Molecular Sieves for the Separation of Hydrogen Isotopes

Julio Perez-Carbajo, José Parra, Conchi Maria Concepcion Ovin Ania, Patrick Merkling, Sofia Calero

► To cite this version:

Julio Perez-Carbajo, José Parra, Conchi Maria Concepcion Ovin Ania, Patrick Merkling, Sofia Calero. Molecular Sieves for the Separation of Hydrogen Isotopes. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2019, 11 (20), pp.18833-18840. 10.1021/acsami.9b02736 . hal-02124895

HAL Id: hal-02124895

<https://hal.science/hal-02124895>

Submitted on 6 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Perez-Carbajo J, Para JB, Ania CO, Merklings P,
Calero S, Molecular Sieves for the Separation of
Hydrogen Isotopes, ACS Applied Materials &
Interfaces 11 (2019) 18833–18840.**

10.1021/acsami.9b02736

hal-02124895v1

Molecular Sieves for the Separation of Hydrogen Isotopes

Julio Perez-Carbajo

José B. Parra

Conchi O. Ania

Patrick J. Merklings

Sofia Calero

Abstract

Stable molecular hydrogen isotopes, D₂ and T₂, are both scarce and essential in several energy, industrial and large-scale, fundamental research applications. Due to the chemical similarity of these isotopes, their extraction and purification from hydrogen has relied for decades on expensive and energy-demanding processes. However, factoring in the phenomenon of quantum sieving could provide a new route for these separations. In this work, we have explored how to separate hydrogen isotopes by adsorption taking these quantum effects into account. To this end, we have conducted adsorption measurements to test our deuterium model, and performed a widespread computational screening over 210 pure-silica zeolites for D₂:H₂ and T₂:H₂ separations. Based on low-coverage adsorption properties, a reduced set of zeolites have been singled out and their performance in terms of adsorption capacity, selectivity and dynamic behavior have been assessed. Overall, the BCT-type zeolite clearly stands out for highly selective separations of both D₂ and T₂ over H₂, achieving the highest reported selectivities at cryogenic temperatures. We also identified other interesting zeolites for the separation of hydrogen isotopes that offer an alternative way to tackle similar isotopic separations by an aimed selection or design of porous materials.

Introduction

Separation of hydrogen isotopes is one of the most challenging current research areas, especially from an industrial point of view. The natural abundances of non-synthetic hydrogen isotopes are about 156 ppm for deuterium (D) and only traces for tritium (T). Despite their scarcity, they both turn out to be crucial in several technological and industrial fields. Deuterium is employed in isotopic tracing for medical treatment and detection, nuclear magnetic resonance and neutron scattering techniques, and in development of deuterated drugs. Tritium has uses in the armament industry and in analytical chemistry. They are both used as raw materials in tokamak-type nuclear fusion reactors, where deuterium is also a key component for moderating neutrons. In fact, the yield of hydrogen isotopes for nuclear feedstock is under 10%, so recovering them from waste is crucial to increase the efficiency and reduce nuclear residues. Additionally, even if hydrogen is the desired product, extraction of deuterium from hydrogen bulk might be economically attractive, while for the case of tritium its environmental impact may make this operation a mandatory requirement.

Chemical similarities between H_2 , D_2 , and T_2 have been traditionally considered a major obstacle for the separation by molecular sieving methods. Other methods have therefore been used to carry out that separation: Cryogenic distillation, proton exchange reactions, thermal diffusion, centrifugation, electrolysis, or chromatography, among others. These techniques are quite energy consuming (the first three enumerated), or have a low yield (the last three mentioned). Thus, a cost-effective method with a high separation throughput would be desirable. In the mid-nineties, Beenakker *et al.* published a study on quantum sieving, an effect arising when the difference between the diameters of the pore and of the molecule approach the de Broglie wavelength. Under these conditions, similar molecules with different masses present different adsorption behaviors that can lead to heavier molecules in confined channels experiencing less repulsive interaction energies than lighter ones; this also affects diffusion properties in favor of the heavier isotope. That finding helped understanding the separation of isotopes in nanoporous materials. From then on, several works have explored hydrogen isotope separation in carbon nanotubes, zeolites, and metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). Although single-walled carbon nanotubes and zeolites were the first materials to be investigated, main research efforts in the last years have been focused in MOFs. Admitting that to exploit flexibility and breathing effects in MOFs is achieving promising results, zeolites, advantageously with respect to MOFs, are known for their thermal stability, economical production and scalability. In this sense, to the best of our knowledge, most studies on zeolites have only examined a few specific structures, so a widespread screening is lacking.

This work performs a computational investigation on 210 zeolites to find the best candidates for the separation of D_2 or T_2 from H_2 at temperatures running from cryogenic up to 200 K, and in the $10^{-1} - 10^5$ kPa pressure range. To that end, we have validated and used a Lennard-Jones (L-J) potential with Feynman-Hibbs quantum corrections for H_2 , and then derived the corresponding L-J parameters for the isotopes. D_2 interactions with pure silica zeolites have been validated with experimental adsorption isotherms at cryogenic temperatures. This study comprises an exhaustive comparison of hydrogen isotopes adsorption characteristics at low loadings, and then both dynamic and static analysis for selected zeolites to recommend the structures and working conditions leading to a highly-selective hydrogen isotope separation.

Methodology

Experimental procedures

Experimental gas adsorption isotherms on pure silica zeolites (MFI and ITQ-29) at cryogenic temperatures were performed in a volumetric analyser provided with a turbomolecular vacuum pump and three pressure transducers (0.13, 1.33 and 133 kPa, uncertainty within 0.15% of each reading). The volumetric analyser was coupled to a helium cryocooler (Gifford-McMahon) that allows a fine temperature control between 25–325 K with a stability of ± 0.1 K. Isotherms were recorded in the pressure range between 10^{-2} and 120 kPa. Before the analysis, zeolites were outgassed under dynamic vacuum at 623 K (1K/min) overnight. All gases were supplied by Air Products at an ultrahigh purity (i.e., 99.995%). MFI and ITQ-29 (LTA topology) pure silica zeolites were supplied by the Institute of Chemical Technology (ITQ), being both structures nearly completely pure SiO_2 crystals.

Computational methods, models, and force field

Energies between adsorbates themselves and with zeolites are dominated by electrostatic and van der Waals interactions. Electrostatic interactions are modeled by Coulombic potentials, using the Ewald summation to compute the long-range terms. On the other hand, Lennard–Jones (L–J) potentials are used to describe the van der Waals interactions. Quantum corrections have been added to Lennard–Jones potentials via an effective potential based on the Feynman-Hibbs variational approach to reproduce the quantum behavior of hydrogen isotopes at cryogenic temperatures. This approach has been repeatedly reported as an effective way to study the adsorption of quantum H_2 , D_2 , and T_2 in zeolites and other nanoporous materials. Lennard–Jones potential with Feynman-Hibbs corrections is cut and shifted to zero at a cutoff radius of 12 Å.

Zeolite lattices are considered rigid and only formed by interconnected SiO_4 tetrahedra. The coordinates of the framework atoms are taken from IZA database except for ISW, ITE, ITW, LTA, and MWW whose pure silica atom coordinates have been provided by ITQ: ITQ-7, ITQ-3, ITQ-12, ITQ-29, and ITQ-1, respectively. Static point charges for all of them ($q_{\text{Si}} = +0.786 e^-$ and $q_{\text{O}} = -0.393 e^-$) stem from Garcia-Sanchez *et al.*. Lennard–Jones interactions between framework atoms need not be considered in a rigid framework.

Hydrogen is modeled as a single, uncharged Lennard–Jones center, taken from van den Berg *et al.*, and modified by Deeg *et al.* to make it a Feynman-Hibbs potential. The Feynman-Hibbs parameters defining the interaction with zeolites have also been developed by Deeg. *et al.* Likewise, deuterium and tritium inherit the same model characteristics but updating their mass in relation to hydrogen molecule, so self- and cross-interaction Lennard–Jones parameters remain unaltered for them. Molecular weight of hydrogen isotopes are taken from NIST: $M_{\text{H}_2} = 2.01588 u$, $M_{\text{D}_2} = 4.02820 u$, and $M_{\text{T}_2} = 6.03209 u$. These increases in mass directly affect the effective radius of the molecules as a consequence of the Feynman-Hibbs effective potential expression:

$$U_{\text{F-H}}(r_{ij}) = U_{\text{L-J}}(r_{ij}) + \frac{\hbar^2}{24\mu_{ij}k_B T} \left[U''_{\text{L-J}}(r_{ij}) + \frac{2U'_{\text{L-J}}(r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} \right]$$

where $U_{\text{L-J}}$ is the classical Lennard-Jones potential, prime and double prime

symbols refer to first- and second-derivative, r_{ij} is the distance between two interacting particles, μ_{ij} is the reduced mass of i and j particles given by $\mu_{ij}^{-1} = M_i^{-1} + M_j^{-1}$ (being M the molecular mass), $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the temperature.

Widom test-particle method, through Monte Carlo simulations using the so called Canonical ensemble, was used to determine Henry coefficients (K_H) of adsorbates from 25 K to 200 K. K_H is related to the excess free energy of an adsorbed molecule by the following expression:

$$K_H = \frac{1}{RT\rho_f} \frac{\langle W \rangle}{\langle W^{ig} \rangle}$$

where T is the temperature, R the gas constant, ρ_f the density of the framework, $\langle W \rangle$ the Rosenbluth factor of the single chain molecule and $\langle W^{ig} \rangle$ the Rosenbluth factor of the molecule in the ideal gas. Given that K_H provides information about adsorption at infinite dilution, selectivity at zero loading, $S_{ij}^0 = K_H^i / K_H^j$, establishes a measure of preferential adsorption of adsorbate i over j .

Adsorption isotherms were computed by Monte Carlo simulations in the Grand Canonical ensemble (μVT), in which the chemical potential μ is directly related to fugacity and thereby to the pressure through the fugacity coefficient. Constant values were set for temperature and pressure in simulations, spanning the ranges T [25-200] K and, at least, P [10^{-1} - 10^5] kPa. Both pure component and 1:1 mixture simulations were carried out for selected zeolites. Adsorption selectivity (S_{ij}) highlights the preferential adsorption of one component (i) over another (j) from their molar fractions in the adsorbed phase (θ) and in the bulk phase (x), according to:

$$S_{ij} = \frac{\theta_i \cdot x_j}{\theta_j \cdot x_i}$$

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed to obtain self-diffusion coefficients (D) of the adsorbates. They were determined from the slope of the mean-square displacements once the adsorbed species reached the diffusive regime inside the structure. MD simulations were performed in the Canonical ensemble (NVT), integrating Newton's laws of motion using a velocity-Verlet algorithm with an integration time step of 0.5 fs and simulated times upwards of 325 ns. A Nosé-Hoover chain thermostat was used to ensure the average temperature was constant. The number of adsorbates was set to 1.

All the aforementioned techniques were simulated using RASPA molecular simulation software.

Results and discussion

Deuterium/Hydrogen separation

To determine the operational properties and performance of 1:1 hydrogen isotope separation using zeolites, we have carried out a thorough study on both equilibrium and time-dependent conditions. To that end, molecular simulations have proven to provide deep physical insights from which macroscopic behavior of adsorbates is inferred for these confined systems. Additionally, simulation methods allow to span the operation conditions further than

experiments, which are restricted by economical considerations and number of trials. However, accurate models and force fields are completely necessary to obtain useful simulation results to target further experimental research. Therefore, we first validated the hydrogen model taken from literature and also the derived model for deuterium used in this work by comparing the computed and experimental adsorption isotherms of two well-known pure silica zeolites (MFI and LTA) at 77.3 K and 90.2 K.

Figure 1: Experimental (open symbols) and simulated (filled symbols) adsorption isotherms of D_2 (green triangles and diamonds) and H_2 (blue circles and squares) in LTA (top) and MFI (bottom) at 77.3 K and 90.2 K.

Both models reproduce precisely the experimental adsorption isotherms in LTA throughout the whole pressure range, as shown in Figure 1. Computed adsorption isotherms also reproduce the experimental data in MFI, although the loading of the two molecules is slightly underestimated at 77.3 K and 5–10 kPa. Nevertheless, deviations affect both hydrogen and deuterium in much the same way, meaning selectivity determinations are reliable, and differences are not substantial either.

We have computed and analyzed Henry coefficients for H_2 and D_2 as a function of temperature in the range of 25–200 K for 210 zeolites. As a general trend, $K_H^{D_2}$ and $K_H^{H_2}$ are found to decrease with temperature and the heavier D_2 is more strongly adsorbed than H_2 , therefore

$S^0_{D_2H_2} > 1$. This trend is declining as temperature increases (Figure 2). Figure 2 shows the five most selective zeolites at each temperature; as seen, zeolite BCT stands out by far as the best candidate (i.e., highest $S^0_{D_2H_2}$) to perform a separation at low loading over the whole temperature range. It is especially selective up to 50–60 K. The second most selective zeolite depended on the temperature: AVL up to 50 K and MVY from 60–200 K. Other zeolite structures in the top five at some temperatures are: AHT, ANA, BPH, EUO, IWW, LTJ, MSE, OSI, SAO, SBS, SBT, SSF, and SZR. All the computed $S^0_{D_2H_2}$ values are summarized in Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

Figure 2: Selectivity at zero loading of deuterium over hydrogen as a function of temperature. Detailed graphics show close-up for the 25–50 K (bottom-left) and 60–200 K (bottom-right) temperature ranges, excluding BCT zeolite to ease the view.

Given the superior selectivity values of zeolites BCT, AVL, and MVY for the separation of deuterium from hydrogen, these zeolites were investigated in more detail. Zeolite BCT has a tetragonal structure with a one-dimensional pore system, formed by parallel 8-membered-ring channels along the z -axial direction with transversal side-pockets on alternating sides. The orthorhombic form of zeolite MVY also has a one-dimensional, sinusoidal channel system, comprised of 10-membered-ring cavities along the x -axial direction. On the other hand, zeolite AVL is a two-dimensional structure, with a trigonal crystalline system consisting of cylindrical-like cages connected by six 8-membered-ring windows (three on each end of the cylinder) and

lentil-like cages with three 8-membered-ring windows (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Energy surface areas and lattice atoms (yellow for Si and red for O) for BCT (top), AVL (bottom-left), and MVY (bottom-right) zeolites. Individual channels or pore systems are highlighted in blue, green, and orange, respectively, to ease the view. In AVL, bright-green is for lentil-like cages and dark-green for cylindrical cages.

Diffusion coefficients for single molecules have been evaluated with a twofold goal: determine the capacity of adsorbates to access adsorption centers inside zeolites and search for conditions under which a noticeable difference between D_{D_2} and D_{H_2} is reached, which would open up the possibility of performing a quantum kinetic sieving of the isotopes. Results are presented in Figure 4. Diffusion was computed in the temperature ranges in which zero-loading selectivities stood out: The whole range for BCT, 25-50 K for AVL, and 60-200 K for MVY. However, it is worth noting that diffusion coefficients for zeolite MVY at 80, 70, and 60 K could not be accurately determined after simulating 500 ns molecular dynamics, and were of no practical use. For the same reason, diffusion coefficients in BCT are not considered below 40 K. Regarding kinetic sieving, diffusion coefficients for D_2 and H_2 are quite similar in AVL and MVY, and also for most of the analyzed temperatures in BCT. Although diffusion coefficients are quite low for zeolite BCT, a priori a kinetic-based separation at 40–50 K would be possible.

Figure 4: Self-diffusion coefficients of D_2 (diamonds) and H_2 (circles) in BCT (blue tones), AVL (green tones), and MVY (orangy-red tones) at the temperatures at which they have high selectivity (see text) at zero loading.

After considering diffusion results, acceptable operation ranges to perform the D_2/H_2 separation were restricted to 25-50 K in AVL, 40-200 K in BCT, and 90-200 K in MVY. Pure compound as well as 1:1 mixture adsorption isotherms were simulated at the temperatures of choice spanning a pressure range of at least $[10^{-1} - 10^4]$ kPa. For all three structures, in pure component adsorption isotherms (Figure S1), deuterium starts to be adsorbed at lower pressure than hydrogen, although this difference diminishes when temperature increases. Additionally, the location of the adsorption sites within the pores of the zeolites is shown for pure component adsorptions in Figures S2-S4 for BCT, AVL and MVY, respectively. Both deuterium and hydrogen adsorb at the same sites, and their loading dependency is also similar due to the competition for the same sites. Paradoxically, adsorbates' positions at high loading tend to be better defined as the adsorption sites are narrowed down by the steric interactions between adsorbates. The pure-component data suggest the separation capability of the zeolites but, rather than predicting mixture separation from pure compound isotherms as it is commonly reported in the literature, we simulated 1:1 D_2/H_2 mixture adsorption isotherms to calculate $S_{D_2H_2}$ selectivities.

Figures 5, S5, and S6 contain the adsorption isotherms of the components of the mixture and also the temperature- and pressure-dependent adsorption selectivities in zeolites BCT, AVL, and MVY, respectively. In view of the adsorption selectivities exhibited by BCT (Figure 5, bottom), it must be noted that they are nearly parallel (in the log-log scale) over the whole pressure range at different temperatures. Additionally, the pressure dependence is rather small, selectivities remain within 0.8–1.3 times the selectivity at 100 kPa, so that an increase in pressure to ensure a high loading is not detrimental to separation. Selectivities between 80 and 128 depending on pressure are reached at 40 K, which, to the best of our knowledge, are the highest reported in the literature. It is especially advisable to maintain the pressure at 100 kPa or more to obtain deuterium loadings of 3 mol/kg or more. Under these cryogenic conditions, temperature control is important: a 20 K increase leads to selectivity decreases by one order of magnitude. Still, BCT achieves relevant separation ratios of ~ 8 -9 at 60 K. Further rises in temperature lead to more

moderate decreases in selectivity, but then the absolute values are not very high.

Figure 5: Adsorption loading (top) of deuterium (black lines grid) and hydrogen (red lines grid) from a 1:1 mixture as a function of pressure and temperature; grid cell colors match loading color-box. Adsorption selectivity (bottom) of deuterium over hydrogen as a function of pressure and temperature (color code assigned univocally for each temperature). Dashed lines and small symbols apply to selectivities at which the associated loading of D_2 is less than 0.1 mol/kg.

Zeolite AVL also achieves high D_2/H_2 adsorption selectivities at low temperatures (Figure S5). As in zeolite BCT, selectivity decreases with temperature but, in this case, its increase with pressure is much larger, reaching a remarkable value of $S_{D_2/H_2}=22$ at 25 K and 10^5 kPa while adsorbing 14 mol/kg of molecular deuterium vs. barely 0.6 mol/kg of molecular hydrogen. It is also worth noting that $S_{D_2/H_2}=15$ at 25 K and atmospheric pressure, with 13 mol/kg deuterium adsorbed. Regarding zeolite MVY, selectivities remain poor, $S_{D_2/H_2}<2$, remaining even under 1.5 for most of the operation conditions considered acceptable (Figure S6)

Tritium/Hydrogen separation

Following the same methodology used for D_2 and H_2 , T_2/H_2 separation at low coverage has been

screened. As seen in Figure 6, BCT zeolite clearly stands out from the rest of zeolites, exhibiting the largest selectivity at all the temperatures simulated. Zeolites EUO, SAO, and OSI are second best at some temperature within the 25–80 K temperature range, for which these adsorption selectivities remain greater or equal to two.

Figure 6: Selectivity at zero loading of tritium over hydrogen as a function of temperature. Detailed graphics show close-up for the 25–50 K (bottom-left) and 60–200 K (bottom-right) temperature ranges, excluding BCT zeolite to ease the view.

Given the prevalence of BCT for the separation of hydrogen isotopes, this zeolite was selected to further simulate the selectivity and adsorption performance for an equimolar T_2/H_2 mixture. Based on the diffusion coefficients obtained for D_2 and H_2 , the adsorption of the equimolar mixture adsorption was computed at temperatures above 40 K (Figure 7). Although a similar shape of the adsorption isotherms/isobars is obtained compared with the deuterium case, it is worth noting that tritium loading is higher under the same (T,P) conditions while hydrogen is slightly less adsorbed, and therefore adsorption selectivity values rise up to $S_{T_2H_2}=915$ under optimal conditions ($T=40$ K and $P=10^5$ kPa).

Figure 7: Adsorption loading (top) of tritium (black lines grid) and hydrogen (red lines grid) from a 1:1 mixture as a function of pressure and temperature; grid cell colors match loading color-box. Adsorption selectivity (bottom) of deuterium over hydrogen as a function of pressure and temperature (color code assigned univocally for each temperature). Dashed lines and small symbols apply to selectivities at which the associated loading of T_2 is less than 0.1 mol/kg.

Conclusions

We have screened various zeolite structures and operating conditions to select the best performing materials for the separation of hydrogen isotopes (D_2/H_2 and T_2/H_2 mixtures). To this end, we have proposed a deuterium model developed from an existing hydrogen model that incorporates quantum corrections. The model has been validated vs experimental hydrogen and deuterium adsorption isotherms in two pure silica zeolites (MFI and LTA). At low temperatures, these quantum corrections are responsible for the stronger adsorption of deuterium over hydrogen, as expressed by the adsorption selectivity. The adsorption selectivity at low loadings was found to be a good indicator for the adsorption selectivity at higher loadings, and much more temperature-sensitive than pressure-dependent. We have identified several zeolites to perform hydrogen isotope separation with a high selectivity. Notably, zeolite BCT exhibits the highest adsorption selectivity for D_2/H_2 separation at low temperature. For instance, at 40 K and high

loadings D_2 is ca. 80 to 130 times more adsorbed than H_2 , depending on pressure. This zeolite also presents a high deuterium selectivity (ca. S_{D_2/H_2} of 20) at 50 K and atmospheric pressure. We have also explored whether if in addition to the quantum sieving responsible for enhancing the adsorption of the heavier isotope, a quantum kinetic sieving effect -a diffusion-driven separation due to differences in the energy barriers- could be used advantageously in this system. Data showed that the quantum kinetic sieving effect was small in the temperatures of interest. Alternatively to BCT, zeolite AVL showed a high loading capacity between 25–45 K, being able to separate deuterium from hydrogen reasonably well. Regarding T_2/H_2 separation, zeolite BCT has proven to have an extremely high selectivity, favoring the heavier isotope.

In sum, we have demonstrated that hydrogen isotope separation is feasible using zeolites at cryogenic temperatures and, especially, zeolite BCT stands out for this task. Besides, this study provides new perspectives to tackle other isotope mixture separations such as H_2/HD (hydrogen deuteride) or T_2/D_2 , by selecting or designing highly selective and efficient porous materials.

This work was supported by the European Research Council through an ERC Starting Grant (ERC2011-StG-279520-RASPA). We thank C3UPO for the HPC support.

Tables with adsorption selectivities for D_2/H_2 and T_2/H_2 are provided, as well as pure component adsorption isotherms and preferential sites of adsorption maps for D_2 and H_2 in BCT, AVL and MVY zeolites.

Supporting Information Available

Tables with adsorption selectivities for D_2/H_2 and T_2/H_2 are provided, as well as pure component adsorption isotherms and preferential sites of adsorption maps for D_2 and H_2 in BCT, AVL and MVY zeolites.

References

- (1) Greenwood, N.; Earnshaw, A. Chemistry of the Elements 2nd Edition; Butterworth-Heinemann, 1997.
- (2) Meija, J.; Coplen, T. B.; Berglund, M.; Brand, W. A.; De Bièvre, P.; Groning, M.; Holden, N. E.; Irrgeher, J.; Loss, R. D.; Walczyk, T.; Prohaska, T. Isotopic Compositions of the Elements 2013 (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 2016, 88, 293{306.
- (3) Cai, J.; Xing, Y.; Zhao, X. Quantum sieving: feasibility and challenges for the separation of hydrogen isotopes in nanoporous materials. RSC Advances 2012, 2, 8579{8586.
- (4) Beenakker, J.; Borman, V.; Krylov, S. Y. Molecular Transport in Subnanometer Pores: Zero-Point Energy, Reduced Dimensionality and Quantum Sieving. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1995, 232, 379{382
- (5) Wang, Q.; Challa, S. R.; Sholl, D. S.; Johnson, J. K. Quantum Sieving in Carbon Nanotubes and Zeolites. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1999, 82, 956{959.

- (6) Dresselhaus, M.; Williams, K.; Eklund, P. Hydrogen Adsorption in Carbon Materials. *MRS Bull.* 1999, 24, 45{50.
- (7) Challa, S. R.; Sholl, D. S.; Johnson, J. K. Adsorption and Separation of Hydrogen Isotopes in Carbon Nanotubes: Multicomponent Grand Canonical Monte Carlo Simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2002, 116, 814{824.
- (8) Tanaka, H.; Kanoh, H.; Yudasaka, M.; Iijima, S.; Kaneko, K. Quantum Effects on Hydrogen Isotope Adsorption on Single-Wall Carbon Nanohorns. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, 127, 7511{7516.
- (9) Kowalczyk, P.; Gauden, P. A.; Terzyk, A. P. Cryogenic Separation of Hydrogen Isotopes in Single-Walled Carbon and Boron-nitride Nanotubes: Insight into the Mechanism of Equilibrium Quantum Sieving in Quasi-One-Dimensional Pores. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2008, 112, 8275{8284.
- (10) Niimura, S.; Fujimori, T.; Minami, D.; Hattori, Y.; Abrams, L.; Corbin, D.; Hata, K.; Kaneko, K. Dynamic Quantum Molecular Sieving Separation of D₂ from H₂/D₂ Mixture with Nanoporous Materials. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 18483{18486.
- (11) Kumar, A. A.; Jobic, H.; Bhatia, S. K. Quantum Effects on Adsorption and Diffusion of Hydrogen and Deuterium in Microporous Materials. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2006, 110, 16666{16671.
- (12) Chu, X.-Z.; Cheng, Z.-P.; Xiang, X.-X.; Xu, J.-M.; Zhao, Y.-J.; Zhang, W.-G.; Lv, J.-S.; Zhou, Y.-P.; Zhou, L.; Moon, D.-K.; Lee, C.-H. Separation Dynamics of Hydrogen Isotope Gas in Mesoporous and Microporous Adsorbent Beds at 77 K: SBA15 and Zeolites 5A, Y, 10X. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2014, 39, 4437{4446.
- (13) Kowalczyk, P.; Terzyk, A. P.; Gauden, P. A.; Furmaniak, S.; Pantatosaki, E.; Papadopoulos, G. K. Intrinsic D₂/H₂ Selectivity of NaX Zeolite: Interplay between Adsorption and Kinetic Factors. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2015, 119, 15373{15380.
- (14) Friebe, S.; Wang, N.; Diestel, L.; Liu, Y.; Schulz, A.; Mundstock, A.; Caro, J. Deuterium/Hydrogen Permeation through Different Molecular Sieve Membranes: ZIF, LDH, Zeolite. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2015, 216, 127{132.
- (15) Physick, A. J.; Wales, D. J.; Owens, S. H.; Shang, J.; Webley, P. A.; Mays, T. J.; Ting, V. P. Novel Low Energy Hydrogen/Deuterium Isotope Breakthrough Separation Using a Trapdoor Zeolite. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2016, 288, 161{168.
- (16) Salazar, J.; Lectez, S.; Gauvin, C.; Macaud, M.; Bellat, J.; Weber, G.; Bezverkhyy, I.; Simon, J. Adsorption of Hydrogen Isotopes in the Zeolite NaX: Experiments and Simulations. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2017, 42, 13099{13110.
- (17) Pantatosaki, E.; Jobic, H.; Kolokolov, D. I.; Karmakar, S.; Biniwale, R.; Papadopoulos, G. K. Probing the Hydrogen Equilibrium and Kinetics in Zeolite/Imidazolate Frameworks Via Molecular Dynamics and Quasi-elastic Neutron Scattering Experiments. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2013, 138, 034706.
- (18) FitzGerald, S. A.; Pierce, C. J.; Rowsell, J. L.; Bloch, E. D.; Mason, J. A. Highly

- Selective Quantum Sieving of D₂ from H₂ by a Metal-Organic Framework As Determined by Gas Manometry and Infrared Spectroscopy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 9458-9464.
- (19) Oh, H.; Savchenko, I.; Mavrandonakis, A.; Heine, T.; Hirscher, M. Highly Effective Hydrogen Isotope Separation in Nanoporous Metal-Organic Frameworks with Open Metal Sites: Direct Measurement and Theoretical Analysis. *ACS Nano* 2014, 8, 761-770.
- (20) Weinrauch, I.; Savchenko, I.; Denysenko, D.; Souliou, S.; Kim, H.; Le Tacon, M.; Daemen, L. L.; Cheng, Y.; Mavrandonakis, A.; Ramirez-Cuesta, A.; Volkmer, D.; Schütt, G.; Hirscher, M.; Heine, T. Capture of Heavy Hydrogen Isotopes in a Metal-Organic Framework with Active Cu (I) Sites. *Nat. Commun.* 2017, 8, 14496.
- (21) Kim, J. Y.; Balderas-Xicohtencatl, R.; Zhang, L.; Kang, S. G.; Hirscher, M.; Oh, H.; Moon, H. R. Exploiting Diffusion Barrier and Chemical Affinity of Metal-Organic Frameworks for Efficient Hydrogen Isotope Separation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 15135-15141.
- (22) Feynman, R. P.; Hibbs, A. R. *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*; McGraw-Hill, 1965.
- (23) Ses_e, L. M. Study of the Feynman-Hibbs Effective Potential against the Path-Integral Formalism for Monte Carlo Simulations of Quantum Many-Body Lennard-Jones Systems. *Mol. Phys.* 1994, 81, 1297-1312.
- (24) Ses_e, L. M. Feynman-Hibbs Potentials and Path Integrals for Quantum Lennard-Jones Systems: Theory and Monte Carlo Simulations. *Mol. Phys.* 1995, 85, 931-947.
- (25) Darkrim, F.; Aouf, A.; Levesque, D. Quantum Contribution to Gas Adsorption in Carbon Nanotubes. *Mol. Simul.* 2000, 24, 51-61.
- (26) Noguchi, D.; Tanaka, H.; Kondo, A.; Kajiro, H.; Noguchi, H.; Ohba, T.; Kanoh, H.; Kaneko, K. Quantum Sieving Effect of Three-dimensional Cu-Based Organic Framework for H₂ and D₂. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008, 130, 6367-6372.
- (27) Tanaka, H.; Noguchi, D.; Yuzawa, A.; Kodaira, T.; Kanoh, H.; Kaneko, K. Quantum Effects on Hydrogen Isotopes Adsorption in Nanopores. *J. Low Temp. Phys.* 2009, 157, 352-373.
- (28) Noguchi, D.; Tanaka, H.; Fujimori, T.; Kagita, H.; Hattori, Y.; Honda, H.; Urita, K.; Utsumi, S.; Wang, Z.-M.; Ohba, T.; Kanoh, H.; Hata, K.; Kaneko, K. Selective D₂ Adsorption Enhanced by the Quantum Sieving Effect on Entangled Single-Wall Carbon Nanotubes. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 2010, 22, 334207.
- (29) Baerlocher, C.; McCusker, L.; Olson, D. *Atlas of Zeolite Framework Types*, 6th ed.; 2007.
- (30) Villaescusa, L. A.; Barrett, P. A.; Cambor, M. A. ITQ-7: A New Pure Silica Polymorph with a Three-Dimensional System of Large Pore Channels. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1999, 38, 1997-2000.
- (31) Cambor, M. A.; Corma, A.; Lightfoot, P.; Villaescusa, L. A.; Wright, P. A. Synthesis and Structure of ITQ-3, the First Pure Silica Polymorph with a Two-Dimensional

- System of Straight Eight-Ring Channels. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1997, 36, 2659{2661.
- (32) Barrett, P. A.; Boix, T.; Puche, M.; Olson, D. H.; Jordan, E.; Koller, H.; Cambor, M. A. ITQ-12: A New Microporous Silica Polymorph Potentially Useful for Light Hydrocarbon Separations. *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 2114{2115.
- (33) Corma, A.; Rey, F.; Rius, J.; Sabater, M. J.; Valencia, S. Supramolecular Self-Assembled Molecules As Organic Directing Agent for Synthesis of Zeolites. *Nature* 2004, 431, 287.
- (34) Cambor, M. A.; Corma, A.; Daz-Cabanas, M.-J.; Baerlocher, C. Synthesis and Structural Characterization of MWW Type Zeolite ITQ-1, the Pure Silica Analog of MCM-22 and SSZ-25. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1998, 102, 44{51.
- (35) Garcia-Sanchez, A.; Ania, C. O.; Parra, J. B.; Dubbeldam, D.; Vlugt, T. J. H.; Krishna, R.; Calero, S. Transferable Force Field for Carbon Dioxide Adsorption in Zeolites. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2009, 113, 8814{8820.21
- (36) van den Berg, A. W.; Bromley, S. T.; Wojdel, J. C.; Jansen, J. C. Adsorption Isotherms of H₂ in Microporous Materials with the SOD Structure: A Grand Canonical Monte Carlo Study. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater* 2006, 87, 235{242.
- (37) Deeg, K. S.; Gutierrez-Sevillano, J. J.; Rocio Bueno-Perez, J. B. P.; Ania, C. O.; Doblare, M.; Calero, S. Insights on the Molecular Mechanisms of Hydrogen Adsorption in Zeolites. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, 114374{14380.
- (38) Stein, S. NIST Chemistry WebBook, NIST Standard Reference Database Number 69, Eds. P. J. Linstrom and W. G. Mallard.
- (39) Widom, B. Some Topics in the Theory of Fluids. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1963, 39, 2808{2812.
- (40) Vlugt, T.; Garcia-Perez, E.; Dubbeldam, D.; Ban, S.; Calero, S. Computing the Heat of Adsorption Using Molecular Simulations: The Effect of Strong Coulombic Interactions. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 2008, 4, 1107{1118.
- (41) Dubbeldam, D.; Beerdsen, E.; Vlugt, T. J. H.; Smit, B. Molecular Simulation of Loading-Dependent Diffusion in Nanoporous Materials Using Extended Dynamically Corrected Transition State Theory. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2005, 122, {.
- (42) Hoover, W. G. Constant Pressure Equations of Motion. *Phys. Rev. A* 1986, 34, 2499{2500.
- (43) Dubbeldam, D.; Calero, S.; Donald, E.; Snurr, R. RASPA: Molecular Simulation Software for Adsorption and Diffusion in Flexible Nanoporous Materials. *Mol. Simul.* 2015,
- (44) Dubbeldam, D.; Torres-Knoop, A.; Walton, K. S. On the Inner Workings of Monte Carlo Codes. *Mol. Simul.* 2013, 39, 1253{1292.22