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Abstract—This paper presents preliminary steps towards the development of a general spatial model, based on graph theory, to visualize and reason about the road traffic in an urban environment. This model includes qualitative, in addition to quantitative, data which improves its computation and makes it robust to quantitative errors. The paper also describes different levels of abstraction which define distinct points of view of the environment and hence, allow for the acquisition of heterogeneous data. The graph consists of set of entities and corresponding spatial relations, the semantics behind which differ according to the level of abstraction. The ideas presented in this paper bring together the research done by Geomatics and Robotics/Perception communities.

I. INTRODUCTION

The desire to understand and formalise the evolution of vehicular traffic flow as well as the environment around a single vehicle has always been there among various research communities. Physicists developed microscopic traffic flow models like cellular automaton (CA) model, also called Nagel-Schreckenberg (Na-Sch) model [1], to represent the motion of a single vehicle hopping from one cell to the next in one time step, over a freeway. [2] describes a model which considers the velocity distribution of multi-lane vehicular traffic, and forms a basis for other macroscopic traffic flow models. Researchers working with Geographical Information Systems (GIS) have also shown interest in including the continuously varying traffic data within a geographical database [3], [4]. The results of DARPA Grand Challenge (2005) have motivated robotics community to contribute in the maturation of Intelligent Transportation Systems [5]. The development of comparatively cheaper and more accurate sensors along with better performing machine learning algorithms has made driver assistance systems more advanced and reliable [6].

For the development of autonomous vehicles, quantitative data acquired from the on-board and/or external sensors is used as it provides the exact measurements to “understand” the environment [7], [8]. While it provides precise knowledge, quantitative data is prone to errors and proves less useful if we want to reason in qualitative terms. [9] presents the idea of using both qualitative and quantitative information to model the characteristics of traffic flow. It describes traffic parameters which can only be defined at macroscopic level. Other models, like the one presented in [10], take into account only the microscopic data for the traffic flow.

The model introduced in this paper, however, considers qualitative as well as quantitative data about the road traffic at both microscopic and macroscopic levels. The ideas put forward in this paper, which also define the objectives for the ongoing work, tend towards combining the spatial information about the environment around a single vehicle (microscopic level) along with that associated to a road network located in a geographical area (macroscopic level) and the traffic data for that road network, in a single model, which will be useful to perceive the environment around a vehicle more robustly and to better understand the flow of traffic in that area. In addition, qualitative relations, defined for a range of quantitative values, will be useful to avoid fluctuation/errors (if any) in those values. In this regard, the information gathered by different GIS about the urban road network (like traffic density, travel time etc.), along with vehicle’s internal (e.g. GPS, internal cameras etc.) and external (e.g. inductive loop counters, external cameras etc.) sensors, will be considered. The geometric design of road (like width, length, sight distance, number of lanes etc.) will also be included in the model.

Fig. 1 shows a general block diagram of a global system of which the qualitative model is a part. The inputs module specifies different sources of inputs from which the data will be collected and stored in the database. This database will also
include the output of different perception algorithms, such as the distance between two vehicles, position of a vehicle with respect to another vehicle, relative trajectory of two vehicles etc. All this information will act as quantitative input for the qualitative model, which will be used to describe the behavior of pertinent objects (like vehicles) under different traffic conditions, and in-turn improve the environment perception. The data structure used to visualize the model and its constituents at different levels of abstraction is a graph, whose nodes represent the entities and edges the spatial relations. Graphs provide a good framework for qualitative reasoning as the relations between different traffic participants can be easily represented and the spatial changes between them can be efficiently computed.

This paper is organized as follows: In section II, preliminary ideas for the development of the qualitative model are described. Section III talks about two types of granularity which are present in the model. It also discusses different entities and spatial relations considered at different levels of abstraction. The paper is concluded and the future work is mentioned in section IV.

II. QUALITATIVE MODEL

In this section, we will describe the qualitative spatial graph model. We will first take a look at the entities and spatial relations included in the model. Then we will discuss two ways in which a road network can be visualized graphically. In the end, the mathematical formalization of the graphs at different levels of abstraction will be defined.

A. Entities

Entity represents an object (physical/abstract) which plays an important role in understanding the phenomenon being modeled. In different domains, entities have different definitions. A geographic entity is an object which occupies some finite space [11], while in DBMS, it represents a thing of interest about which the data has to be stored in the database [12]. There can be multiple entities in a model which may have similar characteristics/properties, but they must be differentiated by defining a unique identity [13]. In our model, entity represents different things at different levels of detail, as described in later sections. A unique label provides the identity to each entity.

B. Spatial Relations

The entities interact with each other in space. These interactions form the spatial relations between pairs of entities and are represented as edges in the graph. There are different types of spatial relations which are included in the model. [14] defines three types of spatial relations: (1) metric relations, which take into account quantitative aspects of space (2) topological relations, which give qualitative information about geometrical interactions between two spatial objects and (3) order relations, which specify the relative position of two spatial objects.

Another type of relations which specify the relative position of two spatial entities is orientation relations [15], [16]. These differ from directional relations [17] as orientation relations use an explicit frame of reference present at the same location as the reference object, whereas directional relations use a general frame of reference. For example, an orientation relation leftOf is defined according to the shape or geometry of an object but a directional relation northOf considers the well defined cardinal directions. [18] describes an approach to include qualitative distance between two entities and define relations such as close, far, very far etc.

When there is a change in the position of the entities over time, then a new kind of relation which defines the relative direction of motion between two entities comes into picture, and can be described using Directed Interval Algebra (DIA) [19]. DIA, using spatial intervals, gives another framework to represent relative position of extended objects.

The list of spatial relations described in this section is by no means exhaustive. There are other spatial relations which are not mentioned in this section, but are described later wherever they are used.

C. Representation of urban road networks

Now we will take a look at two approaches, defined in the literature, to represent the urban road networks using a graph model.

In the first approach, a road network is represented by a graph whose nodes are the intersections and the edges are the roads segments joining the two adjacent intersections. [20] calls this graph as primal graph. Primal graphs exhibit the actual spatial structure of the network and, due to their intuitiveness for human understanding, are widely used and, thus, form a basis for a large number of datasets. Parameters such as travel time, traffic density, distance etc. can be incorporated into the edges of the graph.

The second approach to represent a road network considers road segments as nodes and if two road segments intersect, an edge is added between the associated nodes. This graph is called dual graph [21]. Dual graphs represent the topological structure of the network and can be used to measure the
centrality or importance of a road segment. Fig. 2 shows primal and dual graphs for an arbitrary road network consisting of four numbered road segments intersecting with each other.

In a primal graph, the information about the road segment like length, travel time, width, sight distance etc. will be associated with an edge of the graph which makes it more natural for human understanding, and the information about the type of intersection (T, X, Roundabout etc.) can be defined as a property of a node. However, dual graph exhibits the information about the spatial relations between two road segments and helps in visualizing the traffic flow at an intersection. We consider both approaches in our model.

D. Definitions of important terms

Before describing the model, we would like to define some terms used in the model which are also represented in Fig. 3.

Road segment: A road segment is defined as a part of the road network which connects two intersections i.e., the two adjacent intersections are considered as the end points of the road segment connecting them. A road segment could be divided into two carriageways or it could be divided into sectors. Both these divisions give rise to two different points of view which the granularity in the model is defined in later sections.

Road carriageway: When a road segment is divided into two carriageways, it represents the bidirectional nature of the traffic flow [22]. These carriageways may or may not be multi-lane. Let \( G \) be a set of carriageways for a road segment, then we define: \( G = \{ l_1, l_2 \} \), where \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) are opposite direction carriageways.

Road sector: A road segment can be divided into consecutive non-overlapping sectors (similar as in [23]). The division can be on the basis of the length of the sector, or geometric road design. Let \( A \) be a set of sectors over a road segment, we have: \( A = \{ A_1, ..., A_n \} \), where \( A_i \) is a sector. The number of sectors for a road segment varies with the level of abstraction.

E. Spatial Graphical Model

Having defined the concepts and definitions to be used in the model, in this section we will take a look at how these concepts are interwoven together.

A graph for a given urban area is defined as

\[
G = (X, E)
\]

(1)

where \( X = V \cup B \cup VS \cup M \cup F \cup P \cup H \cup R \cup I \) represents the set of nodes. Here \( V \) is set of vehicles, \( B \) is set of buildings, \( VS \) is set of vertical structures (e.g. lane dividers, signboards, traffic signals, guard rails), \( M \) is set of road markings (e.g. zebra crossing, edge line, stop line, center line), \( F \) is set of roadides (e.g. footpath, bicycle track, vegetation), \( P \) is set of pedestrians, \( H \) is set of bicycles, \( R \) is set of road segments and \( I \) is the set of intersections. The elements of \( X \) pertain to different object classes present in a typical urban scenario. These object classes are chosen to be included in the model as they have a direct influence on traffic flow.

\[
E = \{(x, y) \mid x \rho y, \rho \in \varrho \}
\]

represents the set of edges (relations) between the elements of \( X \), and the set of all possible types of such relations is given by \( \varrho = \{ T, O, RT, RS, QD, Ord \} \) where \( T \) is set of topological relations (defined, for example, using RCC8) [24], \( O \) is set of orientation relations, \( RT \) represents relative trajectories, set \( RS \) describes the relative speed (slow, fast etc.) [25], set \( QD \) defines qualitative distance relations, and \( Ord \) defines set of order relations [26].

Considering a single road segment \( R_i \in R \), a graph containing physical objects as entities and corresponding relations is defined as

\[
G_i = (X_i, E_i)
\]

(2)

where \( X_i \subseteq X \setminus (I \cup \{ R_j \mid R_j \in R, j \neq i \}) \), \( i, j = 1, ..., N_R \) is the set of entities with \( N_R \) being the total number of road segments in \( R \) and \( E_i \subseteq E \) is the set of edges. In \( X_i \), all the roads except \( R_i \) and all the intersections are ignored. It is noteworthy that the type of relations considered in both \( G \) and \( G_i \) are same.

If a road segment \( R_i \in R \) is visualized in terms of carriageways \( L = \{ l_1, l_2 \} \), then a corresponding two-carriageway graph

\[
G_{Li} = (Y_i, E_{\omega i})
\]

(3)

has two groups of vehicles traveling in opposite directions as nodes, and the edge represents the relation between these groups. The set of nodes is \( Y_i = \{ V_{l1}, V_{l2} \} \) where \( V_{l1} \) and \( V_{l2} \)
and \( V_{l2} \) consider the graphs associated to all the vehicles in carriageway \( l1 \) and \( l2 \), respectively (Fig. 4b). The edge set is

\[
E_{\omega i} = \{ (x, y) \mid x \in \omega, \forall x, y \in V_i, x \neq y \}
\]

and the set of types of relations between these groups is \( \Omega = \{T, ARS, D\} \). Here, set \( ARS \) represents the average relative speed between two groups and \( D \) is the set of directional relations.

When we divide a road segment into sectors, a graph for each sector is defined which contains the vehicles and physical objects included in that sector. The sector graph for \( j \)th sector of \( i \)th road segment \( R_i \in R \) is defined as

\[
G_{Aij} = (U_{ij}, E_{ij})
\]

Since \( U_{ij} \subseteq X_i \) and \( E_{ij} \subseteq E_i \), we can say that \( G_{Aij} \subseteq G_i \). Depending on the level of abstraction, individual sectors can be combined/segregated to form new sectors each with their own associated graphs (described later).

Now, let’s see the graph formalization for primal and dual graphs which are useful to understand the structure of the road network. It should be noted that both these graphs are not the subgraphs of \( G \), but are used to enhance the knowledge which \( G \) represents. Moreover, these are static graphs, whereas \( G \) will be time varying (temporal information will be included in the model in future).

In primal graph,

\[
G_P = (I, E_{\gamma})
\]

where \( I \) is the set of intersections present in the entire urban area, the data for traffic flow (like traffic density, travel time etc.) and geometric design of roads is associated to the edges, while the data about the type of intersection is defined as the property of the nodes. The set of edges

\[
E_{\gamma} = \{ (x, y) \mid x \gamma y \}, \gamma \in \Gamma, \forall x, y \in I, x \neq y
\]

exists between two adjacent intersections where \( \Gamma = \{D\} \).

For dual graph,

\[
G_D = (R, E_{\psi})
\]

where \( R \) is the set of all the road segments, the spatial relations between two road segments are labeled on the edges connecting them. The set of edges

\[
E_{\psi} = \{ (x, y) \mid x \psi y \}, \psi \in \Psi, \forall x, y \in R, x \neq y
\]

exists between two intersecting road segments. The set of type of relations between these road segments is \( \Psi = \{AR, RO\} \) where, \( AR \) represents accessibility relation, which describes if a road segment is directly accessible from another road segment on the basis of the values in adjacency matrix for the road network [27], and \( RO \) explains the relative orientation between two road segments in a local reference frame. Combination of graphs defined in this section will provide a much broader picture about the urban traffic.

### III. Granularity

In this section, we will explain that how different viewpoints discussed above define different levels of detail, at which a road network can be envisaged.

Granularity of a model defines an analogy which compares the levels of abstraction in the model to granules, where finer granules are equivalent to higher levels of detail and coarser granules to lower levels [28]. These levels allow to segregate the information available from the entire model and process only the pertinent information, and thus make the model more robust and efficient.

Considering the entire urban area for which we want to understand and visualize the road traffic, the graph \( G \) defined in the previous section gives us the information at the finest level of granularity. It considers all the objects present on all the road segments in that area. However, when we focus on a single road segment, two types of granularity emerge: Carriageway based granularity and Sector based granularity (similar to granular computing in [29]).

#### A. Carriageway based granularity

When the road segment is divided into two carriageways, the vehicles are categorized into two groups, based on the
relation they have with the carriageways. These groups define the
topological relations in accordance with RCC8) between each
vehicle and the objects/pedestrians present in that sector, and do not consider
inter-sector relations.

In Fig. 6a, two arbitrary levels of sector based granularity
are shown. At the finer one, a road segment is divided into
two sectors. As a result, the entities present on/around that
road segment are also divided. At a coarser level, the data
related to both of them is considered. Fig. 6b shows the two
distinct graphs for sectors \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \). When these sectors
are combined at a coarser level of abstraction, a single graph
represents the relations for sector \( A_1^{+1} \), as shown in Fig.
6c. It is noteworthy that if, at a level of detail, an entity
is shared by two consecutive sectors, then its corresponding
relations are ignored at that level, but are considered at a higher
level. For example, the relations which include vehicle \( V_2 \) or
signboard \( S_1 \) are ignored in both the graphs in Fig. 6b. But at a
coarser level of detail, when the two sectors are combined, the
relations between all the entities included in the coarser sector
are added into the graph. The transition from one level to
another level of abstraction in this type of granularity depends
on the operator defined, which may change the way the sectors
are combined. This operator will take as inputs the graphs for
finer sectors, and combine them to form a graph for a coarser
sector. The definition of this operator is left for future.

IV. Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we present preliminary ideas to visualize
urban road traffic, while taking into consideration different
granularity and structure of the road network, which serve as
a basis for the development of a qualitative graphical model.
This model is intended to improve the perception of the
environment around a vehicle while describing the behavior of
different traffic participants, as well as understanding the
flow of traffic in a given urban area. We also define different
sets of entities and relations for different levels of abstraction.

We are working on defining the mathematical operator
(or function) to shift between different levels of abstraction
in sector based granularity. When considering multiple road
segments, the traffic data at intersections needs to be included
and a dynamic intersection-centric graph, different from primal
graph \( G_P \), needs to be defined, which when combined
with road segment-centric graph \( G_i \), will help to describe the
urban traffic flow. We intend to include temporal, in addition to
spatial, relations between the entities, which will make the
model dynamic. An ontology of entities present in a
general model will be defined so that the number of relations
between any two entities in the model can be reduced. We
will implement the concepts presented in this paper using the
data acquired from mapping services (like OpenStreetMaps
and Google Maps), internal and external sensors, and the traffic
data collected by CEREMA for the city of Rouen, in future.
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Fig. 6. (a) Two arbitrary levels of sector based granularity. At a finer level \( j \), road segment is divided into two sectors \( A_1^j \) and \( A_2^j \), and at next coarser level \( j+1 \) these sectors combine to form single sector \( A_{1,2}^{j+1} \) (b) Corresponding graphs for \( A_1^j \) and \( A_2^j \) (c) Corresponding graph for \( A_{1,2}^{j+1} \). All the relations are not shown to make the image more readable.
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