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Conformational Dynamics in the Core of Human Y145Stop Prion Protein Amyloid Probed by Relaxation Dispersion NMR


Microsecond to millisecond timescale backbone dynamics of the amyloid core residues in Y145Stop human prion protein (PrP) fibrils were investigated by using 15N rotating frame (R1r) relaxation dispersion solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy over a wide range of spin-lock fields. Numerical simulations enabled the experimental relaxation dispersion profiles for most of the fibril core residues to be modelled by using a two-state exchange process with a common exchange rate of 1,000 s⁻¹, corresponding to protein backbone motions on the timescale of 1 ms, and an excited-state population of 2%. We also found that the relaxation dispersion profiles for several amino acids positioned near the edges of the most structured regions of the amyloid core were better modelled by assuming somewhat higher excited-state populations (~5-15%) and faster exchange rate constants, corresponding to protein backbone motions on the timescale of ~100-300 µs. The slow backbone dynamics of the core residues were evaluated in the context of the structural model of human Y145Stop PrP amyloid.

1. Introduction

Transmissible spongiform encephalopathies, a family of fatal neurodegenerative conditions that includes Creutzfeld-Jakob disease in humans and ‘mad cow’ disease, involve the conformational rearrangement of an α-helical brain prion protein from its native cellular form (PrPSc) to a pathogenic misfolded amyloid-like form (PrPSC).[1-2] Although not currently understood at the atomistic level, the three-dimensional structures adopted by the PrPSC aggregates appear to be intimately linked to prion toxicity and ease of disease transmission.[3-5]

The C-terminally truncated human Y145Stop prion protein variant (huPrP23-144), which is associated with a hereditary PrP cerebral amyloidosis,[6] has previously been shown to display in vitro the key features of mammalian prion propagation including strain variability and cross-seeding barriers.[7] Remarkably, mouse PrP23-144 amyloid generated from recombinant protein has also recently been found to trigger transmissible prion disease in mice.[8] To gain atomic level structural insights into PrP23-144 amyloid propagation we have carried out a series of solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) studies for a set of homologous recombinant proteins corresponding to the human, mouse and Syrian hamster amino acid sequences as well as related mutants.[9-15] These studies concluded that huPrP23-144 fibrils consist of two protofilaments, where each protofilament contains a structured compact core region of ~30 amino acids (aa) spanning residues ~112-141 with parallel in-register β-sheet architecture and a large dynamically disordered ~90-residue N-terminal tail domain.

Interestingly, these experiments also revealed considerable variations in the NMR spectral intensities suggestive of the presence of conformational dynamics for multiple core residues, with the backbone signals for residues Y128 and M129 located in a flexible loop segment roughly in the middle of the core region not detectable at all in conventional solid-state NMR spectra recorded at temperatures exceeding approximately ~20 °C.[9-10] Additional experiments designed to probe the backbone one-bond 15N-¹H, ¹⁵C-¹H and 15N-¹¹C dihedral couplings revealed uniformly high dipolar order parameters (S ≈ 0.9-1) for all the amyloid core residues consistent with the presence of only small-amplitude motions of the different bond vectors around their equilibrium positions on the sub-µs timescale. In contrast, considerable variations in transverse spin relaxation rates were observed for the backbone ¹H, ¹²C and ¹5N nuclei, suggestive of the presence of slower motions, on the timescale of ~0.1-1 ms, for the core residues.[16-19] A more detailed analysis of these slower motions could not be performed, however, since the initial experiments were conducted on fully protonated fibril samples at moderate (~10 kHz) magic angle spinning (MAS) rates, where considerable residual ¹H-¹H dipolar couplings contribute significantly to the decay of spin coherences, masking the dynamics-induced relaxation.

Recent advances in solid-state NMR methodology, including the availability of fast MAS probes combined with protein deuteration and proton spin dilution as well as proton detection for enhanced sensitivity,[16-22] have enabled the detailed investigation of backbone dynamics for a variety of proteins and protein assemblies in the solid phase over a wide range of timescales[23-29] including the slow µs-ms motions that are of interest for huPrP23-144 amyloid fibrils in the present study. Of particular utility in the context of characterizing these µs-ms motions in a residue-specific manner are multidimensional rotating frame (R1r) relaxation dispersion (RD) experiments[26,34] for amide 15N nuclei as a function of the spin-lock radiofrequency (RF) field strength spanning both the low-field (or Bloch-McConnell) regime[31] and the near rotational resonance (VRF = VMAX) regime, where both ¹⁵N-¹H dipolar couplings and ¹5N chemical shift anisotropy are effectively recohered under MAS.[30] In the Bloch-McConnell regime, these RD experiments provide information about the modulation of isotropic ¹5N chemical shifts due to the µs-ms protein backbone dynamics, while the near rotational resonance RD...
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is the bond vectors, particularly those located near the N, Cα, and CO chemical shift assignments. [8] To rapidly assess the extent of microsecond timescale motions for the huPrP23-144 amyloid core residues, we measured the residue-specific 15N R1, rate constants for 15N spin-lock fields of 2 and 15 kHz using the pulse sequence shown in SI Figure S2A. Additionally, in order to account for off-resonance effects in the 15N R1, experiments (see Experimental Section), 15N longitudinal relaxation (R1) rate constants were determined using the pulse sequence shown in SI Figure S2B. The residue-specific 15N R1 and 15N R1, on-resonance values are shown in Figure 1C and 1D, respectively. These data indicate that both the 15N R1 and 15N R1, rate constants are generally smallest for the least solvent-exposed hydrophobic core residues (aa ~115-125),[15] while larger
delay rate constants are observed for most of the remaining residues, particularly those located near the N- and C-terminal edges of the amyloid core region and bracketing the flexible region (aa ~127-130) within the core. Importantly, the 15N R1 values for many of the core residues recorded with the 2 kHz spin-lock field were found to be considerably larger than the corresponding values recorded with the 15 kHz field (on average the 15N R1, on-resonance values were ~8 s⁻¹ and ~5.5 s⁻¹ for the 2 kHz and 15 kHz spin-lock fields, respectively). This residue-specific relaxation dispersion is strongly indicative of the presence of slow protein backbone motions throughout the huPrP23-144 amyloid core. It is also quite remarkable that, relative to one another, the residue-specific 15N transverse relaxation (R2) rate constants obtained for the fully protonated huPrP23-144 amyloid in our earlier work[10] are in reasonable qualitative agreement with the

2. Results and Discussion

All experiments were performed using huPrP23-144 amyloid fibrils generated from uniformly 2H, 13C, 15N-labeled protein that was back-exchanged in a 1:1 mixture of H2O and D2O as described in the Experimental Section. The resulting 50% 1H incorporation at the exchangeable amide proton sites in combination with rapid sample spinning at 40 kHz frequency, provides sufficient suppression of residual 1H-1H dipolar couplings to enable quantitative measurements of residue-specific 15N R1, rate constants for a broad range of spin-lock fields with high-resolution and sensitivity by using 1H detection. The 2D 15N-1H cross-polarization spectrum shown in Figure 1B shows that the vast majority of the cross-peaks for individual residues are well-resolved, with typical 1H linewidths of ~0.1-0.15 ppm indicative of high degree of sample homogeneity and effective suppression of homonuclear 1H-1H dipolar couplings. The assignments of the 15N-1H correlations in this spectrum could be readily performed by recording a pair of 3D hCANH and hCONH spectra[36] (Supporting Information (SI) Figure S1) and taking advantage of the previously reported 15N, 13Cα and 13CO chemical shift assignments.[9]

To rapidly assess the extent of microsecond timescale motions for the huPrP23-144 amyloid core residues, we measured the residue-specific 15N R1, rate constants for 15N spin-lock fields of 2 and 15 kHz using the pulse sequence shown in SI Figure S2A. Additionally, in order to account for off-resonance effects in the 15N R1, experiments (see Experimental Section), 15N longitudinal relaxation (R1) rate constants were determined using the pulse sequence shown in SI Figure S2B. The residue-specific 15N R1 and 15N R1, on-resonance values are shown in Figure 1C and 1D, respectively. These data indicate that both the 15N R1 and 15N R1, rate constants are generally smallest for the least solvent-exposed hydrophobic core residues (aa ~115-125),[15] while larger delay rate constants are observed for most of the remaining residues, particularly those located near the N- and C-terminal edges of the amyloid core region and bracketing the flexible region (aa ~127-130) within the core. Importantly, the 15N R1 values for many of the core residues recorded with the 2 kHz spin-lock field were found to be considerably larger than the corresponding values recorded with the 15 kHz field (on average the 15N R1, on-resonance values were ~8 s⁻¹ and ~5.5 s⁻¹ for the 2 kHz and 15 kHz spin-lock fields, respectively). This residue-specific relaxation dispersion is strongly indicative of the presence of slow protein backbone motions throughout the huPrP23-144 amyloid core. It is also quite remarkable that, relative to one another, the residue-specific 15N transverse relaxation (R2) rate constants obtained for the fully protonated huPrP23-144 amyloid in our earlier work[10] are in reasonable qualitative agreement with the

15N R1, values determined in the present study. Note, however, that the former R2 rate constants were a factor of ~10-20 larger due to the incomplete averaging of 1H-1H dipolar couplings, while the current R1, data are largely free of deleterious dipolar dephasing effects. Having established the existence of slow protein motions in the core of huPrP23-144 fibrils, in order to gain a more comprehensive insight into these motions we recorded complete residue-specific 15N R1, RD profiles at 40 kHz MAS rate spanning the Bloch-McConnell (2-15 kHz 15N spin-lock field) and near n = 1 rotary resonance (24-36 kHz 15N spin-lock field) regimes. Figure 2 shows these complete RD profiles for most of the huPrP23-144 amyloid core residues, with representative relaxation trajectories for individual residues at different spin-lock fields shown in SI Figures S3 and S4. Overall, the experimental data are of high quality owing to the use of 1H detection, with relatively small uncertainties in the 15N R1, values extracted for different spin-lock fields. Inspection of the experimental data in the 2-15 kHz spin-lock field regime reveals prominent 15N R1, RD effects for some of the residues (e.g., A113, L130 and F141) but rather subtle ones for most others, especially the hydrophobic core aa ~115-125, making it difficult to extract accurate motional parameters from

FIGURE 1. (A) Amino acid sequence of huPrP23-144, with the most rigid amyloid core residues shown in blue font. The dynamically disordered N- and C-terminal residues and two flexible residues located within the core region, which are not detectable in conventional solid-state NMR experiments, are shown in red font. Regions with the highest β-strand propensity predicted by TALOS-N[23] based on backbone 1Cα and 13C chemical shifts are underlined. (B) 2D 1H-1H chemical shift correlation spectrum of amyloid fibrils generated from uniformly 1H, 13C, 15N-labeled huPrP23-144 back-exchanged in a 1:1 mixture of H2O and D2O. The spectrum was recorded in 2 h at 800 MHz 1H frequency, 40 kHz MAS rate, and sample temperature of ~10 °C. Due to large variations in resonance intensities, for clarity the cross-peaks are drawn with the lowest contour at 75 times the rms noise level. The locations of cross-peaks for residues A113, G124, G126, and L130, for which the signal-to-noise is below this threshold, are indicated. (C) Residue-specific 15N R1 values determined using the pulse sequence shown in SI Figure S2B. (D) Residue-specific 15N R1, values determined using the pulse sequence shown in SI Figure S2A with 15N spin-lock fields of 2 kHz or 15 kHz as indicated.
Figure 2. Residue-specific $^{15}$N $R_{1p}$ RD profiles for huPrP23-144 amyloid fibrils at 800 MHz $^1$H frequency. Experimental data are shown as open circles, and numerical fits used to extract the global exchange rate and minor excited-state population, as well as site-specific parameters $\Delta \omega$ and $\alpha$ (c.f., Figure 3) are shown as solid lines. RD profiles for residues G126, L130, and F141, which were not fit as well by the global exchange model, are shown in SI Figure S5 along with individual residue-specific fits. RD profiles for residues I139 and H140 could not be obtained due to insufficient resolution in the 2D $^{15}$N-$^1$H spectrum.
this regime alone. Interestingly, we also observe that virtually all residues display considerable broadening of the n = 1 rotary resonance condition as the 15N spin-lock field is increased from 24 to 36 kHz, due to reorientation of the amide 15N CSA and 15N-1H dipolar coupling tensors, suggestive of the presence of µs-ms timescale motions throughout the sequence.\[31\]

To gain more quantitative insight into the huPrP23-144 amyloid backbone dynamics we performed a multi-parameter numerical fit of the 15N R1p data for the entire range of spin-lock fields investigated. Briefly, numerical simulations of 15N R1p relaxation in the presence of a dynamic two-state model were used to generate in silico RD profiles as a function of the exchange rate constant (kex), population of the minor, excited-state conformer (pex), and residue-specific chemical shift difference (Δω0) and angular amplitude (ω) parameters. A grid search of these computed RD profiles was then performed to identify the best-fits to residue-specific experimental RD data (see Experimental Section). While fits of particularly high quality could be obtained by modeling the 15N R1p RD profiles for individual residues one-by-one with completely independent values of kex and pex (in addition to the residue-specific Δω0 and ω parameters), we instead opted for a simpler, more physically-relevant motional model where RD profiles for all residues are fit simultaneously to a single, global excited-state population and exchange rate. This treatment of the data yielded best-fit kex and pex parameters of 1,000 s\(^{-1}\) and 2%, respectively, with reasonable quality fits for the vast majority of the residues as seen in Figure 2 (i.e., for these residues the improvement in χ\(^2\) between experimental and calculated RD profiles obtained via independent fitting vs. by using the global exchange model was statistically insignificant). Note that the exchange rate of 1,000 s\(^{-1}\) obtained by the global fitting of the complete RD profiles for all huPrP23-144 residues is considerably lower than the lowest spin-lock field (2 kHz) that was practically attainable in our experiments, due to coherent contributions to R1p that can become dominant at sufficiently low spin-lock field amplitudes.\[39\] Given that the largest modulations of 15N R1p in the low-field Bloch-McConnell regime are expected for exchange rates that are on the order of the spin-lock field, this provides a rationale for why relatively small RD effects were observed for many residues in the 2-15 kHz regime and also underscores the importance of utilizing RD data over a wide range of spin-lock fields spanning both the Bloch-McConnell and near rotary resonance regimes in order to reliably identify protein backbone motions occurring on the ~ms timescale.

Figures 3A and 3B, respectively, show plots of the Δω0 and ω values as a function of residue number extracted from the global fit of the 15N R1p, RD profiles with kex = 1,000 s\(^{-1}\) and pex = 2%. As noted above, these parameters report on the structural changes between the major and minor states undergoing exchange via the isotropic 15N chemical shift difference (Δω0) and the angle between the 15N-1H bond vectors (ω) in the two states. While both of these parameters display some scatter for individual residues, we note that most of the hydrophobic amino acids (aa ~115-125) tend to have the smallest Δω0 (~3 ppm or less), while Δω0 exceeds ~3.5-4 ppm for many of the remaining residues. These trends in Δω0 show a reasonable correlation with the extracted residue-specific ω values, where most residues in the region ~115-125 have ω in the ~10-15° range while most other residues appear to have larger angular fluctuations (ω ~15-25°).
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Figure 3. Plots of residue-specific (A) Δω0 and (B) ω values extracted from the global fit of 15N R1p, RD profiles for huPrP23-144 amyloid with kex = 1,000 s\(^{-1}\) and pex = 2%. (C) Schematic structural model of the huPrP23-144 fibril with approximate locations of several amino acid residues indicated by red spheres.

Altogether, these results imply that the most restricted millisecond timescale motions occur for the most solvent-protected residues ~115-125 found in the hydrophobic core of the fibril\[15\] (Figure 3C), while the more solvent-exposed residues located at the edges of the amyloid core, the C-terminal β-strand and the adjacent partly flexible loop exhibit larger amplitude dynamics on this timescale. Finally, we note that for three residues (G126, L130 and F141) the comparison of χ\(^2\) values for individual fits versus the global fit using an F-test revealed a statistically significant improvement with the individual fits. The global and individual fits as well as the
fit parameters for these residues are shown in SI Figure S5. While the values of $\Delta \mu N$ and $\alpha$ obtained with both fits were comparable overall, the individual residue fits yielded considerably higher minor state populations ($p_b \sim 5-15\%$) and exchange rates ($k_{ex} \sim 3,000-7,500$ s$^{-1}$) relative to the global fit. Given that all three residues are located near the most flexible regions of the huPrP23-144 amyloid core, including the C-term (F141) and loop preceding the C-terminal $\beta$-strand (G126 and L130) it is feasible that these amino acids are associated with somewhat faster backbone motions (on a timescale of hundreds of microseconds) and elevated excited state populations compared to the remaining amyloid core residues.

3. Concluding Remarks

In summary, we have carried out a detailed analysis of slow protein backbone motions in the $\beta$-core of huPrP23-144 amyloid fibrils by using solid-state NMR $^{15}$N $R_\beta$ RD measurements over a wide range of spin-lock fields. The residue-specific RD profiles spanning both the Bloch-McConnell and near rotary resonance regimes could be modelled for most of the amyloid core residues by assuming a common exchange rate of 1,000 s$^{-1}$, corresponding to protein backbone dynamics on the timescale of 1 ms, and a 2% excited-state population. Additionally, several residues located near the edges of the most structured segments of the huPrP23-144 fibril core, including G126, L130, and F141, were found to exhibit somewhat faster exchange, corresponding to backbone motions on the timescale of $\sim$100-300 $\mu$s, and higher excited-state populations on the order of 5-15%.

While it remains unclear whether the slow $\mu$s-ms timescale motions occurring for residues located throughout the huPrP23-144 fibril core have any biological consequence, taken together with earlier reports of extensive motions on multiple timescales for other protein fibrils,[76,77] our findings further underscore the notion that, in spite of their ordered nature, amyloids can be highly dynamic entities. The presence of these $\mu$s-ms protein backbone dynamics in huPrP23-144 amyloid is also consistent with our earlier observation of significant amide hydrogen-deuterium exchange taking place for many residues within the parallel-in-register $\beta$-sheet regions on timescales as short as several hours.[15] One hypothesis is that these slow backbone motions in huPrP23-144 amyloid originate in the partly flexible loop region (aa $\sim$126-129) as well as the N- and C-terminal amyloid core edges around residues 112 and 141, respectively, and propagate into the more structured regions of the $\beta$-core. This hypothesis is further supported by the finding that the solvent-exposed residues appear to undergo somewhat larger amplitude motions relative to the more solvent-protected hydrophobic residues located in the interior of the fibril.

4. Experimental Section

4.1 Sample Preparation

Overexpression of uniformly $^2$H, $^{13}$C, $^{15}$N-labeled huPrP23-144 using M9 minimal media containing $^2$H,$^{13}$C-glucose (2 g/L) and $^{15}$N-ammonium chloride (1 g/L) in D$_2$O and protein purification were carried out as described previously.[13] The purified lyophilized protein was dissolved in excess 1:1 H$_2$O:D$_2$O mixture at a concentration of 60 $\mu$M and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The completeness of the amide hydrogen-deuterium exchange was quantified by comparing 1D $^1$H NMR spectra for the 50% back-exchanged huPrP23-144 sample and a fully protonated reference sample of identical concentration. The protein solution was then concentrated to 400 $\mu$L using a 3 kDa molecular weight cutoff Amicon centrifugal filter device, and amyloid fibril formation was initiated by adding potassium phosphate pH 6.4 buffer in 1:1 H$_2$O:D$_2$O to a final concentration of 50 mM. Fibrils were routinely characterized using atomic force microscopy to confirm sample homogeneity,[13] washed with two aliquots of 50 mM potassium phosphate pH 6.4 buffer in 1:1 H$_2$O:D$_2$O, and centrifuged directly into a 1.9 mm Bruker zirconia rotor. The rotor was sealed using slow-setting epoxy glue to prevent potential sample dehydration during the experiments.

4.2 NMR Spectroscopy

Solid-state NMR studies were performed on an 800 MHz Bruker Avance III HD spectrometer equipped with a 1.9 mm $^1$H-$^{13}$C-$^{15}$N MAS probe. The MAS rate was set to 40 kHz, the effective sample temperature was maintained at $\sim$10°C, and all experiments utilized low-power pulse sequences and $^1$H detection for optimal sensitivity.

To establish the resonance assignments in 2D $^{15}$N-$^1$H spectra, which served as the basis for residue-specific $^{15}$N spin relaxation measurements described below, 3D hCONH and hCANH spectra were recorded using the pulse sequences of Pintacuda and co-workers[86] and analyzed in combination with available $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ and $^{13}$C$_\beta$ chemical shifts.[8] Briefly, for magnetization transfer these experiments employed $^1$H-$^{13}$C$^{13}$C cross-polarization (CP) with 4 ms duration and a linear ramp on the $^1$H channel, $^{13}$C$^{15}$N double-quantum SPECIFIC CP[39] with $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C$^{15}$N field strengths of ~30 kHz and ~10 kHz, respectively, and a tangent ramp on the $^{13}$C channel, and $^{13}$N-$^1$H CP with 0.6 ms duration and a linear ramp on the $^1$H channel. Water suppression was achieved immediately prior to the $^{15}$N-$^1$H CP by using a series of long $^1$H pulses with $x$-phase alternation,[40] ~18 kHz field strength and total duration of 300 ms. The indirect $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C$^{15}$N dimensions utilized 5 ms evolution with XIX $^1$H decoupling.[41] Additionally, during $^{13}$C$^{13}$C evolution the one-bond $^{13}$C$^{13}$C$_\alpha$ J-couplings were suppressed by using a frequency-selective $r$SNOB pulse[42] with 250 $\mu$s duration applied at the $^{13}$C or $^{13}$C$_\alpha$ frequency as appropriate, and in both the $^{13}$C$^{13}$C$_\alpha$ and $^{15}$N dimensions the $^{13}$C$^{15}$N J-evolution was suppressed by applying a 180° pulse on the $^{15}$N and $^{13}$C$_\alpha$, respectively. The direct dimension consisted of 30 ms of $^1$H evolution with WALTZ-16 $^{15}$N decoupling.[43]

The residue-specific $^{15}$N $R_\beta$ relaxation rate constants were determined in a pseudo-3D manner by using the pulse scheme in Figure S2A, with 14 ms of $^{15}$N evolution in the indirect dimension and most of the remaining key parameters identical to those noted above for the 3D hCONH and hCANH experiments. The experiments employed $^{15}$N spin-lock fields ranging from 2 to 36 kHz, with the RF field amplitudes calibrated as described previously.[51] For $^{15}$N spin-lock field strengths up to 15 kHz, a series of $^{15}$N-$^1$H correlation spectra was recorded for spin-lock durations of 1, 5, 25, 50, 75, 100, 125 and 150 ms. For spin-lock field strengths between 24 and 36 kHz durations of 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 20 and 30 ms were used (note that the 30 ms spin-lock duration was omitted for the highest, 36 kHz, $^{15}$N field strength to stay
within the probe duty cycle limits for the 2 s recycle delay that was utilized. Additionally, in order to correct for off-resonance effects in the $^{15}$N $R_{1p}$ measurements, the residue-specific $^{15}$N $R_{1}$ were determined using the pulse scheme in Figure S2B with relaxation delays of 0.00001, 1, 3 and 5 s.

### 4.3 Data Analysis

All NMR data were processed using NMRPipe,[44] Resonance assignments were established by analyzing the spectra in SPARKY,[45] and nmrglue[46] was used to extract the peak volumes in the series of 2D $^{15}$N-$^{1}$H spectra and perform data fitting to decaying single exponentials in order to obtain the residue-specific $^{15}$N $R_{1}$, and $^{15}$N $R_{1}$ rate constants. The “on-resonance” $^{15}$N $R_{1}$, values, corrected for off-resonance effects, were then calculated according to the following equation: $R_{1o,nres} = R_{1s}/\sin^2 \theta - R_{1}/\tan^2 \theta$, where $\theta = \arctan(\omega_1/\Omega)$ with $\omega_1$ and $\Omega$ being the spin-lock field strength and resonance offset, respectively.

Numerical simulations of the residue-specific $^{15}$N $R_{1}$, RD profiles in the entire 2 to 36 kHz range of spin-lock fields were performed using in-house programs within the GAMMA simulation library[47] akin to previously described work.[48] A two-spin ($^{1}$H-$^{15}$N) system was generated in Liouville space and duplicated for the two exchanging states, connected by the exchange rate constants. The evolution of spin coherence under the $^{15}$N spin-lock RF field of varying strength was simulated by a stepwise time integration of the Liouville-von Neumann equation with standard powder averaging. The $^{15}$N $R_{1p}$ values were obtained by a numerical fit of the obtained coherence decay profiles, assuming a mono-exponential decay. While in general relaxation decays in spinning solids are not mono-exponential,[34, 49] the data analysis scheme employed here, where both experimental and simulated data are treated identically and fitted to mono-exponential functions, nevertheless allows for quantitative comparison.

The above simulations of $^{15}$N $R_{1}$, as a function of $^{15}$N spin-lock field strength were repeated on a 4D grid by systematically varying the following parameters: (1) the population of the minor excited state ($p_0$), (2) the exchange rate between the states ($k_{ex}$), (3) the $^{15}$N chemical shift difference between the exchanging states ($\Delta\omega$) and (4) the angular change of the $^{1}$H-$^{15}$N dipolar and $^{15}$N CSA tensors between the exchanging states ($\alpha$; for a graphical representation see Fig. 17 of ref. [49]). Note that the simulated $R_{1p}$ profiles exhibit – on top of the exponential decay – periodic oscillations due to dipolar recoupling, which become quite significant especially near the $n = 1$ rotary resonance condition. This has also been noted in earlier studies,[34] and is experimentally not observed here because the distribution of RF fields in the coil dampens this effect.[34] No attempt to account for the inhomogeneity of the spin-lock RF field was attempted in our simulations due to the heavy computational load that would be required for such calculations. For comparison with experimental $R_{1p}$ profiles, a vertical offset in $R_{1p}$, which accounts for relaxation from other sources than the two-site exchange model, was also included, and the best-fit to the experimental data was obtained via a systematic grid-search on the basis of the $\chi^2$ value. As noted in the Results and Discussion section, simulations of the experimental $^{15}$N $R_{1}$, RD profiles were performed both by using independent $p_0$ and $k_{ex}$ values for each residue and by assuming a common $p_0$ and $k_{ex}$ for all residues. To assess whether the improvement in fit quality obtained by independently fitting the data for individual residues was statistically significant, an F-test was used to compare the residue-specific $\chi^2$ values obtained with the global vs. independent fitting procedure.
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