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Abstract. This paper aims to establish the convergence rate of approximate solutions of the $p$-system with damping towards its diffusive limit. We consider an approximation obtained with a full discrete Asymptotic Preserving Finite Volume scheme. We study the discrete diffusive limit and establish an exact formulation of the convergence rate. To access such an issue, we estimate the error between approximate solutions of the hyperbolic system and the approximate diffusive limit using a discrete version of the relative entropy method.
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1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the numerical behaviour of asymptotic regimes satisfied by approximated solutions of the $p$-system. The system of interest reads:

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t \tau - \partial_x u = 0, \\
\partial_t u + \partial_x p(\tau) = -\sigma u,
\end{cases} \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

(1.1)

where $\tau > 0$ is the specific volume of gas and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ stands for the velocity. Here $\sigma > 0$ denotes the friction parameter. Regarding the pressure law, $p(\tau) > 0$ is assumed to be smooth enough; namely $C^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Moreover, in order to enforce the system (1.1) to be hyperbolic, we also impose $p'(\tau) < 0$ for all $\tau > 0$.

To simplify the notations, we set $w = \{\tau, u\}$ assumed to belong to the following phase space:

$$\Omega = \{\tau, u\}; \quad \tau > 0, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

(1.2)
The system (1.1) is endowed with an entropy inequality given as follows:

\[ \partial_t \eta(w) + \partial_x \psi(w) \leq -\sigma u^2. \]  

(1.3)

The entropy-entropy flux pair \((\eta, \psi)\) is defined by:

\[ \eta(w) = \frac{u^2}{2} - P(\tau), \quad \psi(w) = up(\tau), \]

(1.4)

where the function \(P\) defines an internal energy given by:

\[ P(\tau) = \int_{\tau^*}^{\tau} p(s) ds, \]

(1.5)

with \(\tau^* > 0\) a fixed reference specific volume.

In the present work, we study the long time behaviour of solutions to system (1.1) with a dominant friction. Such behaviours are of particular interest since long time solutions coincide with solutions of diffusive regimes. During the two last decades, several works studied this kind of mathematical difficulties.

In the pioneer work of Hsiao and Liu [20], the authors established that the time asymptotic regime of the system (1.1) coincides with the nonlinear porous media equation given by:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \tau + \partial_x u &= 0, \\
\tau - \partial_x p(\tau) &= -\sigma u,
\end{aligned}
\]

\((x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+\).  

(1.6)

or equivalently

\[ \partial_t \tau + \frac{1}{\sigma} \partial_{xx} p(\tau) = 0 \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+. \]

More precisely, they proved that the solution \(w\) of (1.1) converges to \(\bar{w} = \tau(\bar{\tau}, \bar{u})\) solution of (1.6) when time goes to infinity. In addition, they exhibited an estimation of the \(L^\infty\)-convergence rate in \(O(t^{-1/2})\). Next, by adopting energy estimate techniques, Nishihara and co-authors improved this convergence rate in [32] (see also the companion papers [30, 31]). A generalization has been proposed by Bianchini et al in [3] to deal with general entropy dissipative hyperbolic systems of balance laws. Under the Shizuta-Kawashima condition, they established that the solutions under interest converge to a constant equilibrium state. In addition they exhibited the asymptotic convergence rate. Moreover, we refer the reader to the recent paper of Mei [26] where a wide literature devoted to the long time asymptotic behaviour of the \(p\)-system with damping (1.1) is given.

Another method to analyze the convergence from \(w\) solution of (1.1) to \(\bar{w}\) solution of (1.6) consists in introducing a relevant time rescaling (see [24, 26]). To address such an issue, let us note \(\varepsilon > 0\) a small parameter to govern the long time and the dominant friction. From now on, because of the high friction, let us emphasize that the velocity is naturally controlled by \(\varepsilon\). As a consequence, the rescaled system writes:

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t \tau^\varepsilon - \partial_x u^\varepsilon = 0, \\
\varepsilon^2 \partial_t u^\varepsilon + \partial_x p(\tau^\varepsilon) = -\sigma u^\varepsilon,
\end{cases} \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+.  
\]

(1.7)
This rescaled system is now endowed with the following rescaled entropy inequality:

\[ \partial_t \eta^\varepsilon (\tau^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) + \partial_x \psi (\tau^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \leq -\sigma (u^\varepsilon)^2, \quad (1.8) \]

where the rescaled entropy reads:

\[ \eta^\varepsilon (\tau, u) = \varepsilon^2 \frac{u^2}{2} - P(\tau). \quad (1.9) \]

From a formal Chapman Enskog expansion, let us note that the solutions of (1.7) clearly coincide with the solutions of the asymptotic equation (1.6) in the limit of \( \varepsilon \) to zero.

This work is of course dedicated to the nonlinear formulation (1.1), but let us emphasize that, as soon as the pressure law is assumed to be linear, namely \( p(\tau) = -a\tau \), we recognize the well-known Goldstein Taylor model, arising from the two velocities kinetic model [22, 27]. Indeed let us set \( f^\pm \) the distribution functions associated with constant particle velocities \( \pm 1 \) governed by the following system:

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial_t f^+ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_x f^+ = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (f^- - f^+), \\
\partial_t f^- - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_x f^- = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (f^+ - f^-).
\end{cases}
\]

The macroscopic variables for this model are the mass density \( \rho = f^+ + f^- \) and the current \( j = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (f^+ - f^-) \). Since \( f^+ \) and \( f^- \) can be expressed in terms of \( \rho \) and \( j \), we obtain the following macroscopic model:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \rho + \partial_x j &= 0, \\
\varepsilon^2 \partial_t j - \partial_x \rho &= -2j.
\end{align*}
\]

We easily recognize the initial model (1.7) with a linear pressure.

Recently, Lattanzio and Tzavaras [24] rigorously proved this convergence and exhibited the rate by adopting the well known relative entropy approach. Relative entropy is a usefull tool to compare the difference (in a sense to be prescribed) between two solutions. The notion of relative entropy for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws was introduced in the pioneer works of DiPerna [10] and Dafermos [8]. It was used to study rigorously the convergence from kinetic models to their hydrodynamic limit [14, 33]. Later, in [9], Dafermos adopted this method to establish a stability result for classical solutions in the class of entropy weak solutions. Next, in [34], Tzavaras applied a similar relative entropy method to study the convergence of hyperbolic systems with stiff relaxation towards the corresponding hyperbolic limit. Based on the same ideas, Lattanzio and Tzavaras considered in [24] the case of diffusive relaxation. They treated several hyperbolic systems with source term of type (1.7) which converge to a diffusive problem when \( \varepsilon \) goes to zero. In particular, they established the convergence of solutions to the \( p \)-system (1.7) towards solutions of the porous media equation (1.6).

To be more precise, as soon as the hyperbolic system (1.7) is supplemented with an entropy function \( \eta^\varepsilon \), the associated relative entropy is defined as the quadratic term of the Taylor expansion between \( w^\varepsilon = t (\tau^\varepsilon, u^\varepsilon) \) solution of (1.7) and \( \bar{w} = t (\tau, \bar{u}) \) solution of (1.6) as follows:

\[ \eta^\varepsilon (w | \bar{w}) = \eta^\varepsilon (w) - \eta^\varepsilon (\bar{w}) - \nabla \eta^\varepsilon (\bar{w}) \cdot (w - \bar{w}). \quad (1.10) \]
Since it will be useful in the sequel, let us introduce the space integral of the relative entropy:
\[ \phi^\varepsilon(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta^\varepsilon(w|\bar{w}) \, dx. \tag{1.11} \]

We emphasize that this quantity has a similar behaviour than \( \|w - \bar{w}\|_{L^2}^2 \) as long as \( \eta^\varepsilon \) is a convex function.

Lattanzio and Tzavaras estimated this quantity and obtained the following convergence rate:
\[ \phi^\varepsilon(t) \leq C \left( \phi^\varepsilon(0) + \varepsilon^4 \right), \quad t \in [0,T], \tag{1.12} \]
where \( C \) is a positive constant depending only on \( T \), the properties of the pressure function \( p \) and estimates on the smooth diffusive limit \( \bar{w} \).

From a numerical point of view, the derivation of a suitable discretization of (1.7) in order to get a consistent approximation of (1.6) in the limit of \( \varepsilon \) to zero is essential to ensure an accurate approximation. In the last two decades, numerous works have been devoted to both elaboration and analysis of so-called Asymptotic Preserving (AP) schemes. This notion was introduced by Jin et al. in [21, 22] in the kinetic framework. Indeed they remarked that a particular attention has to be paid on the discretization of the hyperbolic system in order to recover the correct asymptotic diffusive regime. For instance, Naldi and Pareschi proposed several discretizations of a two velocities kinetic equation in [27, 28] in order to obtain a discretization of the heat equation at the limit. In the same mind, we also refer to the work of Gosse and Toscani [16]. Numerous applications arising from complex physics require the development of AP schemes: gas dynamics [4], radiative transfert M1 model [5, 6], chemotaxis [2, 15, 18, 29], hydrodynamics [11, 17].

In general, the asymptotic preserving property is obtained by performing a formal Chapman Enskog expansion. Recently, Mathis and Therme adopted in [25] the relative entropy technique to analyse the diffusive limit of a finite volume scheme for the linear Goldstein Taylor system on a bounded domain. In [1], a similar approach was considered to study the long time asymptotic behaviour of a semi discrete scheme for the nonlinear system (1.7). In addition, the authors established a convergence rate, according to (1.12). Let us underline that the time discretization may introduce additional error of approximation which make wrong some semi discrete results. We also mention that the relative entropy can be applied in other numerical contexts (see for instance [7, 23]). Recently, in [12, 13], authors used a discrete relative entropy estimate to control the convergence error of the approximation of the barotropic Navier-Stokes equations.

The main objective of the present paper is the full derivation of the asymptotic convergence rate given by (1.12) in the context of the numerical scheme proposed by Jin et al. [22]. At the discrepancy with [1], the time discretization involves new error terms, which necessitate a particular attention to be controlled. To address such an issue, in the next section, the scheme under consideration is detailed and our main result is stated. The sequel of the paper is devoted to the establishment of the convergence rate. To give short keys of the method, we first derive the discrete evolution law satisfied by the relative entropy. Next, we exhibit the evolution of the discrete space average of the relative entropy which is governed by a dissipative equation. The result is then obtained.
by relevant estimations of the dissipative terms. This proof is the aim of Section 3 while
Section 4 is devoted to the proof of some technical lemmas.
In the last section, several numerical experiments illustrate the relevance of the main
result.

2 Scheme and convergence rate result

For the sake of completeness, let us introduce the here adopted scheme given in [1, 22]
to approximate the weak solutions of (1.7). Such numerical approach is based on a
suitable reformulation of (1.7) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \tau - \partial_x u &= 0, \\
\partial_t u + \partial_x p(\tau) &= -\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon^2} u - \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2} \partial_x p(\tau).
\end{align*}
\]

Considering this reformulation, a 2-step splitting technique is derived. The first step
consists in approximating the solutions of the following hyperbolic system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \tau - \partial_x u &= 0, \\
\partial_t u + \partial_x p(\tau) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

while, in the second step we approximate the solutions of:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t \tau &= 0, \\
\partial_t u &= -\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon^2} u - \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2} \partial_x p(\tau).
\end{align*}
\]

Now, we detail the discretization of each step. We consider a uniform mesh made
of cells \((x_{i-1/2}, x_{i+1/2}) \in \mathbb{Z}\) of constant size \(\Delta x\). We denote by \(\Delta t\) the time increment,
with \(t^{n+1} = t^n + \Delta t\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\).

Concerning the first step, we use a HLL scheme [19] given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
t^{n+1/2}_i &= t^n_i + \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left( u^n_{i+1} - u^n_{i-1} \right) + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left( t^n_{i+1} - 2t^n_i + t^n_{i-1} \right), \\
u^{n+1/2}_i &= u^n_i - \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left( p(t^n_{i+1}) - p(t^n_{i-1}) \right) + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2\Delta x} \left( u^n_{i+1} - 2u^n_i + u^n_{i-1} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where we have set:

\[
\lambda \leq \sup_{0 \leq n \leq N} \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sqrt{-p'(t^n_i)} \right).
\]

As usual the time step is restricted according to the following CFL like condition:

\[
\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}.
\]

Next, concerning the second step, the system (2.14) is approximated with an implicit
method:

\[
\begin{align*}
t^{n+1}_i &= t^{n+1/2}_i, \\
\frac{u^{n+1}_i - u^{n+1/2}_i}{\Delta t} &= -\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon^2} u^{n+1}_i - \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{p(t^{n+1}_{i+1}) - p(t^{n+1}_{i-1})}{2\Delta x}.
\end{align*}
\]
From (2.15) and (2.16), we get the complete scheme which reads:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_i^{n+1} &= \tau_i^n + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta x} (\tau_{i+1}^n - 2 \tau_i^n + \tau_{i-1}^n) + \frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} (u_{i+1}^n - u_{i-1}^n), \\
u_i^{n+1} &= u_i^n - \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{\varepsilon^2} u_i^{n+1} - \frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} \left( (p(\tau_{i+1}^n) - p(\tau_{i-1}^n)) + \frac{(1 - \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon^2} (p(\tau_{i+1}^{n+1}) - p(\tau_i^{n+1})) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta x} (u_{i+1}^n - 2 u_i^n + u_{i-1}^n),
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.17)

Equipped with (2.17) to approximate the solutions of (1.7), we now exhibit a discretization of the diffusive limit system (1.6). This asymptotic scheme is defined as the limit of (2.17) when \( \varepsilon \) tends to zero. Straightforward computations give the following approximation of \( \bar{\nu} \) solution of (1.6):

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\nu}_i^{n+1} &= \bar{\nu}_i^n + \frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} (\bar{\nu}_{i+1}^n - \bar{\nu}_{i-1}^n) + \frac{\lambda \Delta t}{2 \Delta x} (\bar{\nu}_{i+1}^n - 2 \bar{\nu}_i^n + \bar{\nu}_{i-1}^n), \\
\bar{\nu}_i^{n+1} &= -\frac{1}{2\sigma \Delta x} (p(\bar{\nu}_{i+1}^{n+1}) - p(\bar{\nu}_{i-1}^{n+1})).
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.18)

Because \( \bar{\nu}_{i+1}^n - 2 \bar{\nu}_i^n + \bar{\nu}_{i-1}^n = O(\Delta x^2) \) coincides with numerical viscosity, this scheme is naturally consistent with the limit regime equations (1.6).

As usual, according to [1, 24], the discrete numerical solution is assumed to satisfy the following limits for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \):

\[
\lim_{i \to \pm \infty} \tau_i^n = \lim_{i \to \pm \infty} \bar{\nu}_i^n = \tau \pm ,
\]

\[
\lim_{i \to \pm \infty} u_i^n = \lim_{i \to \pm \infty} \bar{\nu}_i^n = 0.
\]  
(2.19)

For the sake of simplicity in the notations, we set \( w_i^n = (\tau_i^n, u_i^n) \) and \( \bar{w}_i^n = (\bar{\nu}_i^n, \bar{\nu}_i^n) \). In addition, let us introduce \( \Delta X_i^n = X_i^n - X_i^0 \) and the following discrete derivative operators:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_t X_i^n &= \frac{X_i^{n+1} - X_i^n}{\Delta t}, \\
\delta_x X_i^n &= \frac{X_i^{n+1} - X_i^{n-1}}{2 \Delta x}, \\
\delta_x X_i^n &= \frac{X_i^{n+1} - 2 X_i^n + X_i^{n-1}}{\Delta x^2}, \\
\delta_x X_i^{n+1/2} &= \frac{X_i^{n+1} - X_i^n}{\Delta x}. 
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.20)

Endowed with these notations, both schemes (2.17) and (2.18) now read:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} &= \delta_x u_i^n + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \delta_x \tau_i^n, \\
\varepsilon^2 \delta_t u_i^{n+1/2} &= -\sigma u_i^{n+1} - \delta_x p(\tau_i^{n+1}) + \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \delta_x p(\tau_i^{n+1/2}) + \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{2} \Delta x \delta_x u_i^n,
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.21)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_t \bar{\nu}_i^{n+1/2} &= \delta_x \bar{\nu}_i^n + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \delta_x \bar{\nu}_i^n, \\
\bar{u}_i^{n+1} &= -\frac{1}{\sigma} \delta_x p(\bar{\nu}_i^{n+1}).
\end{align*}
\]  
(2.22)

In order to present the required asymptotic convergence rate from (2.21) to (2.22), we define the measuring distance between \( w_i^n \) and \( \bar{w}_i^n \) as follows:

\[
\phi^n_\varepsilon = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_i^n \varepsilon^{-n} \Delta x,
\]  
(2.23)
where $\eta_{i}^{\varepsilon,n}$ is the discrete relative entropy given by:

$$\eta_{i}^{\varepsilon,n} = \eta^{\varepsilon}(w_{i}^{n} | \bar{w}_{i}^{n}) = \varepsilon^{2} (w_{i}^{n} - \bar{w}_{i}^{n})^{2} - P(\tau_{i}^{n} | \bar{\tau}_{i}^{n}),$$

(2.24)

and where $P(\tau | \bar{\tau})$ writes:

$$P(\tau | \bar{\tau}) = P(\tau) - P(\bar{\tau}) - p(\tau - \bar{\tau}).$$

(2.25)

Moreover, to simplify the forthcoming estimations, we introduce the two following norms:

$$\|X_{i}^{n}\|_{L_{2}^{x}} = \left( \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (X_{i}^{n})^{2} \Delta x \right)^{1/2},$$

$$\|X_{i}^{n}\|_{L_{\infty}^{x}} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |X_{i}^{n}|.$$

(2.26)

Equipped with these notations, we now give the expected convergence result.

**Theorem 2.1** Let $T = (N+1)\Delta t$ the final time. Let $w_{i}^{n}$ be given by the scheme (2.21) and $\bar{w}_{i}^{n}$ be given by the asymptotic scheme (2.22).

We assume the existence of a positive constant $K$ such that:

$$\|\delta_{t} u_{i}^{n+1} / 2\|_{L_{2}^{x}}, \|\delta_{xx} u_{i}^{n}\|_{L_{2}^{x}}, \|\delta_{t} \bar{\tau}_{i}^{n+1} / 2\|_{L_{\infty}^{x}}, \|\bar{\delta}_{x} \bar{\tau}_{i}^{n}\|_{L_{\infty}^{x}} \leq K.$$

(2.27)

We assume the existence of a positive constant $L_{\tau}$ such that specific volumes are bounded as follows:

$$\frac{1}{L_{\tau}} \leq \tau_{i}^{n}, \bar{\tau}_{i}^{n} \leq L_{\tau} \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq n \leq N.$$

(2.28)

We assume the existence of a positive constant $L_{p}$ such that the pressure $p$ and its three first derivatives are bounded as follows:

$$\frac{1}{L_{p}} \leq p(\tau) \leq L_{p}, \quad -L_{p} \leq p'(\tau) \leq -\frac{1}{L_{p}},$$

$$\forall \tau \in [1/L_{\tau}, L_{\tau}].$$

(2.29)

We assume that the time step $\Delta t$ is restricted according to the following parabolic CFL condition:

$$\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^{2}} \leq C_{p},$$

(2.30)

where

$$C_{p} = \frac{\sigma}{4L_{p}^{3} + 45L_{p}^{2} + 14/3L_{p} + 32/3L_{p}L_{\tau}^{2} + 49/3L_{p}L_{\tau} + 4/K + 6}.$$

(2.31)

We moreover assume that $\varepsilon$ and $\Delta t$ satisfy:

$$\varepsilon^{2} \leq \min \left( \frac{\sigma}{C_{p}(2+15L_{p}^{2})}, \frac{\sigma}{8\lambda} \Delta x \right),$$

(2.32)

and

$$\Delta t \leq \frac{1}{K}.$$

(2.33)
Then the following convergence rate holds:

$$
\phi^{N+1}_\varepsilon \leq M \left( \phi^0_\varepsilon + \| u^0 - \pi^0 \|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4 \right),
$$

(2.34)

where $M$ is a positive constant only depending on $T$ and the parameters $\sigma$, $\lambda$, $K$, $L_\tau$ and $L_p$.

The estimation (2.34) contains the convergence rate $\varepsilon^4$ and the term $\phi^0_\varepsilon + \| u^0 - \pi^0 \|_{L^2}^2$ which corresponds to the error at time $t=0$ for general initial data. In the sequel, well prepared initial data must satisfy

$$
\phi^0_\varepsilon + \| u^0 - \pi^0 \|_{L^2}^2 = 0.
$$

(2.35)

As expected, according to the convergence rate (1.12) established by Lattanzio and Tzavaras in [24], the above result ensures an $\varepsilon^4$ convergence rate as long as the initial data are well prepared. To reach this result, hypotheses have been imposed. Similarly to [24] (see also [1]), assumptions must be put on $\varpi^n_i$. Essentially, these conditions allow a control of the numerical viscosity. Because of the expected smoothness satisfied by $\varpi^n_i$, these assumptions are natural. Moreover, in [1, 24], conditions are imposed on the two first derivatives of the pressure. Once again, to control the numerical viscosity due to the time discretization, we here need an estimation of the third derivative of the pressure. Let us underline that the classical pressure function $p(\tau) = \tau^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 1$ satisfies these conditions. Actually, the most restrictive assumption stated in Theorem 2.1 concerns $\tau^n_i$ since we impose (2.28). Let us underline that hypotheses (2.32) and (2.33) are not restrictive at all. Finally, the parabolic CFL condition (2.30) is relevant according to the numerical experiments performed with the scheme (2.17) and natural since we consider an explicit discretization of a diffusive problem. This CFL restriction is discussed in Section 5 devoted to numerical experiments.

3 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In order to establish the convergence rate (2.34), several technical lemmas are needed. They will be proved in the next section.

We first exhibit an evolution law satisfied by the measuring distance $\phi^n_i$ defined by (2.23). To address such an issue, in the following lemma, we state a discrete evolution law satisfied by the relative entropy.

Lemma 3.1 Let $w^n_i$ be given by (2.21) and $\varpi^n_i$ be given by (2.22). The discrete relative entropy $\eta^n_i$, defined by (2.24), verifies the following expression:

$$
\delta_t \eta^{n,1/2}_i + \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left( \psi_{i+1/2}^{n+1} - \psi_{i-1/2}^{n+1} \right) = -\sigma \left( \Delta u_{i+1/2}^{n+1} \right)^2 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \Delta t \left( \delta_t \Delta u_{i+1/2}^{n+1} \right)^2 + R^n_{i+1} + R^n_{i-1} + R^n_{i+3} + R^n_{i+4},
$$

(3.36)
with the residues given by:

\[ R_i^{n,1} = -\varepsilon^2 \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \delta_{x} \nabla u_i^{n+1/2} + \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_{x} p(\tau_i^{n+1}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon^2 \Delta x \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_{xx} \nabla u_i^n, \]

\[ R_i^{n,2} = \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_{x} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon^2 \Delta x \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_{xx} \Delta u_i^n, \]

\[ R_i^{n,3} = \Delta t \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_{x} \Delta u_i^{n+1} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_{xx} \Delta u_i^n, \]

\[ R_i^{n,4} = \left(-Q_i^{n+1/2} + Q_i^{n+1/2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \delta t \nabla \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} + \left(p(\tau_i^{n+1}) - Q_i^{n+1/2}\right) \delta t \nabla \Delta u_i^{n+1/2}, \right) \]  

(3.37)

where we have set:

\[ Q_i^{n+1/2} = \frac{P(\tau_i^{n+1}) - P(\tau_i^n)}{\tau_i^{n+1} - \tau_i^n}, \quad Q_i^{n+1/2} = \frac{P(\tau_i^{n+1}) - P(\tau_i^n)}{\tau_i^{n+1} - \tau_i^n}, \quad Q_i^{n+1/2} = \frac{p(\tau_i^{n+1}) - p(\tau_i^n)}{\tau_i^{n+1} - \tau_i^n}, \]  

(3.38)

and where the discrete entropy flux writes:

\[ \psi_i^{n+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta u_i^{n+1} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) + \Delta u_i^{n+1} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \right). \]  

(3.39)

According to [1, 24], the relation (3.36) is now numerically integrated in time and space. By summation of (3.36), we obtain:

\[ \delta_t \phi_i^{n+1/2} + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \psi_i^{n+1/2} - \psi_i^{n-1/2} \right) = -\sigma \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \right)^2 \Delta x - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \delta_t \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \right)^2 \Delta x + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,1} \Delta x + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,2} \Delta x + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,3} \Delta x + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,4} \Delta x. \]  

(3.40)

Since (2.19) holds, we have:

\[ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \psi_i^{n+1/2} - \psi_i^{n-1/2} \right) = 0. \]

Using the definition of the discrete \(L^2\) norm (2.26), we numerically integrate (3.40) with respect to time to get:

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{N} \delta_t \phi_i^{n+1/2} \Delta t = -\sigma \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left\| \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \Delta t - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \Delta t \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left\| \delta_t \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \Delta t + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,1} \Delta x \Delta t + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,2} \Delta x \Delta t + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,3} \Delta x \Delta t + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,4} \Delta x \Delta t. \]

Since we have:

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{N} \delta_t \phi_i^{n+1/2} \Delta t = \phi_i^{N+1} - \phi_i^0, \]
we easily obtain:

\[
\phi_{\varepsilon}^{N+1} = \phi_{\varepsilon}^0 - \sigma \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left\| \Delta u^{n+1} \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \Delta t \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left\| \delta_{t} \Delta u^{n+1/2} \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n^{n-1} \Delta x \Delta t \\
+ \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n^{n-2} \Delta x \Delta t + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n^{n-3} \Delta x \Delta t + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n^{n-4} \Delta x \Delta t.
\]

(3.41)

Now our objective is to state an estimation of \(\phi_{\varepsilon}^n\) of the following form:

\[
\phi_{\varepsilon}^{N+1} \leq \alpha \left( \phi_{\varepsilon}^0 + \left\| \Delta u^0 \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4 \right) + \beta \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi_{\varepsilon}^n \Delta t,
\]

(3.42)

where \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are two positive constants only depending on the final time \(T = (N+1)\Delta t\) and the parameters \(\sigma, \lambda, K, L_x\), and \(L_p\). Indeed, as soon as such an inequality is obtained, a discrete Gronwall lemma immediately yields:

\[
\phi_{\varepsilon}^{N+1} \leq \alpha e^{\beta T} \left( \phi_{\varepsilon}^0 + \left\| \Delta u^0 \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \varepsilon^4 \right),
\]

and the proof is thus achieved.

We now need to obtain a control of each residue, essentially by either \(\varepsilon^4\), initial data, or the nonpositive terms in (3.41). In the following, we give the sequence of required estimations.

**Lemma 3.2** Assume that \(\left\| \delta_t \overline{w}^{n+1/2} \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \leq K\), \(\left\| \delta_t x p(\overline{r})^{n+1/2} \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \leq K\) and \(\left\| \delta_{xx} \overline{w}^{n} \right\|_{L_x^2} \leq K\) for all \(0 \leq n \leq N\), with \(K\) a positive constant. Then for all \(\theta > 0\), we have:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n^{n-1} \Delta x \Delta t \leq \theta \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left\| \Delta u^{n+1} \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t + \frac{K T}{4\theta} \left( 1 + \sigma \Delta t + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \right)^2 \varepsilon^4.
\]

(3.43)

**Lemma 3.3** Assume that \(\left\| \delta_t \overline{w}^{n+1/2} \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq K\) for all \(0 \leq n \leq N\), with \(K\) a positive constant and the conditions on the pressure (2.29). Then we have:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_n^{n-2} \Delta x \Delta t \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\Delta x} \left( \frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x} + \lambda \right) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left\| \Delta u^{n+1} \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t \\
+ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\Delta x} \left( 3L_p^2 \Delta t \left( 1 + \frac{K^2 \Delta t^2}{4} \right) + \lambda \right) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left\| \Delta u^{n} \right\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t \\
+ \frac{3L_p^2 \varepsilon^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi_{\varepsilon}^n \Delta t.
\]

(3.44)

**Lemma 3.4** Assume that \(\left\| \delta_{xx} \overline{w}^{n} \right\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq K\) for all \(0 \leq n \leq N\), with \(K\) a positive constant
and the conditions on the pressure (2.29). Then we have:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^N \Delta x \Delta t \leq \frac{1}{2} \phi^{N+1}_\varepsilon + \frac{L_p^3 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x}^2 \Delta t \\
+ \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left( 9 L_p^2 \left( 1 + \frac{K^2 \Delta t^2}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^n \|_{L^2_x}^2 \Delta t + \frac{L_p^3 \Delta t}{2 \Delta x^2} \| \Delta u^0 \|_{L^2_x}^2 \\
+ \left( 9 L_p^3 \Delta t \left( 8 \lambda^2 + 2 \lambda^2 K^2 \Delta t^2 + K^2 \Delta x^2 \right) + 1 + 2 L_p \left( \frac{\lambda^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} + 2 \lambda KL_p \right) \right) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi^n \Delta t. 
\]

(3.45)

**Lemma 3.5** Assume that \( \| \delta_t \tau^{n+1/2} \|_{L^\infty_x} \leq K \) for all \( 0 \leq n \leq N \), with \( K \) a positive constant, the conditions on specific volumes (2.28) and the conditions on the pressure (2.29). Then we have:

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^N \Delta x \Delta t \leq \left( L_p \left( \frac{7}{3} L_T + K \Delta t + \frac{1}{6} K^2 \Delta t^2 + \frac{7}{4} KL_T \Delta t + \frac{8}{3} L^2_T \right) \\
+ \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^n \|_{L^2_x}^2 \Delta t + L_p \left( \frac{8 \lambda^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left( L_p \left( \frac{7}{3} L_T + K \Delta t + \frac{1}{6} K^2 \Delta t^2 + \frac{7}{4} KL_T \Delta t \\
+ \frac{8}{3} L^2_T \right) + \Delta t \right) + KL_p \left( KL_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} K \Delta t \right)^2 + K \Delta t + 2 L_T + 1 \right) \right) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi^n \Delta t. 
\]

(3.46)

After tedious computations, the estimations of the four above lemmas (3.43)–(3.46) allow us to rewrite (3.41) as follows:

\[
\phi^{N+1}_\varepsilon \leq \phi^0 + \frac{1}{2} \phi^{N+1}_\varepsilon + a_1 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x}^2 \Delta t + a_2 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^n \|_{L^2_x}^2 \Delta t \\
+ a_3 \varepsilon^4 + a_4 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi^n \Delta t + a_5 \| \Delta u^0 \|_{L^2_x}^2, 
\]

(3.47)

where \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \) and \( a_5 \) write:

\[
a_1 = -\sigma + \theta + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\Delta x} \left( \frac{C_p}{2} \Delta x + \lambda \right) + L_p^3 C_p, \\
a_2 = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\Delta x} \left( 3 L_p^2 C_p \Delta x \left( 1 + \frac{K^2 \Delta t^2}{4} \right) + \lambda \right) + C_p \left( 9 L_p^2 \left( 1 + \frac{K^2 \Delta t^2}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
+ C_p \left( L_p \left( \frac{7}{3} L_T + K \Delta t + \frac{1}{6} K^2 \Delta t^2 + \frac{7}{4} KL_T \Delta t + \frac{8}{3} L^2_T \right) + \Delta t \right), \\
a_3 = \frac{KT}{4 \theta} \left( 1 + \sigma \Delta t + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \right)^2, \\
a_4 = (3 + \varepsilon^2) 3 L_p^3 C_p \left( 8 \lambda^2 + 2 \lambda^2 K^2 \Delta t^2 + K^2 \Delta x^2 \right) + 1 + 2 L_p \left( \lambda^2 C_p + 2 \lambda KL_p \right) \\
+ L_p \left[ 8 \lambda^2 C_p \left( L_p \left( \frac{7}{3} L_T + K \Delta t + \frac{1}{6} K^2 \Delta t^2 + \frac{7}{4} KL_T \Delta t + \frac{8}{3} L^2_T \right) + \Delta t \right) \\
+ 8 \lambda^2 C_p \left( L_p \left( \frac{7}{3} L_T + K \Delta t + \frac{1}{6} K^2 \Delta t^2 + \frac{7}{4} KL_T \Delta t + \frac{8}{3} L^2_T \right) + \Delta t \right) \right].
\]
$$+KL_p \left( KL_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}KL_p \right)^2 + K\Delta t + 2Lr + 1 \right) \right]  \right),$$

$$a_5 = \frac{L_p^2 C_p}{2},$$

with $C_p$ given by (2.31).

A particular attention must be paid on $a_2 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Delta u^n\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t$. Performing a discrete integration by parts, this sum rewrites:

$$a_2 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Delta u^n\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t = a_2 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left( \|\Delta u^n\|_{L_x^2}^2 - \|\Delta u^{n+1}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \right) \Delta t + a_2 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Delta u^{n+1}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t$$

$$= -a_2 \|\Delta u^{N+1}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t + a_2 \|\Delta u^{0}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t + a_2 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Delta u^{n+1}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t$$

$$\leq a_2 \|\Delta u^{0}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t + a_2 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Delta u^{n+1}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t.$$

Hence we have:

$$\frac{1}{2} \phi_{e}^{N+1} \leq \phi_{e}^{0} + (a_1 + a_2) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Delta u^{n+1}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \Delta t + a_3 \varepsilon^4 + a_4 \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi_{e}^{n} \Delta t$$

\begin{equation}
(3.48)
\end{equation}

Choosing $\theta = C_p$ and under the conditions (2.30) and (2.32), we have

$$a_1 + a_2 \leq 0,$$

and the inequality (3.42) is obtained. The proof of Theorem 2.1 is achieved.

## 4 Proof of technical lemmas

This section is devoted to the proof of technical lemmas 3.1 to 3.5. For sake of clarity in the presentation, we first establish intermediate results and then we give successively the required proofs.

**Lemma 4.1** Let us assume the hypotheses of lemmas 3.2 to 3.5.

(i) Let $(X^n_i)_{i \in Z}$, $0 \leq n \leq N$ be given. Thus we have:

$$\|\delta_x X^n\|_{L_x^2} \leq \frac{1}{\Delta x} \|X^n\|_{L_x^2}, \quad \|\tilde{\delta}_x X^n\|_{L_x^2} \leq \frac{2}{\Delta x} \|X^n\|_{L_x^2},$$

\begin{equation}
(4.49)
\end{equation}

$$\|\delta_{xx} X^n\|_{L_x^2} \leq \frac{4}{\Delta x^2} \|X^n\|_{L_x^2}.$$

(ii) We have the following estimations:

$$\|\Delta \tau^n\|_{L_x^2} \leq 2L_p \phi_{\varepsilon}^n,$$

\begin{equation}
(4.50)
\end{equation}

$$\Delta t \|\delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}\|_{L_x^2}^2 \leq \frac{2\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left( \|\Delta u^n\|_{L_x^2}^2 + 8\lambda^2 L_p \phi_{\varepsilon}^n \right).$$

\begin{equation}
(4.51)
\end{equation}
(iii) The quantity \( \delta_x \Delta p^n(i_{i+1/2}) \) satisfies the following relation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_x \Delta p^n(i_{i+1/2}) & = \delta_x \Delta \tau_n^{i+1/2} + \int_0^1 p'(\tau_i^n + s \Delta x \delta_x \tau_n^{i+1/2}) \, ds \\
& \quad + \delta_x \Delta \tau_n^{i+1/2} \Delta \tau_n^i + \int_0^1 I_{i+1/2,s} \, ds + \Delta x \delta_x \Delta \tau_n^{i+1/2} \int_0^1 s I_{i+1/2,s} \, ds,
\end{align*}
\]

(4.52)

where

\[
I_{i+1/2,s} = \int_0^1 p''(\tau_i^n + s \Delta x \delta_x \tau_n^{i+1/2} + t(\Delta \tau_n^i + s \Delta x \delta_x \Delta \tau_n^{i+1/2})) \, dt.
\]

(4.53)

(iv) The quantity \( \Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta p^n(i_{i+1/2}) \|_{L^2} \) is estimated as follows:

\[
\Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta p^n(i_{i+1/2}) \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{6L_p^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left( 1 + \frac{K^2 \Delta t^2}{4} \right) \| \Delta u^n \|_{L^2} \leq \frac{6L_p^3 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} (8 \lambda^2 + 2 \lambda^2 K^2 \Delta t^2 + K^2 \Delta x^2) \phi^n_e.
\]

Proof. (Proof of Lemma 4.1.) The proof of (i) follows from direct computations using the definition of the discrete derivative operators.

Concerning (ii), from the definition of \( \phi^n_e \) and \( \eta^n_e \) we have:

\[
\phi^n_e = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (\Delta u_i^n)^2 - P(\tau_i^n | \tau_i^n) \right) \Delta x \geq - \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} P(\tau_i^n | \tau_i^n) \Delta x.
\]

Moreover the definition of \( P(\tau_i^n | \tau_i^n) \) and a Taylor expansion directly gives:

\[
P(\tau_i^n | \tau_i^n) = (\Delta \tau_i^n)^2 \int_0^1 (1 - s) p'(\tau_i^n + s \Delta \tau_i^n) \, ds.
\]

Since the pressure function satisfies (2.29), we get:

\[
P(\tau_i^n | \tau_i^n) \leq - \frac{1}{2L_p} (\Delta \tau_i^n)^2,
\]

to write

\[
\phi^n_e \geq \frac{1}{2L_p} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\Delta \tau_i^n)^2 \Delta x,
\]

and the inequality (4.50) is proved.

Concerning the establishment of (4.51), from (2.21) and (2.22), we have the evolution law satisfied by \( \Delta \tau_i^n \) as follows:

\[
\delta_t \Delta \tau_i^n = \delta_x \Delta u_i^n + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_i^n.
\]

Then the following equality holds:

\[
\Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{i+1/2} \|_{L^2} = \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \delta_x \Delta u_i^n + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_i^n \right)^2 \Delta x.
\]
Similarly, applying a Taylor expansion, we have:
\[
\Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L_x^2} \leq 2 \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( (\delta_x \Delta u^n_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \Delta x^2 (\delta_{xx} \Delta \tau^n_i)^2 \right) \Delta x.
\]

By applying estimation (4.49) on \( \| \delta_x X^n \|_{L_x^2} \) and on \( \| \delta_{xx} X^n \|_{L_x^2} \), we have:
\[
\Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L_x^2} \leq 2 \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left( \| \Delta u^n_i \|^2_{L_x^2} + 4 \lambda^2 \| \Delta \tau^n_i \|^2_{L_x^2} \right).
\]

The expected result (4.51) easily comes from inequality (4.50).

Concerning \((iii)\), by definition of the discrete operator \( \tilde{\delta}_x \), we have:
\[
\tilde{\delta}_x \Delta p(\tau^n_{i+1/2}) = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left( \left( p(\tau^n_{i+1}) - p(\tau^n_i) \right) - (p(\tau^n_{i+1}) - p(\tau^n_i)) \right).
\]

Moreover, from a Taylor expansion, the two following equalities are obtained:
\[
\begin{align*}
p(\tau^n_{i+1}) &= p(\tau^n_i) + \Delta x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2} \int_0^1 p'(\tau^n_i + s \partial_x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) ds, \\
p(\tau^n_{i+1}) &= p(\tau^n_i) + \Delta x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2} \int_0^1 p'(\tau^n_i + s \partial_x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) ds,
\end{align*}
\]

to write
\[
\tilde{\delta}_x \Delta p(\tau^n_{i+1/2}) = \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2} \int_0^1 p'(\tau^n_i + s \partial_x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) ds - \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2} \int_0^1 p'(\tau^n_i + s \partial_x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) ds.
\]

Since \( \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2} = \tilde{\delta}_x \Delta \tau^n_{i+1/2} + \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2} \), we obtain:
\[
\tilde{\delta}_x \Delta p(\tau^n_{i+1/2}) = \tilde{\delta}_x \Delta \tau^n_{i+1/2} \int_0^1 p'(\tau^n_i + s \partial_x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) ds + \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2} \int_0^1 \left( p'(\tau^n_i + s \partial_x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) - p'(\tau^n_i + s \Delta x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) \right) ds.
\]

Similarly, applying a Taylor expansion, we have:
\[
p'(\tau^n_i + s \partial_x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) = p'(\tau^n_i + s \partial_x \tilde{\delta}_x \tau^n_{i+1/2}) + \left( \Delta \tau^n_i + s \Delta x \tilde{\delta}_x \Delta \tau^n_{i+1/2} \right) \mathcal{I}^{n}_{i+1/2}, \]

with \( \mathcal{I}^{n}_{i+1/2} \) defined by (4.53). Plugging the above quantity in (4.55) yields to the expected result (4.52).

We achieve the proof of Lemma 4.1 by establishing \((iv)\). Using the same type of arguments based on Taylor expansions, we get:
\[
\delta_t \Delta p(\tau^n_{i+1/2}) = \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 p'(\tau^n_i + s \Delta t \delta_t \tau^n_{i+1/2}) ds.
\]
\[ + \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \Delta \tau_i^n \int_0^1 I_{i,s}^{n+1/2} ds + \Delta t \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \delta t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 s I_{i,s}^{n+1/2} ds, \]

where

\[ I_{i,s}^{n+1/2} = \int_0^1 p'' \left( \tau_i^n + s \Delta t \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} + t \left( \Delta \tau_i^n + s \Delta t \delta t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} \right) \right) dt. \]

Thus, by definition (2.26) of \[ \| \cdot \|_{L_2^c} \], we obtain:

\[ \Delta t \| \delta t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^c}^2 = \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 p'(\tau_i^n + s \Delta t \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2}) ds \right. \]

\[ + \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \Delta \tau_i^n \int_0^1 I_{i,s}^{n+1/2} ds + \Delta t \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \delta t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 s I_{i,s}^{n+1/2} ds \right)^2 \Delta x. \]

For all \[ a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \], we have \( (a + b + c)^2 \leq 3 (a^2 + b^2 + c^2) \), so that the above inequality becomes:

\[ \Delta t \| \delta t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^c}^2 \leq 3 \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 p'(\tau_i^n + s \Delta t \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2}) ds \right. \]

\[ + \left( \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \Delta \tau_i^n \int_0^1 I_{i,s}^{n+1/2} ds \right)^2 \Delta x. \]

Moreover, from assumptions (2.29) on the pressure law, we have:

\[ \int_0^1 p'(\tau_i^n + s \Delta t \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2}) ds \leq L_p, \quad \int_0^1 I_{i,s}^{n+1/2} ds \leq L_p, \quad \int_0^1 s I_{i,s}^{n+1/2} ds \leq L_p/2, \]

thus

\[ \Delta t \| \delta t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^c}^2 \leq 3 L_p^2 \Delta t \left( 1 + \frac{\Delta t^2}{4} \| \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \|_{L_\infty}^2 \| \delta t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^c}^2 \right. \]

\[ \left. + \| \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \|_{L_\infty}^2 \| \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^c}^2 \right). \]

Finally, since \[ \| \delta t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \|_{L_\infty} \leq K \] by (2.27), combined with (4.50) and (4.51), the inequality (4.54) is obtained. The proof of Lemma 4.1 is thus achieved. \( \square \)

**Proof. (Proof of Lemma 3.1.)** By definition of the discrete relative entropy (2.24) and the discrete operator \( \delta t \) given by (2.20), we have:

\[ \delta t \eta_i^{e,n+1/2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \Delta t} \left( \left( \Delta u_i^{n+1} \right)^2 - \left( \Delta u_i^n \right)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left( P(\tau_i^{n+1} | \tau_i^n) - P(\tau_i^n | \tau_i^n) \right). \]

Involving the definition of the relative pressure \( P(\tau | \sigma) \) given in (2.25), and since for all \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) we have \( a^2 - b^2 = 2a(a - b) - (a - b)^2 \), we obtain:

\[ \delta t \eta_i^{e,n+1/2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \Delta t} \left( 2\Delta u_i^{n+1} (\Delta u_i^{n+1} - \Delta u_i^n) - (\Delta u_i^{n+1} - \Delta u_i^n)^2 \right) \]

\[ - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left( P(\tau_i^{n+1}) - P(\tau_i^{n+1}) - p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \Delta \tau_i^{n+1} - P(\tau_i^n) + P(\tau_i^n) + p(\tau_i^n) \Delta \tau_i^n \right). \]
We reformulate the above relation as follows:
\[
\delta_t \eta_i^{\varepsilon,n+1/2} = \varepsilon^2 \Delta u_i^{n+1} \Delta_i \delta_t \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \Delta t \left( \delta_t \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \right)^2 - \frac{P(\tau_i^{n+1}) - P(\tau_i^n)}{\tau_i^{n+1} - \tau_i^n} \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \\
+ \frac{P(\tau_i^{n+1}) - P(\tau_i^n)}{\tau_i^{n+1} - \tau_i^n} \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} + p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} + \frac{P(\tau_i^{n+1}) - p(\tau_i^n)}{\tau_i^{n+1} - \tau_i^n} \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \Delta \tau_i^n.
\]

Moreover, from both schemes (2.21) and (2.22), we derive the following evolution law for \( \Delta u_i^n \):
\[
\varepsilon^2 \delta_t \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} = -\sigma \Delta u_i^{n+1} - \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \delta_t \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1})_i + \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \delta_t x p(\tau_i^{n+1})_i \\
+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon^2 \Delta x \delta_t x u_i^n - \varepsilon^2 \delta_t \omega_i^{n+1/2}.
\]

Arguing the notations \( Q_i^{n+1/2}, \bar{Q}_i^{n+1/2}, \bar{q}_i^{n+1/2} \) defined in (3.38), and plugging the above relation equality within (4.56), \( \delta_t \eta_i^{\varepsilon,n+1/2} \) now reads:
\[
\delta_t \eta_i^{\varepsilon,n+1/2} = -\varepsilon^2 \Delta t \left( \delta_t \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \right)^2 \\
+ \Delta u_i^{n+1} \left( -\sigma \Delta u_i^{n+1} - \delta_x \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1})_i + \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \delta_t x p(\tau_i^{n+1})_i + \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon^2 \Delta x \delta_t x u_i^n - \varepsilon^2 \delta_t \omega_i^{n+1/2} \right) \\
- Q_i^{n+1/2} \delta_i \tau_i^{n+1/2} + \bar{Q}_i^{n+1/2} \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} + p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} + \bar{q}_i^{n+1/2} \Delta \tau_i^n \delta_i \tau_i^{n+1/2}.
\]

We develop the second term to get:
\[
\delta_t \eta_i^{\varepsilon,n+1/2} = -\sigma \Delta u_i^{n+1} - \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_t x \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1})_i + \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_t x p(\tau_i^{n+1})_i \\
+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon^2 \Delta x \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_t x u_i^n - \varepsilon^2 \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_t \omega_i^{n+1/2} - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \Delta t \left( \delta_t \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \right)^2 \\
- Q_i^{n+1/2} \delta_i \tau_i^{n+1/2} + \bar{Q}_i^{n+1/2} \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} + p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_t \Delta \tau_i^{n+1/2} + \bar{q}_i^{n+1/2} \Delta \tau_i^n \delta_i \tau_i^{n+1/2}.
\]

The objective now is to correctly control the above quantity according to (3.36). To address such an issue, we reformulate the discrete entropy flux, defined by (3.39), as follows:
\[
\frac{1}{\Delta x} \left( \psi_i^{n+1} - \psi_i^{n+1} \right) = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left( \Delta u_i^{n+1} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) + \Delta u_i^{n+1} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \\
- \Delta u_i^{n+1} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) - \Delta u_i^{n+1} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \right) \\
= \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \frac{\Delta u_i^{n+1} - \Delta u_i^{n+1}}{\Delta x} + \Delta u_i^{n+1} \frac{\Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) - \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1})}{\Delta x} \\
= \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_x \Delta u_i^{n+1} + \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_x \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1})_i,
\]

(4.58)
to write
\[
-\Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_x \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1})_i = -\frac{1}{\Delta x} \left( \psi_i^{n+1} - \psi_i^{n+1} \right) \\
+ \Delta t \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_x \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} + \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_x \Delta u_i^{n}.
\]

Moreover, using (2.21) and (2.22) we have:
\[
\delta_x \Delta u_i^{n} = \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \delta_t x \Delta \tau_i^{n}.
\]
and (4.58) becomes:

\[-\Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{x} \Delta p\left(\tau_{i}^{n+1}\right)_{i} = -\frac{1}{\Delta x} \left(\psi_{i+1/2}^{n+1} - \psi_{i-1/2}^{n+1}\right) + \Delta t \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} / 2
\]

\[\quad + \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_{i}^{n}.
\]

We plug the above expression within (4.57) to obtain:

\[
\delta_{t} \eta^{n+1/2}_{i} + \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left(\psi_{i+1/2}^{n+1} - \psi_{i-1/2}^{n+1}\right) = -\sigma \left(\Delta u_{i}^{n+1}\right)^{2} - \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \Delta t \left(\delta_{t} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1/2}\right)^{2}
\]

\[\quad + \Delta t \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} / 2 + \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_{i}^{n}.
\]

\[-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{t} \eta_{i}^{n+1} / 2 + \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} - Q_{i}^{n+1} / 2 \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} + Q_{i}^{n+1} / 2 \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} + p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1/2}
\]

\[+ p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} + \bar{Q}_{i}^{n+1} / 2 \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1/2}.
\]

We remark that, using (3.37), we have:

\[
\Delta t \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} / 2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_{i}^{n} = R_{i}^{n,3},
\]

and

\[
\Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 - Q_{i}^{n+1} / 2 \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 + Q_{i}^{n+1} / 2 \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 + p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2
\]

\[+ \bar{Q}_{i}^{n+1} / 2 \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 = R_{i}^{n,4},
\]

and (4.59) rewrites as follows:

\[
\delta_{t} \eta^{n+1/2}_{i} + \frac{1}{\Delta x} \left(\psi_{i+1/2}^{n+1} - \psi_{i-1/2}^{n+1}\right) = -\sigma \left(\Delta u_{i}^{n+1}\right)^{2} - \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2} \Delta t \left(\delta_{t} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1/2}\right)^{2}
\]

\[-\varepsilon^{2} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{t} \eta_{i}^{n+1} / 2 + \varepsilon^{2} \Delta t \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 + \varepsilon^{2} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 + p(\tau_{i}^{n+1}) \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2
\]

\[+ \bar{Q}_{i}^{n+1} / 2 \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1} / 2 + R_{i}^{n,3} + R_{i}^{n,4}.
\]

We recognize $R_{i}^{n,1}$ and $R_{i}^{n,2}$ in the second and third lines and the expected evolution law (3.36) is obtained. \(\square\)

**Proof. (Proof of Lemma 3.2.)** Arguing the definition (3.37) of $R_{i}^{n,1}$, we have:

\[
\sum_{i \in Z} R_{i}^{n,1} \Delta x = -\varepsilon^{2} \sum_{i \in Z} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \Delta x + \varepsilon^{2} \Delta t \sum_{i \in Z} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{t} \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1/2}) \Delta x
\]

\[+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon^{2} \Delta x \sum_{i \in Z} \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \delta_{xx} \tau_{i}^{n} \Delta x.
\]

A Cauchy Schwarz inequality immediately gives:

\[
\sum_{i \in Z} R_{i}^{n,1} \Delta x \leq \varepsilon^{2} \left\| \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \right\|_{L_{2}} \left\| \delta_{t} \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right\|_{L_{2}} + \varepsilon^{2} \Delta t \left\| \Delta u_{i}^{n+1} \right\|_{L_{2}} \left\| \delta_{xx} \Delta p(\tau_{i}^{n+1/2}) \right\|_{L_{2}}.
\]
A discrete integration with respect to time gives the required estimation (3.44).

Considering assumptions (2.27) together with the limit scheme (2.22) we obtain:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n-1} \Delta x \leq \sqrt{K} \varepsilon^2 \left( 1 + \sigma \Delta t + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \right) \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x}.$$

Then for all $\theta > 0$, a Young inequality yields:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n-1} \Delta x \leq \theta \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x}^2 + \frac{K}{4\theta} \left( 1 + \sigma \Delta t + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \right)^2 \varepsilon^4.$$

The expected estimation (3.43) comes from a discrete integration with respect to time.

Proof. (Proof of Lemma 3.3.) Arguing the definition (3.37) we have:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,2} \Delta x = \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_x \Delta p(\tau_i)^{n+1/2} \Delta x + \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon^2 \Delta x \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta u_i^{n+1} \delta_{xx} \Delta u_i^n \Delta x.$$

Once again, a Cauchy Schwarz inequality gives:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,2} \Delta x \leq \varepsilon^2 \Delta t \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x} \| \delta_x \Delta p(\tau_i)^{n+1/2} \|_{L^2_x} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \varepsilon^2 \Delta x \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x} \| \delta_{xx} \Delta u^n \|_{L^2_x}.$$

Moreover, applying item (i) of Lemma 4.1 for $\| \delta_x (\delta_t \Delta p(\tau_i)^{n+1/2}) \|_{L^2_x}$ and $\| \delta_{xx} \Delta u^n \|_{L^2_x}$, we have:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,2} \Delta x \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x} \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x} \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau_i)^{n+1/2} \|_{L^2_x} + \frac{2\lambda \varepsilon^2}{\Delta x} \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x} \| \Delta u^n \|_{L^2_x}.$$

A Young inequality yields:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,2} \Delta x \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \left( \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x}^2 + \Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau_i)^{n+1/2} \|_{L^2_x}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda \varepsilon^2}{\Delta x} \left( \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x}^2 + \| \Delta u^n \|_{L^2_x}^2 \right).$$

Using (4.54), we get:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,2} \Delta x \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\Delta x} \left( \frac{\Delta t}{2 \Delta x^2} + \lambda \right) \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|_{L^2_x}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\Delta x} \left( \frac{3L_p^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left( 1 + \frac{K^2 \Delta t^2}{4} \right) + \lambda \right) \| \Delta u^n \|_{L^2_x}^2 + \frac{3L_p^3 \varepsilon^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left( 8\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2 K^2 \Delta t^2 + K^2 \Delta x^2 \right) \phi_0^n.$$

A discrete integration with respect to time gives the required estimation (3.44).

Proof. (Proof of Lemma 3.4.) Arguing the definition (3.37) we have:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R_i^{n,3} \Delta x = A^n + B^n,$$
where
\[ A^n = \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_{tx} \Delta u_i^{n+1/2} \Delta x, \]
\[ B^n = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta p(\tau_i^{n+1}) \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_i^n \Delta x. \]

We first provide the estimation for \( A^n \). A discrete integration by parts with respect to time gives:
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n \Delta t = -\Delta t \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_t \Delta p(\tau_i) \delta_{x} \Delta u_i^n \Delta x \Delta t \]
\[ + \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta p(\tau_i^{N+1}) \delta_x \Delta u_i^{N+1} \Delta x - \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta p(\tau_i^0) \delta_x \Delta u_i^0 \Delta x. \]

Once again, we apply Cauchy Schwarz inequality:
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n \Delta t \leq \Delta t \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^p} \| \delta_x \Delta u^{n} \|_{L_2^p} \Delta t \]
\[ + \Delta t \| \Delta p(\tau^{N+1}) \|_{L_2^p} \| \delta_x \Delta u^{N+1} \|_{L_2^p} + \Delta t \| \Delta p(\tau^0) \|_{L_2^p} \| \delta_x \Delta u^0 \|_{L_2^p}, \]

and Young inequality, which leads to:
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n \Delta t \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^p}^2 + \Delta t \| \delta_x \Delta u^{n} \|_{L_2^p}^2 \right) \Delta t + \frac{\theta_1}{2} \| \Delta p(\tau^{N+1}) \|_{L_2^p}^2 \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2\theta_1} \| \delta_x \Delta u^{N+1} \|_{L_2^p}^2 + \frac{\theta_2}{2} \| \Delta p(\tau^0) \|_{L_2^p}^2 + \frac{1}{2\theta_2} \| \delta_x \Delta u^0 \|_{L_2^p}^2, \]

where \( \theta_1, \theta_2 > 0 \) will be fixed further.

From a Taylor expansion of \( p \), we have for all \( 0 \leq n \leq N \):
\[ \| \Delta p(\tau^n) \|_{L_2^p}^2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \Delta \tau_i^n \int_0^1 p''(\tau_i^n + s \Delta \tau_i^n) ds \right)^2 \Delta x. \]

Moreover, properties (2.29) on \( p \) give:
\[ \| \Delta p(\tau^n) \|_{L_2^p}^2 \leq L_p^2 \| \Delta \tau^n \|_{L_2^x}^2, \]

and from (4.50) we obtain:
\[ \| \Delta p(\tau^n) \|_{L_2^p}^2 \leq 2L_p^3 \phi_{\varepsilon}^n. \]

By plugging the previous estimation for \( n = N + 1 \) and \( n = 0 \) in the expression of \( A^n \) and by applying estimation (4.49), we get:
\[ \sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n \Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta t}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^p}^2 \Delta t + \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^n \|_{L_2^p}^2 \Delta t \]
\[ + \theta_1 L_p^3 \phi_{\varepsilon}^{N+1} + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2\Delta x^2 \theta_1} \| \Delta u^{N+1} \|_{L_2^p}^2 + \theta_2 \Delta t L_p^3 \phi_{\varepsilon}^0 + \frac{1}{2\Delta x^2 \theta_2} \| \Delta u^0 \|_{L_2^p}^2. \]
We fix $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{2L_p^2}$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{1}{L_p^2}$ to get $1/2$ as coefficient of $\phi^{N+1}_x$ and $\Delta t$ as coefficient of $\phi^0_x$. We thus get:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n \Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta t}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left( \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^2_x} + \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^n \|^2_{L^2_x} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \phi^{N+1}_x + \frac{L_p^3 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^{N+1} \|^2_{L^2_x} + \Delta t \phi^0_x + \frac{L_p^3}{2\Delta x^2} \Delta t \| \Delta u^0 \|^2_{L^2_x}.$$ 

Since $\| \Delta u^{n+1} \|^2_{L^2_x}$ and $\phi^n_x$ are nonnegative for all $n = 0, \ldots, N$, we clearly have:

$$\| \Delta u^{N+1} \|^2_{L^2_x} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|^2_{L^2_x} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^0_x \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi^n_x,$$

and we obtain:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n \Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta t}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left( \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^2_x} + \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^n \|^2_{L^2_x} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \phi^{N+1}_x + \frac{L_p^3 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|^2_{L^2_x} \Delta t + \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi^n_x \Delta t + \frac{L_p^3}{2\Delta x^2} \Delta t \| \Delta u^0 \|^2_{L^2_x}.$$ 

We conclude by using the estimation (4.54) to write:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n \Delta t \leq \frac{\Delta t}{2} \phi^{N+1}_x + \frac{L_p^3 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^{n+1} \|^2_{L^2_x} \Delta t$$

$$+ \frac{\Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} \left( \frac{L_p^2}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{K^2 \Delta t^2}{4} \right) + 1 \right) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \| \Delta u^n \|^2_{L^2_x} \Delta t$$

$$+ \left( \frac{L_p^3 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left( 8\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2 K^2 \Delta t^2 + K^2 \Delta x^2 \right) + 1 \right) \sum_{n=0}^{N} \phi^n_x \Delta t + \frac{L_p^3 \Delta t}{2\Delta x^2} \| \Delta u^0 \|^2_{L^2_x}.$$

Now we provide an estimation for $B^n$. We add and substract $\Delta p(\tau_i^n)$ and we proceed to a discrete integration by parts in space to get:

$$B^n = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_i \Delta p(\tau_i)^{n+1/2} \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_i^n \Delta x + \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_x \Delta p(\tau_i^n)_{i+1/2} \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_i^n_{i+1/2} \Delta x.$$ 

Applying the formula (4.52) we obtain:

$$B^n = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \Delta t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_i \Delta p(\tau_i)^{n+1/2} \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_i^n \Delta x$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \delta_x \Delta \tau_i^n \right)^2 \int_0^1 p'(\tau_i^n + s \Delta x \delta_{xx} \tau_i^n_{i+1/2}) ds \Delta x$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta \tau_i^n \delta_x \tau_i^n \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau_i^n_{i+1/2} \int_0^1 R_{i+1/2,s} ds \Delta x.$$
Moreover, the Cauchy Schwarz inequality allows us to write the following estimation of combining it with the estimation of $A$

The expected result (3.45) is recovered after summing in time the estimation of $B^n$. Using assumptions (2.29) on $p$, we have:

$$B^n \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta x \Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^p} \| \delta_{xx} \Delta \tau^n \|_{L_2^p}$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda L_p}{2} \Delta x \| \delta_{xx} \tau^n \|_{L_2^p} \| \Delta \tau^n \|_{L_2^p} + \frac{\lambda L_p}{4} \Delta \tau^n \| \delta_{xx} \tau^n \|_{L_2^p} \| \delta_{xx} \tau^n \|_{L_2^p}^2.$$ 

Using regularity assumptions (2.27) on $\pi$ and estimations (4.49), we get:

$$B^n \leq \frac{2\lambda \Delta t}{\Delta x} \| \delta_t \Delta p(\tau)^{n+1/2} \|_{L_2^p} \| \Delta \tau^n \|_{L_2^p} + 2\lambda K L_p \| \Delta \tau^n \|_{L_2^p}^2.$$ 

Now, we consider the Young inequality and estimations (4.54) and (4.50) to write:

$$B^n \leq \frac{6L_p^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left(1 + \frac{K^2 \Delta \tau^2}{4}\right) \| \Delta u^n \|_{L_2^p}$$

$$+ 2L_p \left(\frac{3L_p^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} \left(8\lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2 K^2 \Delta \tau^2 + K^2 \Delta x^2\right) + \frac{\lambda^2 \Delta t}{\Delta x^2} + 2\lambda K L_p\right) \phi^n.$$ 

The expected result (3.45) is recovered after summing in time the estimation of $B^n$ and combining it with the estimation of $A^n$. $\square$

Proof. (Proof of Lemma 3.5.) Arguing the definition (3.37), we have:

$$R_i^{n+1/2} = (Q_i^{n+1/2} + \overline{Q}_i^{n+1/2} + \overline{q}_i^{n+1/2} \Delta \tau_i^n) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} + \left(p(\tau_i^{n+1}) - Q_i^{n+1/2}\right) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2}.$$ 

According to a sequence of Taylor expansions, we write:

$$\overline{Q}_i^{n+1/2} = \int_0^1 \left[p(\tau_i^n) + \Delta t(1-s) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \overline{p}_s\right] ds,$$

$$\overline{q}_i^{n+1/2} = \int_0^1 \left[p'(\tau_i^n) + \Delta t(1-s) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \overline{p}_{ss}\right] ds,$$

$$p(\tau_i^{n+1}) = \int_0^1 \left[p(\tau_i^n) + \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \overline{p}_s\right] ds,$$

$$Q_i^{n+1/2} = \int_0^1 \left[p(\tau_i^{n+1}) + \Delta t(1-s) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \overline{p}_s + \Delta t(1-s) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \overline{p}_s\right] ds.$$
Moreover, performing a Taylor expansion on $\overline{p}_s$, we can rewrite $R_{i}^{n}$ as follows:

$$R_i^{n,4} = \int_0^1 \left[ -p(\overline{p}_s) \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^n + \Delta t (1-s) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \overline{p}_s - \Delta t (1-s) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \overline{p}_s - T_i^{n} - (1-s) T_i^{n,2} \right] ds.$$

After simplifications, we get:

$$R_i^{n,4} = \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \left[ \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 (2s-1) \overline{p}_s' ds + \int_0^1 s \overline{p}_s' ds \right]$$

$$-\Delta t \left( \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \right)^2 \int_0^1 s (1-s) \overline{p}_s' ds + \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 \Delta t s^2 \overline{p}_s' ds$$

$$+ \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 s \overline{p}_s' ds + T_i^n.$$

where

$$T_i^n = \int_0^1 \left[ - (T_i^{n,1} + (1-s) T_i^{n,2}) \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} + s T_i^{n,1} \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \right] ds. \quad (4.60)$$

Moreover, performing a Taylor expansion on $\overline{p}_s'$, we get:

$$\int_0^1 (2s-1) \overline{p}_s' ds = \int_0^1 (2s-1) \left( p' \overline{p}_s + s \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 p'' \overline{p}_s + st \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} dt \right) ds$$

$$= \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 s (1-s) \overline{p}_s' ds,$$

and

$$\int_0^1 s \overline{p}_s' ds = \int_0^1 s \left( p' \overline{p}_s + s \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} \int_0^1 p'' \overline{p}_s + st \Delta t \delta_t \tau_i^{n+1/2} dt \right) ds.$$
We can rewrite $R_{i}^{n,4}$ as follows:

\[ R_{i}^{n,4} = \Delta t \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \left[ \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} (1-s^{2})p''_{s} ds + \frac{1}{2} \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} p'_{i} \right] \]

\[ + \frac{2}{2} \delta t \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \int_{0}^{1} (1-s^{2})p''_{s} ds - \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s(1-s)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \delta t \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \left[ \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}p''_{s} ds + \Delta \tau_{i}^{n} \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{s} p''_{s} ds \right] + T_{i}^{n}. \]

After simplifications, we obtain:

\[ R_{i}^{n,4} = \Delta t \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \left[ \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} p'_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} (1+s^{2})p''_{s} ds \right] \]

\[ + \Delta t \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n} \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \int_{0}^{1} s p''_{s} ds + T_{i}^{n}. \]

Now we focus on $T_{i}^{n}$ defined by (4.60). Setting $I_{s} = \int_{0}^{1} (1-t)p^{(3)}(m_{s})dt$ and developing $T_{i}^{n}$, we get:

\[ T_{i}^{n} = \Delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s p''_{s} ds - \Delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n} \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s(1-s)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \delta t \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}p''_{s} ds - \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(1-s)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} (2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + 2 \Delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n} \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

\[ + \Delta t \left( \delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} s^{2}(2s-1)p''_{s} ds \]

We plug this development in the expression of $R_{i}^{n,4}$ to write:

\[ R_{i}^{n,4} = \frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( \delta t \Delta \tau_{i}^{n+1/2} \right)^{2} \frac{p'}{p''_{i}} \]
\[ + \Delta t \Delta \tau^n_i \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 \int_0^1 s \tilde{p}^n \tau^{n+1/2}_i ds + \Delta t \Delta \tau^n_i \delta_i \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2}_i \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \int_0^1 (2s-1) \tilde{p}^n ds \]
\[ + \Delta t^2 \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^3 \int_0^1 s^2 \tilde{p}^n \tau^{n+1/2}_i ds + \Delta t \Delta \tau^n_i \delta_i \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2}_i \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \int_0^1 (3s^2 - 2s + 1) \tilde{p}^n ds \]
\[ - \Delta t \Delta \tau^n_i \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 \int_0^1 (1-s) I_s ds \]
\[ - 2 \Delta t^2 \Delta \tau^n_i \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \int_0^1 s(1-s) I_s ds \]
\[ - \Delta t^3 \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 \int_0^1 s^2(1-s) I_s ds \]
\[ + \Delta t \Delta \tau^n_i \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2}_i \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \int_0^1 (2s-1) I_s ds \]
\[ + 2 \Delta t^2 \Delta \tau^n_i \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2}_i \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 \int_0^1 s(2s-1) I_s ds \]
\[ + \Delta t^3 \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2}_i \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^3 \int_0^1 s^2(2s-1) I_s ds \]
\[ + \Delta t \Delta \tau^n_i \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 \int_0^1 s I_s ds + 2 \Delta t^2 \Delta \tau^n_i \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^3 \int_0^1 s^2 I_s ds \]
\[ + \Delta t^3 \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^4 \int_0^1 s^3 I_s ds - \left( \Delta \tau^n_i \right)^2 \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2}_i \int_0^1 (1-s) p''(\tilde{\tau}^n_s + s \Delta \tau^n_s) ds. \]

Assumptions (2.29) on \( p \), which give in particular that \( I_s \) is negative, imply that:
\[
\frac{\Delta t}{2} \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 p'(\tilde{\tau}^n_i) \leq 0, \]
\[
\Delta t \Delta \tau^n_i \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^2 \int_0^1 s I_s ds \leq 0, \]
\[
\Delta t^2 \left( \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2}_i \right)^4 \int_0^1 s^3 I_s ds \leq 0. \]

In addition, assumptions (2.28) on \( \tau \) and (2.29) on \( p, p'' \) and \( p^{(3)} \) together with a Cauchy Schwarz inequality allow us to write, after summing in space:
\[
\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R^i_{n+4} \Delta x \leq \frac{1}{2} L_p L_r \Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^2} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} L_p \Delta t \| \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2} \|_{L^\infty} \| \Delta \tau^n \|_{L^2} \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|_{L^2} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{3} L_p L_r \Delta t \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} L_p \Delta t^2 \| \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2} \|_{L^\infty} \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^2} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} L_p \Delta t \| \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^\infty} \| \Delta \tau^n \|_{L^2} \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^2} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{3} L_p \Delta t^2 \| \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^\infty} \| \Delta \tau^n \|_{L^2} \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^2} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{12} L_p \Delta t^3 \| \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2} \|^3_{L^\infty} \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^3_{L^2} + L_p L_r \| \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2} \|_{L^\infty} \| \Delta \tau^n \|_{L^2} \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} L_p L_r \Delta t^2 \| \delta_t \tilde{\tau}^{n+1/2} \|_{L^\infty} \| \delta_t \Delta \tau^{n+1/2} \|^2_{L^2}. \]
Application of Young inequality, assumptions (2.27) on $\tau$ and estimation (4.50) give:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} R^n_i \Delta x \leq KL_p^2 \left( KL_p \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} K \Delta t \right)^2 + K \Delta t + 2 L_\tau + 1 \right) \phi^n_\varepsilon + K \Delta t \left( \frac{7}{6} L_\tau + \frac{1}{12} K^2 \Delta t^2 + \frac{7}{8} KL_\tau \Delta t + \frac{4}{3} L_\tau^2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \right) \left\| \delta_t \tau^{n+1/2} \right\|_{L_x}^2.$$

Invoking (4.51) and a discrete integration in time lead to the required estimation (3.46).

---

5 Numerical experiments

In this section, numerical experiments are performed to illustrate Theorem 2.1. To address such an issue, three simulations, with distinct initial data and distinct pressure laws, are displayed on the interval $(-10, 10)$. All the numerical results are considered at final time $T = 0$.

The friction coefficient is fixed to $\sigma = 1$ and we have adopted standard Neumann boundary conditions. The pressure is under the form $p(\tau) = \tau - \gamma$ with $\gamma > 1$.

For all the numerical tests, initial data are well prepared according to (2.35) while the initial velocity is fixed as follows:

$$u_0^i = -\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{p(\tau_{i+1}) - p(\tau_{i-1})}{2 \Delta x}.$$ (5.61)

We compute the approximate solutions of system (1.7) with $\varepsilon \in \{1, 5 \times 10^{-1}, 3 \times 10^{-1}, 1.1 \times 10^{-1}, 5 \times 10^{-2}, 1.1 \times 10^{-2}, 1.1 \times 10^{-3}, 1.1 \times 10^{-4}\}$ and different numbers of cells $M \in \{50, 100, 200, 500, 1500\}$.

The time step $\Delta t$ must be restricted according to a CFL condition. The restriction (2.30) can be adopted. However, constants involved in (2.27), (2.28) and (2.29) are clearly not optimal. Indeed, to improve the readability of Theorem 2.1, the number of constants have been minimized. In fact it is possible to get a better CFL condition with the introduction of numerous additional constants. The full evaluation of $C_p$ defined by (2.31), according to the adopted numerical values, is of order $10^{-6}$. Here we have considered less restrictive CFL condition as follows:

$$\Delta t = C_{CFL} \frac{\Delta x^2}{\max_{i=0,\ldots,M} (|p'(\tau^n_i)|,|p'(\tau^n_i)|)}.$$ (5.61)

First, we present on Figure 1 simulations with $C_{CFL} = 0.4$ and $\gamma = 1.4$ for two different initial conditions as follows:

- Discontinuous initial condition:

$$\tau_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < 0, \\ 2 & \text{else}. \end{cases}$$ (5.62)
Figure 1: Space integral of the relative entropy $\phi_{N+1}^{\varepsilon}$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ in log scale with $C_{CFL} = 0.4$ and $\gamma = 1.4$.

Figure 2: Space integral of the relative entropy $\phi_{N+1}^{\varepsilon}$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ in log scale with the discontinuous initial data and $\gamma = 1.4$.

- Smooth initial condition:

  $$\tau_0(x) = 2 + \cos \left( \frac{\pi x}{10} \right).$$

  (5.63)

We display the discrete space integral of the relative entropy at final time $\phi_{N+1}^{\varepsilon}$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ in log scale. We can see that both for the discontinuous and smooth initial data, the slopes are of order $\varepsilon^4$, which is expected according to Theorem 2.1.

Next, we study the behaviour of the convergence rate for different values of the constant $C_{CFL}$. We adopt the discontinuous initial data given by (5.62). The pressure coefficient is equal to 1.4. Once again we present, on Figure 2, the discrete space integral of the relative entropy $\phi_{N+1}^{\varepsilon}$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ in log scale for two distinct values of the CFL constant, $C_{CFL} = 0.4$ and $C_{CFL} = 0.25$. As expected, the slopes are of order $\varepsilon^4$.

Finally, on Figure 3, we display the convergence rate with the discontinuous initial data (5.62) and $C_{CFL} = 0.4$ for two different pressure functions respectively defined by $\gamma = 1.4$ and $\gamma = 3.5$. Here again, we obtain a convergence rate of order $\varepsilon^4$.
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Figure 3: Space integral of the relative entropy $\phi_N^{N+1}$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ in log scale with the discontinuous initial data and $C_{CFL} = 0.4$.

References


