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Abstract  Inflammation and oxidative stress are thought to be involved in, or associated with, the development of obesity, dyslipidemia, hepatic steatosis, and insulin resistance. This work was designed to determine the evolution of inflammation and oxidative stress during onset and progression of hepatic steatosis and glucose intolerance. Seventy-five male Wistar rats were divided to control and high-fat high-fructose (HFHFr) groups. A subgroup of each group was sacrificed at 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 weeks. HFHFr-fed rats exhibited overweight, glucose intolerance, and hepatic steatosis with increased contents of hepatic diacylglycerols and ceramides. The HFHFr diet increased hepatic interleukin 6 (IL-6) protein and adipose tissue CCL5 gene expression and hepatic nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase activity but not mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) production. The HFHFr diet decreased plasma and liver levels of isoprostane metabolites as well as plasma thiobarbituric acid-reactive substance (TBARS) levels. Hepatic glutathione content was decreased with a moderate decrease in superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) with the HFHFr diet. Overall, HFHFr diet led to hepatic lipid accumulation and glucose intolerance, which were accompanied by only moderate inflammation and oxidative stress. Most of these changes occurred at the same time and as early as 8 or 12 weeks of diet treatment. This implies that oxidative stress may be the result, not the cause, of these metabolic alterations, and suggests that marked hepatic oxidative stress should probably occur at the end of the steatotic stage to result in frank insulin resistance and steatohepatitis. These findings need to be further evaluated in other animal species as well as in human studies.
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Abbreviations
ADP adenine diphosphate
AGE advanced glycation end products
ALAT alanine aminotransferase
AUC area under the curve
Ccl5  chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5
DAG  diacylglycerols
FFA  unesterified fatty acids
G  glutamate
GPx  glutathione peroxidase
GSH  glutathione
GssG  oxidized glutathione
HFHFr  high-fat high-fructose groups
IL-6  interleukin 6
M  Malate
Mcp-1 (CCL2)  monocyte chemoattractant protein 1
MetS  metabolic syndrome
MUFA  monounsaturated fatty acids
NAFLD  nonalcoholic fatty liver diseases
OGTT  oral glucose tolerance test
PUFA  polyunsaturated fatty acids
qPCR  quantitative polymerase chain reaction
RLU  relative luminescence unit
ROS  reactive oxygen species
RT-qPCR  Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction
S  Succinate
SFA  saturated fatty acids
SOD  superoxide dismutase
TAG  triacylglycerols
TBARS  thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances
TNF-α  tumor necrosis factor-α
UHPLC/MS/MS  Ultra-high performance liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry
WB  western blot

Introduction

Increased consumption of energy-dense foods containing high amounts of animal fats, saturated fatty acids, and fructose is thought to be the major contributor to the current epidemic overweight and obesity worldwide (Dandona et al., 2005; Zivkovic et al., 2007). Abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia, and nonalcoholic fatty liver diseases (NAFLD) are among the major metabolic syndrome (MetS) features, which include also insulin resistance and hypertension (Johnson et al., 2013). When lipid intake exceeds energy expenditure demand, excess lipid is first accumulated in the adipose tissue, which plays a buffer role in the first high-energy exposure. However, when this reservoir is exceeded, the excess lipid can attain other important metabolic tissues, in particular liver and muscle (ectopic lipids) leading to hepatic steatosis, glucose intolerance, and type-II diabetes (Perry et al., 2014; Petersen and Shulman, 2017).

The first stage of NAFLD is the liver lipid accumulation. This later may come from the lipid diet, de novo lipogenesis or adipose lipid release. (Kawano and Cohen, 2013). Low-grade inflammation and oxidative stress are thought to be involved in the initiation of this metabolic disorder (Anderson et al., 2009; Ando and Fujita, 2009). Together with other cellular factors, high inflammation, free radicals, and marked oxidative stress are generally involved in the progression of hepatic steatosis to steatohepatitis, fibrosis, cirrhosis, and hepatocarcinoma (Ucar et al., 2013). Indeed, high reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation may contribute to tissue damage and dysfunction in different ways: (1) it may directly dysregulate redox-sensitive signaling pathways, and (2) may cause oxidative damage to biological structures. The mitochondrial electron transport chain is known to be one of the major producers of superoxide anions, which are precursors to other ROS such as hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals. The other important physiological system of cellular ROS production is the NADPH oxidase (Valko et al., 2007).

Mitochondria play a central role in cellular energy metabolism by transforming the energy stored in nutrients into adenosine triphosphate through the reduction of oxygen into water through the complexes of the mitochondrial respiratory chain. However, a small proportion of electrons may react directly with O2 at the level of complexes I and III of the respiratory chain, with production of ROS more or less important.

Emerging evidence implicates mitochondrial dysfunction, with a concomitant increase in ROS production, contributing to obesity-induced fatty liver disease (Mantena et al., 2008). The NADPH oxidase complex is another important source of cellular ROS production that generates ROS in response to a wide range of nutritional and non-nutritional stimuli (Bedard and Krause, 2007). Although NADPH oxidase-ROS production is generally under control and involved in specific signaling pathways, high or uncontrolled activation of NADPH oxidase may lead to ROS overproduction and then to oxidative stress under certain conditions (Paik and Brenner, 2011).

Inflammation and oxidative stress are each independently involved in the development and progression of many metabolic syndrome features. The crucial roles of inflammation and oxidative stress in the development of hepatic diseases have been emphasized for decades, where hepatic inflammation and oxidative stress are sustained and participated in this pathological progressive process.
Indeed, there is growing evidence that inflammation and oxidative stress are closely related, creating a vicious circle that can, for example, aggravate nonalcoholic fatty liver disease into nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and cirrhosis (Li et al., 2016). Low-grade inflammation is a reported characteristic of the obese state, and adipose tissue releases many inflammatory mediators. These include highly active molecules like leptin, resistin, and adiponectin as well as some more classical cytokines. Most likely, proinflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and interleukin1 and 6 (IL-1 and -6) are released by the inflammatory cell infiltrates including monocytes/macrophages, neutrophils, B lymphocytes, T lymphocytes, and others (Gerner et al., 2013). Obese individuals have been reported to have circulating levels of many inflammatory markers higher than lean individuals, and these may play a major role in insulin resistance and other metabolic disorders (Tordjman et al., 2008).

Therefore, it is generally accepted that the development of metabolic syndrome features, in particular obesity, hepatic steatosis, and insulin resistance, is initiated by, or accompanied by inflammation and oxidative stress in liver and adipose tissue ( Renaud et al., 2014). Undeniably, one crucial question that comes up is whether inflammation and oxidative stress contribute to, or are simply a consequence of, the two main features of the metabolic syndrome—that is installation and development of fatty liver and glucose intolerance. The present work was designed to determine the evolution of both inflammation and oxidative stress markers during obesity, hepatic steatosis, and glucose intolerance development in a high-fat high-fructose group (HFHFr) diet-fed rats. The novelty of this work is the investigation of a wide range of measured outcomes of oxidative stress, in particular the isoprostanoid metabolites, in a large longitudinal study with several end-points from 4 to 20 weeks diet treatment with a control group at each time point. This approach allows an integrated overview of the physiological time-course evolution of both inflammation and oxidative stress and their impact on the major MetS features such as obesity, hepatic steatosis, and glucose intolerance development.

### Materials and Methods

#### Animals and Diets

Seventy five 4-week-old male Wistar rats (~100 g, Charles River, L’Arbresle, France) were housed (2 per cage) under conditions of constant temperature (20–22 °C), humidity (45–50%), and a standard dark cycle (20:00–08:00 h). At the beginning of the study, the rats were block randomized into 10 groups corresponding to different sacrifice-time points (five groups fed the control diet and five other groups fed the HFHFr diet). This randomization was based on the initial rat body weight. Fasted rats (seven control rats and eight HFHFr rats) were sacrificed after 4, 8, 12, 16, or 20 weeks on their respective diets.

The control diet contains 4% lipids as soybean oil, and the HFHFr diet contains 35% lipids (1% soybean oil +8.5% lard +17% palm oil +8.5% cocoa butter) and 25% fructose (Table 1). The high-fat diet was supplemented with 1% soybean oil to maintain an adequate intake of linoleic acid and to avoid growth alterations resulting from linoleic acid deficiency. Refined palm oil (VAMOLINE) was purchased from Vandemoortele Lipids and Dough Division, Izegem, Belgium. The lipid fraction of the control diet was composed of 17.4% saturated fatty acids (SFA), 28.1% monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), and 54.5% polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), and that of the HFHFr diet was composed of 44.2% SFA, 43.2% MUFA, and 12.6% PUFA (Table 2). In addition to the high level of lipids in the HFHFr diet, the percent of both SFA and MUFA was greatly increased whereas that of PUFA was substantially decreased compared to the control diet. Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>HFHFr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Casein</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornstarch</td>
<td>456.8</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltodextrine</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean oil</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lard</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refined palm oil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocoa butter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral mix (AIN-93 M)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin mix (AIN-93 M)&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Cystine</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline chloride</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refined palm oil (VAMOLINE) was purchased from Vandemoortele Lipids and Dough Division Prins Albertlaan 12, 8870 Izegem, Belgium. HFHFr, high-fat high-fructose.

<sup>a</sup>Diet composition was based on the AIN-93 M diet formulation.

<sup>b</sup>Mineral mix AIN 1993 ensures the following mineral levels in the diets (mg/kg): Na, 1020; K, 3600; P, 4000; Ca, 5000; Mg, 500; Zn, 30; Fe, 35; Cu, 6; Mn, 54; Se, 0.1; I, 0.2; and Cr, 2.

<sup>c</sup>Vitamin mix AIN 1993 ensures the following mineral levels in the diets (mg/kg): thiamine, 6; riboflavin, 6; pyridoxine, 7; nicotinic acid, 30; calcium pantothenate, 16; folic acid, 2; n-biotin, 0.2; and (pg/kg) cyanocobalamin (vitamin B12), 10; vitamin K, 50; and (IU/kg) vitamin A, 4000; vitamin E, 50; and vitamin D, 1000.
were given free access to food and tap water throughout the study. The rat body weight was determined weekly and food consumption was determined every 2 or 3 days. Our institution guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals were observed and all experimental procedures were approved by the local ethical committee in Montpellier, France (Reference CEEA-LR-12002).

**Animal Sacrifice and Metabolic Biochemical Analyses**

At 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 weeks of diet, eight to 10 h-fasted rats (23 h:00–08 h:00) were anesthetized with pentobarbital (40 mg/kg b.w.) and blood was drawn from the abdominal artery with a heparinized syringe, to avoid blood coagulation during sampling, and transferred to dry and heparinized tubes. 100 μL of heparinized blood were mixed with 900 μL of metaphosphoric acid 6% and centrifuged for 10 min at 4 °C until analysis. Liver and visceral fats (retroperitoneal and epididymal depots) were removed, rinsed with 0.9% NaCl, weighed and frozen in N2, and kept at −80 °C until analyses, except a part of liver that was used for the extemporaneous isolation of liver fresh mitochondria.

### Liver Histological Analysis

For microscopic studies, liver samples were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Serial tissue sections (5 μm) were processed. Hepatic steatosis was evaluated by histological examination after hematoxylin/eosin staining. Liver sections were observed under a Nikon Eclipse TE300 microscope. Images were acquired using the ToupView software driving a ToupCam CCD camera on at least 10 fields (X200) per section. The vesicular (lipids) area was graded from 1 to 4, where 1 corresponds to 0–25% of the tissue area presenting fatty vacuoles, 2% to 50–50%, 3% to 50–75%, and 4% to 75–100%. For each group, liver samples from six to eight rats were prepared, stained, and examined.

### Hepatic DAG and Ceramide Contents

The liver ceramide content was measured using a UHPLC/MS/MS approach, as previously described with a minor modification (Blachnio-Zabielska et al., 2012). Briefly, the liver samples (20 mg) were pulverized and homogenized in a solution composed of 0.25 M sucrose, 25 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris, and 0.5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacétique (EDTA), pH 7.4. Immediately afterward, the internal standards (d17:1/8:0, d17:1/18:0, d17:1/18:1(9Z),

---

**Table 2** Fatty acid composition of the experimental diets\(^a\) (mg/g diet)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acids</th>
<th>Control diet (%)</th>
<th>Control diet (mg/g)</th>
<th>HFHF(_{\text{Fr}}) diet (%)</th>
<th>HFHF(_{\text{Fr}}) diet (mg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:0</td>
<td>0.43±0.05</td>
<td>0.170.17±0.02</td>
<td>1.09±0.16</td>
<td>3.823.82±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>11.7±0.1</td>
<td>4.684.68±0.04</td>
<td>30.4±0.4</td>
<td>106.106.4±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:1n-7</td>
<td>0.16±0.01</td>
<td>0.060.06±0.00</td>
<td>0.91±0.03</td>
<td>3.193.19±0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:0</td>
<td>4.43±0.05</td>
<td>1.771.77±0.02</td>
<td>12.1±0.2</td>
<td>42.342.35±0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1n-9</td>
<td>26.1±0.2</td>
<td>10.410.44±0.08</td>
<td>40.1±0.4</td>
<td>140.140.35±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1n-7</td>
<td>1.53±0.06</td>
<td>0.610.61±0.02</td>
<td>1.37±0.01</td>
<td>4,794,79±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:2n-6</td>
<td>48.8±0.3</td>
<td>19.519.52±0.12</td>
<td>11.5±0.1</td>
<td>40,240.25±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:3n-3</td>
<td>5.58±0.05</td>
<td>2.232.23±0.02</td>
<td>0.71±0.02</td>
<td>2,492,49±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20:x</td>
<td>0.38±0.01</td>
<td>0.150.15±0.00</td>
<td>0.49±0.02</td>
<td>1,721,72±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22:x</td>
<td>0.47±0.01</td>
<td>0.190.19±0.00</td>
<td>0.13±0.01</td>
<td>4,460.46±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA(^b)</td>
<td>17.4±0.1</td>
<td>6,966.96±0.04</td>
<td>44.2±0.4</td>
<td>154,154.1±1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA(^b)</td>
<td>28.1±0.2</td>
<td>11,211.24±0.08</td>
<td>43.2±0.2</td>
<td>151,151.2±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA(^b)</td>
<td>54.5±0.4</td>
<td>21,821.8±0.16</td>
<td>12.6±0.2</td>
<td>44,144.1±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unsaturated FA</td>
<td>82.6±0.1</td>
<td>33,033.04±0.04</td>
<td>55.8±0.3</td>
<td>195,195.3±1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6</td>
<td>48.8±0.3</td>
<td>19,519.52±0.12</td>
<td>11.6±0.1</td>
<td>40,640.6±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-3</td>
<td>5.67±0.10</td>
<td>2,272.27±0.04</td>
<td>1.00±0.05</td>
<td>3.5±3.5±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-6n-3</td>
<td>8.65±0.12</td>
<td>3,463.46±0.05</td>
<td>11.7±0.1</td>
<td>40,940.9±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA/SFA(^b)</td>
<td>3.12±0.04</td>
<td>1,251.25±0.02</td>
<td>0.28±0.01</td>
<td>0.980.98±0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HFHF\(_{\text{Fr}}\): high-fat high-fructose.

\(^a\)Values are based on identifiable peaks.

\(^b\)MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids; and SFA: saturated fatty acids.
Mitochondrial ROS production was measured on fresh mitochondria as previously described (Barja, 2002). Briefly, reaction mixtures (2 mL), containing MIRO5 buffer, dihydrodichlorofluorescein (10 μM), and peroxidase (2 IU/mL), were incubated with 50 μg of mitochondrial proteins with or without substrates (malate/glutamate/succinate) (S) 2.5/5/5 mM, respectively) and with or without adenine diphosphate (ADP) (0.5 mM). Fluorescence was measured 60 min after reaction initiation at λ<sub>ex</sub> = 490 and λ<sub>ex</sub> = 525 nm excitation and emission, respectively.

NADPH oxidase dependent-superoxide anion production was determined on rat liver as previously described (Feillet-Coudray et al., 2009). Briefly, liver homogenates were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min in the presence or absence of diphenyleneiodonium (DPI), a NADPH oxidase inhibitor. Lucigenin-enhanced chemiluminescence was assessed to determine O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> generation after adding NADPH, the substrate for NADPH oxidase. The chemiluminescence signals were measured using a microplate luminometer LB96V (Berthold) for 30 min. The results were expressed as the relative luminescence unit (RLU) and were corrected for protein concentration. In addition, the hepatic protein expression of two major NADPH oxidase subunits, p22phox and p47phox, was also determined. See the WB procedure section for details.

**Plasma, Liver, and Adipose Tissue Inflammatory Markers**

The plasma levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and MCP-1 were measured using cytokine-specific enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Cergy Pontoise, France). Liver protein abundance of TNF-α and IL-6 was also determined. See the Western blot (WB) procedure section. In addition, the gene expression of four major inflammatory actors (TNF-α, IL-6, MCP-1, and CCL5) was also determined in the retroperitoneal adipose tissue. See the quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) procedure section for details.

**Hepatic Mitochondrial and NADPH Oxidase ROS Production**

Liver mitochondria were extemporaneously isolated using the differential centrifugation technique (Aoun et al., 2011). The final mitochondrial pellet was suspended in 1 mL mitochondrial respiration O<sub>5</sub> (MIRO5) buffer (Sucrose 110 mM, Hepes 20 mM, KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> 10 mM, Taurine 20 mM, K-Lactobionate 60 mM, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 3 mM ethylene glycol-bis(2-aminopropylether)-N N’ N’-tetraacetic acid (EGTA) 0.5 mM, bovine serum albumin (BSA) 1 g/L, pH 7.1). Protein content was determined using bovine serum albumin as standard (Bradford, 1976).
following the manufacturer’s instructions (Bravard et al., 2011). Briefly, after the derivatization of the protein sample, one-dimensional electrophoresis was carried out on a 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide (SDS-PAGE) gel. Proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. After incubation with the anti-DNP antibody, the blot was developed using a chemiluminescence detection system. After transfers, the gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue to verify protein loading. These gels were scanned, and the intensity of each line allowed the normalization of the densitometry analysis of carbonylated proteins.

Long-established oxidative stress parameters: in addition to the isoprostanoid metabolites and protein carbonylation measurements, several long-established parameters of oxidative stress have also been evaluated. Thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS), representing lipid peroxidation, were measured in plasma and liver homogenates by mixing the biological samples with thiobarbituric acid at 100 °C and monitoring the pink color absorbance at 532 nm (Sunderman et al., 1985; Yagi, 1984). Protein oxidation was assessed in plasma and liver supernatants by measuring the sulphydryl groups using the Ellman reagent and monitoring the yellow color absorbance at 412 nm (Jocelyn, 1987). Plasma levels of advanced glycation end products (AGE) were evaluated monitoring the optical density at 430 nm after simple dilution in PBS-acetic acid buffer (Witko-Sarsat et al., 1998).

Total glutathione (GSH + GssG) and oxidized GSH were measured in blood and liver homogenates deproteinized with metaphosphoric acid. The blood and liver supernatants were mixed with the Ellman reagent and absorbance was monitored at 412 nm (Griffith, 1980). Total superoxide dismutase (SOD) was measured in plasma and liver supernatants by monitoring the inhibition of the auto-oxidation of pyrogall at 320 nm (Marklund, 1976). Catalase activity was measured in liver supernatants using H2O2 as a substrate and absorbance was monitored at 240 nm (Beers and Sizer, 1952). Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) was measured in plasma and liver supernatants using ter-butylhydroperoxide, GSH, GRx, and NADPH as substrates and monitoring the oxidation of NADPH at 340 nm (Flohe and Gunzler, 1984).

Liver Protein Isolation and Western Blotting

The hepatic abundance of major proteins involving inflammation and NADPH oxidase regulation was determined. Liver samples were homogenized using an Ultra Turax homogenizer in an ice cold lysis buffer: 20 mM Tris pH 8, 50 mM DL-Dithiothreitol, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X100, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1 mM phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM orthovanadate, and 1% of antiprotease cocktail. Proteins were separated with 10% or 8% SDS-PAGE and then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were blocked in 5% nonfat milk for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C with a primary antibody against TNF-α (Abcam ab66579), IL-6 (Abcam ab25107), and subunits of NADPH oxidase p22phox (Abcam ab75941) and p47phox (Sigma-Aldrich SAB4502810) in blocking buffer. After washes in TBS/Tween buffer, membranes were incubated for 1 h with the horseradish peroxidase-labeled antibody. The antirabbit IgG, HRP-linked Antibody (Cell Signaling 7074) was used as a secondary antibody. After further washes, blots were treated with enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents. β-actin (Santa Cruz sc-81,178) was used as loading references, and blot intensities were measured using the Image Labs software 5.2.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, France).

Adipose Tissue RT-qPCR Analysis

Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) was applied to measure key inflammation gens mRNA expression in the adipose tissue. Adipose tissue RNA was extracted with the Trizol reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Cergy Pontoise, France). The reverse transcription reaction was performed with 1 µg total RNA. Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid (cDNA) was synthesized with the use of moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase for first strand cDNA synthesis and random primers. The mRNA expressions of target genes (TNF-α, IL-6, MCP-1, and CCL5) were determined by RT-qPCR. RT-qPCR analysis was performed using SYBR Green Mastermix (Eurogentec, liege, Belgium) with a Mx3005P Real-Time PCR System (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Results were normalized with the gene encoding 18S. The primer sequences used for real-time RT-PCR are given in Table 3. The mean expression levels and the standard deviations of the housekeeping 18S. The primer sequences used for real-time RT-PCR are given in Table 3. The mean expression levels and the standard deviations of the housekeeping 18S rRNA gene were as follows: 5.56 ± 0.17; 5.81 ± 0.2; 5.78 ± 0.25; 5.84 ± 0.42; and 5.75 ± 0.06 for control groups (4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 weeks, respectively) and 5.44 ± 0.18; 5.55 ± 0.13; 5.49 ± 0.15; 5.69 ± 0.5, and 5.53 ± 0.190 for HFFHFr groups (4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 weeks, respectively).

Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as means ± SD, n = 7–8 animals per group per treatment duration. All the groups were tested for the effects of diet type, treatment duration, and their interaction by the two-way ANOVA test. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, the unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyze the effect of HFFHFr diet within each diet treatment duration point and the one-
way ANOVA test was applied to analyze the effect of treatment duration for each diet followed up by a Fisher’s Least Significant Difference test. The oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) and the mitochondrial ROS production assessment were performed extemporaneously on 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 weeks, and the WB analysis was carried out time point by time point. Therefore, the statistical analysis of these later data was made only between HFHFr and control diets, inside of each treatment duration, by the unpaired Student’s t-test. The limit of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. HFHFr diet versus control diet: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, and ***p < 0.0005. Correlations were performed with the Spearman method. Statistical analyses were performed using the StatView program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

## Results

### Hepatic Lipid Accumulation and Histological Analysis

DAG and ceramide species are known as lipotoxic compounds and may have impact on hepatic insulin resistance. The liver content of total DAG was increased significantly (+100%) under the HFHFr diet with a maximum on 16 weeks of diet intake compared to the control diet (Fig. 1a). Indeed, four DAG species were increased (16/18, 16/18:1, 18/18:1, and 18:1/18:1), whereas only one remained unchanged (16/16) and two were decreased (16/18:2 and 18:1/18:2) (Table S1, Supporting information).

In addition, the liver content of total ceramides was moderately but significantly increased under the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet (Fig. 1b). Indeed, five out of 10 ceramide species remained unchanged (SPA, Sph, 18:1, 24, and 24:1), whereas two were decreased (14 and 16) and three were increased (18, 20, and 22). Therefore, the overall increase in the liver total ceramide content is due largely to the high increase in one of the major ceramide species, namely, the 22 species (Table S2).

As expected, the liver histological analysis, using hematoxylin/eosin staining, showed more lipid vesicles in the HFHFr diet-fed rats than in the control rats (Fig. 2a).

The high score of these lipid vesicles in the HFHFr diet-fed rats confirmed hepatic steatosis in this rat model (Fig. 2b). In addition, the plasma alanine aminotransferase (ALAT) activity, a marker of liver damage indicative of both cellular leakage and loss of liver cell membrane functional integrity, was significantly increased with the HFHFr diet compared to control diet (Fig. 2c).

### Blood and Tissue Inflammation Status

The major cytokines TNF-α, IL-6 and the chemokines MCP-1 and CCL5, involved in systemic inflammation, were investigated in blood, liver, and/or adipose tissue. The plasma levels of both TNF-α and IL-6 were increased throughout the study in both rat groups. However, the plasma levels of TNF-α, IL-6, and MCP-1 remained unchanged between HFHFr and control groups (Table 4). In the liver tissue, the protein abundance of TNF-α remained unchanged whereas the protein abundance of IL-6 was increased, with high variability (Table 4). In addition, the gene expression of two cytokines (Tnfa, Il6) and two chemokines (Mcp1, Ccl5) was also investigated in the adipose tissue. The expression of three genes out of four was increased significantly (Tnfa, Mcp1, and Ccl5) throughout the study in both rat groups. Nevertheless, only the gene expression of Ccl5 was highly increased with the HFHFr diets compared to the control diets (Table 4).

### Mitochondrial and NADPH Oxidase ROS Production

Hepatic mitochondrial ROS production was evaluated on extemporaneously isolated liver mitochondria alone or challenged with substrates or with substrates plus ADP. As shown in Fig. 3a, mitochondrial ROS production remained substantially the same under the three experimental conditions. Interestingly, the NADPH oxidase dependent-superoxide anion production was highly increased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet at the five explored time points (Fig. 3b). Nevertheless, the investigation of the two major proteins of hepatic NADPH oxidase,

### Table 3 Primer sequences for mRNA assays of inflammation genes for adipose tissue qPCR analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
<th>Reverse Sequence (5’-3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tnfa</td>
<td>GCCCAACC CTACACTC</td>
<td>CCACTCCAGCTGCTCCTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il6</td>
<td>CTCCTACAGGAAG AGCTATGAA</td>
<td>ACACATCTACGGCAAAGA AGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcp1</td>
<td>AGCATCCACGTGCTGCTC</td>
<td>GATCATCTTGCCAGTGAATGAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl5</td>
<td>CTCACCGTCATCCTCGTGTG</td>
<td>GAGTGTTGTCCGAGCCATA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Primer sequences for mRNA assays of inflammation genes for adipose tissue qPCR analyses**

**Tnfa**: Tumor necrosis factor-α; **Il6**: interleukine-6; **Mcp1** (CCL2): Monocyte chemotactic protein-1; **Ccl5**: Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5; and **18S RNA**: 18S Ribosomal ribonucleic acid.
Fig. 1 Time-course of hepatic diacylglycerols (DAG) and ceramides accumulation. Results were expressed as means ± SD, n = 6–8 animals per group per diet treatment duration. Hepatic levels of putative mediators of lipid-induced hepatic insulin resistance diacylglycerols (a) and ceramides (b). All the groups were tested for the effects of diet, treatment duration, and their interaction using a two-way ANOVA. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, the unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyze the effect of HFHFr diet within each diet treatment duration point and a one-way ANOVA was applied to analyze the effect of treatment duration for each diet followed up by a Fisher’s Least Significant Difference test. The limit of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. High-fat high-fructose (HFHFr) diet versus control diet: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005, and ***p < 0.0005. Values with different superscript digits (1, 2, 3, and 4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other (p < 0.05).

Fig. 2 Time-course of hepatic steatosis and plasma enzymatic ALAT activity. Results were expressed as means ± SD, n = 6–8 animals per group per diet treatment duration. Liver histology sections from a representative rat of both groups on the 4th, 12th, and the 20th weeks of diet, magnification 200×: (a). Histograms of the liver steatosis score showing higher hepatic lipid accumulation in the high-fat high-fructose (HFHFr) diet-fed rat compared to the control diet-fed rats (b). Plasma enzymatic ALAT activity (c). All the groups were tested for the effects of diet, treatment duration, and their interaction by two-way ANOVA. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, the unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyze the effect of HFHFr diet within each diet treatment duration point and a one-way ANOVA was applied to analyze the effect of treatment duration for each diet followed up by a Fisher’s least significant difference test. The limit of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. HFHFr diet versus control diet: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005. Values with different superscript digits (1, 2, 3, and 4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other (p < 0.05).
Liver

Plasma

Results were expressed as means ± SD, n = 6–8 animals per group per treatment duration. All the groups were tested for the effects of diet, treatment duration, and their interaction using a two-way ANOVA. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, the unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyze the effect of high-fat high-fructose (HFHFr) diet within each diet treatment duration point and the one-way ANOVA test was applied to analyze the effect of treatment duration for each diet followed up by a Fisher’s Least Significant Difference test. The limit of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. HFHFr diet versus control diet: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.005. Values in the same row with different superscript digits (1, 2, 3, and 4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other (p < 0.05). NS: not significant; NA: non applicable. TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor-α; IL-6: interleukin 6; MCP-1: monocyte chemoattractant protein 1; and Ccl5: chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Diet</th>
<th>4 weeks</th>
<th>8 weeks</th>
<th>12 weeks</th>
<th>16 weeks</th>
<th>20 weeks</th>
<th>p diet</th>
<th>p time</th>
<th>p interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasma</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (µg/L)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>5.8±0.6</td>
<td>7.7±2.3</td>
<td>11.4±1.1</td>
<td>13.3±1.3</td>
<td>14.5±0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>6.5±1.1</td>
<td>8.1±1.4</td>
<td>11.2±0.6</td>
<td>13.2±1.7</td>
<td>14.6±1.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6 (µg/L)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>11.9±5.9</td>
<td>19.1±7.7</td>
<td>24.7±1.9</td>
<td>34.6±8.6</td>
<td>45.6±8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>14.6±5.6</td>
<td>17.7±4.6</td>
<td>24.6±2.2</td>
<td>38.5±6.2</td>
<td>48.5±9.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1 (ng/L)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>93±23</td>
<td>112±34</td>
<td>86±17</td>
<td>118±33</td>
<td>110±16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>103±19</td>
<td>90±13</td>
<td>96±15</td>
<td>106±17</td>
<td>107±16</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α, WB</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>100±31</td>
<td>100±47</td>
<td>100±36</td>
<td>100±035</td>
<td>100±31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>98±30</td>
<td>93±15</td>
<td>97±42</td>
<td>86±27</td>
<td>86±19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6, WB</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>100±68</td>
<td>100±60</td>
<td>100±57</td>
<td>100±47</td>
<td>100±94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>287±167</td>
<td>351±254</td>
<td>408±286</td>
<td>265±118</td>
<td>320±215</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adipose tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tnf-α, qPCR</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>100±120</td>
<td>77±36</td>
<td>59±39</td>
<td>115±54</td>
<td>146±36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>84±34</td>
<td>76±12</td>
<td>79±28</td>
<td>129±54</td>
<td>152±64</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Il-6, qPCR</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>100±92</td>
<td>62±62</td>
<td>188±32</td>
<td>149±84</td>
<td>212±101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>37±34</td>
<td>80±83</td>
<td>117±144</td>
<td>136±108</td>
<td>194±296</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcp-1, qPCR</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>100±50</td>
<td>102±61</td>
<td>126±79</td>
<td>168±101</td>
<td>248±160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>84±37</td>
<td>131±55</td>
<td>131±96</td>
<td>164±81</td>
<td>216±107</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccl5, qPCR</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>100±36</td>
<td>73±69</td>
<td>53±40</td>
<td>101±66</td>
<td>126±148</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HFHFr</td>
<td>66±33</td>
<td>146±115</td>
<td>198±111</td>
<td>323±162</td>
<td>440±273</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p22phox and p47phox, did not indicate any significant difference between HFHFr and control groups at any treatment duration (Fig. 3b).

**Plasma and Liver Isoprostanoid Contents**

This study consists of LC–MS profiling of 14 isoprostanoid metabolites in plasma and in liver. We have classified the measured isoprostanoid metabolites into six classes according to their fatty acid origin: class A: radical metabolites of α-linolenic acid (18:3 n-3), class B: radical metabolites of arachidonic acid (20:4 n-6), class C: radical metabolites of all-cis-7,10,13,16-docosatetraenoic acid (aradic acid) (22:4 n-6), class D: radical metabolites of docosapentaenoic acid22:5 n-6, class E: radical metabolites of docosahexaenoic acid (22:6 n-3), and class F: enzymatic metabolites of arachidonic acid (20:4 n-6).

If the plasma isoprostanoid content of class A and F remained unchanged (Fig. 4a, e), the plasma isoprostanoid contents of classes B, C, and E were decreased significantly under the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet (Fig. 4b–d). The metabolite of the class D (F3-neuroprostane) was not detectable. Consequently, the plasma total isoprostanoid content was decreased significantly under the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet (Fig. 4f). Such a decrease was marked from the 12 weeks of diet intake (Fig. 4f). The plasma individual isoprostanoid metabolites are shown in Table S3.

The liver isoprostanoid contents of the classes A, B, C, and E were decreased significantly under the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet (Fig. 5a, b, c, e). Only the F3 t-neuroprostane metabolite was increased (class D, Fig. 5d). Therefore, the liver total isoprostanoid content was decreased significantly under the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet. Such a decrease was observed on 12, 16, and 20 weeks of diet intake (Fig. 5f). The liver individual isoprostanoid metabolites are shown in Table S4.

As the hepatic isoprostanoid content may depend on the hepatic levels of their original fatty acids, we have also looked at the ratio of liver isoprostanoid/original fatty acid of the isoprostanoid classes as well as at the ratio of total isoprostanoid/total PUFA. Interestingly, the ratio of isoprostanoid/fatty acid...
for the isoprostanoïd classes C and E as well as for the ratio total isoprostanoïd/total PUFA remained lower with the HFHFr diet than with the control diet (Table S5).

**Long-Established Oxidative Stress Parameters**

As for isoprostanoïds, plasma TBARS levels were decreased moderately but significantly under the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet, in particular on 4, 12, and 16 weeks of diet (Fig. 6a). However, plasma AGE levels were significantly increased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet on 8 and 12 weeks of diet (Fig. 6b). Plasma SH group levels were significantly decreased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet (Fig. 6c), whereas total GSH, GssG levels, and GssG/GSH ratio remained unchanged throughout the study between the two experimental diets (Fig. 6d). Finally, plasma antioxidant enzymes SOD and GPx (Fig. 6e, f) were significantly decreased throughout the study in both diet groups, and the SOD activity was moderately increased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet.

The hepatic oxidative stress was more marked than blood oxidative stress. The liver protein carbonylation measured with the Oxyblot kit remained unchanged throughout the study between the two experimental diets but was significantly increased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet (Fig. 7a, b). However, liver TBARS levels were increased throughout the study but remained statistically unchanged between the two experimental diets (Fig. 8a). Nevertheless, liver contents of SH groups and total GSH and GssG were markedly decreased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet (Fig. 8b). Moreover, the catalytic activities of the antioxidant enzymes SOD and GPx, but not catalase, were decreased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet (Fig. 8c).

**Relationships between Glucose Intolerance and Oxidative Stress Parameters**

In attempt to understand the relationship between glucose intolerance and oxidative stress, correlations between the area under the curve (AUC) of the OGTT and oxidative
ANOVA. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, the unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyze the effect of high-fat high-fructose (HFHFr) diet. However, it was not always possible to analyze the effect of high-fat high-fructose diet versus control diet: *p < 0.05. Values with different superscript digits (1, 2, 3, and 4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other (p < 0.05). Isoprostanoid classes: class A: radical metabolites of α-linolenic acid (18:3 n-3), class B: radical metabolites of arachidonic acid (20:4 n-6), class C: radical metabolites of adrenic acid (22:4 n-6), class D: (nondetectable); class E: radical metabolites of docosahexaenoic acid (22:6 n-3), and class F: enzymatic metabolites of arachidonic acid (20:4 n-6).

**Fig. 4** Plasma isoprostanoid contents and their major classes. Results were expressed as means ± SD, n = 6–8 animals per group per diet treatment duration. Plasma isoprostanoid class A (a), isoprostanoid class B (b), isoprostanoid class C (c), isoprostanoid class E (d), isoprostanoid class F (e), and total isoprostanoid levels (f). All the groups were tested for the effects of diet, treatment duration, and their interaction using a two-way ANOVA. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, the unpaired Student’s t-test was used to analyze the effect of high-fat high-fructose (HFHFr) diet within each diet treatment duration point and a one-way ANOVA was applied to analyze the effect of treatment duration for each diet followed up by a Fisher’s least significant difference test. The limit of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. HFHFr diet versus control diet: *p < 0.05. Values with different superscript digits (1, 2, 3, and 4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other (p < 0.05). Isoprostanoid classes: class A: radical metabolites of α-linolenic acid (18:3 n-3), class B: radical metabolites of arachidonic acid (20:4 n-6), class C: radical metabolites of adrenic acid (22:4 n-6), class D: (nondetectable); class E: radical metabolites of docosahexaenoic acid (22:6 n-3), and class F: enzymatic metabolites of arachidonic acid (20:4 n-6).

stress parameters have been sought (Fig. 9). There was a negative correlation between the AUC and the plasma and hepatic levels of sulfhydryl groups (r = −0.332, p = 0.0042 and r = −0.391, and p = 0.0007, respectively).

Surprisingly, there was also a negative correlation between AUC and plasma and hepatic contents of isoprostanoids (r = −0.285, p = 0.0155 and r = −0.368, p = 0.0012, respectively). There was also a moderate negative correlation between the AUC and the mitochondria+substrates +ADP (r = −0.265, p = 0.0218) and a positive correlation with NADPH oxidase activity (r = 0.367, p = 0.0015).

**Discussion**

The present work was designed to determine the course-time evolution of both inflammation and oxidative stress and their relation to the major MetS features, namely, glucose intolerance and hepatic steatosis development, in an HFHFr diet-induced oxidative stress model in a large chronic study with several end points from 4-week to 20-week diet treatment. In a recent study (Fouret et al., 2018), we have reported that HFHFr diet, rich in fat and fructose that imitates western diet, has been efficient in inducing moderate overweight, increased liver and adipose tissue weights, and hyperglycemia from the 8th/12th week of diet. The results of the present study are an extension of the previous article that investigated hepatic accumulation of lipotoxic metabolites as well as inflammation and oxidative stress in these rats fed a high-fat/high-fructose diet.

Many studies have demonstrated increased accumulation of lipids in the liver in mice and rats receiving HF diet. However, it is the intracellular lipotoxic metabolites (DAG and ceramides) that are considered to be the true culprits of the initiation and the development of glucose intolerance and hepatic steatosis. Indeed, in addition to the marked increase in liver triacylglycerols (TAG) and cholesterol ester contents, our results have shown that the hepatic contents of DAG species were markedly increased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet and this was
observed at the five experimental time points of our present study. A variety of factors are responsible for the ectopic accumulation of DAG in the liver. These especially include the consumption of a diet rich in fats or sugars as well as the inability of adipose tissue to store lipids appropriately, resulting in increased circulating unesterified fatty acids. Indeed, hepatic DAG accumulation in both animals and humans has been linked to hepatic insulin resistance (Finck and Hall, 2015).

It is generally admitted that accumulation of ectopic fat in the liver and resulting hepatic insulin resistance results in hepatic inflammation, secondary to cytokine release either locally by the liver or by the white adipose tissue. (Peng et al., 2012). Some cytokines may exacerbate NAFLD and insulin resistance, such as TNF-α or IL-6 and others may compensate the situation, such as IL-10 and adiponectin (Asrih and Jornayvaz, 2013). In this study, many inflammatory cytokines we measured in blood, liver, and adipose tissue. Our results showed that hepatic protein abundance of IL-6 and adipose tissue gene expression of chemokine (C–C motif) ligand 5 (Ccl5) was significantly increased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet. However, the other inflammation markers (plasma TNF-α and IL-6, liver TNF-α, adipose tissue Tnf-α, IL-6, and Mcp-1) remained unchanged. Therefore, only limited inflammation was observed in our HFHFr rats. Indeed, although low-grade inflammation may accompany steatosis, frank inflammation is usually observed in the later stages of steatosis, which, along with oxidative stress, is responsible for the progression of steatosis to steatohepatitis and fibrosis (Nakamura and Terauchi, 2013; Tilg and Moschen, 2010).

The critical roles of cellular ROS and oxidative stress involved in the pathogenesis of glucose intolerance and liver diseases have been highlighted for decades, and accumulating evidence showed that a vicious cycle could be created by oxidative stress and inflammation. Here, we investigated the two major sources of hepatic ROS production, namely, mitochondria and NADPH oxidase. Under our experimental conditions, we did not observe any difference in the hepatic mitochondrial ROS production among the studied groups; even though this does not quite exclude
Blood long-established oxidative stress markers. Results were expressed as means ± SD, *n* = 6–8 animals per group per diet treatment duration. Blood oxidative Plasma thiobarbituric acid-reactive substance (TBARS) (a) and advanced glycation end products (AGE) (b) and antioxidative stress (plasma—SH groups (c), blood glutathione [GSH] (d), and plasma superoxide dismutase [SOD] (e) and plasma glutathione peroxidase [GPx] (f)) parameters were determined. All the groups were tested for the effects of diet, treatment duration, and their interaction using a two-way ANOVA. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, the unpaired Student’s *t*-test was used to analyze the effect of high-fat high-fructose (HFHFr) diet within each diet treatment duration point and a one-way ANOVA was applied to analyze the effect of treatment duration for each diet followed up by a Fisher’s least significant difference test. The limit of statistical significance was set at *p* < 0.05. HFHFr diet versus control diet: *p* < 0.05, **p** < 0.005. Values with different superscript digits (1, 2, 3, and 4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other (*p* < 0.05). RFU: relative fluorescence unit; —SH groups: sulfhydryl groups

Fig. 7 Liver protein carbonylation. Results were expressed as means ± SD, *n* = 6–8 animals per group per diet treatment duration. Representative liver protein carbonylation blots (a) and liver protein carbonylation score (b). All the groups were tested for the effects of diet, treatment duration, and their interaction using a two-way ANOVA. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, the unpaired Student’s *t*-test was used to analyze the effect of high-fat high-fructose (HFHFr) diet within each diet treatment duration point and a one-way ANOVA was applied to analyze the effect of treatment duration for each diet followed up by a Fisher’s least significant difference test. The limit of statistical significance was set at *p* < 0.05. HFHFr diet versus control diet (student *t*-test): *p* < 0.05. Values with different superscript digits (1, 2, 3, and 4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other (*p* < 0.05).
Liver sulfhydryl groups

The limit of statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. HFHFr diet versus control diet: *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.005$, and ***$p < 0.0005$. Values with different superscript digits (1,2,3,4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other ($p < 0.05$). —SH groups: sulfhydryl groups

**Fig. 8** Long-established oxidative stress markers of liver. Results were expressed as means $\pm$ SD, $n = 6$–8 animals per group per diet treatment duration. Hepatic oxidative, thiobarbituric acid-reactive substance [TBARS] (a), antioxidative stress, —SH groups, total glutathione [GSH] (b), and superoxide dismutase [SOD], catalase and glutathione peroxidase [GPx] (c) parameters were determined. All the groups were tested for the effects of diet, treatment duration, and their interaction using a two-way ANOVA. When the effects of diet or treatment duration were significant, a one-way ANOVA was applied to analyze the effect of treatment duration for each diet followed up by a Fisher’s least significant difference test. The limit of statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. HFHFr diet versus control diet: *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.005$, and ***$p < 0.0005$. Values with different superscript digits (1,2,3,4 for control groups) or with different superscript letters (a, b, c, d, and e for HFHFr groups) are significantly different from each other ($p < 0.05$). —SH groups: sulfhydryl groups

The possibility that in vivo mitochondrial ROS production may be affected. Indeed, in vitro measurement of ROS in isolated mitochondria can skew it because it deprives mitochondria of their natural environment within the cell. Higher mitochondrial ROS production with HF diets was shown only when using palmitoyl-carnitine as substrates, but not when using malate/glutamate/succinate as substrates as in our study (Vial et al., 2011). However, another team (Cardoso et al., 2010) has found that a high-fat diet increased H$_2$O$_2$ release from mice liver mitochondria without substantial alteration in respiratory rates, ADP/O ratios, or membrane potentials of isolated liver mitochondria. It is highly likely that there are different responses to high-fat diets between rats and mice.

The NADPH oxidase system is another important source of cellular ROS production and an essential component of redox signaling mechanisms, and so it seems to play a major role in the pathogenesis of oxidative metabolic disorders (Furukawa et al., 2004; Roberts et al., 2006). Indeed, an abnormal activation of NADPH oxidase is accompanied by high production of superoxide anions, which is generally related to tissue injury induced by oxidative stress. In the present study, liver NADPH oxidase dependent-superoxide anion production was markedly increased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet and this was at the four measured time points. However, the protein abundance of two major NADPH oxidase components, p22$^{phox}$ and p47$^{phox}$, remained statistically unchanged with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet. Indeed, the observed higher NADPH oxidase activity with the HFHFr diet may result from post-translational modifications (phosphorylation, etc.) followed by membrane p47$^{phox}$ translocation and NADPH oxidase activation (Brandes et al., 2014). As shown in Fig. 9f, NADPH oxidase activity correlated highly positively with the glucose intolerance test. These results suggested that NADPH oxidase-mediated oxidative stress may contribute to glucose intolerance and liver injury during high-fat diet feeding. In addition and in agreement with such hypothesis, a novel NADPH oxidase inhibitor, the GLX351322, was reported to counteract glucose intolerance in high-fat diet-treated C57BL/6 mice (Anvari et al., 2015). Another team
(Yang et al., 2016) has reported that oral administration of Salidroside prevented liver injury in the NASH model, through its antioxidant actions to suppress oxidative stress and the free radical-generating Nox2 in liver.

Several oxidative and antioxidative biomarkers have been proposed, including TBARS, hydroperoxides, protein sulphydryl groups, and reduced and oxidized GSH as well as SOD, catalase, GPx to assess oxidative stress in vivo. However, isoprostanooids (such as 15F2t-isoprostane) originated from PUFA and formed under autooxidative conditions are known to be excellent markers of lipid peroxidation in vivo and more generally of oxidative stress (Galano et al., 2017; Yin, 2008). Therefore, the strong point of this study is the measurement of not less than 14 isoprostanooid metabolites in both plasma and liver tissue. Surprisingly, the sum of isoprostanooid metabolites in both media was decreased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet. In addition, when the isoprostanooid metabolites were classified according to their fatty acid origin, the classes B, C, and E of plasma isoprostanooid metabolites were decreased, while the class A remained unchanged. More interestingly, four classes (A, B, C, and E) of liver isoprostanooid metabolites were decreased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet. Because the isoprostanooid metabolites are originated from specific PUFA, the ratio of isoprostanooid metabolites/corresponding PUFA was considered. Indeed, the liver PUFA percent was decreased by about 35% with the HFHFr diets compared to the control diet, but this does not explain alone the marked decrease in liver isoprostanooid metabolite contents as the liver lipid level and those of PUFA were much higher in the HFHFr rats (about +100%) compared to the control rats (Fouret et al., 2018). When these two factors are taken together, the liver total PUFA content decreased with the HFHFr diets compared to the control diet. However, the isoprostanooid metabolites/PUFA ratio remained significantly lower with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet. To our knowledge, this is the first time that the isoprostanooid metabolites were reported in a high fat/high fructose diet-fed rat model in both plasma and liver tissue. In the majority of studies, only F2-isoprostane or 8-isoprostane was measured by ELISA in blood or urine and seldom in tissues, which gave often discordant results. These studies have reported either increased (Zhu et al., 2008) or unchanged or (Petersson et al., 2010) even decreased (Penutsetha et al., 2012) isoprostane levels with HF or HF/HS diets in human or rodent models.

In line with our isoprostanooid metabolites results, the plasma TBARS levels were also decreased whereas the liver TBARS levels remained unchanged. However, the other blood oxidative stress markers were only modestly modified, whereas those measured in the liver were highly affected with our HFHFr diet. Indeed, the protein oxidation assessed by the oxyblot kit showed that protein carbonylation was increased under the HFHFr diet since the early stages of the study. In addition, both liver sulphydryl and
GSH levels as well as the enzymatic activity of SOD, catalase, and GPx significantly decreased with the HFHFr diet compared to the control diet. This means that HFHFr diet induced marked oxidative stress of proteins but the oxidative stress of lipids was rather limited or inexistent.

In conclusion, in spite of long-term high-fat high-fructose diet feeding and the high hepatic accumulation of lipids, including DAG and ceramides, the inflammation status as well as the ROS production and oxidative stress markers, in particular lipid peroxidation, that is isoprostanoïds and TBARS, remained very low if any even after 20 weeks of HFHFr diet feeding. The mitochondrial ROS production remained unchanged while NAPDH oxidase was increased with the HFHFr diet associated with some perturbation of hepatic protein peroxidation and its redox status. Most of these lipid and oxidative stress changes occurred at the same time and as early as 8 or 12 weeks of diet treatment. This implies that low-grade oxidative stress, which accompanied glucose intolerance and hepatic steatosis, may be the result, not the cause, of hepatic lipid accumulation, and suggests that marked hepatic oxidative stress should occur at the end of the steatotic stage to result in frank insulin resistance and steatohepatitis as well as other fatty liver diseases. These interesting findings need to be further evaluated in other animal species as well as in human studies.
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