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The Arabidopsis type II peroxiredoxin (PRXII) family is composed of six different genes, five of which are expressed. On the basis of the nucleotide and protein sequences, we were able to define three subgroups among the PRXII family. The first subgroup is composed of AtPRXII-B, -C, and -D, which are highly similar and localized in the cytosol. AtPRXII-B is ubiquitously expressed. More striking is the specific expression of AtPRXII-C and AtPRXII-D localized in pollen. The second subgroup comprises the mitochondrial AtPRXII-F, the corresponding gene of which is expressed constitutively. We show that AtPRXII-E, belonging to the last subgroup, is expressed mostly in reproductive tissues and that its product is addressed to the plastid. By in vitro enzymatic experiments, we demonstrate that glutaredoxin is the electron donor of recombinant AtPRXII-B for peroxidase reaction, but the donors of AtPRXII-E and AtPRXII-F have still to be identified.

Plants generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as the superoxide anion, hydrogen peroxide, or the hydroxyl radical as by-products of electron transport chains in chloroplast and mitochondria, photorespiration in the peroxisome, and cell wall oxidases and peroxidases (Dat et al., 2000). ROS are necessary for plants, because they participate in signal transduction (Karpinski et al., 1999; Orozco-Cardenas et al., 2001; Mullineaux and Karpinski, 2002) and play a role in response to pathogen attack (Wojtaszek, 1997; Bolwell, 1999; Dat et al., 2000). However, biotic or abiotic stress may promote ROS generation and break the redox balance of the cell. To protect macromolecules such as lipids, proteins, or nucleic acids from damage caused by ROS, cells contain a large variety of antioxidant enzymes that include catalase, superoxide dismutase, ascorbate- and glutathione-dependent peroxidases, and the more recently described peroxiredoxin (PRX) family. The PRX family was first described as alkyl hydroperoxide reductase C (Jacobson et al., 1989) and later as thiol-specific antioxidant in Brewer’s yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) and Escherichia coli (Chae et al., 1994). In contrast to other peroxidases, PRX enzymes do not have re- dox cofactors such as metal or prosthetic groups.

They reduce hydrogen peroxides and alkyl peroxides to water and alcohols, respectively, by using reducing equivalents. These reducers are derived specifically from thiol-containing donor molecules such as thioredoxin (TRX; Chae et al., 1994; Kwon et al., 1994; Kang et al., 1998), glutaredoxin (GRX; Rouhier et al., 2001, 2002), and the flavin containing AhpF, a subunit of the Salmonella typhimurium alkyl hydroperoxide reductase highly similar to TRX reductase (Jacobson et al., 1989; Tartaglia et al., 1990). Members of the PRX family have now been identified in a wide variety of organisms ranging from archae and eubacteria to eukaryotes, including vertebrates and plants.

All PRX proteins contain a conserved Cys in their N-terminal part and some of them possess a second conserved Cys residue. The strictly conserved Cys residue is oxidized during the mechanism of ROS scavenging (Schroder and Ponting, 1998; Seo et al., 2000). To obtain regenerated PRX, the oxidized Cys residue forms a disulfide bridge, which is next reduced by disulfide oxidoreductases. On the basis of the number and position of conserved Cys residues, the PRX proteins are classified in several sub-families (Choi et al., 1999). Phylogenetic analysis using a wide range of PRX amino acid sequences and including related sequences of unidentified function (Verdoucq et al., 1999), allows the division of PRX into four main groups. The 2Cys-PRX group corresponds to PRX containing two conserved Cys residues, the 1Cys-PRX group includes PRX with only one conserved Cys residue, and the type II PRX family is composed of another type of PRX with two conserved Cys residues. In addition, a fourth group of PRX, called
type Q PRX, has also been described and contains homologs of the 
*E. coli* bacterioferritin-associated protein (Jeong et al., 2000; Kong et al., 2000).

In plants, the four types of PRX have been described (Baier and Dietz, 1996; Horling et al., 2002; Konig et al., 2002). Two Arabidopsis genes encode chloroplastic 2Cys-PRX (Dietz et al., 2002). All plant homologs present a transit peptide suggesting a chloroplastic localization of the mature proteins, and several publications have reported the characterization and involvement of 2Cys-PRX from barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) and spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*; Baier and Dietz, 1996, 1997, 1999), Chinese cabbage (*Brassica campestris* L. subsp. *pekinesis*; Cheong et al., 1999), or Arabidopsis (Baier et al., 2000; Konig et al., 2002) in the protection of chloroplasts against ROS. 1Cys-PRX has also been studied in diverse plant species such as rice (*Oryza sativa*), Arabidopsis, buckwheat (*Fagopyrum esculentum*), or barley (Stacy et al., 1996, 1999; Haslekas et al., 1998; Lee et al., 2000; Lewis et al., 2000) and are described as being related to seed dormancy (Lewis et al., 2000) or at least to antioxidant activity in seeds (Lee et al., 2000). A type Q PRX described in *Sedum lineare* is also proposed to act in vivo as an antioxidant (Kong et al., 2000). One Arabidopsis gene encodes a PRX-Q protein homologous to the *S. lineare* PRX-Q (Horling et al., 2002).

In this paper, we describe the most recently discovered PRXII family. In Arabidopsis, it is composed of six members that define three different subgroups. Using RT-PCR and plant reporter gene studies, we present the differential expression patterns of *AtPRXII*. We also report the detection of *AtPRXII* proteins in different organs and in three distinct cellular compartments. We discuss the in vitro activity characteristics of *AtPRXII* and demonstrate that at least one of them is reduced by the GRX system.

RESULTS

Characterization of Arabidopsis Type II PRX Gene and Protein Sequences

Searching for PRX homologs encoded by the nuclear genome of Arabidopsis, we found 10 potential open reading frames (ORFs). To build a phylogenetic tree, we used in addition all PRX sequences available for human, Brewer’s yeast, and plants (Fig. 1). On this phylogenetic tree, built using the DARWIN program (Gonnet et al., 1992), the sequences are grouped in four different families, as previously shown (Verdoucq et al., 1999; Horling et al., 2002). We choose in this paper to use the names proposed by Horling et al. (2002) for the four PRX families. All of the human and yeast sequences are perfectly associated within the four groups defined by the Arabidopsis members (Fig. 1). A search for additional sequences in the National Center for Biotechnology Information non-redundant database and in The Arabidopsis Information Resource database using less stringent criteria showed that PRXs present low but significant similarity with glutathione peroxidases (GPX) in a limited region of the sequences. This exhaustive search allowed us to detect all potential members of the Arabidopsis PRX family and to define members of each group.

Homologs of the Brewer’s yeast AHP1 protein, the last discovered yeast PRX (Jeong et al., 1999; Lee et al., 1999; Verdoucq et al., 1999), form the type II PRX group, which is the focus of this study. It includes human and plant sequences. At least in plants, the type II PRX group is more complex than the three other groups. The Arabidopsis genome contains six different genes belonging to this group (Fig. 1). *AtPRXII-F* was detected during the analysis of the Arabidopsis mitochondrial proteome (Kruft et al., 2001). The ORF encodes 199 amino acids that includes an N-terminal extension of 28 residues (Kruft et al., 2001). *AtPRXII-E* has an ORF encoding 234 amino acids with an N-terminal extension of 70 amino acids that could code for a transit peptide predicted to address the protein to mitochondria or plastids depending on the prediction programs used. *AtPRXII-B*, *-C*, and *-D* are closely related and will be analyzed in detail in this study. Each gene has an ORF of 162 amino acids, which is exactly the same length as the PRXII described in poplar (*Populus* spp.; Rouhier et al., 2001) and *B. rapa* (Choi et al., 1999). *AtPRXII-B* and *AtPRXII-C* are present as a tandem repeat. *AtPRXII-A* is on the same BAC F12P19 at a distance of approximately 10 kb, whereas *AtPRXII-D* is also on chromosome I, but further away. *AtPRXII-E* and *AtPRXII-F* are located on chromosome III. The coding sequences of *AtPRXII-A*, *-B*, *-C*, and *-D* are interrupted by two introns at the same positions, whereas *AtPRXII-E* has no intron. The *AtPRXII-F* coding sequence is interrupted by three introns at positions unrelated to those of *AtPRXII-A*, *-B*, *-C*, and *-D*. Thus, based on the exon/intron structure, the *AtPRXII* family can be divided into three subgroups.

Due to an error in the Arabidopsis genome annotation, *AtPRXII-D* was previously described as a pseudogene (Horling et al., 2002), but the isolation of a partial cDNA in our laboratory allowed us to correct the annotation. *AtPRXII-A* shares homologies to these sequences, but the predicted protein is far larger (560 amino acids) due to a C-terminal domain homologous to numerous Arabidopsis sequences without known function. An alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of these five Arabidopsis type II PRXs is shown in Figure 2. Each of these type II PRXs have the characteristic feature of all of the PRXII sequences analyzed so far. They contain one strictly conserved Cys residue (Cys-51 in
AtPRXII-B, -C, and -D) with the surrounding consensus sequence: P[G/L][A/D]FT[P/F][T/V]C[S/P/T] (Rouhier et al., 2001). In addition, all of them possess a second Cys residue separated from the first one by the same number of residues.

It is not known if the AtPRXII-A protein is correctly predicted because no EST or complete cDNA are available at the present time. Therefore, this protein is not further analyzed in this study. AtPRXII-B, -C, and -D are highly similar, showing between 93% to 99% identity. They share about 60% identity with the amino acid sequence of AtPRXII-E without the N-terminal extension, whereas they only share 50% similarity with the predicted mature form of AtPRXII-F. Thus, the amino acid sequence of AtPRXII allowed us to define three subgroups corresponding to those already defined by the exon/intron structures of the genes. We were able to find rice homologs of each subgroup, suggesting that this organization is common to all higher plants (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of proteins homologous to PRX. The DARWIN software (Gonnet et al., 1992) was used to generate this tree. The first letters correspond to the initials of the organism genus and species names. GenBank accession numbers are indicated: 1Cys-PRX: Brewer’s yeast, Sc-mTPx (P34227); human (Homo sapiens), Hs-PLA1 (P30041) and Hs-PLA2 (XP_116186.1); Arabidopsis, 1-CysAtPRX (At1g48130); buckwheat, Fe-Per (AAF12782.1); barley, Hv-Per1 (P52572); Triticum turgidum, Tt-1Cys (AA50024.1); Bromus secalinus, Bs-Per1 (P52571); rice, Os-RAB24 (P52573); oilseed rape (Brassica napus), Br-1Cys (AAC61460.1); Xerophyta viscosa, Xv-1Cys (AAL87710.1). 2Cys-PRX: Brewer’s yeast, Sc-TSA1 (P34227) and Sc-TSA2 (Q04120); human, Hs-PrxI (NP_002565.1), Hs-PrxII1 (P32119), Hs-PrxII2 (XP_068926.3), Hs-PrxIII (AA80433.1), and Hs-PrxIV (NP_066397.1); Arabidopsis, 2-CysAtPRXA (At3g11530) and 2-CysAtPRXB (At5g6290); Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, Cr (AA30934.1); Riccia fluitans, Ri-2Cys (CAB82860.1); barley, Hv-BS1 (Q96468) and Hv-2Cys (S49173); wheat (Triticum aestivum), Ta-BS1 (P80602); oilseed rape, Br-2Cys (AAG30701.1); tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum), Nt (CAC84164.2); spinach, So-BS1 (O24364); bean (Phaseolus vulgaris), Pv-2Cys (CAC17803.1); pea (Pisum sativum), Ps-2Cys (CAC48233.1); Brassica rapa, Br-2Cys (AAF00001.1). PRX-Q: Brewer’s yeast, Sc-nTPX (P40553); Arabidopsis, AtPRXQ (At3g26060); S. lineare, Sl-Q (BAA90524.1). Type-II PRX: Brewer’s yeast, Sc-AHP1 (P38013); human, Hs-PrxV (AA804856.1) and Hs-PrxVI (XP_060391.1); Arabidopsis, AtPRXII-A (At1g65990), AtPRXII-B (At1g65980), AtPRXII-C (At1g65970), AtPRXII-D (At1g60740), AtPRXII-E (At3g52960), and AtPRXII-F (At3g06050); B. rapa, Br-CPrxK (AAD33602.1); peppers (Capsicum annuum), Ca (AAF35632.2); hybrid aspen (Populus tremula × Populus tremuloides), Pt-PRXII (AA30751.1); rice, Os-Prx1 (AA40130.1), Os-Prx2 (BAA82377.1), and Os-Prx3 (BAA88530.1). GPX: Brewer’s yeast, Sc-GPX1 (NP_012899.1) and Sc-GPX2 (NP_139803.1); human, Hs-GPX4 (P36969) and Hs-GPX5 (NP_003987.2); Zantedeschia aethiopica, Za-GPX (AAC74243.1); oilseed rape, Br-PHCC-TPX (AA80847.2); Arabidopsis, AtGPX1 (At1g31870.1), AtGPX2 (At2g25800.1); maize (Zea mays) Zm-GPX (AA808847.2); pea, Ps-PHGPX (Q04299); rice, Os-GPX1 (AA47493.1) and Os-PHGPX (expressed sequence tag [EST], CAC17628); tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum), Le-PHGPX1 (O24031); wheat, Ta-GPX (AA90194.1); and barley, Hv-GPH1 (CAB95895.1).
AtPRXII-B and -E Present in Vitro Peroxidase Activities But Are Reduced in Different Ways

To test biochemical activities of AtPRXII, we produced His-tagged recombinant proteins using the bacterial-expressing vector pET16b. Because the amino acid sequences of AtPRXII-B, -C, and -D are so similar, we decided to restrict our biochemical study to AtPRXII-B. AtPRXII-E was cloned as a truncated form, Δ70AtPRXII-E, lacking the 70 N-terminal amino acids corresponding to the putative transit peptide.

To test the in vitro peroxidase activity of these different AtPRXII, we used the classical assay of plasmid DNA protection against ROS generated by the Fenton reaction induced by this metal-catalyzed system. Dithiothreitol (DTT) and FeCl₃ were incubated with 1 μg of plasmid DNA at room temperature in the presence or absence of 20 μM of recombinant proteins. After 5 h of incubation, the DNA mixed without PRX was completely degraded, as was DNA incubated with bovine serum albumin (BSA). In contrast, DNA incubated with AtPRXII-B or Δ70AtPRXII-E was efficiently protected (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, we observed a difference in the pattern of DNA protection between AtPRXII-B and Δ70AtPRXII-E, because the plasmid super-coiled form is much less abundant using Δ70AtPRXII-E than with AtPRXII-B. These results are in good agreement with the DTT-dependent H₂O₂-reducing activities obtained by Horling et al. (2003). They showed that AtPRXII-E has a DTT-dependent activity three times less efficient than that obtained for AtPRXII-B. Thus, a low protection of DNA by Δ70AtPRXII-E could be attributed to its lower peroxidase activity, as compared with that of AtPRXII-B.

The reaction mechanism of 2Cys-PRX includes homodimer formation. In contrast, the activity of type II PRXs analyzed so far is associated with monomers. We tested the ability of the recombinant AtPRXII to form dimers. Recombinant proteins were analyzed directly after purification under nonreducing conditions or were incubated under reducing conditions with 5% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol and then submitted to SDS-PAGE (Fig. 4). Under nonreducing conditions, a dimer of about 44 kD and a monomeric form of about 22 kD were observed with His-tagged AtPRXII-B. The dimeric form completely disappeared in the presence of β-mercaptoethanol. ΔAtPRXII-E protein also formed a dimer in nonreducing conditions. Thus the recombinant Arabidopsis type II PRXs are able to form disulfide bonds between two molecules. Nevertheless, the amount of dimers is not in-

Figure 3. Type II AtPRX-dependent inactivation of DNA degradation by metal catalyzed oxidants. One microgram of pBluescript plasmid was incubated with a mixture of 10 μM FeCl₃ and 1 mM DTT. The addition of AtPRXII-B or Δ70AtPRXII-E proteins led to the protected DNA.
increased when PRXIIIs are incubated with H₂O₂ at 0.5 to 50 mM (data not shown). In addition, no dimers were detected in crude plant extracts under nonreducing conditions by western blot using sera raised against AtPRXII-B or AtPRXII-E (data not shown). Although we were not able to demonstrate the existence of these dimers in planta, we cannot exclude that this property of the AtPRXII proteins to form dimers may not play a regulating role under certain conditions.

To further investigate the thiol dependence of the type II AtPRX peroxidase activity, we followed the oxidation of NADPH by the TRX or GRX system. The TRX system consists of Arabidopsis TRX reductase (Jacquot et al., 1994) and Arabidopsis TRX h2 (GenBank accession no. S58123). With NADPH as the primary electron donor, it reduces insulin efficiently (data not shown) and acts as an efficient reducer of AHP1, the PRXII of Brewer’s yeast (Verdoucq et al., 1999). We were not able to detect any peroxidase activity in the presence of this TRX system for either AtPRXII-B or Δ70AtPRXII-E. However, in the presence of a GRX system, composed of a commercial glutathione reductase associated with reduced glutathione (GSH) and a recombinant Arabidopsis GRX, the initial rate of NADPH oxidation increased as a function of the AtPRXII-B concentration (Fig. 5B). The turnover number of the peroxidase activity of AtPRXII-B was estimated to be 2.86 × 10⁻² s⁻¹ under the conditions of the experiment. The reduction system without GSH did not show any activity. The absence of either GRX or PRX led to a residual NADPH consumption (Fig. 5A), which is most probably due to GSH oxidation by hydrogen peroxide. No significant activity was observed with the recombinant truncated Δ70AtPRXII-E. These results suggest that GRX is the main electron donor to AtPRXII-B and that the AtPRXII-E enzyme has a thiol-dependent activity but its electron donor has still to be identified.

AtPRXII-E Is Chloroplastic, Whereas AtPRXII-B, -C, and -D Are Cytosolic

Rabbit antibodies raised against AtPRXII-B and Δ70AtPRXII-E recombinant proteins were produced, and their specificity was tested by immunodetection against different quantities of recombinant AtPRXII-B and Δ70AtPRXII-E. The serum raised...
against AtPRXII-B can recognize at least 5 ng of recombinant AtPRXII-B but does not show any signal with up to 80 ng of Δ70AtPRXII-E (data not shown). Thus the AtPRXII-B serum does not recognize AtPRXII-E, but we assume it can detect AtPRXII-C and -D as well as AtPRXII-B. This assumption is sustained by the high similarity of these three PRX and by the fact that the serum produced by Horling et al. (2002), raised against the recombinant AtPRXII-C, recognized recombinant AtPRXII-C and AtPRXII-B (Horling et al., 2002). The serum raised against Δ70AtPRXII-E shows a lower specificity: It recognized at least 5 ng of the recombinant Δ70AtPRXII-E but cross-reacts with 20 ng of AtPRXII-B.

To get insights into the subcellular localizations of the AtPRXII, we performed immunoblots against subcellular fractions of Arabidopsis protoplasts. The purity of each subcellular fraction was tested using antibodies raised against proteins specifically located in the different organelles: the large subunit of the light-harvesting complex II from *C. reinhardtii* for the chloroplast, TRX *h* (AtTRXh3) for the cytosol, pyruvate dehydrogenase for the mitochondrion, and catalase for the peroxisome. No contamination was detected in chloroplast and cytosol fractions (Fig. 6, lines C and E). However, a very weak contamination by chloroplasts in the mitochondrial fraction can be observed (Fig. 6, line D). Anti-AtPRXII-E serum reveals a 19-kD band in total protoplast and chloroplast fractions (Fig. 6, line B). A very faint signal is also observed in the mitochondrial fraction, which is most probably due to the weak contamination of this fraction by chloroplasts. The chloroplast localization of AtPRXII-E was confirmed by import experiments of the radiolabeled protein into purified organelles. No import could be detected into potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) mitochondria, whereas processing of the major 29-kD protein, obtained by in vitro coupled transcription/translation, was observed after its incubation under light with pea chloroplasts (data not shown). A signal of 19 kD resistant to added proteinase K appeared at the same position as the Arabidopsis protein immunodetected in chloroplast extracts. Thus we concluded that AtPRXII-E is only located in the chloroplasts.

Using the serum raised against AtPRXII-B, signals were obtained in protoplast and cytosol fractions but not in those of mitochondria or chloroplasts (Fig. 6, line A). As shown using the serum raised against catalase (Fig. 6, line F), peroxisomes copurify with the mitochondrial fraction, whereas soluble peroxisomal proteins contaminate the cytosolic fraction. Because no signal is detected by the AtPRXII-B serum in the mitochondrial fraction, we conclude that AtPRXII-B and probably AtPRXII-C and -D are cytosolic proteins and are not located in the peroxisomes.

**AtPRXII Have Different mRNA Accumulation Patterns**

Expression of *AtPRXII*-B, -C, -D, -E, and -F in plant tissues was analyzed by RT-PCR. For each gene, two primers were designed for transcript amplification. Amplified products were cloned and sequenced to check for the specificity of amplification, except for *AtPRXII-F*. This demonstrated that each couple of primers is highly specific.

The expression of each gene was analyzed by reverse transcription followed by radioactive PCR (Fig. 7). This allowed us to amplify the sequence of interest and the control gene, actin 2 (GenBank accession no. U41998), which is supposed to be uniformly expressed in all tissues, in the same tube. Because the Act2 gene is not expressed in dry seeds, we used primers designed to amplify *EM1* mRNA (Carles et al., 2002) as the internal control for seeds. Signals were then quantified using a phosphorimager, and the intensities were related to the intensity of the actin signal, enabling us to compare levels of expression between the different tissues (Fig. 7B). Because of the different efficiency of each couple of primers, it is not possible to compare the level of expression of the different genes. Nevertheless, the number of ESTs in the database gives some estimation of the relative expression, at least in the tissues used for the construction of the cDNA libraries: *AtPRXII-A*, no EST; *AtPRXII-B*, 30 ESTs; *AtPRXII-C*, 10 ESTs; *AtPRXII-D*, no EST; *AtPRXII-E*, 5 ESTs; and *AtPRXII-F*, 14 ESTs.

*AtPRXII-B* mRNA is detected in all tissues but mostly in reproductive tissues such as buds, flowers, siliques, and seeds. *AtPRXII-B* is also strongly expressed in callus and cell suspensions. Concerning the *AtPRXII-C* transcripts, the strongest signals were obtained with buds and flowers, whereas a very faint

---

**Figure 6.** AtPRXII sub-cellular localization. Arabidopsis total protoplast (P), cytosol (Cy), chloroplast (Ch), and mitochondrial (M) fractions were probed with antibodies directed against AtTRXh3, pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH), catalase, and the light-harvesting complex II (LHCCI) as controls. The same fractions were also probed with serum raised against AtPRXII-B or AtPRXII-E.
signal is detected in all other tissues, except in roots. AtPRXII-D mRNA is present exclusively in buds and flowers. A signal corresponding to the AtPRXII-E transcripts was obtained with all tissues, but with a high predominance in buds, siliques, seeds, cell suspensions, and plantlets. AtPRXII-F transcripts are detected in all tissues without any significant difference of intensity (Fig. 7). Thus, the genes encoding PRXs have distinct tissue expression patterns.

To get more insight into the expression patterns of AtPRXII genes, we analyzed their promoter activities using transgenic Arabidopsis plants carrying AtPRXII-B to -F promoter::reporter gene fusion. 5' Upstream regions of 1.465, 1.139, 1.141, and 1.831 kb from the gene initiation codon of AtPRXII-B, -C, -D, and -E, respectively, were used to generate transcriptional fusions with the GUS reporter gene. The constructs were introduced into wild-type Arabidopsis plants via Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation. Independent primary transformants were obtained, and the T2 or T3 generations were analyzed for GUS expression. Five lines of T2 generation for AtPRXII-B, -C, and -E, respectively, and three homozygous lines of T3 generation for AtPRXII-D were analyzed. Concerning the expression of AtPRXII-C and -D, similar GUS staining for the different reporter lines, consistent with the RT-PCR data, was observed: AtPRXII-C is almost exclusively expressed in flower buds, and the GUS staining was restricted to mature pollen (Fig. 8C). In pAtPRXII-D::GUS plants, GUS activity is detected in mature pollen but also in germinating pollen, pollinic tubes, and fertilized ovules (Fig. 8A). To verify whether the staining observed in fertilized ovules in silique was from pollinic tubes or from maternal material, we did reciprocal fertilizations using pollen of wild-type plants to fecundate transgenic pAtPRXII-D::GUS pistils, or pollen from transgenic pAtPRXII-D::GUS flowers to fertilize wild-type pistils. GUS staining in ovules was observed exclusively when fertilizing wild-type pistils with pAtPRXII-D::GUS pollen, leading to the conclusion that only the male material expressed the GUS gene. Concerning pAtPRXII-B::GUS plants, we did not obtain consistent results with the different lines. One line exhibited blue staining in vascular tissues of stem and roots, whereas another line was stained in blue exclusively in young anthers. Some lines were not stained at all. No line gave a staining pattern coherent with the RT-PCR data. Most probably the 1,465 bp of the promoter did not contain all of the information responsible for in vivo expression of AtPRXII-B gene.

Looking at pAtPRXII-E::GUS plants, we observed blue staining in vascular tissues of stem and roots, whereas another line was stained in blue exclusively in young anthers. Some lines were not stained at all. No line gave a staining pattern coherent with the RT-PCR data. Most probably the 1,465 bp of the promoter did not contain all of the information responsible for in vivo expression of AtPRXII-B gene.

Protein Accumulation in Different Organs

Because AtPRXII-B, -C, and -D differ only by 0.06 kD from one another (Table I), we used two-dimensional electrophoresis to distinguish AtPRXII-B from the AtPRXII-C and -D proteins, using their predicted pI difference of 0.15. The mature form of AtPRXII-E predicted by PSORT (http://psort.nibb.ac.jp; Nakai, 2000) is about 0.15 kD smaller than the three first AtPRXII and could then be distinguished by its size as well as by its pI which is 0.15 point more acidic than AtPRXII-B and 0.30 point from AtPRXII-C and -D (Table I). Thus in addition to one-dimensional
western blots, we have used two-dimensional immunoblotting to detect the presence of the different PRXII in Arabidopsis plant organs.

We first performed a one-dimensional western blot from an SDS-PAGE loaded with exactly 10 μg of proteins from the different tissues. Using the serum raised against AtPRXII-B, a stronger signal was observed with extracts from buds, flowers, and seeds than with proteins from other tissues (Fig. 9B). Probing the same membrane with the serum raised against AtPRXII-E (Fig. 9D), we observed the presence of AtPRXII-E in an approximately equal quantity in each tissue that was tested, except for roots where a weaker signal was seen. The serum also reacts with proteins of higher Mr that fit exactly the signals showed by anti-AtPRXII-B serum with the same membrane (Fig. 9D).

With all tissue extracts, one or several signals were obtained on two-dimensional gels with the serum raised against AtPRXII-B (Fig. 9A). These different signals may correspond to the AtPRXII-B, -C, and -D proteins or to modified isoforms of AtPRXII-B, -C and/or -D.

Using serum raised against AtPRXII-E, two types of signal were obtained with two-dimensional blots (Fig. 9C): strong signals most probably corresponding to the mature AtPRXII-E, and weaker signals (indicated in Fig. 9C by a B) present at exactly the same position as those obtained with serum raised against AtPRXII-B and then corresponding to AtPRXII-B to -D. AtPRXII-E signals were observed with all tissues, leading to the conclusion that AtPRXII-E as well as AtPRXII-B and/or

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** Histochemical localization of GUS activity in Arabidopsis plants. GUS activity was revealed by blue staining after incubation of 6- to 8-week-old plants in 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-glucuronic acid.

**Table 1.** pI and molecular masses (kD) of AtPRXII predicted mature forms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AtPRXII-B</th>
<th>AtPRXII-C</th>
<th>AtPRXII-D</th>
<th>AtPRXII-E</th>
<th>AtPRXII-F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pI</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kD</td>
<td>17.43</td>
<td>17.41</td>
<td>17.47</td>
<td>17.28</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AtPRXII-C and/or AtPRXII-D are present in all plant tissues. The position of the AtPRXII-E spots, compared with the ones of AtPRXII-B to -D, coincides with the molecular mass and pI of the predicted mature AtPRXII-E (Table I; Fig. 9).

**DISCUSSION**

**Higher Plants Present Three Subgroups of Type II PRX Genes**

All eukaryotic PRXs can be classified in four groups, on the basis of their sequences, as shown in the tree of Figure 1. This classification fits well with our knowledge on the mechanisms of peroxide reduction which are different in each group. Among the PRXs, the type II PRXs are less studied, probably because the peroxidase activity of this family of proteins was only recently identified in yeast (Jeong et al., 1999; Lee et al., 1999; Verdoucq et al., 1999), Arabidopsis (Verdoucq et al., 1999; Horling et al., 2002, 2003), poplar (Rouhier et al., 2001), and Chinese cabbage (Choi et al., 1999). At least one rice sequence is clearly associated with each Arabidopsis PRXII subtype, suggesting that the three subtypes are present in all higher plants.

AtPRXII-C and/or AtPRXII-D are present in all plant tissues. The position of the AtPRXII-E spots, compared with the ones of AtPRXII-B to -D, coincides with the molecular mass and pI of the predicted mature AtPRXII-E (Table I; Fig. 9).

**AtPRXII Accumulate in Different Plant Organs and in Different Subcellular Compartments**

We have analyzed in detail the expression pattern and protein accumulation of three cytosolic PRXIIIs (AtPRXII-B, -C, and -D). AtPRXII-B is expressed in all tissues, and the protein also accumulates in all tissues. This pattern may well correspond to the defense function that is frequently associated with PRXs. Recently, Horling et al. (2003) showed an absence of induction of AtPRXII-B expression by high or low light but an induction of this gene in the presence of butyl hydroperoxide. They suggest that the protein may function in protecting the plasma membrane.

More striking is the almost exclusive expression in pollen of the two AtPRXII-C and -D genes. To our knowledge, it is the first report of PRX expression localized in flowers. Until now plant PRXs were mainly characterized in leaves and seeds (Baier and Dietz, 1996; Haslekas et al., 1998; Lewis et al., 2000; Rouhier et al., 2001; Horling et al., 2002, 2003). This particular expression pattern is reminiscent of the TRX regulation of the S-locus receptor kinase, implicated in cauliflower (Brassica oleracea) pollen auto-incompatibility (Cabrillac et al., 2001), and suggests a developmental function for these two proteins. In addition, this expression pattern may mean that the PRXII-C and/or -D proteins are implicated in the protection of pollen components. Pollen grains are exposed to an increase of free radical production during desiccation (Van Bilsen and Hoekstra, 1993) and PRXs constitute one of the molecular antioxi-
dants able to alleviate oxidative stress in dried tissues (Hoekstra et al., 2001).

The gene encoding plastidial PRX AtPRXII-E is expressed constitutively but is overexpressed in another tapetum and during seed formation, suggesting that the function of this protein is not limited to chloroplasts, but is also very active in other types of plastids. In particular, elaioplasts are plastids present in anther tapetum and contain mainly globuli of neutral oils (Wu et al., 1997; Ting et al., 1998; Hernandez-Pinzon et al., 1999). AtPRXII-E could thus be involved in a protective mechanism preventing a high level of lipid peroxidation in elaioplasts. The gene coding for the mitochondrial PRX AtPRXII-F (Kruft et al., 2001) is ubiquitously expressed. The protein is probably implicated in the protection of mitochondria against ROS damage and may be reduced by the mitochondrial TRX system described by Laloi et al. (2001), as suggested by Horling et al. (2003).

**AtPRXII Are Reduced by Different Systems**

The cytosolic AtPRXII-B and -C, the plastidial AtPRXII-E, and mitochondrial AtPRXII-F are able to scavenge ROS in the presence of DTT (Horling et al., 2002, 2003; this paper). Looking for a more physiological reducing system, we tested TRX and GRX systems, both from Arabidopsis. AtPRXII-B is not reduced by the Arabidopsis TRX h2 system. In a previous study (Verdoucq et al., 1999), AtPRXII-B presented a weak TRX-dependent activity, using a TRX from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. This system was also proved to be able to reduce a cytosolic poplar PRXII, but less efficiently than a GRX system (Rouhier et al., 2001). We cannot rule out that one of the eight cytosolic TRXs (Meyer et al., 2002) could be a physiological electron donor of AtPRXII-B. However, we showed that AtPRXII-B is efficiently reduced in vitro by the Arabidopsis GRX 1 system, suggesting that one of the numerous Arabidopsis GRXs is the natural electron donor of cytosolic PRXII. AtPRXII-C and AtPRXII-D accumulate in pollen and pollinic tubes, tissues where Arabidopsis TRX h4 is expressed (Reichheld et al., 2002). Therefore, AtTRXh4 is also a good candidate for the reduction of AtPRXII-C and/or -D.

The plastidial AtPRXII-E cannot be efficiently reduced by any of these systems. This is not surprising because, on the basis of the Arabidopsis genome, no gene was found to encode a GRX with a transit peptide. The lack of activity in the presence of the cytosolic TRX system is more surprising, because the substrate specificity of TRXs is rather low in vitro. For example, a *C. reinhardtii* cytosolic TRX was reported to be an excellent electron donor for a chloroplastic 2-Cys PRX from Arabidopsis (Goyer et al., 2002). Nevertheless, a systematic test with chloroplastic TRXs should be performed in the future.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

*Arabidopsis* ecotype Columbia seeds were sterilized by incubating them in 70% (v/v) ethanol for 10 min and then sown on one-half-diluted Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) Glc, 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\) MES, and 0.8% (w/v) agar or directly in soil. Seeds were cold treated for 3 to 4 d at 4°C, then germinated and grown under continuous light at 22°C. Arabidopsis cell suspensions were maintained in Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with 3% (w/v) Suc, 50 μg L\(^{-1}\) kinetin, and 500 μg L\(^{-1}\) naphthaleneacetic acid and subcultured every 14 d. Calli were grown for 1 month on the same medium solidified with 0.8% (w/v) agar.

**Plant Transformation and GUS Staining**

The *AtPRXII-B* promoter region was isolated from genomic DNA (ecotype Columbia) by PCR walking (Devic et al., 1997) using a reverse primer to introduce a unique *Spe* site just upstream from the ATG codon. A segment of 1.46 kb was isolated. Fragments of 1.14, 1.14, and 1.83 kb of the regions upstream from the ATG codon of *AtPRXII-C*, -D, and -E, respectively, were isolated by direct PCR on genomic DNA using primers to introduce unique *Hind*III and *Sal* sites for *AtPRXII-C*, *Xba* and *Sal* sites for *AtPRXII-D*, and *Spe*I and *Sal* sites for *AtPRXII-E*. The DNA fragments were then digested by the corresponding enzymes and cloned into pGFPV-HYG binary vector. The resulting plasmids were introduced into Agrobacterium *tumefaciens C18C1Ri"*-P. Arabidopsis were transformed with agrobacteria by the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). *T 1, T 2*, and *T 3* seedlings were selected in vitro on one-half-diluted Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) Glc, 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\) MES, 0.8% (w/v) agar, and 25 μg mL\(^{-1}\) hygromycin under continuous light at 22°C. For the GUS assay, plants were cultivated either in vitro under the same conditions or grown in soil mixed with vermiculite in a greenhouse under continuous light at 22°C. GUS histochemical staining was performed according to Lagarde et al. (1996).

**RNA Extraction and Radioactive Reverse Transcriptase PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from frozen cell suspensions, calli, and each plant organ—silique and seeds excepted—as described (Kay et al., 1987). Total RNA from siliques and seeds were extracted using the Invisorb Spin Plant-RNA Mini Kit (Invitek, Berlin, Germany) and following the procedure provided by the manufacturer. Five micrograms of total RNA were used for reverse transcription using the Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase as described by the manufacturer’s protocol (First Strand RT-PCR kit, ProSTAR, Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). One-fifth of the reverse transcription product was used for further PCR using in each reaction primers specific to distinct *AtPRXII* cdNA and primers specific to the control gene *EM1* for dry seed cdNA and *Act2* for other tissue cdNA. PCR was performed in the presence of 6.6 nm [α-32P]dCTP and 20 μM for the three other dNTPs. Fifteen cycles were performed to amplify *AtPRXII* transcripts. One-third of the reaction was run on a 5% (w/v) acrylamide/bis-acrylamide gel. Radioactive amplifications were visualized and quantified through PhosphorImager (Storm 640, Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA). The sequences of the primers used for RT-PCR experiments are indicated in Table II.

As a control for genomic DNA contamination, PCR was carried out on RNA not treated by reverse transcriptase, with each couple of primers. No amplification was observed. Furthermore, different couples of primers were used are able to amplify genomic DNA leading to the production of DNA fragments of higher M\(_r\); (612, 1,390, 770, 1,010, and 1,503 bp for *Act2*, *AtPRXII-B*, *AtPRXII-D*, *AtPRXII-E*, and *AtPRXII-F*, respectively). But such an amplification was never observed.

**Recombinant Protein and Antibody Productions**

The *AtPRXII-B* ORF from the ATG codon to the stop codon, and the *AtPRXII-E* ORF from the coding for the amino acid A71 to the stop codon were amplified and cloned downstream from, and in phase with, a...
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**Table II. Sequences of primers used for RT-PCR experiments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Name</th>
<th>Primer Sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtPRXII-B35</td>
<td>CAAACAGTCAAAATAGACAGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtPRXII-B53</td>
<td>TTTCTTGGTCTTCCGTCACTTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtTPXIIF53</td>
<td>GGAGGATGGGGAAAAACATATCCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtTPXIIF35</td>
<td>AAGGATCCACTTATAGCTGTCCTAAGATGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtTPXIIE53</td>
<td>CAGACTCCACTCTCTCCTACCTCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtTPXIIE35</td>
<td>CCTCCACGCTTCCATCACGAACGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtTPXIIC53</td>
<td>GAAGGCATGGGGAAAAACATATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtTPXIIC35</td>
<td>CAATACAACAAGCGAAACGATAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtPRXII-B53</td>
<td>TTTCATTTGTCTCCTTTCGTCAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT-AtTPXIF35</td>
<td>AAGGGATCCACCTTATATGCTTCAAGATGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM1ATG</td>
<td>AAGCATGGCGTCAAAACGACTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM1Stop</td>
<td>GGGGATCTCAACTTATACATCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act2(5’)</td>
<td>GTTAGCAACTGGGATGATATGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act2(3’)</td>
<td>CAGCACAATGTCGATGCTGCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sequence coding for His tag in the pET16b plasmid. The construction with the incomplete reading frame of AtPRXII-B is called ΔAtPRXII-B.

To overproduce AtPRXI in Escherichia coli, BL21(DE3) strains were cotransformed with pSBET (Schenk et al., 1995) and pET16b containing the AtPRXI constructs, and grown in 500 mL of liquid B medium up to an OD600 nm = 0.5, when production was induced by addition of 0.4 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside for 3 h at 37°C. Cells were then pelleted and stored at −80°C. Total proteins from bacteria were extracted using a hydraulic press (model 3968, Carver, Wabash, IN) and purified on a nickel affinity column as described by Verdoucq et al. (1999). The productions of antibodies from rabbit were done by Eurogentec using 400 μg of each purified AtPRXI-B and ΔAtPRXII-B.

Plant Protein Extraction, Electrophoresis, and Immunodetection

For one-dimension electrophoresis, proteins were extracted from different frozen plant organs according to the protocol described by Carles et al. (2002). Ten micrograms of plant proteins was separated on a SDS-12.5% polyacrylamide gel. For two-dimension electrophoresis, protein extractions were performed according to the protocol previously described (Grosset et al., 1990), with the following modifications: After the homogenization of frozen tissues in 10 mL of 50% (w/v) phenol in Tris-HCl (0.1 M, pH 8) containing 5% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol, the mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 g before SDS-PAGE. The phenol phase was then extracted again with 1 mL of Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, and 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation and was centrifuged for 20 min at 5,000 rpm. Protein samples were then precipitated with 50% (w/v) phenol in Tris-HCl (0.1 M, pH 8) containing 5% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol and was separated by centrifugation for 20 min at 5,000 rpm. Proteins from the phenolic phase were then precipitated by five volumes of cold acetone during 2 h at −20°C. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed four times with acetone (−20°C), and dried under reduced pressure. Analytical two-dimensional electrophoresis were performed as described by Grosset et al. (1990).

Immunodetections were done as described by Moualheb et al. (1998) with the following modifications: Wet membranes were incubated at room temperature for 1 h in Tris-buffered saline plus Tween 20 (TBS-T) buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 500 mM NaCl, and 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20, pH 7.6) containing 5% (w/v) lyophilized skimmed milk, washed once in 50 mL of TBS-T buffer one time for 15 min and twice for 5 min. The reaction with the first antibody was carried out for 2.5 h at room temperature in 20 mL of TBS-T buffer containing 1% (w/v) lyophilized skimmed milk and 20,000-fold diluted rabbit immunoserum directed against the different PRXs. The membranes were washed and incubated for 1 h at room temperature in 20 mL of TBS-T buffer containing 20,000-fold diluted goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Finally, antibodies were visualized with ECL + Western Blotting detection reagent (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala).

Subcellular Fractionation and in Vitro Import Experiments

Arabidopsis protoplasts were prepared from 3- to 4-d-old suspension cell cultures as previously described (Sakamoto et al., 2000). Typically, protoplasts obtained from 500 mL of culture were resuspended in 50 mL of extraction buffer (400 mM Suc, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 3 mM EDTA, 0.1% (w/v) BSA, and 2 mM DTT) and disrupted by filtrations through nylon meshes. Cell debris were eliminated by centrifugation for 5 min at 100g, and the supernatant was centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000g. The chloroplast-enriched pellet was collected and purified as described (Sakamoto et al., 2000), and the supernatant was centrifuged at 16,000g for 15 min to pellet mitochondria. The supernatant (cytosol) was stored for further analysis. The mitochondrial pellets were purified on 18%–23%–40% (v/v) Percoll step gradients. The mitochondria were collected at the 23% to 40% interface and washed in the extraction buffer without BSA and DTT. All fractions were frozen at −80°C before SDS-PAGE.

Concerning in vitro import into isolated plastid and mitochondria, the precursor proteins were synthesized from the corresponding cDNA clones by coupled in vitro transcription/translation (TNT kit, Promega, Madison, WI) in the presence of [35S]Met. Chloroplasts were isolated from leaves of 10-d-old pea seedlings and import assays were carried out as described previously (Bruce et al., 1994). Mitochondria were isolated from cauliflower with a juice extractor as described (Fey et al., 1999). Import assays into mitochondria were carried out as described (Wischmann and Schuster, 1995).

**Enzymatic Activities**

Metal-catalyzed oxidation DNA cleavage protection assays were performed as previously described (Klimovski et al., 1997) with the following modifications. ROS were generated for 30 min at room temperature by incubation of 10 μM FeCl₃ with 1 mM DTT. Reaction mixtures were incubated for 4.5 h at room temperature with 20 μM proteins and 1 μg of plasmid DNA in a final volume of 50 μL BSA (Fraction V, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) was used as a negative control. DNA degradation was checked by electrophoresis on 1% (w/v) agarose gels.

AtPRXII GRX-dependent or TRX-dependent peroxidase activity was assayed as follows: After 5 min of preincubation, the reaction was initiated by the addition of 1 mM H₂O₂ to 0.5 mL of 0.1 mM potassium phosphate buffer at pH 7 containing 2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM NADPH (Sigma-Aldrich), different concentrations of AtPRXII, and the GRX system composed of 0.5 unit of glutathione reductase (Roche Diagnostics), 0.5 mM GSH (Sigma-Aldrich), and 10 μM Arabidopsis GRX A1GRX1, or the TRX system composed of 10 μM Arabidopsis TRX ATXRX2 and 0.2 μM Arabidopsis TRX reductase AtNTRB. The consumption of NADPH was followed spectrophotometrically at 340 nm at 22°C. The TRX insulin disulfide reduction assays were performed as described (Laloï et al., 2001). These experiments were done two to five times, and comparable results were obtained.
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