Ruminococcal cellulosomes: molecular Lego to deconstruct microcrystalline cellulose in human gut

Gurvan Michel

► To cite this version:

Gurvan Michel. Ruminococcal cellulosomes: molecular Lego to deconstruct microcrystalline cellulose in human gut. *Environmental Microbiology*, 2015, 17 (9), pp.3113-3115. 10.1111/1462-2920.12920 . hal-02137960

HAL Id: hal-02137960

<https://hal.science/hal-02137960>

Submitted on 23 May 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Highlights on: Ben David et al (2015) Ruminococcal cellulosome systems from rumen to human. Environmental Microbiology

Ruminococcal cellulosomes: molecular Lego to deconstruct microcrystalline cellulose in human gut

Gurvan Michel

Sorbonne Université, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS, UMR 8227, Integrative Biology of Marine Models, Station Biologique de Roscoff, CS 90074, F-29688, Roscoff cedex, Bretagne, France

For correspondence: Station Biologique de Roscoff, Place Georges Teissier, 29688, Roscoff, Bretagne, France. Tel.: 33-298-29-23-30; Fax: 33-298-29-23-24; E-mail: gurvan.michel@sb-roscoff.fr.

1 Plants (and also algae in East Asian cuisine) constitute the bases of human diet. These
2 photosynthetic organisms are characterized by their abundance in diverse polysaccharides,
3 which constitute their cell walls and carbon storage (Popper et al., 2011). Paradoxically,
4 human ability to digest complex carbohydrates is very limited and restricted to the
5 assimilation of some disaccharides (e.g. lactose, sucrose) and starch. These catabolic
6 limitations are fortunately compensated by the capacities of symbiotic gastrointestinal
7 microbes to degrade most dietary polysaccharides (El Kaoutari et al., 2013). Human gut
8 microbiota is usually dominated by the Firmicutes and the Bacteroidetes form the second
9 most abundant bacterial group (Ley et al., 2008). Nonetheless, the mechanisms of
10 polysaccharide assimilation have been mainly studied in gut Bacteroidetes. From the seminal
11 works of Salyers and coworkers on the starch utilization system (Sus) of *Bacteroides*
12 *thethaiootaomicron* (Anderson and Salyers, 1989; Cho and Salyers, 2001) has emerged the
13 more general notion of Polysaccharide Utilization Loci (PUL). These gene clusters encode
14 most proteins needed for the uptake and degradation of a specific polysaccharide and notably
15 include the crucial *susC*-like (TonB-dependent transporter) and *susD*-like (an associated
16 carbohydrate-binding lipoprotein) genes (Koropatkin et al., 2012). In the last years several
17 PULs with new substrate specificity have been characterized in *Bacteroidetes* such as: plant
18 fructans (Sonnenburg et al., 2010), porphyrans from red algae (Hehemann et al., 2010),
19 alginates from brown algae (Thomas et al., 2012), plant xyloglucans (Larsbrink et al., 2014)
20 and yeast α -mannans (Cuskin et al., 2015). The frequency of these PULs in the gut
21 microbiomes tends to correlate with the prevalence of their respective substrate in diet: from
22 culturally-restricted PULs in the case of porphyrans and alginates to largely distributed PULs
23 for mannan and xyloglucan (Cuskin et al., 2015).

24 If a polysaccharide could be considered as omnipresent in human diet, it would without
25 doubt be cellulose, which is conserved from algae to flowering plants (Popper et al., 2011).

1 However cellulose-degrading bacteria in human gut remain relatively unexplored. Cellulolytic
2 bacteria are present in human gut but their community structure varies with the presence of
3 methanogen archaea. In non-methane-excreting individuals the cellulolytic community is
4 dominated by Bacteroidetes species. In methane-excreting individuals the main cellulose-
5 degrading bacteria are represented by Firmicutes (*Ruminococcus* and *Enterococcus* species)
6 (Robert and Bernalier-Donadille, 2003; Chassard et al., 2010). The rationale behind this
7 dichotomy is that cellulose fermentation by the Firmicutes isolates releases large amount of
8 H₂ which is consumed by methanogens, while the cellulolytic *Bacteroides* strains do not
9 produce H₂. This interplay between H₂-producing and H₂-consuming microbes seems
10 essential for an efficient fermentation of cellulose (Robert et al., 2001; Chassard et al., 2010).
11 In terms of cellulose degradation other points are also essential. The *Bacteroides* isolates,
12 including the representative species *B. cellulosilyticus* strain CRE21^(T) (Robert et al., 2007),
13 are able to degrade native cellulose but not the purified microcrystalline fraction, indicating
14 that these microorganisms can efficiently degrade only amorphous cellulose. In contrast, the
15 Firmicutes isolates are able to assimilate all types of cellulose, including microcrystalline
16 fibers (Chassard et al., 2010). One of these strains defines a new *Ruminococcus* species, *R.*
17 *champanellensis* (Chassard et al., 2012). So for once, the key carbohydrate degraders in
18 human gut are not to be found among the Bacteroidetes, but among the Firmicutes! How to
19 explain such an efficiency difference between cellulolytic Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes?

20 In this issue of Environmental Microbiology Ben David and coworkers give an
21 exciting answer to this question. An in-depth bioinformatic analysis of *R. champanellensis*
22 genome has revealed 20 putative cohesin modules (belonging to 11 scaffoldin proteins, from
23 ScaA to ScaK) and 64 putative dockerin modules, suggesting the existence of cellulosomes in
24 the human gut ecosystem. Cellulosomes are protein complexes including non-catalytic core-
25 proteins (scaffoldin) which recruit multiple cellulolytic enzymes through a unique molecular

1 interaction between two protein modules referred to as cohesin and dockerin (Smith and
2 Bayer, 2013). In the basic structure of a cellulosome, the type-I dockerins of the modular
3 (hemi)cellulolytic enzymes bind the type-I cohesins of the scaffoldins (Figure 1). Type-II
4 dockerins are localized in the C-terminal region of the scaffoldins and attach the cellulosome
5 to the bacterial cell surface through a specific interaction with the type-II cohesin of
6 anchoring proteins which are themselves covalently bound to the peptidoglycan. The first
7 cellulosome was discovered in the thermophilic bacterium *Clostridium thermocellum* 30 years
8 ago (Bayer et al., 1983; Lamed et al., 1983) and similar supramolecular complexes were also
9 found in the bovine rumen bacterium *Ruminococcus flavefaciens* and extensively
10 characterized (Flint et al., 2008). To demonstrate the reality of cellulosomes in human gut,
11 Ben David and coworkers have recombinantly expressed the 20 cohesins of *R.*
12 *champanellensis* and a representative selection of 24 dockerins. Using an elegant protein
13 microarray approach, they have identified 64 specific interactions among the 480 possible
14 interactions. Based on these experimental evidences, the authors have proposed and discussed
15 a model for the cellulosome complexes of *R. champanellensis*. The most surprising result is
16 the existence of two types of cellulosomes: (i) cell-attached complexes in which the scaffoldin
17 ScaE plays a crucial role, due to its C-terminal sortase signal motif and (ii) cell-free minimal
18 cellulosomes involving the scaffoldin ScaI which only includes one cohesin module. With
19 such cellulolytic complexes, *R. champanellensis* is indeed well equipped to take advantage of
20 dietary cellulose (although the exact function of the cell-free cellulosome is unclear) and to be
21 a pivotal species for the degradation of microcrystalline cellulose. This remarkable study has
22 also opened diverse biological questions. Does the degradation of cellulose fibers by *R.*
23 *champanellensis* and related Firmicutes species provide a significant source of energy to the
24 human host considering the short time of digesta retention in comparison to the bovine
25 rumen? Perhaps these Firmicutes play crucial functions more at the community level, opening

the way to other cellulolytic bacteria more efficient on amorphous cellulose (for instance *B. cellulosilyticus*?). Such a cooperation between gut *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroides* species is already known in the case of the degradation of resistant starch (Ze et al., 2012). Finally, the degradation of microcrystalline cellulose likely alters the integrity of the plant cell wall, and thus may facilitate the access of other cell wall components to bacteria specialized in the assimilation of polysaccharides less recalcitrant than cellulose.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Example of a cohesin-dockerin complex from *Clostridium thermocellum*.

Cartoon representation of the complex of the type-I dockerin domain from xylanase 10B and the type-I cohesin 2 from the scaffoldin CipA (PDB code: 1OHZ) (Carvalho et al., 2003). The dockerin and cohesin are colored in magenta and cyan, respectively. Calcium ions bound to the dockerin are represented as yellow spheres. This figure was prepared using PyMol.

References

- Anderson, K.L., and Salyers, A.A. (1989) Genetic evidence that outer membrane binding of starch is required for starch utilization by *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. *J Bacteriol* **171**: 3199-3204.
- Bayer, E.A., Kenig, R., and Lamed, R. (1983) Adherence of *Clostridium thermocellum* to cellulose. *J Bacteriol* **156**: 818-827.
- Carvalho, A.L., Dias, F.M., Prates, J.A., Nagy, T., Gilbert, H.J., Davies, G.J. et al. (2003) Cellulosome assembly revealed by the crystal structure of the cohesin-dockerin complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100**: 13809-13814.
- Chassard, C., Delmas, E., Robert, C., and Bernalier-Donadille, A. (2010) The cellulose-degrading microbial community of the human gut varies according to the presence or absence of methanogens. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **74**: 205-213.
- Chassard, C., Delmas, E., Robert, C., Lawson, P.A., and Bernalier-Donadille, A. (2012) *Ruminococcus champanellensis* sp. nov., a cellulose-degrading bacterium from human gut microbiota. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **62**: 138-143.
- Cho, K.H., and Salyers, A.A. (2001) Biochemical analysis of interactions between outer membrane proteins that contribute to starch utilization by *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron*. *J Bacteriol* **183**: 7224-7230.

- 1 Cuskin, F., Lowe, E.C., Temple, M.J., Zhu, Y., Cameron, E.A., Pudlo, N.A. et al. (2015)
2 Human gut Bacteroidetes can utilize yeast mannan through a selfish mechanism. *Nature*
3 **517**: 165-169.
- 4 El Kaoutari, A., Armougom, F., Gordon, J.I., Raoult, D., and Henrissat, B. (2013) The
5 abundance and variety of carbohydrate-active enzymes in the human gut microbiota.
6 *Nat Rev Microbiol* **11**: 497-504.
- 7 Flint, H.J., Bayer, E.A., Rincon, M.T., Lamed, R., and White, B.A. (2008) Polysaccharide
8 utilization by gut bacteria: potential for new insights from genomic analysis. *Nat Rev*
9 *Microbiol* **6**: 121-131.
- 10 Hehemann, J.H., Correc, G., Barbeyron, T., Helbert, W., Czejek, M., and Michel, G. (2010)
11 Transfer of carbohydrate-active enzymes from marine bacteria to Japanese gut
12 microbiota. *Nature* **464**: 908-912.
- 13 Koropatkin, N.M., Cameron, E.A., and Martens, E.C. (2012) How glycan metabolism shapes
14 the human gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**: 323-335.
- 15 Lamed, R., Setter, E., and Bayer, E.A. (1983) Characterization of a cellulose-binding,
16 cellulase-containing complex in *Clostridium thermocellum*. *J Bacteriol* **156**: 828-836.
- 17 Larsbrink, J., Rogers, T.E., Hemsworth, G.R., McKee, L.S., Tauzin, A.S., Spadiut, O. et al.
18 (2014) A discrete genetic locus confers xyloglucan metabolism in select human gut
19 *Bacteroidetes*. *Nature* **506**: 498-502.
- 20 Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., Hamady, M., Knight, R., and Gordon, J.I. (2008) Worlds within
21 worlds: evolution of the vertebrate gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**: 776-788.
- 22 Popper, Z.A., Michel, G., Herve, C., Domozych, D.S., Willats, W.G., Tuohy, M.G. et al.
23 (2011) Evolution and diversity of plant cell walls: from algae to flowering plants. *Annu*
24 *Rev Plant Biol* **62**: 567-590.
- 25 Robert, C., Del'Homme, C., and Bernalier-Donadille, A. (2001) Interspecies H₂ transfer in
26 cellulose degradation between fibrolytic bacteria and H₂-utilizing microorganisms from
27 the human colon. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **205**: 209-214.
- 28 Robert, C., and Bernalier-Donadille, A. (2003) The cellulolytic microflora of the human
29 colon: evidence of microcrystalline cellulose-degrading bacteria in methane-excreting
30 subjects. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **46**: 81-89.
- 31 Robert, C., Chassard, C., Lawson, P.A., and Bernalier-Donadille, A. (2007) *Bacteroides*
32 *cellulosilyticus* sp. nov., a cellulolytic bacterium from the human gut microbial
33 community. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **57**: 1516-1520.
- 34 Smith, S.P., and Bayer, E.A. (2013) Insights into cellulosome assembly and dynamics: from
35 dissection to reconstruction of the supramolecular enzyme complex. *Curr Opin Struct*
36 *Biol* **23**: 686-694.
- 37 Sonnenburg, E.D., Zheng, H., Joglekar, P., Higginbottom, S.K., Firbank, S.J., Bolam, D.N.,
38 and Sonnenburg, J.L. (2010) Specificity of polysaccharide use in intestinal bacteroides
39 species determines diet-induced microbiota alterations. *Cell* **141**: 1241-1252.
- 40 Thomas, F., Barbeyron, T., Tonon, T., Genicot, S., Czejek, M., and Michel, G. (2012)
41 Characterization of the first alginolytic operons in a marine bacterium: from their
42 emergence in marine *Flavobacteriia* to their independent transfers to marine
43 *Proteobacteria* and human gut *Bacteroides*. *Environ Microbiol* **14**: 2379-2394.
- 44 Ze, X., Duncan, S.H., Louis, P., and Flint, H.J. (2012) *Ruminococcus bromii* is a keystone
45 species for the degradation of resistant starch in the human colon. *Isme J* **6**: 1535-1543.

Figure 1

1
2