Ramsey for complete graphs with a dropped edge or a triangle

Luis Montejano, Jonathan Chappelon, Jorge Luis Ramírez Alfonsín

► To cite this version:

Luis Montejano, Jonathan Chappelon, Jorge Luis Ramírez Alfonsín. Ramsey for complete graphs with a dropped edge or a triangle. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 2017, LAGOS'17 – IX Latin and American Algorithms, Graphs and Optimization, 62, pp.21-25. 10.1016/j.endm.2017.10.005 . hal-02053333

HAL Id: hal-02053333

<https://hal.science/hal-02053333>

Submitted on 1 Mar 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ramsey for complete graphs with a dropped edge or a triangle

Luis Pedro Montejano ¹

*Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas
CIMAT
Guanajuato, México*

Jonathan Chappelon and Jorge Luis Ramírez Alfonsín ^{2,3}

*Institut de Mathématiques et de Modélisation de Montpellier
Université de Montpellier
Montpellier, France*

Abstract

Let $K_{[k,t]}$ be the complete graph on k vertices from which a set of edges, induced by a clique of order t , has been dropped (note that $K_{[k,1]}$ is just K_k). In this paper we study $R(K_{[k_1,t_1]}, \dots, K_{[k_r,t_r]})$ (the smallest integer n such that for any r -edge coloring of K_n there always occurs a monochromatic $K_{[k_i,t_i]}$ for some i).

We first present a general upper bound (containing the well-known Graham-Rödl upper bound for complete graphs in the particular case when $t_i = 1$ for all i). We then focus our attention when $r = 2$ and dropped cliques of order 2 and 3 (edges and triangles). We give the exact value for $R(K_{[n,2]}, K_{[4,3]})$ and $R(K_{[n,3]}, K_{[4,3]})$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Keywords: Ramsey number, recursive formula.

1 Introduction

Let K_n be a complete graph and let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. A r -edge coloring of a graph is a surjection from $E(G)$ to $\{0, \dots, r-1\}$ (and thus each color class is not empty). Let $k \geq t \geq 1$ be positive integers. We denote by $K_{[k,t]}$ the complete graph on k vertices from which a set of edges, induced by a clique of order t , has been dropped, see Figure 1.

Fig. 1. (a) $K_{[5,3]}$ and (b) $K_{[4,2]}$

Let $k_1, \dots, k_r$ and $t_1, \dots, t_r$ be positive integers with $k_i \geq t_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $R([k_1, t_1], \dots, [k_r, t_r])$ be the smallest integer n such that for any r -edge coloring of K_n there always occurs a monochromatic $K_{[k_i, t_i]}$ for some i . In the case when $k_i = t_i$ for some i , we set

$$R([k_1, t_1], \dots, [k_{i-1}, t_{i-1}], [t_i, t_i], [k_{i+1}, t_{i+1}], \dots, [k_r, t_r]) \leq t_i.$$

We note that equality is reached at $\min_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{t_i | t_i = k_i\}$. Since the set of all the edges of $K_{[t_i, t_i]}$ (which is empty) can always be colored with color i . We also notice that the case $R([k_1, 1], \dots, [k_r, 1])$ is exactly the classical Ramsey number $r(k_1, \dots, k_r)$ (the smallest integer n such that for any r -edge coloring of K_n there always occurs a monochromatic K_{k_i} for some i). We refer the reader to the excellent survey [6] on Ramsey numbers for small values. In this paper, we investigate $R([k_1, t_1], \dots, [k_r, t_r])$.

2 General upper bound

In this section we present a recursive formula (Lemma 2.1) that yields to an explicit general upper bound (Theorem 2.2). The latter contains the well-known explicit general upper bound for $R([k_1, 1], \dots, [k_r, 1])$ due to Graham and Rödl [3] (see Equation (4)).

¹ Email: lmontejano@cimat.mx

² Email: jramirez@um2.fr

³ Email: jonathan.chappelon@um2.fr

The following recursive inequality is classical in Ramsey theory

$$(1) \quad r(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \leq r(k_1 - 1, k_2, \dots, k_r) + r(k_1, k_2 - 1, \dots, k_r) + \dots + r(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r - 1) - (r - 2)$$

In the same spirit, we have the following.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $r \geq 2$ and let $k_1, \dots, k_r$ and $t_1, \dots, t_r$ be positive integers with $k_i \geq t_i + 1 \geq 2$ for all i . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} R([k_1, t_1], \dots, [k_r, t_r]) &\leq R([k_1 - 1, t_1], [k_2, t_2], \dots, [k_r, t_r]) \\ &\quad + R([k_1, t_1], [k_2 - 1, t_2], \dots, [k_r, t_r]) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + R([k_1, t_1], [k_2, t_2], \dots, [k_r - 1, t_r]) - (r - 2). \end{aligned}$$

A similar recursive inequality has been treated in [7] in a much more general setting in which a family of graphs are intrinsically constructed via two operations *disjoin unions* and *joins* (see also [4] for the case $r = 2$). However, it is not clear how the latter could be used to obtain Lemma 2.1 that allows us to give the following general upper bound for $R([k_1, t_1], \dots, [k_r, t_r])$ (which was not considered in [7]).

Theorem 2.2 *Let $r \geq 2$ be a positive integer and let $k_1, \dots, k_r$ and $t_1, \dots, t_r$ be positive integers such that $k_i \geq t_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Then,*

$$R([k_1, t_1], \dots, [k_r, t_r]) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} \{t_i\} \binom{k_1 + \dots + k_r - (t_1 + \dots + t_r)}{k_1 - t_1, k_2 - t_2, \dots, k_r - t_r}$$

where $\binom{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r}$ is the multinomial coefficient defined by $\binom{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} = \frac{(n_1 + \dots + n_r)!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_r!}$, for all nonnegative integers $n_1, \dots, n_r$.

Theorem 2.2 is a natural generalization of the well-known explicit upper bound for classical Ramsey numbers. Indeed, an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 (by taking $t_i = 1$ for all i) is the following classical upper bound due to Graham and Rödl [3, (2.48)]

$$(2) \quad R([k_1, 1], \dots, [k_r, 1]) \leq \binom{k_1 + \dots + k_r - r}{k_1 - 1, \dots, k_r - 1}.$$

Let $k \geq t \geq 2$ and $r \geq 2$ be integers and let $R_r([k, t]) = R(\underbrace{[k, t], \dots, [k, t]}_r)$.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 (by taking $k = k_1 = \dots = k_r$ and

$t = t_1 = \dots = t_n$) is the following inequality

$$(3) \quad R_r([k, t]) \leq t \binom{r(k-t)}{k-t, \dots, k-t}$$

Moreover, if $t = 1$ then

$$(4) \quad R_r([k, 1]) \leq \frac{(rk - r)!}{((k-1)!)^r}.$$

3 Exact values

By the so-called Chvátal's result [2], we know that the exact value of the Ramsey number of $K_{[4,3]}$ (a star) versus cliques is given by $R([n, 1], [4, 3]) = 3n - 2$ for all $n \geq 1$. We then naturally focus our attention to the Ramsey number of $K_{[4,3]}$ versus cliques with either a dropped edge or a dropped triangle, see [1] where $R([m, 1], [n, 2])$ has been computed for numerous cases. We provide the new following exact values of Ramsey numbers.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then,*

- $R([n, 2], [4, 3]) = 2$ for $n = 2$,
- $R([n, 2], [4, 3]) = 5$ for $n = 3$,
- $R([n, 2], [4, 3]) = 3n - 5$ for $n \geq 4$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then,*

- $R([n, 3], [4, 3]) = 3$ for $n = 3$,
- $R([n, 3], [4, 3]) = 6$ for $n = 4$,
- $R([n, 3], [4, 3]) = 8$ for $n = 5$,
- $R([n, 3], [4, 3]) = 11$ for $n = 6$,
- $R([n, 3], [4, 3]) = 3n - 8$ for $n \geq 7$.

3.1 An estimation for $R([n, 2], [5, 3])$

By considering $K_{[5,3]}$ as the book graph B_3 , it was proved in [5,8] that

$$R([n, 1], [5, 3]) \leq \frac{3n^2}{\log(n/e)},$$

for all positive integers n .

The following result is a first estimation for the value $R([n, 2], [5, 3])$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then,*

- $R([n, 2], [5, 3]) = 2$ for $n = 2$,
- $R([n, 2], [5, 3]) = 7$ for $n = 3$,
- $R([n, 2], [5, 3]) \leq 3\binom{n+1}{2} - 5n + 4$ for $n \geq 4$.

References

- [1] J. Chappelon, L.P. Montejano and J.L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *On Ramsey numbers of complete graphs with dropped stars*, Discrete Applied Math. **210** (2016), 200–206.
- [2] V. Chvátal, *Tree-complete Ramsey numbers*, J. Graph Theory **1** (1977), 93.
- [3] R. Graham and V. Rödl, *Numbers in Ramsey theory*, Surveys in Combinatorics 1987, 123, London Mathematics Society Lecture Note Series (1987) 111–153.
- [4] Y.R. Huang, K. Zhang, *New upper bounds for Ramsey numbers*, European J. Combin. **19**(3) (1998), 391-394.
- [5] Y. Li, C.C. Rousseau, *On Book-Complete Graph Ramsey Numbers*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **68** (1996), 36–44.
- [6] S.P. Radziszowski, *Small Ramsey numbers*, Electron. J. Combin. **1** (1994), Dynamic Survey 1, 30 pp (electronic) (revision #14 January 12, 2014).
- [7] L. Shi, K. Zhang, *A bound for multicolor Ramsey numbers*, Discrete Math. **226**(1-3) (2001), 419-421.
- [8] B. Sudakov, *Large K_r -Free Subgraphs in K_s -Free Graphs and Some Other Ramsey-Type Problems*, Random Structures and Algorithm **26** (2005), 253–265.