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ABSTRACT. Micelles composed of block copolymers of poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(N-2-benzoyloxypropyl methacrylamide) (mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz)) have shown great promise as drug delivery carriers due to their excellent stability and high loading capacity. In the present study, parameters influencing micelle size were investigated to tailor sizes in the range of 25 to 100 nm. Micelles were prepared by a nanoprecipitation method and their size was modulated by the block copolymer properties such as molecular weight, their hydrophilic to hydrophobic ratio, homopolymer content, as well as formulation and processing parameters. It was shown that the micelles have a core-shell structure using a combination of dynamic light scattering and transmission electron microscopy analysis. By varying the degree of polymerization of the hydrophobic block \((N_B)\) between 68 and 10, at a fixed hydrophilic block mPEG5K \((N_A=114)\), it was shown that the hydrophobic core of the micelle was collapsed following the power law of \((N_B\times N_{agg})^{1/3}\). Further, the calculated brush height was similar for all the micelles examined (10 nm), indicating that crew-cut micelles were made. Both addition of homopolymer and preparation of micelles at lower concentrations or lower rates of addition of the organic solvent to the aqueous phase increased the size of micelles due to partitioning of the hydrophobic homopolymer chains to the core of the micelles and lower nucleation rates, respectively. Furthermore, it was shown that by using different solvents, the size of the micelles substantially changed. The use of acetone, acetonitrile, ethanol, THF and dioxane, resulted in micelles in the size range from 45 to 60 nm after removal of the organic solvents. The use of DMF and DMSO led to markedly larger sizes of 75 and 180 nm respectively. In conclusion, the results show that by modulating polymer properties and processing conditions, micelles with tailorable sizes can be obtained.

INTRODUCTION

Over the last decades, a large variety of nanomedicines has been developed to improve drug disposition at the target site.1-5 Particularly polymeric micelles, core-shell structures composed of amphiphilic polymers, with a diameter in the size range of 10-100 nm, have attracted much attention. The shell mainly consists of a hydrophilic block, usually poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), which offers good colloidal stability as well as stealth properties by protecting the micelles from serum/protein interactions and fast uptake by the reticuloendothelial system after injection. The
hydrophobic core can accommodate poorly water-soluble drugs like chemotherapeutics for cancer treatment. 6–12

In order to have a clinically interesting tumor targeted nanomedicine, the formulation should provide sufficient stability and drug retention in the blood circulation. This stability can either be provided by physical interactions13–15, or through chemical crosslinking.16 Once circulating in the bloodstream, nanomedicines can penetrate the fenestrated blood capillaries of tumors. Due to the lack of lymphatic drainage, the nanoparticles tend to retain in the tumor region. This phenomenon is the so-called enhanced permeation and retention effect (EPR).17–19 Nanomedicines that exploit the EPR effect have shown to both significantly improve therapeutic effects and reduce systemic side effects.20–21

It has been shown that the size of nanomedicines, like drug-loaded polymeric micelles, is an important factor for an improved therapeutic efficacy.22–24 Therefore, in recent years, many studies have been devoted to understanding the effect of size of nanomedicines on their efficacy of cancer treatment. To highlight some of them, Huang et al. demonstrated that tiopronin coated gold nanoparticles of 2 and 6 nm have longer blood circulation times and better tumor penetration than 15 nm nanoparticles.25 Kataoka et al. prepared micelles of different sizes by adding poly(glutamic acid) (p(Glu)) homopolymer to PEG–b-p(Glu) copolymer achieving micelle sizes ranging from 30 nm without homopolymer to around 100 nm at a 0.3 homopolymer/copolymer molar ratio. They reported that polymeric micelles in the range of 30 to 100 nm could penetrate highly permeable tumors while only the micelle formulations that were smaller than 50 nm penetrated into poorly permeable tumors and showed antitumor effect.23 Chilkoti et al. showed that dextrans with a molecular weight of 40 to 70 kDa did accumulate in tumors after intravenous administration, whereas dextrans of 3.3 and 10 kDa provided deeper and more homogeneous tumor penetration.26 Shen et al. prepared micelles, based on PEG and a 10-OH methacrylate ester of 7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (PEG-p(HEMASN38)), of 20 to 300 nm by varying the process parameters. Although the 100 nm micelles reached a higher concentration at the peripheral side of the tumor compared to the 30 nm size micelles, due to higher liver accumulation of the 30 nm size micelles, this did not translate in an improved therapeutic effect since the latter micelles had better tumor penetration.22 Smaller sized nanoparticles also showed better penetration in tumor stroma-containing 3D spheroids which are
a suitable model to study penetration of nanoparticles. The results indicated deeper penetration of 30 nm silica nanoparticles compared to particles of 100 nm. In conclusion, various studies have convincingly demonstrated that smaller drug-loaded particles resulted in better tumor penetration and thus better efficacy of the treatment.

Recently we reported on a polymeric micelle formulation based on poly(ethylene glycol)-block-poly(N-2-benzoyloxypropyl methacrylamide) (mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz)). Micelles based on this polymer combine excellent particle stability, also in circulation, with improved drug retention as a result of $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions in the core of the micelles. When loaded with paclitaxel, these micelles have shown very promising results regarding pharmaceutical formulation characteristics (loading and stability) and therapeutic efficacy in animal studies demonstrating complete tumor regression. In the present study, a systematic evaluation was made to understand which parameters affect the size and stability of micelles prepared from mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymers. The goal was to find a robust method to obtain micelles with tailorable sizes in the range of 25 to 100 nm. This was achieved by synthesizing block copolymers with a hydrophilic 5 kDa poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether mPEG block, but also some studies were done with a 2 kDa mPEG block copolymer, and a varying molecular weight of poly(N-2-benzoyloxypropyl methacrylamide) (p(HPMA-Bz)). Furthermore, the effect of formulation variables among which the homopolymer p(HPMA-Bz) content, polymer concentration, type of solvent and the effect processing variables, particularly the addition rate of the solution of the block copolymer to the aqueous phase, on the size of polymeric micelles were investigated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP), p-toluenesulfonic acid, 4,4-azobis(4-cyanopentanoic acid) (ABCPA), DL-1-amino-2-propanol, methacryloyl chloride, benzoyl chloride, poly(ethylene glycol) methyl ether (mPEG) 2 kDa, N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), trichloroacetyl isocyanate (TAIC), bovine serum albumin and pyrene were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany) and used without further purification. mPEG 5 kDa was obtained from Polysciences (Warrington, USA) and dried in a vacuum oven overnight at 70 °C. Easivial PEG
standards for GPC analysis were obtained from Agilent (Santa Clara, USA). All solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received.

**Optimized macro-initiator (MI) synthesis**

mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG macro-initiators were synthesized through an esterification of mPEG (molecular weight 2.0 or 5.0 kDa) and ABCPA, using DCC as a coupling reagent and 4-(dimethylamino) pyridinium 4-toluenesulfonate (DPTS; which was made by separately dissolving DMAP and p-toluenesulfonic acid in THF and mixing the two solutions using a 1:1 molar equivalence) as a catalyst (Scheme 1). One equivalent ABCPA, 2 equivalents mPEG and 0.3 equivalents of DPTS (or 0.280 g ABCPA, 10 g mPEG, 0.094 g DPTS, respectively) were dissolved in 50 mL dry DCM and put on ice. Next, 3 equivalents of DCC (0.619 g DCC) were dissolved in 50 mL DCM and dropwise added to the mPEG solution under nitrogen atmosphere. After addition of DCC, the ice bath was removed allowing the reaction mixture to reach room temperature. After 16 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered to remove the precipitated 1,3-dicyclohexyl urea and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The product was dissolved in water, stirred for 2 h and dialyzed against water for 72 h at 4°C. The sample was freeze-dried to obtain a fluffy white product.

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG macro-initiator](image)

The product was analyzed by GPC using a PSS PFG analytical linear S column and PEGs of narrow molecular weights as calibration standards as described previously. Samples were prepared by dissolving approximately 5 mg of the MI in 1 mL DMF containing 10 mM LiCl. Samples of 20 µL were injected, the eluent was DMF containing 10 mM LiCl, the elution rate was 0.7 mL/min, the temperature was 40°C and detection was done using a refractive index detector.
The product was further analyzed by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy (20 mg product was dissolved in 700 µL CDCl$_3$). To determine the unreacted mPEG-OH content, TAIC was added to the sample and analyzed again after 20 minutes using $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy. After reaction with TAIC, the signal of the methylene group neighboring the terminal hydroxyl group shifts from 4.2 to 4.4 ppm and the amount of unreacted mPEG-OH can subsequently be determined based on the peak areas.$^{31-32}$

*Dynamic light scattering (DLS)*

The size of the formed micelles was determined by DLS using a Malvern Zetasizer nano series ZS90 with a measurement angle of 90° and a temperature of 25 °C. Unless stated differently, the concentration of the micellar dispersions was 20 mg/mL.

*Gas chromatography headspace analysis (GC-headspace)*

GC-headspace was conducted to determine the residual solvent contents in the different micellar dispersions using a Shimadzu GC-2010 equipped with a Flame Ionization Detector and Shimadzu HS-20 headspace auto-sampler. A 30 m x 0.32 mm capillary column with a film thickness of 0.25 µm was used. An internal standard stock solution was prepared by dissolving 150 µL 2-propanol (analytical standard) in water in a volumetric 100 mL flask. 1 mL of this solution was transferred into a 100 mL volumetric flask and diluted to the 100 mL volume with DMF. Samples were prepared by taking 50 µL of micellar dispersion and dissolving it in 1 mL DMF, and subsequently 4 mL internal standard stock solution was added. The flow rate of nitrogen was 1.8 mL/min. All measurements were done in triplicate.

*Cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) analysis*

Cryo-TEM measurements were performed on selected micelles. Samples were prepared on Quantifoil R 2/2 grids. In short, 3 µL micellar dispersion was pipetted onto the grid and blotted for 3 seconds using a fully automated vitrification robot (MARK III) at 100% relative humidity. The grid was subsequently plunged and frozen in liquid ethane. Micrographs were taken using a FEI Tecnai G2 Sphere (200 kV electron source) equipped with LaB6 filament utilizing a cryoholder or a FEI Titan (300 kV electron source) equipped with an autoloader station.
Analysis of the micelles by Asymmetric Flow Field-Flow Fractionation connected to Multi-Angle Light Scattering detector (AF4-MALS)

The radius of gyration ($R_g$) and weight-average molecular weight of some selected micelles ($M_w$) was determined using a Wyatt Dualtec AF4 instrument connected to a Shimadzu LC-2030 Prominence-I system with a Shimadzu LC-2030 auto-sampler. Fractionation was performed on an AF4 short channel with a 10 kDa membrane of regenerated cellulose and a spacer of 350 µm. The AF4 was connected to a light scattering detector (Wyatt DAWN HELEOS II) installed at 16 different angles ranging from 12.9 to 157.8° using a laser operating at 664.5 nm and a refractive index detector (Wyatt Optilab). Bovine serum albumin dissolved in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) pH 7.4 with a concentration of 5 mg/mL was used for calibration. The data were analyzed using ASTRA software. Also, to be able to calculate the $M_w$ of micelles using the Zimm plot method, the refractive index increment ($dn/dc$) of the polymers was measured in water by injection of 600 µL of precisely weighted samples in the range of 6 to 15 mg/ml and using a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min in an Optilab Rex detector (Wyatt technology).

Critical micelle concentration (CMC) determination

The CMC of the different block copolymers in water was determined using pyrene as a fluorescent probe. Samples were prepared by dissolving the polymers in THF at different concentrations of which 500 µL was added to 4.5 mL 120 mM ammonium acetate buffer pH 5.0. This was followed by solvent evaporation. The final polymer concentrations ranged from $1.9 \times 10^{-11}$ to 1.0 mg/mL. A 15 µL solution of pyrene in acetone (0.18 mM) was added to the polymer solution in buffer and the solvent was allowed to evaporate overnight. Fluorescence excitation spectra of pyrene between 300 and 360 nm were recorded with an emission wavelength at 390 nm at 37 °C using a UV spectrometer (Jasco FP-8300 Fluorescence Spectrometer). The excitation and emission band slits were 4 and 2 nm, respectively. The intensity ratio of $I_{338}/I_{333}$ was plotted against the polymer concentration to calculate the CMC.

Polymer synthesis

$m$PEG-$\text{block}$-poly$(N$-2-benzoyloxypropyl methacrylamide) ($m$PEG-$b$-p(HPMA-Bz)) block copolymers were synthesized via free radical polymerization as described earlier using $m$PEG-
ABCPA-mPEG as a macro-initiator and N-(2-benzoyloxypropyl methacrylamide) (HPMA-Bz) as the monomer.\textsuperscript{28, 34, 36} mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymers with different molecular weights of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic block were synthesized either by using mPEG\textsubscript{2K}-ABCPA-mPEG\textsubscript{2K} or mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-ABCPA-mPEG\textsubscript{5K} as a macro-initiator and by varying the molar feed ratios of macro-initiator:monomer (1:200, 1:100, 1:75, 1:50, 1:25, 1:12.5 mol/mol) (Scheme 2). In short, the selected macro-initiator and monomer amounts were dissolved at a total concentration of 0.3 g/mL in 20 mL of acetonitrile. The polymerization was conducted at 70°C in a nitrogen atmosphere for 24 h. The resulting polymers were precipitated in cold diethyl ether and collected after centrifugation. Homopolymers of p(HPMA-Bz) were synthesized and collected in the same way using ABCPA as initiator and HPMA-Bz as monomer. The feed ratios of initiator:monomer were 1:200 (mol/mol), 1:100 (mol/mol) and 1:50 (mol/mol) with a total concentration of 0.3 g/mL in 10 mL acetonitrile to obtain a total of 2 g homopolymer after precipitation in cold diethyl ether and centrifugation.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)

The synthesized polymers were analyzed by \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR. To this end, 20 mg of polymer was dissolved in 700 µL DMSO-\textsubscript{d6} and the obtained polymer solution was analyzed using a 400 MHz NMR with 5 mm PABBO BB probe from Bruker. GPC analysis was conducted to determine the number average molecular weight (\textit{M}_n), weight average molecular weight (\textit{M}_w) and polydispersity of the synthesized polymers using a PSS PFG analytical linear S column and PEGs of narrow molecular weights as calibration standards. Samples were prepared by dissolving approximately 5 mg of polymer in 1 mL DMF containing 10 mM LiCl. Samples of 20 µL were injected, the eluent was DMF containing 10 mM LiCl, the elution rate was 0.7 mL/min, the temperature was 40°C and detection was done using a refractive index detector.

\textit{Micelle preparation}
mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) micelles were prepared in triplo by a nanoprecipitation of the polymer dissolved in THF, using water as non-solvent. First, the polymers were dissolved in THF and the obtained solutions were pipetted into MilliQ at a 1:1 volume ratio while stirring. Subsequently, THF was evaporated overnight at room temperature, resulting in the formation of micelles. To investigate the effect of polymer concentration on micellar sizes, samples were prepared using 5, 10, 20, 30, 40 mg/mL of polymer solution. Additionally, an experiment was carried out where the volume ratio of solvent-to-water was decreased from 1:1 to 0.6:1 and 0.3:1. Addition of the polymer/solvent solution to water was performed rapidly using a pipette while stirring. The final polymer concentrations in water were 10, 20 and 30 mg/mL. Thus, in total nine different conditions were tested. In the remainder of the experiments the polymer concentration was fixed at 20 mg/mL, unless mentioned otherwise. Besides THF, the following solvents were also used: acetonitrile, acetone, 1,4-dioxane, dimethylformamide (DMF), dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and ethanol. For the less/non-volatile solvents (dioxane, DMSO, DMF and ethanol), the residual solvent was removed by dialysis using a Spectra/Por dialysis membrane with a molecular weight cut off of 6-8 kDa. Moreover, the aqueous phase was varied: water, 0.9% NaCl solution, PBS (containing 3.1 g Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 0.3 g NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 8.2 g NaCl in 1 L pH 7.4) and 120 mM ammonium acetate buffer pH 5.0 were used. The addition rates were varied by introducing the polymer solution in THF into the aqueous phase using a peristaltic pump (Pharmacia LKB pump P-1, made in Sweden) at 0.15 and 1.5 mL/min while stirring using a magnetic stirrer. Also, the effect of adding MilliQ to the polymer solution in THF in a 1:1 volume ratio was investigated.

The effect of the presence of p(HPMA-Bz) homopolymer and the presence of free mPEG on the size of polymeric micelles

Samples of 20 mg mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) and 0, 1, 2, 5 and 10 mg p(HPMA-Bz) were dissolved in 1 mL THF corresponding with weight fractions of 0, 5, 9, 20 and 33 w% of the homopolymer. Other samples of 20 mg mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) and 0, 1, 2, 5 and 10 mg mPEG$_{5K}$ were dissolved in 1 mL THF corresponding with weight fractions of 0, 5, 9, 20 and 33 w% of the mPEG$_{5K}$. Addition of the polymer solution to water was performed rapidly using a pipette while stirring. THF was evaporated overnight at room temperature, resulting in the formation of micelles.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG macro-initiator synthesis*

The macro-initiator (MI) used for polymerization, mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG, was previously synthesized by the reaction of 2 equivalents mPEG with 1 equivalent 4,4-azobis(4-cyanopentanoic acid) (ABCPA). According to this previous procedure, all the components except mPEG were dissolved simultaneously in a 1:1 mixture of DCM and dry DMF and put on ice. In this way, the COOH groups of ABCPA were first activated with DCC and subsequently mPEG was added. After addition of mPEG, the ice bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. This resulted in a yield of ~80% of macroinitiator after precipitation. This strategy however led to a large amount of ~40% byproduct with a molecular weight of 5 kDa according to GPC analysis (see SI). The shoulder peak in GPC can be due to the presence of either mPEG-ABCPA or unreacted mPEG, or a combination of both. It is known that a DCC-activated ester can undergo a rearrangement reaction to yield an N-acyl isourea product, which is not reactive with the primary hydroxyl group of mPEG. Therefore, there is a possibility that the ABCPA reacts with only one mPEG chain giving mPEG-ABCPA, with or without an acyl urea (see SI), as a byproduct. Consequently, unreacted mPEG-OH (free mPEG) will also be present in the reaction solution. TAIC is a reagent that is used for the quantitative determination of hydroxy end-groups of polymers using $^1$H-NMR. Therefore, this reagent was used to quantify the amount of free mPEG in the obtained product. Analysis showed the presence of ~30% unreacted mPEG, leaving the remaining 10% of the 5 kDa byproduct to be mPEG-ABCPA. Further purification steps such as dialysis could not separate the byproducts from mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG.

Upon the use of MI contaminated with mPEG-ABCPA for the polymerization of HMPA-Bz, both the p(HPMA-Bz) homopolymer and the aimed mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymer are formed (Figure 1). The presence of the p(HPMA-Bz) is unwanted because it will be solubilized in the core of the micelles, which in turn will result in an increase in micellar size. Therefore, the MI synthesis was optimized to obtain a high yield of mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG and to minimize the amounts of the mPEG-ABCPA/mPEG byproducts.
In the new procedure, all reagents, including mPEG but except DCC were dissolved in DCM. Subsequently DCC dissolved in DCM was added dropwise. This resulted in activation of the COOH groups in the presence of mPEG to allow reaction of its OH group with the active ester thereby reducing the possibility for the formation of the inactive N-acyl iso-urea product. Furthermore, contrary to the other procedure, no DMF was used and the reaction was therefore conducted in the less polar solvent DCM.

The new procedure resulted in the successful synthesis of two different mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG macro-initiators (mPEG_{5K} and mPEG_{2K}) which were obtained in a yield of ~90% and only contained ~5% of the mixture of 5 kDa mPEG-ABCPA/free mPEG (GPC analysis, Figure S1). The amount of free mPEG was determined by \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR using TAIC to be 4.2% (Figure S2). This shows that the MI only contained a trace amount of 0.8% mPEG-ABCPA. Therefore, the MI synthesized according to this new procedure was used for the synthesis of the different mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymers.

\textit{Synthesis of mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymers}

Amphiphilic mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymers with varying molecular weights of the hydrophobic and hydrophilic blocks were synthesized by free radical polymerization of HPMA-Bz using mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG macro-initiators (mPEG_{5K} or mPEG_{2K}) (Figure 1) at different macro-initiator/monomer ratios (MI:M; Table 1). The molecular weights (M_n, M_w) of the obtained polymers were determined by \textsuperscript{1}H-NMR and GPC analysis. As reported earlier, an increasing trend of molecular weight was observed upon increasing the monomer to initiator ratio.\textsuperscript{34}
**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the polymerization of mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) initiated by mPEG-ABCPA-mPEG results in the synthesis of mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) diblock copolymers only. Initiation by mPEG-ABCPA will result in a mixture of block copolymer and homopolymer p(HPMA-Bz). Initiation by ABCPA will result in the formation of homopolymer only. It is hereby assumed that no chain transfer occurs.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the synthesized mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymers as determined by $^1$H-NMR and GPC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>MI:M</th>
<th>$M_a$ by $^1$H-NMR (kDa)</th>
<th>$M_a$ by GPC (kDa)</th>
<th>$M_w$ by GPC (kDa)</th>
<th>Polydispersity ($M_w/M_n$) (GPC)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{18.5k}$</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{9.6k}$</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{7.7k}$</td>
<td>1:75</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{4.7k}$</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{2.2k}$</td>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{1.0k}$</td>
<td>1:12.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{3k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{20.6k}$</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{3k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{10.9k}$</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{3k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{7.7k}$</td>
<td>1:75</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{3k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{5.3k}$</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{3k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{2.6k}$</td>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG$<em>{3k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$</em>{1.2k}$</td>
<td>1:12.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average kinetic chain length for free radical chain polymerization is defined as the average number of monomers polymerized per initiated chain and is proportional to the monomer concentration \([M_0]\) divided by the square root of the initiator concentration \([I_0]^{1/2}\). Plotting the number average molecular weight (M_n) as measured by \(^1\)H-NMR spectroscopic analysis against the average kinetic chain length indeed resulted in a linear correlation for both the mPEG\textsubscript{5K} and mPEG\textsubscript{2K} block copolymers (Figure 2) as also observed previously by us for the block copolymer mPEG-\textit{b}-pHPMA\textit{mLac}_\textit{n} (methoxy poly(ethylene glycol)-\textit{b}-poly[N-(2-hydroxypropyl) methacrylamide-lactate]).

**Figure 2.** Linear correlation between the number average molecular weight (M_n) as measured by \(^1\)H-NMR of mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) as a function of the feed molar concentration of monomer divided by the square root of the feed molar concentration of initiator ([M\textsubscript{0}][I\textsubscript{0}]^{1/2}) (black: mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)_\textsubscript{n} with \(r^2 = 0.98\); red: mPEG\textsubscript{2K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)_\textsubscript{n} with \(r^2 = 0.97\)).

**Residual solvent and kinetics of micelle formation**

To get insight into the kinetics of micelle formation and the rate of removal of THF, in which the mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{18.5K} polymer was dissolved at 20 mg/mL, the size of micelles was followed in time after direct addition of the THF/polymer solution to water (THF/water was 1:1 v/v). The hydrodynamic diameters of the micelles were measured using DLS, and THF content was measured using GC-headspace analysis directly after addition (0 h) and at regular time
intervals up to 96 h (Figure 3). Directly after addition of the polymer solution to water, particles with a hydrodynamic diameter of approximately 80 nm and a polydispersity index of less than 0.1 were formed. After 24 h, the micelles showed a decrease in size to 50 nm, and a residual THF content of ~3000 ppm was detected. At 25 h the micellar dispersion was spiked with an additional 50 volume percentage of THF, which resulted in an immediate increase in micelle size from 50 to 70 nm. It can therefore be concluded that there is a direct correlation between the remaining amount of THF and the hydrodynamic diameter of the micelles. It should be noted that the final micelle size of 50 nm was already reached at THF concentrations of less than $10^5$ ppm. Addition of THF to the micellar dispersion showed that the core of the micelles can become swollen by accommodating part of the added THF. After 48 h of evaporation the residual THF content was ~3000 ppm, which is not sufficient to obtain a product within the acceptable range below 720 ppm according to the International Council of Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use\textsuperscript{42}. Therefore, the evaporation time was extended to 96 h and the micellar dispersion was also dialyzed against water to remove any residual THF. The final THF content after dialysis and measured by GC headspace was below the detection limit (10 ppm).

**Figure 3.** Average hydrodynamic diameters (black) of mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-b-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{18.5K} micelles and THF concentration (red) of the micellar dispersion as a function of time. At 25 h the dispersion was spiked with THF. After a second overnight evaporation (48 h) and subsequent...
over weekend evaporation (96 h) the samples were dialyzed overnight, which is represented at time point 100 h in the graph.

Effect of the hydrophobic/hydrophilic block molecular weight of mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) on the micelle size

To investigate the effect of the hydrophobic/hydrophilic block molecular weight of the polymers on the micelle size, micelles were prepared from the synthesized polymers of Table 1. The polymers were dissolved in THF at 20 mg/mL and used to prepare micelles through the nanoprecipitation method in water as described in the Materials and Methods section. All mPEG5K block copolymers formed micelles as was demonstrated by cryo-TEM and DLS analysis.
Figure 4. (Top) cryo-TEM images showing particle size variation upon molecular weight changes of the mPEG5K block copolymers used; scale bars correspond to 50 nm. (Bottom) the average micelle core diameter measured by cryo-TEM as a function of the cubic root of the product of the degree of polymerization (N_B) of the hydrophobic blocks of the copolymers as determined by $^1$H-NMR, and the aggregation number (N_agg) of the corresponding micelles revealed by AF4-MALS, $r^2 = 0.99$.

Both the DLS and the cryo-TEM results showed that the micelle size proportionally increased from the smallest to largest molecular weights of the hydrophobic block from 30 to 48 nm for the hydrodynamic diameter and from 9 to 28 nm for the cryo-TEM diameter (Figure 4 and Figure S4). The DLS polydispersities were lower than 0.1 pointing to a narrow size distribution, which is in agreement with the results of the TEM pictures. More precisely, the histograms of the TEM diameters based on ~100 to ~400 micelles (for mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{18.5K}$ and mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{2.2K}$ copolymer respectively) exhibited normalized standard deviations of 25% at most (.). The hydrated layer of the micelles was estimated by the difference of radii between the radius of hydration (R_h) and the radius as determined by TEM (R_TEM) and appeared to be constant for the four samples (approximately 10 nm; Table 2).

The radius of gyration (R_g), radius of hydration (R_h) and M_w of the micelles based on a selection of mPEG5K polymers of Table 1 were determined by AF4-MALS (Table 2). The ratio of R_g/R_h is structure sensitive and provides information about the morphology of a system. The ratio for rigid spherical structures with a uniform density is $\sqrt{3/5} \approx 0.775$. Structures with a dense core and a partly coiled less dense shell (core-shell structures) show a smaller R_g and therefore have R_g/R_h values lower than 0.775. Based on the MALS data, the produced mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz) micelles had a core-shell structure because the R_g/R_h ratios were between 0.59 and 0.64 and thus lower than that of typical rigid spheres. This core-corona structure was also confirmed using $^1$H-NMR analysis of the micelles dispersed in deuterium oxide (D$_2$O) (shown in Figure S6). The $^1$H-NMR spectrum of mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymer dissolved in DMSO-d$_6$ (Figure S6) showed resonances that can be assigned to the protons of both mPEG as the hydrophilic part (3.40-3.60 ppm) and p(HPMA-Bz) as the hydrophobic block (5.25 ppm and 7.25-8.25 ppm). The self-assembled structure of the mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymer in D$_2$O only showed resonances belonging to the mPEG block of the copolymer while the peaks of
the p(HPMA-Bz) block completely disappeared due to suppression of molecular motion of the hydrophobic part inside the core of the micelles.49-50

**Table 2.** Characteristics of mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz) micelles prepared from a 20 mg/mL THF solution and determined by AF4-MALS; $R_g =$ radius of gyration; $R_h =$ hydrodynamic radius; $R_{TEM} =$ radius as measured by TEM; $M_{w(mic)} =$ weight average molecular weight of the micelles; $N_{agg} =$ the micelle aggregation number; $\sigma^{-1} =$ mean surface area per molecule calculated by $\sigma^{-1} = d^2 = 4\pi R_h^2 / N_{agg}$ where $d$ is the inter-chain distance; $H =$ shell brush height calculated by the de Gennes-Alexander model $H = N_A a_A (a_A / d)^{2/3}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>$R_g$ (nm)</th>
<th>$R_h$ (nm)</th>
<th>$R_{TEM}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$R_g/R_h$</th>
<th>$M_{w(mic)}$ ($10^6$ Da)</th>
<th>$N_{agg}$</th>
<th>$\sigma^{-1}$ (nm²)</th>
<th>$H$ (nm)</th>
<th>$R_h-R_{TEM}$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{18.5K}$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{9.6K}$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{4.7K}$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{2.2K}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AF4-MALS also revealed that the micelle aggregation number ($N_{agg}$), calculated by dividing the weight average molecular weight of the micelles ($M_{w(mic)}$) by the $M_n$ of the polymer as determined by $^1$H-NMR analysis, decreased with decreasing molecular weight of the hydrophobic block of the block copolymer. This was also observed in dissipative particle dynamic simulations of A-B diblock copolymers by Li et al. and Sheng et al. where the $N_{agg}$ increases by either increasing the hydrophobic interaction energy through varying the repulsive parameter within the hydrophobic block B or decreasing the molecular weight of the hydrophilic block A.51-52 In our system, the molecular weight of the hydrophobic block B was varied between 2.2 and 18.5 kDa, which corresponds to degrees of polymerization $N_B$ between 10 and 68, while the molecular weight of the hydrophilic block A was kept at 5 kDa ($N_A$=114). However, even the lowest $M_n$ of the hydrophobic block p(HPMA-Bz) of 2.2 kDa still provided sufficient hydrophobicity for micelle formation by creating a packed core structure. Already indicated by the disappearance of the B block peaks in $^1$H NMR spectroscopy, this statement can
also be proved by a polymer physics consideration. As shown in Figure 4, the TEM radii that reflect the hydrophobic cores of the micelles follow a power law with the product of $N_B$ and $N_{agg}$ of exponent 1/3, characteristic for a collapsed state of the B block chains. On the opposite, the mPEG chains of the corona are highly swollen by water, making them invisible on the TEM images. The surface area per mPEG chain was calculated by dividing the surface area of the micelles ($4\pi R_h^2$) by the number of molecules ($N_{agg}$) and assimilated with the square of the inter-chain distance ($d$), neglecting a geometrical pre-factor. This spacing between mPEG molecules remained approximately the same for all samples ($d \sim 3.0 \pm 0.1$ nm) since both $N_{agg}$ and the hydrated radius ($R_h$) decreased simultaneously when $N_B$ was decreased. When using de Gennes-Alexander theory of polymer brushes, the mPEG height was estimated by $H = N_A a_A (a_A/d)^{2/3}$. The values obtained by this model are shown in Table 2 and were found approximately constant $H \sim 8.5 \pm 0.3$ nm, using $N_A = 114$ and a Kuhn length per mPEG segment $a_A = 0.33$ nm obtained from the bond lengths and coarse grain simulations. In agreement with the constant difference of $\sim 10$ nm that was observed between $R_h$ and $R_{TEM}$, one can deduce that the mPEG chains forming the corona of the micelles are densely packed and in a stretched conformation (brush regime). However, there was no curvature effect on the brush height as there was no variation observed with the micelle core size, which corresponds to the “crew cut” regime of micelles rather than the “star-like” regime that would require longer hydrophilic blocks. Of the mPEG$_{2K}$ copolymers only mPEG$_{2K}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{2.6K}$ and mPEG$_{2K}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{1.2K}$ formed clear micellar dispersions with a size of the micelles of 25 nm and polydispersity lower than 0.2. On the other hand, the block copolymers with higher molecular weight of the hydrophobic block (between 5.3 and 20.6 kDa; see Table 1) aggregated after THF evaporation. Cryo-TEM analysis of mPEG$_{2K}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{20.6K}$ after nanoprecipitation confirmed that mainly aggregates were formed, yet showing an interesting internal structure appearing as densely packed spherical globules (Figure S9). Typically, the spherical micelle shape is stable if the core diameter does not exceed too much the dimensions of the corona, which is estimated at 3.3 nm using de Gennes-Alexander formula with $N_A = 45$ for mPEG$_{2K}$. This is the case when the right balance of hydrophilic to hydrophobic ratio is used. When increasing the hydrophobic content, this will eventually cause phase separation, as mentioned by Sheng et al. In other words, the ratio between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic block influences the critical packing parameter, which in turn can predict whether either micelles or aggregates are formed. These scaling laws state that
once the effective hydrophilic surface area at the aggregate solution interface is reached, the volume occupied by the hydrophobic chains in the aggregate core becomes too large to be able to form spherical vesicles.\textsuperscript{59-60} Cylindrical and lamellar aggregates, but also aggregated precipitated structures will in these cases be observed as is the case for the mPEG\textsubscript{2K} block copolymers with higher molecular weight of the hydrophobic block.

\textit{Critical micelle concentration determination}

The critical micelle concentrations (CMCs) of the polymers of Table 1 were determined using the commonly used pyrene method. Pyrene is a hydrophobic fluorescent molecule that shows a shift of the excitation wavelength (from 300 to 360 nm) as a result of its partitioning in the hydrophobic core of polymeric micelles.\textsuperscript{35} Figure 5 shows the CMC values for the block copolymers with a fixed hydrophilic mPEG block of 5 kDa and a varying molecular weight of the hydrophobic p(HPMA-Bz) block. For the polymer mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{18.5K} (total M\textsubscript{w} of 23.5 kDa), the CMC is 2.3 µg/mL. On the other hand, the block copolymer with the smallest hydrophobic block mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{1.0K} (total M\textsubscript{w} of 6 kDa) had a CMC of 16.4 µg/mL. This demonstrates that a block copolymer with only ~5 monomeric units in the hydrophobic block is already able to form micelles pointing to strong π-π stacking interactions. It was also shown that the CMCs of the polymers decreased with increasing molecular weight of the hydrophobic block. This trend has previously been reported in literature.\textsuperscript{50, 61-62} The CMCs of mPEG\textsubscript{2K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{2.6K} and mPEG\textsubscript{2K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{1.2K} are 5.1 and 7.4 µg/mL respectively (Figure 5). These CMCs are still lower compared to the mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{2.2K} and mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{1.0K}, with a CMC of 10.2 and 16.4 µg/mL respectively, where the only difference between those polymers is the molecular weight of the hydrophilic mPEG block being 2 or 5 kDa. Therefore, as expected and previously shown for other systems, it can be concluded that both the size of the hydrophobic block and the hydrophilic/hydrophobic ratio determine the CMC value.\textsuperscript{63-64}
Figure 5. Critical micelle concentration as a function of polymer molecular weight. The black line shows the CMC of the polymers with a fixed mPEG of 5 kDa, whereas the red line shows the CMC of the polymers with a fixed mPEG of 2 kDa.

The effect of free homopolymer and free PEG on the size of micelles

To investigate the effect of homopolymer in the polymer mixture on micelle size, homopolymers p(HPMA-Bz) were synthesized with an $M_n$ of 14.5, 11.2 and 5.5 kDa. Subsequently, known amounts of the p(HPMA-Bz)$_{14.5k}$ homopolymer together with mPEG$_{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{18.5k}$ were dissolved in THF and added to water to obtain micelles with polydispersities lower than 0.2. Figure 6 shows that with increasing amounts of homopolymer in the THF solution, the size of the obtained micelles increased proportionally. Since the p(HPMA-Bz)$_{14.5k}$ homopolymer is very hydrophobic it will very likely partition inside the hydrophobic core of the micelles resulting in an increase in micellar size. Similar results were observed for mPEG$_{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{9.6k}$ and mPEG$_{5k}$-b-p(HPMA-Bz)$_{4.7k}$, upon addition of homopolymers with an $M_n$ of 11.3 kDa and 5.5 kDa to the feed (Figure S10). Figure S10 shows that the effect of the added homopolymer on the size of the micelles is larger for micelles made of polymers with a smaller hydrophobic domain. A possible explanation is that block copolymers with a smaller hydrophobic block are relatively more soluble in water, as also shown by a higher CMC, making them more susceptible to the conditions at which the mixing and solvent shifting occur. Additionally, the $N_{agg}$ of the micelles...
is lower for the smaller polymers. Therefore, incorporation of homopolymer in the core of the micelles based on lower polymer molecular weight increases the size of micelle more drastically.

**Figure 6.** Average hydrodynamic diameter of mPEG₅₅⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻˓
Micelles were prepared by addition of THF with varying concentrations of mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-b-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{18.5K} block copolymers. Figure 7 shows that the hydrodynamic diameter of the formed micelles decreased from approximately 80 to 50 nm with increasing polymer concentration in THF. Concomitantly, the micelles had a smaller size distribution at higher initial polymer concentrations, as indicated by the decreasing indices from 0.3 to less than 0.1. Similar results were observed for mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-b-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{9.6K} and mPEG\textsubscript{5K}-b-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{4.7K} from approximately 50 to 42 nm and from approximately 42 to 36 nm, respectively, upon increasing the polymer concentration in THF (Figure S10). These results suggest that the self-assembly is based on a nucleation-controlled process where the size of micelles is dependent on the nucleation rate. A larger number of nuclei will thereby result in smaller micelles.\textsuperscript{66} This trend was also observed in the study of Caron et al. where higher initial concentration of squalenoyl prodrug in the organic phase yielded smaller sized self-assemblies.\textsuperscript{67} The concentration dependency on the resulting micelle size was also confirmed in another experiment where the final concentration of the polymer in the water phase after THF evaporation was fixed at 10, 20 and 30 mg/mL, but the ratio of organic solvent to water was reduced from 1:1 to 0.3:1 using less THF (0.3, 0.6 and 1 mL) to dissolve the same amount of polymer (Figure 7). Higher initial polymer concentrations in the organic phase upon mixing with the water phase led to higher supersaturation and consequently to more nuclei and smaller micelles. Also, at higher THF content the supersaturation state is lower, decreasing nucleus formation. Therefore, as expected, smaller micelles were obtained at 0.3:1 compared to 1:1 volume ratio.\textsuperscript{68} Furthermore, the size of the micelles reached a minimum at approximately 50-55 nm suggesting a critical particle size was obtained and increasing the concentration did not affect the size anymore.\textsuperscript{69}
Figure 7. (Left) Hydrodynamic diameters of micelles as a function of the polymer concentration in THF added to water in a 1:1 ratio; (Right) The effect of changing the concentration of polymer in THF using different THF to water volume ratios. The final polymer concentrations were 10, 20 and 30 mg/mL (n=3).

As the nucleation rate is dependent on supersaturation and is also affected by the quality of mixing, different rates of addition of organic polymer solution to aqueous phase were used to manipulate the supersaturation state. Thus, micelles were prepared at different addition rates of the polymer solution to water and by fast addition of water to the organic phase (Figure 8). The hydrodynamic diameters of mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)_{18.5K} micelles after THF evaporation were 82 and 62 nm with polydispersities of less than 0.1 and 0.2 at addition rates of 0.15 and 1.5 mL/min, respectively. Also, the sizes of the micelles upon rapid addition of the polymer solution to the water phase, or the water phase to the polymer solution were 58 and 56 nm, respectively, with polydispersities of less than 0.1, reaching the minimal micelle size. This is similar to the finding reported by Aliabadi et al. in which no significant difference in size of MePEO-b-PCL micelles prepared by addition of water to acetone, or acetone to water was observed.

As expected, similar results were observed using mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)_{9.6K} and mPEG5K-b-p(HPMA-Bz)_{4.7K} polymers (Figure S12). However, the polydispersities of the micellar dispersions were higher (0.35 and 0.45) at 0.15 ml/min rate of addition (Figure S12). Generally, during nanoprecipitation, both nucleation and particle growth occur in the water/THF mixture even before complete mixing. So, when the polymer solution is added slowly to water, there is a
continuous change in the composition of the mixture which results into less homogeneous supersaturation. Fast addition of THF to water, on the other hand, results in a fast mixing which in turn is associated with rapid supersaturation causing the formation of smaller nuclei and thus smaller and more monodisperse micelles.  

![Graph showing the effect of addition rate of the polymer solution in THF to the aqueous phase on micelle size.](image)

**Figure 8.** The effect of addition rate of the polymer solution in THF to the aqueous phase on micelle size; the samples were stirred during and until 1 minute after addition of the polymer solution to aqueous buffer (n=3).

**The effect of different solvents and buffers on micelle size**

The effect of the type of organic solvent on the size of HPMA-Bz micelles was also investigated. THF, acetonitrile, acetone, ethanol, 1,4-dioxane, DMSO and DMF were used because of their miscibility with water and ability to dissolve the mPEG-b-p(HPMA-Bz) block copolymers. The polymer concentrations in organic solvent were fixed at 20 mg/mL to avoid the effect of polymer concentration on the nanoprecipitation process. In the case of ethanol, the polymer was only soluble at temperatures above ~60˚C and thus the micelle preparation was conducted using polymer solutions and water at 70˚C. Subsequently the solvents were removed by either evaporation (for THF, acetonitrile and acetone) or, in case of the less volatile solvents dioxane, DMSO, DMF and ethanol, by dialysis. As depicted in Figure 9, the use of DMSO and DMF resulted into large micelles, 175 and 75 nm, respectively, compared to the micelles formed using THF, which were 50 nm. Acetone, acetonitrile and dioxane resulted in smaller micelles of
approximately 45 nm with polydispersities lower than 0.2. Generally, solvents with a lower viscosity such as THF, acetone and acetonitrile mix faster with water which causes more uniform supersaturation, leading to smaller micelles.\textsuperscript{71} On the other hand, solvents with relatively higher viscosity and surface tension (DMF and DMSO) have slower mixing rates with water, resulting in the growth of micelles and larger self-assemblies. In line with our observations, Kissel et al. reported that nanoparticles prepared using acetone were smaller than particles prepared in THF (140 and 180 nm respectively) due to its lower viscosity and higher diffusion rate in water.\textsuperscript{72}

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** (Left) The effect of different solvents on the hydrodynamic diameter of mPEG\textsubscript{5K-}b-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{18.5K} micelles. (Right) the effect of different aqueous phases on the hydrodynamic diameter of mPEG\textsubscript{5K-}b-p(HPMA-Bz)\textsubscript{18.5K} micelles; in the graph, bars depicted with (after), were samples prepared in water and later concentrated solutions of salts were added (n=3).

The effect of the composition of the aqueous phase on the size of the obtained micelles was evaluated by addition of a 20 mg/mL polymer solution in THF to different aqueous phases. The micelles were slightly larger when they were made in either PBS or 0.9\% NaCl solution (85 and 80 nm respectively). Addition of salt to water increases the viscosity of the aqueous phase which in turn affects the mixing of solvent and non-solvent and thus nanoprecipitation of micelles in line with previous studies.\textsuperscript{73} However, once the micelles were formed in water and the aqueous phase was subsequently adjusted by adding concentrated 1.8 \% NaCl solution or twice concentrated PBS, the size of micelles did not change (Figure 9) showing that the micellar structures are thermodynamically stable after formation.
CONCLUSION

The results of this study demonstrate that the self-assembly of mPEG-\textit{b}-p(HPMA-Bz) polymers into micelles can be easily tailored in size. This size-control relies on both the molecular weight of the polymers and the processing methods which change the saturation conditions. In short it can be said that reducing the micelle size can be accomplished by controlling the polymerization step and optimizing the polymer molecular weight by using higher hydrophilic to hydrophobic ratios. The size dependency coming from those ratios, fits excellently into the de Gennes-Alexander theory and scaling law. Reducing the homopolymer content as a potential byproduct of block copolymer synthesis will also optimize the production of smaller micelles. Moreover, in terms of processing conditions, the use of organic solvents with faster mixing quality with water and applying higher rates of addition yield smaller and more homogenous micelles. This systematic study is of great importance as it indicates which parameters during the micelle formation process are critical to allow reproducible formation of micelles with a desired size. We are currently exploring these findings to develop a scalable route toward well-defined micelles for nanomedicine applications.
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