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Brain-computer interface (BCI) is a potential tool for rehabilitation and communication that mainly relies on the electroencephalography (EEG). Despite its clinical applications, BCI faces both engineering and user-oriented challenges to improve its spreading. In this work, we assess the possibility of integrating electroencephalographic (EEG) and magnetoencephalographic (MEG) signals to enhance the classification performance in motor imagery-based BCI.

By adopting a matching-score fusion approach (in an offline fashion) that optimizes the choice of the features in each individual, we reached an average classification improvement of 12.8 % as compared to separate EEG and MEG classifiers. These results could promote multimodal BCIs development.

**Methods**

**BCI protocol**

Fifteen healthy subjects (aged 2813 ± 410 years, seven women) participated in the protocol.

Fig. 1: BCI experiments performed at the CENIR. MEG and EEG are simultaneously recorded with, respectively, an Elekta Neuromag TRILUX machine® (64 planar gradiometers and 102 magnetometers) and a 74 EEG-channel system. The task consists in a 3D, 2-target, right-justified box task where the subject performs a sustained MI (grasping) of the right hand to hit up-targets, while remaining at rest to hit down-targets.

**Fusion approach**

No artifact removal method applied here to simulate online scenarios.

Fig. 2: Classifier fusion approach for a given frequency bin. The variables p and λ stand for the posterior probability and the weight parameter associated with the modality i, respectively.

Semi-automatic procedure to extract features:
Focus on motor area contralateral to the imagined movement.
Nonparametric cluster-based permutation t-test between power spectra of MI and rest epochs.
Extraction of the Ni most discriminant features within the standard frequency bands.

**Results**

Classification fusion enables a significant performance improvement
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Fig. 3: AUC distributions across the 15 subjects, for all the modalities, and for different numbers of features within the alpha-band. White circles correspond to the the median values. In all frequency bands, the type of modality significantly affected the AUC values (ANOVA, p < 0.05), whereas the number of features did not have a significant impact (p > 0.05).

Inter-subject variability: attributed weights

![Inter-subject variability: attributed weights](image)

Fig. 4: Contribution of different modalities to the individual performance. Pie-diagrams show the i values (in percentage) obtained for each modality via the fusion approach.
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