New phenylaniline derivatives as modulators of amyloid protein precursor metabolism

Marion Gay, Pascal Carato, Mathilde Coevoet, Nicolas Renault,
Paul-Emmanuel Larchanché, Amelie Barczyk, Saïd Yous, Luc Buée, Nicolas
Sergeant, Patricia Melnyk

► To cite this version:

Marion Gay, Pascal Carato, Mathilde Coevoet, Nicolas Renault, Paul-Emmanuel Larchanché, et al..
New phenylaniline derivatives as modulators of amyloid protein precursor metabolism. *Bioorganic
and Medicinal Chemistry*, 2018, 26 (8), pp.2151-2164. 10.1016/j.bmc.2018.03.016 . hal-02060708

HAL Id: hal-02060708

<https://hal.science/hal-02060708>

Submitted on 7 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below. Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.

New Phenylaniline Derivatives as Modulators of Amyloid Precursor Protein

Leave this area blank for abstract info.

M. Gay, P. Carato, M. Coevoet, N. Renault, P.E. Larchanché, A. Barczyk, S. Yous, L. Buée, N. Sergeant, P. Melnyk

Univ. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, UMR-S1172 and U995, F-59000 Lille, France

New Phenylaniline Derivatives as Modulators of Amyloid Protein Precursor Metabolism

Marion Gay^a, Pascal Carato^{a,*}, Mathilde Coevoet^a, Nicolas Renault^b, Paul-Emmanuel Larchanché^a, Amélie Barczyk^b, Saïd Yous^a, Luc Buée^a, Nicolas Sergeant^a and Patricia Melnyk^{a,*}

^aUniv. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, UMR-S 1172 - JPArc - Centre de Recherche Jean-Pierre AUBERT Neurosciences et Cancer, F-59000 Lille, France

^bUniv. Lille, Inserm, CHU Lille, U995 - LIRIC - Lille Inflammation Research International Center, F-59000 Lille, France

* Present address: Univ Poitiers, CIC INSERM 1402, F-86073 Poitiers, France.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received

Received in revised form

Accepted

Available online

Keywords:

Amyloid

Polyamines

Phenylanilines

Abeta peptides

ABSTRACT

The chloroquinoline scaffold is characteristic of anti-malarial drugs such as chloroquine (CQ) or amodiaquine (AQ). These drugs are also described for their potential effectiveness against prion disease, HCV, EBV, Ebola virus, cancer, Parkinson or Alzheimer diseases. Amyloid precursor protein (APP) metabolism is deregulated in Alzheimer's disease. Indeed, CQ modifies amyloid precursor protein (APP) metabolism by precluding the release of amyloid-beta peptides (Aβ), which accumulate in the brain of Alzheimer patients to form the so-called amyloid plaques. We showed that AQ and analogs have similar effects although having a higher cytotoxicity. Herein, two new series of compounds were synthesized by replacing 7-chloroquinolin-4-amine moiety of AQ by 2-aminomethylaniline and 2-aminomethylphenyle moieties. Their structure activity relationship was based on their ability to modulate APP metabolism, Aβ release, and their cytotoxicity similarly to CQ. Two compounds **15a**, **16a** showed interesting and potent effect on the redirection of APP metabolism toward a decrease of Aβ peptide release (in the same range compared to AQ), and a 3 to 10-fold increased stability of APP carboxy terminal fragments (CTFa and AICD) without obvious cellular toxicity at 100 μM.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

4-Aminoquinoline moiety is present in many biologically active compounds. Compounds bearing this sub-structure are described with anti-tuberculosis,¹ anti-leishmania² or anti-inflammatory³, or antiviral (Ebola⁴) activity or against Parkinson's disease⁵ although an anti-malarial therapeutic indication is most well-known. The most used compounds of this family are chloroquine (CQ) and amodiaquine (AQ) (Figure 1). For decades, CQ has been one of the two most widely used antimalarial drugs with moderate acute toxicity. Following a repositioning strategy, CQ and CQ-derived compounds have already been evaluated in several medical indications such as prion disease⁶⁻⁹, HCV^{10,11} and even cancer.^{12,13} CQ-derived compounds such as hydroxychloroquine are administered, for instance, for the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus¹⁴ or rheumatoid polyarthritis.¹⁵ AQ proved to be effective against CQ-resistant malarial strains,¹⁶ and was also described with antiviral (Ebola,⁴ dengue,¹⁷...) and antibacterial (anthrax,¹⁸...) activity or even cancer.^{19,20} The AQ major drawback is its weak metabolic stability leading to hepatotoxic derivatives with cases of

agranulocytosis, neutropenia and hepatitis. The 4-hydroxyanilino moiety of AQ explained its toxicity.²¹⁻²³ Thus, a great number of AQ analogs without this 4-hydroxyaniline substructure have been synthesized to circumvent this drawback. Others and we succeeded in designing compounds with nanomolar activities on CQ-resistant strains of *P. falciparum*, the parasite responsible for malaria.²⁴⁻³³ Isoquine derivatives are the most advanced compounds.

Figure 1. Structures of anti-malarial 4-aminoquinolines, Chloroquine, Amodiaquine, and Isoquine

Considering Alzheimer's disease (AD), we previously reported indirect modulatory effect of CQ on APP metabolism.³⁴ The metabolism of this type transmembrane protein is central to AD pathophysiology. This complex metabolism leads to the production and release of amyloid-beta peptides (Aβ) of 35 to 43

amino acids among which A β ₄₂ form neurotoxic oligomers and abnormally accumulates as parenchymal amyloid deposits in Alzheimer patient's brains. As illustrated in Figure 2, A β ₄₂ is an intermediate proteolytic product arising from the carboxy-peptidase activity of γ -secretase.³⁵ The ratio of A β ₄₂/ A β ₄₀ is increased in AD suggesting that the carboxy-peptidase activity is defective. Part of the A β peptides are produced in acidic cellular compartments in which beta- and gamma-secretase have both optimal activity.³⁶⁻⁴² CQ is known to repress A β peptides production through its lysomotropic activity. Considering the lack of curative therapeutic option, current strategies propose repositioning compounds or multifunctional molecules. For example, numerous works described the synthesis of multi-target compounds, exhibited significant ability to inhibit β -amyloid (A β) aggregation but also displayed antioxidant activity, biometal chelating ability⁴³ or cholinesterase inhibition.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶

Figure 2. Amyloid protein precursor (APP) metabolism

Our laboratory described *N,N'*-disubstituted piperazine derivatives of CQ and their ability to reduce the release of A β species and increase the amount of APP metabolites (carboxy terminal fragments CTF α and AICD).⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰ We also demonstrated that it was possible to replace 7-chloroquinoline by other heterocycles or benzyl group. AQ and related anti-malarial analogs have also been evaluated and showed an improved ability to inhibit the release of A β species although both CTF α and AICD levels were reduced for AQ when compared to CQ effect (respectively 0.5 and 0.4) (Table 1).⁵⁰ Compounds **1**, **3-4** and **8** showed similar activities when compared to CQ or AQ for the inhibition of the secretion of A β species reaching 50% at 3.1-10 μ M and 7.1-13.8 μ M respectively for A β ₁₋₄₀ and A β ₁₋₄₂. Derivatives **2**, **5-7** and **9** showed better activities than CQ and AQ with 1.5-2.5 μ M and 1.9-4.9 μ M respectively. Increase amounts of CTF α were also achieved for compounds **2**, **5-7** and **9**, with a range of 1.2 to 2.1 when compared to CQ at 3 μ M. Consequently, these compounds **2**, **5-7** and **9** also presented upsurge of AICD with a range of 0.9 to 3.4 when compared to CQ at 3 μ M. These five compounds had better profile toward the reduction of both A β ₄₀ and A β ₄₂ production and increase of CTF α , AICD when compared to CQ. Especially, derivative **6** displayed an excellent profile for the APP metabolism with high CTF α , AICD versus to CQ, respectively 2.1 and 3.4.

Table 1. *In vitro* evaluation of anti-malarial drugs CQ, AQ and AQ analogs (**1-9**) on APP metabolism (SY5Y cells)

Cpd ^a	Structure	Cytotoxicity CC ₅₀ (μ M) ^b	A β ₁₋₄₀ IC ₅₀ (μ M) ^{c,d}	A β ₁₋₄₂ IC ₅₀ (μ M) ^{c,d}	CTF α vs CQ (3 μ M) ^{d,e}	AICD vs CQ (3 μ M) ^{d,f}
CQ	-	30	7.0	12.8	1	1
AQ	-	10	4.0	5.4	0.5	0.4
1		12	4.5	10.4	0.6	0.5
2		4	1.5	2.8	1.6	0.9
3		15	10	13.8	0.5	0.4
4		10	3.1	7.1	0.7	0.5

5		10	2.5	4.9	1.2	1.2
6		4	1.7	2.9	2.1	3.4
7		15	2.0	2.1	1.7	2.3
8		30	5.1	11.2	0.5	0.6
9		>15	2.3	1.9	1.4	1.1

^a Compounds are described in ref 29-33. ^b Compound concentration causing 50% of SY5Y cell death after 24 h treatment, done in duplicate. ^c Compound concentration inhibiting 50% of A β peptide secretion. ^d Mean values calculated on the basis of at least three independent experiments with less than 10% deviation. ^e CTF α increase compared to chloroquine ([CTF]compound/[CTF]CQ) at 3 μ M. ^f AICD increase compared to chloroquine ([AICD]compound/[AICD]CQ) at 3 μ M.

Structure-activity relationships of CQ, AQ, and compounds **1-9** have shown (Table 1) that: 1) AQ seems more efficient than CQ to decrease A β secretion, 2) phenol function is not necessary (**1**), 3) addition of an amino side chain could improve of activity (**2** for instance, 4) modulation of diethylamino group to morpholine or 1-dimethylamino-2-methylaminoethyl is possible (**4**, **5**, **6** and **7**), 5) very small modifications could improve cytotoxicity (**6** and **7**) and 6) symetric substitution with amino side chain is possible (**8** and **9**). Nevertheless, these compounds, though interesting hits, provided relatively high cytotoxicity in comparison with their activity.

Considering the challenge to provide new drugs for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, such as AD, we designed two original series of compounds (**16a-d**, **22a-d**) starting from compounds **6** and **9** with general structure I (Figure 3). We aimed to evaluate the replacement of the 7-chloroquinolin-4-amine scaffold by 2-aminomethylaniline moiety for the first series of compounds **16a-d** and by 2-aminomethylphenyle for the other series of compounds **22a-d**. Previous results underlined the potential interest of amide compounds (**2**, **6** and **7**). Thus the dicarboxamide intermediate derivatives **15a-d**, **21a-d** were also considered and allowed us to determine the importance of the dicarboxamide groups (piperidinoethyl carboxamide moieties) when compared to their analogues **16a-d**, **22a-d**, with diamine groups (piperidinoethyl

aminomethyl moieties). The amino groups (CH₂NR₂) introduced were piperidine, morpholine, piperazine and dimethylamine. Compounds **16a-d**, **22a-d** and their dicarboxamide analogues **15a-d**, **21a-d** were tested toward the modulation of APP metabolism and their cytotoxicity was also evaluated.

Figure 3: Structure of general structure I and target compounds **16a-d** and **22a-d**

2. Chemistry

For the synthesis of compounds **16a-d**, aminomethylaniline intermediate **12a-d** were prepared in two steps (Scheme 1). For the first reaction, various attempts were engaged with piperidine as reagent. With $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$,^{29, 51} no reaction was observed and starting material was recovered. With NaBH_4 or NaBH_3CN a mixture of derivatives was observed as compounds **11a** (27-47%), 2-nitrophenylmethanol (34-46%), resulting from the reduction of the aldehyde function, and with or without starting material (0-20%). Then, reduction with NaBH_3CN and ZnCl_2 gave only compound **11a** with 85% yields.^{52,53} The other derivatives were synthesized in the same conditions with 77-83%. The nitro group of compounds **11a-d** was reduced in ethanol with Pd/C and ammonium formate,⁵⁴ the resulting unstable aniline derivatives **12a-d** were then immediately introduced in the Buchwald reaction.

Reagents and conditions: (a) (i): Secondary amine, ZnCl_2 , DCE, rt, 3 h (ii): NaBH_3CN , rt, 18 h, 77-84% (b) Pd/C 10 %, ammonium formate, EtOH, rt, 30-60 min.

Scheme 1: Synthesis of intermediate compounds **12a-d**

For the synthesis of compounds **16a-d** two ways were attempted in 3 or 4 steps (Scheme 2).

Reagents and conditions: (a) 1-piperidineethanamine, EDC.HCl, $\text{HOBt} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, DMF, rt, 16h, 89%; (b) 2-(amine-1-ylmethyl)aniline **12a-d**, Cs_2CO_3 , Xantphos, Pd_2dba_3 , dioxane, reflux, 16 h, 26-92%; (c) LiAlH_4 , THF; (d) N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine, EDC.HCl, $\text{HOBt} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$, N-methylmorpholine, ACN, DCM, rt, 16 h, 89%; (e) LiAlH_4 , THF, 0°C, 1 h, (f) (i): 1-piperidineethanamine, toluene, reflux, 1 h, (ii): $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$, AcOH, DCE, rt, 15 h, 8-26%.

Scheme 2: Synthesis of phenylaniline target compounds **16a-d**

The first way was realized starting from 5-bromoisophthalic acid (**13**) with 1-piperidineethanamine, 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC), hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) in DMF afforded dicarboxamide

derivative **14** with a good yield of 89%.^{51,55} A Buchwald reaction with the previously and freshly prepared aniline derivatives **12a-d** gave compounds **15a-d**. Best yields (30-88%) were in dioxane with Cs_2CO_3 , Xantphos, and Pd_2dba_3 . All attempts of reduction of the amide group in derivatives **15a-d** in THF with LiAlH_4 gave degradation of the reaction media. Then a second way was attempted in 4 steps. Starting from 5-bromoisophthalic acid (**13**), the Weinreb amide derivative **17** was prepared in a solution of DCM/ACN with EDC, hydroxyl benzotriazole (HOBt), N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine, N-methylmorpholine with good yield (89%). A Buchwald reaction, in the same optimized conditions, allowed to furnish derivatives **18a-d** (yields 26-92%), which were reduced in THF with LiAlH_4 and afforded derivatives **19a-d**. The last reaction was a reductive amination in DCE with the corresponding amine and $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$, to furnish compounds **16a-d**.^{51,55}

For the synthesis of compounds **22a-d**, two ways were also evaluated in 4 or 5 steps (Scheme 3). Same intermediates **14** and **17** were used.

Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-formylphenylboronic acid, K_2CO_3 , $\text{P}(o\text{-tol})_3$, $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$, toluene, EtOH, reflux, 18 h; (b) (i): Commercial amine (R_1H), DCE, rt, 5h (ii): $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$, AcOH, rt, 24 h, 26-67%; (c) $\text{BH}_3 \cdot \text{THF}$, THF, reflux, 2 h; (d) LiAlH_4 , THF, rt, 4 h; (e) (i): Commercially amine (R_1H), toluene, reflux, 1 h (ii): $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$, AcOH, DCE, rt, 15 h, 42-67%; (f) LiAlH_4 , dry THF, 0°C, 1 h, 50-92%; (g) (i): 1-piperidineethanamine, toluene, reflux, 1 h (ii): $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$, AcOH, DCE, rt, 15 h, 4-54%.

Scheme 3: Synthesis of aminomethyldiphenyl compounds **22a-d**

By the first route, various attempts were considered for the Suzuki coupling reaction by varying catalyst with $\text{Pd}(\text{PPh}_3)_4$, $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$, $\text{Pd}(\text{dba})_3$ and a ligand PPh_3 or $\text{P}(o\text{-tol})_3$ when necessary, to afford the corresponding compound **20** with 43-44% yield. The best conditions were found with $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$, and $\text{P}(o\text{-tol})_3$ with 62% yield. We observed degradation of compound **20** during the purification step on silica gel. Thus reductive amination was engaged with crude aldehyde **20** in DCE with the corresponding commercial amine and $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$, to furnish compounds **21a-d**.⁵⁶ The last step was the reduction of the amide group, two reactions were attempted.^{57,58} No conversion could be observed in a first reaction in THF with $\text{BH}_3 \cdot \text{THF}$ as reductive agent. A second reaction in THF with lithium aluminium hydride afforded degradation of the reaction. The second route was engaged starting from derivative **17** in a Suzuki coupling reaction with $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$, and $\text{P}(o\text{-tol})_3$ in DMF and afforded derivative **21** (87% yield). During this reaction we observed the formation of the debrominated compound as *N1,N3*-dimethoxy-*N1,N3*-dimethylbenzene-1,3-dicarboxamide. The next reaction was a reductive amination, in the same conditions as previously

described for the first route, with the corresponding commercially amine and $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$, to obtain compounds **24a-d**. The amide Weinreb derivatives **24a-d** were reduced in dry THF with lithium aluminium hydride to give compounds **25a-d** with 50% yields. The derivative **25c** was directly engaged in the next step, without further purification, indeed we observed degradation during the purification on silica gel. The last step, to obtain compounds **22a-d**, was a reductive amination in toluene with 1-piperidine ethanamine to give the imine intermediate derivatives and then $\text{NaBH}(\text{OAc})_3$ in DCE to afford the desired compounds **22a-d** with yields not higher than 54%.

3. Results and Discussion

The compounds were tested for modulating APP metabolism in SY5Y human neuroblastoma cell line stably expressing the neuronal isoform of human wild-type APP695 (SY5Y-APP^{wt}). This cell line is a well-established cellular model for the study of APP metabolism.

Cytotoxicity, APP carboxy-terminal fragments (CTF α and AICD) and A β levels (A β_{1-40} and A β_{1-42}) were defined as outcome parameters and compared to CQ and AQ. We have also evaluated the capability of the synthesized compounds to cross the blood brain barrier (LogD at pH= 7.4), and the polar surface area. Compounds **15,16a-d** and **21,22a-d** were charged at physiological pH, so it was important to calculate the Log D at pH= 7.4 instead of Log P.

Cytotoxicity is expressed as the compound concentration causing 50% of cell death (CC₅₀). IC₅₀ indicates the concentration of a compound effective for inhibiting the yield of A β secretion by 50%. A β peptides of 1-40 or 1-42 amino acids were considered. Only compounds that inhibit A β secretion with an

IC₅₀ lower than 10 μM were evaluated on APP metabolism through a western blot analysis of APP-CTFs. In table 2, the effect of the compounds on the metabolism of APP is expressed as the intensity of the western-blot band corresponding to the APP-CTFs resulting from the secretase cleavages. The effect of the compounds on APP metabolism were measured at various concentrations (1, 3, 10 μM , Figure 4) and compared to those of CQ at 3 μM (Table 2).

Considering A β release, the IC₅₀ of compounds **15b-d**, **16c-d**, **21b-d** and **22a-d** were high compared to CQ or AQ. Best results were obtained for derivatives **15a**, **15d**, **16a-b**, and **21a** with range from 4.1-6.6 μM and 4.7-9.4 μM respectively for A β_{40} and A β_{42} . Among these compounds, **15a** and **16a** have IC₅₀ similar to those of AQ, whereas the others are closer to CQ. It is important to emphasize that the quantity of CTF α and AICD were higher for **15a** and **16a** with 3.2-10 more than AQ. These compounds **15a**, **15d**, **16a-b**, and **21a** showed suitable Log D from -0.12 to 1.34. Their polar surface area were all inferior to 90. They could cross the blood brain barrier as expected.

The effect of the target compounds on APP metabolism was studied toward the production of CTF α (Figure 4A) and AICD (Figure 4B) by Western blot analysis. Only five derivatives (**15a**, **15d**, **16a**, **16b**, **21a**), with significant results toward A β_{40} and A β_{42} , were evaluated at three concentrations 1, 3 and 10 μM and compared to control CQ and AQ. Dose-dependent profiles on the quantification of CTF α and AICD were obtained for all compounds and all showed higher efficiency than AQ (figure 4).

Table 2. *In vitro* evaluation of compounds **15,16a-d** and **21,22a-d** on APP metabolism (SY5Y cells)

Cpd	R	Cytotoxicity CC ₅₀ (μM) ^a	A β_{40} IC ₅₀ (μM) ^{b,c}	A β_{42} IC ₅₀ (μM) ^{b,c}	CTF α vs CQ (3 μM) ^{c,d}	AICD vs CQ (3 μM) ^{c,e}	LogD ^f pH= 7.4	PSA ^f Å ²
CQ		30	7.0	12.8	1	1	-	
AQ		10	4.0	5.4	0.5	0.4	-	
15a		> 100	4.1	4.7	2.8	2.3	0.43	80
15b		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	0.72	89
15c		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	0.47	83
15d		> 100	8.2	>> 10	1.0	3.0	-0.03	80
16a		> 100	4.6	6.0	2.6	4.0	-0.12	46
16b		77	6.6	7.9	0.9	1.3	0.21	55
16c		> 100	>>10	>>10	-	-	-0.07	49
16d		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	-0.56	46
21a		> 100	6.2	9.4	1.4	1.9	1.34	68
21b		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	1.10	77
21c		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	0.86	71
21d		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	0.88	68
22a		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	1.04	34

22b		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	0.78	43
22c		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	0.38	37
22d		> 100	>> 10	>> 10	-	-	0.41	34

^a Compound concentration causing 50% of SY5Y cell death after 24 h treatment, done in duplicate. ^b Compound concentration inhibiting 50% of A β peptide secretion. ^c Mean values calculated on the basis of at least three independent experiments with less than 10% deviation. ^d CTF α increase compared to chloroquine ([CTF]/compound/[CTF]/CQ) at 3 μ M. ^e AICD increase compared to chloroquine ([AICD]/compound/[AICD]/CQ) at 3 μ M. ^f LogD and polar surface area PSA were calculated using ACD/ADME Suite 4.95 soft.

Figure 4. Effect of target compounds on APP metabolism (A: APP CTF α , B: AICD)

In figure 4A, compound **15a** with the best IC₅₀ for A β ₄₀ and A β ₄₂ release had similar effect at 3 μ M than all derivatives **15d**, **16a**, **16b**, **21a** at 3 or 10 μ M concentrations. At 10 μ M, the release of CTF α for compound **15a** was 1.5 times better than all compounds evaluated and 3 times better than CQ and AQ. At 3 μ M, derivatives **15a**, **16a** and **21a** gave better release of CTF α compared to AQ at 10 μ M.

In figure 4B, the best result was obtained for compound **16a** and had similar effect at 10 μ M compared to derivative **16b** and a little bit higher than **15a** and **21a**. At the concentration of 3 μ M, AICD was 3-fold and 10-fold times higher than with CQ and AQ respectively. At 3 μ M, compounds **15d** and **16a** gave much more AICD releasing compared to AQ evaluated at 3 or 10 μ M concentrations.

All together, aminomethylaniline series seemed more efficient than aminomethylphenyle series. Considering the substituent R, the piperidine induced the best profile: lower A β release IC₅₀ and higher increase in APP-CTFs (APP-CTF α and AICD). On the contrary, in all cases, N-methylpiperazine substitution leads to non-efficient compounds (A β release IC₅₀ >> 10 μ M).

All the compounds, except compound **16b** with a CC₅₀ of 77 μ M, showed no cytotoxicity at the concentration of 100 μ M, underlining the interest of aminomethylaniline and aminomethylphenyle series compared to reference compounds CQ, AQ and **1-9**.

The two compounds **15a**, **16a** showed the best profiles as there were able to inhibit both A β ₄₀ and A β ₄₂ at the micromolar range (4.1-6.0 μ M), at the same range of AQ and CQ. They also increased the quantity of neurotrophic APP-CTFs : CTF α (2.8 and 2.6-times more) and AICD (2.3 and 4.0-times more) versus CQ but also versus AQ with respectively 5.6-5.75 more for CTF α and 3.2-10 more for AICD.

4. Conclusion

Two series of compounds **15a-d**, **16a-d** and **21a-d**, **22a-d** bearing aminomethylaniline and aminomethylphenyle scaffold, in replacement of the 7-chloroquinolin-4-amine found in CQ, AQ and derivatives **1-9** previously tested in our laboratory, were

designed and synthesized. These compounds were evaluated for their inhibition of the secretion of A β peptides (50%) by determining their IC₅₀ and their activity on APP metabolism in SY5Y-APP695 human neuroblastoma cell line. Two compounds **15a**, **16a** showed interesting profiles as they were able to inhibit both A β ₄₀ and A β ₄₂ at the micromolar range (4.1-6.0 μ M) and increase the quantity APP-CTF : CTF α and AICD versus AQ (range: 3.2 to 10). Furthermore, no cytotoxicity could be observed for concentrations up to 100 μ M. Their structures were engaged in further chemistry modulations considering their moderate ability to modulate the APP metabolism.

In a longer term, these non-toxic compounds could also be tested on other models of pathologies where CQ and AQ proved efficient, especially towards antimalarial properties.

5. Experimental section

5.1. Chemistry

Chemicals and solvents were purchased from various suppliers (Sigma-Aldrich, Alfa Aesar, Fisher, VWR) and used without purification. The reaction monitoring was performed by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on Macherey-Nagel Alugram® Sil 60/UV₂₅₄ (thickness 0.2 mm). TLC were revealed by UV (λ = 254 nm) and/or the appropriate stain. Purification of the compounds was carried out by column chromatography (flash or manual). Manual chromatography was performed using Macherey-Nagel silica gel (0.04-0.063 mm of particule size), while flash chromatography was performed on a Reveleris® Flash Chromatography System using Macherey-Nagel Chromabond flash RS columns. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DRX 300 spectrometer (operating at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C). Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) or to residual proton signal in deuterated solvents. Chemical shifts are reported as position (δ in ppm), multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad and M = massif), coupling constant (*J* in Hz), relative integral and assignment. The attributions of protons and carbons were achieved by analysis of 1D and 2D experiments (¹H, ¹³C, COSY, HQC and HMBC). LC-MS were performed on a Varian triple quadrupole 1200W mass

spectrometer equipped with a non-polar C₁₈ TSK-gel Super ODS (4.6 x 50 mm) column, using electrospray ionisation and a UV detector (diode array). Elution was performed at a flow rate of 2 mL/min with water-formic acid (pH = 3.8) as eluent A and ACN-formic acid (pH = 3.8) as eluent B, employing a 0.25 min plateau with 0 % B and a linear gradient from 0 % B to 98 % B in 3.25 min, followed by a 0.5 min plateau with 98 % B. Then, column re-equilibration was performed for 1 min. The injection duty cycle was 5 min, taking into account the column equilibration time. HRMS were recorded on a Hight Resolution Mass Spectrometer (HRMS) Thermo Scientific™ Exactive™. Analysed compounds were dissolved in methanol and directly introduced in the ionisation source ESI, in positive or negative mode according to the analysed compound, and recorded for one minute. The Xcalibur software was used to determine the elemental composition of main pics of the spectrum. The purity of final compounds was determined by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) using to columns: C₁₈ Interchrom UPTISPHERE and C₄ Interchrom UPTISPHERE. The HPLC analysis was carried out on a Shimadzu LC-2010AHT system equipped with a UV detector set at 254 and 215 nm. The compounds were dissolved in 100 µL of buffer B and 900 µL of buffer A. The eluent system used was: buffer A (H₂O/TFA, 100:0.1) and buffer B (ACN/H₂O/TFA, 80:20:0.1). Retention times (t_r) were obtained at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min for 37 min using a gradient from 100 % of buffer A over 1 min, to 100 % buffer B over the next 30 min, to 100 % of buffer A over 1 min and 100 % of buffer A over 1 min. The melting point analyses were performed on Barnstead Electrothermel Melting Point Series IA9200. All final compounds were transformed into their hydrochloride salts following this procedure: the compound was dissolved in MeOH and HCl_{aq} 2 M was added dropwise until pH1. The solvent was evaporated and the compound was freeze-dried.

5.1.1. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds **11a-d**

2-Nitrobenzaldehyde **10** (1.00 g, 6.62 mmol) was dissolved in DCE (95 mL). The appropriate commercially amine (9.93 mmol), and ZnCl₂ (0.90 g, 6.62 mmol) were added. After 3 h of stirring at room temperature, NaBH₃CN (624 mg, 9.93 mmol) was added. After 18 h of stirring at room temperature, a saturated NaHCO₃ solution (40 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. DCM (100 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed twice with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by column or flash chromatography.

5.1.1.1. 1-[(2-Nitrophenyl)methyl]piperidine **11a**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 10:0 to 7:3 (v/v)). The compound **11a** was obtained as a yellow oil (yield: 84%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 7.77 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H); 7.62 (dd, *J* = 7.7 Hz, *J* = 0.4 Hz, 1H); 7.50 (td, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 7.34 (ddd, *J* = 7.9 Hz, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 3.71 (s, 2H); 2.34 (m, 4H); 1.52 (m, 4H); 1.40 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 149.8; 134.6; 132.3; 130.8; 127.6; 124.2; 59.7; 54.6; 26.0; 24.2. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 221.1, Found: 221.0 [M+H]⁺.

5.1.1.2. 4-[(2-Nitrophenyl)methyl]morpholine **11b**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 10:0 to 7:3 (v/v)). The compound **11b** was obtained as an orange oil (yield: 83%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 7.81 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 1.2 Hz, 1H); 7.59 (dd, *J* = 5.9 Hz, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H); 7.54 (ddd, *J* = 7.7 Hz, *J* = 7.2 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H); 7.40 (td,

J = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H); 3.79 (s, 2H); 3.66 (m, 4H); 2.44 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 149.9; 133.4; 132.3; 131.0; 128.1; 124.4; 67.0; 59.5; 53.6. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 223.1, Found: 223.0 [M+H]⁺.

5.1.1.3. 1-Methyl-4-[(2-nitrophenyl)methyl]piperazine **11c**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃), 10:0 to 9.8:0.2 (v/v)). The compound **11c** was obtained as a yellow oil (yield: 77%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 7.81 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H); 7.56-7.41 (M, 3H); 3.89 (s, 2H); 3.14 (m, 2H); 2.80 (m, 4H); 2.72 (s, 3H); 2.56 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 149.7; 132.6; 132.3; 131.0; 128.7; 124.6; 58.4; 58.2; 48.8; 47.0. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 236.1, Found: 236.0 [M+H]⁺.

5.1.1.4. Dimethyl[(2-nitrophenyl)methyl]amine **11d**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 10:0 to 7:3 (v/v)). The compound **11d** was obtained as a yellow oil (yield: 79%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 7.82 (dd, *J* = 8.1 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H); 7.63 (dd, *J* = 7.6 Hz, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H); 7.55 (ddd, *J* = 7.7 Hz, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H); 7.40 (td, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 3.72 (s, 2H); 2.24 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 149.7; 134.4; 132.5; 131.0; 127.8; 124.3; 60.3; 45.6. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 181.1, Found: 181.0 [M+H]⁺, 135.8 [M-N(CH₃)₂+H]⁺.

5.1.2. 5-Bromo-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **14**

To a solution of 5-bromoisophthalic acid **1** (200 mg, 0.82 mmol) and 1-piperidineethanamine (240 µL, 1.17 mmol) in DMF (2.5 mL) was added EDC.HCl (330 mg, 1.73 mmol) and HOBt-H₂O (25.2 mg, 0.165 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. 10 mL of saturated NaHCO₃ solution was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃), 10:0 to 9.7:0.3 (v/v)). The title compound **14** (340 mg, 0.73 mmol, 89 %) was obtained as a white solid. Mp: 127.3°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.23 (t, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 8.04 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 2H); 7.46 (t, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H); 3.48 (td, *J* = 5.9 Hz, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 4H); 2.50 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 4H); 2.38 (m, 8H); 1.54 (m, 8H); 1.40 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 165.3; 136.3; 133.2; 123.7; 123.0; 57.4; 54.3; 36.6; 25.7; 24.2. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 465.2-467.2, Found: 465.0-466.9, 233.1-234.0 [(M+2H)/2]⁺.

5.1.3. 5-Bromo-N1,N3-dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethylbenzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **17**

To a stirred solution of 5-bromoisophthalic acid (4.00 g, 16.3 mmol) in ACN (32 mL) and DCM (32 mL) was added EDC.HCl (8.14 g, 42.40 mmol), HOBt-H₂O (5.74 g, 42.40 mmol), *N*-méthylmorpholine (23.3 mL, 212.00 mmol) and *N,O*-dimethylhydroxylamine.HCl (6.69 g, 68.60 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The mixture was washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (2 x 50 mL), a 1 M solution of HCl (2 x 50 mL) and 50 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated. The compound was used without further purification in the next step. The title compound (4.80 g, 14.49 mmol, 89 %) was obtained as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 7.94 (t, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 7.91 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H); 3.54 (s, 6H); 3.35 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 167.4; 135.5; 133.3; 126.8; 121.7; 61.3; 33.5. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 331.0-333.0, Found: 331.0-333.0 [M+H]⁺.

5.1.4. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds **15a-d**, **18a-d**

To a solution of 4-[(2-nitrophenyl)methyl]amine **11a-d** (2.25 mmol) in EtOH (45 mL) was added ammonium formate (0.99 g, 15.75 mmol) and Pd/C 10 % (177 mg, 0.17 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite pad and the filtrate was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in DCM (30 mL) and washed with water (30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated. Because of the high instability of the compounds **12a-d**, they were used directly without further purification in the next step.

5-Bromo-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **14** (303 mg, 0.65 mmol) or 5-Bromo-N1,N3-dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethylbenzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **17** (215 mg, 0.65 mmol) was dissolved in dioxane (2 mL) and Cs₂CO₃ (297 mg, 0.91 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred for 30 min and deoxygenated by passing a stream of N₂ through it. Xantphos (57 mg, 0.10 mmol), Pd₂dba₃ (30 mg, 0.03 mmol) and the previously prepared amine **12a-d** dissolved in dioxane (1 mL) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h at reflux. The reaction mixture was filtered through a celite pad and the filtrate was evaporated. The residues were purified by column or flash chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃), 10:0 to 9.5:0.5 (v/v)) to give compounds **15a-d**.

5.1.4.1. N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]-5-([2-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)phenyl]amino) benzene-1, 3-dicarboxamide **15a**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃), 10:0 to 9.5:0.5 (v/v)) to give compound **15a** as a colourless oil (yield: 88%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 9.25 (s, 1H); 7.68 (t, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 7.62 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H); 7.40 (dd, *J* = 8.1 Hz, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H); 7.20 (ddd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.11 (dd, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 7.05 (br t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H); 6.84 (td, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 3.57-3.51 (M, 6H); 2.56 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 4H); 2.46-2.37 (M, 12H); 1.67-1.55 (M, 12H); 1.49-1.41 (M, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 166.9; 144.3; 142.6; 136.2; 130.8; 128.1; 126.0; 120.3; 118.0; 116.1; 115.5; 62.9; 57.1; 54.3; 54.0; 36.5; 26.3; 25.9; 24.4; 24.3. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 575.4, Found: 575.4 [M+H]⁺, 288.2 [(M+2H)/2]⁺. HR-MS: *m/z* Calculated: 575.40680, Found: 575.40367 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₄H₅₁N₆O₂. Purity: C₄ column: *t_r* = 17.5 min, purity = 94 %; C₁₈ column: *t_r* = 19.4 min, purity = 95 %.

5.1.4.2. 5-([2-(Morpholin-4-ylmethyl)phenyl]amino)-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl] benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **15b**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃), 10:0 to 9.5:0.5 (v/v)) to give compound **15b** as a colourless oil (yield: 48 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.69 (s, 1H); 7.77 (s, 1H); 7.67 (s, 2H); 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H); 7.25-7.13 (M, 4H); 6.88 (td, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H); 3.77 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 4H); 3.61-3.57 (M, 6H); 2.63 (t, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 4H); 2.51-2.45 (M, 12H); 1.64 (m, 8H); 1.50 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 166.9; 144.1; 142.4; 136.0; 131.1; 128.6; 125.1; 120.7; 118.3; 116.3; 116.1; 67.2; 62.4; 57.2; 54.3; 53.1; 36.4; 25.7; 24.2. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 577.4, Found: 577.3 [M+H]⁺, 289.2 [(M+2H)/2]⁺. HR-MS: *m/z* Calculated: 577.38607, Found: 577.38232 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₃H₄₉N₆O₃. Purity: C₄ column: *t_r* = 16.7 min, purity = 90 %; C₁₈ column: *t_r* = 18.7 min, purity = 92 %.

5.1.4.3. 5-((2-[(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]phenyl)amino)-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl] benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **15c**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃), 10:0 to 9.5:0.5 (v/v)) to give compound **15c** as a colourless oil (yield: 35%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.83 (s, 1H); 7.74 (t, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 7.67 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H); 7.40 (dd, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 0.8 Hz, 1H); 7.23 (td, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.16 (dd, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.10 (br t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H); 6.89 (td, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 3.60-3.54 (M, 6H); 2.61-2.43 (M, 20H); 2.34 (s, 3H); 1.62 (m, 8H); 1.49 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 166.9; 144.3; 142.4; 136.1; 131.0; 128.4; 125.8; 120.6; 118.0; 116.1; 116.1; 62.0; 57.1; 55.4; 54.3; 52.7; 46.0; 36.5; 25.9; 24.3. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 590.4, Found: 590.4 [M+H]⁺, 295.7 [(M+2H)/2]⁺. HR-MS: *m/z* Calculated: 590.41770, Found: 590.41328 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₄H₅₂N₇O₂. Purity: C₄ column: *t_r* = 16.1 min, purity = 95 %; C₁₈ column: *t_r* = 18.7 min, purity = 97 %.

5.1.4.4. 5-((2-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl)amino)-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-yl)ethyl] benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **15d**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃), 10:0 to 9.5:0.5 (v/v)) to give compound **15d** as a red oil (yield: 30%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.92 (s, 1H); 7.69 (t, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 7.65 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H); 7.39 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H); 7.20 (td, *J* = 8.1 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 7.11 (dd, *J* = 7.7 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 7.06 (br t, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 2H); 6.85 (td, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 1H); 3.54 (q, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 4H); 3.46 (s, 2H); 2.55 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4H); 2.43 (br s, 8H); 2.24 (s, 6H); 1.59 (m, 8H); 1.46 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 166.9; 144.2; 142.6; 136.1; 130.6; 128.3; 126.5; 120.4; 118.2; 116.0; 115.6; 63.9; 57.1; 54.3; 44.9; 36.5; 25.9; 24.3. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 535.4, Found: 535.3 [M+H]⁺. HR-MS: *m/z* Calculated: 535.37550, Found: 535.37152 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₁H₄₇N₆O₂. Purity: C₄ column: *t_r* = 16.6 min, purity = 90 %; C₁₈ column: *t_r* = 17.8 min, purity = 92 %.

5.1.4.5. N1,N3-dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethyl-5-([2-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)phenyl]amino) benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **18a**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 10:0 to 1:9 (v/v)) to give compound **18a** as a yellow oil (yield: 75 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 9.25 (br s, 1H); 7.43 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 2H); 7.41 (t, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H); 7.36 (dd, *J* = 8.1 Hz, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H); 7.18 (td, *J* = 8.0 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.08 (dd, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 6.82 (td, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 3.61 (s, 6H); 3.51 (s, 2H); 3.35 (s, 6H); 2.39 (br s, 4H); 1.60 (m, 4H); 1.50 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 169.3; 141.3; 142.8; 135.0; 130.7; 128.1; 125.6; 120.0; 118.8; 118.6; 115.0; 62.9; 61.2; 53.9; 33.8; 26.3; 24.4. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 441.3, Found: 441.2 [M+H]⁺, 356.2 [M-piperidine+H]⁺.

5.1.4.6. N1,N3-Dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethyl-5-([2-(morpholin-4-ylmethyl)phenyl]amino) benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **18b**

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 10:0 to 0:10 (v/v)) to give compound **18b** as a yellow oil (yield: 65 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.72 (br s, 1H); 7.44 (s, 3H); 7.36 (dd, *J* = 8.1 Hz, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 1H); 7.21 (td, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.12 (dd, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 6.85 (td, *J* = 7.4 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 3.74 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 4H); 3.61 (s, 6H); 3.56 (s, 2H); 3.36 (s, 6H); 2.46 (t, *J* = 4.1 Hz, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 169.2; 143.1; 142.5; 135.0; 131.1; 128.5; 124.6; 120.4; 119.2; 118.8; 115.4; 67.2; 62.4; 61.2;

53.0; 33.8. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 443.2, Found: 443.2 [M+H]⁺, 441.1 [M-H]⁻.

5.1.4.7. *N1,N3-Dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethyl-5-((2-[(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]phenyl)amino)benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide 18c*

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 10:0 to 9.8:0.2 (v/v)) to give compound **18c** as a yellow oil (yield: 92 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.84 (br s, 1H); 7.45 (s, 3H); 7.37 (dd, J = 8.1 Hz, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H); 7.21 (td, J = 7.6 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.13 (dd, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 6.86 (td, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H); 3.63 (s, 6H); 3.58 (s, 2H); 3.38 (s, 6H); 2.60-2.43 (M, 8H); 2.34 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 169.3; 143.2; 142.6; 135.0; 130.9; 128.3; 125.3; 120.3; 119.0; 118.7; 115.5; 62.0; 61.2; 55.4; 52.5; 46.0; 33.9. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 456.3, Found: 456.2 [M+H]⁺, 454.1 [M-H]⁻.

5.1.4.8. *5-((2-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl)amino)-N1,N3-dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethylbenzene-1,3-dicarboxamide 18d*

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 10:0 to 9.8:0.2 (v/v)) to give compound **18d** as a yellow oil (yield: 26 %). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 7.41 (s, 2H); 7.37 (s, 1H); 7.33 (dd, J = 8.1 Hz, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 7.15 (td, J = 7.5 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.06 (dd, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 6.80 (td, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 3.57 (s, 6H); 3.43 (s, 2H); 3.31 (s, 6H); 2.20 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 169.3; 143.3; 142.6; 134.9; 130.6; 128.3; 126.3; 120.3; 118.8; 118.7; 115.5; 63.4; 61.2; 44.8; 33.9. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 401.2, Found: 401.1 [M+H]⁺.

5.1.5. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 16a-d

To a solution of the appropriate Weinreb amide derivatives **18a-d** (0.70 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added LiAlH₄ in THF (1 M, 1.3 mL, 1.33 mmol) dropwise, under N₂ atmosphere at 0°C. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h. Saturated KHSO₄ solution (3 mL) was added dropwise. After evaporation of the THF, the residue was dissolved in DCM (30 mL) and washed twice with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL), twice with HCl (1 M, 10 mL) and once with brine (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated. The unstable products **19a-d** were used without further purification in the next step.

The desired dicarbaldehyde derivative **19a-d** (0.23 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (5 mL). 1-Piperidineethanamine (94 μ L, 0.65 mmol) was added. After 1 to 2 h of reflux with a Dean Stark apparatus, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in DCE (3 mL). Acetic acid (37 μ L, 0.65 mmol) and NaBH(OAc)₃ (138 mg, 0.65 mmol) were added. After 15 h of stirring at room temperature, a volume of saturated NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. DCM (20 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed twice with saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified flash chromatography to afford compounds **16a-d**.

5.1.5.1. *3,5-Bis((2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)-N-[2-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)phenyl]aniline 16a*

The residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃) 9.5:0.5 to 9.3:0.7 (v/v)) to give compound **16a** as a colourless oil (yield: 26%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.89 (br s, 1H); 7.35 (dd, J = 8.1 Hz, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H); 7.17 (td, J = 7.6 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.09 (dd, J = 7.4 Hz, J

= 1.4 Hz, 1H); 6.94 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H); 6.83-6.76 (M, 2H); 3.78 (s, 4H); 3.51 (s, 2H); 2.76 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H); 2.52-2.35 (M, 16H); 1.66-1.43 (M, 18H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 143.8; 143.5; 141.4; 130.6; 128.0; 125.2; 119.9; 119.0; 116.2; 114.8; 63.0; 58.3; 54.6; 53.9; 45.7; 26.3; 25.9; 24.5; 24.4. HR-MS: m/z Calculated: 547.44827, Found: 547.44425 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₄H₅₅N₆. Purity: C₄ column: t_r = 14.7 min, purity = 97 %; C₁₈ column: t_r = 17.3 min, purity = 96 %.

5.1.5.2. *N-[2-(Morpholin-4-ylmethyl)phenyl]-3,5-bis((2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)aniline 16b*

The residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃) 10:0 to 9:1 (v/v)) to give compound **16b** as a colourless oil (yield: 14%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.38 (br s, 1H); 7.35 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H); 7.20 (td, J = 6.7 Hz, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 7.11 (dd, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 6.94 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 2H); 6.85-6.78 (M, 2H); 3.80-3.74 (M, 8H); 3.57 (s, 2H); 2.75 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H); 2.52-2.45 (M, 8H); 2.38 (br s, 8H); 2.21 (br s, 2H); 1.57 (m, 8H); 1.44 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 143.7; 143.2; 141.8; 131.0; 128.4; 124.1; 120.2; 119.3; 116.3; 115.1; 67.2; 62.5; 58.5; 54.7; 54.0; 53.1; 46.0; 26.0; 24.4. HR-MS: m/z Calculated: 549.42754, Found: 549.42489 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₃H₅₃N₆O. Purity: C₄ column: t_r = 14.4 min, purity = 96 %; C₁₈ column: t_r = 16.7 min, purity = 97 %.

5.1.5.3. *N-(2-[(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]phenyl)-3,5-bis((2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)aniline 16c*

The residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃) 10:0 to 9:1 (v/v)) to give compound **16c** as a colourless oil (yield: 10%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.56 (br s, 1H); 7.34 (dd, J = 7.9 Hz, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H); 7.19 (td, J = 7.5 Hz, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 7.11 (dd, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 6.97 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H); 6.93 (t, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 6.82 (td, J = 7.3 Hz, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H); 3.82 (s, 4H); 3.68 (br s, 2H); 3.56 (s, 2H); 2.87 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H); 2.68-2.48 (M, 20H); 2.40 (s, 3H); 1.65 (m, 8H); 1.47 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 143.6; 143.3; 140.3; 130.9; 128.3; 124.8; 120.1; 119.6; 116.4; 115.2; 62.0; 57.4; 55.3; 54.4; 53.4; 52.3; 45.8; 44.9; 25.2; 23.9. HR-MS: m/z Calculated: 562.45917, Found: 562.45673 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₄H₅₆N₇. Purity: C₄ column: t_r = 14.4 min, purity = 94 %; C₁₈ column: t_r = 16.9 min, purity = 95 %.

5.1.5.4. *N-(2-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl)-3,5-bis((2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)aniline 16d*

The residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃) 10:0 to 9:1 (v/v)) to give compound **16d** as a colourless oil (yield: 8%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.49 (br s, 1H); 7.35 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H); 7.18 (td, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 7.11 (dd, J = 7.4 Hz, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H); 6.97 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 2H); 6.85-6.78 (M, 2H); 3.78 (s, 4H); 3.45 (s, 2H); 2.77 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H); 2.51 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H); 2.40 (br s, 8H); 2.30-2.25 (M, 8H); 1.58 (m, 8H); 1.44 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 143.7; 143.5; 141.4; 130.5; 128.1; 125.9; 120.0; 119.2; 116.2; 115.2; 63.6; 58.3; 54.6; 53.9; 45.7; 44.9; 25.8; 24.3. HR-MS: m/z Calculated: 507.41697, Found: 507.41539 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₁H₅₁N₆. Purity: C₄ column: t_r = 14.3 min, purity = 91 %; C₁₈ column: t_r = 16.5 min, purity = 90 %.

5.1.6. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 21a-d

2-Formylbenzeneboronic acid (350 mg, 2.32 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of toluene (45 mL) and EtOH (15 mL). Potassium carbonate (350 mg, 2.51 mmol) and 5-bromo-N1,N3-

bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **14** (900 mg, 1.93 mmol) were added and the reaction was stirred for 30 min and deoxygenated by passing a stream of N₂ through it. Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mg, 0.04 mmol) and P(*o*-tol)₃ (120 mg, 0.39 mmol) were added and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h. After cooling, the mixture was poured into water, extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The derivative **20** was used without further purification in the next step.

The obtained aldehyde derivative **20** (2.90 mmol) was dissolved in DCE and the desired commercially amine (2.90 mmol) was added. After 5 h of stirring at room temperature, NaBH(OAc)₃ (614 mg, 2.90 mmol), acetic acid (166 µL, 2.90 mmol) were added. After 24 h of stirring at room temperature, a volume of saturated NaHCO₃ solution was added (20 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. DCM (30 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed twice with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (PE/EtOAc/MeOH(NH₃), 4:5/5/0.5 (v/v/v)).

5.1.6.1. *N1,N3-bis[2-(Piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]-5-[2-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)phenyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide 21a*

The compound **21a** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 62%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.34 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 8.09 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 2H); 7.50 (m, 1H); 7.39-7.29 (M, 3H); 7.21 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 2H); 3.61 (td, *J* = 5.8 Hz, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4H); 3.30 (s, 2H); 2.62 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 4H); 2.49 (m, 8H); 2.25 (m, 4H); 1.63 (m, 8H); 1.54-1.36 (M, 10H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 166.7; 142.5; 141.2; 136.3; 134.3; 131.3; 130.5; 130.1; 127.6; 126.9; 123.8; 60.9; 57.4; 54.4; 54.2; 36.5; 26.1; 25.7; 24.4; 24.2. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 560.4, Found: 560.4 [M+H]⁺, 558.3 [M-H]⁻. HR-MS: *m/z* Calculated: 560.39590, Found: 560.39511 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₄H₅₀N₅O₂. Purity: C₄ column: t_r = 18.8 min, purity = 98 %; C₁₈ column: t_r = 18.4 min, purity = 98 %.

5.1.6.2. *5-[2-(Morpholine-4-ylmethyl)phenyl]-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide 21b*

The compound **21b** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 29%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.34 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H); 8.09 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H); 7.48 (m, 1H); 7.37-7.29 (M, 5H); 3.65-3.58 (M, 8H); 3.35 (s, 2H); 2.61 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4H); 2.48 (m, 8H); 2.35 (m, 4H); 1.63 (m, 8H); 1.49 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 166.6; 142.4; 141.4; 135.2; 134.3; 131.5; 130.5; 130.3; 127.6; 127.3; 123.6; 67.1; 60.6; 57.5; 54.4; 53.2; 36.5; 25.7; 24.2. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 562.4, Found: 562.4 [M+H]⁺, 560.4 [M-H]⁻. HR-MS: *m/z* Calculated: 562.37517, Found: 562.37540 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₃H₄₈N₅O₃. Purity: C₄ column: t_r = 18.2 min, purity = 99 %; C₁₈ column: t_r = 17.9 min, purity = 99 %.

5.1.6.3. *5-(2-[(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]phenyl)-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide 21c*

The compound **21c** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 26%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.28 (t, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H); 8.05 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 2H); 7.45 (m, 1H); 7.36-7.24 (M, 3H); 7.18 (br t, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 2H); 3.55 (td, *J* = 5.8 Hz, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4H); 3.33 (s, 2H); 2.56 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 4H); 2.50-2.25 (M, 16H); 2.22 (s, 3H); 1.58 (m, 8H); 1.44 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 166.7; 142.3; 141.2; 135.6; 134.4; 131.2; 130.5; 130.1; 127.6; 127.2; 123.7; 60.1; 57.4; 55.2; 54.3; 52.7; 46.0; 36.6; 25.8; 24.2. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 575.4, Found: 575.4 [M+H]⁺,

573.4 [M-H]⁻. HR-MS: *m/z* Calculated: 575.40680, Found: 575.40717 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₄H₅₁N₆O₂. Purity: C₄ column: t_r = 19.3 min, purity = 98 %; C₁₈ column: t_r = 18.0 min, purity = 98 %.

5.1.6.4. *5-(2-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl)-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide 21d*

The compound **21d** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 55%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 8.33 (t, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 8.07 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 2H); 7.45 (m, 1H); 7.35-7.22 (M, 5H); 3.53 (td, *J* = 5.8 Hz, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4H); 3.24 (s, 2H); 2.52 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 4H); 2.39 (m, 8H); 2.13 (s, 6H); 1.55 (m, 8H); 1.42 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 166.6; 142.1; 141.0; 136.2; 134.3; 131.3; 130.5; 130.0; 127.7; 127.1; 123.9; 61.2; 57.5; 54.3; 45.7; 36.6; 25.8; 24.2. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 520.4, Found: 520.4 [M+H]⁺. HR-MS: *m/z* Calculated: 520.36460, Found: 520.36475 [M+H]⁺ = C₃₁H₄₆N₅O₂. Purity: C₄ column: t_r = 18.1 min, purity = 96 %; C₁₈ column: t_r = 17.9 min, purity = 96 %.

5.1.7. *5-(2-Formylphenyl)-N1,N3-dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethylbenzene-1,3-dicarboxamide 23*

2-Formylbenzeneboronic acid (151 mg, 1.01 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of toluene (20 mL) and EtOH (5 mL). Potassium carbonate (150 mg, 1.10 mmol) and 5-bromo-N1,N3-bis[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **17** (280 mg, 0.84 mmol) were added and the reaction was stirred for 30 min and deoxygenated by passing a stream of N₂ through it. Pd(OAc)₂ (4 mg, 0.02 mmol) and P(*o*-tol)₃ (52 mg, 0.17 mmol) were added and the mixture was refluxed for 18 h. After cooling, the mixture was poured into water, extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc, 10:0 to 3.5:6.5 (v/v)). The compound **23** was obtained as a red oil (yield: 87%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 10.00 (s, 1H); 8.11 (t, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 8.06 (dd, *J* = 7.8 Hz, *J* = 1.3 Hz, 1H); 7.83 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 2H); 7.68 (td, *J* = 7.5 Hz, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 1H); 7.56 (m, 1H); 7.47 (dd, *J* = 7.7 Hz, *J* = 1.1 Hz, 1H); 3.61 (s, 6H); 3.41 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 191.5; 168.4; 144.0; 137.7; 134.2; 133.8; 133.7; 131.8; 130.9; 128.5; 128.2; 127.9; 61.3; 33.5. LC-MS (ESI) *m/z* Calculated: 357.2, Found: 357.1 [M+H]⁺.

5.1.8. *General procedure for the synthesis of compounds 22a-d, 24a-d*

5-(2-Formylphenyl)-N1,N3-dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethylbenzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **23** (400 mg, 1.12 mmol) or compound **25a-d** (1.12 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (15 mL). The appropriate commercially amine (1.68 mmol) was added. After 1 h of reflux with a Dean Stark apparatus, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in DCE (15 mL). Acetic acid (97 µL, 1.68 mmol) and NaBH(OAc)₃ (357 mg, 1.68 mmol) were added. After 15 h of stirring at room temperature, saturated solution of NaHCO₃ (20 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. DCM (30 mL) was added and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed twice with saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The products were purified by flash chromatography (DCM/MeOH(NH₃) 10:0 to 9.6:0.4 (v/v)).

5.1.8.1. *[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]([3-([2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]amino)methyl)-5-[2-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)phenyl]methyl]amine 22a*

The compound **22a** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 54%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, MeOD): 7.54 (dd, *J* = 6.9 Hz, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H); 7.37-7.21 (M, 6H); 3.83 (s, 4H); 3.43 (s, 2H); 2.74

(t, J = 6.6 Hz, 4H); 2.49 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H); 2.40 (m, 8H); 2.26 (m, 4H); 1.61-1.39 (M, 18H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, MeOD): 142.6; 142.0; 139.3; 135.1; 129.9; 129.6; 128.3; 126.9; 126.8; 126.6; 60.1; 57.7; 54.4; 54.0; 52.9; 44.7; 25.4; 25.3; 23.8. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 532.4, Found: 532.4 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 266.8 $[(\text{M}+2\text{H})/2]^+$. HR-MS: m/z Calculated: 532.43737, Found: 532.43743 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ = $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{54}\text{N}_5$. Purity: C_4 column: t_r = 15.0 min, purity = 95 %; C_{18} column: t_r = 17.9 min, purity = 95 %.

5.1.8.2. ((3-[2-(Morpholine-4-ylmethyl)phenyl]-5-((2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methyl[2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]amine **22b**

The compound **22b** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 23%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, MeOD): 7.55 (m, 1H); 7.37-7.25 (M, 6H); 3.85 (s, 4H); 3.64 (t, J = 4.6 Hz, 4H); 3.46 (s, 2H); 2.76 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 4H); 2.52 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H); 2.43 (m, 8H); 2.34 (m, 4H); 1.59 (m, 8H); 1.48 (m, 4H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, MeOD): 142.7; 141.9; 139.3; 134.7; 129.9; 129.7; 128.2; 126.9; 126.7; 66.6; 59.9; 57.7; 54.4; 53.2; 52.9; 44.8; 25.3; 23.8. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 534.4, Found: 534.4 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 267.8 $[(\text{M}+2\text{H})/2]^+$. HR-MS: m/z Calculated: 534.41664, Found: 534.41658 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ = $\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{52}\text{N}_5\text{O}$. Purity: C_4 column: t_r = 14.7 min, purity = 98 %; C_{18} column: t_r = 17.4 min, purity = 98 %.

5.1.8.3. [(3-(2-[(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]phenyl)-5-((2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methyl][2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]amine **22c**

The compound **22c** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 4%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, MeOD): 7.50 (m, 1H); 7.39-7.25 (M, 6H); 3.85 (s, 4H); 3.46 (s, 2H); 2.77 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 4H); 2.53 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H); 2.36 (m, 16H); 2.26 (s, 3H); 1.60 (m, 8H); 1.47 (m, 4H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, MeOD): 142.6; 141.9; 139.2; 134.9; 129.9; 129.8; 128.2; 126.9; 126.8; 59.4; 57.7; 54.6; 54.3; 52.9; 51.9; 44.8; 25.3; 23.8. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 547.5, Found: 547.4 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 274.2 $[(\text{M}+2\text{H})/2]^+$. HR-MS: m/z Calculated: 547.44827, Found: 547.44699 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ = $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{55}\text{N}_6$.

5.1.8.4. [(3-(2-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl)-5-((2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)amino)methyl)phenyl)methyl][2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl]amine **22d**

The compound **22d** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 9%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, MeOD): 7.55 (m, 1H); 7.42-7.24 (M, 6H); 3.85 (s, 4H); 3.48 (s, 2H); 2.76 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H); 2.52 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H); 2.12 (s, 6H); 1.59 (m, 8H); 1.46 (m, 4H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, MeOD): 142.5; 141.8; 139.4; 135.1; 129.7; 128.8; 128.2; 127.1; 126.9; 126.7; 60.1; 57.7; 54.4; 52.8; 44.8; 44.1; 25.2; 23.8. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 492.4, Found: 492.4 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$, 246.7 $[(\text{M}+2\text{H})/2]^+$. HR-MS: m/z Calculated: 492.40607, Found: 492.40654 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ = $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{50}\text{N}_5$. Purity: C_4 column: t_r = 14.7 min, purity = 95 %; C_{18} column: t_r = 17.4 min, purity = 90 %.

5.1.8.5. *N1,N3-dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethyl-5-[2-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)phenyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **24a***

The compound **24a** was obtained as a red oil (yield: 61%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 7.96 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.89 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 2H); 7.51 (m, 1H); 7.38-7.25 (M, 3H); 3.60 (s, 6H); 3.39 (s, 6H); 3.34 (s, 2H); 2.28 (m, 4H); 1.50 (m, 4H); 1.38 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 169.2; 141.3; 141.0; 136.2; 133.6; 131.5; 130.5; 130.1; 127.6; 126.9; 126.5; 61.2; 60.8; 54.2; 33.8; 26.0; 24.4. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 426.2, Found: 426.2 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$.

5.1.8.6. *N1,N3-Dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethyl-5-[2-(morpholine-4-ylmethyl)phenyl]benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **24b***

The compound **24b** was obtained as a brown oil (yield: 67%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 7.98 (s, 1H); 7.93 (s, 2H); 7.47 (m, 1H); 7.37-7.33 (M, 3H); 3.65 (m, 4H); 3.60 (s, 6H); 3.39 (s, 6H); 3.38 (s, 2H); 2.38 (m, 4H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 169.1; 141.2; 135.2; 133.7; 131.5; 130.6; 130.3; 127.4; 126.5; 126.5; 67.0; 61.2; 60.6; 53.2; 33.7. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 428.2, Found: 428.2 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$.

5.1.8.7. *N1,N3-Dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethyl-5-(2-[(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]phenyl)benzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **24c***

The compound **24c** was obtained as a brown oil (yield: 42%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 7.94 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.88 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 2H); 7.47 (m, 1H); 7.36-7.25 (M, 3H); 3.57 (s, 6H); 3.36 (s, 8H); 2.40 (br s, 8H); 2.24 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 169.1; 141.2; 141.1; 135.7; 133.6; 131.5; 130.5; 130.2; 127.6; 127.2; 126.4; 61.2; 60.0; 55.1; 52.6; 46.0; 33.7. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 441.3, Found: 441.2 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$.

5.1.8.8. *5-(2-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl)-N1,N3-dimethoxy-N1,N3-dimethylbenzene-1,3-dicarboxamide **24d***

The compound **24d** was obtained as a red oil (yield: 56%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 7.99 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H); 7.82 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 2H); 7.56 (m, 1H); 7.42-7.26 (M, 3H); 3.60 (s, 6H); 3.39 (s, 8H); 2.16 (s, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 169.0; 141.1; 140.8; 135.9; 133.7; 131.5; 130.3; 130.0; 127.9; 127.1; 126.7; 61.2; 60.9; 45.1; 33.7. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 386.2, Found: 386.2 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$.

5.1.9. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds **25a-d**

To a solution of the appropriate Weinreb amide derivatives **24a-d** (0.70 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added LiAlH_4 in THF (1 M, 1.3 mL, 1.33 mmol) dropwise, under N_2 atmosphere at 0°C . The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h. Saturated KHSO_4 solution (3 mL) was added dropwise. After evaporation of the THF, the residue was dissolved in DCM (30 mL) and washed twice with saturated NaHCO_3 solution (20 mL), twice with HCl (1 M, 10 mL) and once with brine (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO_4 , filtered and evaporated.

5.1.9.1. *5-[2-(Piperidin-1-ylmethyl)phenyl]benzene-1,3-dicarbaldehyde **25a***

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc 10:0 to 6.5:3.5 (v/v)) to give compound **25a** as a colourless oil (yield: 50 %). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 10.16 (s, 2H); 8.39-8.36 (M, 3H); 7.42-7.27 (M, 4H); 3.26 (s, 2H); 2.29 (m, 4H); 1.50 (m, 4H); 1.41 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 191.3; 143.8; 140.2; 136.6; 136.2; 131.3; 130.1; 129.1; 128.0; 127.5; 61.3; 54.0; 26.0; 24.4. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 308.2, Found: 308.1 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$.

5.1.9.2. *5-[2-(Morpholine-4-ylmethyl)phenyl]benzene-1,3-dicarbaldehyde **25b***

The residue was purified by flash chromatography (PE/EtOAc 10:0 to 6.5:3.5 (v/v)) to give compound **25b** as a colourless oil (yield: 50 %). ^1H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 10.18 (s, 2H); 8.40-8.37 (M, 3H); 7.42-7.27 (M, 4H); 3.65 (m, 4H); 3.32 (s, 2H); 2.37 (m, 4H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl_3): 191.1; 143.6; 140.3; 136.7; 135.0; 131.3; 130.3; 129.7; 128.1; 127.8; 67.0; 61.0; 53.1. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 310.1, Found: 310.1 $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$.

5.1.9.3. 5-(2-[(4-Methylpiperazin-1-yl)methyl]phenyl)benzene-1,3-dicarbaldehyde **25c**

The compound **25c** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 92%) and used without further purification in the next step (degradation). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 10.17 (s, 2H); 8.40-8.37 (m, 3H); 7.42-7.30 (m, 4H); 3.32 (s, 2H); 2.46 (br s, 8H); 2.33 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 191.2; 143.7; 140.3; 136.6; 136.0; 131.4; 130.2; 129.6; 128.1; 127.8; 60.5; 55.0; 52.1; 45.8. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 323.2, Found: 323.1[M+H]⁺.

5.1.9.4. 5-(2-[(Dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl)benzene-1,3-dicarbaldehyde **25d**

The compound **25d** was obtained as a colourless oil (yield: 50%) and used without further purification in the next step (degradation). ¹H NMR (300 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 10.17 (s, 2H); 8.38 (m, 1H); 8.30 (m, 2H); 7.52 (m, 1H); 7.46-7.37 (m, 2H); 7.30 (m, 1H); 3.32 (s, 2H); 2.18 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz), δ (ppm, CDCl₃): 191.2; 143.6; 139.6; 136.8; 135.9; 131.0; 130.1; 129.2; 128.4; 127.7; 61.2; 44.8. LC-MS (ESI) m/z Calculated: 268.13, Found: 268.10 [M+H]⁺.

5.2. In vitro testing

5.2.1. Cell culture and treatment

The human neuroblastoma cell line SKNSH-SY5Y (SY5Y) was cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (PAA), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), 1 mM non-essential amino-acids and penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen), in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator at 37°C. The human APP695 cDNA was subcloned into eukaryotic expression vector pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen), allowing for G418 antibiotic selection of stable clones. This APP cDNA was transfected into SY5Y cells using the ethylenimine polymer ExGen 500 (Euromedex) according to the manufacturer's instructions. SY5Y cells stably expressing the APP695 were selected with Geneticin G418 (Invitrogen) and one clone named SY5Y-APP^{wt} was used here.

For treatment, SY5Y-APP^{wt} cells were plated onto 12-well plates (Falcon) 24 h before drug exposure, and cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (PAA), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), 1 mM non-essential amino acids (Invitrogen), 50 units/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 200 μ g Geneticin G418 (Invitrogen), under 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cells were exposed to drugs at the indicated concentrations for 24 h. After treatment, the conditioned medium was collected, spun at 200xg to eliminate the cell debris and frozen at -80°C for A β ₁₋₄₂ and A β ₁₋₄₀ quantification. Treated SY5Y-APP^{wt} cells were collected in 50 μ l of Laemmli lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors (Complete Mini, Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Meylan, France), sonicated for 5 min and stored at -80°C until use. Total protein quantification of extracted samples was performed by BCATM Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

5.2.2. Western blot analysis

Samples were heated at 85°C for 2 min with Reducing Agent (Life Technologies TM) and equal quantities of total proteins (20 μ g/lane) were resolved in NuPAGE[®] Novex[®] 16% Tris-Tricine precast gels (Life Technologies TM). After electrophoresis, the proteins were transferred onto 0.2 μ m PVDF membranes (Life Technologies TM) for 1 h at 20°C using the liquid transfer system (Life Technologies TM). Membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk in TNT (15 mM Tris buffer pH 8.4, 140 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20) for 1 h at 20°C. After washing three

times, the membrane were incubated with APPCter-C17 rabbit antiserum diluted 1:4,000 in TNT overnight at 4°C. APP-Cter-C17 was raised against the last 17 amino acids of the human APP sequence.⁵⁹ To develop the immunoreaction, the blots were incubated with peroxidase-conjugated purified mouse monoclonal anti-goat/sheep IgG (Sigma A 9452, MAb clone GT-34), 1:10,000 in TNT-M, for 1 h at 20°C, and developed with SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific). Membranes were scanned with LAS-4000 Mini Image System. CTF α (12 kDa) was detected. Images were obtained with a time exposure from 10 to 320s. Each image was opened with Adobe Photo Shop CS2 (version 9.0.2) computer program, a compose containing all WB bands was created for analysis. Bands quantification was performed by using Image J 1.37v computer program. Each band was transformed in a plot and the area under the curve was calculated. Results were expressed as arbitrary units of optical density. Membranes were then rinsed for 30 min at 20°C and reprobated with a goat polyclonal antibody against H3 (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

5.2.3. Secreted A β ₁₋₄₀, and A β ₁₋₄₂ quantification

Conditioned medium was used to determine the secreted A β ₁₋₄₀ and A β ₁₋₄₂ concentrations, using the Human A β ₁₋₄₀ Assay Kits (IBL) and the INNOTESTTM A β ₁₋₄₂ ELISA Kit (Innogenetics). Each sample was loaded in duplicate onto a 96 well plate. Experiments were done in triplicate. Results expressed in ng/ml were compared to control conditions arbitrarily given an average value of 100%. Results are presented as IC₅₀, the concentration able to decrease to 50% the basal quantity of secreted A β ₁₋₄₀ and A β ₁₋₄₂.

5.2.4. Cytotoxicity assay

The human neuroblastoma cell line (SY5Y) was cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium) (Gibco) supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin, 100 IU/mL penicillin, 1 mM non-essential amino acids and 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated foetal bovine serum (Sigma Aldrich), and grown at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

Cells were then incubated in culture medium that contained various concentrations of test compounds (100, 50, 10, 5, 1, 0.5, 0.1, 0.05 μ M), each dissolved in less than 0.1% DMSO. After 72 hours of incubation, cell growth was estimated by the colorimetric MTT (thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide) assay.

Acknowledgements

We express our thanks to the NMR facility (Lille 2 University), the Region Nord-Pas de Calais (France), the Ministere de la Jeunesse, de l'Education Nationale et de la Recherche (MJENR) and the Fonds Europeens de Developpement Regional (FEDER). This work was supported by Lille 2 University, ANR and INSERM. Marion Gay is the recipient of a fellowship from Lille 2 University.

References

1. Medapi B, Suryadevara P, Renuka J, Sridevi JP, Yogeeswari P, Sriram D. 4-Aminoquinoline derivatives as novel Mycobacterium tuberculosis GyrB inhibitors: Structural optimization, synthesis and biological evaluation. *Eur J Med Chem.* **2015**;103:1-16.
2. Antinarelli LM, Dias RM, Souza IO, Lima WP, Gameiro J, Da Silva AD, Coimbra ES. 4-Aminoquinoline Derivatives as Potential Antileishmanial Agents. *Chem Bio Drug Des.* **2015**; 86:704-714.
3. De Meneses Santos R, Barros PR, Bortoluzzi JH, Meneghetti MR, Da Silva YK, Da Silva AE, Da Silva Santos M, Alexandre-Moreira MS. Synthesis and evaluation of the anti-nociceptive and

- anti-inflammatory activity of 4-aminoquinoline derivatives. *Bioorg Med Chem.* **2015**; 23:4390-4396.
4. Gignoux E, Azman AS, de Smet M, Azuma P, Massaquoi M, Job D, Tiffany A, Petrucci R, Sterk E, Potet J, Suzuki M, Kurth A, Cannas A, Bocquin A, Strecker T, Logue C, Pottage T, Yue C, Cabrol JC, Serafini M, Ciglenecki I. Effect of Artesunate-Amodiaquine on mortality related to Ebola virus disease. *N Engl J Med.* **2016**; 374:23-32.
5. Kim CH, Leblanc P, Kim KS. 4-amino-7-chloroquinoline derivatives for treating Parkinson's disease : implications for drug discovery. *Expert Opin Drug Discov.* **2016**; 11:337-341.
6. Korth C, May BCH, Cohen FE, Prusiner BS. Acridine and phenothiazine derivatives as pharmacotherapeutics for prion disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* **2001**; 98:9836-9841.
7. Doh-ura K, Iwaki T, Caughey B. Lysosomotropic agents and cysteine protease inhibitors inhibit scrapie-associated prion protein accumulation. *J Virol.* **2000**; 74:4894-4897.
8. Kocisko DA, Baron GS, Rubenstein R, Chen J, Kuizon S, Caughey B. New Inhibitors of Scrapie-Associated Prion Protein Formation in a Library of 2,000 Drugs and Natural Products. *J Virol.* **2003**; 77:10288-10294.
9. Klingenstein R, Melnyk P, Leliveld RS, Ryckebusch A, Korth C. Similar structure-activity relationships of quinoline derivatives for antiprion and antimalarial effects. Similar structure-activity relationships of quinoline derivatives for antiprion and antimalarial effects. *J Med Chem.* **2006**; 49:5300-5308.
10. Ashfaq UA, Javed T, Rehman S, Nawaz Z, Riazuddin S. An overview of HCV molecular biology, replication and immune responses. *J Virol.* **2011**; 8:163-168.
11. Blanchard E, Belouard S, Goueslain S, Wakita T, Dubuisson J, Wychowski C, Rouillé Y. Hepatitis C virus entry depends on clathrin-mediated endocytosis. *J Virol.* **2006**; 80:6964-6972.
12. Vasquez-Martin A, Lopez-Bonet E, Cuti S, Oliveras-Ferraro C, Del Barco S, Martin-Castillo B, Menendez JA. Repositioning chloroquine and metformin to eliminate cancer stem cell traits in pre-malignant lesions. *Drug Resist Update.* **2011**; 14:212-223.
13. Mahoney E, Maddocks K, Flynn J, Jones J, Cole SL, Zhang X, Byrd JC, Johnson AJ. Identification of endoplasmic reticulum stress-inducing agents by antagonizing autophagy: a new potential strategy for identification of anti-cancer therapeutics in B-cell malignancies. *Leuk Lymphoma.* **2013**; 54:2685-2692.
14. Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Leroux G, Piette JP, Amoura Z. Why all systemic lupus erythematosus patients should be given hydroxychloroquine treatment? *Joint Bone Spine.* **2010**; 77:4-5.
15. Suarez-Almazor ME, Belseck E, Shea B, Homik J, Wells G, Tugwell P. Antimalarials for treating rheumatoid arthritis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2010**; 4:CD000959.
16. Olliaro P, Nevill C, LeBras J, Ringwald P, Mussano P, Garner P, Brasseur P. Systematic review of amodiaquine treatment in uncomplicated malaria. *Lancet.* **1996**; 348:1196-1201.
17. Boonyasuppayakorn S, Reichert ED, Manzano M, Nagarajan K, Padmanabhan R. Amodiaquine, an antimalarial drug, inhibits dengue virus type 2 replication and infectivity. *Antiviral Res.* **2014**; 106:125-134.
18. Zilbermintz L, Leonardi W, Jeong SY, Sjodt M, McComb R, Ho CL, Retterer C, Gharaibeh D, Zamani R, Soloveva V, Bavari S, Levitin A, West J, Bradley KA, Clubb RT, Cohen SN, Gupta V, Martchenko M. Identification of agents effective against multiple toxins and viruses by host-oriented cell targeting. *Sci Rep.* **2015**; 27:13476.
19. Qiao S, Tao S, Rojo de la Vega M, Park SL, Vonderfecht AA, Jacobs SL, Zhang DD, Wondrak GT. The antimalarial amodiaquine causes autophagic-lysosomal and proliferative blockade sensitizing human melanoma cells to starvation- and chemotherapy-induced cell death. *Autophagy.* **2013**; 9:2087-2102.
20. Gonzalbez R, Mozulén S, Carbajo R, Melnyk P, Pineda-Lucena A. Hit identification of novel heparanase inhibitors by structure- and ligand-based approaches. *Bioorg Med Chem.* **2013**; 21:1944-1951.
21. Clarke JB, Maggs JL, Kitteringham NR, Park BK. Immunogenicity of Amodiaquine in the Rat. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* **1990**; 335-342.
22. Naisbitt DJ, Ruscoe JE, Williams DP, O'Neill PM, Pirmohamed M, Park BK. Disposition of amodiaquine and related antimalarial agents in human neutrophils: implications for drug design. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* **1997**; 280:884-893.
23. Tingle MD, Jewell H, Maggs JL, O'Neill PM, Park BK. The bioactivation of amodiaquine by human polymorphonuclear leucocytes in vitro: chemical mechanisms and the effects of fluorine substitution. *Biochem Pharmacol.* **1995**; 50:1113-1119.
24. O'Neill PM, Mukhtar A, Stocks PA, Randle LE, Hindley S, Ward SA, Storr RC, Bickley JF, O'Neill IA, Maggs JL, Hughes RH, Winstanley PA, Bray PG, Park BK. Isoquine and related amodiaquine analogues: a new generation of improved 4-aminoquinoline antimalarials. *J Med Chem.* **2003**; 46:4933-4945.
25. Kotecka BM, Barlin GB, Edstein MD, Rieckmann KH. New quinoline di-Mannich base compounds with greater antimalarial activity than chloroquine, amodiaquine, or pyronaridine. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* **1997**; 41:1369-1374.
26. Werbel LM, Cook PD, Elslager EF, Hung JH, Johnson JL, Kesten SJ, McNamara DJ, Ortwin DF, Worth DF. Synthesis, antimalarial activity, and quantitative structure-activity relationships of tebuquine and a series of related 5-[(7-chloro-4-quinolinyl)amino]-3-[(alkylamino)methyl] [1,1'-biphenyl]-2-ols and N omega-oxides. *J Med Chem.* **1986**; 29:924-939.
27. Raynes KJ, PStocks PA, O'Neill PM, Park BK, Ward SA. New 4-aminoquinoline Mannich base antimalarials. 1. Effect of an alkyl substituent in the 5'-position of the 4'-hydroxyanilino side chain. *J Med Chem.* **1999**; 42:2747-2751.
28. Kesten SJ, Johnson J, Werbel LM. Synthesis and antimalarial effects of 4-[(7-chloro-4-quinolinyl)amino]-2-[(diethylamino)methyl] -6-alkylphenols and their N omega-oxides. *J Med Chem.* **1987**; 30:906-911.
29. Delarue S, Girault S, Maes L, Debreu-Fontaine MA, Labaied M, Grellier P, Sergheraert C. Synthesis and in vitro and in vivo antimalarial activity of new 4-anilinoquinolines. *J Med Chem.* **2001**; 44:2827-2833.
30. Delarue-Cochin S, Paunescu E, Maes L, Mouray E, Sergheraert C, Grellier P, Melnyk P. Synthesis and antimalarial activity of new analogues of amodiaquine. *Eur J Med Chem.* **2008**; 43:252-260.
31. Delarue-Cochin S, Grellier P, Maes L, Mouray E, Sergheraert C, Melnyk P. Synthesis and antimalarial activity of carbamate and amide derivatives of 4-anilinoquinoline. *Eur J Med Chem.* **2008**; 43:2045-2055.
32. Paunescu E, Susplugas S, Boll E, Varga R, Mouray E, Grosu I, Grellier P, Melnyk P. Replacement of the 4'-hydroxy group of amodiaquine and amopyroquine by aromatic and aliphatic substituents: synthesis and antimalarial activity. *ChemMedChem.* **2009**; 4:549-561.
33. Paunescu E, Susplugas S, Boll E, Vargas R, Mouray E, Grellier P, Melnyk P. Synthesis and antimalarial activity of new amino analogues of amodiaquine. *Medicinal Chemistry.* **2008**; 4:407-425.
34. Vingtdoux V, Hamdane M, Loyens A, Gelé P, Drobecq H, Bégard S, Galas MC, Delacourte A, Beauvillain JC, Buée L, Sergeant N. Alkalinizing drugs induce accumulation of amyloid precursor protein by-products in luminal vesicles of multivesicular bodies. *J Biol Chem.* **2007**; 282:18197-18205.
35. De Strooper B. Proteases and proteolysis in Alzheimer disease: a multifactorial view on the disease process. *Physiol Rev.* **2010**; 90:465-494.
36. Caporaso GL, Gandy SE, Buxbaum JD, Greengard P. Chloroquine inhibits intracellular degradation but not secretion of Alzheimer beta/A4 amyloid precursor protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* **1992**; 89:2252-2256.
37. Haass C, Hung AY, Schlossmacher MG, Teplow DB, Selkoe DJ. Beta-Amyloid peptide and a 3-kDa fragment are derived by distinct cellular mechanisms. *J Biol Chem.* **1993**; 268:3021-3024.
38. Schrader-Fischer G, Paganetti PA. Effect of alkalinizing agents on the processing of the beta-amyloid precursor protein. *Brain Research.* **1996**; 716:91-100.
39. Tam JH, Cobb MR, Seah C, Pasternak SH. Tyrosine Binding Protein Sites Regulate the Intracellular Trafficking and Processing of Amyloid Precursor Protein through a Novel Lysosome-Directed Pathway. *PLoS One.* **2016**; 11:e0161445.
40. Vingtdoux V, Sergeant N, Buée L. Potential Contribution of Exosomes to the Prion-Like Propagation of Lesions in Alzheimer's Disease. *Front Physiol.* **2012**; 3:229-250.
41. Vingtdoux V, Marambaud P. Identification and biology of α -secretase. *J Neurochem.* **2012**; 120:34-45.
42. Pardossi-Piquard R, Checler F. The physiology of the β -amyloid precursor protein intracellular domain AICD. *J Neurochem.* **2012**; 120:109-124.
43. Li F, Wu JJ, Wang J, Yang XL, Cai P, Liu QH, Kong LY, Wang XB. Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of novel hormone derivatives as balanced multifunctional agents against Alzheimer's disease. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2017**; 25:3815-3826.
44. Wang J, Wang ZM, Li XM, Li F, Wu JJ, Kong LY, Wang XB. Synthesis and evaluation of multi-target-directed ligands for the

- treatment of Alzheimer's disease based on the focus of donepezil and melatonin. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2016**; 24:4324-4338.
45. Knez D, Brus B, Coquelle N, Sosić I, Šink R, Brazzolotto X, Mravljak J, Colletier JP, Gobec S. Structure-based development of nitroxoline derivatives as potential multifunctional anti-Alzheimer agents. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2015**; 23:4442-4452.
 46. Lecoutey C, Hedou D, Freret T, Giannoni P, Gaven F, Since M, Bouet V, Ballandonne C, Corvaisier S, MAlzert Fréon A, Mignani S, Cresteil T, Boulouard M, Claeysen S, Rochais C, Dallemagne P. Design of Donecopride, a dual serotonin 4 receptor agonist/acetylcholinesterase inhibitor with potential interest for Alzheimer's disease treatment. *Proc. Natl. Acad. USA* **2014**; 111:E3825-30.
 47. Melnyk P, Sergeant N, Buée L, Delacourte A. Use of 1,4-bis(3-aminopropyl)piperazine derivatives in therapy. WO **2006** 051489.
 48. Melnyk P, Vingdeux V, Burlet S, Eddarkaoui S, Grosjean ME, Larchanché PE, Hochart G, Sergheraert C, Estrella C, Barrier M, Poix V, Plancq P, Lannoo C, Hamdane M, Delacourte A, Verwaerde P, Buée L, Sergeant N. Chloroquine and chloroquinoline derivatives as models for the design of modulators of amyloid Peptide precursor metabolism. *ACS Chem Neurosci.* **2015**; 6:559-569.
 49. Barrier M, Buée L, Burlet S, Delacourte A, Estrella C, Melnyk P, Sergeant N, Verwaerde P. Beta and gamma-carbolines derivatives for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. WO **2014**/102339.
 50. Melnyk P, Burlet S, Le Fur N, Delacourte A. New Heterocycle compounds and uses thereof for the prevention or treatment of diseases involving formation of amyloid plaques and/or where a dysfunction of the APP metabolism occurs. PCT EP2010069897, PCT US 8,680,095.
 51. Abdel-Magid AF, Carson KG, Harris BD, Maryanoff CA, Shah RD. Reductive Amination of Aldehydes and Ketones with Sodium Triacetoxyborohydride. Studies on Direct and Indirect Reductive Amination Procedures. *J Org Chem.* **1996** ; 61 :3849-3862.
 52. Peng L, Wirz J, Goeldner M. Synthesis and Characterization of Photolabile Compounds Releasing Noracetylcholine in the Microsecond Time Range. *Angew Chem Int Edit.* **1997**; 36: 398-400.
 53. Kim S, Oh CH, Ko JS, Ahn KH, Y.J. Kim YJ. Zinc-modified cyanoborohydride as a selective reducing agent. *J Org Chem.* **1985**; 50:1927-1932.
 54. Ram S, Ehrenkauf RE. A general procedure for mild and rapid reduction of aliphatic and aromatic nitro compounds using ammonium formate as a catalytic hydrogen transfer agent. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**; 25:3415-3418.
 55. Buil AMA, Gracia FJ, Moreno MIM, Pages SLM, Roberts RS, Sevilla GS, Taltavull MJ. (3-Oxo)pyridazin-4-ylurea derivatives as PDE4 inhibitors. EP2196465 A1 **2010**.
 56. Davenport AJ, Hallett DJ, Corsi M. Azetidines and cyclobutanes as histamine H3 receptor antagonists. WO 2009 135842 (A1).
 57. Lewis RJ, Francis CA, Lehr RE, LeRoy Blank C. Synthesis and Neurotoxic Potential of Racemic and Chiral Dihydroxytetrahydroquinoline Derivatives. *Tetrahedron.* **2000**; 56:5345-5352.
 58. Burkhardt ER, Matos K. Boron reagents in process chemistry: excellent tools for selective reduction. *Chem Rev.* **2006**; 106:2617-2650.
 59. Sergeant N, David JP, Champain D, Ghestem A, Wattez A, Delacourte A. Progressive decrease of amyloid precursor protein carboxy terminal fragments (APP-CTFs), associated with tau pathology stages, in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurochem.* **2002**; 81:663-672.