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The problem of understanding the movement of red blood cells (RBCs) is at the heart of hemorheology. It has thus motivated an extensive body of experimental and numerical works, which showed that RBCs display a rich dynamical behavior in pure shear flow. However, a clear physical understanding of the coupling between cells orientation, membrane deformations and circulation is still not emerging, notably due to the lack of a comprehensive and tractable model to serve as the theoretical foundations for data analysis. Here, we propose a new low-order model which, combined with detailed simulations and existing experimental data, demonstrates how membrane in-plane deformations and elasticity are the essential ingredients responsible for RBC dynamics at low shear stresses. Our approach demonstrates that out-of-plane deformations and fluid inertia are not necessary to explain the RBC dynamics and underlines the importance of membrane stress-free shape. By reproducing all the details of known RBCs dynamics in shear flow, the new low-order provides a single framework to understand the full dynamics of RBCs at low shear stresses.

Red blood cells (RBCs) are the essential oxygen carriers of our body and compose almost half of blood’s entire volume. Understanding their behavior in flow is therefore a key step to characterize both physiological and pathological blood circulatory conditions, and to propose non-invasive diagnostic tools by testing their impaired dynamics. The apparent structural simplicity of RBCs contrasts with the complexity of predicting their dynamics [1]. Indeed, a RBC is a micron-scale deformable bag, of the shape of a biconcave disk at rest, containing a Newtonian solution of hemoglobin of viscosity $\eta_i$, typically five times the viscosity of water and higher than the outer viscosity $\eta_o$ in physiological conditions. This solution is enclosed by a thin composite membrane made of an incompressible fluid lipid bilayer, scaffolded inside by a two-dimensional network of elastic filaments of spectrins [2] with a typical in-plane shear modulus $G_s$. Understanding the dynamics of a RBC comes down to two main issues: how its shape adapts to viscous stresses and how the resulting internal flow impacts cell behavior. This fluid-structure problem is highly non-linear and non-local in nature and despite decades of research, the full phase diagram of the different dynamical states of a RBC in pure shear flow is still not available, as demonstrated by the recent discovery of new dynamical states observed in physiological conditions of strong flows [3].

However, experiments realized during the last decades on single RBCs for shear stresses $\eta_o \dot{\gamma}$ lower than 0.1 Pa [4] [5] identified numerous dynamics of orientation where membrane out-of-plane deformations are small and cells maintain their discocyte shape. For instance, RBCs present a dual solid-liquid behavior for increasing $\eta_o \dot{\gamma}$, depending on the viscosity ratio $\lambda = \eta_i/\eta_o$ [6] [7]. For $\lambda \gtrsim 3$, the cell flips like a solid coin. Its axis of symmetry precesses around the vorticity direction, describing a closed trajectory. However, unlike a solid ellipsoid [8], this orbit progressively drifts for increasing shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$ until the symmetry axis aligns with the vorticity direction: the cell rolls [5] [6] [9] [10]. For $\lambda \lesssim 3$, the orbital drift to rolling is maintained [5] [9], but for higher $\dot{\gamma}$, rolling is not stable and is replaced by a modified droplet-like behavior called swinging [4] [5]: the membrane circulates around the center of mass like a tank-tread, while the orientation of one of the cell’s largest axis of inertia oscillates around an average angle whose value decreases with $\dot{\gamma}$. A rich corpus of numerical simulations reproduced part of these motions [3] [11] [16], but even a simple question as the essential ingredients yielding this complex dynamics remains unanswered. Dupire et al. [5] hypothesized for instance that in-plane elasticity may be responsible for orbital drift, but the only test provided was to rigidify the RBCs, indeed preventing drift but simultaneously precluding in-plane and out-of-plane deformations and inner flow. Whether out-of-plane deformations are necessary to explain RBC dynamics at low shear stress, or solely the viscosity ratio is unknown. Moreover, inertia, which is known to promote rolling motion for solid ellipsoids [17], may play a role. Inertia and out-of-plane deformations are small in this flow regime, but the orbital drift is a very slow process, occurring over hundreds of time units $\dot{\gamma}^{-1}$. This suggests that even small effects may contribute to the long-term dynamics of RBCs.

Important breakthroughs in the physical understanding of RBC dynamics sprung from the development of theoretical models where the axis of symmetry of the cell is bound to the shear plane. Keller and Skalak [18] understood the fundamental role played by $\lambda$ in the transition between flipping and tank-treading. Later, the existence of the membrane stress-free shape different from the sphere was added to this approach [4] [19], inspired by the observations of in-plane elasticity and shape memory for the RBC membrane [20] [21]. These models predicted the transition between flipping and swinging with $\dot{\gamma}$ [4]. The membrane elements have to overcome an energy barrier to circulate around the RBC and make the cell tank-tread/swing. In-plane elasticity was thus identified as a
key element controlling dynamics for this planar configuration. However, such conclusions have not been generalized to 3-D dynamics: the absence of comprehensive approaches coupling arbitrary orientation, membrane circulation and stress, isolating the role played by the geometry and by each structural element, has been a true limitation for the understanding of RBC dynamics.

In this letter, we propose a three-dimensional low-order model for RBCs dynamics that we compare to detailed simulations and existing experimental data. We demonstrate the essential role played by both membrane in-plane deformations and viscosity ratio. Our approach underlines the importance of membrane stress-free shape and reproduces all the dynamics observed both experimentally and numerically, simultaneously ruling out out-of-plane deformations and fluid inertia as necessary elements to explain the behavior of RBCs under shear.
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FIG. 1. Geometry and variables of interest (a,b) and examples of dynamics in shear flow (c-e). (a) The orientation of the body frame \( \mathbf{e}_i \) with respect to the fixed frame \( \mathbf{e}_i \) is defined by the Euler angles \( [44] \). (b) The membrane tank-treading is defined in the body frame by the tank-treading rate vector \( \Omega \) and the small axis of the reference shape \( X \). The RBC is colored by the reference shape radius (radial distance to the cell center), from blue (low values, small axis) to red (large values, long axis). (c) Simulation of an orbit selection (\( \lambda = 1, Ca = 0.016, v_{ref} = 0.997 \)): \( \psi \) along time. The minimum angle in the stationary state, \( \psi_{orbit} \), characterizes the limit orbit. (d-e) Simulation from flipping to rolling (\( \lambda = 1, Ca = 0.025, v_{ref} = 0.997 \)): \( \psi \) along time (d) and corresponding sequence (e) of the simulated RBC colored as in (b). The + in (d) mark the instants when the shapes are displayed in (e).

The problem geometry is shown in Fig. 1. \( \mathbf{e}_i \) (unit vectors \( \mathbf{e}_i \)) denote the coordinates of a fixed frame \( \mathcal{R} \), and \( \mathbf{x}_i \) (unit vectors \( \mathbf{x}_i \)) the coordinates of a body frame \( \mathcal{R} \) of same origin, whose axes correspond to the principal axes of the cell. To define the orientation of the cell with respect to the fixed frame, the Euler angles \( \theta, \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are used (Fig. 1a) and supplementary material [44]). An external shear flow is imposed: \( \mathbf{u}_i = \gamma \mathbf{x}_2 \). The relative strength of the shear flow is defined by the capillary number \( Ca = \eta a / Gm 3 \), with \( a = 2.82 \mu m \) a characteristic size of the RBC [12].

The small axis (symmetry axis) of the RBC is along \( x_3 \). The Euler angle \( \varphi \) is the angle between \( x_3 \) and the vorticity direction \( \mathbf{x}_3 \), and thus characterizes the orbits of the cell. Membrane circulation is tracked in a Lagrangian way following the location of the small axis of the stress-free shape of the cytoskeleton in the body frame, denoted by vector \( X \) (Fig. 1b). When \( X \) is not along \( x_3 \), the membrane has circulated and stored in-plane elastic energy.

The present analysis is based on models of decreasing complexity. We first present simulations of the full fluid-structure interaction problem, including all modes of deformations and small yet non-zero fluid inertia. Simulations provide reference data for the subsequent low-order modeling. Simulations are performed using YALES2BIO [9, 10, 22, 23] (see supplementary material [44]), a solver for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation based on the immersed boundary method. The infinitely thin membrane resists shear and area changes following the Skalak model [27]. Bending resistance is modeled by the Helfrich curvature energy [28, 29]. The membrane is prestressed at rest, its stress-free shape being a quasi-spherical oblate ellipsoid [12, 13, 30, 31], with the same surface area as the RBC. Different stress-free ellipsoids are used, defined by their reduced volume \( v_{ref} \), the volume of the ellipsoid divided by the volume of the sphere having the same surface area. This reference shape is first deflated until an equilibrium biconcave shape is reached, which is then subjected to shear. The higher \( v_{ref} \), the lower the elastic energy barrier for membrane circulation [15, 20, 22]. In all simulations, \( G_m = 2.5 \mu N.m^{-1} [31, 33] \), and the bending modulus is \( \kappa_m = 3.0 \times 10^{-19} J [16] \).

As a first result, we show an example of orbit selection in Fig. 1c: after a transient flipping, the cell initially in the shear plane (\( \varphi = \pi/2 \)) reorients and flips over a specific limit orbit, characterized by \( \psi_{orbit} \), the minimum value of \( \psi \) after reorientation. At higher external stress, the cell may reorient to rolling: the RBC small axis aligns with the vorticity direction (\( \varphi \to 0 \), Fig. 1d). During the reorientation, the reference shape circulates along the RBC without major cell deformation (Fig. 1e).

The orbital drift of RBCs as a function of \( Ca \) is first examined for \( \lambda = 1.0 \) (Fig. 2): RBCs flip over orbits of decreasing value of \( \psi_{orbit} \) when \( Ca \) increases, until rolling is reached (\( \psi_{orbit} = 0 \)), as seen in experiments [5]. For higher \( Ca \), RBCs return to the shear plane (\( \psi_{orbit} = \pi/2 \)) and tank-tread/swing [11, 19]. This reorientation from rolling to tank-treading is performed without flipping: the RBC takes a fixed inclination and spins without angle oscillations, a motion called ‘frisbee’ or ‘hovering’,
reported in simulations \cite{12,15} and transiently in experiments with a step increase of shear rate \cite{4}.

In Fig. 2 results at $\lambda = 1$ show that the stress-free shape of the cell determines the stress at which orbital drift occurs. The energy barrier for membrane circulation being higher at $v_{ref} = 0.96$ than at 0.997, the reorientation occurs for higher Ca. As a consequence, the frisbee motion disappears. Instead, the RBC buckles \cite{3,16} and becomes a stomatocyte \cite{16}: at such higher stresses, the motion disappears. Instead, the RBC buckles \cite{3,16} and the membrane circulates (Fig. 1b): the membrane surface velocity $v_m$ in the body frame reads \( v_m = a_i \epsilon_{ijk} \dot{\gamma} x_k/a_k \) \cite{15}, where $\epsilon_{ijk}$ is the Levi-Civita symbol in three dimensions and $\dot{\gamma}$ is the tank-treading rate around axis $x_j$.

Since the total moment acting on a freely suspended neutrally buoyant particle vanishes, it may be shown that the spins of the ellipsoid around its axes ($\dot{\omega}_1 = \theta \sin \varphi \sin \psi + \dot{\psi} \cos \psi$, $\dot{\omega}_2 = \theta \sin \varphi \cos \psi - \dot{\varphi} \sin \psi$, $\dot{\omega}_3 = \theta \cos \varphi + \dot{\psi}$) read:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\omega}_1 &= -\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} \sin \varphi \sin \psi + \frac{a_1^2 - a_2^2}{a_1^2 + a_3^2} e_{23} - \frac{2 a_0 a_3}{a_2^2 + a_3^2} \Omega_1, \\
\dot{\omega}_2 &= -\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} \sin \varphi \cos \psi + \frac{a_2^2 - a_3^2}{a_2^2 + a_3^2} e_{31} - \frac{2 a_0 a_1}{a_3^2 + a_1^2} \Omega_2, \\
\dot{\omega}_3 &= \dot{\Omega}_3 = -\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} \cos \varphi,
\end{align*}
\]

with $a_1$, $a_2$ and $a_3$ the semi-axes of the ellipsoid ($a_1 = a_2$ for the axisymmetric ellipsoid considered). $\dot{\Omega}_3$ cannot be distinguished from $\dot{\omega}_3$ because $x_3$ is an axis of symmetry. The $e_{ij}$ are the components of the strain rate tensor of the undisturbed flow in the body frame:

\[
\begin{align*}
e_{23} &= \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \cos \psi \sin 2 \theta \sin 2 \varphi + \cos 2 \theta \sin \psi \sin \varphi \right), \\
e_{31} &= \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sin \psi \sin 2 \theta \sin 2 \varphi - \cos 2 \theta \cos \psi \sin \varphi \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The tank-treading rates are obtained by writing the balance between the stress jump across the membrane and the membrane elastic load (calculated assuming that the membrane is a prestressed Kelvin-Voight viscoelastic material \cite{4,32}).

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\gamma} &= -\frac{2 f_3}{f_2 - \lambda \epsilon_{eff} f_1} \left[ e_{23}^0 + \frac{\dot{\gamma} \beta C}{Ca} \xi_2 \xi_3 \right], \\
\dot{\gamma} &= \frac{2 f_3}{f_2 - \lambda \epsilon_{eff} f_1} \left[ e_{31}^0 + \frac{\dot{\gamma} \beta C}{Ca} \xi_1 \xi_3 \right].
\end{align*}
\]

The $f_i$ and $\beta$ are geometric factors \cite{4,15}. $\lambda \epsilon_{eff}$ is an effective viscosity ratio accounting for membrane viscosity (here we use $\lambda \epsilon_{eff} = \lambda$). $C$ is a non-dimensional constant accounting for membrane prestress \cite{32} (the larger the reduced volume of the reference shape, the lower $C$). $\xi_i$ are the coordinates of the small axis of the reference shape mapped on a sphere: $\xi_i = X_i/a_i$ (no summation). $X_i$ and thus $\xi_i$, are advanced from the tank-treading velocity $v_m$. The model is consistent with existing models for solid and fluid ellipsoids \cite{4,8,18}.
FIG. 3. Dynamics of a fluid ellipsoid \((a_1 = a_2 = 4.2375 \mu m, a_3 = 1.2511 \mu m, C = 0.01)\), in shear flow shown by the trajectories projected in the shear plane of \((e_3)_{\mathcal{R}}\) (solid red line) and \((\xi)_{\mathcal{R}}\) (blue dotted line). The circle of radius 1 is also shown; black dots mark the initial orientation. Dynamics (see supplementary movies [44]) for different values of \(\lambda\) is solved numerically. The input data are the geometries of the ellipsoid (here, \((a)\) simulated RBC), \(\dot{a}\), \(\lambda\), \(\xi\), \(C\), \(\dot{\gamma}\), \(\gamma\), \(\epsilon_{\text{ref}}\), and the initial orientation.

The system of non-linear ordinary differential equations is solved numerically. The input data are the geometries of the ellipsoid (here, \(a_1 = a_2 = 4.2375 \mu m\) and \(a_3 = 1.2511 \mu m\) to match the volume and surface of the simulated RBC), \(\dot{a}\), \(\lambda\), \(\xi\), \(C\), \(\dot{\gamma}\), \(\gamma\), \(\epsilon_{\text{ref}}\), and the initial orientation. Results of the model are displayed in the fixed frame \(\mathcal{R}\) by projecting on a unit sphere the evolution of the small axes of both the ellipsoid with the vector \((e_3)_{\mathcal{R}}\) and of the stress-free shape with the vector \((\xi)_{\mathcal{R}}\).

Figure 3 shows that the model reproduces all the typical motions of RBCs reported by experiments and simulations at low shear stress [1]. For \(\lambda \geq 3\), the model yields a flipping dynamics over orbits (Fig. 3a) determined by \(Ca\), the orbit shrinking around the vorticity axis when \(Ca\) increases, until rolling is reached (Fig. 3b) For higher values of \(Ca\) and \(\lambda \geq 3\), rolling is the only stable motion predicted. For \(\lambda \leq 3\) and increasing \(Ca\), the model first predicts the flipping and the orbital drift to rolling as for \(\lambda \geq 3\), then the frisbee [12, 15] dynamics (Fig. 3c). For higher \(Ca\), the RBC goes to the shear plane to perform swinging [4], possibly with a transient ‘kayaking’ oscillation around the shear plane (Fig. 3d) [11, 34]. In addition to qualitative agreement with the documented behaviors of RBCs, the model aligns well with full simulations (Fig. 2). For \(\epsilon_{\text{ref}} = 0.997\), the prestress coefficient \(C\) is adjusted in the model (Eq. 3) using data at \(\lambda = 5\) and kept constant to predict results at \(\lambda = 1\) and \(\lambda = 0.2\). The model is able to predict both the orbital drift and the reorientation from rolling to tank-treading in the frisbee state. The comparison with simulations is excellent, despite some discrepancies, in particular when \(Ca\) increases. This comparison supports that the in-plane elasticity, the only mechanical property included in the model, is the main effect driving the reorientation. Out-of-plane deformation and inertia only have a second-order effect on the RBC dynamics.

Figures 3a-d also show the interplay between the dynamics of the ellipsoid and of its membrane: the dynamics depends on the ability of the membrane to circulate over the ellipsoid, which results in the separation between the small axes of the ellipsoid and of the reference shape.

FIG. 4. Example of closed orbits (followed in the clockwise direction) for a fluid ellipsoid in shear flow \((a_1 = a_2 = 4.2375 \mu m, a_3 = 1.2511 \mu m, C = 0.01, \lambda = 5)\), projected in the shear plane. The circle of radius 1 is shown in dotted lines. (a) Cases \(Ca = 0\) (i.e. solid ellipsoid, black dashed line) and \(Ca = +\infty\) (fluid ellipsoid without membrane elasticity, solid magenta line). Vectors \(\dot{\omega}_{\text{RBC,Circ.}}\) are displayed at 16 evenly spaced instants over the period. (b) Case \(Ca = 0.013\): trajectory of \((e_3)_{\mathcal{R}}\) (solid red line) and \((\xi)_{\mathcal{R}}\) (blue dashed line). Vectors \(\dot{\omega}_{\text{Elast.}}\) are displayed. (c) Schematic of the drift due to the elastic torque.

To further understand the results, we remark that
Eq. (1) expresses an equilibrium between moments of forces [18]. The spins of the ellipsoid result from the sum of the moments of forces exerted by the external flow and those associated to membrane circulation (terms in \( \hat{\Omega}_e \)). The latter can be divided into two contributions (Eq. 3), a purely viscous one and an elastic one, in \( 1/Ca \). Equation (1) could thus be formally expressed as \( \dot{\omega} = \omega_{\text{Ext.Flow}} + \omega_{\text{Visc.Circ.}} + \omega_{\text{Elast.}} \), where the terms of the right-hand side are associated with the external flow, the viscous circulation and the elastic contribution to the circulation, respectively.

Figure 4(a) displays a comparison of the trajectory of the small axis of the ellipsoid in the solid case \( (Ca = 0, \text{Jeffery orbits}) \) and in the purely viscous case \( (Ca = +\infty) \). Vectors \( \dot{\omega}_{\text{Visc.Circ.}} \) are displayed to analyze how circulation of the membrane exerts an additional moment which modifies Jeffery’s trajectory. It is worth noting that torque vectors pointing inwards slow down the trajectory without changing the orbit. On the other hand, torques in the same (resp. opposite) direction as the trajectory deviate the trajectory towards the inside (resp. outside).

Figure 4(a) thus shows how the additional moment associated with the circulation of the membrane makes the orbit thinner for \( Ca = +\infty \). Orbits get actually thinner as \( \lambda \) decreases (not shown). Note also that \( \dot{\omega}_{\text{Visc.Circ.}} \) has the same symmetries as \( \dot{\omega}_{\text{Ext.Flow}} \).

Figure 4(b) displays the trajectory of \( (e_3)_{R} \) and \( (\xi)_{R} \) in a case with membrane elasticity. Contrary to \( \dot{\omega}_{\text{Visc.Circ.}} \), the elastic torque \( \dot{\omega}_{\text{Elast.}} \) is not symmetric with respect to \( \hat{e}_1 \) and \( \hat{e}_2 \): the orbit thus becomes tilted. It is easily shown that the elastic torque is actually proportional to \( (e_3 \cdot \xi) e_3 \times \xi \): its direction is thus normal to \( e_3 \) and \( \xi \), while its norm is determined by the angle between \( e_3 \) and \( \xi \), as evidenced by Fig. 4(b). The elastic torque is of course generated by the circulation of the membrane, which is responsible for the storage of in-plane elastic energy. However, a second mechanism determines the direction of the elastic torque: the ellipsoid spins around its symmetry axis (see Eq. 1). This spinning is responsible for a rotation of \( \xi \) around \( e_3 \) and consequently of a reorientation of the restoring elastic torque. This is seen in Fig. 4(b) by the difference in the orientation of \( \dot{\omega}_{\text{Visc.Circ.}} \) and \( \dot{\omega}_{\text{Elast.}} \). The spinning of the ellipsoid thus makes the membrane restore its elastic energy with a torque oriented differently from the viscous torque which made the membrane circulate. This process is summarized in a schematic way in Fig. 4(c). This element is essential for the understanding of how elasticity controls the dynamics of red blood cells, in particular by modifying their orbits, which are not Jeffery’s orbits.

Finally, a full study of the dynamics of RBCs at low shear stresses is made possible by our model: it gathers the different dynamics of RBCs and capsules that were studied before in experiments [5, 9, 10] and simulations [8, 11, 12, 13, 34, 35], but only partially explained until now. By proposing a model able to reproduce the flipping dynamics over orbits, the orbital drift to rolling, frisbeeing, kayaking, swinging in shear flow depending on \( \lambda \) and \( Ca \), we demonstrate that the whole dynamics at low shear rates is mainly controlled by the storage and release of in-plane elastic energy in the membrane.

The behaviors of fluid and rigid ellipsoids are different: Jeffery [8] demonstrated that a rigid ellipsoid rotates indefinitely in shear flow, its symmetry axis precessing around the vorticity direction, describing closed orbits. These orbits are degenerate since an infinite number of them are allowed, their selection depending only on the initial orientation of the particle. This degeneracy, proper to Stokes flows, is removed in real flows. Ellipsoids have been shown to display a rich variety of behaviors depending on both particle and fluid Reynolds numbers [17, 36]. For instance, neutrally buoyant oblate ellipsoids have been shown to generally roll on their edge for moderate Reynolds numbers [17], but present a steady inclination for higher inertia. Another cause of orbit selection is the visco-elasticity of the outer fluid [37, 38], though its origin remains unclear. Here, we have identified a new cause for removing the degeneracy of Jeffery’s orbits: the energy barrier associated with the circulation of the membrane over the RBC. When the RBC is not in the shear plane, the sliding of its membrane around its ellipsoidal shape generates a lateral torque that makes the particle deviate from Jeffery’s orbit.

The role of in-plane elasticity is thus identified and can be now separated from the other effects thanks to our model. We show how the circulation of the stress-free shape coupled to spinning, together with the viscosity contrast, are the two main ingredients to capture the full RBCs phase diagram of movement at low shear stresses. Our model is easy to implement and runs orders of magnitude faster than full simulations. Most importantly, it will allow the stability analysis of the different regimes of movement and a better characterization of their transitions. In addition, the present model paves the way not only to the development of theoretical predictions for blood rheology at low volume fractions, but enables predictions of the dynamics of RBCs in general linear microflows as will be detailed in a full-length publication.
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