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In his last work The Crisis of European Sciences and Transcendental Phenomenology Edmund Husserl introduced the concept of the life-world drawing a clear line between the subjective, immediate experience of everyday life and the objective ‘worlds’ of the sciences. In his view, the ‘life-world’ included individual, social, perceptual and practical experiences.¹
The concept was further developed and reassessed by philosophers among whom, according to Taylor Carman, Merleau-Ponty’s phenomenology stands out, amounting ‘to a radical, if discreet, departure not only from Husserl’s theory of intentionality generally, but more specifically from his account of the intentional constitution of the body and its role in perceptual experience.’² As Carman stresses in his discussion on the body in Husserl and Merleau-Ponty, the phenomenology of Merleau-Ponty engages the body in the task of perceiving and experiencing life:

thought and sensation as such occur only against a background of perceptual activity that we always already understand in bodily terms, by engaging in it. Moreover, the body undercuts the supposed dichotomy between the transparency of consciousness and the opacity of objective reality.³

In The Implications of Immanence: Towards a New Concept of Life Leonard Lawlor’s central hypothesis is that Husserl (and phenomenology more generally) ‘does not think the abyss in between the senses; he thinks the space of sense (or the space of meaning), but not the space

³ Carman, 206.
that we would have to call nonsense.'⁴ According to Lawlor, because Husserl thinks the ‘space of sense’ and not the ‘space of nonsense,’ not ‘the hollowed-out space in the middle of the knot of the double senses of Vorhandenheit,’⁵ the ambiguity between the psychological sphere of experience and the transcendental sphere of experience, he claims that Husserl’s project is epistemological rather than ontological. Lawlor argues phenomenological thought needs now to address this ontological aspect of experience.

Drawing from those two critical viewpoints on phenomenology, the pre-eminence of the body in Merleau-Ponty’s philosophy (Carman) on the one hand, and the lack of ontological questioning in phenomenology in general (Lawlor) on the other, how can the representations of place, space, landscape and movement in Colum McCann’s recent novel TransAtlantic (published in 2013) be read as an illustration of what Lawlor calls ‘life-ism?’ For Lawlor, ‘life-ism’ lies in the completion of immanence and is defined by a non-place, a ‘hiatus’. To define this non-place, this ‘hiatus’ in which life-ism lies, he starts from what he defines as an ‘imperative from Merleau-Ponty’:

we must obey this imperative if we want to conceive an archê, an origin or a principle, such as the principle of life.⁶ Lawlor defines the non-place of life-ism as ‘neither positive nor negative, as neither infinite nor finite, as neither internal nor external, as neither objective nor subjective; it can be thought through neither idealism nor realism, through neither finalism (or teleology) nor mechanism, through neither determinism nor indeterminism, through neither humanism nor naturalism, through neither metaphysics nor physics.⁷

Lawlor further explains how, for Merleau-Ponty there must be no separation between the two

---

⁶ Lawlor, 3.
⁷ Lawlor, 3.
poles, nor no coincidence, thus excluding Platonism (separation) and Aristotelianism (coincidence). Merleau-Ponty’s imperative, Lawlor argues is that ‘instead of either a separation or a coincidence, there must be a ‘hiatus,’ un écart, which mixes the two together,’ and that this hiatus, death itself, ‘defines life-ism.’

In the wake of Merleau-Ponty’s insistence on the role of the body in the perception and definition of life-worlds, I will argue in this chapter that in TransAtlantic, the recurrent place described as ‘the cottage on the lough’ can be interpreted both as a variation of the ‘space in-between’ which haunts McCann’s entire work, but also as the embodiment of the ‘hiatus’ described by Lawlor and represented in McCann’s fiction as a tension between life and death and the urge to inhabit the present moment.

McCann’s fiction is known for the vast and ambitious representations of cosmopolitan space, depicting long-distance displacements across and, critically, beyond Europe over different chronological moments and historical periods. In all novels and short stories since 1998, McCann’s characters have travelled long distances and often exiled themselves from their native homes to start new lives afresh in foreign lands. Whereas in Songdogs, Dancer or Zoli the main characters journeyed across Europe or even danced the world over, in This Side of Brightness and Let the Great World Spin the characters investigated the horizontal and vertical possibilities of New York city cosmopolitan space, both above and underground. In all of McCann’s narratives, readers and characters alike leap from one narrative to another, one period to the next, and from one space to another. In Let the Great World Spin he introduces the in-between space as the funambulist’s journey across the twin towers of the World Trade Center which is also woven in between the chapters and puzzles the readers as much as the onlookers gazing up at the minuscule silhouette high up in the air. In

---
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TransAtlantic, however, while representing great transatlantic distances and emigrant spaces between generations, the narrative also reveals a deep reflection on place. In representing an attachment to place and the influence of heritage and history on the lives and actions of generations, the narrative also sheds new light on our contemporary world. In particular, there is a clear focus on a specific place referred to in the narrative as ‘the cottage on the lough,’ situated on Strangford Lough, south of Belfast in Northern Ireland. It lies at the intersection between the spatial, the historical and the narrative lines of the novel. Through the use of language in his fiction, how does McCann manage to embody the minuscule hiatus which ignites creation and life? The cottage on the lough embodies the notions of circulation and transmission at play in the narrative. What is the nature of the space in-between which McCann relentlessly describes throughout his work, and to what extent does it correspond to Lawlor’s definition of life-ism as immanence, as that ontological question which he deemed was lacking in classical phenomenology? The cottage on the lough will first be critically examined as a life-world in itself, in the sense of a place of strong anchorage and attachment. The contradictions at stake in this life-world will also be delved into. In her introduction to Irish Literature in the Celtic Tiger Years 1990 to 2008: Gender, Bodies, Memory, Susan Cahill argues that McCann ‘foreground[s] the materiality of the body; [his] writing is material in its interests and concerns.’10 Although I agree with this statement I wish to show that McCann’s writing is not only material nor concerned with notions of redemption11, but profoundly hints at the ontological status and meaning of life. How does the cottage on the lough blend local and global scales of perception, rendering the representation of the cottage as a somewhat paradoxical place, at once to be inhabited and embodied; at once finite and infinite, to echo Merleau-Ponty’s phenomenological imperative?12 The unceasing criss-
crossing of lifelines and storylines, in McCann’s writing echoes anthropologist Tim Ingold’s conception of place as run through by incessant movement, hence assigning a fundamental role to movement in McCann’s fiction. To what extent does the representation of the cottage on the lough as a life-world open up a new perspective on our contemporary world, transforming our relationship to time and place in a world governed by speed and global information?

In *TransAtlantic*, McCann describes three historical journeys from America to Ireland: that of former slave Frederick Douglass in 1845 from Boston to Dublin; the air travel of aviators John Alcock and Arthur Brown on their first transatlantic flight from St John’s Newfoundland to Galway in 1919; and finally the political passage of Senator George Mitchell one of the key architects of the 1998 Good Friday Agreement, who spent months commuting between Belfast and New York City where he lived during that time. For various reasons, those three dates (1845, 1919, 1998) are key moments in the history of Ireland and modern history, because all three journeys express a desire for peace: promoting emancipation from slavery in 1845, joining two worlds after World War I in 1919, and formalizing the Northern Ireland Peace Process in 1998. The singularity of McCann’s narrative is that those three journeys are intermingled with the saga of one fictional family over five generations, starting with Lily Duggan who was a maid at Mr Webb’s house when Frederick Douglass stayed there in Dublin in 1845. Very much influenced by his speeches on freedom and democracy, Lily emigrated to America that same year. The structure of the novel is therefore distinctive, all the more so as it induces a special mode of reading, which in turn informs the overall interpretation. The spatial construction of the narrative and the reading process the reader is embarked on when reading the novel mimic the journey back and forth across the Atlantic and the criss-crossing of lives and destinies. It is divided into three
‘Books’, where Books I and II are themselves divided into three distinct long chapters referred to by dates, Book III is entitled ‘Garden of Remembrance’ and only concerns/brings us up to 2011. As a historically-based novel, the chapters are not arranged in any strict chronological order, but start in 2012 and then continue in 1919 and 1845 before leaping to 1998, returning to 1979 and finally ending in 2011. Two major tendencies can be observed. The first is the persistence of a chronological progression in Book II and III, even if chapters referring to periods in-between have been dealt with in Book I. The second is that the general movement is circular. The pattern is not that of the perfect circle or loop, beginning in 2012 and ending in 2011, but it nevertheless leads the reader to open the novel again once the first reading is over. He is in fact made to resume his reading and read again the 2012 prologue, since this second reading, after the first reading is completed, is the only way of actually making sense of the 2012-section, that is the opening of the novel. All along the narrative the same characters return front stage from time to time, so that the reader in fact comes across Lily Duggan’s descendants, Emily and Lottie, before Lily Duggan is actually introduced to him.

I The Life-world of the ‘Cottage on the Lough’

Alongside the crisscrossing of characters and the historical leaps the reader is forced to make from one period to the next and geographically from one continent to the other, the cottage on the lough becomes a place of anchoring, both at the level of the storyline over the generations of Lily Duggan’s descendants, and at the level of the narrative as it becomes a spatial reference point to the reader. But as an element of stability over time, the ‘cottage on the lough’ is also open to the chaotic influence of outside events. In that respect, the cottage is an excellent example of a life-world as a place lived in and experienced by various people over a long period of time. As it survived financial crashes
(1929, 2008), a world war (1939-45) as well as the Troubles (1968-1998), the cottage is presented as a key witness to all these life changes and to the continuity of the place as a unique lived-space. The cottage was gifted to Lily Duggan’s granddaughter Lottie and her husband Ambrose Tuttle by his family as a wedding present on the eve of the 1929 crash, and was then passed on from generation to generation until 2011 and the post Celtic-Tiger financial crash. In 1929 the cottage was transmitted in a continuity to its initial vocation as a second home, a place to relax, live moments of happiness whether on one’s own in communion with nature or in a group with other members of the extended family and friends. Stangford Lough being situated between County Donegal in the Republic of Ireland, and County London/Derry in Northern Ireland. As such it occupies an ‘in-between’ water-space and natural border between two counties, two jurisdictions, and two states. Moreover, as a home and a kind of anchor on an island in the middle of Strangford Lough, it is a place of shelter from the agitation of the times and one to which people have been returning regularly. It is sheltered and yet not immune to the invasion of the outside world (Thomas is murdered there during the Troubles and the recession threatens the cottage directly). It is the epitome of lived-space and yet it lies in a sort of non-place, in-between political, geographic and administrative borders. The reader experiences much the same thing, since, although the narrative of *TransAtlantic* is not chronological, the story takes place at the cottage on the lough in half the sequences, giving the reader the impression that he is very familiar with the place. And yet, skipping from one period to the other as the narrative does, the reader is given a kaleidoscopic vision of the cottage, at once varied and constant, open and closed, completed and incomplete. The novel is framed overall by two contemporary sequences taking place in 2011 and 2012 at the cottage, emphasizing the function of the cottage as a fundamental place on a narrative as well as structural level. Handed down to Lottie and Ambrose Tuttle on their wedding day in 1929 in Book 2, the cottage logically stands as the point of reference in the
subsequent chapters of Book 2 and is the object of Book 3. But the cottage is already described in the third sequence of Book 1 when Lottie Tuttle, then a very old woman, first meets Senator Mitchell on a tennis court where he relaxes during the 1998 Peace Talks. They introduce each other and the way Lottie insists on the details of her address in Strangford Lough, are eloquent of how crucial the geographical nature of the place is:

- I live out by the peninsula. Strangford.
- Ah, he said. I’ve heard of it. The lake.
- Indeed. The lough. You should come visit, Senator. You’d be most welcome. We’ve a small cottage on the water.\(^{13}\)

Etymologically, the word ‘peninsula’ comes from a Greek word meaning ‘almost an island’. Lottie Tuttle therefore insists on the fact that the cottage is on the lough, off Strangford, itself not quite separated from the mainland, but nonetheless not quite like the mainland. She also insists on its being Irish (a lough and not a lake) as well as on its intimacy with the water (‘on the water.’\(^{14}\)) The intimate nature of the place itself is underlined by the adjective ‘small.’ Therefore, just as it is embedded in the narrative while at the same time framing it, the cottage is a life-world of its own, both open and secluded, as Lottie’s warm invitation to Senator Mitchell (‘You’d be most welcome’) illustrates. It is also wrought by various inner and exterior tensions and therefore corresponds perfectly to the phenomenological definition of a life-world as a place characterized by living habits, emotions, sounds and smells. It is a lived place in which sensorial perception is paramount, as the routines and rituals of seasons follow one another, waves lap up against the shore and the seagulls drop oyster shells on the roof. Both the continuity and slight changes in the landscape from sequence to sequence, as the generations succeed one another, inscribe the world of the cottage on the lough in the long

---


\(^{14}\) My emphasis
term of life. Just as its thatched roof of 1929 is later replaced by slates, the same blue half-door welcomes visitors and the tidal lough gives the pace and beat of the place:

The lake was tidal. It seemed to stretch forever to the east, rising and falling like a breathing thing. A pair of geese went across the sky, their long necks craned. They soared in over the cottage and away. They looked as if they were pulling the colour out of the sky. The movement of clouds shaped out the wind. The waves came in and applauded against the shore. The languid kelp rose and fell with the swells. (McCann 2013, 220)

In the same way as the cottage was transmitted as a family inheritance across the generations, this description, and in particular the reference to the birds, links it to other parts of the novel. The geese across the sky are historically associated with ancient Irish heritage and mythology, the ‘wild geese’ of the Gaelic Ulster lords of the early modern period, and with a painting given by Jon Ehrlich to Lily Duggan during their lives as husband and wife in Missouri at the end of the nineteenth century. The long necks of the geese, described as ‘craned’, also link this text to McCann’s other novels in which cranes are a recurrent motif, whether as animals or as engines.15 The cottage on the lough is undoubtedly at the intersection of exchanges, meetings and reunions on different scales. It is a place where generations meet, but also where local and global issues converge sometimes in a conflicting way.

The cottage as a life-world is comprises of a complex network of tensions and contradictions. Geographically speaking, it is on an island cut off from the mainland on a lough itself separated from the sea only by a small arm of water. It is therefore neither

enclosed, nor open. It is a place where the dramas of family life (weddings, births, separations, deaths) have been played out over generations against a backdrop of historical events: the 1929 crash, WWII, the Troubles, the post Celtic-Tiger recession. At all times, the cottage has been a refuge but not a place unscathed by global events. Lottie’s grandson was killed on the lough during the Troubles only yards away from the cottage. After 2008, Hannah is ruined and many want to buy the land to develop it. All those tensions portray the cottage by the lough not only as a stable, sheltering place but also as a space of becoming undergoing changes over time, adapting itself to history. In his book Being Alive: Essays on Movement, Knowledge and Description, Tim Ingold defines place as cut-through of myriads of stories in a way which befits the cottage on the lough particularly well:

[space is] a world of incessant movement and becoming, one that is never complete but continually under construction, woven from the countless lifelines of its manifold human and non-human constituents as they thread their ways through the tangle of relationships in which they are comprehensively enmeshed.16

In other words, space is not a flat, abstract, two-dimensional surface deprived of temporality, nor is it a lived-in enclosed portion of abstract space. In place, time and space are intimately connected. This notion of place as a meshwork is also echoed in the works of geographer Doreen Massey17. Like Ingold, she criticizes a certain conception of Kantian space seen ‘as a static slice through time, as representation, as a closed system and so forth.’18 Analyzing the static conception of space as a way of ‘taming’ and controlling space, she emphasizes what she calls its ‘real import’:

The coeval multiplicity of other trajectories and the necessary outward-lookingness of a spatialised subjectivity […]. If time is to be open to a future of the new then space

---

cannot be equated with the closures and horizontalities of representation. More generally, if time is to be open then space must be open too. Conceptualizing space as open, multiple and relational, unfinished and always becoming, is a prerequisite for history to be open and thus a prerequisite, too, for the possibility of politics.¹⁹

McCann’s representations of space in *TransAtlantic* brilliantly illustrate this conception of space as open, multiple and relational, unfinished and always becoming. To show this, we will focus on two precise examples: a painting and a letter.

The cottage on the lough not only frames the novel structurally, weaving its presence along the decades in the life of the Tuttle family, it is also implicitly hinted at more subtly throughout the narrative. This silent presence contributes to the ‘meshing’ of the cottage on the lough as a life-world at deep narrative level. In the first sequence of Book 2, Lily Duggan is offered a painting by her husband Jon Ehrlich who bought it on one of his trips. This is the end of the 19th century, the family lives in Missouri running an ice-making industry. The painting depicts a typically conventional landscape corresponding to the archetype of how Jon Ehrlich is supposed to have imagined Ireland:

A riverside in Ireland. An arched bridge. A row of overhanging trees. A distant cottage. Lily did not know what to say. She reached out and touched the framed edge of the painting. Looking into it was like looking out another window. Clouds. Fast water. Geese gunneling through the sky. (McCann 2013, 171)

The painting opposes Ehrlich’s representation of a ‘typical’ Irish landscape to Lily’s experience of Ireland and her subjective perception of a lived place. On receiving the painting, she is asked to greet it as reliable memory of the landscape of her native country. But her comments betray memories of lived space, a subjectively perceived experience of a place

¹⁹ Massey Doreen (2005), 59.
impossible to tell about, and differing entirely from what the painting conventionally portrays. When she eventually tells her own story of Ireland, it has nothing to do with the picture of a cottage and a bridge over a river, but it is the story of her relationships with the people she lived with. The place she remembers then is defined by those relationships and just as she remembers her parents as drunkards, it seems to her the whole place drank:

She had been a child of deviants in Dublin, she said. Drunkards. She had never told a soul before. She had tried to forget it. She expected no judgment and wanted no pity. Her father drank. Her mother drank. Sometimes it seemed that the rats drank, the doors drank, the lintels drank, the roof drank, too. [...] No part of Ireland had ever vaguely resembled the canvas Jo Ehrlich had brought home. (McCann 2013, 172)

However the description made by the narrator of the painting is crucial at another level because it links the conventional representation of Lily’s Ireland to her granddaughter’s future cottage by the lough. The same words ‘geese gunnelling through the sky’ are used to describe the cottage in the painting as well as, later, Lottie and Hannah’s cottage on the lough: ‘a flock of brent geese came gunneling over the lough, bringing with them their own mystery, low over the water.’ (McCann 2013, 249) The archetypal Irish cottage landscape and the flying geese symbolically link all the female characters in the novel to Ireland, and more specifically Lily Duggan to Hannah and to the cottage on the lough Lily never got to know. It endows the cottage as a life-world, with the silent, subtle and symbolic heritage of an imposed vision of a framed Irish landscape, as well as with her own history in a poor family. By contrast the cottage on the lough appears as a life-world coming alive through relationships and movement, and becoming the meeting point of lifelines over the years. In the same vein, the letter which circulates from one end of the novel to the other emphasizes the role of the cottage as part of the ‘meshwork’ described by Massey?
As Hannah, Lottie’s daughter, begins Book 3 as a narrator, the family saga nears its end. Hannah relates the fate of the cottage on the lough in those post Celtic Tiger years as she faces the dilemma of having to sell up the cottage. She tells how the cottage was saved both because she was open to the future and able to imagine a new life for it, and able to turn towards strangers outside the family. She also tells how this rescue was already inscribed in the past and in the history of the family. Hannah’s story is a story interlacing the past and the future, the intimacy of a family and the openness to other stories and histories. Manyaki, the Kenyan academic who eventually buys and saves the cottage with his Irish wife Aoibheann, offering to Hannah to go on living on the premises, had become intimate with the cottage because of a letter which had been circulating in the Ehrlich family ever since Emily and Lottie witnessed the first transatlantic flight in 1919. At the time, the young Lottie had given the letter to one of the aviators to be delivered to a lady who had helped her grandmother Lily Duggan emigrate to America during the Famine. But the letter was never delivered, and Lottie comes in possession of the letter again, the same day as she meets her future husband with whom she will later inherit the cottage. The letter is then still unopened but very intimately linked to the cottage both at the level of the storyline and at the level of the narrative. Just as the cottage on the lough, passed on from generation to generation down to Hannah,

The letter has been passed from daughter to daughter, and through a succession of lives. I am almost half the letter’s age, and have no daughter to whom I can pass it along, and there are times I admit that I have sat at the kitchen table, looking out over the lough, and have rubbed the edges of the envelope and held it in the palm of my hand to try to divine what the contents might be, but, just as we are knotted by wars, so mystery holds us together. (McCann 2013, 248)

The letter therefore links the cottage to the rest of the story in more than one sense. Sitting in the kitchen of the cottage, rubbing the edges of the envelope trying to guess what its contents
are, Hannah decides to find an expert, in the hope that the letter is worth enough money to save the cottage from being sold. Just as the former black slave Frederick Douglass had opened a window into Lily Duggan’s future, Manyaki the Kenyan thus brings about the possibility of a future for Lily Duggan’s descendant and her cottage. The shape of the narrative and the motif of circulation and transmission from daughter to daughter, as well as the echoes between the two black men Frederick Douglass and the Kenyan academic Manyaki underline the status of the cottage on the lough as a place where lifelines and storylines meet, blend and then go on their independent ways. Much in the same way as the limits of the novel itself have spilled over the official frame of the narrative, the opening 2012-prologue being literally outside the page count, the cottage is a life-world able to expand with time, as it links generations and stretches towards others outside the first/initial circle of the family. Thus doing, it pinpoints the pre-eminence of movement as a key element to read TransAtlantic and Colum McCann’s work as a whole. Movement reshuffles the perception of what belonging to a place might mean. The cottage on the lough is not a life-world closed up upon itself within the confines of the peninsula and the mainland, but a place to which generations are attached differently.

II Wayfaring and Journeying between Life-worlds

The lifelines running through the cottage on the lough and all along the novel are intertwined with other lifelines and storylines over the Atlantic. As Ingold remarks ‘The wayfarer is continually on the move. More strictly, he is movement’ and this conception of movement at the heart of place reconfigures the notion of inhabiting a place and belonging to it:

I use the term wayfaring to describe the embodied experience of this perambulatory movement. It is as wayfarers, then, that human beings inhabit the earth. […] But by the

---

same token, human existence is not fundamentally place-bound, as Christopher Tilley […] maintains, but place-binding. Where inhabitants meet, trails are entwined, as the life of each becomes bound up with the other. Every entwining is a knot, and the more that lifelines are entwined, the greater the density of the knot.\textsuperscript{21}

In McCann’s fiction the wayfaring movement itself, whether along lifelines or storylines, is crucial and in \textit{TransAtlantic} the cottage is conveyed a metaphorical function as embodiment.

The wayfaring movement to, from and through the cottage is one form of movement coexisting in parallel with the transatlantic crossing in the novel. Movement is part of McCann’s prose and of its rhythm. It creates in impulse and a singularity of pace in the very act of reading: at times, as in the quote above, or as in McCann’s contemporary short story ‘Aisling’\textsuperscript{22}, it becomes breathtaking. The attention is drawn not so much to the aim of the journey, but to the present moment and the space in-between.

The journeys across the Atlantic visually recall the funambulist Eric Petit’s journey across the void between the two twin towers of the World Trade Centre in \textit{Let the Great World Spin}. In \textit{TransAtlantic} however, the rope has become invisible, but the funambulist remains and takes on various forms. As I have written elsewhere, the funambulist illustrates place as embodiment of the present moment and a search for balance. But in \textit{TransAtlantic} the search for balance and the funambulist have become more abstract. The funambulist has vanished from the scene as a human body and there only remains the tension and the swerve from one end to the other.\textsuperscript{23}

The absence of the funambulist as in \textit{Let The Great World Spin}, but also the illusion that the tennis ball is for one minuscule instant suspended in mid-air before starting on the second half

\textsuperscript{21} Ingold, Tim. (2012), 148.
\textsuperscript{23} Mianowski, Marie. ‘The poetics of the leap in Colum McCann’s latest novel \textit{TransAtlantic} (2013)’ \textit{Etudes Britanniques Contemporaines}. (2015) #47 ECB.
of its journey, could be likened to the ‘blind spot’ that Lawlor identifies as the ‘minuscule hiatus’ in his book *The Implications of Immanence: Toward a New Concept of Life*. The ‘minuscule hiatus’ is what separates the present and signals the irreducible presence of death at the heart of life, the invincible zone of tension between opposites, the visible and the invisible, words and things. According to Lawlor, the minuscule hiatus identified as death itself and which takes the form of a ‘spacing’ (espacement) in texts by Derrida, is precisely what defines ‘life-ism’. It implies an ‘out of place-ness,’ a ‘re-placing which is a mis-placing.’ To Lawlor, this ‘mis-placing’ is life: ‘and insofar as life is always mis-placed, it goes over the limit and includes death – or memory’. This conception of life defined by Lawlor, drawing from a fresh reading of Derrida, Merleau-Ponty and Deleuze, fits McCann’s representation of the cottage on the lough in *TransAtlantic*. Situated on an island on a lough between the mainland and a peninsula, the cottage is on the water but not in the sea, in a closed lough but open onto the north Atlantic, linked to the past of a now ruined family. But above all it is presented as utterly open to the creative possibilities of the future. Its geography of dozens of small islands which look connected when seen from above, recalls Ingold’s drawings of the wayfarer’s knot. Just as the line of the funambulist in *Let the Great World Spin* has become invisible in *TransAtlantic*, the cottage by the lough can in a way be read as an embodiment and a metaphor of the funambulist. As the meeting point of myriads of stories and trajectories, it has been entered, inhabited and left by generations of ‘wayfarers’. Dense is the knot represented by the cottage by the lough, as inhabitants have met within its precincts and entwined their trails over decades and generations. Enriched with this dense meshing the cottage stands halfway between the land and the sea, the closed and the open, the intimate and the public, the finite and the infinite. It embodies the space in-between that so much fascinates McCann. Just like the funambulist, the cottage is not only the embodiment of the impetus and

---

24 Lawlor, 11.
26 Ingold, Tim. (2012),111.
the step forward. In McCann’s fiction, the cottage on the lough and the funambulist embody the space in-between that William Desmond questions for historical and geographical reasons, as the ‘Irish condition’ in his book *Being Between: Conditions of the Irish Thought*:

‘By being thus outside in the between one becomes intimate to the irreducible intermediacy within oneself, within us all, and between us all, in the most intimate communications that bind us together and respect our singular solitudes.’

The in-between experience is the fate of any emigrant/migrant as well as of many people in a globalized/transnational world. As in Desmond’s quote, the intermediacy inherent to the in-between experience is the locus of creativity in our times and, as McCann claims, ought to be the pretext to stretch forward and engender more empathy between people and bring about more peaceful relationships at every level.

In *TransAtlantic*, Colum McCann’s representations of space map out an ever-changing globalized world in which place is not any more defined by nostalgia or the weight of tradition. Just as new places can be adopted by newcomers, old places can in turn welcome foreigners since at the end of *TransAtlantic* the cottage is bought and saved by a Kenyan academic married to an Irish woman. And yet this new definition of place does not sign the advent of placelessness or the absence of place attachment or any sense of belonging. As Ingold and Massey show, space and place exist through relationships and myriads of stories. Space is not an abstract, infinite mode of reference, nor is place strictly localized and an enclosed portion of space. Rather, places take shape at the crossroads of multiple lifelines. In drawing the attention of the reader towards embodied movement as the main architect of both space and place, Colum McCann, like the characters he depicts, appears as a contemporary of the times he lives in. In her book promoting the advent of a metascience of landscape entitled ‘paysagétique,’ French philosopher Catherine

---

Chomarat-Ruiz defends the notion that because early twenty-first century human beings are torn between what Augustin Berque called the media body (chora) and the animal body (topos), human beings have the ethical responsibility of being in-between (“d’être au milieu”):

to be the living beings who will think and act depending on the relationships they have struck with their natural environment and their territory – in the legal and political sense of this originally natural gift – of being living beings of landscape.28

While the definition of landscape which gives Catherine Chomarat –Ruiz relies on man as a living being in the middle of a life-world and conscious of his ethical responsibility with regards to his fellow living beings and his environment, McCann’s fiction captures the tension between opposites and paradoxes of man’s position in the world. Through fiction and language McCann manages to embody the minuscule hiatus which ignites creation and life. The space in-between, which he relentlessly describes throughout his work, is not a place to inhabit, shut out from the world outside - it is a landscape to be co-built in relation with others. McCann’s time is the time of imminence, a present moment already leaping forward into the future. At a round-table event29 in Rennes in June 2014, to present his novel TransAtlantic McCann insisted that stories of peace should be told over and over again because peace was not an, easy thing to do. In McCann’s fiction, spaces in-between are not empty voids. They are spaces in which perspectives are being constantly re-shuffled to become creative meeting places from self to self and teach us to swerve ahead towards the others and contribute to world peace: ‘it is the job of literature to confront the terrible truths of what war has done and continues to do to us. It is also the job of literature to make sense of whatever small beauty we can rescue from the maelstrom.’30

29 Round Table venue organized by the Centre d’Etudes Irlandaises of the University of Rennes 2 at the Institut Franco-Américain in Rennes, 6 June 2014.
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