00",
    "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime" ← This is a value type
  }
  ...
}
```

The first use of `@type` associates a node type (http://schema.org/BlogPosting) with the node, which is expressed using the `@id` keyword. The second use of `@type` associates a value type (http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#dateTime) with the value expressed using the `@value` keyword. As a general rule, when `@value` and `@type` are used in the same dictionary, the `@type` keyword is expressing a value type. Otherwise, the `@type` keyword is expressing a node type. The example above expresses the following data:

**EXAMPLE 53**: Example demonstrating the context-sensitivity for `@type`

(statements)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://example.org/posts#TripToWestVirginia">http://example.org/posts#TripToWestVirginia</a></td>
<td>rdf:type</td>
<td>schema:BlogPosting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://example.org/posts#TripToWestVirginia">http://example.org/posts#TripToWestVirginia</a></td>
<td>dcterms:modified</td>
<td>2010-05-29T14:17:39+02:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
§ 4.2.2 JSON Literals

This section is non-normative.

At times, it is useful to include JSON within JSON-LD that is not interpreted as JSON-LD. Generally, a JSON-LD processor will ignore properties which don't map to IRIs, but this causes them to be excluded when performing various algorithmic transformations. But, when the data that is being described is, itself, JSON, it's important that it survive algorithmic transformations.

⚠️ Warning

JSON-LD is intended to allow native JSON to be interpreted through the use of a context. The use of JSON literals creates blobs of data which are not available for interpretation. It is for use only in the rare cases that JSON cannot be represented as JSON-LD.

When a term is defined with @type set to @json, a JSON-LD processor will treat the value as a JSON literal, rather than interpreting it further as JSON-LD. In the expanded document form, such JSON will become the value of @value within a value object having "@type": "@json".

When transformed into RDF, the JSON literal will have a lexical form based on a specific serialization of the JSON, as described in Compaction algorithm of [JSON-LD11-API] and the JSON datatype.

The following example shows an example of a JSON Literal contained as the value of a property. Note that the RDF results use a canonicalized form of the JSON to ensure interoperability between different processors. JSON canonicalization is described in Data Round Tripping in [JSON-LD11-API].
This section is non-normative.

JSON-LD supports the coercion of string values to particular data types. Type coercion allows someone deploying JSON-LD to use string property values and have those values be interpreted as typed values by associating an IRI with the value in the expanded value object representation. Using type coercion, string value representation can be used without requiring the data type to be specified explicitly with each piece of data.

Type coercion is specified within an expanded term definition using the @type key. The value of this key expands to an IRI. Alternatively, the keyword @id or @vocab may be used as value to indicate that within the body of a JSON-LD document, a string value of a term coerced to @id or @vocab is to be interpreted as an IRI. The difference between @id and @vocab is how values are expanded to absolute IRIs. @vocab first tries to expand the value by interpreting it as term. If no matching term is found in the active context, it tries to expand it as compact IRI or absolute IRI if there's a colon in the value; otherwise, it will expand the value using the active context's vocabulary mapping, if present. Values coerced to @id in contrast are expanded as compact IRI or absolute IRI if a colon is present; otherwise, they are interpreted as relative IRI.
NOTE

The ability to coerce a value using a term definition is distinct from setting one or more types on a node object, as the former does not result in new data being added to the graph, while the later manages node types through adding additional relationships to the graph.

Terms or compact IRIs used as the value of a @type key may be defined within the same context. This means that one may specify a term like xsd and then use xsd:integer within the same context definition.

The example below demonstrates how a JSON-LD author can coerce values to typed values and IRIs.

**EXAMPLE 55: Expanded term definition with types**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@context&quot;: {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;xsd&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name">http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;age&quot;: {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/age">http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/age</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@type&quot;: &quot;xsd:integer&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>},</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;homepage&quot;: {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage">http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@type&quot;: &quot;@id&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>},</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://example.com/people#john">http://example.com/people#john</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;John Smith&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;age&quot;: &quot;41&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;homepage&quot;: [</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;<a href="http://personal.example.org/">http://personal.example.org/</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;<a href="http://work.example.com/jsmith/">http://work.example.com/jsmith/</a>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is important to note that terms are only used in expansion for vocabulary-relative positions, such as for keys and values of dictionary members. Values of @id are considered to be document-relative, and do not use term definitions for expansion. For example, consider the following:
The unexpected result is that "barney" expands to both http://example1.com/barney and http://example2.com/barney, depending where it is encountered. String values interpreted as IRIs because of the associated term definitions are typically considered to be document-relative. In some cases, it makes sense to interpret these relative to the vocabulary, prescribed using "@type": "@vocab" in the term definition, though this can lead to unexpected consequences such as these.

In the previous example, "barney" appears twice, once as the value of @id, which is always interpreted as a document-relative IRI, and once as the value of "fred", which is defined to be vocabulary-relative, thus the different expanded values.

For more on this see § 4.1.2 Default Vocabulary

A variation on the previous example using "@type": "@id" instead of @vocab illustrates the behavior of interpreting "barney" relative to the document:
**NOTE**

The triple `ex2:fred ex1:barney` is emitted twice, but exists only once in an output dataset, as it is a duplicate triple.

Terms may also be defined using [absolute IRIs](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/) or [compact IRIs](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/). This allows coercion rules to be applied to keys which are not represented as a simple term. For example:

```json
{
   "@context": {
      "@base": "http://example1.com/",
      "@vocab": "http://example2.com/",
      "fred": {"@type": "@id"}
   },
   "fred": [
      {"@id": "barney", "mnemonic": "the sidekick"},
      "barney"
   ]
}
```
In this case the @id definition in the term definition is optional. If it does exist, the compact IRI or IRI representing the term will always be expanded to IRI defined by the @id key—regardless of whether a prefix is defined or not.

Type coercion is always performed using the unexpanded value of the key. In the example above, that means that type coercion is done looking for foaf:age in the active context and not for the corresponding, expanded IRI http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/age.
NOTE

Keys in the context are treated as terms for the purpose of expansion and value coercion. At times, this may result in multiple representations for the same expanded IRI. For example, one could specify that dog and cat both expanded to http://example.com/vocab#animal. Doing this could be useful for establishing different type coercion or language specification rules. It also allows a compact IRI (or even an absolute IRI) to be defined as something else entirely. For example, one could specify that the term http://example.org/zoo should expand to http://example.org/river, but this usage is discouraged because it would lead to a great deal of confusion among developers attempting to understand the JSON-LD document.

§ 4.2.4 String Internationalization

This section is non-normative.

At times, it is important to annotate a string with its language. In JSON-LD this is possible in a variety of ways. First, it is possible to define a default language for a JSON-LD document by setting the @language key in the context:

**EXAMPLE 59: Setting the default language of a JSON-LD document**

```
{
  "@context": {
    "name": "http://example.org/name",
    "occupation": "http://example.org/occupation",
    ...
    "@language": "ja"
  },
  "name": "花澄",
  "occupation": "科学者"
}
```

The example above would associate the ja language code with the two strings 花澄 and 科学者. Languages codes are defined in [BCP47]. The default language applies to all string values that are not type coerced.
To clear the default language for a subtree, `@language` can be set to null in a local context as follows:

**EXAMPLE 60: Clearing default language**

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@language": "ja"
    },
    "name": "花澄",
    "details": {
        "@context": {
            "@language": null
        },
        "occupation": "Ninja"
    }
}
```

Second, it is possible to associate a language with a specific term using an expanded term definition:

**EXAMPLE 61: Expanded term definition with language**

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "ex": "http://example.com/vocab/",
        "@language": "ja",
        "name": { "@id": "ex:name", "@language": null },
        "occupation": { "@id": "ex:occupation" },
        "occupation_en": { "@id": "ex:occupation", "@language": "en" },
        "occupation_cs": { "@id": "ex:occupation", "@language": "cs" }
    },
    "name": "Yagyū Muneyoshi",
    "occupation": "忍者",
    "occupation_en": "Ninja",
    "occupation_cs": "Nindža",
    ...
}
```

The example above would associate 忍者 with the specified default language code `ja`, *Ninja* with the language code `en`, and *Nindža* with the language code `cs`. The value of `name`, *Yagyū Muneyoshi* wouldn't be associated with any
language code since `@language` was reset to `null` in the **expanded term definition**.

**NOTE**

Language associations are only applied to plain `strings`. **Typed values** or values that are subject to **type coercion** are not language tagged.

Just as in the example above, systems often need to express the value of a property in multiple languages. Typically, such systems also try to ensure that developers have a programmatically easy way to navigate the data structures for the language-specific data. In this case, **language maps** may be utilized.

**EXAMPLE 62**: Language map expressing a property in three languages

```
{
  "@context": {
    ...
    "occupation": { "@id": "ex:occupation", "@container": "@language" }
  },
  "name": "Yagyū Muneyoshi",
  "occupation": {
    "ja": "忍者",
    "en": "Ninja",
    "cs": "Nindža"
  }
  ...
}
```

The example above expresses exactly the same information as the previous example but consolidates all values in a single property. To access the value in a specific language in a programming language supporting dot-notation accessors for object properties, a developer may use the `property.language` pattern. For example, to access the occupation in English, a developer would use the following code snippet: `obj.occupation.en`.

Third, it is possible to override the **default language** by using a **value object**:
This makes it possible to specify a plain string by omitting the `@language` tag or setting it to `null` when expressing it using a `value object`:

**EXAMPLE 63**: Overriding default language using an expanded value

```json
{
  "@context": {
    ...
    "@language": "ja"
  },
  "name": "花澄",
  "occupation": {
    "@value": "Scientist",
    "@language": "en"
  }
}
```

**EXAMPLE 64**: Removing language information using an expanded value

```json
{
  "@context": {
    ...
    "@language": "ja"
  },
  "name": {
    "@value": "Frank"
  },
  "occupation": {
    "@value": "Ninja",
    "@language": "en"
  },
  "speciality": "手裏剣"
}
```

See § 9.8 Language Maps for a description of using language maps to set the language of mapped values.

§ 4.3 Value Ordering

This section is non-normative.

A JSON-LD author can express multiple values in a compact way by using `arrays`. Since graphs do not describe ordering for links between nodes, arrays
in JSON-LD do not convey any ordering of the contained elements by default. This is exactly the opposite from regular JSON arrays, which are ordered by default. For example, consider the following simple document:

```
{  
    "@context": {"foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/"},  
    ...  
    "@id": "http://example.org/people#joebob",  
    "foaf:nick": [  "joe",  "bob",  "JB"  ],  
    ...  
}
```

Multiple values may also be expressed using the expanded form:

```
{  
    "@context": {"dcterms": "http://purl.org/dc/terms/"},  
    "@id": "http://example.org/articles/8",  
    "dcterms:title": [  
        {  
            "@value": "Das Kapital",  
            "@language": "de"  
        },  
        {  
            "@value": "Capital",  
            "@language": "en"  
        }  
    ]  
}
```

NOTE

The example shown above would generates statement, again with no inherent order.

Although multiple values of a property are typically of the same type, JSON-LD places no restriction on this, and a property may have values of different
When viewed as statements, the values have no inherent order.

This section is non-normative.

As the notion of ordered collections is rather important in data modeling, it is useful to have specific language support. In JSON-LD, a list may be represented using the `@list` keyword as follows:

```json
{
    "@context": {"schema": "http://schema.org/"},
    "@id": "http://example.org/people#michael",
    "schema:name": [ 
        "Michael",
        {"@value": "Mike"},
        {"@value": "Miguel", "@language": "es"},
        { "@id": "https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q4927524" },
        42
    ]
}
```
This describes the use of this array as being ordered, and order is maintained when processing a document. If every use of a given multi-valued property is a list, this may be abbreviated by setting @container to @list in the context:

**EXAMPLE 69: Specifying that a collection is ordered in the context**

```json
{
   "@context": {
      ...
      "nick": {
         "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/nick",
         "@container": "@list"
      }
   },
   ...
   "@id": "http://example.org/people#joebob",
   "nick": [ "joe", "bob", "jaybee" ],
   ...
}
```

The implementation of lists in RDF depends on linking anonymous nodes together using the properties rdf:first and rdf:rest, with the end of the list defined as the resource rdf:nil, as the "statements" tab illustrates. This allows order to be represented within an unordered set of statements.

Both JSON-LD and Turtle provide shortcuts for representing ordered lists.

In JSON-LD 1.1, lists of lists, where the value of a list object, may itself be a list object, are fully supported.

Note that the "@container": "@list" definition recursively describes array values of lists as being, themselves, lists. For example, in GeoJSON (see [RFC7946]), coordinates are an ordered list of positions, which are represented as an array of two or more numbers:
EXAMPLE 70: Coordinates expressed in GeoJSON

```json
{
  "type": "Feature",
  "bbox": [-10.0, -10.0, 10.0, 10.0],
  "geometry": {
    "type": "Polygon",
    "coordinates": [
      [-10.0, -10.0],
      [10.0, -10.0],
      [10.0, 10.0],
      [-10.0, -10.0]
    ]
  }
}
```

For these examples, it's important that values expressed within `bbox` and `coordinates` maintain their order, which requires the use of embedded list structures. In JSON-LD 1.1, we can express this using recursive lists, by simply adding the appropriate context definition:
Note that coordinates includes three levels of lists.

Values of terms associated with an @list container are always represented in the form of an array, even if there is just a single value or no value at all.

§ 4.3.2 Sets

This section is non-normative.

While @list is used to describe ordered lists, the @set keyword is used to describe unordered sets. The use of @set in the body of a JSON-LD document is optimized away when processing the document, as it is just syntactic sugar. However, @set is helpful when used within the context of a document. Values of terms associated with an @set container are always represented in the form of an array, even if there is just a single value that would otherwise be optimized to a non-array form in compact form (see § 5.2 Compacted).
This makes post-processing of JSON-LD documents easier as the data is always in array form, even if the array only contains a single value.

EXAMPLE 72: An unordered collection of values in JSON-LD

```json
{
  "@context": {"foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/"},
  ...
  "@id": "http://example.org/people#joebob",
  "foaf:nick": {
    "@set": [ "joe", "bob", "jaybee" ]
  },
  ...
}
```

This describes the use of this array as being unordered, and order may change when processing a document. By default, arrays of values are unordered, but this may be made explicit by setting @container to @set in the context:

EXAMPLE 73: Specifying that a collection is unordered in the context

```json
{
  "@context": {
    ...
    "nick": {
      "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/nick",
      "@container": @set
    }
  },
  ...
  "@id": "http://example.org/people#joebob",
  "nick": [ "joe", "bob", "jaybee" ],
  ...
}
```

Since JSON-LD 1.1, the @set keyword may be combined with other container specifications within an expanded term definition to similarly cause compacted values of indexes to be consistently represented using arrays. See § 4.6 Indexed Values for a further discussion.
§ 4.3.3 Using @set with @type

This section is non-normative.

When processing mode is set to json-ld-1.1, @type may be used with an expanded term definition with @container set to @set; no other members may be set within such an expanded term definition. This is used by the Compaction algorithm to ensure that the values of @type (or an alias) are always represented in an array.

**EXAMPLE 74:** Setting @container: @set on @type

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@version": 1.1,
        "@type": {"@container": "@set"}
    },
    "@type": ["http:/example.org/type"]
}
```

§ 4.4 Nested Properties

This section is non-normative.

Many JSON APIs separate properties from their entities using an intermediate object; in JSON-LD these are called nested properties. For example, a set of possible labels may be grouped under a common property:
By defining *labels* using the keyword *@nest*, a JSON-LD processor will ignore the nesting created by using the *labels* property and process the contents as if it were declared directly within containing object. In this case, the *labels* property is semantically meaningless. Defining it as equivalent to *@nest* causes it to be ignored when expanding, making it equivalent to the following:

**EXAMPLE 76: Nested properties folded into containing object**

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "skos": "http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#",
        "main_label": {"@id": "skos:prefLabel"},
        "other_label": {"@id": "skos:altLabel"},
        "homepage": {"@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage", "@type": }
    },
    "@id": "http://example.org/myresource",
    "homepage": "http://example.org",
    "main_label": "This is the main label for my resource",
    "other_label": "This is the other label"
}
```
Similarly, **term definitions** may contain a `@nest` property referencing a term aliased to `@nest` which will cause such properties to be nested under that aliased term when compacting. In the example below, both `main_label` and `other_label` are defined with `"@nest": "labels"`, which will cause them to be serialized under `labels` when compacting.

### EXAMPLE 77: Defining property nesting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@context&quot;: {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@version&quot;: 1.1,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;skos&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#">http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/core#</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;labels&quot;: &quot;@nest&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;main_label&quot;: {&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;skos:prefLabel&quot;, &quot;@nest&quot;: &quot;labels&quot;},</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;other_label&quot;: {&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;skos:altLabel&quot;, &quot;@nest&quot;: &quot;labels&quot;},</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;homepage&quot;: {&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage">http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage</a>&quot;, @type:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>},</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://example.org/myresource">http://example.org/myresource</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;homepage&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://example.org">http://example.org</a>&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;labels&quot;: {</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;main_label&quot;: &quot;This is the main label for my resource&quot;,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;other_label&quot;: &quot;This is the other label&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>} }</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE**

**Nested properties** are a new feature in JSON-LD 1.1, requiring **processing mode** set to `json-ld-1.1`.

### § 4.5 Embedding

*This section is non-normative.*

**Embedding** is a JSON-LD feature that allows an author to use **node objects** as **property** values. This is a commonly used mechanism for creating a parent-child relationship between two **nodes**.

Without embedding, **node objects** can be linked by referencing the identifier of another **node object**. For example:
The previous example describes two node objects, for Manu and Gregg, with the knows property defined to treat string values as identifiers. Embedding allows the node object for Gregg to be embedded as a value of the knows property:

A node object, like the one used above, may be used in any value position in the body of a JSON-LD document. Note that type coercion of the knows
While it is considered a best practice to identify nodes in a graph, at times this is impractical. In the data model, nodes without an explicit identifier are called **blank nodes**, which can be represented in a serialization such as JSON-LD using a **blank node identifier**. In the previous example, the top-level node for Manu does not have an identifier, and does not need one to describe it within the data model. However, if we were to want to describe a **knows** relationship from Gregg to Manu, we would need to introduce a **blank node identifier** (here `_:b0`).

**EXAMPLE 80: Referencing an unidentified node**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/"
  },
  "@id": "_:b0",
  "@type": "Person",
  "name": "Manu Sporny",
  "knows": {
    "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Gregg Kellogg",
    "knows": {"@id": "_:b0"}
  }
}
```

**Blank node identifiers** may be automatically introduced by algorithms such as **flattening**, but they are also useful for authors to describe such relationships directly.

§ **4.5.1 Identifying Blank Nodes**

*This section is non-normative.*

At times, it becomes necessary to be able to express information without being able to uniquely identify the **node** with an **IRI**. This type of node is called a **blank node**. JSON-LD does not require all nodes to be identified using **@id**. However, some graph topologies may require identifiers to be serializable. Graphs containing loops, e.g., cannot be serialized using
embedding alone, @id must be used to connect the nodes. In these situations, one can use blank node identifiers, which look like IRIs using an underscore (_) as scheme. This allows one to reference the node locally within the document, but makes it impossible to reference the node from an external document. The blank node identifier is scoped to the document in which it is used.

**EXAMPLE 81: Specifying a local blank node identifier**

```
{
    "@context": "http://schema.org/",
    ...
    "@id": "_:n1",
    "name": "Secret Agent 1",
    "knows": {
        "name": "Secret Agent 2",
        "knows": { "@id": "_:n1" }
    }
}
```

The example above contains information about two secret agents that cannot be identified with an IRI. While expressing that agent 1 knows agent 2 is possible without using blank node identifiers, it is necessary to assign agent 1 an identifier so that it can be referenced from agent 2.

It is worth noting that blank node identifiers may be relabeled during processing. If a developer finds that they refer to the blank node more than once, they should consider naming the node using a dereferenceable IRI so that it can also be referenced from other documents.

§ 4.6 Indexed Values

*This section is non-normative.*

Sometimes multiple property values need to be accessed in a more direct fashion than iterating though multiple array values. JSON-LD provides an indexing mechanism to allow the use of an intermediate dictionary to associate specific indexes with associated values.

**Data Indexing**

As described in § 4.6.1 Data Indexing, data indexing allows an arbitrary key to reference a node or value.
**Language Indexing**
As described in § 4.6.2 Language Indexing, language indexing allows a language to reference a string and be interpreted as the language associated with that string.

**Node Identifier Indexing**
As described in § 4.6.3 Node Identifier Indexing, node identifier indexing allows an IRI to reference a node and be interpreted as the identifier of that node.

**Node Type Indexing**
As described in § 4.6.4 Node Type Indexing, node type indexing allows an IRI to reference a node and be interpreted as a type of that node.

See § 4.8 Named Graphs for other uses of indexing in JSON-LD.

§ 4.6.1 Data Indexing

*This section is non-normative.*

Databases are typically used to make access to data more efficient. Developers often extend this sort of functionality into their application data to deliver similar performance gains. This data may have no meaning from a Linked Data standpoint, but is still useful for an application.

JSON-LD introduces the notion of index maps that can be used to structure data into a form that is more efficient to access. The data indexing feature allows an author to structure data using a simple key-value map where the keys do not map to IRIs. This enables direct access to data instead of having to scan an array in search of a specific item. In JSON-LD such data can be specified by associating the @index keyword with a @container declaration in the context:
In the example above, the **post** term has been marked as an **index map**. The **en** and **de** keys will be ignored semantically, but preserved syntactically, by the **JSON-LD Processor**. If used in JavaScript, this can allow a developer to access the German version of the **post** using the following code snippet:

```
obj.post.de
```

The interpretation of the data is expressed in the statements table. Note how the index keys do not appear in the statements, but would continue to exist if the document were compacted or expanded (see **§ 5.2 Compacted Document Form** and **§ 5.1 Expanded Document Form**) using a **JSON-LD processor**.

The value of **@container** can also be an array containing both **@index** and **@set**. When **compacting**, this ensures that a **JSON-LD Processor** will use the **array**
form for all values of indexes.

If the **processing mode** is set to `json-ld-1.1`, the special index `@none` is used for indexing data which does not have an associated index, which is useful to maintain a normalized representation.

### EXAMPLE 83: Indexing data using `@none`

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "@version": 1.1,
    "schema": "http://schema.org/",
    "name": "schema:name",
    "body": "schema:articleBody",
    "words": "schema:wordCount",
    "post": {
      "@id": "schema:blogPost",
      "@container": "@index"
    }
  },
  "@id": "http://example.com/",
  "@type": "schema:Blog",
  "name": "World Financial News",
  "post": {
    "en": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/posts/1/en",
      "body": "World commodities were up today with heavy trading of cr
      "words": 1539
    },
    "de": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/posts/1/de",
      "body": "Die Werte an Warenbörsen stiegen im Sog eines starken Ha
      "words": 1204
    },
    "@none": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/posts/1/no-language",
      "body": "Unindexed description",
      "words": 20
    }
  }
}
```

§ 4.6.1.1 *Property-based data indexing*
This section is non-normative.

In its simplest form (as in the examples above), data indexing assigns no semantics to the keys of an index map. However, in some situations, the keys used to index objects are semantically linked to these objects, and should be preserved not only syntactically, but also semantically.

If the processing mode is set to json-ld-1.1, "@container": "@index" in a term description can be accompanied with an "@index" key. The value of that key must map to an IRI, which identifies the semantic property linking each object to its key.
NOTE

When using property-based data indexing, index maps can only be used on node objects, not value objects or graph objects. Value objects are restricted to have only certain keys and do not support arbitrary properties.
§ 4.6.2 Language Indexing

*This section is non-normative.*

JSON which includes string values in multiple languages may be represented using a **language map** to allow for easily indexing property values by **language tag**. This enables direct access to language values instead of having to scan an array in search of a specific item. In JSON-LD such data can be specified by associating the **@language** keyword with a **@container** declaration in the context:

```
In the example above, the **label** term has been marked as a **language map**. The **en** and **de** keys are implicitly associated with their respective values by the **JSON-LD Processor**. This allows a developer to access the German version of the **label** using the following code snippet: `obj.label.de`.

The value of **@container** can also be an array containing both **@language** and **@set**. When **compacting**, this ensures that a **JSON-LD Processor** will use the **array** form for all values of language tags.
EXAMPLE 86: Indexing languaged-tagged strings in JSON-LD with @set representation

```
{"@context": {
    "@version": 1.1,
    "vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
    "label": {
        "@id": "vocab:label",
        "@container": ["@language", 
                        "@set"]
    }
},
"@id": "http://example.com/queen",
"label": {
    "en": ["The Queen"],
    "de": ["Die Königin", "Ihre Majestät"]
}
}
```

If the processing mode is set to json-ld-1.1, the special index @none is used for indexing strings which do not have a language; this is useful to maintain a normalized representation for string values not having a datatype.

EXAMPLE 87: Indexing languaged-tagged strings using @none for no language

```
{"@context": {
    "vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
    "label": {
        "@id": "vocab:label",
        "@container": "@language"
    }
},
"@id": "http://example.com/queen",
"label": {
    "en": "The Queen",
    "de": ["Die Königin", "Ihre Majestät"],
    "@none": "The Queen"
}
}
```
§ 4.6.3 Node Identifier Indexing

*This section is non-normative.*

In addition to index maps, JSON-LD introduces the notion of id maps for structuring data. The id indexing feature allows an author to structure data using a simple key-value map where the keys map to IRIs. This enables direct access to associated node objects instead of having to scan an array in search of a specific item. In JSON-LD such data can be specified by associating the @id keyword with a @container declaration in the context:

### EXAMPLE 88: Indexing data in JSON-LD by node identifiers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@version": 1.1,
        "schema": "http://schema.org/",
        "name": "schema:name",
        "body": "schema:articleBody",
        "words": "schema:wordCount",
        "post": {
            "@id": "schema:blogPost",
            "@container": "@id"
        }
    },
    "@id": "http://example.com/",
    "@type": "schema:Blog",
    "name": "World Financial News",
    "post": {
        "http://example.com/posts/1/en": {
            "body": "World commodities were up today with heavy trading of cr"
        },
        "http://example.com/posts/1/de": {
            "body": "Die Werte an Warenbörsen stiegen im Sog eines starken Ha"
        }
    }
}
```

In the example above, the post term has been marked as an id map. The http://example.com/posts/1/en and http://example.com/posts/1/de keys will be interpreted as the @id property of the node object value.
The interpretation of the data above is exactly the same as that in § 4.6.1 Data Indexing using a JSON-LD processor.

The value of @container can also be an array containing both @id and @set. When compacting, this ensures that a JSON-LD processor will use the array form for all values of node identifiers.

**EXAMPLE 89: Indexing data in JSON-LD by node identifiers with @set representation**

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@version": 1.1,
        "schema": "http://schema.org/",
        "name": "schema:name",
        "body": "schema:articleBody",
        "words": "schema:wordCount",
        "post": {
            "@id": "schema:blogPost",
            "@container": ["@id", "@set"]
        }
    },
    "@id": "http://example.com/",
    "@type": "schema:Blog",
    "name": "World Financial News",
    "post": {
        "http://example.com/posts/1/en": [{
            "body": "World commodities were up today with heavy trading of cr
            "words": 1539
        }],
        "http://example.com/posts/1/de": [{
            "body": "Die Werte an Warenbörsen stiegen im Sog eines starken Ha
            "words": 1204
        }]
    }
}
```

The special index @none is used for indexing node objects which do not have an @id, which is useful to maintain a normalized representation. The @none index may also be a term which expands to @none, such as the term none used in the example below.
NOTE

Id maps are a new feature in JSON-LD 1.1, requiring processing mode set to json-ld-1.1.

§ 4.6.4 Node Type Indexing
This section is non-normative.

In addition to id and index maps, JSON-LD introduces the notion of type maps for structuring data. The type indexing feature allows an author to structure data using a simple key-value map where the keys map to IRIs. This enables data to be structured based on the @type of specific node objects. In JSON-LD such data can be specified by associating the @type keyword with a @container declaration in the context:

```
EXAMPLE 91: Indexing data in JSON-LD by type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>{</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@context&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@version&quot;: 1.1,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;schema&quot;: &quot;<a href="http://schema.org/">http://schema.org/</a>&quot;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;schema:name&quot;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;affiliation&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;schema:affiliation&quot;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@container&quot;: &quot;@type&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>},</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;Manu Sporny&quot;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;affiliation&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;schema:Corporation&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;<a href="https://digitalbazaar.com/">https://digitalbazaar.com/</a>&quot;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;Digital Bazaar&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>},</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;schema:ProfessionalService&quot;: {</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;@id&quot;: &quot;<a href="https://spec-ops.io">https://spec-ops.io</a>&quot;,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;name&quot;: &quot;Spec-Ops&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

In the example above, the affiliation term has been marked as a type map. The schema:Corporation and schema:ProfessionalService keys will be interpreted as the @type property of the node object value.

The value of @container can also be an array containing both @type and @set. When compacting, this ensures that a JSON-LD processor will use the array form for all values of types.
The special index `@none` is used for indexing node objects which do not have an `@type`, which is useful to maintain a normalized representation. The `@none` index may also be a term which expands to `@none`, such as the term `none` used in the example below.
As with id maps, when used with @type, a container may also include @set to ensure that key values are always contained in an array.

NOTE

Type maps are a new feature in JSON-LD 1.1, requiring processing mode set to json-ld-1.1.

§ 4.7 Reverse Properties

This section is non-normative.
JSON-LD serializes directed graphs. That means that every property points from a node to another node or value. However, in some cases, it is desirable to serialize in the reverse direction. Consider for example the case where a person and its children should be described in a document. If the used vocabulary does not provide a children property but just a parent property, every node representing a child would have to be expressed with a property pointing to the parent as in the following example.

**EXAMPLE 94: A document with children linking to their parent**

```json
[
  {
    "@id": "#homer",
    "http://example.com/vocab#name": "Homer"
  },
  {
    "@id": "#bart",
    "http://example.com/vocab#name": "Bart",
    "http://example.com/vocab#parent": { "@id": "#homer" }
  },
  {
    "@id": "#lisa",
    "http://example.com/vocab#name": "Lisa",
    "http://example.com/vocab#parent": { "@id": "#homer" }
  }
]
```

Expressing such data is much simpler by using JSON-LD's @reverse keyword:
The `@reverse` keyword can also be used in expanded term definitions to create reverse properties as shown in the following example:

**EXAMPLE 95: A person and its children using a reverse property**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Flattened</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```
{  
  "@id": "#homer",  
  "http://example.com/vocab#name": "Homer",  
  "@reverse": {  
    "http://example.com/vocab#parent": [  
      {  
        "@id": "#bart",  
        "http://example.com/vocab#name": "Bart"  
      },  
      {  
        "@id": "#lisa",  
        "http://example.com/vocab#name": "Lisa"  
      }  
    ]  
  }  
}
```

**EXAMPLE 96: Using @reverse to define reverse properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Flattened</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```
{  
  "@context": { "name": "http://example.com/vocab#name",  
    "children": { "@reverse": "http://example.com/vocab#parent" }  
  },  
  "@id": "#homer",  
  "name": "Homer",  
  "children": [  
    {  
      "@id": "#bart",  
      "name": "Bart"  
    },  
    {  
      "@id": "#lisa",  
      "name": "Lisa"  
    }  
  ]  
}
```
§ 4.8 Named Graphs

This section is non-normative.

At times, it is necessary to make statements about a graph itself, rather than just a single node. This can be done by grouping a set of nodes using the @graph keyword. A developer may also name data expressed using the @graph keyword by pairing it with an @id keyword as shown in the following example:

EXAMPLE 97: Identifying and making statements about a graph

```
{
  "@context": {
    "generatedAt": {
      "@id": "http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#generatedAtTime",
      "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date"
    },
    "Person": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Person",
    "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
    "knows": {"@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/knows", "@type": "@id"}
  },
  "@id": "http://example.org/foaf-graph",
  "generatedAt": "2012-04-09",
  "@graph": [
    {
      "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
      "@type": "Person",
      "name": "Manu Sporny",
      "knows": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me"
    },
    {
      "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",
      "@type": "Person",
      "name": "Gregg Kellogg",
      "knows": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu"
    }
  ]
}
```

The example above expresses a named graph that is identified by the IRI http://example.org/foaf-graph. That graph is composed of the statements about Manu and Gregg. Metadata about the graph itself is expressed via the generatedAt property, which specifies when the graph was generated.

When a JSON-LD document's top-level structure is an dictionary that contains
no other keys than @graph and optionally @context (properties that are not mapped to an IRI or a keyword are ignored), @graph is considered to express the otherwise implicit default graph. This mechanism can be useful when a number of nodes exist at the document’s top level that share the same context, which is, e.g., the case when a document is flattened. The @graph keyword collects such nodes in an array and allows the use of a shared context.

**EXAMPLE 98: Using @graph to explicitly express the default graph**

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
        "knows": {"@type": "@id"}
    },
    "@graph": [
        {
            "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
            "@type": "Person",
            "name": "Manu Sporny",
            "knows": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me"
        },
        {
            "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",
            "@type": "Person",
            "name": "Gregg Kellogg",
            "knows": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu"
        }
    ]
}
```

In this case, embedding doesn't work as each node object references the other. This is equivalent to using multiple node objects in array and defining the @context within each node object:
In some cases, it is useful to logically partition data into separate graphs, without making this explicit within the JSON expression. For example, a JSON document may contain data against which other metadata is asserted and it is useful to separate this data in the data model using the notion of named graphs, without the syntactic overhead associated with the @graph keyword.

An expanded term definition can use @graph as the value of @container. This indicates that values of this term should be considered to be named graphs, where the graph name is an automatically assigned blank node identifier creating an implicitly named graph. When expanded, these become simple graph objects.

A different example uses an anonymously named graph as follows:

```json
[{
   "@context": {
      "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
      "knows": {"@type": "@id"}
   },
   "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
   "@type": "Person",
   "name": "Manu Sporny",
   "knows": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me"
}, {
   "@context": {
      "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
      "knows": {"@type": "@id"}
   },
   "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",
   "@type": "Person",
   "name": "Gregg Kellogg",
   "knows": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu"
}
]
```
The example above expresses an anonymously **named graph** making a statement. The **default graph** includes a statement saying that the **subject** wrote that statement. This is an example of separating statements into a **named graph**, and then making assertions about the statements contained within that **named graph**.

**NOTE**

Strictly speaking, the value of such a **term** is not a **named graph**, rather it is the **graph name** associated with the **named graph**, which exists separately within the **dataset**.

**NOTE**

Graph Containers are a new feature in JSON-LD 1.1, requiring **processing mode** set to **json-ld-1.1**.

§ 4.8.2 **Named Graph Data Indexing**

*This section is non-normative.*

In addition to indexing **node objects** by index, **graph objects** may also be indexed by an index. By using the **@graph** container type, introduced in § 4.8.1
Graph Containers in addition to @index, an object value of such a property is treated as a key-value map where the keys do not map to IRIs, but are taken from an @index property associated with named graphs which are their values. When expanded, these must be simple graph objects.

The following example describes a default graph referencing multiple named graphs using an index map.

**EXAMPLE 101: Indexing graph data in JSON-LD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>TriG</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```
{
  "@context": {
    "@version": 1.1,
    "schema": "http://schema.org/",
    "name": "schema:name",
    "body": "schema:articleBody",
    "words": "schema:wordCount",
    "post": {
      "@id": "schema:blogPost",
      "@container": ["@graph", "@index"
    }
  },
  "@id": "http://example.com/",
  "@type": "schema:Blog",
  "name": "World Financial News",
  "post": {
    "en": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/posts/1/en",
      "body": "World commodities were up today with heavy trading of crude oil...",
      "words": 1539
    },
    "de": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/posts/1/de",
      "body": "Die Werte an Warenbörsen stiegen im Sog eines starken Handels von Rohöl.",
      "words": 1204
    }
  }
}
```

As with index maps, when used with @graph, a container may also include @set to ensure that key values are always contained in an array.

If the processing mode is set to json-ld-1.1, the special index @none is used for indexing graphs which does not have an @index key, which is useful to
maintain a normalized representation. Note, however, that compacting a document where multiple unidentified named graphs are compacted using the @none index will result in the content of those graphs being merged. To prevent this, give each graph a distinct @index key.

This section is non-normative.

In addition to indexing node objects by identifier, graph objects may also be indexed by their graph name. By using the @graph container type, introduced

---

**EXAMPLE 102: Indexing graphs using @none for no index**

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "@version": 1.1,
    "schema": "http://schema.org/",
    "name": "schema:name",
    "body": "schema:articleBody",
    "words": "schema:wordCount",
    "post": {
      "@id": "schema:blogPost",
      "@container": ["@graph", "@index"]
    }
  },
  "@id": "http://example.com/",
  "@type": "schema:Blog",
  "name": "World Financial News",
  "post": {
    "en": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/posts/1/en",
      "body": "World commodities were up today with heavy trading of crude oil."
    },
    "@none": {
      "@id": "http://example.com/posts/1/no-language",
      "body": "Die Werte an Warenbörsen stiegen im Sog eines starken Handels von Rohöl."
    }
  }
}
```
in § 4.8.1 **Graph Containers** in addition to @id, an object value of such a property is treated as a key-value map where the keys represent the identifiers of **named graphs** which are their values.

The following example describes a **default graph** referencing multiple named graphs using an id map.

### EXAMPLE 103: Referencing named graphs using an id map

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Trig</th>
<th>Open in playground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```
{
  "@context": {
    "@version": 1.1,
    "generatedAt": {
      "@id": "http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#generatedAtTime",
      "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date"
    },
    "Person": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Person",
    "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
    "knows": {
      "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/knows",
      "@type": "@id"
    },
    "graphMap": {
      "@id": "http://example.org/graphMap",
      "@container": ["@graph", "@id"]
    }
  },
  "@id": "http://example.org/foaf-graph",
  "generatedAt": "2012-04-09",
  "graphMap": {
    "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu": {
      "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
      "@type": "Person",
      "name": "Manu Sporny",
      "knows": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me"
    },
    "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me": {
      "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",
      "@type": "Person",
      "name": "Gregg Kellogg",
      "knows": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu"
    }
  }
}
```
As with **id maps**, when used with **@graph**, a container may also include **@set** to ensure that key values are always contained in an array.

As with **id maps**, the special index **@none** is used for indexing **named graphs** which do not have an **@id**, which is useful to maintain a normalized representation. The **@none** index may also be a term which expands to **@none**. Note, however, that if multiple graphs are represented without an **@id**, they will be merged on expansion. To prevent this, use **@none** judiciously, and consider giving graphs their own distinct identifier.

**EXAMPLE 104: Referencing named graphs using an id map with @none**

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "@version": 1.1,
    "generatedAt": {
      "@id": "http://www.w3.org/ns/prov#generatedAtTime",
      "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date"
    },
    "Person": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Person",
    "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
    "knows": {
      "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/knows",
      "@type": "@id"
    }
  }
},

"@id": "http://example.org/foaf-graph",
"generatedAt": "2012-04-09",
"graphMap": {
  "@none": [
    {
      "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
      "@type": "Person",
      "name": "Manu Sporny",
      "knows": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me"
    },
    {
      "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",
      "@type": "Person",
      "name": "Gregg Kellogg",
      "knows": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu"
    }
  ]
}
```
Graph Containers are a new feature in JSON-LD 1.1, requiring processing mode set to json-ld-1.1.

§ 5. Forms of JSON-LD

This section is non-normative.

As with many data formats, there is no single correct way to describe data in JSON-LD. However, as JSON-LD is used for describing graphs, certain transformations can be used to change the shape of the data, without changing its meaning as Linked Data.

**Expanded Document Form**

Expansion is the process of taking a JSON-LD document and applying a context so that the @context is no longer necessary. This process is described further in § 5.1 Expanded Document Form.

**Compacted Document Form**

Compaction is the process of applying a provided context to an existing JSON-LD document. This process is described further in § 5.2 Compacted Document Form.

**Flattened Document Form**

Flattening is the process of extracting embedded nodes to the top level of the JSON tree, and replacing the embedded node with a reference, creating blank node identifiers as necessary. This process is described further in § 5.3 Flattened Document Form.

**Framed Document Form**

Framing is used to shape the data in a JSON-LD document, using an example frame document which is used to both match the flattened data and show an example of how the resulting data should be shaped. This process is described further in § 5.4 Framed Document Form.

§ 5.1 Expanded Document Form

This section is non-normative.

The JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API] defines a method for expanding a JSON-LD document. Expansion is the process of taking a JSON-LD document and applying a context such that all
IRIs, types, and values are expanded so that the `@context` is no longer necessary.

For example, assume the following JSON-LD input document:

```json
{  
    "@context": {  
        "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",  
        "homepage": {  
            "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage",  
            "@type": "@id"  
        }  
    },  
    "name": "Manu Sporny",  
    "homepage": "http://manu.sporny.org/"
}
```

Running the JSON-LD Expansion algorithm against the JSON-LD input document provided above would result in the following output:

```json
[  
    {  
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name": [  
            {  
                "@value": "Manu Sporny"  
            }  
        ],  
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage": [  
            {  
                "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/"
            }  
        ]  
    }
]
```

JSON-LD's media type defines a profile parameter which can be used to signal or request expanded document form. The profile URI identifying expanded document form is `http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#expanded`.

§ 5.2 Compacted Document Form
This section is non-normative.

The JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API] defines a method for compacting a JSON-LD document. **Compaction** is the process of applying a developer-supplied **context** to shorten IRIs to **terms** or compact IRIs and JSON-LD values expressed in expanded form to simple values such as **strings** or **numbers**. Often this makes it simpler to work with document as the data is expressed in application-specific terms. Compacted documents are also typically easier to read for humans.

For example, assume the following JSON-LD input document:

**EXAMPLE 107:** Sample expanded JSON-LD document

```json
[
  {
    "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name": [ "Manu Sporny" ],
    "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage": [
      {
        "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/
      }
    ]
  }
]
```

Additionally, assume the following developer-supplied JSON-LD context:

**EXAMPLE 108:** Sample context

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
    "homepage": {
      "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage",
      "@type": "@id"
    }
  }
}
```

Running the JSON-LD **Compaction algorithm** given the context supplied above against the JSON-LD input document provided above would result in the following output:
EXAMPLE 109: Compact form of the sample document once sample context has been applied

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
    "homepage": {
      "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/homepage",
      "@type": "@id"
    }
  },
  "name": "Manu Sporny",
  "homepage": "http://manu.sporny.org/"
}
```

**JSON-LD's media type** defines a **profile** parameter which can be used to signal or request **compacted document form**. The profile URI identifying **compacted document form** is [http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#compacted](http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#compacted).

The details of Compaction are described in the **Compaction algorithm** in [JSON-LD11-API](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/). This section provides a short description of how the algorithm operates as a guide to authors creating **contexts** to be used for **compacting** JSON-LD documents.

The purpose of compaction is to apply the **term definitions**, **vocabulary mapping**, **default language**, and **base IRI** to an existing JSON-LD document to cause it to be represented in a form that is tailored to the use of the JSON-LD document directly as JSON. This includes representing values as **strings**, rather than **value objects**, where possible, shortening the use of **list objects** into simple **arrays**, reversing the relationship between **nodes**, and using data maps to index into multiple values instead of representing them as an array of values.

### § 5.2.1 Shortening IRIs

*This section is non-normative.*

In an expanded JSON-LD document, **IRIs** are always represented as absolute **IRIs**. In many cases, it is preferable to use a shorter version, either a **relative IRI**, **compact IRI**, or **term**. Compaction uses a combination of elements in a context to create a shorter form of these IRIs. See § 4.1.2 **Default Vocabulary**,
§ 4.1.3 **Base IRI**, and § 4.1.4 **Compact IRIs** for more details.

The **vocabulary mapping** can be used to shorten IRIs that may be *vocabulary relative* by removing the IRI prefix that matches the **vocabulary mapping**. This is done whenever an IRI is determined to be vocabulary relative, i.e., used as a **property**, or a value of **@type**, or as the value of a **term** described as "@type": "@vocab".

**EXAMPLE 110: Compacting using a default vocabulary**

Given the following expanded document:

```json
[{
    "@id": "http://example.org/places#BrewEats",
    "@type": [
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/Restaurant",
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name": ["Brew Eats"]
    ]
}]
```

And the following context:

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/"
    }
}
```

The compaction algorithm will shorten all vocabulary-relative IRIs that begin with `http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/`:

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@vocab": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/"
    },
    "@id": "http://example.org/places#BrewEats",
    "@type": "Restaurant",
    "name": "Brew Eats"
}
```

Note that two IRIs were shortened, unnecessary arrays are removed, and simple string values are replaced with the string.

See **Security Considerations** in § C. **IANA Considerations** for a discussion on how string vocabulary-relative **IRI** resolution via concatenation.
**EXAMPLE 111: Compacting using a base IRI**

Given the following expanded document:

```json
[
   {,
      "@id": "http://example.com/document.jsonld",
      "http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#label": [{"@value": "Just a simple docume
   }
   ]
}
```

And the following context:

```json
{
   "@context": {
      "@base": "http://example.com/",
      "label": "http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#label"
   },
   "@id": "document.jsonld",
   "label": "Just a simple document"
}
```

The compaction algorithm will shorten all document-relative IRIs that begin with `http://example.com/`:

```json
{
   "@context": {
      "@base": "http://example.com/",
      "label": "http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#label"
   },
   "@id": "document.jsonld",
   "label": "Just a simple document"
}
```

§ 5.2.2 Representing Values as Strings

*This section is non-normative.*

To be unambiguous, the **expanded document form** always represents **nodes** and values using **node objects** and **value objects**. Moreover, property values are always contained within an array, even when there is only one value. Sometimes this is useful to maintain a uniformity of access, but most JSON data use the simplest possible representation, meaning that **properties** have single values, which are represented as **strings** or as structured values such as **node objects**. By default, **compaction** will represent values which are simple strings as **strings**, but sometimes a value is an **IRI**, a date, or some other **typed value** for which a simple string representation would loose
information. By specifying this within a term definition, the semantics of a string value can be inferred from the definition of the term used as a property. See § 4.2 Describing Values for more details.
EXAMPLE 112: Coercing Values to Strings

Given the following expanded document:

```
{
  "http://example.com/plain": [
    {
      "@value": "string"},
    {
      "@value": true},
    {
      "@value": 1
    }
  ],
  "http://example.com/date": [
    {
      "@value": "2018-02-16",
      "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date"
    }
  ],
  "http://example.com/en": [
    {
      "@value": "English", "@language": "en"
    }
  ],
  "http://example.com/iri": [
    {
      "@id": "http://example.com/some-location"
    }
  ]
}
```

And the following context:

```
{
  "@context": {
    "@vocab": "http://example.com/",
    "date": {"@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date"
    "en": {"@language": "en"},
    "iri": {"@type": "@id"}
  }
}
```

The compacted version will use string values for the defined terms when the values match the term definition. Note that there is no term defined for "plain", that is created automatically using the vocabulary mapping. Also, the other native values, 1 and true, can be represented without defining a specific type mapping.
As described in § 4.3.1 Lists, JSON-LD has an expanded syntax for representing ordered values, using the @list keyword. To simplify the representation in JSON-LD, a term can be defined with "@container": "@list" which causes all values of a property using such a term to be considered ordered.

§ 5.2.3 Representing Lists as Arrays

This section is non-normative.

As described in § 4.3.1 Lists, JSON-LD has an expanded syntax for representing ordered values, using the @list keyword. To simplify the representation in JSON-LD, a term can be defined with "@container": "@list" which causes all values of a property using such a term to be considered ordered.
EXAMPLE 113: Using Arrays for Lists

Given the following expanded document:

```json
[
    {
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/nick": [{
            "@list": [
                {
                    "@value": "joe"},
                {
                    "@value": "bob"},
                {
                    "@value": "jaybee"}
            ]
        }
    }
]
```

And the following context:

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "nick": {
            "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/nick",
            "@container": "@list"
        }
    }
}
```

The compacted version eliminates the explicit list object.

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "nick": {
            "@id": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/nick",
            "@container": "@list"
        }
    },
    "nick": [ "joe", "bob", "jaybee" ]
}
```

§ 5.2.4 Reversing Node Relationships

This section is non-normative.

In some cases, the property used to relate two nodes may be better expressed if the nodes have a reverse direction, for example, when describing a
relationship between two people and a common parent. See § 4.7 Reverse Properties for more details.
EXAMPLE 114: Reversing Node Relationships

Given the following expanded document:

```
{
  "@id": "http://example.org/#homer",
  "http://example.com/vocab#name": [{"@value": "Homer"}],
  "@reverse": {
    "http://example.com/vocab#parent": [{
      "@id": "http://example.org/#bart",
      "http://example.com/vocab#name": [{"@value": "Bart"}]
    }, {
      "@id": "http://example.org/#lisa",
      "http://example.com/vocab#name": [{"@value": "Lisa"}]
    }]
  }
}
```

And the following context:

```
{
  "@context": {
    "name": "http://example.com/vocab#name",
    "children": { "@reverse": "http://example.com/vocab#parent" }
  }
}
```

The compacted version eliminates the `@reverse` property by describing "children" as the reverse of "parent".

```
{
  "@context": {
    "name": "http://example.com/vocab#name",
    "children": { "@reverse": "http://example.com/vocab#parent" }
  },
  "@id": "#homer",
  "name": "Homer",
  "children": [
    { "@id": "#bart", "name": "Bart"},
    { "@id": "#lisa", "name": "Lisa"}
  ]
}
```

Reverse properties can be even more useful when combined with framing.
which can actually make node objects defined at the top-level of a document to become embedded nodes. JSON-LD provides a means to index such values, by defining an appropriate @container definition within a term definition.

§ 5.2.5 Indexing Values

This section is non-normative.

Properties with multiple values are typically represented using an unordered array. This means that an application working on an internalized representation of that JSON would need to iterate through the values of the array to find a value matching a particular pattern, such as a language-tagged string using the language en.
EXAMPLE 115: Indexing language-tagged strings

Given the following expanded document:

```json
[
  {
    "@id": "http://example.com/queen",
    "http://example.com/vocab/label": [
      {
        "@value": "The Queen", "@language": "en"},
      {
        "@value": "Die Königin", "@language": "de"},
      {
        "@value": "Ihre Majestät", "@language": "de"
      }
    ]
  }
]
```

And the following context:

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
    "label": {
      "@id": "vocab:label",
      "@container": "@language"
    }
  }
}
```

The compacted version uses a dictionary value for "label", with the keys representing the language tag and the values are the strings associated with the relevant language tag.

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
    "label": {
      "@id": "vocab:label",
      "@container": "@language"
    }
  },
  "@id": "http://example.com/queen",
  "label": {
    "en": "The Queen",
    "de": [ "Die Königin", "Ihre Majestät" ]
  }
}
```
Data can be indexed on a number of different keys, including @id, @type, @language, @index and more. See § 4.6 Indexed Values and § 4.8 Named Graphs for more details.

§ 5.2.6 Normalizing Values as Objects

This section is non-normative.

Sometimes it's useful to compact a document, but keep the node object and value object representations. For this, a term definition can set "@type": "@none". This causes the Value Compaction algorithm to always use the object form of values, although components of that value may be compacted.
EXAMPLE 116: Forcing Object Values

Given the following expanded document:

```json
[
    {
        "http://example.com/notype": [
            {
                "@value": "string"},
            {
                "@value": true},
            {
                "@value": false},
            {
                "@value": 1},
            {
                "@value": 10.0},
            {
                "@value": "plain"},
            {
                "@value": "false", "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#false"},
            {
                "@value": "english", @language": "en"},
            {
                "@value": "2018-02-17", "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date"},
            {
                "@id": "http://example.com/iri"}
        ]
    }
]
```

And the following context:

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@version": 1.1,
        "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
        "notype": {
            "@id": "http://example.com/notype",                  "@type": "@none"
        }
    }
}
```

The compacted version will use string values for the defined terms when the values match the term definition. Also, the other native values, 1 and true, can be represented without defining a specific type mapping.
This section is non-normative.

Generally, when compacting, properties having only one value are represented as strings or dictionaries, while properties having multiple values are represented as an array of strings or dictionaries. This means that applications accessing such properties need to be prepared to accept either representation. To force all values to be represented using an array, a term definition can set "@container": "@set". Moreover, @set can be used in combination with other container settings, for example looking at our language-map example from § 5.2.5 Indexing Values:

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "@version": 1.1,
        "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
        "notype": {"@id": "http://example.com/notype", "@type": "@none"}
    },
    "notype": [
        {"@value": "string"},
        {"@value": true},
        {"@value": false},
        {"@value": 1},
        {"@value": 10.0},
        {"@value": "plain"},
        {"@value": "false", @type": "xsd:boolean"},
        {"@value": "english", @language": "en"},
        {"@value": "2018-02-17", @type": "xsd:date"},
        {"@id": "http://example.com/iri"}
    ]
}
```
EXAMPLE 117: Indexing language-tagged strings and @set

Given the following expanded document:

```
[{
   "@id": "http://example.com/queen",
   "http://example.com/vocab/label": [
   {"@value": "The Queen", "@language": "en"},
   {"@value": "Die Königin", "@language": "de"},
   {"@value": "Ihre Majestät", "@language": "de"}
   ]
}
]
```

And the following context:

```
{
   "@context": {
   "@version": 1.1,
   "@vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
   "label": {
   "@container": ["@language", "@set"]
   }
   }
}
```

The compacted version uses a **dictionary** value for "label" as before, and the values are the relevant **strings** but always represented using an **array**.

```
{
   "@context": {
   "@version": 1.1,
   "@vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
   "label": {
   "@container": ["@language", "@set"]
   }
   },
   "@id": "http://example.com/queen",
   "label": {
   "en": ["The Queen"],
   "de": [ "Die Königin", "Ihre Majestät" ]
   }
}
```
§ 5.2.8 Term Selection

This section is non-normative.

When compacting, the Compaction algorithm will compact using a term for a property only when the values of that property match the @container, @type, and @language specifications for that term definition. This can actually split values between different properties, all of which have the same IRI. In case there is no matching term definition, the compaction algorithm will compact using the absolute IRI of the property.
EXAMPLE 118: Term Selection

Given the following expanded document:

```json
[
  {
    "http://example.com/vocab/property": [
      {"@value": "string"},
      {"@value": true},
      {"@value": 1},
      {"@value": "false", "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#boolean"},
      {"@value": "10", "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#integer"},
      {"@value": "english", "@language": "en"},
      {"@value": "2018-02-17", "@type": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#date"},
      {"@id": "http://example.com/some-location"}
    ]
  }
]
```

And the following context:

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
    "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
    "integer": {"@id": "vocab:property", "@type": "xsd:integer"},
    "date": {"@id": "vocab:property", "@type": "xsd:date"},
    "english": {"@id": "vocab:property", "@language": "en"},
    "list": {"@id": "vocab:property", "@container": "@list"},
    "iri": {"@id": "vocab:property", "@type": "@id"}
  }
}
```

Note that the values that match the "integer", "english", "date", and "iri" terms are properly matched, and that everything that does not explicitly match is added to a property created using a compact IRI.
This section is non-normative.

The JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API] defines a method for **flattening** a JSON-LD document. **Flattening** collects all properties of a node in a single dictionary and labels all blank nodes with blank node identifiers. This ensures a shape of the data and consequently may drastically simplify the code required to process JSON-LD in certain applications.

For example, assume the following JSON-LD input document:

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "vocab": "http://example.com/vocab/",
        "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
        "integer": {
            "@id": "vocab:property",
            "@type": "xsd:integer"
        },
        "date": {
            "@id": "vocab:property",
            "@type": "xsd:date"
        },
        "english": {
            "@id": "vocab:property",
            "@language": "en"
        },
        "list": {
            "@id": "vocab:property",
            "@container": "@list"
        },
        "iri": {
            "@id": "vocab:property",
            "@type": "@id"
        }
    },
    "vocab:property": [
        "string", true, 1,
        {
            "@value": "false",
            "@type": "xsd:boolean"
        }
    ],
    "integer": "10",
    "english": "english",
    "date": "2018-02-17",
    "iri": "http://example.com/some-location"
}
```
EXAMPLE 119: Sample JSON-LD document to be flattened

```
{
   "@context": {
      "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
      "knows": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/knows"
   },
   "@id": "http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/",
   "name": "Markus Lanthaler",
   "knows": [  
      {  
         "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
         "name": "Manu Sporny"
      },  
      {  
         "name": "Dave Longley"
      }
   ]
}
```

Running the JSON-LD Flattening algorithm against the JSON-LD input document in the example above and using the same context would result in the following output:
JSON-LD's media type defines a profile parameter which can be used to signal or request **flattened document form**. The profile URI identifying flattened document form is http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#flattened. It can be combined with the profile URI identifying expanded document form or compacted document form.

§ 5.4 Framed Document Form

This section is non-normative.

The JSON-LD 1.1 Framing specification [JSON-LD11-FRAMING] defines a method for framing a JSON-LD document. **Framing** is used to shape the data in a JSON-LD document, using an example frame document which is used to both match the flattened data and show an example of how the resulting data should be shaped.

For example, assume the following JSON-LD frame:

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
    "knows": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/knows"
  },
  "@graph": [{
    "@id": "http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/",
    "name": "Markus Lanthaler",
    "knows": [
      { "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu" },
      { "@id": "_:b0" }
    ]
  }, {
    "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
    "name": "Manu Sporny"
  }, {
    "@id": "_:b0",
    "name": "Dave Longley"
  }]
}
```
This **frame** document describes an embedding structure that would place objects with type *Library* at the top, with objects of type *Book* that were linked to the library object using the *contains* property embedded as property values. It also places objects of type *Chapter* within the referencing *Book* object as embedded values of the *Book* object.

When using a flattened set of objects that match the frame components:
The Frame Algorithm can create a new document which follows the structure of the frame:

```json
EXAMPLE 122: Flattened library objects

{
    "@context": {
        "@vocab": "http://example.org/",
        "contains": {"@type": "@id"}
    },
    "@graph": [{
        "@id": "http://example.org/library",
        "@type": "Library",
        "contains": "http://example.org/library/the-republic"
    }, {
        "@id": "http://example.org/library/the-republic",
        "@type": "Book",
        "creator": "Plato",
        "title": "The Republic",
        "contains": "http://example.org/library/the-republic#introduction"
    }, {
        "@id": "http://example.org/library/the-republic#introduction",
        "@type": "Chapter",
        "description": "An introductory chapter on The Republic.",
        "title": "The Introduction"
    }]
}
```

The Frame Algorithm can create a new document which follows the structure of the frame:
EXAMPLE 123: Framed library objects

Open in playground

```
{
    "@context": {
        "@version": 1.1,
        "@vocab": "http://example.org/
    },
    "@id": "http://example.org/library",
    "@type": "Library",
    "contains": {
        "@id": "http://example.org/library/the-republic",
        "@type": "Book",
        "contains": {
            "@id": "http://example.org/library/the-republic#introduction",
            "@type": "Chapter",
            "description": "An introductory chapter on The Republic."
        },
        "creator": "Plato",
        "title": "The Republic"
    }
}
```

**JSON-LD’s media type** defines a **profile** parameter which can be used to signal or request **framed document form**. The profile URI identifying **framed document form** is `http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#framed`.

**JSON-LD’s media type** also defines a **profile** parameter which can be used to identify a **script element** in an HTML document containing a frame. The first **script element** of type `application/ld+json;profile=http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#frame` will be used to find a **frame**. This is similar to the mechanism described for retrieving contexts from HTML documents as described in § 7.4 **Using an HTML document as a Context**.

§ 6. Interpreting JSON as JSON-LD

Ordinary JSON documents can be interpreted as JSON-LD by providing an explicit **JSON-LD context** document. One way to provide this is by using referencing a **JSON-LD context** document in an **HTTP Link Header**. Doing so allows JSON to be unambiguously machine-readable without requiring developers to drastically change their documents and provides an upgrade
path for existing infrastructure without breaking existing clients that rely on the application/json media type or a media type with a +json suffix as defined in [RFC6839].

In order to use an external context with an ordinary JSON document, when retrieving an ordinary JSON document via HTTP, processors MUST attempt to retrieve any JSON-LD document referenced by a Link Header with:

- rel="http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#context", and
- type="application/ld+json".

The referenced document MUST have a top-level JSON object. The @context member within that object is added to the top-level JSON object of the referencing document. If an array is at the top-level of the referencing document and its items are JSON objects, the @context subtree is added to all array items. All extra information located outside of the @context subtree in the referenced document MUST be discarded. Effectively this means that the active context is initialized with the referenced external context. A response MUST NOT contain more than one HTTP Link Header [RFC8288] using the http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#context link relation.

Other mechanisms for providing a JSON-LD Context MAY be described for other URI schemes.

The JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API] provides for an expandContext option for specifying a context to use when expanding JSON documents programatically.

The following example demonstrates the use of an external context with an ordinary JSON document over HTTP:
Please note that JSON-LD documents served with the application/ld+json media type MUST have all context information, including references to external contexts, within the body of the document. Contexts linked via a http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#context HTTP Link Header MUST be ignored for such documents.

EXAMPLE 124: Referencing a JSON-LD context from a JSON document via an HTTP Link Header

GET /ordinary-json-document.json HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/ld+json,application/json,*/*;q=0.1

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
...
Content-Type: application/json
Link: <https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.jsonld>; rel="http://www.w3.org.

{
   "name": "Markus Lanthaler",
   "homepage": "http://www.markus-lanthaler.com/",
   "image": "http://twitter.com/account/profile_image/markuslanthaler"
}

§ 7. Embedding JSON-LD in HTML Documents

NOTE
This section describes features available to a full Processor.

JSON-LD content can be easily embedded in HTML [HTML] by placing it in a script element with the type attribute set to application/ld+json. Doing so creates a data block.
## EXAMPLE 125: Embedding JSON-LD in HTML

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```html
<script type="application/ld+json">
{
  "@context": "https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.jsonld",
  "@id": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/John_Lennon",
  "name": "John Lennon",
  "born": "1940-10-09",
  "spouse": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Cynthia_Lennon"
}
</script>
```

Defining how such data may be used is beyond the scope of this specification. The embedded JSON-LD document might be extracted as is or, e.g., be interpreted as RDF.

If JSON-LD content is extracted as RDF [RDF11-CONCEPTS], it MUST be expanded into an RDF Dataset using the Deserialize JSON-LD to RDF Algorithm [JSON-LD11-API]. Unless a specific script is targeted (see § 7.3 Locating a Specific JSON-LD Script Element), all script elements with type application/ld+json MUST be processed and merged into a single dataset with equivalent blank node identifiers contained in separate script elements treated as if they were in a single document (i.e., blank nodes are shared between different JSON-LD script elements).
EXAMPLE 126: Combining multiple JSON-LD script elements into a single dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```html
<p>Data describing Dave</p>
<script type="application/ld+json">
{
  "@context": "http://schema.org/",
  "@id": "https://digitalbazaar.com/author/dlongley/",
  "@type": "Person",
  "name": "Dave Longley"
}
</script>

<p>Data describing Gregg</p>
<script type="application/ld+json">
{
  "@context": "http://schema.org/",
  "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",
  "@type": "Person",
  "name": "Gregg Kellogg"
}
</script>
```

§ 7.1 Inheriting base IRI from HTML's base element

When processing a JSON-LD script element, the Document Base URL of the containing HTML document, as defined in [HTML], is used to establish the default base IRI of the enclosed JSON-LD content.
HTML allows for **Dynamic changes to base URLs**. This specification does not require any specific behavior, and to ensure that all systems process the base IRI equivalently, authors SHOULD either use absolute IRIs, or explicitly as defined in § 4.1.3 Base IRI. Implementations (particularly those natively operating in the [DOM]) MAY take into consideration Dynamic changes to base URLs.

**EXAMPLE 127**: Using the document base URL to establish the default base IRI

```html
<html>
  <head>
    <base href="http://dbpedia.org/resource/">
    <script type="application/ld+json">
      {
        "@context": "https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.jsonld",
        "@id": "John_Lennon",
        "name": "John Lennon",
        "born": "1940-10-09",
        "spouse": "Cynthia_Lennon"
      }
    </script>
  </head>
</html>
```

§ 7.2 Restrictions for contents of JSON-LD script elements

This section is non-normative.

Due to the HTML Restrictions for contents of `<script>` elements additional encoding restrictions are placed on JSON-LD data contained in script elements.

Authors should avoid using character sequences in scripts embedded in HTML which may be confused with a `comment-open`, `script-open`, `comment-close`, or `script-close`.
NOTE
Such content should be escaped as indicated below, however the content will remain escaped after processing through the JSON-LD API [JSON-LD11-API].

- `&` → `&` (ampersand, U+0026)
- `&lt;` → `<` (less-than sign, U+003C)
- `&gt;` → `>` (greater-than sign, U+003E)
- `&quot;` → `"` (quotation mark, U+0022)
- `&apos;` → `'` (apostrophe, U+0027)

EXAMPLE 128: Embedding JSON-LD containing HTML in HTML

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original</th>
<th>Expanded</th>
<th>Turtle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

```html
<script type="application/ld+json">
{
  "@context": "http://schema.org/",
  "@type": "WebPageElement",
  "name": "Encoding Issues",
  "description": "Issues list such as unescaped &lt;/script&gt; or --&"
}
</script>
```

§ 7.3 Locating a Specific JSON-LD Script Element

A specific script element within an HTML document may be located using a fragment identifier matching the unique identifier of the script element within the HTML document located by a URL (see [DOM]). A JSON-LD processor MUST extract only the specified data block's contents parsing it as a standalone JSON-LD document and MUST NOT merge the result with any other markup from the same HTML document.

For example, given an HTML document located at `http://example.com/document`, a script element identified by "name" can be targeted using the URL `http://example.com/document#name`. 
EXAMPLE 129: Targeting a specific script element by id

Targeting a script element with id "gregg"

```html
<p>Data describing Dave</p>
<script id="dave" type="application/ld+json">
{
    "@context": "http://schema.org/",
    "@id": "https://digitalbazaar.com/author/dlongley/",
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Dave Longley"
}
</script>

<p>Data describing Gregg</p>
<script id="gregg" type="application/ld+json">
{
    "@context": "http://schema.org/",
    "@id": "https://greggkellogg.net/foaf#me",
    "@type": "Person",
    "name": "Gregg Kellogg"
}
</script>
```

§ 7.4 Using an HTML document as a Context

A JSON-LD document, whether embedded in HTML or otherwise, may reference a context document by using a string value to @context. This string is interpreted as a URL to an external document from which the context is loaded. In JSON-LD 1.1, this external document may also be HTML containing a script element with the type attribute set to application/ld+json;profile=http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#context.

A processor processing a remote context which results in an HTML document MUST locate the first script element with the type attribute set to application/ld+json;profile=http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#context, or a specific script element targeted using a fragment identifier, or the first script element of type application/ld+json if no other is found.

Including a context definition within an HTML document provides a means of documenting the context content, along with other information such as the
vocabulary definition.

For example, a context may be defined within an HTML file as follows (a subset of the Person context published at https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.html):

```
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html lang="en">
<head>
  <meta http-equiv="Content-Type" content="text/html;charset=utf-8"/>
  <title>Context definition of a person</title>
  <script type="application/ld+json;profile=http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#context">
    {
      "@context":
      {
        "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
        "schema": "http://schema.org/",
        "vcard": "http://www.w3.org/2006/vcard/ns#",
        "xsd": "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#",
        "Address": "vcard:Address",
        ...
      }
    }
  </script>
</head>
<body>
<h1>The Person context</h1>
<p>The Person context is based on a combination of <a href="http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/">foaf</a>, <a href="http://schema.org/">schema</a>, and <a href="http://www.w3.org/2006/vcard/ns#">vcard</a> vocabularies.</p>
<dl>
  <dt>foaf</dt><dd><code>http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/</code></dd>
  <dt>schema</dt><dd><code>http://schema.org/</code></dd>
  <dt>vcard</dt><dd><code>http://www.w3.org/2006/vcard/ns#</code></dd>
  <dt>xsd</dt><dd><code>http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#</code></dd>
  <dt>Address</dt><dd><code>vcard:Address</code></dd>
  ...
</dl>
</body>
</html>
```

Using a previous example, we can reference https://json-ld.org/contexts
/person.html instead of https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.jsonld and a JSON-LD processor will look for the context within the referenced HTML file.

**EXAMPLE 131: Referencing a Context in an HTML document**

```
{
  "@context": "https://json-ld.org/contexts/person.html",
  "@id": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/John_Lennon",
  "name": "John Lennon",
  "born": "1940-10-09",
  "spouse": "http://dbpedia.org/resource/Cynthia_Lennon"
}
```

In addition to using the type profile above, a context may be referenced using a fragment identifier, as described in § 7.3 Locating a Specific JSON-LD Script Element. Otherwise, the first script element of type application/ld+json will be used to find a context.

---

**§ 8. Data Model**

JSON-LD is a serialization format for Linked Data based on JSON. It is therefore important to distinguish between the syntax, which is defined by JSON in [RFC8259], and the data model which is an extension of the RDF data model [RDF11-CONCEPTS]. The precise details of how JSON-LD relates to the RDF data model are given in § 10. Relationship to RDF.

To ease understanding for developers unfamiliar with the RDF model, the following summary is provided:

- A **JSON-LD document** serializes a **RDF Dataset** [RDF11-CONCEPTS], which is a collection of **graphs** that comprises exactly one **default graph** and zero or more **named graphs**.
- The **default graph** does not have a name and **MAY** be empty.
- Each **named graph** is a pair consisting of an **IRI** or **blank node identifier** (the **graph name**) and a **graph**. Whenever practical, the **graph name** **SHOULD** be an **IRI**.
- A **graph** is a labeled directed graph, i.e., a set of **nodes** connected by **edges**.
- Every **edge** has a direction associated with it and is labeled with an **IRI** or
a **blank node identifier**. Within the JSON-LD syntax these edge labels are called **properties**. Whenever practical, an **edge** **SHOULD** be labeled with an **IRI**.

(FEATURE AT RISK) ISSUE

The use of **blank node identifiers** to label properties is obsolete, and may be removed in a future version of JSON-LD.

- Every **node** is an **IRI**, a **blank node**, or a **literal**, although syntactically **lists** and native JSON values may be represented directly.
- A **node** having an outgoing edge **MUST** be an **IRI** or a **blank node**.
- A **graph** **MUST NOT** contain unconnected **nodes**, i.e., nodes which are not connected by an **edge** to any other **node**.

**EXAMPLE 132**: Illegal Unconnected Node

```json
{
    "@id": "http://example.org/1"
}
```

**NOTE**

This effectively just prohibits unnested, empty **node objects** and unnested **node objects** that contain only an **@id**. A document may have **nodes** which are unrelated, as long as one or more properties are defined, or the **node** is referenced from another **node object**.

- An **IRI** (Internationalized Resource Identifier) is a string that conforms to the syntax defined in [RFC3987]. **IRIs** used within a **graph** **SHOULD** return a Linked Data document describing the resource denoted by that **IRI** when being dereferenced.
- A **blank node** is a **node** which is neither an **IRI**, nor a **JSON-LD value**, nor a **list**. A blank node is identified using a **blank node identifier**.
- A **blank node identifier** is a string that can be used as an identifier for a **blank node** within the scope of a **JSON-LD document**. Blank node identifiers begin with `_:`.
- A **JSON-LD value** is a **typed value**, a **string** (which is interpreted as a **typed value** with type `xsd:string`), a **number** (numbers with a non-zero fractional part, i.e., the result of a modulo-1 operation, or which are too large to represent as integers (see **Data Round Tripping**) in [JSON-LD11-
API)), are interpreted as typed values with type xsd:double, all other numbers are interpreted as typed values with type xsd:integer), true or false (which are interpreted as typed values with type xsd:boolean), or a language-tagged string.

- A typed value consists of a value, which is a string, and a type, which is an IRI.
- A language-tagged string consists of a string and a non-empty language tag as defined by [BCP47]. The language tag MUST be well-formed according to section 2.2.9 Classes of Conformance of [BCP47].
- A list is a sequence of zero or more IRIs, blank nodes, and JSON-LD values. Lists are interpreted as RDF list structures [RDF11-MT].

JSON-LD documents MAY contain data that cannot be represented by the data model defined above. Unless otherwise specified, such data is ignored when a JSON-LD document is being processed. One result of this rule is that properties which are not mapped to an IRI, a blank node, or keyword will be ignored.

Additionally, the JSON serialization format is internally represented using the JSON-LD internal representation, which uses the generic concepts of arrays, dictionaries, strings, numbers, booleans, and null to describe the data represented by a JSON document.

![Figure 1 An illustration of a linked data dataset.](image_url)

A description of the linked data dataset diagram is available in the Appendix. Image available in SVG and PNG formats.

The dataset described in this figure can be represented as follows:
### EXAMPLE 133: Linked Data Dataset

| Compacted | Expanded | Statements | TriG |

```json
{
   "@context": [
      "http://schema.org/",
      {
         "@base": "http://example.com/"
      }
   ],
   "@graph": [{
      "@id": "people/alice",
      "gender": [
         {"@value": "weiblich", "@language": "de"},
         {"@value": "female",   "@language": "en"}
      ],
      "knows": {"@id": "people/bob"},
      "name": "Alice"
   }, {
      "@id": "graphs/1",
      "@graph": {
         "@id": "people/alice",
         "parent": {
            "@id": "people/bob",
            "name": "Bob"
         }
      }
   }, {
      "@id": "graphs/2",
      "@graph": {
         "@id": "people/bob",
         "sibling": {
            "name": "Mary",
            "sibling": {"@id": "people/bob"}
         }
      }
   }]
}
```

### NOTE

Note the use of `@graph` at the outer-most level to describe three top-level resources (two of them named graphs). The named graphs use `@graph` in addition to `@id` to provide the name for each graph.
§ 9. JSON-LD Grammar

This section restates the syntactic conventions described in the previous sections more formally.

A JSON-LD document MUST be valid JSON text as described in [RFC8259], or some format that can be represented in the JSON-LD internal representation that is equivalent to valid JSON text.

A JSON-LD document MUST be a single node object, a dictionary consisting of only the members @context and/or @graph, or an array of zero or more node objects.

In contrast to JSON, in JSON-LD the keys in objects MUST be unique.

Whenever a keyword is discussed in this grammar, the statements also apply to an alias for that keyword.

NOTE

JSON-LD allows keywords to be aliased (see § 4.1.5 Aliasing Keywords for details). For example, if the active context defines the term id as an alias for @id, that alias may be legitimately used as a substitution for @id. Note that keyword aliases are not expanded during context processing.

§ 9.1 Terms

A term is a short-hand string that expands to an IRI or a blank node identifier.

A term MUST NOT equal any of the JSON-LD keywords.

When used as the prefix in a Compact IRI, to avoid the potential ambiguity of a prefix being confused with an IRI scheme, terms MUST NOT come from the list of URI schemes as defined in [IANA-URI-SCHEMES]. Similarly, to avoid confusion between a Compact IRI and a term, terms SHOULD NOT include a colon (:) and SHOULD be restricted to the form of isegment-nz-nc as defined in [RFC3987].

To avoid forward-compatibility issues, a term SHOULD NOT start with an @ character as future versions of JSON-LD may introduce additional keywords. Furthermore, the term MUST NOT be an empty string ("") as not all programming languages are able to handle empty JSON keys.
See § 3.1 The Context and § 3.2 IRIs for further discussion on mapping terms to IRIs.

§ 9.2 Node Objects

A node object represents zero or more properties of a node in the graph serialized by the JSON-LD document. A dictionary is a node object if it exists outside of a JSON-LD context and:

- it is not the top-most dictionary in the JSON-LD document consisting of no other members than @graph and @context,
- it does not contain the @value, @list, or @set keywords, and
- it is not a graph object.

The properties of a node in a graph may be spread among different node objects within a document. When that happens, the keys of the different node objects need to be merged to create the properties of the resulting node.

A node object MUST be a dictionary. All keys which are not IRIs, compact IRIs, terms valid in the active context, or one of the following keywords (or alias of such a keyword) MUST be ignored when processed:

- @context,
- @id,
- @graph,
- @nest,
- @type,
- @reverse, or
- @index

If the node object contains the @context key, its value MUST be null, an absolute IRI, a relative IRI, a context definition, or an array composed of any of these.

If the node object contains the @id key, its value MUST be an absolute IRI, a relative IRI, or a compact IRI (including blank node identifiers). See § 3.3 Node Identifiers, § 4.1.4 Compact IRIs, and § 4.5.1 Identifying Blank Nodes for further discussion on @id values.

If the node object contains the @graph key, its value MUST be a node object or
an array of zero or more node objects. If the node object also contains an @id keyword, its value is used as the graph name of a named graph. See § 4.8 Named Graphs for further discussion on @graph values. As a special case, if a dictionary contains no keys other than @graph and @context, and the dictionary is the root of the JSON-LD document, the dictionary is not treated as a node object; this is used as a way of defining node objects that may not form a connected graph. This allows a context to be defined which is shared by all of the constituent node objects.

If the node object contains the @type key, its value MUST be either an absolute IRI, a relative IRI, a compact IRI (including blank node identifiers), a term defined in the active context expanding into an absolute IRI, or an array of any of these. See § 3.5 Specifying the Type for further discussion on @type values.

If the node object contains the @reverse key, its value MUST be a dictionary containing members representing reverse properties. Each value of such a reverse property MUST be an absolute IRI, a relative IRI, a compact IRI, a blank node identifier, a node object or an array containing a combination of these.

If the node object contains the @index key, its value MUST be a string. See § 4.6.1 Data Indexing for further discussion on @index values.

If the node object contains the @nest key, its value MUST be a dictionary or an array of dictionaries which MUST NOT include a value object. See § 9.13 Property Nesting for further discussion on @nest values.

Keys in a node object that are not keywords MAY expand to an absolute IRI using the active context. The values associated with keys that expand to an absolute IRI MUST be one of the following:

- string,
- number,
- true,
- false,
- null,
- node object,
- graph object,
- value object,
- list object,
• set object,
• an array of zero or more of any of the possibilities above,
• a language map,
• an index map,
• an id map, or
• a type map

§ 9.3 Frame Objects

When framing, a frame object extends a node object to allow members used specifically for framing.

• A frame object MAY include a default object as the value of any key which is not a keyword. Values of default MAY include the value @null, or an array containing only @null, in addition to other values allowed in the grammar for values of member keys expanding to absolute IRIs.

• The values of @id and @type MAY additionally be an empty dictionary (wildcard), an array containing only an empty dictionary, an empty array (match none) an array of IRIs.

• A frame object MAY include a member with the key @embed with any value from @always, @list, and @never.

• A frame object MAY include members with the boolean valued keys @explicit, @omitDefault, or @requireAll

• In addition to other property values, a property of a frame object MAY include a value pattern (See § 9.6 Value Patterns).

See [JSON-LD11-FRAMING] for a description of how frame objects are used.

§ 9.4 Graph Objects

A graph object represents a named graph, which MAY include an explicit graph name. A dictionary is a graph object if it exists outside of a JSON-LD context, it contains an @graph member (or an alias of that keyword), it is not the top-most dictionary in the JSON-LD document, and it consists of no members other than @graph, @index, @id and @context, or an alias of one of these keywords.

If the graph object contains the @context key, its value MUST be null, an
absolute IRI, a relative IRI, a context definition, or an array composed of any of these.

If the graph object contains the @id key, its value is used as the identifier (graph name) of a named graph, and MUST be an absolute IRI, a relative IRI, or a compact IRI (including blank node identifiers). See § 3.3 Node Identifiers, § 4.1.4 Compact IRIs, and § 4.5.1 Identifying Blank Nodes for further discussion on @id values.

A graph object without an @id member is also a simple graph object and represents a named graph without an explicit identifier, although in the data model it still has a graph name, which is an implicitly allocated blank node identifier.

The value of the @graph key MUST be a node object or an array of zero or more node objects. See § 4.8 Named Graphs for further discussion on @graph values.

§ 9.5 Value Objects

A value object is used to explicitly associate a type or a language with a value to create a typed value or a language-tagged string.

A value object MUST be a dictionary containing the @value key. It MAY also contain an @type, an @language, an @index, or an @context key but MUST NOT contain both an @type and an @language key at the same time. A value object MUST NOT contain any other keys that expand to an absolute IRI or keyword.

The value associated with the @value key MUST be either a string, a number, true, false or null. If the value associated with the @type key is @json, the value MAY be either an array or an object.

The value associated with the @type key MUST be a term, a compact IRI, an absolute IRI, a string which can be turned into an absolute IRI using the vocabulary mapping, @json, or null.

The value associated with the @language key MUST have the lexical form described in [BCP47], or be null.

The value associated with the @index key MUST be a string.

See § 4.2.1 Typed Values and § 4.2.4 String Internationalization for more information on value objects.
§ 9.6 Value Patterns

When framing, a value pattern extends a value object to allow members used specifically for framing.

- The values of @value, @language and @type MAY additionally be an empty dictionary (wildcard), an array containing only an empty dictionary, an empty array (match none) an array of strings.

§ 9.7 Lists and Sets

A list represents an ordered set of values. A set represents an unordered set of values. Unless otherwise specified, arrays are unordered in JSON-LD. As such, the @set keyword, when used in the body of a JSON-LD document, represents just syntactic sugar which is optimized away when processing the document. However, it is very helpful when used within the context of a document. Values of terms associated with an @set or @list container will always be represented in the form of an array when a document is processed—even if there is just a single value that would otherwise be optimized to a non-array form in compacted document form. This simplifies post-processing of the data as the data is always in a deterministic form.

A list object MUST be a dictionary that contains no keys that expand to an absolute IRI or keyword other than @list and @index.

A set object MUST be a dictionary that contains no keys that expand to an absolute IRI or keyword other than @set and @index. Please note that the @index key will be ignored when being processed.

In both cases, the value associated with the keys @list and @set MUST be one of the following types:

- string,
- number,
- true,
- false,
- null,
- node object,
- value object, or
- an array of zero or more of the above possibilities.
See § 4.3 Value Ordering for further discussion on sets and lists.

§ 9.8 Language Maps

A language map is used to associate a language with a value in a way that allows easy programmatic access. A language map may be used as a term value within a node object if the term is defined with @container set to @language, or an array containing both @language and @set. The keys of a language map MUST be strings representing [BCP47] language codes, the keyword @none, or a term which expands to @none, and the values MUST be any of the following types:

- null,
- string, or
- an array of zero or more of the strings

See § 4.2.4 String Internationalization for further discussion on language maps.

§ 9.9 Index Maps

An index map allows keys that have no semantic meaning, but should be preserved regardless, to be used in JSON-LD documents. An index map may be used as a term value within a node object if the term is defined with @container set to @index, or an array containing both @index and @set. The values of the members of an index map MUST be one of the following types:

- string,
- number,
- true,
- false,
- null,
- node object,
- value object,
- list object,
- set object,
- an array of zero or more of the above possibilities
See § 4.6.1 Data Indexing for further information on this topic.

Index Maps may also be used to map indexes to associated named graphs, if the term is defined with @container set to an array containing both @graph and @index, and optionally including @set. The value consists of the node objects contained within the named graph which is indexed using the referencing key, which can be represented as a simple graph object if the value does not include @id, or a named graph if it includes @id.

§ 9.10 Property-based Index Maps

A property-based index map is a variant of index map were indexes are semantically preserved in the graph as property values. A property-based index map may be used as a term value within a node object if the term is defined with @container set to @index, or an array containing both @index and @set, and with @index set to a string. The values of a property-based index map MUST be node objects or strings which expand to node objects.

When expanding, if the active context contains a term definition for the value of @index, this term definition will be used to expand the keys of the index map. Otherwise, the keys will be expanded as simple value objects. Each node object in the expanded values of the index map will be added an additional property value, where the property is the expanded value of @index, and the value is the expanded referencing key.

See § 4.6.1.1 Property-based data indexing for further information on this topic.

§ 9.11 Id Maps

An id map is used to associate an IRI with a value that allows easy programmatic access. An id map may be used as a term value within a node object if the term is defined with @container set to @id, or an array containing both @id and @set. The keys of an id map MUST be IRIs (relative IRI, compact IRI (including blank node identifiers), or absolute IRI), the keyword @none, or a term which expands to @none, and the values MUST be node objects.

If the value contains a property expanding to @id, it's value MUST be equivalent to the referencing key. Otherwise, the property from the value is used as the @id of the node object value when expanding.

Id Maps may also be used to map graph names to their named graphs, if the
term is defined with @container set to an array containing both @graph and @id, and optionally including @set. The value consists of the node objects contained within the named graph which is named using the referencing key.

§ 9.12 Type Maps

A type map is used to associate an IRI with a value that allows easy programmatic access. A type map may be used as a term value within a node object if the term is defined with @container set to @type, or an array containing both @type and @set. The keys of a type map MUST be IRIs (relative IRI, compact IRI (including blank node identifiers), or absolute IRI), terms, or the keyword @none, and the values MUST be node objects or strings which expand to node objects.

If the value contains a property expanding to @type, and it's value is contains the referencing key after suitable expansion of both the referencing key and the value, then the node object already contains the type. Otherwise, the property from the value is added as a @type of the node object value when expanding.

§ 9.13 Property Nesting

A nested property is used to gather properties of a node object in a separate dictionary, or array of dictionaries which are not value objects. It is semantically transparent and is removed during the process of expansion. Property nesting is recursive, and collections of nested properties may contain further nesting.

Semantically, nesting is treated as if the properties and values were declared directly within the containing node object.

§ 9.14 Context Definitions

A context definition defines a local context in a node object.

A context definition MUST be a dictionary whose keys MUST be either terms, compact IRIs, absolute IRIs, or one of the keywords @language, @base, @type, @vocab, or @version.

If the context definition has an @language key, its value MUST have the lexical form described in [BCP47] or be null.
If the context definition has an `@base` key, its value MUST be an absolute IRI, a relative IRI, or null.

If the context definition has an `@type` key, its value MUST be a dictionary with the single member `@container` set to `@set`.

If the context definition has an `@vocab` key, its value MUST be a absolute IRI, a compact IRI, a blank node identifier, a relative IRI, a term, or null.

If the context definition has an `@version` key, its value MUST be a number with the value 1.1.

The value of keys that are not keywords MUST be either an absolute IRI, a compact IRI, a term, a blank node identifier, a keyword, null, or an expanded term definition.

An expanded term definition is used to describe the mapping between a term and its expanded identifier, as well as other properties of the value associated with the term when it is used as key in a node object.

An expanded term definition MUST be a dictionary composed of zero or more keys from `@id`, `@reverse`, `@type`, `@language`, `@context`, `@prefix`, or `@container`. An expanded term definition SHOULD NOT contain any other keys.

If the term being defined is not a compact IRI or absolute IRI and the active context does not have an `@vocab` mapping, the expanded term definition MUST include the `@id` key.

Term definitions with keys which are of the form of a compact IRI or absolute IRI MUST NOT expand to an IRI other than the expansion of the key itself.

If the expanded term definition contains the `@id` keyword, its value MUST be null, an absolute IRI, a blank node identifier, a compact IRI, a term, or a keyword.

If an expanded term definition has an `@reverse` member, it MUST NOT have `@id` or `@nest` members at the same time, its value MUST be an absolute IRI, a blank node identifier, a compact IRI, or a term. If an `@container` member exists, its value MUST be null, @set, or @index.

If the expanded term definition contains the `@type` keyword, its value MUST be an absolute IRI, a compact IRI, a term, null, or one of the keywords `@id`, `@json`, `@none`, or `@vocab`.

If the expanded term definition contains the `@language` keyword, its value
MUST have the lexical form described in [BCP47] or be null.

If the expanded term definition contains the @container keyword, its value MUST be either @list, @set, @language, @index, @id, @graph, @type, or be null or an array containing exactly any one of those keywords, or a combination of @set and any of @index, @id, @graph, @type, @language in any order. @container may also be an array containing @graph along with either @id or @index and also optionally including @set. If the value is @language, when the term is used outside of the @context, the associated value MUST be a language map. If the value is @index, when the term is used outside of the @context, the associated value MUST be an index map.

If an expanded term definition has an @context member, it MUST be a valid context definition.

If the expanded term definition contains the @nest keyword, its value MUST be either @nest, or a term which expands to @nest.

If the expanded term definition contains the @prefix keyword, its value MUST be true or false.

Terms MUST NOT be used in a circular manner. That is, the definition of a term cannot depend on the definition of another term if that other term also depends on the first term.

See § 3.1 The Context for further discussion on contexts.

§ 9.15 Keywords

JSON-LD keywords are described in § 1.7 Syntax Tokens and Keywords, this section describes where each keyword may appear within different JSON-LD structures.

@base
The @base keyword MUST NOT be aliased, and MAY be used as a key in a context definition. Its value MUST be an absolute IRI, a relative IRI, or null.

@container
The @container keyword MUST NOT be aliased, and MAY be used as a key in an expanded term definition. Its value MUST be either @list, @set, @language, @index, @id, @graph, @type, or be null, or an array containing exactly any one of those keywords, or a combination of @set and any of @index, @id, @graph, @type, @language in any order. The value may also be
an array containing \texttt{@graph} along with either \texttt{@id} or \texttt{@index} and also optionally including \texttt{@set}.

\textbf{@context}

The \texttt{@context} keyword \textit{MUST NOT} be aliased, and \textit{MAY} be used as a key in the following objects:

- \texttt{node objects} (see § 9.2 Node Objects),
- \texttt{value objects} (see § 9.5 Value Objects),
- \texttt{graph objects} (see § 9.4 Graph Objects),
- \texttt{list objects} (see § 9.7 Lists and Sets),
- \texttt{set objects} (see § 9.7 Lists and Sets),
- \texttt{nested properties} (see § 9.13 Property Nesting), and
- \texttt{expanded term definitions} (see § 9.14 Context Definitions).

The value of \texttt{@context} \textit{MUST} be \texttt{null}, an \texttt{absolute IRI}, a \texttt{relative IRI}, a \texttt{context definition}, or an \texttt{array} composed of any of these.

\textbf{@id}

The \texttt{@id} keyword \textit{MAY} be aliased and \textit{MAY} be used as a key in a \texttt{node object} or a \texttt{graph object}. The unaliased \texttt{@id} \textit{MAY} be used as a key in an \texttt{expanded term definition}, or as the value of the \texttt{@container} key within an \texttt{expanded term definition}. The value of the \texttt{@id} key \textit{MUST} be an \texttt{absolute IRI}, a \texttt{relative IRI}, or a \texttt{compact IRI} (including \texttt{blank node identifiers}). See § 3.3 Node Identifiers, § 4.1.4 Compact IRIs, and § 4.5.1 Identifying Blank Nodes for further discussion on \texttt{@id} values.

\textbf{@index}

The \texttt{@index} keyword \textit{MAY} be aliased and \textit{MAY} be used as a key in a \texttt{node object}, \texttt{value object}, \texttt{graph object}, \texttt{set object}, or \texttt{list object}. The unaliased \texttt{@index} \textit{MAY} be used as the value of the \texttt{@container} key within an \texttt{expanded term definition}. Its value \textit{MUST} be a \texttt{string}. See § 9.9 Index Maps for a further discussion.

\textbf{@language}

The \texttt{@language} keyword \textit{MAY} be aliased and \textit{MAY} be used as a key in a \texttt{value object}. The unaliased \texttt{@language} \textit{MAY} be used as a key in a \texttt{context definition}, or as the value of the \texttt{@container} key within an \texttt{expanded term definition}. Its value \textit{MUST} be a \texttt{string} with the \textit{lexical form} described in [BCP47] or be \texttt{null}. See § 9.9 Index Maps for a further discussion.

\textbf{@list}

The \texttt{@list} keyword \textit{MAY} be aliased and \textit{MUST} be used as a key in a \texttt{list object}. The unaliased \texttt{@list} \textit{MAY} be used as the value of the \texttt{@container} key within an \texttt{expanded term definition}. Its value \textit{MUST} be one of the
following:

- string,
- number,
- true,
- false,
- null,
- node object,
- value object, or
- an array of zero or more of the above possibilities

See § 4.3 Value Ordering for further discussion on sets and lists.

@nest

The @nest keyword MAY be aliased and MAY be used as a key in a node object. The unaliased @nest MAY be used as the value of a simple term definition, or as a key in an expanded term definition. When used in a node object, its value must be a dictionary. When used in an expanded term definition, its value MUST be a term expanding to @nest. Its value MUST be a string. See § 9.13 Property Nesting for a further discussion.

@none

The @none keyword MAY be aliased and MAY be used as a key in an index map, id map, language map, type map. See § 4.6.1 Data Indexing, § 4.6.2 Language Indexing, § 4.6.3 Node Identifier Indexing, § 4.6.4 Node Type Indexing, § 4.8.3 Named Graph Indexing, or § 4.8.2 Named Graph Data Indexing for a further discussion.

@prefix

The @prefix keyword MUST NOT be aliased, and MAY be used as a key in an expanded term definition. Its value MUST be true or false. See § 4.1.4 Compact IRIs and § 9.14 Context Definitions for a further discussion.

@reverse

The @reverse keyword MAY be aliased and MAY be used as a key in a node object. The unaliased @reverse MAY be used as a key in an expanded term definition. The value of the @reverse key MUST be an absolute IRI, a relative IRI, or a compact IRI (including blank node identifiers). See § 4.7 Reverse Properties and § 9.14 Context Definitions for further discussion.

@set

The @set keyword MAY be aliased and MUST be used as a key in a set object. The unaliased @set MAY be used as the value of the @container key within an expanded term definition. Its value MUST be one of the
following:

- `string`,
- `number`,
- `true`,
- `false`,
- `null`,
- `node object`,
- `value object`, or
- an `array` of zero or more of the above possibilities

See § 4.3 Value Ordering for further discussion on sets and lists.

@type

The `@type` keyword MAY be aliased and MAY be used as a key in a `node object` or a `value object`. The unaliased `@type` MAY be used as a key in an expanded term definition, or as the value of the `@container` key within an expanded term definition. The value of the `@type` key MUST be a term, absolute IRI, a relative IRI, or a compact IRI (including blank node identifiers). Within an expanded term definition, its value may also be either `@id` or `@vocab`. This keyword is described further in § 3.5 Specifying the Type and § 4.2.1 Typed Values.

@value

The `@value` keyword MAY be aliased and MUST be used as a key in a `value object`. Its value key MUST be either a string, a number, true, false or null. This keyword is described further in § 9.5 Value Objects.

@version

The `@version` keyword MUST NOT be aliased and MAY be used as a key in a context definition. Its value MUST be a number with the value 1.1. This keyword is described further in § 9.14 Context Definitions.

@vocab

The `@vocab` keyword MUST NOT be aliased and MAY be used as a key in a context definition or as the value of `@type` in an expanded term definition. Its value MUST be a absolute IRI, a relative IRI, a compact IRI, a blank node identifier, an empty string (""), a term, or null. This keyword is described further in § 9.14 Context Definitions, and § 4.1.2 Default Vocabulary.

§ 10. Relationship to RDF
JSON-LD is a **concrete RDF syntax** as described in [RDF11-CONCEPTS]. Hence, a JSON-LD document is both an RDF document and a JSON document and correspondingly represents an instance of an RDF data model. However, JSON-LD also extends the RDF data model to optionally allow JSON-LD to serialize **generalized RDF Datasets**. The JSON-LD extensions to the RDF data model are:

- In JSON-LD **properties** can be **IRIs** or **blank nodes** whereas in RDF properties (predicates) have to be **IRIs**. This means that JSON-LD serializes **generalized RDF Datasets**.

- In JSON-LD **lists** use native JSON syntax, either contained in a list object, or described as such within a context. Consequently, developers using the JSON representation can access list elements directly rather than using the vocabulary for collections described in [RDF-SCHEMA].

- RDF values are either typed **literals** (**typed values**) or **language-tagged strings** whereas JSON-LD also supports JSON's native data types, i.e., **number**, **strings**, and the boolean values **true** and **false**. The JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API] defines the **conversion rules** between JSON's native data types and RDF's counterparts to allow round-tripping.

(FEATURE AT RISK) ISSUE

The use of **blank node identifiers** to label properties is obsolete, and may be removed in a future version of JSON-LD, as is the support for **generalized RDF Datasets**.

Summarized, these differences mean that JSON-LD is capable of serializing any RDF **graph** or **dataset** and most, but not all, JSON-LD documents can be directly interpreted as RDF as described in RDF 1.1 Concepts [RDF11-CONCEPTS].

Authors are strongly encouraged to avoid labeling properties using **blank node identifiers**, instead, consider one of the following mechanisms:

- a **relative IRI**, either relative to the document or the vocabulary (see § 4.1.2.1 Using the Document Base for the Default Vocabulary for a discussion on using the document base as part of the vocabulary mapping).
- a URN such as urn:example:1, see [URN], or
- a "Skolem IRI" as per Replacing Blank Nodes with IRIs of [RDF11-
The normative algorithms for interpreting JSON-LD as RDF and serializing RDF as JSON-LD are specified in the JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API].

Even though JSON-LD serializes RDF Datasets, it can also be used as a RDF graph source. In that case, a consumer MUST only use the default graph and ignore all named graphs. This allows servers to expose data in languages such as Turtle and JSON-LD using content negotiation.

### NOTE

Publishers supporting both dataset and graph syntaxes have to ensure that the primary data is stored in the default graph to enable consumers that do not support datasets to process the information.

---

§ 10.1 Serializing/Deserializing RDF

This section is non-normative.

The process of serializing RDF as JSON-LD and deserializing JSON-LD to RDF depends on executing the algorithms defined in RDF Serialization-Deserialization Algorithms in the JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API]. It is beyond the scope of this document to detail these algorithms any further, but a summary of the necessary operations is provided to illustrate the process.

The procedure to deserialize a JSON-LD document to RDF involves the following steps:

1. Expand the JSON-LD document, removing any context; this ensures that properties, types, and values are given their full representation as IRIs and expanded values. Expansion is discussed further in § 5.1 Expanded Document Form.

2. Flatten the document, which turns the document into an array of node objects. Flattening is discussed further in § 5.3 Flattened Document Form.

3. Turn each node object into a series of RDF triples.

For example, consider the following JSON-LD document in compact form:
EXAMPLE 134: Sample JSON-LD document

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "name": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name",
        "knows": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/knows"
    },
    "@id": "http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/",
    "name": "Markus Lanthaler",
    "knows": [
        {
            "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
            "name": "Manu Sporny"
        },
        {
            "name": "Dave Longley"
        }
    ]
}
```

Running the JSON-LD **Expansion** and **Flattening** algorithms against the JSON-LD input document in the example above would result in the following output:

EXAMPLE 135: Flattened and expanded form for the previous example

```json
[
    {
        "@id": "_:b0",
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name": "Dave Longley"
    },
    {
        "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name": "Manu Sporny"
    },
    {
        "@id": "http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/",
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/name": "Markus Lanthaler",
        "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/knows": [
            { "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu" },
            { "@id": "_:b0" }
        ]
    }
]
```

Deserializing this to RDF now is a straightforward process of turning each
node object into one or more RDF triples. This can be expressed in Turtle as follows:

**EXAMPLE 136:** Turtle representation of expanded/flattened document

```turtle
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .
_:b0 foaf:name "Dave Longley" .
<http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu> foaf:name "Manu Sporny" .
<http://me.markus-lanthaler.com/> foaf:name "Markus Lanthaler" ;
  foaf:knows <http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu>, _:b0 .
```

The process of serializing RDF as JSON-LD can be thought of as the inverse of this last step, creating an expanded JSON-LD document closely matching the triples from RDF, using a single node object for all triples having a common subject, and a single property for those triples also having a common predicate. The result may then be framed by using the Framing Algorithm described in [JSON-LD11-FRAMING] to create the desired object embedding.

§ 10.2 The rdf:JSON Datatype

RDF provides for JSON content as a possible literal value. This allows markup in literal values. Such content is indicated in an RDF graph using a literal whose datatype is set to rdf:JSON.

The rdf:JSON datatype is defined as follows:

**The IRI denoting this datatype**

is http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#JSON.

**The lexical space**

is the set of UNICODE [UNICODE] strings which conform to the JSON Grammar as described in Section 2 JSON Grammar of [RFC8259].

**The value space**

is the union of the four primitive types (strings, numbers, booleans, and null) and two structured types (objects and arrays) from [ECMASCRIPT]. Two JSON values $A$ and $B$ are considered equal if and only if the following is true:

1. If $A$ and $B$ are both objects, both $A$ and $B$ have the same number of
members, and each member in A is equal to a corresponding member in B where

- the keys are equal (as defined in Section 7.2.12, step 5.a in [ECMASCRIPT]), and
  - the values are considered equal through applying this comparison recursively.

2. Otherwise, if A and B are both arrays, both A and B have the same number of elements, and each element $A_i$ is considered equal to the corresponding element $B_i$ through applying this comparison recursively.

3. Otherwise, if A and B satisfy the Strict Equality Comparison defined in Section 7.2.15 in [ECMASCRIPT].

4. Otherwise, A and B are not equal.

The lexical-to-value mapping

is the result of parsing the lexical representation into an internal representation consistent with [ECMASCRIPT] representation created by using the JSON.parse function as defined in Section 24.5 The JSON Object of [ECMASCRIPT].

The canonical mapping

is non-normative, as a normative recommendation for JSON canonicalization is not yet defined. Implementations SHOULD use the following guidelines when creating the lexical representation of a JSON literal:

- Serialize JSON using no unnecessary whitespace,
- Keys in objects SHOULD be ordered lexicographically,
- Native Numeric values SHOULD be serialized according to Section 7.1.12.1 of [ECMASCRIPT],
- Strings SHOULD be serialized with Unicode codepoints from \u0000 through \u001F using lowercase hexadecimal Unicode notation (\uhhhh) unless in the set of predefined JSON control characters \u0008, \u0009, \u000A, \u000C or \u000D which SHOULD be serialized as \b, \t, \n, \f and \r respectively. All other Unicode characters SHOULD be serialized "as is", other than \u005C (\) and \u0022 (") which SHOULD be serialized as \ and " respectively.
ISSUE
The JSON Canonicalization Scheme [JCS] is an emerging standard for JSON canonicalization not yet ready to be referenced. When a JSON canonicalization standard becomes available, this specification will likely be updated to require such a canonical representation. Users are cautioned from depending on the JSON literal lexical representation as an RDF literal, as the specifics of serialization may change in a future revision of this document.

§ 11. Security Considerations

See, Security Considerations in § C. IANA Considerations.

NOTE
Future versions of this specification may incorporate subresource integrity [SRI] as a means of ensuring that cached and retrieved content matches data retrieved from remote servers; see issue 86.

§ 12. Privacy Considerations

The retrieval of external contexts can expose the operation of a JSON-LD processor, allow intermediate nodes to fingerprint the client application through introspection of retrieved resources (see [fingerprinting-guidance]), and provide an opportunity for a man-in-the-middle attack. To protect against this, publishers should consider caching remote contexts for future use, or use the documentLoader to maintain a local version of such contexts.

§ 13. Internationalization Considerations

As JSON-LD uses the RDF data model, it is restricted by design in its ability to properly record JSON-LD Values which are strings with left-to-right or right-to-left direction indicators. Both JSON-LD and RDF provide a mechanism for specifying the language associated with a string (language-tagged string), but do not provide a means of indicating the base direction of the string.

Unicode provides a mechanism for signaling direction within a string (see
Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm [UAX9]), however, when a string has an overall base direction which cannot be determined by the beginning of the string, an external indicator is required, such as the [HTML] dir attribute, which currently has no counterpart for RDF literals.

The issue of properly representing text direction in RDF is not something that this Working Group can handle, as it is a limitation or the core RDF data model. This Working Group expects that a future RDF Working Group will consider the matter and add the ability to specify the text direction of language-tagged strings.

Until a more comprehensive solution can be addressed in a future version of this specification, publishers should consider this issue when representing strings where the text direction of the string cannot otherwise be correctly inferred based on the content of the string. See [string-meta] for a discussion best practices for identifying language and base direction for strings used on the Web.

§ A. Image Descriptions

This section is non-normative.

§ A.1 Linked Data Dataset

§ Description of the Linked Data Dataset figure in § 8. Data Model

The image consists of three dashed boxes, each describing a different linked data graph. Each box consists of shapes linked with arrows describing the linked data relationships.

The first box is titled "default graph: <no name>" describes two resources: http://example.com/people/alice and http://example.com/people/bob (denoting "Alice" and "Bob" respectively), which are connected by an arrow labeled schema:knows which describes the knows relationship between the two resources. Additionally, the "Alice" resource is related to three different literals:

Alice
an RDF literal with no datatype or language.
weiblich | de
an language-tagged string with the value "weiblich" and language tag "de".

female | en
an language-tagged string with the value "female" and language tag "en".

The second and third boxes describe two named graphs, with the graph names "http://example.com/graphs/1" and "http://example.com/graphs/1", respectively.

The second box consists of two resources: http://example.com/people/alice and http://example.com/people/bob related by the schema:parent relationship, and names the http://example.com/people/bob "Bob".

The third box consists of two resources, one named http://example.com/people/bob and the other unnamed. The two resources related to each other using schema:sibling relationship with the second named "Mary".

§ B. Relationship to Other Linked Data Formats

This section is non-normative.

The JSON-LD examples below demonstrate how JSON-LD can be used to express semantic data marked up in other linked data formats such as Turtle, RDFa, and Microdata. These sections are merely provided as evidence that JSON-LD is very flexible in what it can express across different Linked Data approaches.

§ B.1 Turtle

This section is non-normative.

The following are examples of transforming RDF expressed in [Turtle] into JSON-LD.

§ B.1.1 Prefix definitions

The JSON-LD context has direct equivalents for the Turtle @prefix declaration:
EXAMPLE 137: A set of statements serialized in Turtle

@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .

<http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu> a foaf:Person;
  foaf:name "Manu Sporny";

EXAMPLE 138: The same set of statements serialized in JSON-LD

{ "@context": {
  "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/
  
  "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
  "@type": "foaf:Person",
  "foaf:name": "Manu Sporny",
  "foaf:homepage": { "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/" }
}

§ B.1.2 Embedding

Both [Turtle] and JSON-LD allow embedding, although [Turtle] only allows embedding of blank nodes.

EXAMPLE 139: Embedding in Turtle

@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .

<http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu>
  a foaf:Person;
  foaf:name "Manu Sporny";
  foaf:knows [ a foaf:Person; foaf:name "Gregg Kellogg" ] .
In JSON-LD numbers and boolean values are native data types. While Turtle has a shorthand syntax to express such values, RDF's abstract syntax requires that numbers and boolean values are represented as typed literals. Thus, to allow full round-tripping, the JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API] defines conversion rules between JSON-LD's native data types and RDF's counterparts. Numbers without fractions are converted to xsd:integer-typed literals, numbers with fractions to xsd:double-typed literals and the two boolean values true and false to a xsd:boolean-typed literal. All typed literals are in canonical lexical form.

**EXAMPLE 140:** Same embedding example in JSON-LD

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/
  },
  "@id": "http://manu.sporny.org/about#manu",
  "@type": "foaf:Person",
  "foaf:name": "Manu Sporny",
  "foaf:knows": {
    "@type": "foaf:Person",
    "foaf:name": "Gregg Kellogg"
  }
}
```

**§ B.1.3 Conversion of native data types**

In JSON-LD numbers and boolean values are native data types. While [Turtle] has a shorthand syntax to express such values, RDF's abstract syntax requires that numbers and boolean values are represented as typed literals. Thus, to allow full round-tripping, the JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API] defines conversion rules between JSON-LD's native data types and RDF's counterparts. Numbers without fractions are converted to xsd:integer-typed literals, numbers with fractions to xsd:double-typed literals and the two boolean values true and false to a xsd:boolean-typed literal. All typed literals are in canonical lexical form.

**EXAMPLE 141:** JSON-LD using native data types for numbers and boolean values

```json
{
  "@context": {
    "ex": "http://example.com/vocab#"
  },
  "@id": "http://example.com/",
  "ex:numbers": [ 14, 2.78 ],
  "ex:booleans": [ true, false ]
}
```
EXAMPLE 142: Same example in Turtle using typed literals

```turtle
@prefix ex: <http://example.com/vocab#> .
@prefix xsd: <http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#> .

<http://example.com/>
  ex:numbers "14"^^xsd:integer, "2.78E0"^^xsd:double ;
  ex:booleans "true"^^xsd:boolean, "false"^^xsd:boolean .
```

§ B.1.4 Lists

Both JSON-LD and [Turtle] can represent sequential lists of values.

EXAMPLE 143: A list of values in Turtle

```turtle
@prefix foaf: <http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/> .

<http://example.org/people#joebob> a foaf:Person;
  foaf:name "Joe Bob";
  foaf:nick ( "joe" "bob" "jaybee" ) .
```

EXAMPLE 144: Same example with a list of values in JSON-LD

```
{
  "@context": {
    "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/"
  },
  "@id": "http://example.org/people#joebob",
  "@type": "foaf:Person",
  "foaf:name": "Joe Bob",
  "foaf:nick": {
    "@list": [ "joe", "bob", "jaybee" ]
  }
}
```

§ B.2 RDFa

This section is non-normative.
The following example describes three people with their respective names and homepages in RDFa [RDFA-CORE].

### EXAMPLE 145: RDFa fragment that describes three people

```html
<div prefix="foaf: http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/">
  <ul>
    <li typeof="foaf:Person">
      <a property="foaf:homepage" href="http://example.com/bob/">
        <span property="foaf:name">Bob</span>
      </a>
    </li>
    <li typeof="foaf:Person">
      <a property="foaf:homepage" href="http://example.com/eve/">
        <span property="foaf:name">Eve</span>
      </a>
    </li>
    <li typeof="foaf:Person">
      <a property="foaf:homepage" href="http://example.com/manu/">
        <span property="foaf:name">Manu</span>
      </a>
    </li>
  </ul>
</div>
```

An example JSON-LD implementation using a single context is described below.
EXAMPLE 146: Same description in JSON-LD (context shared among node objects)

```json
{
    "@context": {
        "foaf": "http://xmlns.com/foaf/0.1/",
        "foaf:homepage": {"@type": "@id"}
    },
    "@graph": [
        {
            "@type": "foaf:Person",
            "foaf:homepage": "http://example.com/bob/",
            "foaf:name": "Bob"
        },
        {
            "@type": "foaf:Person",
            "foaf:homepage": "http://example.com/eve/",
            "foaf:name": "Eve"
        },
        {
            "@type": "foaf:Person",
            "foaf:homepage": "http://example.com/manu/",
            "foaf:name": "Manu"
        }
    ]
}
```

§ B.3 Microdata

This section is non-normative.

The HTML Microdata [MICRODATA] example below expresses book information as a Microdata Work item.
EXAMPLE 147: HTML that describes a book using microdata

```html
<dl itemscope
    itemtype="http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#Work"
    itemid="http://purl.oreilly.com/works/45U8QJGZSQKDH8N">
    <dt>Title</dt>
    <dd><cite itemprop="http://purl.org/dc/terms/title">Just a Geek</cite></dd>
    <dt>By</dt>
    <dd><span itemprop="http://purl.org/dc/terms/creator">Wil Wheaton</span></dd>
    <dt>Format</dt>
    <dd itemprop="http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#realization"
        itemscope
        itemtype="http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#Expression"
        itemid="http://purl.oreilly.com/products/9780596007683.BOOK">
        print>
    </dd>
    <dd itemprop="http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#realization"
        itemscope
        itemtype="http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#Expression"
        itemid="http://purl.oreilly.com/products/9780596802189.EBOOK">
        ebook>
    </dd>
</dl>
```

Note that the JSON-LD representation of the Microdata information stays true to the desires of the Microdata community to avoid contexts and instead refer to items by their full IRI.
EXAMPLE 148: Same book description in JSON-LD (avoiding contexts)

```json
[
  {
    "@id": "http://purl.oreilly.com/works/45U8QJGZSQKDH8N",
    "@type": "http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#Work",
    "http://purl.org/dc/terms/title": "Just a Geek",
    "http://purl.org/dc/terms/creator": "Wil Wheaton",
    "http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#realization": [
      {
        "@id": "http://purl.oreilly.com/products/9780596007683.BOOK",
        "@type": "http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#Expression",
        "http://purl.org/dc/terms/type": {
          "@id": "http://purl.oreilly.com/product-types/BOOK"
        }
      },
      {
        "@id": "http://purl.oreilly.com/products/9780596802189.EBOOK",
        "@type": "http://purl.org/vocab/frbr/core#Expression",
        "http://purl.org/dc/terms/type": {
          "@id": "http://purl.oreilly.com/product-types/EBOOK"
        }
      }
    ]
  }
]
```

§ C. IANA Considerations

This section has been submitted to the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG) for review, approval, and registration with IANA.

§ application/ld+json

**Type name:**  
application

**Subtype name:**  
ld+json

**Required parameters:**  
None

**Optional parameters:**  
profile

A non-empty list of space-separated URIs identifying specific
constraints or conventions that apply to a JSON-LD document according to [RFC6906]. A profile does not change the semantics of the resource representation when processed without profile knowledge, so that clients both with and without knowledge of a profiled resource can safely use the same representation. The profile parameter MAY be used by clients to express their preferences in the content negotiation process. If the profile parameter is given, a server SHOULD return a document that honors the profiles in the list which are recognized by the server. It is RECOMMENDED that profile URIs are dereferenceable and provide useful documentation at that URI. For more information and background please refer to [RFC6906].

This specification defines six values for the profile parameter.

http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#expanded
To request or specify expanded JSON-LD document form.

http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#compacted
To request or specify compacted JSON-LD document form.

http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#context
To request or specify a JSON-LD context document.

http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#flattened
To request or specify flattened JSON-LD document form.

http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#frame
To request or specify a JSON-LD frame document.

http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#framed
To request or specify framed JSON-LD document form.

NOTE

Other specifications may publish additional profile parameter URIs with their own defined semantics.

When used as a media type parameter [RFC4288] in an HTTP Accept header [RFC7231], the value of the profile parameter MUST be enclosed in quotes (") if it contains special characters such as whitespace, which is required when multiple profile URIs are combined.

When processing the "profile" media type parameter, it is important to note that its value contains one or more URIs and not IRIs. In some cases it might therefore be necessary to convert between IRIs and URIs as specified in section 3 Relationship between IRIs and URIs of...
[RFC3987].

**Encoding considerations:**
See [RFC 8259, section 11](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/).

**Security considerations:**
See [RFC 8259, section 12](https://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld11/) [RFC8259]

Since JSON-LD is intended to be a pure data exchange format for directed graphs, the serialization *SHOULD NOT* be passed through a code execution mechanism such as JavaScript's `eval()` function to be parsed. An (invalid) document may contain code that, when executed, could lead to unexpected side effects compromising the security of a system.

When processing JSON-LD documents, links to remote contexts and frames are typically followed automatically, resulting in the transfer of files without the explicit request of the user for each one. If remote contexts are served by third parties, it may allow them to gather usage patterns or similar information leading to privacy concerns. Specific implementations, such as the API defined in the JSON-LD 1.1 Processing Algorithms and API specification [JSON-LD11-API], may provide fine-grained mechanisms to control this behavior.

JSON-LD contexts that are loaded from the Web over non-secure connections, such as HTTP, run the risk of being altered by an attacker such that they may modify the JSON-LD active context in a way that could compromise security. It is advised that any application that depends on a remote context for mission critical purposes vet and cache the remote context before allowing the system to use it.

Given that JSON-LD allows the substitution of long IRIs with short terms, JSON-LD documents may expand considerably when processed and, in the worst case, the resulting data might consume all of the recipient's resources. Applications should treat any data with due skepticism.

As JSON-LD places no limits on the IRI schemes that may be used, and vocabulary-relative IRIs use string concatenation rather than IRI resolution, it is possible to construct IRIs that may be used maliciously, if dereferenced.

**Interoperability considerations:**
Not Applicable

**Published specification:**
http://www.w3.org/TR/json-ld
Applications that use this media type:
Any programming environment that requires the exchange of directed graphs. Implementations of JSON-LD have been created for JavaScript, Python, Ruby, PHP, and C++.

Additional information:
Magic number(s):
Not Applicable

File extension(s):
.jsonld

Macintosh file type code(s):
TEXT

Person & email address to contact for further information:
Ivan Herman <ivan@w3.org>

Intended usage:
Common

Restrictions on usage:
None

Author(s):
Manu Sporny, Dave Longley, Gregg Kellogg, Markus Lanthaler, Niklas Lindström

Change controller:
W3C

Fragment identifiers used with application/ld+json are treated as in RDF syntaxes, as per RDF 1.1 Concepts and Abstract Syntax [RDF11-CONCEPTS].

§ C.1 Examples

This section is non-normative.

The following examples illustrate different ways in which the profile parameter may be used to describe different acceptable responses.

EXAMPLE 149: HTTP Request with profile requesting an expanded document

GET /ordinary-json-document.json HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/ld+json;profile=http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#expan
Requests the server to return the requested resource as JSON-LD in expanded document form.

**EXAMPLE 150:** HTTP Request with profile requesting a compacted document

```
GET /ordinary-json-document.json HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/ld+json;profile=http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#compacted
```

Requests the server to return the requested resource as JSON-LD in compacted document form. As no explicit context resource is specified, the server compacts using an application-specific default context.

**EXAMPLE 151:** HTTP Request with profile requesting a compacted document with a reference to a compaction context

```
GET /ordinary-json-document.json HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/ld+json;profile="http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#flattened http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#compacted"
```

Requests the server to return the requested resource as JSON-LD in both compacted document form and flattened document form. Note that as whitespace is used to separate the two URIs, they are enclosed in double quotes (").

§ D. Open Issues

*This section is non-normative.*

The following is a list of issues open at the time of publication.

**ISSUE 9: Content addressable contexts** spec:enhancement spec:substantive

Provide a means for referring to a remote context without requiring it to be downloaded.
ISSUE 19: Indexing without a predicate

Consider a mechanism such as Microdata's @itemref for including objects within another referencing node.

ISSUE 86: Can SRI be used in JSON-LD and for which use cases?

Can SRI be used in JSON-LD and for which use cases?

ISSUE 108: Consider context by reference with metadata

Consider context by reference with metadata.

ISSUE 134: Does HTML's `<base>` effect `@context` IRI resolution?

Does HTML's `<base>` effect `@context` IRI resolution?

ISSUE 149: DocumentLoader should be more visible in the specs

DocumentLoader should be more visible in the specs.

ISSUE 155: IRIs are terms can be misdefined

IRIs are terms can be misdefined.

§ E. Changes since 1.0 Recommendation of 16 January 2014

This section is non-normative.

- A context may contain a @version member which is used to set the
An expanded term definition can now have an @context property, which defines a context used for values of a property identified with such a term.

@container values within an expanded term definition may now include @id, @graph and @type, corresponding to id maps and type maps.

An expanded term definition can now have an @nest property, which identifies a term expanding to @nest which is used for containing properties using the same @nest mapping. When expanding, the values of a property expanding to @nest are treated as if they were contained within the enclosing node object directly.

The JSON syntax has been abstracted into an internal representation to allow for other serializations that are functionally equivalent to JSON.

Added § 4.6.3 Node Identifier Indexing and § 4.6.4 Node Type Indexing.

Both language maps and index maps may legitimately have an @none key, but JSON-LD 1.0 only allowed string keys. This has been updated to allow @none keys.

The value for @container in an expanded term definition can also be an array containing any appropriate container keyword along with @set (other than @list). This allows a way to ensure that such property values will always be expressed in array form.

In JSON-LD 1.1, terms will be chosen as compact IRI prefixes when compacting only if a simple term definition is used where the value ends with a URI gen-delim character, or if their expanded term definition contains a @prefix member with the value true. The 1.0 algorithm has been updated to only consider terms that map to a value that ends with a URI gen-delim character.

Values of properties where the associated term definition has @container set to @graph are interpreted as implicitly named graphs, where the associated graph name is assigned from a new blank node identifier. Other combinations include ["@container", "@id"], ["@container", "@index"] each also may include "@set", which create maps from the graph identifier or index value similar to index maps and id maps.

Additionally, see § F. Changes since JSON-LD Community Group Final Report.

§ F. Changes since JSON-LD Community Group Final Report
This section is non-normative.

- **Lists** may now have items which are themselves lists.
- Values of @type, or an alias of @type, may now have their @container set to @set to ensure that @type members are always represented as an array. This also allows a term to be defined for @type, where the value **MUST** be a dictionary with @container set to @set.
- The use of blank node identifiers to label properties is obsolete, and may be removed in a future version of JSON-LD, as is the support for generalized RDF Datasets.
- The vocabulary mapping can be a relative IRI, which is evaluated either against an existing default vocabulary, or against the document base. This allows vocabulary-relative IRIs, such as the keys of node objects, are expanded or compacted relative to the document base. (See Security Considerations in § C. IANA Considerations for a discussion on how string vocabulary-relative IRI resolution via concatenation.)
- Added support for "@type": "@none" in a term definition to prevent value compaction. Define the rdf:JSON datatype.
- Term definitions with keys which are of the form of a compact IRI or absolute IRI **MUST NOT** expand to an IRI other than the expansion of the key itself.
- Define different processor modes: **pure JSON Processor**, event-based JSON processor, and **full Processor**.
- For a full Processor, if a retrieved context URL returns an HTML document, the first script element of type application/ld+json;profile=http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#context, or application/ld+json is used as the context for further processing. This allows a mechanism for documenting the content of a context using HTML.
- A frame may also be located within an HTML document, identified using type application/ld+json;profile=http://www.w3.org/ns/json-ld#frame.
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