**Reduction of baseline corticosterone secretion correlates with
climate warming and drying across wild lizard populations**

Andréaz Dupoué ^{1*}, Alexis Rutschmann ², Jean François Le Galliard ^{1,3}, Jean Clobert ²,
Pauline Blaimont ⁴, Barry Sinervo ⁴, Donald B Miles ^{2,5}, Claudy Haussy ¹, Sandrine Meylan
^{1,6}

¹ Sorbonne Université, CNRS, iEES Paris, UMR 7618, 4 place Jussieu, F-75005 Paris, France

² Station d'Ecologie Théorique et Expérimentale du CNRS à Moulis, UMR 5321, 2 route du
CNRS, 09200 Saint Giron, France

³ Centre de recherche en écologie expérimentale et prédictive (CEREEP-Ecotron
IleDeFrance), Ecole normale supérieure, CNRS, PSL Research University, UMS 3194, 78 rue
du château, 77140 Saint-Pierre-lès-Nemours, France

⁴ Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1156
High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

⁵ Department of Biological Sciences, Ohio University, Athens, OH, 45701, USA

⁶ ESPE de Paris, Sorbonne Université, 10 Rue Molitor, 75016 Paris, France

*Correspondence: andreaz.dupoue@gmail.com

19 **Abstract**

- 20 1. Climate change should lead to massive loss of biodiversity in most taxa but the detailed
21 physiological mechanisms underlying population extinction remain largely elusive so far.
22 In vertebrates, baseline levels of hormones such as glucocorticoids (GCs) may be
23 indicators of population state since their secretion to chronic stress can impair survival
24 and reproduction. However, the relationship between GC secretion, climate change and
25 population extinction risk remains unclear.
- 26 2. In this study we investigated whether levels of baseline corticosterone (the main GCs in
27 reptiles) correlate with environmental conditions and associated extinction risk across
28 wild populations of the common lizard *Zootoca vivipara*.
- 29 3. First, we performed a cross-sectional comparison of baseline corticosterone levels along
30 an altitudinal gradient among 14 populations. Then, we used a longitudinal study in 8
31 populations to examine the changes in corticosterone levels following the exposure to a
32 heat wave period.
- 33 4. Unexpectedly, baseline corticosterone decreased with increasing thermal conditions at
34 rest in females, and was not correlated with extinction risk. In addition, baseline
35 corticosterone levels decreased after exposure to an extreme heat wave period. This
36 seasonal corticosterone decrease was more pronounced in populations without access to
37 standing water.
- 38 5. We suggest that low basal secretion of corticosterone may entail down-regulating activity
39 levels and limit exposure to adverse climatic conditions, especially to reduce water loss.
40 These new insights suggest that rapid population decline might be preceded by a down-
41 regulation of the corticosterone secretion.

42 **Keywords.** Altitude, corticosterone, ectotherm, population decline, temperature, water
43 availability.

44 **Introduction**

45 An increasing number of living organisms are on the verge of extinction, mostly due to
46 human-related factors such as land use, exploitation or climate change (Pereira et al., 2010;
47 Thomas et al., 2004). For instance, nearly half of all plant and animal species have already
48 faced population extinctions over the last 50 years (Wiens, 2016), and the pace of extinction is
49 greater than expected in vertebrates (Ceballos, Ehrlich, & Dirzo, 2017). This Earth "sixth
50 mass extinction crisis" has led to an intense effort to understand the liabilities of global
51 change on biodiversity loss, and the search of reliable physiological mechanisms underlying
52 population declines and of physiological determinants of extinction risk (Cooke et al., 2013;
53 Wikelski & Cooke, 2006). Indeed, physiological studies are useful because they could unravel
54 individual-level mechanisms underlying population declines and the identification of relevant
55 biomarkers of the extinction risk could ease conservation status assessment and prioritization
56 of management actions.

57 In vertebrates, hormones such as glucocorticoids (GCs) modulate daily and seasonal
58 routines, such as reproductive cycles, as well as behavioural and life history responses to cope
59 with both predictable and unpredictable events (Angelier & Wingfield, 2013; Landys,
60 Ramenofsky, & Wingfield, 2006; Wingfield et al., 1998). The baseline secretion of GCs by
61 the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis help individuals to mobilise energy associated with
62 the demands of specific homeostatic states, where homeostasis refers to the tendency of the
63 individual organism to maintain a state of physiological equilibrium on the long run (Landys
64 et al., 2006; Romero, Dickens, & Cyr, 2009). However, individuals chronically exposed to
65 stressors may show increased levels of baseline GCs, changes in the magnitude of the GCs
66 response to an acute stress, and/or a reduction in the capacity to recover from perturbations,
67 all of which may impair survival and/or reproduction on the long term (Angelier, Wingfield,
68 Weimerskirch, & Chastel, 2010; Breuner, Patterson, & Hahn, 2008; Meylan, Miles, &

69 Clobert, 2012; Romero & Wikelski, 2010; Wingfield, 2013). Therefore, the regulation of GCs
70 secretion may trigger adaptive responses to environmental changes, although empirical
71 studies have shown that the fitness outcomes of the stress response are often complex and
72 species-specific (Angelier et al., 2010; Bonier, Martin, Moore, & Wingfield, 2009; Bonier,
73 Moore, & Robertson, 2011).

74 In wild populations, a range of chronic stressors from anthropogenic disturbance,
75 including exposure of animals to unregulated ecotourism (Ellenberg, Setiawan, Cree,
76 Houston, & Seddon, 2007; French, DeNardo, Greives, Strand, & Demas, 2010), habitat
77 pollution (Crino, Klassen Van Oorschot, Johnson, Malisch, & Breuner, 2011; Meillère et al.,
78 2016; Wikelski, Romero, & Snell, 2001), or landscape fragmentation (Janin, Léna, & Joly,
79 2011; Martínez-Mota, Valdespino, Sánchez-Ramos, & Serio-Silva, 2007) may result in
80 chronic elevation of baseline GC level, population declines and increased risks of rapid
81 population extinction. There is also some direct evidence that lower corticosterone level may
82 improve fitness of individuals exposed to desiccation and thermal stress in terrestrial
83 ectotherms (Jessop, Letnic, Webb, & Dempster, 2013). And yet, a demonstration that
84 secretion of GCs consistently correlate with the status and future fate of populations exposed
85 to climate warming has not emerged yet, because of a wide variation in the sensitivity of GCs
86 to anthropogenic disturbances across individuals and variable pathways between patterns of
87 GCs secretion and individual fitness (Dantzer, Fletcher, Boonstra, & Sheriff, 2014; Dickens &
88 Romero, 2013). These results thus question the GCs as a pertinent bio-marker of population
89 extinction status.

90 Climate change represents an ubiquitous environmental challenge for living organisms
91 that may interact with other environmental stressors and accelerate population declines
92 (Bellard, Bertelsmeier, Leadley, Thuiller, & Courchamp, 2012; Cahill et al., 2012; Flesch,
93 Rosen, & Holm, 2017). Ectothermic vertebrates are expected to be particularly vulnerable due

to their behavioural and physiological sensitivity to environmental temperature (Deutsch et al., 2008; Frishkoff, Hadly, & Daily, 2015; Kingsolver, Diamond, & Buckley, 2013; Le Galliard, Massot, Baron, & Clobert, 2012; Telemeco et al., 2017). In these species, flexible secretion GCs may therefore determine the ability of individuals and populations to cope with acute or chronic changes in thermal conditions (Jessop et al., 2016). For instance, the baseline secretion of GCs may be up-regulated to help individuals adjust their physiology (e.g., metabolic rate) and/or behaviour (e.g., flight response, behavioural thermoregulation) when they are exposed to non-optimal environmental temperatures (Dupoué, Brischoux, Lourdaïs, & Angelier, 2013; Telemeco & Addis, 2014). Still, although body and environmental temperatures generally correlate with secretion of GCs across and within species in ectothermic vertebrates (Jessop et al., 2016), the relationships between baseline levels of GCs, climate change and extinction risk in wild populations have not been investigated.

In this study, we examined the variation of baseline plasma corticosterone (the primary GCs in birds and reptiles) across 14 populations of the European common lizard (*Zootoca vivipara*) distributed across an altitudinal gradient. Our study populations occupy habitats that differ in thermal microclimates and access to free standing water (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Miles, et al., 2017; Rutschmann et al., 2016), and are distributed along an extinction risk gradient including rapidly declining populations at the lowest altitudes and steady populations at mountaintops (Chamaillé-Jammes, Massot, Aragon, & Clobert, 2006; Sinervo et al., 2010). So far, population extinction has been directly related to warmer conditions in lowland populations although the proximate mechanisms remain unknown (Bestion, Teyssier, Richard, Clobert, & Cote, 2015). It is noteworthy that natural populations also differ in other parameters including vegetation cover (Lorenzon, Clobert, Oppliger, & John-Alder, 1999; Rutschmann et al., 2016), or slope orientation and local wind speed conditions (pers. obs.), which may influence corticosterone levels. However, we

focused here on the environmental covariates that are associated with population decline (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017). In each population, we sampled the representative demographic structure by capturing females and males from two age classes, including reproductive adults and non-reproductive yearlings. In Summer 2015, we combined two complementary studies to compare and clarify the factors responsible for variation in baseline corticosterone levels along the altitudinal gradient. First, we designed a cross-sectional study in which we compared baseline corticosterone levels across the 14 populations at a single time point in the early summer, which corresponds to the mid-gestation period in females. We then used a longitudinal study on lizards from 8 out of these 14 populations to investigate seasonal changes in corticosterone after three weeks in the middle of summer. During this specific time period, all populations experienced an extreme heat wave which ranked 6th in severity of European heat waves since 1950 according to meteorological reports (Russo, Sillmann, & Fischer, 2015). Our general hypothesis is that baseline corticosterone levels should be higher in populations where lizards are chronically exposed to extreme environmental conditions, such as consistently higher temperatures at the lower altitudes or extended periods of high temperatures (e.g., heat waves), ultimately leading to population collapse.

Material and methods

Studied species and populations

The European common lizard, *Zootoca vivipara*, is a small (adult size ~ 50 - 75 mm), widespread species (Family: Lacertidae) that inhabits peat bogs and heathlands across northern Eurasia. In 2015, we studied 14 populations found in the Massif Central Mountains in south-central France, which corresponds to the southern range limits for the viviparous form of the species in France (Rutschmann et al., 2016). In our focal populations, males emerge in mid-April while females emerge in early May. Males copulate with females shortly

after their emergence with fertilization occurring in mid to late May (Bleu et al., 2013). Gestation has a duration of 2 to 3 months, with parturition occurring between late-June and early August.

In each population, we characterized local environmental conditions, including altitude, permanent or periodic access to free standing water, and thermal microclimate (Table S1) (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Miles, et al., 2017). We also calculated the relative changes in lizard abundance observed during the past decade and the extinction status according to IUCN indexes (IUCN, 2017). We distinguished the populations with permanent access to water in peat bogs or humid meadow habitats from those with periodic access in dry meadows with no water during summer except precipitations and morning dew. This objective score correlates with physiological regulation of the water balance in these populations such that lizards from populations without permanent access to water down-regulate their water loss rates to remain normosmotic (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Miles, et al., 2017). We used two to three temperature data loggers (iButtons, Maxim Integrated Products, Sunnyvale, CA, USA, $\pm 0.0625^{\circ}\text{C}$) per population. Loggers were placed within vegetation in the shade and protected in a polyvinyl cylinder pipe (diameter=5 cm, length=15 cm) to measure microclimatic temperature every hour from 29 June to 17 July between our two sampling sessions. This three weeks sampling period was chosen to reflect accurately the differences in microclimatic conditions during the active season among populations. We extracted the mean daily minimum and mean daily maximum temperatures ($T_{\min}$, and $T_{\max}$, respectively) to assess the thermal microclimate of each population. Population indices calculated with this method were correlated between years (2015-2017) either for $T_{\min}$ (Pearson's $r = 0.75$) or $T_{\max}$ ($r = 0.42$).

These populations have been monitored since 2005, so we could estimate relative changes in lizard abundance over a decade. Lizards are captured during days in active seasons

when the weather conditions and external temperature are optimal. During the searches, people randomly scan the populations to find lizards that are then captured by hand. Lizard abundance was calculated in summers 2005, 2015 and 2017 based on the number of lizards captured divided by the total time spent searching, the number of people capturing and the area of the study site (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017). We used the relative changes in abundance to determine the IUCN status of each population, and we identified two populations extremely at risk, one population at risk and 11 non-threatened populations (Table S1). This classification is consistent with the predictive model of climate change effect on population trends derived from a previous field experiment (Bestion et al., 2015). We managed to sample 135 individuals from those populations in a critical state, and it is worth noting that studies including as much individuals and replicates of collapsing populations are rare and urgently needed. Besides, many populations from this Mountain range have been extirpated during the 2-3 last decades following higher exposure to warm spells and summer droughts (Sinervo et al., under review) suggesting that population decline precedes inevitable extinction.

Sampling procedures

Cross-sectional study

Between the 19 and 26 of June 2015 (early summer session), we caught a total of 312 adult pregnant females (mean $\pm$ SE, body mass (BM) = 4.77 ± 0.07 g, snout-vent length (SVL) = 61.24 ± 0.25 mm), 132 adult males (BM = 3.54 ± 0.06 g, SVL = 54.75 ± 0.30 mm), 87 yearling females (BM = 1.85 ± 0.06 g, SVL = 47.02 ± 0.49 mm), and 131 yearling males (BM = 2.01 ± 0.05 g, SVL = 46.10 ± 0.32 mm) from the 14 populations. Within 5 min of capture, we bled all individuals using a standard protocol (Meylan, Dufty, & Clobert, 2003). Blood samples (40-60 μ l whole blood) were collected from the post-orbital sinus using 2-3 20 μ l microcapillary tubes. Samples were kept fresh in a cooler on ice and brought back to a field

laboratory the same day. In the laboratory, blood samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 11,000 rpm, plasma and blood cells were separated and kept frozen in airtight tubes until subsequent analyses.

Adult females and males were transferred to the laboratory and housed in individual terraria (18 x 12 x 12 cm) with sterilized soil, a shelter, and basking opportunities to record parturition date and measurement of reproductive effort (litter size and mass) (Rutschmann et al., 2016). We calculated the reproductive timing (i.e., the embryonic stage at the sampling) as the difference between parturition and sampling dates. Each lizard was provided a 20-30°C thermal gradient for 6 hours per day (09:00-12:00 and 14:00-17:00) using a 25 W incandescent light bulb placed over one end of each terrarium. We also provided water 3 times per day and fed lizards with 2 crickets (*Acheta domesticus*) every two days. In 2017, we measured the critical maximal temperature limits (CT_{max}) in a subsample of 57 individuals from the monitored populations, using a standard protocol (Gilbert & Miles, 2017). Individuals were continuously heated (~1°C per minute) under a 60 W incandescent light bulb, and flipped on the back every minute. Once they stop responding to this stimuli by righting themselves within 5s, we measured their cloacal temperature with a digital thermometer, considering as the individual CT_{max}. We released each male and female together with its offspring at its exact capture location within three days after parturition.

Longitudinal study

In 8 out of the 14 populations, we repeated the sampling procedures as described above between 19 and 23 July (mid-summer session). We focused on yearlings to avoid confounding effects of seasonal changes in reproductive state with those of seasonal changes in environmental conditions during a heat wave period. We sampled 76 yearling females (BM = 2.50 ± 0.06 g, SVL = 53.36 ± 0.42 mm) and 77 males (BM = 2.81 ± 0.06 g, SVL = 51.29 ± 0.30 mm). Although we repeated sampling from the same populations than the cross-sectional

study, the probability to recapture the very same individuals was pretty low relative to the sample size (Meylan, Clobert, & Sinervo, 2007), so all measurements were considered as independent.

Plasma corticosterone levels

Plasma corticosterone assays were performed with a competitive enzyme-immunoassay method (IDS Corticosterone EIA kit, ref AC-14F1, Immunodiagnostic Systems Ltd, France) after 1:10 dilution of all samples. This method quantifies total plasmatic corticosterone using a polyclonal corticosterone antibody and is based on a highly repeatable colorimetric assay of absorbance at 450 nm. The reported sensitivity of the kit is 0.55 ng mL^{-1} , and our estimates of corticosterone levels were indeed highly repeatable [12 plates with 4 repeats of a standard per plate: intra-plate repeatability: $r = 0.98$, $F_{1,34} = 168.7$, $p < 0.001$; inter-plate repeatability: $r = 0.81$, $F_{11,34} = 9.6$, $p < 0.001$ (Lessells & Boag, 1987)]. Such repeatability, the coefficient of variation we obtained (intra-plate: 14%; inter-plate: 23%) and the similar levels of corticosterone assessed either by ELISA or by RIA methods (Meylan et al., 2003) suggest reliable results of corticosterone assays.

Statistical analyses

Plasma corticosterone were $\log_{10}$ transformed to achieve normal distribution and analysed with linear models in the R software (R Development Core Team, version 3.2.0, <http://cran.r-project.org/>). In the cross-sectional study, the initial model included the quadratic effect of time of day to test for non-linear daily variation in corticosterone (Dauphin-Villemant & Xavier, 1987), and the fixed effects of population, sex, age class, and first- and second order interaction terms. In the longitudinal study, the initial model included the quadratic effect of time of day, fixed effects of population, sex, and sampling session, and first- and second order interaction terms. In the studied populations, lizard morphology strongly varies among and between populations, sex and age class as previously demonstrated (e.g., Chamaillé-Jammes

et al., 2006; Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017; Massot, Clobert, Pilorge, Lecomte, & Barbault, 1992). Therefore to avoid multicollinearity, lizard morphological indexes were not included in the model selection since we aimed to specifically test the influence of environmental conditions on baseline corticosterone levels independently from variation in morphology. We compared all models to a null model including the intercept only (cross-sectional study: Table S2; longitudinal study: Table S3).

We found significant variation in corticosterone levels among populations in the final model of each study, and therefore tested the influence of environmental covariates on corticosterone levels in a second step. To do so, we used an approach using the Akaike information criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc, package AICcmodavg, Mazerolle 2016). We compared mixed-effect linear models [package nlme, (Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, Sarkar, & R, 2016)] in which population identity was included as a random factor to account for non-independence within the same population. In all selected models, the random effects of populations had significant contribution to explain variation in baseline corticosterone (all $p < 0.001$). Environmental covariates were treated one by one. Water access was treated as a categorical factor while the relative changes in abundance, temperature metrics (i.e., $T_{\min}$ and $T_{\max}$), and altitude, were treated as linear covariates. Given that several variables were highly correlated and related to population collapse, we computed the relative changes in abundance, $T_{\min}$ and the altitude together in a principal component analysis [package ade4, (Dray & Dufour, 2007)]. We used the first axis (PC₁) as a composite score of extinction risk since it was mainly determined by the relative changes in lizard abundance (Table S4), since this integrative score of population decline has been demonstrated to correlate with molecular markers of physiological stress (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017). We compared all models, including additive effects of each environmental covariate or the PC₁ score (6 variables), as well as two-way interactions with age and sex (cross-sectional study,

Table S5) and two-way interactions with sex and sampling session (longitudinal study, Table S6).

In adult pregnant females, we also investigated the relationships between baseline corticosterone levels and reproduction. We compared mixed effects models (population as random factor), with reproductive timing and reproductive effort as linear covariates and compared to a null model with the intercept only (Table S7). It is indeed predicted that GC secretion may increase during gestation and be higher in females with a higher reproductive effort (Dauphin-Villemant & Xavier, 1986; Lorient, Angelier, & Lourdais, 2016). For all model comparisons, the best model was then chosen as the one with the lowest AICc and models that have a difference of AICc lower than 2 comparably support the data (see Tables S2, S3, S5, S6 & S7 for model comparisons).

Results

Cross-sectional study

Baseline corticosterone levels differed across the 14 populations ($F_{13,619} = 3.86$, $p < 0.001$), between sexes ($F_{1,619} = 38.42$, $p < 0.001$), but did not show daily variation (time of day: $F_{13,617} = 1.48$, $p = 0.225$, time of day²: $F_{13,617} = 0.92$, $p = 0.338$). Baseline corticosterone levels were significantly impacted by the two-way interactions between age and sex ($F_{1,619} = 31.46$, $p < 0.001$), so that corticosterone levels differed between age classes in females but not in males (mean $\pm$ SE; pregnant females: 36.35 ± 1.34 ng.ml⁻¹, yearling females: 23.44 ± 1.83 ng.ml⁻¹, adult males: 17.07 ± 1.33 ng.ml⁻¹, and yearling males: 17.26 ± 1.18 ng.ml⁻¹).

Baseline corticosterone levels were also significantly impacted by the two-way interactions between age and population ($F_{13,619} = 2.33$, $p = 0.005$) and between sex and population ($F_{13,619} = 3.53$, $p < 0.001$). According to our model selection procedure, most of the inter-population variation in baseline corticosterone levels was explained by $T_{\min}$ and the two-way interactions between $T_{\min}$ and age class and between $T_{\min}$ and sex (model likelihood

$w_i = 0.74$, see Table S5). Specifically, baseline corticosterone levels decreased with $T_{\min}$ in females (adults: $t_{1,12} = -2.31$, $p = 0.039$, Fig. 1a; yearlings: $t_{1,12} = -4.01$, $p = 0.002$, Fig. 1b) but not in males (adults: $t_{1,12} = 0.98$, $p = 0.347$, Fig. 1c; yearlings: $t_{1,12} = -1.33$, $p = 0.209$, Fig. 1d). Other models had very low relative statistical support ($w_i \leq 0.09$) indicating that the relationships with other covariates were negligible (Table S5). In addition, in adult pregnant females, we did not find any significant relationship between baseline corticosterone and reproductive timing or reproductive effort (Table S7).

Longitudinal study

During the heat wave period in 2015, temperatures were higher and overpassed the lizard CTmax 42.5% of time during normal activity period (10:00 to 19:00), while remaining lower and non-constraining (0% of CTmax overpassing) in 2017 (Fig. 2). Overall, baseline corticosterone levels were lower after the heat wave period than before (early summer session: $20.29 \pm 1.40 \text{ ng.ml}^{-1}$, mid-summer session: $13.68 \pm 0.83 \text{ ng.ml}^{-1}$; $F_{1,244} = 9.82$, $p = 0.002$), and this seasonal variation between sampling sessions differed among populations (population x sampling session: $F_{7,244} = 3.45$, $p = 0.002$). In addition, corticosterone levels showed non-linear daily variation (time of day: $F_{1,244} = 4.51$, $p = 0.035$, time of day²: $F_{1,244} = 4.09$, $p = 0.044$, Fig. 3a), and were overall higher in yearling females compared to males ($F_{1,244} = 7.66$, $p = 0.006$).

Differences among populations in their seasonal changes in baseline corticosterone levels were best explained by the access to free standing water since the two best models for baseline corticosterone levels included a two-way interaction between this covariate and the measurement session (combined likelihood $w_i = 0.35$, see Table S6). Specifically, according to the top ranking model, baseline corticosterone levels decreased during the heat wave in yearlings from populations characterized by periodic access to water ($t_{1,257} = -4.05$, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 3b), while corticosterone levels remained similar in populations with permanent access to

water ($t_{1,257} = -0.81$, $p = 0.417$, Fig. 3b). Other models had lower degree of relative support ($w_i \leq 0.08$) suggesting that the relationships with other covariates were not important (Table S6).

Discussion

We designed two complementary field studies to examine geographic variation in baseline corticosterone levels among wild populations of lizards across a gradient of environmental conditions and extinction risk. We found strong geographic variation in baseline corticosterone levels, interactively or additively with lizard sex and age class. Contrary to our expectations, these differences were poorly related with extinction risks as indicated by an integrative score (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017) and IUCN conservation status. Instead, baseline corticosterone levels of females were lower in local populations with higher minimum daily temperature, and decreased on average in yearlings after a heat wave, suggesting that chronic heat stress was correlated with a lower corticosterone secretion. Importantly, our results also revealed that access to free standing water in each population may determine the sensitivity of corticosterone secretion during the exposure to an intense heat wave.

In this study, we found greater plasma corticosterone levels in adult females compared to males or yearling which is consistent with previous findings showing temporal increase in corticosterone over pregnancy in squamate reptiles (Dauphin-Villemant & Xavier, 1987; Lorigou et al., 2016; Taylor, DeNardo, & Jennings, 2004). Surprisingly in pregnant females, the higher baseline corticosterone levels was not correlated with any index of reproductive performance, thus questioning the proximate causes of variations in hormonal profile during gestation. Besides these predictable variations in baseline corticosterone across life stages, we found sex-specific relationships between baseline corticosterone levels and local thermal conditions during the night (i.e., minimum daily temperatures), which describe local climate conditions when individuals are at rest. Baseline corticosterone levels decreased with $T_{\min}$ in

females but not in males. This sex-specific pattern may result from a differential sensitivity to temperature, which is likely related to sex-specific life history strategies in this species (Massot et al., 1992). In support of this, it is noteworthy that baseline corticosterone concentration strongly depends on body temperature in ectotherms (Dupoué et al., 2013; Jessop et al., 2016). Further studies would help to clarify if thermoregulation differs within (sex and age specific response) and between populations, and whether differences across populations are a direct consequence of changes in body temperature or due to functional plasticity or local adaptations.

These relationships could mirror the negative correlation between baseline GCs and environmental temperatures observed across species in reptiles (Jessop et al., 2016). One explanation for the higher baseline corticosterone levels in reptile species inhabiting colder habitats is that a high baseline GCs level helps in supporting the faster energy demand and mobilisation needed during activity periods when thermoregulatory opportunities are fewer (Dupoué et al., 2013; Jessop et al., 2016; Telemeco & Addis, 2014). If this hypothesis holds true, we would expect a stronger correlation between baseline GCs levels and thermal conditions during the activity period of the day than during the resting period. However, we did not find any influence of daily maximal temperature ($T_{\max}$), suggesting that geographic differences in thermoregulation opportunities during the day did not explain the changes in corticosterone levels. Alternatively, lower minimum temperatures may trigger higher level of circulating corticosterone to compensate and/or maintain a level of physiological performances that are classical decreased at lower body temperature (Angilletta, 2009; Qualls & Andrews, 1999). Experiments where lizards are exposed to separate changes in nocturnal and daily minimum and maximum environmental temperatures are needed to test if low minimum daily temperatures can indeed induce higher GC secretion and therefore represent "more stressful" conditions than high temperatures.

We suggest instead that individuals responded to abnormally high thermal conditions at rest (i.e., during night-time) because lowering plasma corticosterone may help down regulate maintenance costs at rest and energy expenditure during activity (e.g., locomotion or the proportion of time basking). Low secretion of GC in the warmest populations may thus be an adaptive physiological response in order to save energy and water (Cote, Clobert, Meylan, & Fitze, 2006; Cote, Clobert, Poloni, Haussy, & Meylan, 2010; Preest & Cree, 2008). Indeed, high metabolism at rest and strong behavioural activity in warmer environments could induce diverse physiological costs such as higher energy expenditure, higher risk of dehydration, and eventually negative impacts on survival and reproduction (Bestion et al., 2015; Dillon, Wang, & Huey, 2010; Huey et al., 2012; Kearney, Shine, & Porter, 2009; McKechnie & Wolf, 2010). Alternatively, the studied populations can associate micro-adaptations (e.g., significant genetic differentiation among populations), different trajectory in life history strategies (Chamaillé-Jammes et al., 2006; Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017; Rutschmann et al., 2016), which might explain some variations in corticosterone levels between populations. Besides, we must acknowledge that baseline corticosterone alone may not always correlate with individual stress and animal welfare (Otovic & Hutchinson, 2015). Instead, the acute GC response to a stressor following a standard capture-restrain process or the capacity to recover from such stress response represent complementary indicators of GC secretion that might better predict reproduction and/or survival rate than baseline levels (Blas, Bortolotti, Tella, Baos, & Marchant, 2007; Romero & Wikelski, 2010). However, analyses of the GC secretion in response to acute stressors would require repeated blood sampling, which could not be done for ethical reasons and logistic in this large scale study with small bodied lizards (adult body mass ~3 - 5g) involving many populations. Other physiological indicators of stress could also provide crucial insights on the responses to abnormally high temperatures and desiccation. For instance, the heat shock proteins represent particularly promising

components of the stress response that are likely impacted by global warming and should correlate with population extinction status (Sørensen, 2010). Besides, we recently documented in these populations that telomere length, another integrative indicator of aging and thermal stresses has been recently shown to non-linearly shorten in populations facing high risk of extinction when compared to non-threatened ones (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017). Therefore, further comparative studies are required to depict the specific causes of variation in baseline GC level, GC stress response, GC recovery, or other pertinent physiological indexes of stress and the further effects on individual fitness.

Following a similar tendency, we found that baseline corticosterone decreases seasonally during an intense heat wave period, especially in the driest (i.e., with no access to free standing water) populations. The heat wave was particularly pronounced in 2015 since it ranked 6th in severity in Europe since 1950 (Russo et al., 2015) with abnormally high temperatures and no precipitation (Dong, Sutton, Shaffrey, & Wilcox, 2016). Instead, according to our initial hypothesis, a seasonal increase in baseline corticosterone was expected as individuals were most likely exposed to chronic heat stress and summer droughts, especially in the populations without access to water (Wingfield, 2013). Seasonal differences in mean corticosterone levels could come from intra-individual changes (i.e., physiological flexibility) but also from inter-individual viability selection (i.e., selective removal of individuals with different initial baseline levels) or from a combination of both processes. We cannot exclude that individuals with higher corticosterone levels at the beginning of the summer were selected against through lower survival rate during the heat wave period, which could have been determined with a repeating sampling all over the exposure to the heat waves. However, this hypothesis would involve a strong selection and a high repeatability of corticosterone levels over time, which is very unlikely according to current estimates of inter-individual repeatability of GC levels (Holtmann, Lagisz, & Nakagawa, 2017). Our results thus

alternatively suggest that individual down regulated corticosterone secretion and decreased their resting metabolism and behavioural activity to limit the costs associated with warm and dry environments (see above). Since this seasonal decrease was restricted to populations without access to free standing water, regulation of the water balance may be an important target in the behavioural regulation of activity level in wild lizards (Lorenzon et al., 1999). Water balance is the sum of water entrance (i.e., drinking behaviour) and water exit (i.e., faeces, evaporative water losses through ventilation and transpiration). The rate of evaporative water loss and eventually the rate of dehydration will depend on temperature, humidity and activity levels. When facing extreme heat waves, the behavioural regulation of the water balance through lower corticosterone secretion could represent an adaptive response to avoid overheating, dehydration and premature death (Jessop et al., 2013). Further investigations should now clarify the role of corticosterone in sheltering, microhabitat selection, and the following outcomes on the regulation of water balance, and on individual fitness and general demographic trends in the context of climate change.

Heat wave frequency, intensity and duration will considerably increase in the next decades (Easterling et al., 2000; Meehl & Tebaldi, 2004). Although the responses to extreme temperatures are complex and various among taxa (Buckley & Huey, 2016), the exposure to such climatic events is predicted to lead to massive changes in demographic trends (McKeechnie & Wolf, 2010). Within individuals, the allostasis concept posits that hormones such as corticosterone constitute the crucial interfaces between the energy demands associated with predictable life-history stages and unpredictable environmental changes, such as heat waves and dry episodes (McEwen & Wingfield, 2010; Romero et al., 2009). To avoid the exposure to abnormally high temperature and water deprivation, lowering activity and sheltering may thus be crucial to ensure immediate survival in many ectothermic species, and our results suggest that baseline corticosterone may contribute to mediate this adaptive

response in the common lizard. Yet, even if baseline corticosterone might be essential to mitigate the immediate costs of being active in harmful conditions, it was uncorrelated with population decline, thus questioning the pertinence of using high baseline corticosterone as a relevant biomarker of population extinction risk. In the long run, lower activity may even alter foraging or reproductive opportunities and eventually lead to population extinction in our study populations (Dupoué, Rutschmann, Le Galliard, Clobert, et al., 2017; Sinervo et al., 2010). Together, our results therefore suggest that climate change is associated with a down-regulation of corticosterone GC secretion preceding the population decline.

Acknowledgments

We thank Pauline Dufour, Laurène Duhalde, Amélie Faure, Julia Rense, and Qiang Wu for their help with fieldwork. We also thank Clotilde Biard for lending us some of the loggers. We are grateful to the ‘Office Nationale des Forêts’, the ‘Parc National des Cévennes’, and the regions Auvergne, Rhône Alpes and Languedoc Roussillon for allowing us to sample lizards.

Funding

This study was funded by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-13-JSV7-0011-01 to SM).

Authors' Contributions

AD, AR, JFLG, JC, and SM conceived the ideas and design methodology, and all authors contributed to data collection; AD analysed the data and led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Ethics of Experiment

All methods were performed in accordance with laws relative to capture, transport and experiments on *Zootoca vivipara* (DREAL Languedoc Roussillon permit #2013-274-0002,

DREAL Midi-Pyrénées permit #81-2013-05, and DREAL Auvergne, permit
2013/DREAL/259).

Conflicts of interest

We declare no competing financial interest.

References

Angelier, F., & Wingfield, J. C. (2013). Importance of the glucocorticoid stress response in a
changing world: theory, hypotheses and perspectives. *General and Comparative
Endocrinology*, 190, 118–128. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2013.05.022

Angelier, F., Wingfield, J. C., Weimerskirch, H., & Chastel, O. (2010). Hormonal correlates
of individual quality in a long-lived bird: a test of the “corticosterone – fitness
hypothesis.” *Biology Letters*, 6(6), 846–849. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2010.0376

Angilletta, M. J. (2009). *Thermal adaptation: a theoretical and empirical synthesis*. Oxford:
Oxford University Press.

Bellard, C., Bertelsmeier, C., Leadley, P., Thuiller, W., & Courchamp, F. (2012). Impacts of
climate change on the future of biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, 15(4), 365–377.
doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01736.x

Bestion, E., Teyssier, A., Richard, M., Clobert, J., & Cote, J. (2015). Live Fast, Die Young:
Experimental Evidence of Population Extinction Risk due to Climate Change. *PLoS
Biology*, 13(10), e1002281. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002281

Blas, J., Bortolotti, G. R., Tella, J. L., Baos, R., & Marchant, T. A. (2007). Stress response
during development predicts fitness in a wild, long lived vertebrate. *Proceedings of the*

- 491 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(21), 8880–8884.
492 doi:10.1073/pnas.0700232104
- 493 Bleu, J., Le Galliard, J. F., Fitze, P. S., Meylan, S., Clobert, J., & Massot, M. (2013).
494 Reproductive allocation strategies: a long-term study on proximate factors and temporal
495 adjustments in a viviparous lizard. *Oecologia*, 171(1), 141–151. doi:10.1007/s00442-
496 012-2401-1
- 497 Bonier, F., Martin, P. R., Moore, I. T., & Wingfield, J. C. (2009). Do baseline glucocorticoids
498 predict fitness? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 24(11), 634–642.
499 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2009.04.013
- 500 Bonier, F., Moore, I. T., & Robertson, R. J. (2011). The stress of parenthood? Increased
501 glucocorticoids in birds with experimentally enlarged broods. *Biology Letters*, 7(May),
502 944–946. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.0391
- 503 Breuner, C. W., Patterson, S. H., & Hahn, T. P. (2008). In search of relationships between the
504 acute adrenocortical response and fitness. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*,
505 157(3), 288–295. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2008.05.017
- 506 Buckley, L. B., & Huey, R. B. (2016). Temperature extremes: geographic patterns, recent
507 changes, and implications for organismal vulnerabilities. *Global Change Biology*,
508 22(12), 3829–3842. doi:10.1111/gcb.13313
- 509 Cahill, A. E., Aiello-Lammens, M. E., Fisher-Reid, M. C., Hua, X., Karanewsky, C. J., Yeong
510 Ryu, H., ... Wiens, J. J. (2012). How does climate change cause extinction? *Proceedings*
511 *of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280, 20121890. doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.1890
- 512 Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., & Dirzo, R. (2017). Biological annihilation via the ongoing sixth
513 mass extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines. *Proceedings of the*

- 514 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(30), E6089–E6096.
515 doi:10.1073/pnas.1704949114
- 516 Chamaillé-Jammes, S., Massot, M., Aragon, P., & Clobert, J. (2006). Global warming and
517 positive fitness response in mountain populations of common lizards *Lacerta vivipara*.
518 *Global Change Biology*, 12, 392–402. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01088.x
- 519 Cooke, S. J., Sack, L., Franklin, C. E., Farrell, A. P., Beardall, J., Wikelski, M., & Chown, S.
520 L. (2013). What is conservation physiology? Perspectives on an increasingly integrated
521 and essential science. *Conservation Physiology*, 1(January), 1–23.
522 doi:10.1093/conphys/cot001
- 523 Cote, J., Clobert, J., Meylan, S., & Fitze, P. S. (2006). Experimental enhancement of
524 corticosterone levels positively affects subsequent male survival. *Hormones and*
525 *Behavior*, 49(3), 320–327. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2005.08.004
- 526 Cote, J., Clobert, J., Poloni, L. M., Haussy, C., & Meylan, S. (2010). Food deprivation
527 modifies corticosterone-dependent behavioural shifts in the common lizard. *General and*
528 *Comparative Endocrinology*, 166(1), 142–151. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2009.11.008
- 529 Crino, O. L., Klassen Van Oorschot, B., Johnson, E. E., Malisch, J. L., & Breuner, C. W.
530 (2011). Proximity to a high traffic road: glucocorticoid and life history consequences for
531 nestling white-crowned sparrows. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 173(2),
532 323–332. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2011.06.001
- 533 Dantzer, B., Fletcher, Q. E., Boonstra, R., & Sheriff, M. J. (2014). Measures of physiological
534 stress: a transparent or opaque window into the status , management and conservation of
535 species ? *Conservation Physiology*, 2(May), 1–18. doi:10.1093/conphys/cou023.
- 536 Dauphin-Villemant, C., & Xavier, F. (1986). Adrenal activity in the females *Lacerta vivipara*

537 Jacquin: possible involvement in the success of gestation. In I. Assemacher & J. Boissin
538 (Eds.), *Endocrine regulation as adaptive mechanism to environment* (pp. 241–250).
539 Paris: CNRS.

540 Dauphin-Villemant, C., & Xavier, F. (1987). Nycthemeral variations of plasma
541 corticosteroids in captive female *Lacerta vivipara* Jacquin: influence of stress and
542 reproductive state. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 67, 292–302.
543 doi:10.1016/0016-6480(87)90183-3

544 Deutsch, C. A., Tewksbury, J. J., Huey, R. B., Sheldon, K. S., Ghalambor, C. K., Haak, D. C.,
545 & Martin, P. R. (2008). Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across
546 latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
547 *America*, 105(18), 6668–6672. doi:10.1073/pnas.0709472105

548 Dickens, M. J., & Romero, L. M. (2013). A consensus endocrine profile for chronically
549 stressed wild animals does not exist. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 191,
550 177–189. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2013.06.014

551 Dillon, M. E., Wang, G., & Huey, R. B. (2010). Global metabolic impacts of recent climate
552 warming. *Nature*, 467(7316), 704–706. doi:10.1038/nature09407

553 Dong, B., Sutton, R., Shaffrey, L., & Wilcox, L. (2016). The 2015 European heat wave.
554 *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 97, S57–S62. doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-
555 16-0140.1

556 Dray, S., & Dufour, A. B. (2007). The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for
557 ecologists. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 22(4), 1–20.

558 Dupoué, A., Brischoux, F., Lourdais, O., & Angelier, F. (2013). Influence of temperature on
559 the corticosterone stress-response: an experiment in the Children’s python (*Antaresia*

- 560 childreni). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 193, 178–184.
561 doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2013.08.004
- 562 Dupoué, A., Rutschmann, A., Le Galliard, J. F., Clobert, J., Angelier, F., Marciau, C., ...
563 Meylan, S. (2017). Shorter telomeres precede population extinction in wild lizards.
564 *Scientific Reports*, 7, 16976. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17323-z
- 565 Dupoué, A., Rutschmann, A., Le Galliard, J. F., Miles, D. B., Clobert, J., DeNardo, D. F., ...
566 Meylan, S. (2017). Water availability and environmental temperature correlate with
567 geographic variation in water balance in common lizards. *Oecologia*, 185, 561–571.
568 doi:10.1007/s00442-017-3973-6
- 569 Easterling, D. R., Meehl, G. A., Parmesan, C., Changnon, S. A., Karl, T. R., & Mearns, L. O.
570 (2000). Climate extremes: Observations, modeling, and impacts. *Science*, 289, 2068–
571 2074. doi:10.1126/science.289.5487.2068
- 572 Ellenberg, U., Setiawan, A. N., Cree, A., Houston, D. M., & Seddon, P. J. (2007). Elevated
573 hormonal stress response and reduced reproductive output in Yellow-eyed penguins
574 exposed to unregulated tourism. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 152(1), 54–
575 63. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2007.02.022
- 576 Flesch, A. D., Rosen, P. C., & Holm, P. (2017). Long-term changes in abundances of Sonoran
577 Desert lizards reveal complex responses to climatic variation. *Global Change Biology*,
578 (June), 1–17. doi:10.1111/gcb.13813
- 579 French, S. S., DeNardo, D. F., Greives, T. J., Strand, C. R., & Demas, G. E. (2010). Human
580 disturbance alters endocrine and immun responses in the Galapagos marine iguana
581 (*Amblyrhynchus cristatus*). *Hormones and Behavior*, 58(5), 792–799.
582 doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.08.001.Human

- 583 Frishkoff, L. O., Hadly, E. A., & Daily, G. C. (2015). Thermal niche predicts tolerance to
584 habitat conversion in tropical amphibians and reptiles. *Global Change Biology*, 21(11),
585 3901–3916. doi:10.1111/gcb.13016
- 586 Gilbert, A. L., & Miles, D. B. (2017). Natural selection on thermal preference, critical thermal
587 maxima and locomotor performance. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
588 *Sciences*, 284(1860), 20170536. doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.0536
- 589 Holtmann, B., Lagisz, M., & Nakagawa, S. (2017). Metabolic rates, and not hormone levels,
590 are a likely mediator of between-individual differences in behaviour: a meta-analysis.
591 *Functional Ecology*, 31, 685–696. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12779
- 592 Huey, R. B., Kearney, M. R., Krockenberger, A., Holtum, J. A. M., Jess, M., & Williams, S.
593 E. (2012). Predicting organismal vulnerability to climate warming: roles of behaviour ,
594 physiology and adaptation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London.*
595 *Series B, Biological Sciences*, 367, 1665–1679. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0005
- 596 IUCN. (2017). IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria and Guidelines for Using the IUCN
597 Red List Categories and Criteria. Scenario A2.
- 598 Janin, A., Léna, J. P., & Joly, P. (2011). Beyond occurrence: Body condition and stress
599 hormone as integrative indicators of habitat availability and fragmentation in the
600 common toad. *Biological Conservation*, 144(3), 1008–1016.
601 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2010.12.009
- 602 Jessop, T. S., Lane, M. L., Teasdale, L., Stuart-Fox, D., Wilson, R. S., Careau, V., & Moore,
603 I. T. (2016). Multiscale evaluation of thermal dependence in the glucocorticoid response
604 of vertebrates. *The American Naturalist*, 188(3), 342–356. doi:10.1086/687588
- 605 Jessop, T. S., Letnic, M., Webb, J. K., & Dempster, T. (2013). Adrenocortical stress responses

- 606 influence an invasive vertebrate's fitness in an extreme environment. *Proceedings of the*
607 *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280(1768), 20131444. doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.1444
- 608 Kearney, M., Shine, R., & Porter, W. P. (2009). The potential for behavioral thermoregulation
609 to buffer “cold-blooded” animals against climate warming. *Proceedings of the National*
610 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(10), 3835–3840.
611 doi:10.1073/pnas.0808913106
- 612 Kingsolver, J. G., Diamond, S. E., & Buckley, L. B. (2013). Heat stress and the fitness
613 consequences of climate change for terrestrial ectotherms. *Functional Ecology*, 27(6),
614 1415–1423. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12145
- 615 Landys, M. M., Ramenofsky, M., & Wingfield, J. C. (2006). Actions of glucocorticoids at a
616 seasonal baseline as compared to stress-related levels in the regulation of periodic life
617 processes. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 148(2), 132–149.
618 doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2006.02.013
- 619 Le Galliard, J. F., Massot, M., Baron, J. P., & Clobert, J. (2012). Ecological effects of climate
620 change on European reptiles. In J. Brodie, E. Post, & D. Doak (Eds.), *Wildlife*
621 *conservation in a changing climate* (pp. 179–203). Chicago: University of Chicago
622 Press.
- 623 Lessells, C. M., & Boag, P. T. (1987). Unrepeatable repeatabilities: A common mistake. *The*
624 *Auk*, 104(1), 116–121. doi:10.2307/4087240
- 625 Lorenzon, P., Clobert, J., Oppliger, A., & John-Alder, H. (1999). Effect of water constraint on
626 growth rate , activity and body temperature of yearling common lizard (*Lacerta*
627 *vivipara*). *Oecologia*, 118, 423–430. doi:10.1007/s004420050744
- 628 Lorigou, S., Angelier, F., & Lourdais, O. (2016). Are glucocorticoids good indicators of

- 629 pregnancy constraints in a capital breeder? *General and Comparative Endocrinology*,
630 232, 125–133. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2016.04.007
- 631 Martínez-Mota, R., Valdespino, C., Sánchez-Ramos, M. A., & Serio-Silva, J. C. (2007).
632 Effects of forest fragmentation on the physiological stress response of black howler
633 monkeys. *Animal Conservation*, 10(3), 374–379. doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.2007.00122.x
- 634 Massot, M., Clobert, J., Pilorge, T., Lecomte, J., & Barbault, R. (1992). Density dependence
635 in the common lizard: demographic consequences of a density manipulation. *Ecology*,
636 73(5), 1742–1756. doi:10.2307/1940026
- 637 Mazerolle, M. J. (2016). AICcmodavg: Model selection and multimodel inference based on
638 (Q)AIC(c). Retrieved from <http://cran.r-project.org/package=AICcmodavg>
- 639 McEwen, B. S., & Wingfield, J. C. (2010). What's in a name? Integrating homeostasis,
640 allostasis and stress. *Hormones and Behavior*, 57(2), 105–111.
641 doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.09.011
- 642 McKechnie, A. E., & Wolf, B. O. (2010). Climate change increases the likelihood of
643 catastrophic avian mortality events during extreme heat waves. *Biology Letters*, 6(2),
644 253–256. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2009.0702
- 645 Meehl, G. A., & Tebaldi, C. (2004). More intense, more frequent, and longer lasting heat
646 waves in the 21st century. *Science*, 305(5686), 994–997. doi:10.1126/science.1098704
- 647 Meillère, A., Brischoux, F., Bustamante, P., Michaud, B., Parenteau, C., Marciau, C., &
648 Angelier, F. (2016). Corticosterone levels in relation to trace element contamination
649 along an urbanization gradient in the common blackbird (*Turdus merula*). *Science of the*
650 *Total Environment*, 566–567, 93–101. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.014
- 651 Meylan, S., Clobert, J., & Sinervo, B. (2007). Adaptive significance of maternal induction of

- density-dependent phenotypes. *Oikos*, 116, 650–661. doi:10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.15432.x
- Meylan, S., Dufty, A. M., & Clobert, J. (2003). The effect of transdermal corticosterone application on plasma corticosterone levels in pregnant *Lacerta vivipara*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 134, 497–503. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00343-4
- Meylan, S., Miles, D. B., & Clobert, J. (2012). Hormonally mediated maternal effects, individual strategy and global change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 367, 1647–1664. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0020
- Otovic, P., & Hutchinson, E. (2015). Limits to using HPA axis activity as an indication of animal welfare. *Altex*, 32(1), 41–50. doi:10.14573/altex.1406161
- Pereira, H. M., Leadley, P. W., Proença, V., Alkemade, R., Scharlemann, J. P. W., Araújo, M. B., ... Robert, J. (2010). Scenarios for Global Biodiversity in the 21st Century. *Science*, 330, 1496–1501. doi:10.1126/science.1196624
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., & R, C. T. (2016). nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. Retrieved from <http://cran.r-project.org/package=nlme>
- Preest, M. R., & Cree, A. (2008). Corticosterone treatment has subtle effects on thermoregulatory behavior and raises metabolic rate in the New Zealand common gecko, *Hoplodactylus maculatus*. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 81(5), 641–650. doi:10.1086/590371
- Qualls, C. P., & Andrews, R. M. (1999). Cold climates and the evolution of viviparity in reptiles: cold incubation temperatures produce poor-quality offspring in the lizard, *Sceloporus virgatus*. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 67, 353–376.

- 675 Romero, L. M., Dickens, M. J., & Cyr, N. E. (2009). The reactive scope model - a new model
676 integrating homeostasis, allostasis, and stress. *Hormones and Behavior*, 55(3), 375–389.
677 doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2008.12.009
- 678 Romero, L. M., & Wikelski, M. (2010). Stress physiology as a predictor of survival in
679 Galapagos marine iguanas. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
680 277(1697), 3157–3162. doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0678
- 681 Russo, S., Sillmann, J., & Fischer, E. M. (2015). Top ten European heatwaves since 1950 and
682 their occurrence in the coming decades. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(12),
683 124003. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/12/124003
- 684 Rutschmann, A., Miles, D. B., Le Galliard, J. F., Richard, M., Moulherat, S., Sinervo, B., &
685 Clobert, J. (2016). Climate and habitat interact to shape the thermal reaction norms of
686 breeding phenology across lizard populations. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 85(2), 457–
687 466. doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12473
- 688 Sinervo, B., Mendez-de-la-Cruz, F., Miles, D. B., Heulin, B., Bastiaans, E., Villagrán-Santa
689 Cruz, M., ... Sites, J. W. (2010). Erosion of lizard diversity by climate change and
690 altered thermal niches. *Science*, 328, 894–899. doi:10.1126/science.1184695
- 691 Sørensen, J. G. (2010). Application of heat shock protein expression for detecting natural
692 adaptation and exposure to stress in natural populations. *Current Zoology*, 56(6), 703–
693 713.
- 694 Taylor, E. N., DeNardo, D. F., & Jennings, D. H. (2004). Seasonal steroid hormone levels and
695 their relation to reproduction in the western diamond-backed rattlesnake, *Crotalus atrox*
696 (Serpentes: Viperidae). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 136(3), 328–337.
697 doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2004.01.008

- 698 Telemeco, R. S., & Addis, E. A. (2014). Temperature has species-specific effects on
699 corticosterone in alligator lizards. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 206(July),
700 184–192. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2014.07.004
- 701 Telemeco, R. S., Fletcher, B., Levy, O., Riley, A., Rodriguez-Sanchez, Y., Smith, C., ...
702 Buckley, L. B. (2017). Lizards fail to plastically adjust nesting behavior or thermal
703 tolerance as needed to buffer populations from climate warming. *Global Change*
704 *Biology*, 23(3), 1075–1084. doi:10.1111/gcb.13476
- 705 Thomas, C. D., Cameron, A., Green, R. E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L. J., Collingham, Y.
706 C., ... Williams, S. E. (2004). Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature*, 427(6970),
707 145–148. doi:10.1038/nature02121
- 708 Wiens, J. J. (2016). Climate-related local extinctions are already widespread among plant and
709 animal species. *PLoS Biology*, 14(12), e2001104. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2001104
- 710 Wikelski, M., & Cooke, S. J. (2006). Conservation physiology. *Trends in Ecology &*
711 *Evolution*, 21(2), 38–46. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2005.10.018
- 712 Wikelski, M., Romero, L. M., & Snell, H. L. (2001). Marine iguanas oiled in the Galápagos.
713 *Science*, 292(5516), 437–438. doi:10.1126/science.292.5516.437c
- 714 Wingfield, J. C. (2013). Ecological processes and the ecology of stress: the impacts of abiotic
715 environmental factors. *Functional Ecology*, 27(1), 37–44. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12039
- 716 Wingfield, J. C., Maney, D. L., Breuner, C. W., Jacobs, J. D., Lynn, S., Ramenofsky, M., &
717 Richardson, R. D. (1998). Ecological bases of hormone-behavior interactions: the
718 “emergency life history stage.” *American Zoologist*, 38, 191–206.
719 doi:10.1093/icb/38.1.191
- 720

Figure captions

Figure 1. Relationships between baseline corticosterone levels and minimal daily ambient temperature ($T_{\min}$) across 14 populations of common lizards. Baseline corticosterone decreases slightly with $T_{\min}$ in a) adult females ($n = 312$), b) yearling females ($n = 87$), but not in c) adult males ($n = 132$), and d) yearling males ($n = 131$). For significant relationships, the predictions of the best model from Table S3 were fitted on the data (solid line) together with the 95% confidence interval (dashed lines). Note the logarithmic scale of corticosterone levels and wide range of variation within each population.

Figure 2. Thermal profile of the 8 populations from the longitudinal study during the heat waves (2015) and during the same period of a year with normal climatic conditions (2017). During the heat wave period, air temperatures measured in shade within vegetation approached or overpassed the maximal critical thermal limit (CT_{max}) ranging from 37.7 to 42.6°C, thus highlighting a strong constraint for activity to avoid overhear (Sinervo et al., 2010).

Figure 3. Daily and seasonal variation in baseline corticosterone during a summer heat wave in yearling common lizards inside eight populations with periodic (closed circles) or permanent (filled circles) access to water. Baseline corticosterone levels a) non-linearly decreased within the day (time of day: $F_{1,244} = 4.51$, $p = 0.035$, time of day²: $F_{1,244} = 4.09$, $p = 0.044$) and b) decreased during the heat wave in yearlings from populations with periodic access to water ($t_{1,257} = -4.05$, $p < 0.001$), while remaining similar in populations with permanent access to water ($t_{1,257} = -0.81$, $p = 0.417$).

Figure 1. Relationships between baseline corticosterone levels and minimal daily ambient temperature (T_{min}) across 14 populations of common lizards. Baseline corticosterone decreases slightly with T_{min} in a) adult females ($n = 312$), b) yearling females ($n = 87$), but not in c) adult males ($n = 132$), and d) yearling males ($n = 131$). For significant relationships, the predictions of the best model from Table S3 were fitted on the data (solid line) together with the 95% confidence interval (dashed lines). Note the logarithmic scale of corticosterone levels and wide range of variation within each population.

279x361mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 2. Thermal profile of the 8 populations from the longitudinal study during the heat waves (2015) and during the same period of a year with normal climatic conditions (2017). During the heat wave period, air temperatures measured in shade within vegetation approached or overpassed the maximal critical thermal limit (CTmax) ranging from 37.7 to 42.6°C, thus highlighting a strong constraint for activity to avoid overhear (Sinervo et al., 2010).

279x361mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Figure 3. Daily and seasonal variation in baseline corticosterone during a summer heat wave in yearling common lizards inside eight populations with periodic (closed circles) or permanent (filled circles) access to water. Baseline corticosterone levels a) non-linearly decreased within the day (time of day: $F_{1,244} = 4.51$, $p = 0.035$, time of day²: $F_{1,244} = 4.09$, $p = 0.044$) and b) decreased during the heat wave in yearlings from populations with periodic access to water ($t_{1,257} = -4.05$, $p < 0.001$), while remaining similar in populations with permanent access to water ($t_{1,257} = -0.81$, $p = 0.417$).

279x361mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Table S1. Localisation (GPS coordinates), elevation, climatic conditions and water access in the focal populations of the common lizard (*Z. vivipara*). Values of environmental temperature (minimal: $T_{\min}$ and maximal: $T_{\max}$) are the mean $\pm$ SE, which were recorded between June 29 and July 17, 2015. We used the relative change in abundance (r) to assess the index of IUCN conservation status of each population [$r = (\text{Abundance}^{2015} - \text{Abundance}^{2005}) / \text{Abundance}^{2005}$]¹. See text for details.

¹ *IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria and Guidelines for Using the IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria. Scenario A2.* Endangered (EN): very high risk of extinction in the wild; Vulnerable (VU): high risk of extinction in the wild; Least Concern (LC): non-threatened populations.

Population		Coordinates	Altitude (m)	$T_{\min}$ (°C)	$T_{\max}$ (°C)	Water access	Abundance ²⁰⁰⁵	Abundance ²⁰¹⁵	r	IUCN status
Barnesac	(BAS)	44°25'59.48"N 3°45'20.07"E	1515	9.04 ± 0.62	38.50 ± 0.62	Permanent	1,30	2,53	1,0	LC
Belair	(BEL)	44°40'20.79"N 4° 1'29.84"E	1418	8.80 ± 0.56	33.96 ± 0.49	Periodic	1,09	1,55	0,4	LC
M ^t Caroux	(CAR)	43°36'08.75"N 2°58'54.25"E	1047	11.39 ± 0.43	27.31 ± 0.50	Permanent	0,17	0,06	-0,6	EN
Carmantran	(CARM)	45°09'22.05"N 2°50'16.30"E	1267	9.68 ± 0.92	32.97 ± 0.79	Permanent	3,13	3,18	0,0	LC
Chalet du M ^t Lozère	(CHA)	44°26'56.72"N 3°44'51.79"E	1429	10.49 ± 0.77	35.63 ± 0.68	Periodic	0,38	0,39	0,0	LC
Col du cheval mort	(COM)	44°40'1.29"N 3°31'57.98"E	1405	10.20 ± 0.61	29.93 ± 0.68	Permanent	0,42	0,41	0,0	LC
Col du pendu	(COP)	44°39'18.38"N 4°01'49.79"E	1421	7.16 ± 0.43	44.74 ± 0.77	Permanent	0,53	0,75	0,4	LC
Source de la Loire	(JOC)	44°50'6.66"N 4°12'39.65"E	1296	8.77 ± 0.53	35.78 ± 0.61	Permanent	0,68	1,81	1,6	LC
Gerbier de jonc	(JON)	44°50'30.31"N 4°12'54.30"E	1398	10.06 ± 0.43	33.73 ± 0.68	Periodic	0,60	0,74	0,2	LC
Lajo	(LAJ)	44°50'41.10"N 3°25'51.01"E	1330	8.79 ± 0.46	39.04 ± 0.66	Periodic	1,55	1,64	0,1	LC
Montselgues	(MON)	44°30'40.26"N 4°00'29.36"E	1049	11.42 ± 0.42	33.13 ± 0.32	Permanent	0,27	0,09	-0,7	EN
Pejouzou	(PEJ)	45°09'52.24"N 2°50'37.52"E	1254	8.00 ± 0.95	39.19 ± 1.04	Permanent	0,92	1,02	0,1	LC
Puy Mary	(PUY)	45°6'25.77"N 2°41'6.10"E	1434	12.63 ± 0.60	32.55 ± 0.84	Permanent	0,07	0,05	-0,3	VU
Viala	(VIA)	44°20'17.43"N 3°46'04.76"E	1191	8.53 ± 0.52	35.45 ± 0.58	Permanent	0,50	0,66	0,3	LC

Table S2. Initial AICc based model selection comparing the effect of age, sex, population and their interactions on the plasma corticosterone levels in common lizards (n = 662). Models are compared to a null model (model 12) including the intercept only.

Model number	Specification of fixed effects	k	AICc	ΔAICc	w_i	Log likelihood	r^2_m
1	Age + Sex + Population + Age : Sex + Age : Population + Sex : Population	44	168.01	0.00	0.93	-36.80	39.48
2	Age + Sex + Population + Age : Sex + Age : Population + Sex : Population + Age : Sex : Population	57	173.18	5.17	0.07	-24.12	41.15
3	Age + Sex + Population + Age : Sex	18	184.23	16.22	0.00	-73.58	33.58
4	Age + Sex + Population + Sex : Population	30	203.93	35.92	0.00	-70.49	33.77
5	Age + Sex + Age : Sex	5	212.40	44.38	0.00	-101.15	28.31
6	Sex + Age + Population + Age : Population	30	214.57	46.56	0.00	-75.81	32.72
7	Sex + Population + Sex : Population	29	220.19	52.17	0.00	-79.72	31.98
8	Sex	3	257.85	89.84	0.00	-125.91	22.82
9	Age + Population + Age : Population	29	357.43	189.41	0.00	-148.34	16.85
10	Age	3	373.01	204.99	0.00	-183.49	8.18
11	Population	15	398.98	230.97	0.00	-184.12	7.87
12	<i>Null</i>	2	427.54	259.53	0.00	-211.76	0.00

k : number of parameters, ΔAICc: difference with AICc of the best model, w_i : model likelihood, r^2_m : marginal R-squared

Table S3. Initial AICc based model selection comparing the effects of sampling session, sex, population and their interaction in yearling common lizards (n = 371). Models are compared to a null model (model 12) including the intercept only.

Model number	Specification of fixed effects	k	AICc	Δ AICc	w_i	Log likelihood	r^2_m
1	Sex + Session + Session:Population	20	106.62	0.00	0.70	-31.63	25.80
2	Session + Sex + Population + Session:Sex + Session:Population + Sex:Population	28	108.40	1.78	0.29	-22.85	29.56
3	Session + Sex + Population + Sex:Population	20	115.46	8.84	0.01	-36.05	23.46
4	Session + Population + Session:Population	19	116.08	9.46	0.01	-37.53	22.74
5	Session + Sex + Population + Session:Sex + Session:Population + Sex:Population + Session:Sex:Population	35	119.52	12.90	0.00	-19.40	30.59
6	Session + Sex + Population + Session:Sex	14	119.63	13.01	0.00	-44.99	18.88
7	Sex + Population + Sex:Population	19	123.95	17.33	0.00	-41.46	20.57
8	Session + Sex + Session:Sex	7	128.09	21.47	0.00	-56.83	12.05
9	Session	5	132.09	25.47	0.00	-60.93	9.45
10	Population	11	132.76	26.15	0.00	-54.87	13.11
11	Sex	5	134.54	27.92	0.00	-62.16	8.64
12	Null	4	139.02	32.40	0.00	-65.43	6.45

k : number of parameters, Δ AICc: difference with AICc of the best model, w_i : model likelihood, r^2_m : marginal R-squared

Table S4. Principal component analysis (PCA) including the main determinants of population collapse. Variables included in the PCA are the relative change in abundance (Δ abundance), the minimal temperatures ($T_{\min}$), and the altitude. Table shows the eigenvalue of the 3 axes (PC₁ to PC₃), percentage of variance explained, and the inertia of each variables on the first two axes.

		PCA Axes		
		PC ₁	PC ₂	PC ₃
Eigenvalue		1.89	0.78	0.33
Variance		63.01	25.94	11.04
Inertia	Δ abundance	4273	54	-
	$T_{\min}$	3219	3733	-
	Altitude	2508	6213	-

Table S5. AICc based model selection comparing the effect of environmental conditions in early summer session on the plasma corticosterone levels in adult or yearling and female or male common lizards ($n = 662$). Each environmental covariate was treated one by one (5 models per covariate). Environmental covariates were also computed in a principal component analysis to extract an integrative index of population extinction risk (PC_1 – first axis of a principal component analysis including relative change in abundance, $T_{\min}$, and altitude, see Table S2). Population was treated as a random factor to account for non-independence.

Model number	Specification of fixed effects	k	AICc	$\Delta AICc$	w_i	Log likelihood	r^2_m	r^2_c
1	Age + Sex + $T_{\min}$ + Age : Sex + Age : $T_{\min}$ + Sex : $T_{\min}$	9	180.87	0.00	0.74	-81.30	30.79	33.77
2	Age + Sex + PC_1 + Age : Sex + Age : PC_1 + Sex : PC_1	9	185.02	4.16	0.09	-83.37	29.29	33.30
3	Age + Sex + $T_{\min}$ + Age : Sex + Sex : $T_{\min}$	8	185.14	4.27	0.09	-84.46	30.10	33.20
4	Age + Sex + water access + Age : Sex + Age : water access + Sex : water access	9	188.13	7.26	0.02	-84.93	28.68	33.01
5	Age + Sex + water access + Age : Sex + Age : water access	8	188.18	7.31	0.02	-85.98	28.44	32.83
6	Age + Sex + $T_{\min}$ + Age : Sex + Age : $T_{\min}$	8	189.72	8.85	0.01	-86.75	29.76	32.54
7	Age + Sex + $T_{\min}$ + Age : Sex	7	190.73	9.86	0.01	-88.28	29.39	32.28
8	Age + Sex + PC_1 + Age : Sex + Age : PC_1	8	191.24	10.37	0.00	-87.51	28.43	32.42
9	Age + Sex + Δ abundance + Age : Sex + Age : Δ abundance + Sex : Δ abundance	9	191.94	11.07	0.00	-86.83	28.35	32.42
10	Age + Sex + $T_{\max}$ + Age : Sex + Age : $T_{\max}$ + Sex : $T_{\max}$	9	191.94	11.08	0.00	-86.83	28.82	32.61
11	Age + Sex + Age : Sex	6	192.84	11.97	0.00	-90.35	27.53	31.90
12	Age + Sex + Δ abundance + Age : Sex + Age : Δ abundance	8	192.95	12.08	0.00	-88.37	28.05	32.06
13	Age + Sex + altitude + Age : Sex + Age : altitude	8	193.02	12.15	0.00	-88.40	28.04	32.06
14	Age + Sex + $T_{\max}$ + Age : Sex + Sex : $T_{\max}$	8	193.11	12.25	0.00	-88.45	28.49	32.26

15	Age + Sex + PC ₁ + Age : Sex + Sex : PC ₁	8	193.19	12.33	0.00	-88.49	28.13	32.47
16	Age + Sex + T _{max} + Age : Sex + Age : T _{max}	8	193.21	12.35	0.00	-88.50	28.50	32.25
17	Age + Sex + T _{max} + Age : Sex	7	193.28	12.41	0.00	-89.55	28.27	32.02
18	Age + Sex + altitude + Age : Sex + Age : altitude + Sex : altitude	9	193.37	12.50	0.00	-87.55	28.24	32.21
19	Age + Sex + PC ₁ + Age : Sex	7	194.55	13.69	0.00	-90.19	27.79	32.04
20	Age + Sex + altitude + Age : Sex	7	194.80	13.93	0.00	-90.31	27.53	31.85
21	Age + Sex + Δabundance + Age : Sex	7	194.83	13.96	0.00	-90.33	27.51	31.84
22	Age + Sex + water access + Age : Sex	7	194.87	14.00	0.00	-90.35	27.52	32.88
23	Age + Sex + Δabundance + Age : Sex + Sex : Δabundance	8	196.01	15.14	0.00	-89.90	27.57	32.00
24	Age + Sex + altitude + Age : Sex + Sex : altitude	8	196.59	15.73	0.00	-90.19	27.56	31.88
25	Age + Sex + water access + Age : Sex + Sex : water access	8	196.60	15.74	0.00	-90.19	27.56	31.90
26	Age + Sex	5	221.76	40.89	0.00	-105.83	24.36	28.59
27	Sex	4	238.51	57.65	0.00	-115.23	22.08	26.74
28	Age	4	357.56	176.70	0.00	-174.75	7.46	12.38
29	T _{min}	4	405.79	224.92	0.00	-198.86	2.19	6.17
30	Null	3	408.20	227.33	0.00	-201.08	0.00	6.18
31	T _{max}	4	408.41	227.54	0.00	-200.17	0.87	6.07
32	PC ₁	4	409.35	228.48	0.00	-200.64	0.47	6.18
33	Δabundance	4	410.17	229.30	0.00	-201.05	0.03	6.19
34	water access	4	410.17	229.31	0.00	-201.06	0.03	6.18

35	altitude	4	410.22	229.35	0.00	-201.08	0.00	6.18
----	----------	---	--------	--------	------	---------	------	------

k : number of parameters, ΔAICc : difference with AICc of the best model, w_i : model likelihood,
 r^2_{m} : marginal R-squared, r^2_{c} : conditional R-squared

Table S6. AICc based model selection comparing the effects of environmental conditions during the heat wave period on plasma corticosterone levels in yearling common lizards (n = 371). Each environmental covariate was treated one by one (5 models per covariate). Environmental covariates were also computed in a principal component analysis to extract an integrative index of population extinction risk (PC₁ – first axis of a principal component analysis including relative change in abundance, $T_{\min}$, and altitude, see Table S2). Population was treated as a random factor to account for non-independence.

Model number	Specification of fixed effects	k	AICc	Δ AICc	w_i	Log likelihood	r^2_m	r^2_c
1	Session + Sex + water access + Session : water access + Sex : water access	10	117.95	0.00	0.24	-48.55	16.43	21.82
2	Session + Sex + water access + Session : water access	9	119.55	1.61	0.11	-50.43	15.31	20.57
3	Session + Sex + $T_{\min}$	8	120.26	2.31	0.08	-51.85	15.94	17.89
4	Session + Sex + Δ abundance + Sex : Δ abundance	9	120.45	2.50	0.07	-50.88	15.62	19.68
5	Session + Sex + PC ₁ + Sex : PC ₁	9	120.91	2.96	0.06	-51.11	15.71	18.82
6	Session + Sex + Δ abundance + Session : Δ abundance + Sex : Δ abundance	10	121.03	3.08	0.05	-50.09	15.86	19.90
7	Session + Sex + PC ₁ + Session : PC ₁ + Sex : PC ₁	10	121.07	3.12	0.05	-50.11	16.12	19.19
8	Session + Sex + $T_{\min}$ + Sex : $T_{\min}$	9	121.47	3.52	0.04	-51.39	16.20	18.13
9	Session + Sex + $T_{\min}$ + Session : $T_{\min}$	9	121.65	3.70	0.04	-51.48	16.07	17.96
10	Session + Sex + PC ₁ + Session : PC ₁	9	121.97	4.02	0.03	-51.64	15.18	18.41
11	Session + Sex + PC ₁	8	122.17	4.22	0.03	-52.81	14.69	17.98
12	Session + Sex + $T_{\max}$	8	122.27	4.32	0.03	-52.86	14.74	18.05
13	Session + Sex	7	122.29	4.34	0.03	-53.93	13.55	18.68
14	Session + Sex + water access + Sex : water access	9	122.51	4.56	0.02	-51.91	14.82	20.08
15	Session + Sex + $T_{\min}$ + Session : $T_{\min}$ + Sex : $T_{\min}$	10	122.66	4.71	0.02	-50.90	16.39	18.26
16	Session + Sex + Δ abundance + Session : Δ abundance	9	123.14	5.19	0.02	-52.22	14.52	18.72

17	Session + Sex + Δ abundance	8	123.36	5.42	0.02	-53.41	14.08	18.32
18	Session + Sex + altitude	8	123.70	5.75	0.01	-53.57	14.44	18.74
19	Session + Sex + $T_{\max}$ + Session : $T_{\max}$	9	124.35	6.40	0.01	-52.83	14.79	18.12
20	Session + Sex + water access	8	124.39	6.44	0.01	-53.92	13.58	18.70
21	Session + Sex + $T_{\max}$ + Sex : $T_{\max}$	9	124.41	6.46	0.01	-52.86	14.74	18.05
22	Session + Sex + altitude + Sex : altitude	9	124.63	6.68	0.01	-52.97	14.81	19.12
23	Session + Sex + altitude + Session : altitude	9	125.75	7.80	0.00	-53.53	14.39	18.57
24	Session + Sex + $T_{\max}$ + Session : $T_{\max}$ + Sex : $T_{\max}$	10	126.50	8.56	0.00	-52.83	14.79	18.11
25	Session + Sex + altitude + Session : altitude + Sex : altitude	10	126.77	8.83	0.00	-52.96	14.79	19.04
26	Session	6	129.21	11.26	0.00	-58.45	10.46	14.91
27	Sex	6	130.54	12.59	0.00	-59.11	11.09	16.32
28	$T_{\min}$	6	135.22	17.27	0.00	-61.45	10.00	11.85
29	<i>Null</i>	5	136.48	18.53	0.00	-63.13	8.06	12.51
30	PC ₁	6	136.75	18.80	0.00	-62.22	9.02	12.04
31	$T_{\max}$	6	136.91	18.97	0.00	-62.30	9.05	12.12
32	Δ abundance	6	137.79	19.84	0.00	-62.74	8.52	12.34
33	altitude	6	138.12	20.18	0.00	-62.90	8.74	12.63
34	water access	6	138.57	20.62	0.00	-63.12	8.04	12.48

k : number of parameters, Δ AICc: difference with AICc of the best model, w_i : model likelihood, r^2_m : marginal R-squared, r^2_c : conditional R-squared