

# A Paleozoic age for the Tunnunik impact structure

Camille Lepaulard, Jérôme Gattacceca, Nicholas Swanson-Hysell, Yoann Quesnel, François Demory, Gordon Osinski

## ▶ To cite this version:

Camille Lepaulard, Jérôme Gattacceca, Nicholas Swanson-Hysell, Yoann Quesnel, François Demory, et al.. A Paleozoic age for the Tunnunik impact structure. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 2019, 54 (4), pp.740-751. 10.1111/maps.13239 . hal-02048190

## HAL Id: hal-02048190 https://hal.science/hal-02048190

Submitted on 26 Apr 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

| 1           |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2           | A Paleozoic age for the Tunnunik impact structure                                                                                                                                                       |
| 3<br>4<br>5 | Camille Lepaulard <sup>1</sup> , Jérôme Gattacceca <sup>1</sup> , Nicholas Swanson-Hysell <sup>2</sup> , Yoann Quesnel <sup>1</sup> , François Demory <sup>1</sup> , Gordon R. Osinski <sup>3,4</sup> , |
| 6<br>7      | <sup>1</sup> Aix Marseille Univ, CNRS, IRD, Coll France, INRA, CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, France                                                                                                          |
| 8           | <sup>2</sup> Department of Earth and Planetary Science, University of California, Berkeley, California                                                                                                  |
| 9           | 94720-4767, USA                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 10          | <sup>3</sup> Dept. of Earth Sciences, Centre for Planetary Science and Exploration, University of Western                                                                                               |
| 11          | Ontario, 1151 Richmond St., London, ON, N6A 5B7, Canada                                                                                                                                                 |
| 12          | <sup>4</sup> Dept. Physics & Astronomy, University of Western Ontario, 1151 Richmond St., London, ON,                                                                                                   |
| 13          | N6A 5B7, Canada                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 14          |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 15          | Corresponding author: Camille Lepaulard (lepaulard@cerege.fr)                                                                                                                                           |
| 16          | Key Points:                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| 17          | • The Tunnunik impact structure is most likely to have formed in the Late Ordovician to early Silurian                                                                                                  |
| 18          | • The post-impact temperature of the studied target sedimentary rocks was about 350°C                                                                                                                   |
| 19          |                                                                                                                                                                                                         |

### 20 Abstract

21 We report paleomagnetic directions from the target rocks of the Tunnunik impact 22 structure, as well as from lithic impact breccia dikes that formed during the impact event. The 23 target sedimentary rocks have been remagnetized after impact-related tilting during a reverse polarity interval. Their magnetization is unblocked up to 350°C. The diabase dikes intruding 24 25 these sediments retained their original magnetization which unblocks above 400°C. The impact 26 breccia records a paleomagnetic direction similar to that of the overprints in the target 27 sedimentary rocks. The comparison of the resulting virtual geomagnetic pole for the Tunnunik impact structure with the apparent polar wander path for Laurentia combined with 28 biostratigraphic constraints from the target sedimentary rocks is most consistent with an impact 29 age in the Late Ordovician or Silurian, around 430 to 450 Ma, soon after the deposition of the 30 youngest impacted sedimentary rocks. Our results from the overprinted sedimentary rocks and 31 32 diabase dikes imply that the post-impact temperature of the studied rocks was about 350°C.

### 34 1 Introduction

35

33

36 Hypervelocity impacts are a major process for the evolution of planetary surfaces, including the Earth. Terrestrial impact events have significantly influenced the biosphere in 37 numerous ways including, on one hand, the development of habitats for primitive life forms 38 39 (Reimold and Koeberl, 2008; Cockell et al., 2005) and, on the other hand, some extinctions such 40 as the Cretaceous-Paleogene mass extinction (Schulte et al., 2010). Depending on the size of the 41 impactor, impact events significantly modify regional geology and may lead to the formation of ore deposits (Ames et al., 1998). However, on Earth, plate tectonics and erosion can obscure or 42 completely erase the geologic record of impact structures. Currently, around 190 impact 43 structures are identified on Earth, including thirty in Canada, but the age of approximately half of 44 45 these impacts is unknown (Jourdan et al., 2012). Dating of impact structures is crucial for assessing correlations (or the lack thereof) between impact events and biological or geological 46 47 changes, and to constrain the flux of crater-forming bodies to the Earth through time.

48 While most of the large terrestrial impact structures (> 6 km) on Earth have likely already been documented (Hergarten and Kenkmann, 2015), discovery of additional impact structures is 49 50 still possible. In 2010, the Tunnunik structure, a ~28 km diameter impact structure was identified 51 in the Canadian Arctic (Dewing et al., 2013). The age of this structure is presently not constrained more precisely than between 0 and ca. 450 Ma. The purpose of this study is to 52 provide a refined estimate for the age of this impact structure. In the absence of suitable material 53 for radiometric dating, we utilize paleomagnetic dating of target rocks that were remagnetized by 54 55 the impact (Deutsch and Schärer, 1994; Pilkington and Grieve, 1992) and of the lithic breccia that formed during the impact event (Fairchild et al., 2015). 56

### 57 2 Geological setting, sampling, methods

### 58 Geological setting

59 The Tunnunik impact structure is located on Victoria Island in the Canadian High Arctic. 60 The impact structure is deeply eroded and has been identified by the presence of shatter cones, 61 sedimentary rocks steeply dipping quaquaversally (compared to the generally relatively flat 62 regional bedding), and concentric faults (*Dewing et al.*, 2013). The target rock sequence, exposed 63 outside of the impact structure, consists of sub-horizontal sedimentary rocks, mostly dolostones and mudstones, ranging from the Neoproterozoic (Wynniatt formation of the Shaler Supergroup
formation) to the Late Ordovician - Early Silurian (?) (Thumb Mountain and Allen Bay
formations). Following Newman and Osinski (2016), we group these sedimentary rocks into the
Shaler Supergroup (Neoproterozoic), Mount Phayre, Victoria Island, Thumb Mountain and Allen
Bay formations in ascending stratigraphic order.

Neoproterozoic diabase dikes associated with the *ca*. 720 Ma Franklin Large Igneous Province which intrude the Neoproterozoic sedimentary rocks are also present within the target rock (*Dewing et al.*, 2013; *Heaman et al.* 1992). Lithic impact breccias, composed of sedimentary clasts up to centimeter size set in a silt-sized matrix, are encountered in the form of dikes and sills up to a few decimeters thick intruding the sedimentary target rocks, especially the Mount Phayre formation and the Shaler Supergroup formation.

### 75 Sampling

76 Three main rock types were sampled for paleomagnetic analysis: impact breccia, 77 Neoproterozoic to Upper Ordovician-lower Silurian sedimentary rocks, and Neoproterozoic 78 diabase dikes. For impact breccia sampling, we focused on facies dominated by the fine-grained 79 matrix (clast content below 10 vol%) and with clast size below 1 mm. A total of 29 80 paleomagnetic sites were sampled, mostly located inside the impact structure (Figure 1, Table 81 S1). Sampling was performed by drilling of 2.5 cm-diameter cores using a gas-powered drill, or more rarely through collection of oriented blocks. Samples were oriented using magnetic and sun 82 83 compasses.

### 84 Methods

85 The magnetic mineralogy was studied by measurement of susceptibility versus 86 temperature (Figure 2A), stepwise thermal demagnetization of saturation isothermal remanent 87 magnetization (sIRM) that is more sensitive to the presence of pyrrhotite (Figure 2B), and 88 measurements of S<sub>-300</sub> ratio that is the IRM obtained after applying a 3 T field and then a back 89 field of -0.3 T normalized to the IRM acquired in 3 T. The low field magnetic susceptibility 90 (noted  $\chi$  in m<sup>3</sup>.kg<sup>-1</sup>) was measured for all samples using an AGICO apparatus, either with an 91 MFK1 or a KLY-2 kappabridge instrument (with sensitivity of 5x10<sup>-13</sup> m<sup>3</sup>), depending on sample 92 size. The MFK1 kappabridge operates at 200 Am<sup>-1</sup> peak field and at a frequency of 976 Hz. The KLY-2 kappabridge operates at 425 Am<sup>-1</sup> peak field and at a frequency of 920 Hz. 93 94 Thermomagnetic curves were obtained with the use of the MFK1 instrument coupled with a CS3 95 furnace. Estimates of Curie temperatures were computed as the inflection points of the 96 susceptibility versus temperature curves. Thermal demagnetization of sIRM (imparted with 3 T 97 pulse with a MMPM9 pulse magnetizer) was conducted using an MMTD furnace. Hysteresis 98 properties of the diabase dikes were measured with a MicroMag 3900 vibrating sample 99 magnetometer. All rock magnetism measurements were performed at CEREGE.

All remanence measurements were performed with a SQUID magnetometer (2G 100 Enterprises, model 760R, with noise level of 10<sup>-11</sup> Am<sup>2</sup>) in a magnetically shielded room, with an 101 102 attached automated 3-axis alternating field degausser system (with a maximum peak field of 170 103 mT). For the majority of samples, the natural remanence was studied (around 100 specimens) by 104 stepwise thermal demagnetization using a MMTD80 furnace. For each studied site, two pilot 105 samples were demagnetized, one using thermal demagnetization up to 600°C, one using 106 alternating field (AF) demagnetization up to 120 mT. The most efficient demagnetization 107 method was then used for the remaining samples. Demagnetization data were analyzed through principal component analysis and summarized with Fisher statistics using PaleoMac software
 (*Cogné*, 2003). Paleomagnetic results are summarized in Table 1.

110

### 111

# 112 **3 Results**113

114 In the impact breccia, thermomagnetic experiments reveal a Curie temperature of 585°C, 115 indicating the presence of magnetite (Figure 2A). Pyrrhotite is also present as indicated by significant unblocking of sIRM around 280-320°C (Figure 2B), although its signal is hidden by 116 the magnetite contribution in the susceptibility curve (Figure 2A). The presence of pyrrhotite is 117 confirmed by the S-300 ratio of -0.84 that indicates the significant contribution of a high 118 119 coercivity mineral. The impact breccia samples display two magnetization components that are equally evidenced by thermal (Figure 3A) and AF demagnetization (Figure 3B). The low-120 121 temperature/low-coercivity component corresponds to the present local field. The hightemperature (isolated above ~200-350°C and up to the Curie temperature of magnetite at 585°C), 122 123 high-coercivity (above 15 mT) component is origin-trending and is interpreted as the 124 characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM).

In the diabase dikes, the Curie temperature of ~570°C indicates the presence of magnetite, with a contribution of maghemite identified by an irreversible decay at around 350°C (Figure 2A). The diabase displays two components of magnetization, better evidenced by thermal (Figure 3C) than by AF demagnetization (Figure 3D). The high-temperature component, which is origin-trending, is unblocked between 400 and 590°C.

130 The magnetic mineralogy of rocks from Victoria Island and Shaler Supergroup is a 131 mixture of pyrrhotite and magnetite. Pyrrhotite is evidenced by significant unblocking temperatures of sIRM around 300-320°C (Figure 2B), and the S-300 ratio of -0.79 that indicates 132 the significant contribution of a high coercivity mineral. Pyrrhotite has previously been reported 133 134 for the Cambrian-Ordovician sedimentary sequence in the region (e.g., Quesnel et al., 2013). The absence of field-dependence of low field magnetic susceptibility suggests a small pyrrhotite 135 136 grain size (Worm et al., 1993), as classically found in many pyrrhotite-bearing sedimentary rocks (e.g., Rochette et al., 1992). Magnetite is indicated by the significant fraction of the sIRM 137 unblocked above 330°C and up to 580°C (Figure 2B). Among the sedimentary rocks studied for 138 139 paleomagnetism, 16 out of 21 sites showed unstable remanence during demagnetization and did 140 not provide interpretable results, except for four sites from the Shaler Supergroup and for one site from the Victoria Island formation. These seven sedimentary rocks (dolostones and 141 142 mudstones) display two components of magnetization, evidenced by thermal demagnetization 143 (Figure 3E-F) where AF demagnetization (not shown) fails to separate both components. The 144 origin-trending high-temperature component is unblocked between 290 and 350 °C (310°C for the only successful Victoria Island site, the remanence being too weak above this 145 146 demagnetization step).

147

## 148 **4 Discussion**

149 It is crucial to determine the age of the remanent magnetizations identified in these rocks 150 relative to the impact. The breccia dikes were formed during the impact event and their magnetic 151 remanence would have been acquired both by the clasts and the fine-grained matrix as they 152 cooled following emplacement and associated frictional heating. It has been shown that impactrelated tilting occurs quite rapidly, taking place over a timescale shorter than several minutes in mid-sized impact craters (*Fairchild et al.*, 2016). Therefore, the impact breccia is expected to carry a thermoremanent magnetization acquired when the breccia cooled following impactrelated tilting. Such a timing of impact acquisition has been shown for clastic breccia dikes within the Slate Island impact structure (*Fairchild et al.*, 2016). However, because frictional heating in the breccia dikes is very localized (*Fairchild et al.*, 2016), the target sedimentary rocks and the diabase dikes may or may not have been remagnetized by the impact.

A paleomagnetic tilt test was used to estimate the relative timing of magnetization and 160 impact tilting (Tauxe and Watson, 1994). This test was performed at site levels and not within 161 162 sites because bedding was almost constant at each individual site. As expected, for all studied lithologies, the low-coercivity / low-temperature components fail the tilt test and were therefore 163 164 acquired following tilting of the beds. The direction corresponds to the present day local 165 magnetic field such that it is interpreted to be a recent viscous overprint (Figure 4C). For the 166 impact breccia, the tilt test is also negative for the high coercivity components and progressive 167 tilt test indicate a maximum grouping of the directions at 0% untilting. The ChRM of the breccia 168 is interpreted as a full thermoremanent magnetization (TRM) acquired as the breccia cooled 169 shortly after breccia emplacement and impact tilting. For the Shaler Supergroup, the tilt test is 170 also negative, as illustrated by the much larger scattering after tilt correction (Figure 4A-B). Only one site from the Victoria Island formation provided paleomagnetic results suitable for 171 interpretation. The tilt-corrected paleomagnetic direction for this site is compatible with that 172 from the Shaler Supergroup and we considered it as a post-tilting impact related magnetization as 173 174 well. The possible processes to account for post-tilting remagnetization of these sedimentary 175 rocks are thermal magnetization during cooling from impact-related heating, shock 176 magnetization during pressure release immediately after passage of the impact shock wave, or 177 chemical magnetization resulting from post-impact hydrothermal activity (e.g., Zylberman et al., 2017). Shock remanent magnetization is excluded as the source of the impact-related 178 179 magnetization because the release of the shock wave occurs before tilting, so that a shock remanence would pass a tilt test. Both partial or total TRM from impact-related heating and 180 181 chemical remanent magnetization (CRM) from impact-related hydrothermal activities can result 182 in a remagnetization allowing the structure to be paleomagnetically dated (*Pilkington et al.*, 1992). In view of the unblocking temperatures of the natural remanent magnetization (NRM) in 183 184 the sedimentary rocks (290°C to 350°C), a CRM could be explained by the formation of 185 pyrrhotite during hydrothermal activity (e.g., Osinski et al., 2005). However, because the maximum unblocking temperature of 350°C is slightly higher than the Curie temperature of 186 187 pyrrhotite (320-325°C), we favor a partial thermoremanent magnetization acquired during post-188 impact cooling from a peak temperature of 350°C.

189 For the three diabase dikes, the tilt test is inconclusive because the beddings of the 190 intruded sediments are similar for the three sites. The ChRM direction is significantly different 191 (before tilt correction) to the average direction computed from the Shaler Supergroup, Victoria 192 Island formation and impact breccia, suggesting that they have not been remagnetized by the 193 impact (Figure 4B). Their virtual geomagnetic poles (VGPs, after tilt correction) are closer to 194 paleomagnetic poles from other igneous rocks of the Franklin Large Igneous Province (Palmer et al., 1983; Denyszvn et al. 2009) than the impact breccia site VGPs. Poorly developed shatter 195 cones have been described in the diabase dikes (Dewing et al., 2013), implying shock pressures 196 197 above ~2 GPa during the impact event. Such pressure level is well below the pressure-induced 198 magnetite magnetic transition at 12-16 GPa (Ding et al., 2008). Moreover, the coercivity of remanence of samples from the diabase dikes is 39 mT (our measurements, average of 3 samples, one per studied dike), and significant shock remagnetization is also unlikely for magnetite with this range of coercivity of remanence (*Bezaeva et al., 2010*).

202 To test further whether or not the diabase dikes have preserved their primary TRM, we 203 collected 4 oriented cores of the Shaler Supergroup sedimentary rocks that were intruded and baked by the dike, at distances from 3 to 25 cm from the dike wall. In addition to a low 204 205 temperature viscous component, these samples exhibit four magnetization components 206 unblocked over the following temperature range: between 250 and 345°C, between 345 and 370, 207 between 370 and 450°C, and above 450°C (Table 1, Figure 3G). These components are called A, B, C, D in the following for clarity. Among the three higher temperature components 208 209 components B and D are identical and close to the reverse polarity ChRM of the diabase dikes 210 (Figure 4C). Component C is antipodal to components B and D. These three components are 211 interpreted as partial TRMs acquired successively during cooling from above 500°C following 212 the diabase dike intrusion. During cooling, the geomagnetic field changed from reverse to 213 normal and back to reverse polarity, leading to the record of antipodal directions D, C, and B 214 successively. Such a record of successive polarity intervals has already been observed in slow 215 cooling sedimentary rocks (e.g., Rochette et al. 1992). Component A blocked up to 345°C has a 216 direction different from the other 3 components related to the dike intrusion but undiscernible (before tilt correction) from the other remagnetized Shaler Supergroup directions. 217 It is 218 interpreted as a partial TRM acquired following the impact. This result indicates that the post-219 impact temperature in these rocks was 345°C, in close agreement with the ChRM peak unblocking temperatures measured in the other Shaler Supergroup sediments within the impact 220 221 structure. Component A is not recorded in the diabase dikes because these rocks have minimal 222 blocking capacity below 350°C.

223 The impact breccia, Shaler Supergroup formation, and Victoria Island formation all have 224 post-tilting impact-related ChRM. These seven paleomagnetic directions are similar (Figure 4B) 225 and the mean pole calculated from these sites is: 349.3°E, 1.2°N, angular radius of 95% confidence cone  $A_{95} = 8.3^{\circ}$ , and Fisher precision parameter K = 53.3 (Table 1). The sites may 226 227 have slightly different magnetization ages due to different blocking temperatures and varied 228 cooling history and as a result may partially average out secular variation. However, the conservative interpretation is that the combined pole represents a snapshot of the paleomagnetic 229 230 field shortly following impact that does not average out secular variation and should be 231 considered a virtual geomagnetic pole rather than a mean paleomagnetic pole.

Comparison of this impact pole with the running mean Apparent Polar Wander Path (APWP) for North America (*Torsvik et al.*, 2012; *Besse and Courtillot*, 1991) shows that it is closest to the 520, 510 and 500 Ma mean paleomagnetic poles (Figure 5).

235 Following 500 Ma, the angular distance of the Tunnunik VGP becomes progressively 236 greater from the geographic poles implied by the running mean poles of Torsvik et al. (2012) and 237 the paleogeographic model of Torsvik and Cocks (2017) and its modification by Swanson-Hysell 238 and Macdonald (2017) (Figure 6A). From 410 Ma onward to the present, the angular distance 239 between the Tunnunik VGP and the geographic pole exceeds 45°. Deviations between the geographic pole and a virtual geomagnetic pole are expected to arise through secular variation of 240 241 the geomagnetic field. However, secular variation of the geomagnetic field typically leads to 242 geomagnetic pole positions that are close to the geographic pole. For example, random draws from the paleosecular variation model TK03.GAD (Tauxe and Kent, 2004) for a site at the 243 244 equator will be within 20° of the geographic pole 90% of the time and within 30° of the

245 geographic pole 95% of the time. The further away an impact VGP is from a pole of a given age, the less likely it is to have formed at that age (see similar discussion in Hervé et al., 2015 and 246 Carporzen and Gilder, 2006). In order to estimate the probability of the Tunnunik VGP having 247 arisen through secular variation throughout the Phanerozoic, the approach developed by 248 249 Fairchild et al. (2016) was used: the angular distance between the Tunnunik VGP and the 250 paleopoles is compared to that of VGPs randomly sampled from the TK03.GAD secular 251 variation model (Tauxe and Kent, 2004). For this analysis, we simulated 10<sup>5</sup> VGPs from the TK03.GAD model in 10 million year increments at the paleogeographic position of the crater. 252 253 Seeking to quantify the probability of an angular deviation between the paleopole and the 254 Tunnunik VGP as large as that determined at each of these times (Figure 6A), we calculated the 255 percentage of simulated VGPs that are at this angular distance or greater from the pole (Figure 256 6B and 6C). While the Tunnunik VGP is closest to North America's pole path at ca. 500 Ma, this analysis shows that the likelihood of a VGP with the angular distance as large as the deviation of 257 the paleopole of the Tunnunik VGP is greater than 5% at every simulated time during the 258 259 Ordovician, using the minimum angle given the uncertainty of the VGP. However, given the 260 increasing angular distance of the Tunnunik VGP from Silurian paleopoles (from 420 Ma onward), the likelihood of a VGP at such a distance is less than 5% and continues to be a low 261 262 probability event all the way to the present. The magnetostratigraphy scale for the 500-420 Ma 263 time interval (e.g., Hounslow et al., 2016), although incomplete, shows multiple reversals and 264 does not allow to better constrain the age of the reverse polarity remagnetization observed at 265 Tunnunik structure.

266 Geologic constraints on the timing of the impact come from the age of early Paleozoic 267 shallow-marine sedimentary rocks within the Tunnunik impact structure. Extensive mapping of 268 the shatter cone distribution at the impact structure reveal the youngest rocks with shatter cones 269 to be those of the Victoria Island Formation (Osinski and Ferrière, 2016). Conodont 270 biostratigraphy has revealed an Early Ordovician age (ca. 487 to 470 Ma) for the Victoria Island 271 Formation (Dewing et al., 2013; Dewing et al., 2015). Faulting associated with impact crater 272 development extends beyond the central region that contains shatter cones and deforms rocks of the Thumb Mountain Formation (Dewing et al., 2013; Newman and Osinkski, 2016). Conodonts 273 274 and a fossil assemblage of crinoids, corals, gastropods, and cephalopods indicate a Late 275 Ordovician age for the Thumb Mountain Formation (ca. 458 to 445 Ma; Dewing et al., 2013). 276 Overlying the dolostone of the Thumb Mountain is a poorly exposed dolostone unit that Dewing 277 et al. (2013) tentatively assigned to the Allen Bay Formation. Further to the northwest on the 278 Arctic Platform at Devon Island, biostratigraphy on carbonates of the Allen Bay Formation have 279 revealed it to have an age that spans from the Late Ordovician into the Silurian (Thorsteinsson 280 and Mayr, 1987). Mapping of the impact structure has largely left rocks assigned to the Thumb 281 Mountain and Allen Bay Formations undivided (Dewing et al., 2013; Newman and Osinski, 282 2016). Currently the most robust constraint on the maximum age of the impact event is that the 283 development of the impact structure led to faulting of the Thumb Mountain Formation and 284 therefore occurred after ca. 458 Ma. Regional normal faults taken to have formed in association 285 with Early Cretaceous extension cut across the impact structure were interpreted to indicate that 286 the crater formed before ca. 130 Ma (Dewing et al., 2013), but this constraint is rather 287 speculative.

The position of the Tunnunik VGP is most consistent with an Ordovician age for the Tunnunik impact. While secular variation of the geomagnetic field naturally results in VGPs that differ from the mean paleopole position, the large angle between the Tunnunik VGP and paleopoles 420 Ma and younger makes a deviation of this magnitude a low probability
event (Figure 6). The combined paleomagnetic, stratigraphic and biostratigraphic constraints
collectively suggest a Late Ordovician to Silurian age of ca. 430-450 Ma, only shortly after
deposition of the youngest impacted sediments.

295

### 296 **5** Conclusions

297 This study focuses on constraining the age of the recently discovered Tunnunik impact 298 structure. Paleomagnetic directions obtained from impact breccia and sedimentary rocks from the 299 target sequence indicate the acquisition of a magnetization following impact-related tilting during a reverse polarity interval. In contrast, the diabase dikes intruding these sediments have 300 retained their original magnetization unblocked above 400°C. The comparison of the resulting 301 302 paleomagnetic pole with the apparent polar wander path for North America shows it to be close 303 to Cambrian poles and strongly suggests a Paleozoic age for the crater. The likelihood of the observed impact direction becomes very small (<5% likelihood) if it was acquired after ca. 430 304 Ma suggesting an age of impact that is older than 430 Ma. Combined with the stratigraphic 305 constraints these data indicate an impact age between 450 and 430 Ma, in the Late Ordovician or 306 Silurian Period, soon after deposition of the youngest impacted sedimentary rocks. This old age 307 opens the possibility that ejecta from the Tunnunik crater may have been preserved in the 308 309 surrounding basin and may be found in the sedimentary rocks of the Arctic platform.

The target sedimentary rocks were remagnetized during post-impact cooling. The preservation of pre-impact magnetization unblocked above 400°C in the diabase dikes, and the constraints from the paleomagnetic results obtained on the Shaler Supergroup sediments baked by the intrusions of the diabase dikes indicate collectively that the peak temperature of the thermal excursion associated with the impact was 350°C at the structural level of the studied rocks.

316

### 317 Acknowledgments

318 This project was partly funded by the French Polar Institute IPEV (Institut Paul Emile Victor).

319 The authors are grateful to the Polar Continental Shelf Project for logistical support. The France-

320 Berkeley Fund initiated collaborative research between J.G. and N.L.S.-H. We thank William

- 321 Zylberman, Cassandra Marion-Beauchamp, and Racel Sopoco for their help in the field during
- 322 sampling.

### 323 References

- Ames, D.E., Watkinson, D.H. and Parrish, R.R. (1998), Dating of a regional hydrothermal system induced by the 1850 Ma Sudbury impact event, Geology, 26, 447–450.
- Besse, J. and V. Courtillot (1991), Revised and Synthetic apparent polar wander path of the
   African, Eurasian, North American and Indian plates, and True polar wander since 200 Ma, J.
   Geophys. Res., 96, 4029–4050.
- 329 Carpozen, L. and S.A. Gilder (2006), Evidence for coeval Late Triassic terrestrial impacts from
- the Rochechouart (France) meteorite impact, Geophysical research letters, v.33, L19308, doi:
- 331 10.1029/2006GL027356.

Cockell, C. S., Lee, P., Broady P., Lim, D., Osinski, G., Parnell, J., Koeberl, C., Pesonen, L., and
 Salminen, J., 2005. Effects of Asteroid and Comet Impacts on Lithophytic Habitats – A
 Synthesis. Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 40, 12, 1-14.

- Cogné, J.P (2003), PaleoMac: A Macintosh<sup>TM</sup> application for treating paleomagnetic data and
   making plate reconstructions, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 4, 1, 1007, doi:
   10.1029/2001GC000227.
- Denyszyn, S. W., Halls, H. C., Davis, D. W., and Evans, D. A. (2009). Paleomagnetism and U–
  Pb geochronology of Franklin dykes in High Arctic Canada and Greenland: a revised age and
  paleomagnetic pole constraining block rotations in the Nares Strait region. *Canadian Journal*of *Earth Sciences*, 46(9), 689-705.
- 342 Deutsch A., Schärer U. (1994) Dating terrestrial impact events. Meteoritics, 29, 301-322.
- Dewing, K., Pratt, B.R., Hadlari, T., Brent, T., Bédard, J. and R.H.Rainbird (2013), Newly
  identified "Tunnunik" impact structure, Prince Albert Peninsula, northwestern Victoria Island,
  Arctic Canada, Meteoritics & Planetary Science, 48, 2, 211-223, doi: 10.1111/maps.12052.
- Dewing, K., Hadlari, T., Rainbird, R.H., and Bédard, J.H., 2015. Phanerozoic geology,
  northwestern Victoria Island, Northwest Territories; Geological Survey of Canada, Canadian
  Geoscience Map 171 (preliminary), scale 1:500 000. doi:10.4095/295530
- Ding Y., Haskel D., Ovchinnikov S. G., Tseng Y.-C., Orlov Y. S., Lang J. C., Mao H. (2008)
  Novel Pressure induced magnetic transition in magnetite (Fe3O4) Physical Review Letters,
  100, 045508.
- Fairchild, L.M., Swanson-Hysell, N.L. and S.M. Tikoo (2016), A matter of minutes: Breccia
   dike paleomagnetism provides evidences for rapid crater modification, Geological Society of
   America, 44, 9, 723-726, doi: 10.1130/G37927.1.
- Gradstein, F.M., Ogg, J.G. and F.J. Hilgen (2012), On the Geological Time Scale, Newsletters
   on Stratigraphy. 45. 171-188., doi:10.1127/0078-0421/2012/0020.
- Heaman L. M., LeCheminant A. N., and Rainbird R. H. 1992. Nature and timing of Franklin
   igneous events, Canada: Implications for a Late Proterozoic mantle plume and the break-up of
   Laurentia. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 109:117–131.
- Hergarten, S. and T. Kenkmann (2015), The number of impact craters on Earth: any room for
   further discoveries ?, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 425, 187-192.
- Hervé, G., Gilder, S.A., Marion, C.L., Osinski, G.R., Pohl, A., Petersen, N. and P.J.Sylvester
  (2015), Paleomagnetic and rock magnetic study of the Mistastin Lake impact structure
  (Labrador, Canada): implications for geomagnetic perturbation and shock effects, Earth and
  Planetary Science Letters, 417, 151-163, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2015.02.011.
- Hounslow, M.W (2016), Geomagnetic reversal rates following Palaeozoic superchrons have a
   fast restart mechanism, Nature communication, doi: 10.1038/ncomms12507.
- Jourdan, F., Reimold, W.U. and A. Deutsch (2012), Dating terrestrial impact structures,
   Elements, 8, 49-53, doi: 10.2113/gselements.8.1.49.
- Newman, J.D. and G.R. Osinski (2016), Geological mapping of the Tunnunik impact structure,
   Victoria Island, Canadian High Arctic, paper presented at the 47<sup>th</sup> Lunar and Planetary
   Science Conference, Houston.
- Osinski, G.R. and L. Ferrière (2016), Shatter cones: (Mis)understood?, Sciences advances, 2, 8,
  doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1600616.
- Osinksi, G.R., Lee, P., Parneell J., Spray, J. G., Baron, M. (2005) A case study of impact induced hyrothermal activity: the Haughton impact structure, Devon Island, Canadian High
- Arctic, Meteoritics & Planetary Science, 40, 1859–1877.

- Palmer, H.C., Baragar, W.R.A., Fortier, M. and Foster, J.H. (1983), Paleomagnetism of Late
  Proterozoic rocks, Victoria Island, Northwest Territories, Canada, Canadian Journal of Earth
  Sciences, 20, 1456-1469, doi: 10.1139/e83-131.
- Quesnel, Y., Gattacceca, J., Osinski, G.R. and P. Rochette (2013), Origin of the central magnetic
   anomaly at the Haughton impact structure, Canada, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 367,
   116-122.
- Reimold, W.U. and C. Koeberl (2008), Catastrophes, extinctions and evolution: 50 years of
   impact cratering studies, Golden Jubilee Memoir of the Geological Society of India, 66, 69 110.
- Schulte, P. and 40 co-authors (2010), The Chicxulub asteroid impact and mass extinction at the
   Cretaceous-Paleogene boundary. Science, 327, 1214-1218.
- Sodero, D.E. and J.P.J. Hobson, (1979), Depositional Facies of Lower Paleozoic Allen
   Bay Carbonate Rocks and Contiguous Shelf and Basin Strata, Cornwallis and
   Griffith Islands, Northwest Territories, Canada. Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull., 63, 1059-1091.
- Swanson-Hysell, N. L. and F. A. Macdonald (2017), Tropical weathering of the Tacnic orogeny
   as a driver for Ordovician cooling, Geology, doi:10.1130/G38985.1
- Thorsteinsson, R. and U. Mayr. (1987), The sedimentary rocks of Devon Island, Canadian Arctic
   Archipelago, Geological Survey of Canada Memoir, 411, 182.
- Tauxe, L. and D.V. Kent (2004). A simplified statistical model for the geomagnetic field and the
  detection of shallow bias in paleomagnetic inclinations: Was the ancient magnetic field
  dipolar? *In* J. Channell, D. Kent, W. Lowrie, & J. Meert (Eds.), Timescales of the
  Paleomagnetic Field, 145, 101–116. Washington, D.C.: AGU.
- 400 Tauxe, L. and G.S. Watson (1994), The fold test an eigen analysis approach, Earth and 401 Planetary Science Letters, 122, 331-341.
- 402 Torsvik, T.H. and L.R.M. Cocks (2017), Earth history and palaeogeography: Cambridge, UK,
   403 Cambridge University Press, 311, doi: 10.1017/9781316225523.
- Torsvik, T.H., Van Der Voo, R., Preeden, U., Mac Niocaill, C., Steinberger, B., Doubrovine,
  P.V., Van Hinsbergen, D.J.J., Domeier, M., Gaina, C., Tohver, E., Meert, J.G., McCausland,
  P.J.A. and L.R.M. Cocks (2012), Phanerozoic polar wander, palaeogeography and dynamics,
- 407 Earth Science Reviews, 114, 325-368, doi: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.06.007.
- Worm, H.-U., Clark, D., Dekkers, M.J. (1993) Magnetic susceptibility of pyrrhotite: grain size,
   field and frequency dependence. Geophys. J. Int., 114:127-137.
- 410 Zylberman, W., Quesnel, Y., Rochette, P., Osinski, G.R., Marion, C. and J. Gattacceca, (2017),
- Hydrothermally-enhanced magnetization at the center of the Haughton impact structure?,
  Meteoritics and Planetary Science, 1-19, doi:10.1111/maps.12917.
- 413

### 414 Figure and Table captions

Figure 1. Location of sampled sites. Color codes indicate the sampled formation. Numbered 415 416 sites are the ones that provided interpretable paleomagnetic results. The red circle is the proposed 417 limit of the impact structure from Newman and Osinski (2016). The purple contour delineates 418 the area where shatter cones have been found. The background image is an Advanced 419 Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) Global Digital Elevation Model (GDEM) Version 2 product. The elevation ranges from 0 (white) to 283 m (black). 420 Except for the regional map on the upper left, coordinates are expressed in meters in the 421 Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) Zone 12 projection with the World Geodetic System 422

423 (WGS) 84 datum. For the zoomed-in area (upper right), the background image is to a mosaic of 424 orthophotographic images (Digital Globe Quickbird data).

425 Figure 2. Intrinsic magnetic properties of studied rocks. A) Susceptibility versus temperature for 426 a diabase dyke sample (site TUN32, heating and subsequent cooling curves are shown), and an impact breccia sample (site TUN20). B) Thermal demagnetization of sIRM as a function of 427 428 temperature. The red box indicates the unblocking temperature range of the impact-related magnetization of the target sedimentary rocks. The blue box indicates the unblocking 429 temperature range of the pre-impact magnetization of the diabase dikes. The post-impact 430 temperature excursion is constrained between these two boxes. The Curie temperature of 431 pyrrhotite (325°C) is indicated by the thick vertical line. 432

**Figure 3.** Orthogonal projection plot of stepwise demagnetization data before tilt-correction. Representative samples of the 3 main formations are presented: A-B) Lithic impact breccia, C-D) diabase dike, E) Shaler Supergroup, F) Victoria Island formation. G) Shaler Supergroup formation sample collected 25 cm away from the diabase dike of site TUN32. For clarity the four components of magnetization recorded in this sample and discussed in the text are highlighted by colored arrows.

439

440 Figure 4. Equal area stereographic projections. Open (closed) symbols are for upper (lower) 441 hemisphere directions. A) Directions of the 24 samples from 4 sites of the Shaler Supergroup 442 sediments before (left) and after (right) tilt correction. The red symbols are the low temperature components (isolated between 280 and 330°C) of the four samples collected within 25 cm of the 443 444 diabase dike of site TUN32. Blue star is the overall mean direction (samples from site TUN32 not included). B) Low temperature directions (grey symbols) and ChRM directions for the seven 445 446 sites from the impact breccia, Shaler Supergroup and Victoria Island formations listed in Table 1, before tilt correction. Also shown is the average ChRM direction for the 3 diabase dikes 447 (square), and the average present day magnetic field (red star). C) Directions of the four 448 449 components of magnetization from the Shaler Supergroup sediments collected within 25 cm of the diabase dike at site TUN32. Also shown is the ChRM direction of the diabase dike at this site 450 451 (in red).

452

453 Figure 5. Comparison of the running mean APWP of Laurentia (Torsvik et al., 2012) with the 454 Tunnunik virtual paleomagnetic pole which is the average of the virtual geomagnetic poles of the 455 impact breccia, Shaler Supergroup and Victoria formations sites. Ages of the running mean 456 APWP poles are labeled from the Cambrian to the Early Devonian. The running mean poles are shown with the calculated 95% confidence circle (A95) associated with the mean of studies that 457 458 fall within a 20 Myr sliding window. The poles for 520, 460, 450, 390, 380, 360 and 350 Ma are 459 shown with no such confidence circle as there are no studies within the sliding window and the 460 position of the pole for that age is the result of linear interpolation by Torsvik et al. (2012).

- 461
- 462

Figure 6. A) Angle between Tunnunik impact VGP and paleopoles as a function of time for the
 *Torsvik et al.* (2012) APWP, the paleogeographic models of *Torsvik and Cocks* (2017) and its
 Ordovician modification by *Swanson-Hysell and Macdonald* (2017). B) likelihood for a VGP to

occur at an angular deviation from the paleopole equal or greater to that observed for the
Tunnunik impact VGP for a Fisherian (k=20) distribution of VGPs. C) likelihood for a VGP to
occur at an angular deviation from the paleopole equal or greater to that observed for the
Tunnunik impact VGP for VGPs drawn from the TK03.GAD secular variation model.

470

471 Table 1. Site-mean paleomagnetic directions from the Tunnunik impact structure. Site TUN32# 472 is for Shaler Supergroup sediments baked by the diabase dike at site TUN32. These samples have four components of magnetization (A, B, C, D, see text). SLat and SLong, site latitude and 473 474 longitude; N/n, number of samples used in the computation of the mean direction / number of samples stepwise demagnetized; ChRM unblocking temperature, the temperature interval of the 475 high temperature component used for calculation; Dg and Ds, declination before and after tilt 476 correction; Ig and Is, inclination before and after tilt correction; kg and ks (noted Kg and Ks for 477 the average of VGPs) precision parameter before and after tilt correction;  $\alpha_{95}^{g}$  and  $\alpha_{95}^{s}$  (noted 478 A95g and A95s for the average of VGPs) are semi-angle of aperture of the cone where the true 479 480 mean direction lies with 95% confidence before and after tilt correction; Strike, strike of the site; 481 Dip, dip of the site; \*, Strike and Dip range from 162/16 to 190/38 (site TUN20), from 213/60 to 482 193/70 (site TUN33); For impact breccia and diabase dikes sites, strike and dip are for the 483 intruded rocks; dp is the semi-axis of the confidence ellipse about the VGP along the great circle 484 path from site to VGP; dm is the semi-axis of the confidence ellipse perpendicular to that great-485 circle path. NRM, average natural remanent magnetization;  $\chi$ , average magnetic susceptibility. \*The average impact is computed from sites TUN07, 20, 21, 29, 31, 33, 36 (see text). 486

487

## Figure 1





Figure 2





Figure 4









### Table 1 - Paleomagnetic results

| Formation                 | Site     | Slat (°N) | Slong (°E) | N/n   | ChRM<br>unblocking<br>temperatures<br>(°C) | D <sub>g</sub> (°) | I <sub>g</sub> (°) | kg    | α <sup>95</sup> g (°) | D <sub>s</sub> (°) | I <sub>s</sub> (°) | ks    | α <sup>95</sup> , (°) | Strike | Dip | Longg (°) | Latg (°) | dp (°)  | dm (°)   | Longs (°) | Lats (°) | dp (°)  | dm (°)    | NRM<br>(Am <sup>2</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> ) | χ (m <sup>3</sup> kg <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|---------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|-------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------|-----|-----------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Impact Process            | TUN20    | 72,4973   | -114,0209  | 15/15 | 260-590                                    | 66,6               | -15,2              | 112,5 | 3,6                   | 66,9               | 9,5                | 67,9  | 4,7                   | *      | *   | 0,6       | -0,6     | 1,9     | 3,7      | 356,9     | 11,4     | 2,4     | 4,7       | 9,37E-07                                   | 6,61E-08                             |
| inipact breccia           | TUN21    | 72,4965   | -114,0198  | 5/5   | 400-530                                    | 61,2               | -7,8               | 51,8  | 10,7                  | 60,8               | 15,8               | 51,8  | 10,7                  | 162    | 24  | 4,8       | 4,6      | 5,4     | 10,8     | 1,9       | 16,2     | 5,7     | 11        | 5,11E-07                                   | 7,39E-08                             |
| Victoria Island           | TUN07    | 72,4363   | -113,8668  | 6/9   | 250-310                                    | 83,1               | 11,2               | 6,6   | 28,1                  | 84,3               | 12,3               | 6,6   | 28,1                  | 95     | 6   | 34,1      | 7,5      | 14,4    | 28,5     | 339,7     | 7,7      | 14,5    | 28,6      | 2,92E-08                                   | -8,21E-10                            |
|                           | TUN29    | 72,4732   | -113,9503  | 7/7   | 270-350                                    | 85,4               | -5,0               | 52,4  | 8,4                   | 85,9               | 22,3               | 49,9  | 8,6                   | 170    | 28  | 341,2     | -1       | 4,2     | 8,4      | 336,5     | 12,3     | 4,8     | 9,1       | 2,28E-07                                   | 1,08E-08                             |
| Cholor Cuporgroup         | TUN31    | 72,4831   | -113,9321  | 5/5   | 250-350                                    | 72,4               | -9,3               | 13,7  | 21,5                  | 70,6               | 20,4               | 13,7  | 21,5                  | 194    | 35  | 354,2     | 0,7      | 10,9    | 21,7     | 351,6     | 15,9     | 11,8    | 22,5      | 9,54E-08                                   | 1,72E-08                             |
| Suglet Subergroup         | TUN33    | 72,4832   | -113,9392  | 9/9   | 250-330                                    | 82,5               | -0,5               | 50,1  | 7,3                   | 53,8               | 46,6               | 11,1  | 16,2                  | *      | *   | 343,3     | 2        | 3,7     | 7,3      | 2,7       | 37,1     | 13,4    | 20,8      | 1,32E-07                                   | 9,35E-09                             |
|                           | TUN36    | 72,4662   | -113,9665  | 6/6   | 230-330                                    | 87,6               | -10,9              | 8,7   | 24,0                  | 81,4               | -8,0               | 8,7   | 24,0                  | 89     | 36  | 340       | -4,5     | 12,3    | 24,3     | 345,5     | -1,3     | 12,2    | 24,2      | 5,43E-08                                   | 2,10E-08                             |
| Average impact direction* | 4        |           |            | 7/7   |                                            | 77                 | -5,5               | 37,2  | 10                    | 72,7               | 17,2               | 16,8  | 15,2                  |        |     | 349,3     | 1,2      | Kg=53.3 | A95g=8.3 | 350,3     | 14,3     | Ks=27,9 | A95s=11.6 | 5                                          |                                      |
|                           | TUN32#-A | 72,4827   | -113,9410  | 4/4   | 200-345                                    | 58,6               | -3,1               | 67,3  | 11,3                  | 42,1               | 28,3               | 67,3  | 11,3                  | 207    | 70  | 358,9     | 55,6     | 10,7    | 21,5     | 14,1      | 31,3     | 14,4    | 24,9      | 3,99E-06                                   | not measured                         |
| baked Shaler Supergroup   | TUN32#-B | 72,4827   | -113,9410  | 2/2   | 345-370                                    | 97,3               | 60,2               | 190   | 18,2                  | 297,1              | -9,8               | 190   | 18,2                  | 207    | 70  | 145       | 47       | 20,9    | 27,6     | 128,7     | 3,1      | 9,3     | 18,4      | 5,19E-06                                   | not measured                         |
|                           | TUN32#-C | 72,4827   | -113,9410  | 4/4   | 370-450                                    | 104,2              | -62                | 654   | 3,6                   | 111                | 7,4                | 654   | 3,6                   | 207    | 70  | 339       | -44,9    | 4,3     | 5,6      | 315       | -2,6     | 1,8     | 3,6       | 3,99E-06                                   | not measured                         |
|                           | TUN32#-D | 72,4827   | -113,9410  | 4/4   | 450-520                                    | 93,8               | -61,5              | 33,3  | 16,2                  | 106,1              | 6,4                | 33,3  | 16,2                  | 207    | 70  | 348,3     | -41,4    | 19,2    | 24,7     | 319,8     | -1,7     | 8,2     | 16,2      | 3,99E-06                                   | not measured                         |
|                           | TUN30    | 72,4729   | -113,9320  | 6/6   | 465-590                                    | 98,4               | -32,7              | 10,8  | 21,3                  | 98,1               | 23,2               | 10,8  | 21,3                  | 184    | 56  | 333,6     | -19,5    | 13,6    | 24,1     | 324,8     | 9,1      | 12      | 22,7      | 2,75E-04                                   | 1,14E-05                             |
| Diabase dikes             | TUN32    | 72,4827   | -113,9410  | 5/6   | 500-590                                    | 110                | -35,9              | 48    | 11,2                  | 110,2              | 33,7               | 48    | 11,2                  | 207    | 70  | 323,1     | -24,9    | 7,5     | 13       | 311,5     | 11,7     | 7,3     | 12,7      | 1,87E-04                                   | 9,30E-06                             |
|                           | TUN35    | 72,4839   | -113,9379  | 8/8   | 500-590                                    | 102,3              | -29,6              | 18,5  | 13,2                  | 101,5              | 32,8               | 18,5  | 13,2                  | 213    | 66  | 329,3     | -18,8    | 8,1     | 14,6     | 319,8     | 12,9     | 8,4     | 14,9      | 2,72E-04                                   | 1,62E-05                             |
| Average diabase dikes     |          |           |            | 3/3   |                                            | 103,5              | -32,8              | 194   | 8,9                   | 103,1              | 29,6               | 108,8 | 11,9                  |        |     | 328,7     | -21,1    | Kg=187  | A95g=9   | 318,7     | 11,3     | Ks=140  | A95s=10,5 | i                                          |                                      |

### Table S1 - list of sampled sites.

| Formation                             | Site  | Slat (°N) | Slong (°E) | I/O<br>crater | distance from<br>center (km) | paleomagnetic<br>result |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-----------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
|                                       | TUN20 | 72,4973   | -114,0209  | Inside        | 3,3                          | ves                     |  |  |
| lasa at Dasasia                       | TUN21 | 72,4965   | -114,0198  | Inside        | 3,3                          | yes                     |  |  |
| Impact Breccia                        | TUN34 | 72,4821   | -113,9376  | Inside        | 0,5                          | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN58 | 72,4769   | -113,8936  | Inside        | 3                            | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN12 | 72,1422   | -112,5139  | Outside       | 61                           | yes                     |  |  |
| Mofie dikes                           | TUN30 | 72,4729   | -113,9320  | Inside        | 0,7                          | yes                     |  |  |
| Walle unes                            | TUN32 | 72,4827   | -113,9410  | Inside        | 0,5                          | yes                     |  |  |
|                                       | TUN35 | 72,4839   | -113,9379  | Inside        | 0,7                          | yes                     |  |  |
|                                       | TUN17 | 72,3326   | -114,3965  | Outside       | 22,6                         | no                      |  |  |
| Allon Pay                             | TUN50 | 72,4836   | -113,9327  | Outside       | 14,9                         | no                      |  |  |
| Alleli bay                            | TUN51 | 72,4825   | -113,9292  | Outside       | 15,2                         | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN56 | 72,4759   | -113,9063  | Outside       | 12,3                         | no                      |  |  |
| Clastic unit of Mount                 | TUN14 | 72,1503   | -112,5226  | Outside       | 6                            | no                      |  |  |
| Dhaura                                | TUN15 | 72,1513   | -112,5230  | Outside       | 61                           | no                      |  |  |
| Pllayle                               | TUN16 | 72,1516   | -112,5232  | Outside       | 61                           | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN13 | 72,1420   | -112,5206  | Outside       | 61                           | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN29 | 72,4732   | -113,9503  | Inside        | 0,6                          | yes                     |  |  |
| Shaler Supergroup                     | TUN31 | 72,4831   | -113,9321  | Inside        | 0,7                          | yes                     |  |  |
|                                       | TUN33 | 72,4832   | -113,9392  | Inside        | 0,5                          | yes                     |  |  |
|                                       | TUN36 | 72,4662   | -113,9665  | Inside        | 1,6                          | yes                     |  |  |
|                                       | TUN21 | 72,4966   | -114,0198  | Inside        | 3,3                          | no                      |  |  |
| Stripy unit of Mount                  | TUN22 | 72,4971   | -114,0200  | Inside        | 3,4                          | no                      |  |  |
| Phayre                                | TUN23 | 72,4985   | -114,0200  | Inside        | 3,6                          | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN28 | 72,5192   | -114,0195  | Inside        | 5,3                          | no                      |  |  |
| Tan dolostone unit of<br>Mount Phayre | TUN11 | 72,1643   | -112,6376  | Outside       | 56                           | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN03 | 72.4567   | -113.8553  | Inside        | 3.8                          | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN07 | 72,4363   | -113,8668  | Inside        | 5,2                          | ves                     |  |  |
| Victoria Island                       | TUN10 | 72.2800   | -113.0234  | Outside       | 38                           | no                      |  |  |
|                                       | TUN18 | 72,2321   | -114.0881  | Outside       | 28                           | no                      |  |  |

Site TUN12 is from a 150 m wide mafic dike located 60 km away from the impact structure. It paleomagnetic direction (D=58°, I=4°, a95=84, k=84, n=5) is different from the three diabase dykes sampled in the impact structure, but this can be easily accounted for by secular variation of the geomagnetic field since the emplacements of these dykes are not necessarily strictly coeval.