Reduced Graphene Oxide Embedded Polymeric Nanofiber Mats: An ‘On-Demand’ Photothermally-Triggered Antibiotic Release Platform

Ismail Altinbasak, Roxana Jijie, Alexandre Barras, Bianka Golba, Rana Sanyal, Julie Bouckaert, Djamel Drider, Rostyslav Bilyy, Tetiana Dumych, Solomiya Paryzhak, et al.

► To cite this version:

Ismail Altinbasak, Roxana Jijie, Alexandre Barras, Bianka Golba, Rana Sanyal, et al.. Reduced Graphene Oxide Embedded Polymeric Nanofiber Mats: An ‘On-Demand’ Photothermally-Triggered Antibiotic Release Platform. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2018, 10.1021/acsami.8b14784 . hal-01925513

HAL Id: hal-01925513

<https://hal.science/hal-01925513>

Submitted on 16 Nov 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Reduced Graphene-Oxide-Embedded Polymeric Nanofiber Mats: An “On-Demand” Photothermally Triggered Antibiotic Release Platform

Ismail Altinbasak,[†] Roxana Jijie,[§] Alexandre Barras,[§] Bianca Golba,[†] Rana Sanyal,^{†,‡,||} Julie Bouckaert,¹ Djamel Drider,[#] Rostyslav Bilyy,[∇] Tetiana Dumych,[∇] Solomiya Paryzhak,[∇] Volodymyr Vovk,[∇] Rabah Boukherroub,[§] Amitav Sanyal,^{*,†,‡,||} and Sabine Szunerits^{*,§}

[†]Department of Chemistry and [‡]Center for Life Sciences and Technologies, Bogazici University, Bebek, 34342 Istanbul, Turkey

[§]Université Lille, CNRS, Centrale Lille, ISEN, Université Valenciennes, UMR 8520 - IEMN, F-59000 Lille, France

^{||}RS Research Inc., Teknopark Istanbul, Pendik, 34912 Istanbul, Turkey

¹Unité de Glycobiologie Structurale et Fonctionnelle (UGSF), UMR 8576 du CNRS et Université Lille, 50 Avenue de Halley, 59658 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

[#]Institut Charles Viollette, Université de Lille1, EA 7394 Lille, France

[∇]Danylo Halytsky Lviv National Medical University, 79010 Lviv, Ukraine

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The steady increase in antimicrobial resistance in different pathogens requires the development of alternative treatment strategies next to the oral delivery of antibiotics. A photothermally activated platform based on reduced graphene oxide (rGO)-embedded polymeric nanofiber mats for on-demand release of antibiotics upon irradiation in the near-infrared is fabricated. Cross-linked hydrophilic nanofibers, obtained by electrospinning a mixture of poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and rGO, show excellent stability in aqueous media. Importantly, these PAA@rGO nanofiber mats exhibit controlled photothermal heating upon irradiation at 980 nm. Nanofiber mats are efficiently loaded with antibiotics through simple immersion into corresponding antibiotics solutions. Whereas passive diffusion based release at room temperature is extremely low, photothermal activation results in increased release within few minutes, with release rates tunable through power density of the applied irradiation. The large difference over passive and active release, as well as the controlled turn-on of release allows regulation of the dosage of the antibiotics, as evidenced by the inhibition of planktonic bacteria growth. Treatment of superficial skin infections with the antibiotic-loaded nanofiber mats show efficient wound healing of the infected site. Facile fabrication and implementation of these photothermally active nanofiber mats makes this novel platform adaptable for on-demand delivery of various therapeutic agents.

KEYWORDS: electrospinning, nanofibers, reduced graphene oxide, antibiotic release, photothermal effect

INTRODUCTION

One of the challenges frequently encountered in traditional methods of drug delivery relates to poor control over proper dosage of therapeutic agent.^{1–3} Maintaining the drug concentration within a particular therapeutic window and below toxic concentrations is challenging, and lack of efficient treatment can lead to severe side effects, along with the development of drug resistance in cells and pathogens. These problems have fostered the development of different approaches that enable the controlled release of a precisely defined amount of drug, but allow in addition the release of the therapeutic dose on demand, upon the application of an external trigger rather than by passively driven diffusion

processes. In the last decade, several delivery systems have been fabricated to deliver drug locally by controlling release kinetics through utilization of various types of stimuli, either external or intrinsic to the disease site.^{4,5} The capability to tune the physicochemical properties of polymeric nanostructures as well as other advantages such as their stability in vitro and in vivo conditions and good biocompatibility has laid the foundation for the emergence of a large number of polymeric nanosystems for controlled drug release.^{6–9}

Received: August 27, 2018

Accepted: October 30, 2018

Published: October 30, 2018

Figure 2. Characterization rGO-loaded PAA nanofiber mats formed by electrospinning: (A) photograph of electrospun PAA@rGO; (B) Raman spectra of rGO (black), PAA (gray), and PAA@GO (red); (C) scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of fabricated PAA@rGO nanofiber mats at different scales; and (D) stability of β -cyclodextrin cross-linked PAA@rGO nanofibers in water at 37 °C for 60 h.

release of antibiotics from rGO-containing nanofibers and its use for the treatment of infections has not been explored until now. Herein, we disclose rGO-containing poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) based nanofiber mats (PAA@rGO) for the loading of different antibiotics due to their swelling and noncovalent interaction with rGO, as well as demonstrate controlled and on-demand release promoted by near-infrared photothermal heating (Figure 1). To allow facile diffusion of antibiotics under aqueous environment into nanostructures, we opted for the formation of rGO-loaded hydrophilic nanofibers by electrospinning PAA in the presence of cyclodextrin as a cross-linker. These nanofiber mats showed controlled photothermal heating ability, with the temperature reaching 67 ± 2 °C using a light source of 980 nm and 1 W cm^{-1} power density. The PAA@rGO nanomats showed high loading capability for ampicillin (AMP) and cefepime (CFP) with minimal passive antibiotic release. Ampicillin, part of the aminopenicillin family, was chosen for its favorable structure (aromatic ring together with the presence of amine and acid functional groups), enabling ampicillin to interact with rGO through electrostatic and π -stacking interactions (Figure 1). The same is true for cefepime (CFP), an antibiotic belonging

to the class of cephalosporin commonly applied for the treatment of bacterial conditions such as pneumonia, kidney, urinal, as well as skin infections. This antibiotic works by simply stopping the growth of bacteria.^{46,47} Enhanced drug release was achieved under irradiation of the antibiotic-loaded PAA@rGO nanofiber mats with near-infrared light at 980 nm, where the antibiotic release rate can be tuned upon using different power densities. In vitro antibacterial assay demonstrates clearly that the released antibiotics did not lose their biological activity to inhibit growth of pathogens. The nanofiber platform could be reloaded with antibiotic and release was achieved in a controlled fashion, thus demonstrating recyclability of the platform. Furthermore, through in vivo studies on *S. aureus* infected Balb/c mice, the antibiotic-loaded PAA@rGO nanofiber mats demonstrated excellent wound-healing capability, making them viable candidates for clinical applications.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. Poly(acrylic acid) (PAA, $M_n = 450\,000 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$), hydrazine, β -cyclodextrin, phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) and cefepime were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ampicillin (AMP)

174 was obtained from Fisher BioReagents (BP1760-25). Graphene oxide
175 (GO) in powder form was purchased from Graphenea (Spain).

176 **Characterizations and Photothermal Setup.** Details of
177 characterization techniques and instrumental details for photothermal
178 setup employed in this study can be found in the [Supplementary](#)
179 [Information](#).

180 **Fabrication of rGO-Loaded PAA Nanofiber (PAA@rGO).** A
181 GO precursor was chemically reduced to reduced graphene oxide
182 (rGO) using hydrazine according to a procedure previously reported
183 by us.^{40,48} Briefly, GO aqueous suspension (5 mL, 0.5 mg mL⁻¹) was
184 treated with hydrazine (0.50 mL, 32.1 mM) at 100 °C for 24 h. After
185 this time, the reduced GO gradually precipitates. Filtration through a
186 poly(vinylidene difluoride) membrane (0.45 μm pore size) is used to
187 collect the product. Obtained residue is washed with copious amounts
188 of water (5 × 20 mL) and methanol (5 × 20 mL). Finally, the product
189 is dried in an oven for 18 h at 100 °C. Thereafter, to rGO aqueous
190 suspension (1.2 mL, 2.5 mg mL⁻¹) was added PAA (100 mg) and β-
191 cyclodextrin (20 mg) and the resulting mixture was stirred for 24 h at
192 room temperature. This corresponds to rGO (2.4 wt %), PAA (81.4
193 wt %), and β-cyclodextrin (16.2 wt %). Clear polymer solution of
194 PAA, β-cyclodextrin, and rGO was electrospun using a 1 mL syringe
195 fitted with a 14-gauge blunt needle at the rate of 0.005 mL min⁻¹ with
196 15 kW. The distance was kept at 15 cm during the electrospinning
197 process. Resulting electrospun fibers were cross-linked under vacuum
198 at 140 °C for 30 min.

199 **Loading of Antibiotics onto Nanofibers.** Antibiotics loading
200 into the nanofibers was achieved by immersing the nanofiber mat (4
201 mg) into the aqueous solution of ampicillin (80–400 μg mL⁻¹) or
202 cefepime (80–400 μg mL⁻¹) and shaking (150 rpm) at 4 °C for 72 h.
203 The concentration of ampicillin and cefepime loaded into the
204 nanofibers was determined from the absorption intensity of the AMP
205 and cefepime remaining in the solution using high-performance liquid
206 chromatogram (HPLC). Ampicillin and cefepime calibration curves
207 were obtained using a series of antibiotic-containing solutions (1–100
208 μg mL⁻¹) ([Figure S2](#)).

209 **Photothermal-Triggered Antibiotics Release.** Antibiotic
210 release was assessed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (1 mL, pH
211 7.4) through direct irradiation of the nanofibers using a continuous
212 wave laser at 980 nm at varying power densities (1–2 W cm⁻²) for
213 several minutes. The amount of antibiotics released was determined
214 from HPLC results of the solution collected after irradiation using
215 previously established calibrations curves ([Figure S2](#)). Control
216 experiments were carried out in an environmentally controlled
217 cabin at 37 °C, and the samples were gently shaken during incubation.

218 **Wound Healing and Histology.** Full details of the study can be
219 found in the [Supplementary Information](#). Briefly, 6–8-week-old male
220 Balb/c mice were used for this study. The superficial skin damaged
221 infection model was performed as described by us previously⁴⁹ with
222 some modifications. After removing the fur, a plaster was applied to
223 the mouse skin (1 cm²) several times to remove the superficial
224 epidermis. The skin damaged was visible and characterized by
225 reddening and glistening but no regular bleeding. Then, 10 μL of *S.*
226 *aureus* bacterial cells (4 × 10⁷ cfu mL⁻¹) were applied to the skin and
227 allowed to dry for 10 min. Superficial skin infection established after
228 24 h and PAA- or cefepime-loaded PAA@rGO nanofiber mats were
229 applied to the skin of the anesthetized mice. Untreated mice were
230 used as control. The mat was irradiated for 10 min with a near-
231 infrared light-emitting diode (LED) array (6 × 6 mm² in size, 8 W,
232 2A, 940 nm), previously shown to be a safe condition for animals.⁴⁹
233 The temperature was monitored by an infrared camera (Thermovi-
234 sion A40). Mice were photographed and images were processed with
235 ImageJ software to detect erythema, and its area before and after a 24
236 h treatment was evaluated. After 24 h, the mice were sacrificed and
237 the skin was removed and subjected to histological analysis.

238 ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

239 **Fabrication and Characterization of rGO-Containing**
240 **Nanofibers.** First, rGO-containing PAA nanofibers were
241 obtained through electrospinning of an aqueous dispersion of

rGO (2.4 wt %), PAA (81.4 wt %), and β-cyclodextrin (16.2 wt %)
242 as a cross-linker ([Figure 1](#)). Upon electrospinning, a free
243 standing mat of electrospun fibers is obtained ([Figure 2A](#)).
244 Raman spectra of PAA and PAA@rGO were recorded to
245 confirm the incorporation of rGO into the nanofibers ([Figure](#)
246 [2B](#)). Raman analysis revealed the presence of the expected
247 bands at ≈1350 cm⁻¹ (D-band) and ≈1580 cm⁻¹ (G-band)
248 due to the defects and disorders, and the graphitized structure
249 of rGO, respectively ([Figure 2B](#)). The I_D/I_G intensity ratio was
250 found to be 0.93 for rGO. The PAA fibers did show a Raman
251 band at 1728 cm⁻¹ assigned to C=O functional groups,
252 whereas the bands at 1235 and 1285 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to
253 –CH₂– bonds.⁵⁰ In the case of PAA@rGO, the bands of rGO
254 are identifiable in the Raman spectrum. Additionally, Fourier
255 transform infrared (FTIR) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
256 (XPS) spectra of PAA@rGO were obtained, where the
257 FTIR analysis did not provide any information about rGO
258 incorporation due to its low amount, but the presence of
259 delocalized π-electrons was evident in the XPS spectra (C 1s
260 scan) ([Figure S3](#)).
261

262 To ensure long-term stability in the aqueous media of the
263 hydrophobic PAA@rGO nanofibers, the fibers were cross-
264 linked to prevent their dissolution. As reported earlier, β-
265 cyclodextrin, a molecule containing multiple hydroxyl groups
266 can be efficiently used to cross-link the PAA polymer upon
267 heat treatment under vacuum for 30 min.⁵¹ The morphology
268 of the resulting cross-linked PAA@rGO nanofibers was probed
269 using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). [Figure 2C](#) shows
270 the formation of dense mats of interwoven nanofibers 400 ±
271 150 nm in diameter. Furthermore, the integration of rGO did
272 not alter the morphology of the nanofibers (see the Supporting
273 [Information](#), [Figure S1](#)). The stability of the cross-linked
274 PAA@rGO nanofibers was validated by immersing them into
275 aqueous solutions for several days at 37 °C. From the SEM
276 images in [Figure 2D](#), it is evident that the cross-linked PAA@
277 rGO nanofibers preserve their nanostructures and no
278 dissolution of the fiber networks occurs even after immersion
279 for days.

280 After fabrication, the photothermal properties of the PAA@
281 rGO nanofiber mats were explored ([Figure 3A](#)). Whereas PAA
282 displayed only a negligible photothermal heating, direct
283 irradiation of PAA@rGO (4 mg immersed in 1 mL PBS)
284 with a continuous wave laser at 980 nm (1 W cm⁻²) for 5 min
285 results in a surface temperature of about 67 ± 2 °C. Even
286 decreasing the laser power density to 0.5 W cm⁻² showed a

Figure 3. Photothermal properties of cross-linked PAA@rGO: (A) photothermal heating curves of PAA (gray) and PAA@rGO (red) nanofiber patches (4 mg) immersed in PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.4) and irradiated at 980 nm (1 W cm⁻²) for 10 min and (B) temperature profile of PAA@rGO nanofiber mats upon 10 min irradiation at varying power densities.

287 rapid increase in the surface temperature of PAA@rGO
288 nanofibers, reaching saturation at approximately $51 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$
289 within 5 min in a wet environment. No melting of nanofibers
290 occurred under the used conditions, thus preserving the
291 structural integrity of the fibrous mats. Thus, with modulation
292 of laser power density, these nanofiber mats exhibited
293 controlled photothermal heating ability within a temperature
294 range from 20 to $67 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$.

295 Loading and Release of Antibiotics into Nanofibers.

296 Integration of antibiotics into the cross-linked PAA@rGO
297 nanofiber mats was achieved by simply immersing the
298 nanofibers into the aqueous solution of ampicillin or cefepime
299 in water at 4°C for 72 h. Both antibiotics contain aromatic
300 structures together with amino and carboxylic acid functional
301 groups, thus promoting their interaction with rGO through
302 π - π stacking and/or electrostatic interactions. The loading
303 capacity of PAA@rGO nanofibers for AMP and cefepime was
304 determined from the amount of the antibiotic in the solution
305 before and after loading using HPLC. The loading capacity of
306 PAA@rGO for ampicillin and cefepime is comparable as seen
307 in Figure 4A. Using the solution concentration of $400 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$

Figure 4. (A) Loading capacity of PAA@rGO nanofiber mats (4 mg) with ampicillin and cefepime upon incubation for 24 h into PBS (0.1 M, pH 7.4) under shaking at different drug concentration. (B) Passive release from antibiotic-loaded cross-linked PAA@rGO nanofibers when immersed in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4) for 24 h at 37°C .

308 of the antibiotics resulted in the loading of about $85 \pm 3 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (21%) and $89 \pm 3 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (22%) for ampicillin and
309 cefepime, respectively. Ampicillin has a $\text{pK}_a \approx 4$ –5 (COOH)
310 and $\text{pK}_a \approx 9$ (NH_2), making it zwitterionic at physiological
311 pH. A fair amount of the amine groups are positively ionized at
312 physiological pH and this promotes their interaction with the
313 PAA@rGO nanofiber mats ($\text{pK}_a \approx 4.5$).⁵² The quaternary
314 ammonium group of the *N*-methylpyrrolidine groups in
315 cefepime (Figure 1) makes the drug positively charged and
316 results in interaction with the ionized carboxylic acid groups in
317 PAA and negatively charged rGO that has a ζ -potential of -50
318 ± 2 mV. Due to their hydrophilic nature, it can be expected
319 that the nanofibers swell in aqueous media and thus load the
320 antibiotics efficiently. Remarkably, the passive release from the
321 antibiotic-loaded PAA@rGO nanofibers, PAA@rGO-AMP,
322 and PAA@rGO-CFP, was found to be negligible. For the first
323 5 h, the amount of antibiotic release was below the detection
324 limit of the HPLC analysis. After 24 h incubation in PBS (0.1
325 M, pH 7.4) about $0.8 \pm 0.1\%$ was released via passive diffusion
326 (Figure 4B).

328 Encouraged by the excellent stability of the PAA@rGO to
329 retain the loaded antibiotics, we explored the photothermal
330 properties of the PAA@rGO nanofiber mat to trigger the drug
331 release. Figure 5A shows the release profiles for ampicillin as

Figure 5. Photothermal-triggered antibiotics' release for PAA@rGO nanofibers: (A) release profile of ampicillin from a PAA@rGO nanofiber loaded with ampicillin ($25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) when activated at 980 nm at 2 and 1 W cm^{-2} and (B) release profile of cefepime from PAA@rGO nanofibers loaded with cefepime ($25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) when activated at 980 nm at 2 and 1 W cm^{-2} .

well as cefepime upon irradiating PAA@rGO nanofibers
332 loaded with ampicillin ($25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and cefepime ($25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) with NIR light. Using a laser power density of 2 W cm^{-2}
333 results in an almost complete release of ampicillin ($24 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$; $\approx 96\%$ of loaded ampicillin) with a release rate of $3.2 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$,
334 which can be lowered to $0.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$ using
335 a phototrigger of 1 W cm^{-2} . The released ampicillin
336 concentrations are much larger than the minimum inhibitory
337 concentration (MIC) value of ampicillin for Gram-negative
338 *Escherichia coli* K12 (Table 1). Ampicillin shows no activity for
339 *S. aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*.
340
341
342

Table 1. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations of Ampicillin and Cefepime for Different Bacteria Strains

antibiotic	MIC ₅₀ /μg mL ⁻¹ (<i>E. coli</i> K12)	MIC ₅₀ /μg mL ⁻¹ (<i>S. aureus</i> ATCC 25923)	MIC ₅₀ /μg mL ⁻¹ (<i>S. epidermidis</i>)
ampicillin	4.9 ± 0.5		
cefepime	1.1 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 0.8	10 ± 1.8

In the case of cefepime-loaded nanofiber mats, photothermal
343 activation of the fibers with 2 W cm^{-2} leads to a complete
344 release of the entrapped antibiotic ($25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) in a time
345 frame of ≈ 25 min, with a release rate of $1.36 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$
346 for cefepime for the first 10 min. The release rate can be tuned
347 upon using a lower power density: using a laser power of 1 W cm^{-2} ,
348 the release rate of cefepime decreased to $0.91 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}$
349 min⁻¹. Importantly, no burst release was observed in any of
350 these examples and the maximal amount released is about
351 twice of the MIC value for cefepime for *S. epidermidis* and
352 several times larger than the MIC value for cefepime for *E. coli*
353 K12 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 (Table 1).
354

Antibacterial Activity under Passive and Active Release. Although ampicillin has sufficient stability in the
355 dry state, it is only short lived in a solution. Following
356 photothermal-triggered ampicillin release, their antibiotic
357 efficiency was assessed by determining the titer of viable
358 bacteria able to grow. Figure 6A shows the change in OD₆₀₀
359 values upon *E. coli* K12 incubation with different ampicillin-
360 released samples at 1 W cm^{-2} . In agreement with the
361 photothermal release profile (Figure 5A), about $2.5 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$
362 of ampicillin are released in the first minute, below the MIC₅₀
363 value, whereas $6.8 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ampicillin (above the MIC₅₀ value)
364 was released after 3 min, resulting in the inhibition of *E. coli*
365 growth. This underlines the fact that the photothermal-
366 triggered ampicillin release does not effect the biological
367 activity of the antibiotic.
368
369

Figure 6. (A) Planktonic growth of *E. coli* K12 (1×10^8 cfu mL⁻¹) (A) without ampicillin (black, $t = 0$) and when treated with ampicillin released photothermally from PAA@rGO nanofiber mats at different time intervals corresponding to Figure 5A. (B) Planktonic growth of *S. epidermidis* without cefepime (black, $t = 0$) and when treated with cefepime released photothermally from PAA@rGO nanofiber mats at different time intervals corresponding to Figure 5B. (C) SEM images of *S. epidermidis* treated with cefepime photothermally released from PAA@rGO nanofiber mats for 5 and 30 min.

Whereas ampicillin is only effective toward Gram-negative *E. coli* K12, cefepime is active for Gram-negative and Gram-positive strains, with a higher MIC for *S. epidermidis* strain. *S. epidermidis* are known to be one of the most frequently encountered skin-colonizing bacteria and the predominant causes of nosocomial and community-associated skin infections. As expected, cefepime doses proved to be active against *S. epidermidis*. Cefepime released from cefepime-loaded nanofibers shows a release-dependent killing effect on *S. epidermidis* in accordance with the release profile determined in Figure 5B. Figure 6C shows the SEM images of *S. epidermidis* treated with photothermally released cefepime. Whereas the amount of cefepime is below the MIC value after 5 min and does not effect in any way the *S. epidermidis* growth, the pathogen is completely destroyed above the MIC value (corresponding to times >10 min). This indicates that the developed approach can be easily adapted for any desired antibiotic to eliminate a particular bacterial strain.

In addition to sustained antibiotic release, an important feature of any drug-release system is its reusability. Reloading of PAA@rGO with ampicillin or cefepime, as well as photothermally induced release could be attained with the similar efficiency over several cycles (Figure 7). Apart from just the possibility of reuse, the multiple loadings and release of antibiotics also demonstrate that the extent of upload and release is highly reproducible for these nanofibrous mats.

Infectious Wound-Healing Studies Using rGO Nanofibers. There are different experimental models of skin infections that are caused by *S. aureus*.^{53,54} In our research, the bacteria were directly applied on the previously damaged skin. The tape-stripping approach, one of the most common models for the generation of superficial skin infection in mice,⁵⁵ was used here, as it allows to establish superficial infections in the epidermis, as well as the upper layer of the dermis. We monitored the skin infection progress in three animal groups: those treated with skin application of cefepime-

Figure 7. (A) Loading of ampicillin ($85 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and the amount of photothermally released ampicillin after 10 min laser irradiation (1 W cm^{-1}). (B) Loading of cefepime ($25 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and release of cefepime when activated at 980 nm at 2 W cm^{-2} .

loaded either PAA (PAA-CFP) or PAA@rGO (PAA@rGO-CFP) nanofiber mats and untreated mice (controls). The treatment in all groups started 24 h following the infection induction. Figure 8 displays images of the noninfected- and infected-wound groups untreated and treated with antibiotic-loaded nanofiber mats 48 h after infection initiation. The dressing (white arrows) was applied tightly to the wound area. The untreated areas and those treated with cefepime-loaded PAA nanofiber mats (PAA-CFP) and light activated for 10 min to release the antibiotics revealed inflammation and areas with abundant edema, erythema, and bacterial infiltration/pus. Blood vascularization and bacterial infiltration are in addition detected in the untreated groups and cefepime-loaded PAA fiber mats without embedded rGO (Figure 8B). This is in contrast to cefepime-loaded PAA@rGO mats, wherein the photothermal treatment prevented the bacteria from spreading (Figure 8A). Infected layers after 10 min illumination with a LED array through the antibiotic-loaded PAA@rGO nanofiber mats (PAA@rGO-CFP) show signs of resolution of inflammation (Figure 8A) and reduced blood vascularization and bacterial infiltration (Figure 8B). No damage to skin was

Figure 8. (A) Photographs of wound scars 24 h after treatment (980 nm , 1 W cm^{-2}) for 10 min with cefepime-loaded nanofibers mats (PAA-CFP; PAA@rGO-CFP). Negative control—intact skin; positive control—infected untreated skin. Insert at top left demonstrates the setup for animal treatment. (B) Photographs of intact mouse skin and three representative skin samples after 48 h of infection under different treatment conditions.

Figure 9. Histological examination of skin wound healing 48 h after the infection. Sections of skin tissues with Gram staining. Intact mouse skin; untreated skin (after infection); and photothermally treated infected skin part of the wound (PAA-CFP and PAA@rGO-CFP).

observed with LED illumination of the PAA@rGO nanofiber patch during the in vivo experiments, as the skin surface temperature was kept maximal at $52\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. This is opposed to skin heating up to $67\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ when tissue damage could be observed in meat samples (Figure S4).

Histological section of a representative skin specimen (harvested at day 2 postinfection) after Gram staining (Figure 9) showed that *S. aureus* was superficially localized in the epidermis and penetrated the dermis layers of untreated and PAA-CFP skin samples. In addition, the leukocytic infiltration was abundant in the deep layer of hypodermis, indicating abundant bacterial presence. However, the infected wound irradiated through the antibiotic-loaded PAA@rGO patch for 10 min indicated active wound healing without marks of inflammation and bacterial foci. Epidermis revealed signs of active regeneration. Thus, it could be concluded that the topical application of PAA@rGO-CFP mats for photothermal therapy exhibited the best wound-healing capability among those tested.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, a novel nanofiber-based platform for “on-demand” release of antibiotics is fabricated using rGO-containing polymeric nanofibers. The rGO-embedded hydrophilic nanofibers obtained using solution electrospinning are loaded with two different antibiotics, namely, ampicillin and cefepime. Although negligible release of antibiotic is observed under physiological conditions, exposure to NIR irradiation leads to release amounts sufficient to kill Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria alike. A clear correlation between the NIR-triggered antibiotic release and bactericidal activity is

observed. It can be anticipated that the facile fabrication and modular nature of the construct reported here provides a platform that can be adapted for on-demand delivery of various drugs for combating different diseases. Although only two specific antibiotics were chosen in this study, the platform is amenable to loading of other antibiotics, either solo or in combination to combat a plethora of bacterial infections.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsami.8b14784.

SEM images of fabricated PAA nanofiber mats at different scales, HPLC plot, and calibration curves of antibiotic release studies, FTIR and XPS of PAA@rGO and images of skin samples treated with NIR irradiation (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: amitav.sanyal@boun.edu.tr. Tel: +902123597613 (A.S.).

*E-mail: sabine.szunerits@univ-lille.fr. Tel: +33 (0)3 62 53 17 25 (S.S.).

ORCID

Rana Sanyal: 0000-0003-4803-5811

Julie Bouckaert: 0000-0001-8112-1442

Rostyslav Bilyy: 0000-0002-2344-1349

Rabah Boukherroub: 0000-0002-9795-9888

484 Amitav Sanyal: 0000-0001-5122-8329

485 Sabine Szunerits: 0000-0002-1567-4943

486 Author Contributions

487 The manuscript was written through contributions of all the
488 authors.

489 Funding

490 This project has received funding from the European Union's
491 Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE)
492 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions under grant agreement no.
493 690836.

494 Notes

495 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

496 ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

497 The Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS),
498 the University Lille 1, the Hauts-de-France region, the CPER
499 "Photonics for Society", the Agence Nationale de la Recherche
500 (ANR), and the EU union through FLAG-ERA JTC 2015-
501 Graphivity are acknowledged for financial support.

502 ■ REFERENCES

- 503 (1) GuhaSarkar, S.; Bannerjee, R. Intravesical drug delivery:
504 Challenges, current status, opportunities and novel strategies. *J.*
505 *Controlled Release* **2010**, *148*, 147–159.
- 506 (2) Liu, D.; Yang, F.; Xiong, F.; Gu, N. The Smart Drug Delivery
507 System and Its Clinical Potential. *Theranostics* **2016**, *6*, 1306–1323.
- 508 (3) Tiwari, G.; Tiwari, R.; Sriwastawa, B.; Bhati, L.; Pandey, S.;
509 Pandey, P.; Bannerjee, S. K. Drug Delivery Systems: An Updated
510 Review. *Int. J. Pharm. Invest.* **2012**, *2*, 2–11.
- 511 (4) Szunerits, S.; Teodorescu, F.; Boukherroub, R. Electrochemically
512 Triggered Release of Drugs. *Eur. Polym. J.* **2016**, *83*, 467–477.
- 513 (5) Ganta, S.; Devalapally, H.; Shahiwal, A.; Mansoor, A. A Review
514 of Stimuli-Responsive Nanocarriers for Drug and Gene Delivery. *J.*
515 *Controlled Release* **2008**, *126*, 187–204.
- 516 (6) Kamaly, N.; Yameen, B.; Wu, J.; Farokhzad, O. C. Degradable
517 Controlled-Release Polymers and Polymeric Nanoparticles: Mecha-
518 nisms of Controlling Drug Release. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 2602–
519 2663.
- 520 (7) Karimi, M.; Zangabad, P. S.; Ghasemi, A.; Amiri, M.; Bahrami,
521 M.; Malekzad, H.; Asl, H. G.; Zahra Mahdih, Z.; Mahnaz
522 Bozorgomid, M.; Amir Ghasemi, A.; Rahmani Taji Boyuk, M. R.;
523 Hamblin, M. R. Temperature-Responsive Smart Nanocarriers for
524 Delivery Of Therapeutic Agents: Applications and Recent Advances.
525 *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 21107–21133.
- 526 (8) Li, Y.; Liu, G.; Waqng, X.; Hu, J.; Liu, S. Enzyme-Responsive
527 Polymeric Vesicles for Bacterial-Strain-Selective Delivery of Anti-
528 microbial Agents. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 1760–1764.
- 529 (9) Weng, L.; Xie, J. Smart Electrospun Nanofibers for Controlled
530 Drug Release: Recent Advances and New Perspectives. *Curr. Pharm.*
531 *Des.* **2015**, *21*, 1944–1959.
- 532 (10) Muñoz-Bonilla, A.; Fernández-García, M. Polymeric Materials
533 with Antimicrobial Activity. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **2012**, *37*, 281–339.
- 534 (11) Xiong, M.-H.; Bao, Y.; Yang, X.-Z.; Zhu, Y.-H.; Wang, J.
535 Delivery of Antibiotics with Polymeric Particles. *Adv. Drug Delivery*
536 *Rev.* **2014**, *78*, 63–76.
- 537 (12) Chiang, W.-L.; Lin, T.-T.; Sureshbabu, R.; Chia, W.-T.; Hsiao,
538 H.-C.; Liu, H.-Y.; Yang, C.-M.; Sung, H.-W. A Rapid Drug Release
539 System with a NIR Light-Activated Molecular Switch for Dual-
540 Modality Photothermal/Antibiotic Treatments of Subcutaneous
541 Abscesses. *J. Controlled Release* **2015**, *199*, 53–62.
- 542 (13) Stebbins, N. D.; Ouimet, M. A.; Urich, K. E. Antibiotic-
543 containing Polymers for Localized, Sustained Drug Delivery. *Adv.*
544 *Drug Delivery Rev.* **2014**, *78*, 77–87.
- 545 (14) Ng, V. W.; Chan, J. M.; Sardon, H.; Ono, R. J.; García, J. M.;
546 Yang, Y. Y.; Hedrick, J. L. Antimicrobial Hydrogels: A New Weapon

- in the Arsenal Against Multidrug-Resistant Infections. *Adv. Drug*
Delivery Rev. **2014**, *78*, 46–62.
- (15) Gustafson, C. T.; Boakye-Agyeman, F.; Brinkman, C. L.; Reid,
J. M.; Patel, R.; Bajzer, Z.; Dadsetan, M.; Yaszemski, M. J. Controlled
Delivery of Vancomycin via Charged Hydrogels. *PLoS One* **2016**, *11*,
No. e0146401.
- (16) Prichard, E. M.; Valentin, T.; Panilaitis, B.; Omenetto, F.;
Kaplan, D. L. Antibiotic-Releasing Silk Biomaterials for Infection
Prevention and Treatment. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2013**, *23*, 854–861.
- (17) Wang, C.; Zhang, G.; Liu, G.; Hu, J.; Liu, S. Photo- and
Thermo-Responsive Multicompartment Hydrogels for Synergistic
Delivery of Gemcitabine and Doxorubicin. *J. Controlled Release* **2017**,
259, 149–159.
- (18) Khanal, A.; Nakashima, K. Incorporation and Release of
Cloxacillin Sodium in Micelles of Poly(styrene-*b*-2-vinyl pyridine-*b*-
ethylene oxide). *J. Controlled Release* **2005**, *108*, 150–160.
- (19) Coll Ferrer, M. C.; Dastgheyb, S. S.; Hickok, N. J.; Eckmann,
D. M.; Composto, R. J. Designing Nanogel Carriers for Antibacterial
Applications. *Acta Biomater.* **2014**, *10*, 2105–2111.
- (20) Forier, K.; Raemdonck, K.; De Smedt, S. C.; Demeester, J.;
Coenye, T.; Braeckmans, K. Lipid and Polymer Nanoparticles for
Drug Delivery to Bacterial Biofilms. *J. Controlled Release* **2014**, *190*,
607–623.
- (21) Calamak, S.; Shahbazi, R.; Eroglu, I.; Gultekinoglu, M.;
Ulubayram, K. An Overview of Nanofiber-based Antibacterial Drug
Design. *Expert Opin. Drug Discovery* **2017**, *12*, 391–406.
- (22) Frykberg, R. G.; Banks, J. Challenges in the Treatment of
Chronic Wounds. *Adv. Wound Care* **2015**, *4*, 560–582.
- (23) Han, G.; Ceilley, R. Chronic Wound Healing: A Review of
Current Management and Treatments. *Adv. Ther.* **2017**, *34*, 599–610.
- (24) Warriner, R.; Burrell, R. Infection and the Chronic Wound: A
Focus on Silver. *Adv. Skin Wound Care* **2005**, *18*, 2–12.
- (25) Draelos, Z. D.; Rizer, R. L.; Trookman, N. S. A Comparison of
Postprocedural Wound Care Treatments: Do Antibiotic-based
Ointments Improve Outcomes? *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2011**, *64*,
S23–S29.
- (26) Kalaoglu-Altan, O. I.; Sanyal, R.; Sanyal, A. Reactive and
'Clickable' Electrospun Polymeric Nanofibers. *Polym. Chem.* **2015**, *6*,
3372–3381.
- (27) Teo, W. E.; Ramakrishna, S. A Review on Electrospinning
Design and Nanofibre Assemblies. *Nanotechnology* **2006**, *17*, R89–
R106.
- (28) Agarwal, S.; Wendorff, J. H.; Greiner, A. Use of Electrospinning
Technique for Biomedical Applications. *Polymer* **2008**, *49*, 5603–
5621.
- (29) Qi, R.; Guo, R.; Zheng, F.; Liu, H.; Yu, J.; Shi, X. Controlled
Release and Antibacterial Activity of Antibiotic-loaded Electrospun
Hyalosite/Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) Composite Nanofibers. *Col-
loids Surf., B* **2013**, *110*, 148–155.
- (30) Kim, K.; Luu, Y. K.; Chang, C.; Fang, D.; Hsiao, B. S.; Chu, B.;
Hadjigryou, M. Incorporation and Controlled Release of a
Hydrophilic Antibiotic using Poly(lactide-co-glycolide)-based Electro-
spun Nanofibrous Scaffolds. *J. Controlled Release* **2004**, *98*, 47–56.
- (31) Son, Y. J.; Kim, Y.; Kim, W. J.; Jeong, S. Y.; Yoo, H. S.
Antibacterial Nanofibrous Mats Composed of Eudragit for pH-
Dependent Dissolution. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **2015**, *104*, 2611–2618.
- (32) Demirci, S.; Celebioglu, A.; Aytac, Z.; Uyar, T. pH-Responsive
Nanofibers with Controlled Drug Release Properties. *Polym. Chem.*
2014, *5*, 2050–2056.
- (33) Cheng, M.; Wang, H.; Zhang, Z.; Li, N.; Fang, X.; Xu, S. Gold
Nanorod-Embedded Electrospun Fibrous Membrane as a Photo-
thermal Therapy Platform. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 1569–
1575.
- (34) Maity, S.; Wu, W.-C.; Xu, C.; Tracy, J. B.; Gundogdu, K.;
Bochinski, J. R.; Clarke, L. I. Spatial Temperature Mapping Within
Polymer Nanocomposites Undergoing Ultrafast Photothermal Heat-
ing via Gold Nanorods. *Nanoscale* **2014**, *6*, 15236–15247.

- (35) Chen, L.; Si, L.; Wu, F.; Chan, S. Y.; Yu, P.; Fei, B. Electrical and Mechanical Self-Healing Membrane using Gold Nanoparticles as Localized "Nano-Heaters". *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2016**, *4*, 10018–10025.
- (36) Zhang, Z.; Liu, S.; Xiong, H.; Jing, X.; Xie, Z.; Chen, X.; Huang, Y. Electrospun PLA/MWCNTs Composite Nanofibers for Combined Chemo- and Photothermal Therapy. *Acta Biomater.* **2015**, *26*, 115–123.
- (37) Zhang, J.; Zheng, T.; Alarçin, E.; Byambaa, B.; Guan, X.; Ding, J.; Zhang, Y. S.; Li, Z. Porous Electrospun Fibers with Self-Sealing Functionality: An Enabling Strategy for Trapping Biomacromolecules. *Small* **2017**, *13*, No. 1701949.
- (38) Li, Y.; Fu, Y.; Ren, Z.; Li, X.; Mao, C.; Hana, G. Enhanced Cell Uptake of Fluorescent Drug-loaded Nanoparticles via an Implantable Photothermal Fibrous Patch for More Effective Cancer Cell Killing. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2017**, *5*, 7504–7511.
- (39) Wang, X.; Lv, F.; Li, T.; Han, Y.; Yi, Z.; Liu, M.; Chang, J.; Wu, C. Electrospun Micropatterned Nanocomposites Incorporated with Cu₂S Nanoflowers for Skin Tumor Therapy and Wound Healing. *ACS Nano* **2017**, *11*, 11337–11349.
- (40) Teodorescu, F.; Oz, Y.; Quéniat, G.; Abderrahmani, A.; Foulon, C.; Lecoœur, M.; Sanyal, R.; Sanyal, A.; Boukherroub, R.; Szunerits, S. Photothermally Triggered On-Demand Insulin Release from Reduced Graphene Oxide Modified Hydrogels. *J. Controlled Release* **2017**, *246*, 164–173.
- (41) Qi, K.; He, J.; Wang, H.; Zhou, Y.; You, X.; Nan, N.; Shao, W.; Wang, L.; Ding, B.; Cui, S. A Highly Stretchable Nanofiber-Based Electronic Skin with Pressure-, Strain-, and Flexion-Sensitive Properties for Health and Motion Monitoring. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 42951–42960.
- (42) Luo, Y.; Shen, H.; Fang, Y.; Cao, Y.; Huang, J.; Zhang, M.; Dai, J.; Shi, X.; Zhang, Z. Enhanced Proliferation and Osteogenic Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells on Graphene Oxide-Incorporated Electrospun Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) Nanofibrous Mats. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 6331–6339.
- (43) Wang, S.-D.; Ma, Q.; Wang, K.; Chen, H.-W. Improving Antibacterial Activity and Biocompatibility of Bioinspired Electrospinning Silk Fibroin Nanofibers Modified by Graphene Oxide. *ACS Omega* **2018**, *3*, 406–413.
- (44) Shao, W.; He, J.; Wang, Q.; Cui, S.; Ding, A. Biomimetic Poly(L-lactic-co-glycolic acid)/Graphene Oxide/Tussah Silk Fibroin Nanofiber Scaffolds with Multiple Orthogonal Layers Enhance Osteoblastic Differentiation of Mesenchymal Stem Cells. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* **2017**, *3*, 1370–1380.
- (45) Mauro, N.; Scialabba, C.; Pitarresi, G.; Giammon, G. Enhanced Adhesion and In Situ Photothermal Ablation of Cancer Cells in Surface-Functionalized Electrospun Microfiber Scaffold with Graphene Oxide. *Int. J. Pharma* **2017**, *526*, 167–177.
- (46) Chapman, T. M.; Perry, C. M. Cefepime: A Review of its Use in the Management of Hospitalized Patients with Pneumonia. *Am. J. Respir. Med.* **2003**, *2*, 75–107.
- (47) Gentry, L. O.; Rodriguez-Gomez, G. Randomized Comparison of Cefepime and Ceftazidime for Treatment of Skin, Surgical Wound, and Complicated Urinary Tract Infections in Hospitalized Subjects. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1991**, *35*, 2371–2374.
- (48) Boulahneche, S.; Jijie, R.; Barras, A.; Chekin, F.; Singh, S. K.; Bouckaert, J.; Medjram, M. S.; Kurungot, S.; Boukherroub, R.; Szunerits, S. On Demand Electrochemical Release of Drugs From Porous Reduced Graphene Oxide Modified Flexible Electrodes. *J. Mater. Chem. B* **2017**, *5*, 6557–6565.
- (49) Li, C.; Ye, R.; Bouckaert, J.; Zurutuza, A.; Drider, D.; Dumych, T.; Paryzhak, S.; Vovk, V.; Bilyy, R. O.; Melinte, S.; Li, M.; Boukherroub, R.; Szunerits, S. Flexible Nanohole Patches for Antibiotic-Free Treatments of Skin Infections. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, 36665–36674.
- (50) Koenig, J. L.; Angood, A. C. Raman Spectra of Poly(ethylene glycols) in Solution. *J. Polym. Sci.* **1970**, *8*, 1787–1796.
- (51) Li, L.; Hsieh, Y.-L. Ultra-fine Polyelectrolyte Fibers From Electrospinning of Poly(acrylic acid). *Polymer* **2005**, *46*, 5133–5139.
- (52) Wiśniewska, M.; Urban, T.; Grządka, E.; Zarko, V. I.; Gunko, V. M. Comparison of Adsorption Affinity of Polyacrylic Acid for Surfaces of Mixed Silica–Alumina. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* **2014**, *292*, 699–705.
- (53) Mölne, L.; Tarkowski, A. An Experimental Model of Cutaneous Infection Induced by Superantigen-Producing *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **2000**, *114*, 1120–1125.
- (54) Dai, T.; Tegos, G. P.; Zhiyentayev, T.; Mylonakis, E.; Hamblin, M. R. Photodynamic Therapy for Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Infection in a Mouse Skin Abrasion Model. *Lasers Surg. Med.* **2010**, *42*, 38.
- (55) Kugelberg, E.; Norström, T.; Petersen, T. K.; Duvold, T.; Andersson, D. I.; Hughes, D. Establishment of a Superficial Skin Infection Model in Mice by Using *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2005**, *49*, 3435–3441.