Modified Penman-Monteith equation for monitoring evapotranspiration of wheat crop: relationship between the surface resistance and remotely sensed stress index

Abdelhakim Amazirh¹, Salah Er-Raki^{1*}, Abdelghani Chehbouni², Vincent Rivalland², Alhousseine Diarra³, Said Khabba³, Jamal Ezzahar⁴, Olivier Merlin^{2,3}

¹LP2M2E, Département de Physique Appliquée, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Cadi Ayyad, Marrakech, Morocco

²CESBIO, Université de Toulouse, CNES/CNRS/IRD/UPS, Toulouse, France.

³LMME, Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences Semlalia, Université Cadi Ayyad, Marrakech, Morocco

⁴MTI, ENSA, Ecole Nationale des Sciences Appliquées, Université Cadi Ayyad, Safi, Morocco

ABSTRACT

Evapotranspiration (ET) plays an essential role for detecting plant water status, estimating crop water needs and optimising irrigation management. Accurate estimates of ET at field scale are therefore critical. The present paper investigates a remote sensing and modelling coupled approach for monitoring actual ET of irrigated wheat crops in the semi-arid region of Tensift Al Haouz (Morocco). The ET modelling is based on a modified Penman-Monteith equation obtained by introducing a simple empirical relationship between surface resistance (r_c) and a stress index (SI). SI is estimated from Landsat-derived land surface temperature (LST) combined with the LST endmembers (in wet and dry conditions) simulated by a surface energy balance model driven by meteorological forcing and Landsat-derived fractional vegetation cover. The proposed model is first calibrated using eddy covariance measurements of ET during one growing season (2015-2016) over an experimental flood-irrigated wheat field located within the irrigated perimeter named R3. It is then validated during the same growing season over another drip-irrigated wheat field located in the same perimeter. Next, the proposed ET model is implemented over a 10 x 10 km² area in R3 using a time series of Landsat-7/8 reflectance and LST data. The comparison between modelled and measured ET fluxes indicates that the model works well. The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) values over drip and flood sites were 13 and 12 W m⁻², respectively. The proposed approach has a great potential for detecting crop water stress and estimating crop water requirements over large areas along the agricultural season.

Keywords: Bulk surface resistance; Evapotranspiration; Crop water stress; Landsat; Penman-Monteith; Surface temperature.

Symbols	signification and unit
ET	Evapotranspiration, mm
rc	Surface resistance, $s\ m^{-1}$
r*	Critical bulk resistance, $s\ m^{-1}$
R_n	Net radiation, $W\ m^{-2}$
G	Soil heat flux, $W\ m^{-2}$
H_{EC}	Sensible heat flux (eddy covariance), $W\ m^{-2}$
LE_{EC}	Latent heat flux (eddy covariance), $W\ m^{-2}$
u_a	Wind speed, $m\ s^{-1}$
R_g	Solar radiation, $W\ m^{-2}$
rh_a	Relative humidity, %
T_a	Air temperature, °C
R²	Determination coefficient
ρ_R	Red spectral reflectance, %
ρ_{PIR}	Near infrared spectral reflectance, %
ε	Surface emissivity
k	Attenuation coefficient
Δ	Slope of the saturation vapour pressure curve at air temperature, $kPa\ °C^{-1}$
γ	Psychrometric constant, $kPa\ °C^{-1}$
ρ	Mean air density at constant pressure, $kg\ m^{-3}$
c_p	Stands for the specific heat of air, $MJ\ kg^{-1}\ °C^{-1}$
D	Vapour pressure deficit, kPa
e_a	Actual vapour pressure, kPa
e_s	Saturation vapour pressure, kPa
r_{ah}	Aerodynamic resistance, $s\ m^{-1}$
z_r	Reference height, m
kar	Von Karman constant equal to 0.44
h_c	Canopy height, m
d	Displacement height, m
z_m	Height of the dynamic soil roughness, m
ψ_m	Atmospheric stability function
ψ_h	Sensitive heat stability function
α	Surface albedo
R_{atm}	Atmospheric longwave radiation, $W\ m^{-2}$
σ	Stephan-Boltzmann constant equal to 5.67×10^{-8} , $W\ m^{-2}\ K^{-4}$
ε_a	Atmospheric emissivity
Γ	Fractional empirical coefficient set to 0.4
F_c	Fraction vegetation cover
a	Empirical coefficient equal to 0.17
b	Empirical coefficients equal to 0.8
c	Empirical coefficients equal to 0.8
F (LST)	Cost function
d	Calibration parameters equal to 3000, $s\ m^{-1}$

<i>e</i>	Calibration parameters equal to -1130, s m ⁻¹
Abbreviation	
LST	Land Surface Temperature, °C
SI	Stress Index
IPCC	International Panel on Climate change
NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
LAI	Leaf Area Index
SiSPAT	Simple Soil Plant Atmosphere
ISBA	Interaction Soil-Biosphere-Atmosphere
SVAT	Soil Vegetation Atmosphere Transfer
ICARE	Interactive Canopy Radiation Exchange
CERES	Crop Environment REsource Synthesis
STICS	Simulateur multidisciplinaire pour les Cultures Standard
Aquacrop	Crop-water productivity model
SEBS	Surface energy balance model
FAO-56	Food and Agriculture Organization. No 56
PM	Penman-Monteith
SEBI	Surface Energy Balance Index
WDI	Water Deficit Index
TVI	Temperature Vegetation Index
TVDI	Temperature Vegetation Dryness Index
VTCl	Vegetation Temperature Condition Index
ET₀	Evaporative demand, mm
KH21	Krypton hygrometer
HPF01	Soil heat flux plates
CSAT3	3D sonic anemometer
EC	Eddy covariance
L7	Landsat 7
L8	Landsat 8
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
USGS	United States Geological Survey
MODTRAN	MODerate resolution atmospheric TRANsmission
RMSE	Root Mean Square Error
IPI	Irrigated Priority Index
SLC	Scan line corrector
H2020	Horizon 2020
RISE	Research and Innovation Staff Exchange
REC	Root zone soil moisture Estimates at the daily and agricultural parcel scales for Crop irrigation management – a multi-sensor remote sensing approach
AMETHYST	Assessment of changes in MEdiTerranean HYdro-resources in the South: river basin Trajectories

34 In arid and semi-arid regions, water scarcity is one of the main factors limiting agricultural
35 development. Water scarcity is likely to be exacerbated in the near future under the combined
36 effect of the alteration of the hydrological cycle, climate change and increasing water demand for
37 agriculture, urban and industry (IPCC, 2009).

38 In Morocco, irrigation is the biggest consumer sector of water, in average, it has been estimated
39 that about 85% of mobilised water resources is used by agriculture with an efficiency lower than
40 50 % (Plan bleu, 2009). The Tensift Al Haouz region, which is considered as a typical watershed
41 of the Southern Mediterranean, is characterised by a semi-arid climate. Under these conditions,
42 irrigation is inevitable for crop growth, development and yield. For that a good irrigation
43 management requires an accurate quantification of crop water requirements which is assumed
44 equivalent to evapotranspiration (ET) (Allen et al., 2011).

45 During the last decades, several techniques have been proposed to estimate ET from local to
46 global spatial scales. At the local scale, ET can be measured by using the sap flow sensors (Smith
47 and Allen, 1996) that can provide the individual plant transpiration rate when the tree capacitance
48 is neglected. Based on three different tree crop species, Motisi et al. (2012) verified that
49 transpirational flow at orchard level is regulated by tree conductance, whereas capacitance effects
50 are related to tree size or to environmental demand. ET can be also estimate at local scale by
51 lysimetry (Edwards, 1986; Daamen et al., 1993). Passing from local to integrated spatial scales,
52 the eddy covariance technique (Bedouchi et al., 1988; Allen et al., 2011) is suitable for measuring
53 ET at the field scale over an homogeneous fields (1 ha and above). The eddy covariance and sap
54 flow techniques can be jointly use to partition the ET in plant transpiration and soil evaporation
55 (Cammalleri et al., 2013; Er-Raki et al., 2010). Another technique, is the scintillometry that can
56 provide the sensible and latent heat flux over a transect ranging from 250 m to 10 km even for
57 heterogeneous fields (Kohsiek et al., 2002; Ezzahar and Chehbouni, 2009). At global scale, remote
58 sensing data in the optical/thermal bands provide several ET-related variables such as the
59 Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), surface albedo, surface emissivity, LAI (Leaf
60 Area Index) and Land Surface Temperature (LST) (Granger, 2000; Clarson and Buffum, 1989).
61 Several Authors have proposed the use of these methodologies (Hatefield, 1983; Moran and
62 Jackson, 1991; Kustas, 1996; Kalma et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009; Allen et al., 2011; Er-Raki et al.,
63 2013). All these techniques provide ET estimates at a specific temporal and spatial scales and rely
64 on particular assumptions. Interpolation or extrapolation is thus often necessary to infer ET rates

65 outside application scales, which can be a source of additional uncertainty. Moreover, most *in situ*
66 techniques are expensive, time consuming and need a well-trained staff to operate and maintain it.

67 As an alternative to observational methods of ET, numerous modelling methods have been
68 proposed such as Simple Soil Plant Atmosphere (SiSPAT) (Braud et al., 1995), Interaction Soil-
69 Biosphere-Atmosphere (ISBA) (Noilhan and Mahfouf, 1996) and simple SVAT (Soil Vegetation
70 Atmosphere Transfer) (Boulet et al., 2000), Interactive Canopy Radiation Exchange (ICARE)
71 (Gentine et al., 2007). Others models like Crop Environment REsource Synthesis (CERES)
72 (Ritchie, 1986), Simulateur multidisciplinaire pour les Cultures Standard (STICS) (Brisson et al.,
73 1998) and the crop-water productivity model (Aquacrop) (Raes et al., 2009) have combined the
74 water balance with the crop growth, development and yield components. These modelling
75 methods, whether complex or simple, are generally not easy to implement in an operational
76 context as they require several parameters (e.g. soil and vegetation hydrodynamic properties) and
77 forcing variables (e.g. climate and irrigation) that are often unavailable at the desired space and
78 time scale. As a matter of fact, simpler models based on a few input data have been developed
79 (Merlin, 2013; Merlin et al., 2014). Among them, the surface energy balance model (SEBS)
80 estimates the turbulent fluxes and surface evaporative fraction (Su, 2002) by using remote sensing
81 data (albedo, NDVI, emissivity and LST) in conjunction with meteorological forcing (solar
82 radiation, air temperature, wind speed, air humidity) and surface parameters (e.g. roughness and
83 stability correction functions for momentum and sensible heat transfer). In contrast, the FAO-56
84 model requires limited input parameters and it has been extensively and successful used for
85 estimating ET over several agricultural areas such as : wheat (Er-Raki et al., 2007, 2010; Jin et al.,
86 2017; Drerup et al 2017), olive (Er-Raki et al., 2008; Er-Raki et al., 2010; Rallo et al., 2014),
87 citrus (Er-Raki et al., 2009; Rallo et al., 2017), table grapes (Er-Raki et al., 2013), sugar beet
88 (Diarra et al., 2017; Anderson et al. 2017) and for different climate (Debnath et al., 2015; Ayyoub
89 et al., 2017). It is based on the Penman-Monteith (PM) equation that has been formulated to
90 include all the parameters that govern the energy exchange between vegetation and atmosphere. In
91 the PM formulation, the extraction of water vapour from the surface is controlled by the surface
92 resistance (r_c). However, the PM approach has been limited by the difficulties to estimate r_c as it
93 depends on several factors related to pedological, biophysical and physiological processes, which
94 are also related to agricultural practices (Katerji et al., 1991; Testi et al., 2004).

95 To overcome these difficulties, many authors have used the concept of “critical bulk resistance,
 96 r^* ”, where r^* is r_c when evapotranspiration is not affected by wind speed (Katerji and Perrier,
 97 1983). The critical bulk resistance depends only on other local meteorological variables. Rana et
 98 al. (2005) and Ayyoub et al. (2017) showed that r_c is linearly related to r^* , allowing the ET
 99 estimates even in water shortage conditions. It has been demonstrated that the use of the critical
 100 resistance approach to estimate canopy resistance that varies with local meteorology provides
 101 more accurate ET estimates than assuming a constant value of resistance for a given canopy
 102 (Katerji and Rana, 2006). Alves and Pereira (2000) further investigated the surface resistance in
 103 the PM equation and suggested that the surface resistance integrates the combined effects of
 104 stomatal, soil surface and canopy resistances. They also showed that the surface resistance
 105 depends on meteorological variables as in Jarvis (1976). This approach has then been confirmed
 106 by Katerji and Perrier (1983) who showed that decoupling the surface resistance (function of
 107 critical resistance), from atmospheric resistance effects improves ET estimates, and this is
 108 consistent with the study of Alves and Pereira (2000). All those methods estimate the surface
 109 resistance and ET at local scale but little attention has been paid on determining r_c at large scale
 110 from remote sensing data. Since the crop water stress is related to r_c through stomatal closure, one
 111 can estimate r_c from remotely sensed LST which can provide a good proxy for water stress level.

112 Several stress indexes have been developed such as the Surface Energy Balance Index (SEBI,
 113 Mensenti et al., 1993), Water Deficit Index (WDI, Moran et al., 1944; Moran, 2004), Temperature
 114 Vegetation Index (TVI, Prihodko et al., 1997), Temperature Vegetation Dryness Index (TVDI,
 115 Sandholt et al., 2002) and Vegetation Temperature Condition Index (VTCI, Wang et al., 2004;
 116 Wan et al., 2004). VTCI is defined as a ratio of the dry to actual LST difference to the dry to wet
 117 LST temperature difference, with wet/dry LST being estimated as the minimum/maximum LST
 118 that the surface can reach for a given meteorological forcing. Among existing thermal-based
 119 stress indexes, VTCI has two main advantages: 1) it is rather physically-based due to possibility of
 120 simulating wet/dry LST values using a surface energy balance model (Wang et al., 2001) and 2) it
 121 can be applied to mixed pixels including soil and vegetation components. In this context, the
 122 objective of this study is to model ET based on the modified PM equation by introducing a simple
 123 established relationship between r_c and a thermal-based proxy of vegetation water stress, since it
 124 was considered as the most relevant parameter for drought monitoring (Jakson et al., 1981; Wan et
 125 al., 2004). The surface water stress index (SI) will be derived from the VTCI estimated either from

126 *in situ* or Landsat thermal/reflectance remote sensing data. After, the approach is calibrated and
127 tested in terms of ET estimates over both flood and drip irrigated sites.

128 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

129 **2.1. Site description**

130 A field experiment was conducted over wheat crops in the Tensift region in central Morocco. This
131 area has a semi-arid Mediterranean climate, characterised by low and irregular rainfall with an
132 annual average of about 240 mm, against an evaporative demand (ET_0) of 1600 mm year⁻¹. The
133 study site is located in the irrigated zone R3 in the Haouz plain, approximately 40 km southwest of
134 Marrakech city (see Figure 1). The experiment was carried out during the 2015-2016 growing
135 season in two irrigated wheat fields: a 2 ha drip-irrigated field and a 4 ha flood-irrigated field. The
136 surrounding of two fields is also cultivated with wheat and beans for the drip-irrigated one. The
137 soil of both sites has low sand and high clay contents (47 % clay, 35 % silt, and 18 % sand). The
138 sowing dates were the 13th and 22th December 2015 for the drip and flood irrigated sites,
139 respectively.

140 **2.2. Ground data description**

141 During the investigated agricultural season, both wheat sites were equipped with all sensors
142 necessary for measuring different water and heat fluxes exchanged between soil, vegetation and
143 atmosphere. The net radiation (R_n) was measured by the net radiometer (Kipp and Zonen CNR4,
144 Campbell Sci). Soil heat flux (G) was controlled at a 5 cm depth using soil heat flux plates
145 (HPF01, Campbell Sci). Radiometric brightness temperature was measured using an Infra-Red
146 Thermometer (IRTS-P's, Apogee) and then converted to LST using surface emissivity. An eddy
147 covariance system, consisting of a 3D sonic anemometer (CSAT3, Campbell Scientific Ltd.) and
148 a Krypton hygrometer (KH21, Campbell Scientific Ltd.), was installed to provide continuous
149 measurements of vertical sensible heat (H_{EC}) and latent heat (LE_{EC}) fluxes. Half-hourly
150 measurements of classical meteorological data were collected over a grass cover using an
151 automatic meteorological weather station: wind speed (u_a), incoming solar radiation (R_g), air
152 relative humidity (rh_a) and air temperature (T_a) at a reference height (2 m).
153 Before using the data of latent heat flux (equivalent to ET) measured by the eddy covariance
154 system, it is important to check the reliability and the quality of these measurements. This is

undertaken through the analysis of the energy balance closure. By ignoring the term of canopy heat storage and the radiative energy used by vegetation photosynthesis (Testi et al., 2004), the energy balance closure is defined as:

$$R_n - G = H_{EC} + LE_{EC} \quad (1)$$

To check the budget closure during the study period, we compared the available energy at the surface ($R_n - G$) with the sum of turbulent fluxes ($H_{EC} + LE_{EC}$) at half-hourly scale. The quality of the correlation between ($R_n - G$) and ($H_{EC} + LE_{EC}$) was evaluated by the regression line and the determination coefficient R^2 . Figure 2 shows the energy budget closure for sub-hourly data during 2015-2016 growing season for both study sites separately.

Results show that the closure of the energy balance is relatively well verified by comparison with other studies (Testi et al., 2004; Ezzahar et al., 2009). The regression lines are close to the 1:1 line and R^2 values are generally close to 1 (0.91 and 0.88 for the flood and drip irrigated fields, respectively). However, the slope of the regression forced through the origin was about 1.3 for both sites, indicating some underestimation of turbulent fluxes ($H_{EC} + LE_{EC}$) by about 30% of the available energy ($R_n - G$). This due to the attenuation of turbulence at low or high frequency signals (Ezzahar et al., 2009). Also, the difference between the sensors source area has a very important impact on the energy balance closure. In fact, the surface area of the sensors measuring the available energy (net radiation and soil heat flux) is very small compared to that of EC system, which can quickly change depending on wind speed and direction and surface conditions. Moreover, the energy absorbed by the plant has not been considered in the energy balance. In this context, Scott et al. (2003) evaluated the storage in the biomass to about 5-10 % of the available energy, which could partially explain the overestimation of available energy at the surface.

2.3. Remote sensing data

Landsat 7 (L7) and Landsat 8 (L8) satellites were launched by NASA on April 1999 and February 2013, respectively. The combined use of both satellites potentially provides repetitive acquisitions every 8 days of high (30 -100 m) resolution multispectral data of the Earth's surface on a global basis. The data (available for download from the USGS website, <https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/>) are resampled to 30 m resolution. A total of 14 images (6 and 8 images for L7 and L8, respectively) were used in this study. They were acquired from January 2016 until the end of the agricultural season (end of May).

Herein, Landsat data were used to estimate the NDVI, surface emissivity and LST over the R3 area overlaying both study sites. NDVI is calculated using the spectral reflectance measurements acquired in the visible ρ_R (red) and near-infrared regions ρ_{PIR} :

$$NDVI = \frac{\rho_{PIR} - \rho_R}{\rho_{PIR} + \rho_R} \quad (2)$$

The surface emissivity was estimated from an empirical relationship with NDVI and soil/vegetation emissivity components:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_v - (\varepsilon_v - \varepsilon_s) \left(\frac{NDVI - NDVI_v}{NDVI_s - NDVI_v} \right)^k \quad (3)$$

where ε_v is the vegetation emissivity (set to 0.99), ε_s is the soil emissivity (set to 0.96), $NDVI_v$ is NDVI for full vegetation (set to 0.99), $NDVI_s$ is the NDVI for bare soil (set to 0.15). k is an attenuation coefficient relevant to the relation between LAI-NDVI and NDVI-emissivity ranging from 2 to 3. In [Oliosio et al. \(2013\)](#) the value of k is derived from the shape of the NDVI-emissivity relationship for a range of soil moisture conditions and vegetation canopy emissivities. In our case, it was adjusted to 2 based on the NDVI-LAI relationship established in the same region by [Er-Raki et al. \(2007\)](#). Note that this value was used in [Tardy et al. \(2016\)](#) over the same (semi-arid) region.

LST was derived from the thermal infrared bands passing by different correction steps defined in [Tardy et al., \(2016\)](#). Those steps allowed to convert the Landsat digital number to the physical LST by inverting the Planck's law. An atmospheric correction of the thermal infrared bands data was firstly carried out using the MODTRAN atmospheric radiative transfer model software. For doing that, knowledge of the humidity and air temperature profile was needed. As second step, the at-sensor radiance was converted into surface radiance using the estimated surface emissivity. Then the LST was obtained by inverting the Planck's law. In order to evaluate the spaceborne LST, a comparison between the Landsat-derived against *in situ* LST measurements is presented in Figure 3.

According to this figure, a relatively good match between satellite and ground LST data is obtained for the flood irrigated wheat parcel with a determination coefficient (R^2) of 0.92 and a RMSE equal to 0.91 °C, whereas an R^2 of 0.80 and an RMSE equal to 2.36 °C are found for the drip-irrigated field. The systematic over-estimation observed in the drip site could be attributable to the spatial extent of *in situ* and spaceborne observations. In fact, the drip-irrigated site is small (in comparison with the flood one), and does not fully cover the Landsat thermal pixel size (100 m resolution). Moreover, some differences between *in situ* and Landsat data could be explained by

the limited spatial representativeness of 2-m high *in situ* thermal data. In addition, the better results in flood irrigated field than in drip irrigated field is due to: 1) The irrigation system: as it is known, flood irrigation implies a homogeneous fraction of wetted areas, where all the pixels have the same percentage of irrigation water, which means an uniform LST within the site. In contrast, just a part of the soil surface is wetted in the drip irrigated site, which may lead to some heterogeneity in observed LST from one pixel to another. 2) The flood irrigated site is bigger (4 hectares) than the drip one (approximately 2 hectares with a surface area of 4 ha (35 Landsat pixels) and 2 ha (10 Landsat pixels), respectively. Note that several 60/100 m Landsat LST pixels were partly covering the surrounding fields, causing representativeness issues especially for the smaller (drip) field. In addition 3) for the flood site, the surrounding fields are similar with the same irrigation system and crop (wheat). Contrariwise, the drip one, was surrounded by fields with different crops (beans).

The observed overestimation of LST by Landsat could also be due to an overestimation of the surface emissivity. As soil emissivity is difficult to estimate without specific measurements (unavailable in this experiment), it was fixed arbitrarily to 0.95. Moreover, we would like to underline that the field measurements of LST are representative of a small square of the surface only, which is much smaller than a Landsat pixel. Last each crop field can include a mixture of wet and dry Landsat pixels, although an average of all LST values was computed at the field scale.

2.4. EVAPOTRANSPIRATION MONITORING APPROACH

2.4.1. Evapotranspiration modelling

The latent heat flux (LE (W m⁻²)) of wheat was modelled by using the following PM equation:

$$LE = \frac{\Delta(R_n - G) + \rho c_p \frac{D}{r_{a,h}}}{\Delta + \gamma(1 + \frac{r_c}{r_{a,h}})} \quad (4)$$

where Δ stands for the slope of the saturation vapour pressure curve at air temperature (kPa °C⁻¹). The psychrometric constant (kPa °C⁻¹) and the mean air density at constant pressure (kg m⁻³) are presented by γ and ρ respectively while c_p stands for the specific heat of air (MJ kg⁻¹ °C⁻¹). The vapour pressure deficit; D (kPa) is obtained by calculating the difference between the air vapour pressure; e_a (kPa) and the saturated water vapour pressure; e_s (kPa) where the latter is calculated as addressed in equation 5.

$$e_s = 0.611 \times e^{\left(\frac{17.27 \times T_a}{T_a + 273.3}\right)} \quad (5)$$

In Equation (4), all parameters are deduced from the meteorological variables measured by the automatic meteorological station. However, the use of this model requires determining the aerodynamic resistance (r_{ah} , s m⁻¹) and bulk canopy resistance (r_c , s m⁻¹). r_{ah} is calculated at a reference height z_r in the boundary layer above the canopy by:

$$r_{ah} = \frac{(\log[(z_r - d)/z_m] - \psi_m) \times (\log[(h_c - d)/z_m] - \psi_h)}{k a r^2 \times u_a} \quad (6)$$

where kar is the Von Karman constant equal to 0.44, h_c the canopy height, the displacement height (to adjust the effects of vegetation height on wind displacement) and the height of the dynamic soil roughness are presented as , $d = 2/3 h_c$ and $z_m = h_c/8$ respectively. The ψ_m and ψ_h presents the atmospheric stability function and the sensitive heat stability function, respectively.

For irrigated crops, the canopy resistance r_c is not assumed to be constant. It changes according to available energy, vapour pressure deficit, and other environmental factors. In this study, we propose to use a simple empirical relationship between r_c and a vegetation water stress index (SI) which is calculated as:

$$SI = 1 - VTCI \quad (7)$$

where VTCI is calculated as follow:

$$VTCI = \frac{LST_{dry} - LST}{LST_{dry} - LST_{wet}} \quad (8)$$

where LST_{wet} and LST_{dry} are the LST simulated by an energy balance model in fully wet and dry surface conditions, respectively (Stefan et al., 2015; Merlin et al., 2016). We therefore distinguish between stressed and unstressed conditions via the VTCI. Especially, VTCI equals 1 ($SI = 0$) for $LST = LST_{wet}$ (energy-limited evaporation), which means that vegetation is unstressed and the value of r_c is low. In the opposite case, VTCI equals 0 ($SI = 1$) for $LST = LST_{dry}$ (soil-controlled evaporation), which means that vegetation is undergoing water stress and the value of r_c is large.

2.4.2. Energy balance Model

The two extreme temperatures (LST_{wet} and LST_{dry}) of Equation (8) are simulated by running an energy balance model forced by $r_c \approx 0$ s m⁻¹ and $r_c \approx \infty$, respectively. The surface net radiation is expressed as:

$$R_n = (1 - \alpha) R_g + \varepsilon(R_{atm} - \sigma LST^4) \quad (9)$$

272 with α (-) being the surface albedo (set to 0.20), R_{atm} stands for the atmospheric longwave
 273 radiation (W m^{-2}) and $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8}$ the Stephan-Boltzmann constant ($\text{W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-4}$). The
 274 downward atmospheric radiation at surface level is expressed as:

$$275 \quad R_{\text{atm}} = \varepsilon_a \times \sigma T_a^4 \quad (10)$$

276 where ε_a is the atmospheric emissivity estimated as in [Brutsaert \(1975\)](#):

$$277 \quad \varepsilon_a = 1.24 \times \left(\frac{e_a}{T_a} \right)^{\frac{1}{7}} \quad (11)$$

$$278 \quad \text{with} \quad e_a = e_s(T_a) \times \frac{rh_a}{100}$$

279 The ground flux G is estimated as a fraction of net radiation at the soil surface $R_{n,s}$:

$$280 \quad G = \Gamma \cdot R_{n,s} \quad (12)$$

281 with Γ being a fractional empirical coefficient set to 0.4, and $R_{n,s}$ is given by:

$$282 \quad R_{n,s} = R_n \times (1 - Fc) \quad (13)$$

283 with Fc being the fraction vegetation cover calculated as:

$$284 \quad Fc = \left(\frac{NDVI - NDVI_s}{NDVI_v - NDVI_s} \right) \quad (14)$$

285 The sensible heat flux is given by:

$$286 \quad H = \rho c_p \beta \frac{LST - T_a}{r_{a,h}} \quad (15)$$

287 where β is the “ β function” calculated as follows as a function of LAI:

$$288 \quad \beta = 1 - \frac{a}{LAI * b * \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\ln(LAI) - c)^2}{2 * b^2}} \quad (16)$$

289 with a , b and c are empirical coefficients equal to 0.17 for a and 0.8 for b and c ([Boulet et al.,](#)
 290 [2012](#)). These values are calibrated for the wheat in the same study site.

291 The latent heat flux is estimated using the following equation:

$$292 \quad LE = \frac{\rho c_p}{\gamma} \frac{e_s - e_a}{r_{a,h} + r_c} \quad (17)$$

293 Finally, for running the energy balance model, it was set $LST = T_a$ and search for the value of
 294 LST that minimises the following cost function F (LST):

$$295 \quad F(LST) = (R_n - G - H - LE)^2 \quad (18)$$

296 F (LST) is named “cost function” as it is the function to be minimised in order to find the LST
297 value corresponding to the energy balance closure (e.g. [Merlin et al., 2016](#)).

298 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

299 The PM equation is used in this study to estimate the surface ET of wheat over two different crop
300 fields in terms of irrigation systems located in the R3 area. The proposed approach aims to
301 modify the PM equation by expressing r_c , which is the main parameter controlling latent heat
302 flux, as a function of a thermal-derived SI. The use of LST as an indicator of the surface
303 resistance in order to estimate the ET, is assessed by using the *in situ* measurements collected in
304 the flood-irrigated site. The “observed” r_c is estimated by inverting Equation (3) using ET
305 measured by eddy covariance system. Then, a validation exercise is carried out over the drip
306 irrigated-site using *in situ* data. Finally, an evaluation of the method is undertaken using Landsat
307 data over both sites.

308 3.1 In situ evaluation of the proposed approach

309 The time series of retrieved SI and r_c over the flood site is shown in Figure 4. According to this
310 figure, daily patterns of SI and r_c are similar and respond perfectly to the water supply (rainfall or
311 irrigation). On one hand, after water supply, the soil moisture in the root zone increases and the
312 plant transpires at potential rate with no limitation and the values of r_c and SI tend to decrease.
313 On another hand, the absence of irrigation and rainfall (dry condition, e.g. from the end of April)
314 results in an increase in the root zone depletion and generates stress (SI increased). The increase
315 in soil water depletion is due to the removal of water by ET that induces water stress conditions
316 and then the stomatal closure which increases r_c . Consequently, it can be concluded that both the
317 variables follow similar trends. This leads to look if there is any relationship between both terms.
318 For this purpose, r_c is plotted against SI (Figure 5) by using *in situ* measurements (flood site).
319 When SI ranges from 0 to 0.4 which corresponds to unstressed vegetation with low LST values,
320 r_c values are scattered around a mean value of about 70 s m^{-1} which corresponds to the minimum
321 bulk surface resistance (r_{cmin}).

322 The obtained value of r_{cmin} is in agreement with values obtained for wheat crop by [Baldocchi](#)
323 (1994). When SI increases above a threshold value $SI = 0.4$, r_c increases linearly with SI. This
324 confirms the results reported by [Autovino et al. \(2016\)](#) and [Er-Raki et al. \(2016\)](#) who found a

325 similar shape for olive and orange orchards, respectively. The obtained relationship, which gives
326 the best fit between both terms, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} 327 \quad r_c &= r_{cmin} = 70 \text{ s.m}^{-1} && \text{for } SI < 0.4 \\ 328 \quad r_c &= d * SI + e && \text{for } SI \geq 0.4 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

329 where d and e are the calibration parameters, which are equal to 3000 s m^{-1} and -1130 s m^{-1} ,
330 respectively. Note that the values of r_{cmin} , d and e are expected to depend on local
331 meteorological data, crop and soil types.

332 The relationship of Equation (19) is validated by comparing the modelled and measured latent
333 heat flux for the drip-irrigated wheat site at Landsat overpass time (Figure 6). According to this
334 figure, an acceptable correlation is obtained between simulated and measured LE using the
335 proposed approach ($R^2 = 0.53$). The scatter of modelled LE estimates is probably due to the
336 uncertainties associated to the relatively small footprint of the *in situ* thermal radiometer.
337 Looking at the dynamics of actual LE and r_c values estimated by Equation (19) (not showed in
338 the manuscript), the proposed methodology for bulk resistance estimation allows for capturing
339 the variability of measured LE. The significant bias in simulated LE is probably due to the
340 underestimation of in situ LST, involving an overestimation of simulated LE especially during
341 the dry period (Rameló et al 2014; Ruhoff et al., 2013). Those explanations were added to the
342 revised version.

343 3.2 Spatial analysis

344 To overcome the spatial representativeness issue of *in situ* measurements and for further
345 evaluating the proposed model, Landsat data are used as input of the modified PM model. ET
346 estimates are spatialized within a $10 \times 10 \text{ km}^2$ area centred over the R3 sector which is mainly
347 covered by wheat crops. The R3 perimeter is occupied by different cultures (wheat, alfalfa,
348 orange, and olive), so before spatializing the ET, a land use has been performed in order to
349 distinguish between wheat and other crops. Figure 7 shows the spatial and temporal variations of
350 Landsat-derived LST and Fc over wheat crops. As the entire growing season of wheat was divided
351 into four growth stages namely: the initial, the development, the mid-season and the late season,
352 we choose to present one image for each stage (Figure 7). This figure shows that during the initial
353 stage (06/01/2016), most of the fields were under bare soil conditions characterised by low Fc and
354 high LST values depending spatially on the water supply and atmospheric conditions. In the

development stage (07/02/2016) an effective full cover is reached in some parcels while other ones are characterized by low F_c depending on the sowing date and the development of vegetation. This spatial variability of F_c has a direct effect on the variability of LST. When F_c reaches the maximum value at the mid-season (18/03/2016), spatial LST values are similar around 20 °C except for some pixels where the LST values are relatively higher (about 35 °C), which correspond to the non-cultivated parcels. At the last stage (29/05/2016), from the beginning of maturity until harvest or full senescence, wheat fields are characterized by low F_c and high LST values.

Our approach involves the energy balance model in order to assess the variation of LST in space and time for two extreme dry and wet conditions which depend on climatological conditions. Figure 8 shows the dry and wet LST maps for the selected four dates. These maps show that, in the coldest days in winter (06/01/2016), the LST_{dry} oscillated between 15 and 30 °C and the LST_{wet} ranged from 10 to 17 °C. In the other hand, for the hottest days in summer (29/05/2016), the LST_{dry} reached its maximum (50 °C) as well as the LST_{wet} that reached 30 °C.

The use of LST time series extracted from Landsat satellite and the dry and wet LST values computed using the energy balance model appears to be a good way to monitor water stress index for irrigation scheduling. Figure 9 presents the spatial distribution of SI over R3 perimeter at the different growth stages. The maps of this figure show that Landsat-derived SI consistently ranges between 0 and 1 all along the agricultural season, regardless of the vegetation cover fraction and LST values (see Figure 7). In fact, the use of F_c and LST data as input variables of the energy balance model to estimates LST_{dry} and LST_{wet} , allows taking into account all the growing stages of wheat crop. In particular, we can distinguish between the small vegetation (tillering stage) and the full developed one (mid-season stage). In this regard [Barbosa da Silva and Rao \(2005\)](#) estimated SI of cotton crop using LST, r_c and R_n . However, they did not take into account the vegetation parameters and their variability during the agricultural season. These parameters affect the aerodynamic resistance and hence both the sensible and latent heat fluxes.

In Figure 9, the pixels having a SI value close to 1 (red colour) are characterised by a high vegetation stress due to the mismatch between water supply and water requirement (late irrigation). The values of SI ranging between 0.3 and 0.6 are characterised by the onset of vegetation stress. This is due to the difficulty of the irrigation distribution at the right moment. Indeed, the water transported by gravity across the R3 channels may arrive to the fields before or after the optimal date ([Belaqziz et al., 2013](#); [Belaqziz et al., 2014](#)). Pixels with SI values around 0

387 correspond to un-stressed, meaning recently irrigated wheat. Following the evolution of SI, it
 388 appears that this index shows spatial and quantitative information about the method of irrigation
 389 distribution, and could be used to optimise the irrigation scheduling. Those results are consistent
 390 with the work of [Belaqziz et al. \(2013\)](#), who used another index named “Irrigated Priority Index
 391 (IPI)” in the same study area to manage the irrigation distribution. The IPI equation is mainly
 392 based on both the water stress level and irrigation dates of wheat crop. The main drawback of IPI
 393 is that it needs the amount of water supply as input, which is not the case of SI developed. This
 394 new index based on LST only might then be combined with IPI in order to detect and retrieve
 395 irrigation amount, information that is very difficult to obtain over large areas.

396 Figure 10 shows the spatial distribution of ET and its temporal variation across the season. We
 397 can observe a high variability of ET, which depends on the spatial heterogeneity of Fc, LST and
 398 SI over R3.

399 The spatial representation allowed to distinguish between the fields corresponding to stressed
 400 wheat (blue colour) where LE is lower and the field corresponding to un-stressed fields (other
 401 colour) that have been relatively well irrigated during the wheat growing stages, for high ET
 402 values. The obtained spatial and temporal variations of ET are in accordance with the spatio-
 403 temporal variability of Fc, LST and SI (see Figures 7, 9). To observe this more easily, the
 404 frequency histograms for remote sensing data (Fc, LST), SI and ET on one date 18/03/2016 are
 405 plotted in Figure 11. The choice of this date relies on the fact that the end of March summarises
 406 the history of wheat crop growth and its development from sowing date ([Karrou., 2003](#); [Hadria., 2006](#)).
 407 By analysing the different histograms, one can be concluded that the estimates LE are
 408 coherent with other surface properties (Fc, LST, and SI). Fc values in the higher range (larger
 409 than 0.8) have a high frequency/percentage. They correspond to the fields with low LST values
 410 (lower than 25 °C), which are associated to small values of surface aerodynamic resistance (large
 411 crop height) rather than to large water availability for wheat. On this date, our model computes a
 412 large amount of un-stressed areas with relatively small SI and large LE values. Those results
 413 seem to be representative of the real situation.

414 The land surface parameters (LST, Fc, and emissivity) are obtained from Landsat data. Therefore
 415 all cloudy data (images) are discarded. In addition, the Landsat-7 images include data gaps due to
 416 scan line corrector (SLC) failure on May 31 2013, which on some dates unfortunately covered the
 417 irrigated sites. The selected data are used for validating the predicted ET (Equation 4) against *in*
 418 *situ* ET for both flood and drip sites (Figure 12). As it can be observed in this figure, the proposed

approach allows to predict correctly the temporal dynamics of ET with an acceptable accuracy and a good correlation. The validations for the two sites resulted in R^2 of 0.76, 0.70 and a RMSE of 12, 13 W m^{-2} for flood-irrigated site and drip-irrigated site, respectively.

A further validation of the proposed approach was performed by comparing the measured ET with the ET simulated one under fully stressed ($\text{SI}=1$, $r_c = 1870 \text{ s m}^{-1}$) and un-stressed ($\text{SI}=0$, $r_c = 70 \text{ s m}^{-1}$) conditions. The obtained results are presented in the same Figure 12 under real meteorological conditions. As expected, the model simulates very low values of ET for $\text{SI}=1$ whereas it simulates high values of ET for $\text{SI}=0$. On some dates, the ET simulations with $\text{SI}=0$ ($r_c = 70 \text{ s m}^{-1}$) coincides with the ET estimated from Landsat-derived SI, which means that the fields were monitored in well-watered conditions ($\text{SI} < 0.4$). One key result is that the Landsat-derived SI ($0 < \text{SI} < 1$, $70 < r_c < 1870 \text{ s m}^{-1}$) provides much more accurate ET estimates over both validation sites than when assuming fully stressed ($\text{SI} = 1$, $r_c = 1870 \text{ s m}^{-1}$) or fully unstressed ($\text{SI}=0$, $r_c = 70 \text{ s m}^{-1}$) condition in the PM equation.

4. CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to use the PM equation to estimate the evapotranspiration (ET) over irrigated wheat crops of semi-arid areas. As the PM approach has been limited by the difficulties to estimate the bulk surface resistance (r_c) since it depends on several factors related to crop characteristics and agricultural practices, we proposed in this study to link r_c to the stress index (SI) derived from remotely sensed LST and to implement the developed relationship in the PM model. SI was estimated as the observed LST normalized by the LST simulated in fully wet and dry conditions using a surface energy balance model forced by meteorological forcing and vegetation fraction.

The approach was tested over a $10 \times 10 \text{ km}^2$ irrigated perimeter R3. The calibration/validation strategy implements two instrumented wheat sites with flood and drip irrigation and Landsat shortwave and thermal imagery during one growing season (2015-2016). The r_c retrieved from eddy covariance measurements over the flood-irrigated site (by inverting PM equation) was first correlated to SI. This relation was then tested over the drip-irrigated site using *in situ* measurements in order to simulate the surface ET. Next, this method was evaluated in terms of latent heat flux using Landsat temperature and reflectance data over both sites. The RMSE values

over drip and flood sites are 13 and 12 W m⁻², which correspond to the relative errors of 5 and 4%, respectively.

The proposed relationship between r_c and SI employed in the PM model holds great potential for estimating crop ET using remote sensing data. Moreover, the results reached in terms of detecting crop water stress, can be helpful to distinguish between the irrigated and non-irrigated areas, which could give a prevision of the wheat yield based on the IPI developed by [Belaqziz et al. \(2013\)](#). Note however that the proposed methodology has been tested over two wheat parcels only. Further calibration studies should be undertaken to investigate and understand the variability of r_c parameters over different crop types and surface conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was conducted within the International Joint Laboratory-TREMA (<http://trema.ucam.ac.ma>). This work was supported by the European Commission Horizon 2020 Programme for Research and Innovation (H2020) in the context of the Marie SkłodowskaCurie Research and Innovation Staff Exchange (RISE) action (REC project, grant agreement no: 645642), SAGESSE (PPR program funded by the Moroccan Ministry of Higher Education), and ANR AMETHYST project (ANR-12-TMED-0006-01).

REFERENCE

- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Howell, T.A., Jense, M.E. (2011). Evapotranspiration information reporting: I. Factors governing measurement Accuracy. *Agricultural Water Management*. 98, 899–920.
- Alves, I., Pereira, L.S. (2000). Modelling surface resistance from climatic variables? *Agricultural Water Management*. 42, 371–385.
- Autovino, D., Minacapilli M., Provenzano G. (2016). Modelling bulk surface resistance by MODIS data and assessment of MOD16A2 evapotranspiration product in an irrigation district of Southern Italy. *Agricultural Water Management*. 167, 86-94.
- Ayyoub, A., Khabba, S., Er-Raki, S., Merlin, O., Bahlaoui. (2017). A. Calibration and validation of the Penman-Monteith Model for estimating evapotranspiration of an orange orchard in semi-arid region, accepted. *Acta Horticulturae*. 1150, 15-22.

476 A. Ayyoub, S. Er-Raki, S. Khabba, O. Merlin, J. Ezzahar, J.C. Rodriguez, A. Bahlaoui, A.
 477 Chehbouni, A simple and alternative approach based on reference evapotranspiration and
 478 leaf area index for estimating tree transpiration in semi-arid regions, *Agricultural Water*
 479 *Management*, Volume 188, 2017, Pages 61-68, ISSN 0378-3774,
 480 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017.04.005>.

481 Baldocchi, D.D., Hicks, B.B., Meyers, T.P. (1988). Measuring biosphere-atmosphere exchanges
 482 of biologically related gases with micrometeorological methods. *Ecology* 69, 1331–1340.

483 Baldocchi D. (1994). A comparative study of mass and energy exchange over a closed (wheat) and
 484 an open (corn) canopy: I. The partitioning of available energy into latent and sensible heat
 485 exchange. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 67, 191-220.

486 Barbosa da Silva, B., and T.V. Ramana Rao. (2005). The CWSI variations of a cotton crop in a
 487 semi-arid region of Northeast Brazil. *Journal of Arid Environments*. 62, 649-659.

488 Belaqqiz S., Mangiarotti S., Le Page M., Khabba S., Er-Raki S., Agouti T., Drapeau L., Kharrou
 489 M.H., El Adnani M., Jarlan L. 2014. Irrigation scheduling of a classical gravity network
 490 based on the Covariance Matrix Adaptation - Evolutionary Strategy algorithm. *Computers*
 491 *and Electronics in Agriculture*, 102: 64–72.

492 Belaqqiz, S., Khabba, S., Er-Raki, S., Jarlan, L., Le Page, M.H., Kharrou, M., El Adnani, M.,
 493 Chehbouni, A. (2013). A new irrigation priority index based on remote sensing data for
 494 assessing the networks irrigation scheduling. *Agricultural Water Management*. 119, 1-9.

495 Boulet, G., Chehbouni, A., Braud, I., Vauclin M., Haverkamp, R., Zammit, C. (2000). A simple
 496 water and energy balance model designed for specialization and remote sensing data
 497 utilization. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 105, 117-132.

498 Boulet, G., Olioso, A., Ceschia, E., Marloie, O., Coudert, B. (2012). An empirical expression to
 499 relate aerodynamic and surface temperatures for use within single-source energy balance
 500 models. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, Elsevier Masson. 161, 148-155.

501 Braud, I., Dantas-Antonino, A. C., Vaulcin, M., Thröny, J. L and ruelle, P. (1995). A Simple
 502 Soil_Plant-Atmosphere Transfert model (SiSPAT), development and field verification.
 503 *Journal of Hydrology*. 166, 231-260.

504 Brisson, N., Mary, B., Ripoche, D., Jeuffroy, M. H. (1998). STICS: ageneric model for the
505 simulation of crops and their water and nitrogen balances. 1. Theory and parameterization
506 applied to wheat and corn. *Agronomie*. 18, 311-346.

507 Brutsaert, W. (1975). On a derivable formula for long-wave radiation from clear skies. *Water*
508 *Resources Research*. 11(5), 742–744.

509 Carlson T.N., Buffum M.J. (1989). On estimating total daily evapotranspiration from remote
510 sensing surface temperature measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 29(2), 197–
511 207.

512 Daamen, C.C., Simmonds, L.P., Wallace, J.S., Laryea, K.B., Sivakumar, M.V.K. (1993). Use of
513 microlysimeters to measure evaporation from sandy soils. *Agricultural and Forest*
514 *Meteorology*. 65, 159–173.

515 Debnath, S., Adamala, S., Raghuwanshi, N.S. 2015. Sensitivity Analysis of FAO-56 Penman-
516 Monteith Method for Different Agro-ecological Regions of India. *Environmental Processes*,
517 2 (4), pp. 689-704.

518 A. Diarra, L. Jarlan, S. Er-Raki, M. Le Page, G. Aouade, A. Tavernier, G. Boulet, J. Ezzahar, O.
519 Merlin, S. Khabba, Performance of the two-source energy budget (TSEB) model for the
520 monitoring of evapotranspiration over irrigated annual crops in North Africa, *Agricultural*
521 *Water Management*, Volume 193, 2017, Pages 71-88, ISSN 0378-3774,
522 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017.08.007>.

523 Edwards, W.R.N. (1986). Precision weighing lysimetry for trees, using a simplified tared-balance
524 design. *Tree Physiology*. 1, 127–144.

525 Er-Raki, S., Ezzahar, J., Khabba, S., Jarlan, L., Kharrou, M.H., and Chehbouni, G. (2013).
526 Micrometeorology Tools for Measuring Evapotranspiration from the Leaf to the Region. In:
527 S. Er-Raki (Eds.), *Evapotranspiration: Processes, Sources and Environmental Implications*.
528 Nova Publishers, ISBN: 978-1-62417-138-3. 1-22.

529 Er-Raki, S., Amazirh, A., Ayyoub, A., Khabba, S., Merlin, O., Ezzahar, J., Chehbouni, A. (2016).
530 Integrating thermal surface temperature into Penman-Monteith model for estimating crop
531 water stress and evapotranspiration of an orange orchad in semi-arid region. *Acta*
532 *Horticulturae journal*, in press.

533 Er-Raki, S., Rodriguez, J.C., Garatuza, J.P., Watts, C., Chehbouni, G. (2013). Determination of
534 crop evapotranspiration of table grapes in a semi-arid region of Northwest Mexico using
535 multi-spectral vegetation index. *Agricultural Water Management*.122, 12–19.

536 Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Hoedjes, J., Ezzahar, J., Duchemin, B., Jacob, F. (2008).
537 Improvement of FAO-56 method for olive orchards through sequential assimilation of
538 Thermal infrared based estimates of ET. *Agricultural Water Management*. 95, 309–321.

539 Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Guemouria, N., Duchemin, B., Ezzahar, J., Hadria, R. (2007).
540 Combining FAO-56 model and ground-based remote sensing to estimate water
541 consumptions of wheat crops in a semi-arid region. *Agricultural Water Management*. 87, 41-
542 54.

543 Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Guemouria, N., Ezzahar, J., Khabba, S., Boulet, G. and Hanich. L.
544 (2009). Citrus orchard evapotranspiration: Comparison between eddy covariance
545 measurements and the FAO 56 approach estimates. *Plant Biosystems*. 143 (1), 201-208.

546 Er-Raki, S., Chehbouni, A., Boulet, G., Williams, D.G. (2010). Using the dual approach of FAO-
547 56 for partitioning ET into soil and plant components for olive orchards in a semi-arid
548 region. *Agricultural Water Management*. 97, 1769–1778.

549 Ezzahar J., Chehbouni A., Er-Raki S., and L. Hanich. (2009). Combining a Large Aperture
550 Scintillometer and estimates of available energy to derive evapotranspiration over several
551 agricultural fields in semi-arid regions. *Plant Biosystems*. 143, 209-221.

552 Ezzahar J. and Chehbouni A. (2009). The use of the scintillometry for validating the spatial and
553 temporal aggregation schema over heterogeneous grid. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*.
554 149, 2098-2109.

555 Gentine, P., Entekhabi, D., Chehbouni, A., Boulet, G. and Duchemin, B. (2007). Analysis of
556 evaporative fraction diurnal behaviour. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 143(1-2), 13-
557 29.

558 Granger R.J. (2000). Satellite-derived estimates of evapotranspiration in the Gediz Basin. *Journal*
559 *of Hydrology*. 229, 70–76.

560 Hadria, R. (2006). Adaptation et spatialisation du modèle de cultures STICS pour la gestion d'un
 561 périmètre céréalier irrigué en milieu semi-aride. Thèse de doctorat, Faculté des Sciences
 562 Semlalia, Marrakech, Maroc. 174.

563 Hatfield, J.L. (1983). Evapotranspiration Obtained from Remote Sensing Methods. *Advances in*
 564 *Irrigation*. 2, 395–416.

565 Jackson, R.D., Idso, S.B., Reginato, R.J. (1981). Canopy temperature as a crop water stress
 566 indicator. *Water Resources Research*. 17, 1133–1138.

567 Jarvis, P.G. (1976). The interpretation of the variations in leaf water potential and stomatal
 568 conductance found in canopies in the field. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*.
 569 273, 593–610.

570 Jin, X., Yang, G., Xue, X., Xu, X., Li, Z., Feng, H. 2017. Validation of two Huanjing-1A/B
 571 satellite-based FAO-56 models for estimating winter wheat crop evapotranspiration during
 572 mid-season. *Agricultural Water Management*, 189, pp. 27-38.

573 Kalma, J.D., McVicar, T.R., McCabe, M.F. Estimating land surface evaporation. (2008). A review
 574 of methods using remotely sensed surface temperature data. *Surveys in Geophysics*. 29,
 575 421–469.

576 Karrou, M. (2003). Conduite du blé au Maroc. INRA éditions, Rabat.

577 Katerji, N., Perrier, A. (1983). Modélisation de l'évapotranspiration réelle ETR d'une parcelle de
 578 luzerne: Rôle d'un coefficient cultural. *Agronomie*. 3, 513–521.

579 Katerji, N., Hamdy, A., Raad, A., Mastrorilli, M. (1991). Conséquence d'une contrainte hydrique
 580 appliquée à différents stades phénologiques sur le rendement des plantes de poivron.
 581 *Agronomie*. 11, 679–687.

582 Katerji N. and Rana G. (2006). Modelling evapotranspiration of six irrigated crops under
 583 Mediterranean climate conditions. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 138, 142-155.

584 Kohsiek, W., Meijninger, W.M.L., Moene, A.F., Heusinkveld, B.G., Hartogensis, O.K., Hillen,
 585 W.C.A.M., H.A.R. de Bruin. (2002). An extra large aperture scintillometer for long range
 586 application. *Boundary Layer Meteorology*. 105, 119-127.

587 Kustas, W.P., Norman, J.M. (1996). Use of remote sensing for evapotranspiration monitoring over
588 land surfaces. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*. 41, 495–516.

589 Li, Z.L., Tang, R., Wan, Z., Bi, Y., Zhou, C., Tang, B., Yan, G., Zhang, X. (2009). A review of
590 current methodologies for regional evapotranspiration estimation from remotely sensed data.
591 *Sensors*. 9, 3801–3853.

592 Menenti, M., Choudhury, B.J. (1993). Parameterization of land surface evaporation by means of
593 location dependent potential evaporation and surface temperature range. Exchange processes
594 at the land surface for a range of space and time scales, Yokohama, Japan, IAHS.

595 Merlin, O. (2013). An original interpretation of the wet edge of the surface temperature–albedo
596 space to estimate crop evapotranspiration (SEB–1S), and its validation over an irrigated area
597 in northwestern Mexico. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*. 17, 3623–3637.

598 Merlin, O., Chirouze, J., Olioso, A., Jarlan, L., Chehbouni, A., Boulet, G. (2014). An image–based
599 four–source surface energy balance model to estimate crop evapotranspiration from solar
600 reflectance/thermal emission data (SEB–4S). *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 184,
601 188–203.

602 Merlin, O., Stefan, V. G., Amazirh, A., Chanzy, A., Ceschia, E., Er-Raki, S., & Beringer, J.
603 (2016). Modeling soil evaporation efficiency in a range of soil and atmospheric conditions
604 using a meta-analysis approach. *Water Resources Research*. 52(5), 3663–3684.

605 Moran, M.S., Jackson, R.D. (1991). Assessing the spatial distribution of evapotranspiration using
606 remotely sensed inputs. *J. Journal of Environmental Quality*. 20, 525–737.

607 Moran, M. S., Clarke T. R., Inoue, Y., Vidal, A. (1994). Estimating crop water deficit using the
608 relation between surface-air temperature and spectral vegetation index. *Remote Sensing of*
609 *Environment*. 49(3), 246–263.

610 Moran, M. S. (2004). Thermal in frared measurement as an indicator of ecosystem health.
611 *Thermal remote sensing in land surface processes*. D. Quattrochi. CRC – Taylor & Francis.
612 257–282.

613 Motisi, A., Consoli, S., Papa, R., Cammalleri, C., Rossi, F., Minacapilli, M., Rallo, G. 2012. Eddy
614 covariance and sap flow measurement of energy and mass exchanges of woody crops in a
615 Mediterranean environment. *Acta Horticulturae*, 951, pp. 121–128.

616 Noilhan, J., Mahfouf, J.F. (1996). The ISBA land surface parameterisation scheme. *Global and*
617 *Planetary Change*. 13, 145–159.

618 Olioso, A.; Mira, M., Courault, D., Marloie, O., Guillevic, P. (2013). Impact of surface emissivity
619 and atmospheric conditions on surface temperatures estimated from top of canopy brightness
620 temperatures derived from Landsat 7 data. In *Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International*
621 *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, Melbourne, Australia. 3033–3036.

622 Philipp Drerup, Holger Brueck, Heinrich W. Scherer, *Evapotranspiration of winter wheat*
623 *estimated with the FAO 56 approach and NDVI measurements in a temperate humid climate*
624 *of NW Europe*, *Agricultural Water Management*, Volume 192, 2017, Pages 180-188, ISSN
625 0378-3774, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017.07.010>.

626 Prihodko, I., Goward, S. N. (1997). Estimation of air temperature from remotely sensed surface
627 observations. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 60(3), 335-346.

628 Raes, D., Steduto, P., Hsiao, T.C., Fereres, E., 2009. AquaCrop-the FAO crop model to simulate
629 yield response to water: II. Main algorithms and software description. *Agronomy Journal*.
630 101, 438–447.

631 Rallo, G., Baiamonte, G., Manzano Juárez, J., Provenzano, G. 2014. Improvement of FAO-56
632 model to estimate transpiration fluxes of drought tolerant crops under soil water deficit:
633 Application for olive groves. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 140 (9), art.
634 no. A4014001.

635 Rallo, G., González-Altozano, P., Manzano-Juárez, J., Provenzano, G. 2017. Using field
636 measurements and FAO-56 model to assess the eco-physiological response of citrus
637 orchards under regulated deficit irrigation. *Agricultural Water Management*, 180, pp. 136-
638 147.

639 Ramoelo, A., Majozi, N., Mathieu, R., Jovanovic, N., Nickless, A., Dzikiti, S., 2014. Validation of
640 global evapotranspiration product (MOD16) using flux tower data in the African savanna,
641 South Africa. *Remote Sens*. 6 (8), 7406–7423.

642 Rana, G., Katerji, N., de Lorenzi, F. (2005). Measurement and modelling of evapotranspiration of
643 irrigated citrus orchard under Mediterranean conditions. *Agricultural and Forest*
644 *Meteorology*. 128, 199–209.

Ray G. Anderson, Joseph G. Alfieri, Rebecca Tirado-Corbalá, Jim Gartung, Lynn G. McKee, John H. Prueger, Dong Wang, James E. Ayars, William P. Kustas, Assessing FAO-56 dual crop coefficients using eddy covariance flux partitioning, *Agricultural Water Management*, Volume 179, 2017, Pages 92-102, ISSN 0378-3774, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.07.027>.

Ritchie, J.T. (1986). The CERES-Maize model. In: Jones, C.A., Kiniry, J.R. (Eds.), *CERES-Maize: Simulation Model of Maize Growth and Development*. Texas A M University press, College Station, TX. 3–6.

Ruhoff, A.L., Paz, A.R., Aragao, L.E.O.C., Mu, Q., Malhi, Y., Collischonn, W., Rocha, H.R., Running, S.W., 2013. Assessment of the MODIS global evapotranspirational algorithm using eddy covariance measurements and hydrological modelling in the Rio Grande basin. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 58, 1–19, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2013.837578>.

Sandholt, I Rasmussen, K., Andersen. J. (2002). A simple interpretation of the temperature/vegetation index space for assessment of surface moisture status. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 79(2-3), 213-224.

Stefan, V. G., Merlin, O., Er-Raki, S., Escorihuela, M. J., & Khabba, S. (2015). Consistency between in situ, model-derived and high-resolution-image-based soil temperature endmembers: Towards a robust data-based model for multi-resolution monitoring of crop evapotranspiration. *Remote Sensing*. 7(8), 10444-10479.

Su, Z. (2002). The Surface Energy Balance (SEBS) for estimation of turbulent heat fluxes. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*. 6(1), 85-99.

Tardy, B., Rivalland, V., Huc, M., Olivier, H., Sebastien, M., Gilles, B. (2016). A Software Tool for Atmospheric Correction and Surface Temperature Estimation of Landsat Infrared Thermal Data. *Remote Sensing*. 1-24.

Scott, R.W.C., Garatuza-Payan, J., Edwards, E., Goodrich, D.C., Williams, D.G., Shuttleworth, W.J. (2003). The understory and overstory partitioning of energy and water fluxes in an open canopy, semi-arid woodland. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 114, 127-139.

Smith, D.M., Allen, S.J. (1996). Measurement of sap flow in plant stems. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 47, 1833–1844.

674 Testi L., Villalobos F.J., Orgaz F. (2004). Evapotranspiration of a young irrigated olive orchard in
675 southern Spain. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 121, 1-18.

676 Wan, Z., Wang, P. & LI, X. (2004): Using MODIS Land Surface Temperature and Normalized
677 Difference Vegetation Index products for monitoring drought in the southern Great Plains,
678 USA. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 25(1), 61–72.

679 WANG, P.-X., LI, X.-W., GONG, J.-Y., and SONG, C.-H. 2001. Vegetation temperature
680 condition index and its application for drought monitoring. *Proceedings of International
681 Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, 9–14 July 2001, Sydney, Australia
682 (Piscataway, NJ: IEEE). 141–143.

683 Wang, C.Y., Qi, S.H., Niu, Z., Wang, J.B. (2004). Evaluating soil moisture status in China using
684 the temperature-vegetation dryness index (TVDI). *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*. 30
685 (5), 671–679.