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Liver transplantation has been the treatment of choice for end-stage liver disease since 1983. Cancer has emerged as a major long-term cause of death for liver transplant recipients. Many retrospective studies have explored standardized incidence ratio and have reported increased rates of solid organ cancers post-liver transplantation; some have also studied risk factors. Liver transplantation results in a two to five-fold mean increase in the rate of solid organ cancers. Risk of head and neck, lung, esophageal, cervical cancers and Kaposi’s sarcoma is high, but risk of colorectal cancer is not clearly demonstrated. There appears to be no excess risk of developing breast or prostate cancer.

Environmental risk factors such as viral infection and tobacco consumption, and personal risk factors such as obesity play a key role, but recent data also implicate the role of calcineurin inhibitors, whose cumulative and dose-dependent effects on cell metabolism might play a direct role in oncogenesis. In this paper, we review the results of studies assessing the incidence of non-skin solid tumors in order to understand the mechanisms underlying solid cancers in post-liver transplant patients and, ultimately, discuss how to prevent these cancers.

Immunosuppressive protocol changes, including a calcineurin inhibitor-free regimen, combined with dietary guidelines and smoking cessation, are theoretically the best preventive measures.
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Core tip: Liver transplantation results in two to five-fold mean increase in the rate of solid organ cancers. In this paper, we review the results of studies assessing the incidence of non-skin solid tumors in post-liver transplant patients to understand the mechanisms underlying solid cancers in these patients, and discuss how to prevent these cancers. Risk of smoking and viral-related malignancies is high, but recent data...
also implicate the role of calcineurin inhibitors, whose cumulative and dose-dependent effects on cell metabolism might play a direct role in oncogenesis.


INTRODUCTION
Liver transplantation (LT) has been the treatment of choice for end-stage liver disease since 1983[1], and more than 5500 of these procedures are performed in Europe each year[2]. Infections and surgical complications are the primary cause of mortality during the early post-transplantation period. However, cancer has emerged as a major long-term cause of death in liver transplant recipients[2-5].

The rate of post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD) and skin cancers is 10 to 30-fold higher than for the general population[6-9]. The calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs), tacrolimus (TL) and cyclosporine, which are the cornerstones of all immunosuppressive treatments used following LT, are well-established risk factors for PTLD[10] and cutaneous cancers[11].

Recently, many studies have compared the incidence of de novo solid tumors in the general population to liver transplant recipients, and the risk factors involved. These studies have demonstrated that patients who receive a liver transplant often have well-established or suspected risk factors for solid cancers: (1) Tobacco and/or alcohol consumption before transplantation is extremely common in patients, especially those who undergo LT for alcoholic cirrhosis, which account for 36% of the LTs performed in Europe[2]. Continued smoking after LT is common, and resumption of alcohol consumption is not unusual; (2) CNI exposure occurs with all liver transplant patients. It promotes infection by viruses that have oncogenic potential such as human papilloma virus (HPV) and herpes human virus 8 (HHV8). CNIs may also have direct oncogenic effects; and (3) Metabolic syndromes, particularly obesity and diabetes, are common before the LT, and they are further exacerbated by exposure to CNIs after the LT.

In this review we specifically study the literature on the incidence and risk factors for non-skin solid cancers after LT.

LT RESULTS IN A TWO TO FIVE-FOLD MEAN INCREASE IN THE RATE OF SOLID ORGAN CANCERS
In an observational study using the United Kingdom transplant database[9], which contains 6771 liver transplant recipients, the standardized incidence ratio (SIR) was 2.2 for non-skin solid tumors following an LT. Similar results were found in smaller cohorts[6,8,11-17] in Italy (SIR = 2.6), the Netherlands (SIR = 4.4), Spain (SIR = 2.3), France (SIR = 3.7), and Canada (SIR = 2.5). These results are summarized in Table 1. In another Italian study[18], the incidence rate of non-skin solid tumors did not increase after LT; however, this study had the shortest median follow-up time.

Overall, the risk of developing a post-LT non-skin solid tumor is high, as confirmed by several studies comparing liver transplant recipients with the sex and age-matched general population, both in large-scale registry studies and single-center studies. Median time to develop post-LT non-skin solid tumor was 4.2 years in Baccarani[8] cohort and 5 years in Haagsma[10] and Aberg[6] cohorts. In our cohort of 465 patients[13], the median time to diagnosis of solid cancers after LT was 6.3 ± 4.3 years (6 years median). Indeed, sufficient follow-up time is necessary in order to highlight the elevated risk of solid tumors.

INCIDENCE AND RISK OF SITE-SPECIFIC CANCERS

Risk of smoking-related malignancies is high
Head and neck cancers have the highest increased risk in all of the European cohorts (SIR of 2.7-15.8, Table 1). A non-significant increased risk was also found in Canadian[14], Taiwanese[15], and Japanese[16] studies.

An elevated risk of lung cancer has been established, although it is not encountered in all studies. Indeed, in the United States the NKKD cohort[7] demonstrated a SIR of 1.9 for lung cancer in 37958 liver transplant recipients, and a SIR of 1.6 was found in the United Kingdom transplant registry of 6771 patients[9].

Three studies[6,13,16] found an increased risk of esophageal cancer (SIR of 10.5-23.4).

Finally, the risk of cancer of the urological tract was significantly higher in three studies[12,15,17] after LT (SIR of 2.9-6.2).

Risk of virus-related malignancies is well established
The relative risk of developing Kaposi’s sarcoma was high in two Italian studies, with a SIR of 144 and 128 for the Baccarani et al[8] and Maggi et al[17] studies, respectively; however, this cancer is an exception and it mainly occurs in Mediterranean populations. It is related to HHV 8 infection. The highest incidence is found in Saudi Arabia, and the lowest incidence is in Western nations, such as the United States[19].

In a study by Baccarani et al[8] an excessive risk of cervical malignancies was found, with a SIR of 30.7; this was probably related to HPV infection.

Risk of colorectal cancer is probably increased
The excess risk of colorectal cancer (CRC) remains
An increased risk was found in four European studies\(^4,9,12,13\), one Japanese study\(^{17}\), and one Canadian study\(^{14}\). This risk was not observed in three Italian studies\(^8,17,18\) and one Taiwanese study\(^{15}\). However, in a meta-analysis, Sint Nicolaas\(^{20}\) found that the risk of developing CRC was 2.5 times higher (95%CI: 1.65-4.05) in liver transplant recipients.

Excess risk of developing kidney, pancreatic, or brain cancer is not proven

The risk of developing kidney cancer after LT remains unclear. In the NKKD cohort\(^7\) and a cohort in a study by Haagsma et al\(^4\), the incidences of kidney cancer were significantly higher than expected compared with the general population. However, this was not the case for a cohort in the United Kingdom\(^9\), and in two other studies\(^6,13\).

Only one Japanese study\(^{26}\) has found a significantly increased risk of pancreatic cancer after LT, but this result has not been confirmed by other studies.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no solid data regarding the development of brain cancer in liver transplant recipients. Engels\(^{27}\) did not show excess risk in 175732 organ transplant recipients.

There is no excess risk of developing breast or prostate cancer

No study to date has shown an increased risk of prostate or breast cancer after LT, although these are among the most common malignancies in the general adult population. Indeed, in a meta-analysis of 31977 solid organ transplant recipients (97% were renal transplants)\(^{21}\) there was no evidence for a significantly increased SIR for breast or prostate cancer.

Prospective cohort studies with large numbers of liver transplant recipients, a rigorous collection of de novo solid cancers after LT, risk factor data, and sufficient follow-up times are necessary to obtain accurate information about the risk of each site-specific cancer. Indeed, current data do not allow elucidation of the risk of kidney, brain, stomach, pancreatic, and anal cancer after LT.

### Table 1  Standardized incidence ratio (95%CI) of non-skin solid cancer after liver transplantation, \(n\) (range)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>LT patients ((n))</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Median follow-up time (yr)</th>
<th>All non-skin solid tumors</th>
<th>Head and neck</th>
<th>Lung</th>
<th>Colorectal</th>
<th>Anal</th>
<th>Esophageal</th>
<th>Pancreatic</th>
<th>Kidney</th>
<th>Prostate</th>
<th>Urological tract</th>
<th>Kaposi's sarcoma</th>
<th>Breast</th>
<th>Cervical</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engels et al(^{47})</td>
<td>37938</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>1.9 (1.7-2.2)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.4-2.3)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7-3)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.4-12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collett et al(^{48})</td>
<td>6771</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2.2 (2-2.4)</td>
<td>10 (5.9-16)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.2-2.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7-3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ettorre et al(^{91})</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>5.2 (0.7-12)</td>
<td>4.5 (2.7-7.1)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9-1.9)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.6-2.2)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.3-3.9)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.3-1.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberg et al(^{92})</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>6.3 (4.8-82)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7-1.2)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.2-5.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrero et al(^{93})</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>6.5 (1.7-3.2)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.7-8.5)</td>
<td>2.5 (1.3-3.5)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.1-13)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.5-15)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2-4.5)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.7-4.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baccarani et al(^{94})</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>6.8 (1.9-3.6)</td>
<td>7 (3-13)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.4-4.1)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.2-5.1)</td>
<td>234 (46-55)</td>
<td>144 (53-333)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.3-4)</td>
<td>30.7 (6.3-90)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al(^{95})</td>
<td>2034</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>5.1 (2.1-3)</td>
<td>2.5 (0.5-7.3)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.7-2.6)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.4-4.4)</td>
<td>3.3 (0.7-9.6)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.8-7.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.3-2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haagssma et al(^{96})</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>5.1 (4.4-7.3)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.2-5.2)</td>
<td>125 (2.5-36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hisa et al(^{97})</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>4.2 (0.5-7)</td>
<td>0 (0.2-6.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.2-84)</td>
<td>6.2 (0.1-34)</td>
<td>10.2 (1.1-36)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.3 (0.3-8.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaneko et al(^{98})</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>7.5 (0.5-26)</td>
<td>3.7 (0.5-26)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.8-7)</td>
<td>16.9 (2.4-18)</td>
<td>64 (1.6-25)</td>
<td>22 (0.6-8.9)</td>
<td>0.9 (0.1-6.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maggi et al(^{99})</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>7.2 (1.4-2.9)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.9-8.8)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8-4.6)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.3-4.6)</td>
<td>16 (0.4-4.1)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.6-9)</td>
<td>128 (51-263)</td>
<td>1 (0.2-2.9)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.1-32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carenco et al(^{100})</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>7.8 (2.8-4.9)</td>
<td>15.8 (9.4-27)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.9-9)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.3-5.6)</td>
<td>105 (3.9-28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SIR: Standardized incidence ratio.
Table 2 Risk factors for non-skin solid tumors after liver transplantation from multivariate analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Associated cancer</th>
<th>SIR, HR, RR, or OR (95%CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Viral infection</td>
<td>Baccarani et al[21]</td>
<td>HPV exposure</td>
<td>Cervical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collett et al[15]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baccarani et al[21]</td>
<td>HHV8 exposure</td>
<td>Kaposi’s sarcoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic data</td>
<td>Herrero et al[34]</td>
<td>Recipient’s age</td>
<td>All non-skin tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Watt et al[14]</td>
<td></td>
<td>All non-skin solid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication for LT</td>
<td>Herrero et al[34]</td>
<td>Smoking-related tumors</td>
<td>All non-skin solid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Watt et al[14]</td>
<td>Alcohol cirrhosis</td>
<td>All non-skin solid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyle</td>
<td>Benloch et al[37]</td>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>All non-skin tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herrero et al[33]</td>
<td></td>
<td>RR = 3 (1.5-5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herrero et al[33]</td>
<td>Tobacco consumption</td>
<td>All non-skin tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Watt et al[14]</td>
<td></td>
<td>All non-skin solid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herrero et al[34]</td>
<td>Smoking-related tumors</td>
<td>All non-skin solid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carrasco et al[22]</td>
<td></td>
<td>OR = 14.7 (1.8-119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carrasco et al[22]</td>
<td></td>
<td>OR = 2.2 (1.1-4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td>Carrasco et al[22]</td>
<td>Mean tacrolimus TC during</td>
<td>All non-skin solid tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>first year post-LT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SIR: Standardized incidence ratio; HPV: Human papilloma virus; HHV8: Herpes human virus 8; HR: Hazard ratio; RR: Relative risk; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval; TC: Through concentration.

**RISK FACTORS FOR NON-SKIN SOLID MALIGNANCIES**

**Environmental risk factors**

**Viral infection**: In a meta-analysis involving 31977 solid organ transplant recipients (97% of whom were renal transplants) Grulich[22] demonstrated a high risk of HHV8-related cancer (Kaposi’s sarcoma) and HPV-related cancer (cervical, anal, vulval, vaginal, and penile cancer, as well as head and neck cancer) in these immunocompromised patients. In this study, similar results were found for people with HIV/AIDS. This further supports the notion that the risk of infection with an oncovirus and, consequently, the risk of cancer, is increased in immunocompromised patients (Table 2).

Is this also the case for liver transplant recipients who require a lower level of immunosuppression than that received by kidney transplant recipients? Kaposi’s sarcoma is rare in the general population; several studies have described an incidence of 0.5%-2.8% for this disease after LT[22-24]. As shown by the Italian studies[6,17], Kaposi’s sarcoma occurs much more frequently in patients living in areas where HHV8 is endemic[25,26], compared to the general population, while none of the 6846 liver transplant recipients developed this cancer in the United Kingdom cohort[29].

Out of 417 post-LT patients, Baccarani[8] encountered three patients with cervical cancer (0.7%), which was 30 times more than expected. It has been shown that before solid organ transplant, 29% of patients were infected with a high-oncogenic potential HPV serotype[27]. Moreover, it is now established that HPV infection is a risk factor for epidermoid head and neck carcinomas[28], which could partly explain the high rate of these cancers after LT.

Long-term immunodeficiency places liver transplant recipients at risk of oncoviral infection, which is conducive to malignancy and necessitates efficient management of the immunosuppressive therapy.

**Alcohol and tobacco consumption**: For the general population, tobacco and alcohol consumption are known risk factors for oral, pharyngeal, laryngeal, esophageal, and upper airway tumors[29-32]. There is a synergistic effect when patients are exposed to both tobacco and alcohol; the risk of these tumors is more than seven times higher in heavy drinkers and smokers[30-33].

Using a multivariate analysis in a retrospective study of 722 liver transplant patients, previous alcohol abuse was associated with a three-fold risk of developing a de novo tumor following LT (P = 0.002, 95%CI: 1.5-5.8)[33]. In a smaller cohort, using a multivariate model, Herrero et al[36] found a hazard ratio of 2.87 (95%CI: 1.15-7.19) of developing a non-skin tumor after LT among patients who consumed large amounts of alcohol. In two other studies[17,23], patients who received a transplant for alcoholic cirrhosis had a higher risk of non-skin solid cancers after LT, but alcohol consumption was not an independent cancer risk factor, unlike tobacco use. We found similar results in a study with 465 patients[13], using a univariate analysis, alcohol consumption was a risk factor for developing a solid cancer, but in multivariate analysis it was not an independent risk factor, unlike tobacco consumption and obesity before LT.
A history of smoking is common in patients who undergo LT for alcoholic liver disease, and tobacco consumption is now an independent risk factor for the development of a non-skin solid cancer after LT\textsuperscript{[13,22,23]}. Herrero et al.\textsuperscript{[34]} specifically described the incidence and risk factors for “smoking-related malignancies” (SRM), defined as head and neck, esophageal, kidney, and urinary tract carcinomas, in 339 liver transplant recipients. Compared to a sex and age-matched general population, they observed a relative risk of 8.5 for the development of SRM in active smokers, and 4.4 in former smokers vs 0.36 in patients who never smoked. In a multivariate analysis, significant smoking was an independent risk factor, with a hazard ratio of 19.

Interestingly, in our cohort of 465 liver transplant recipients\textsuperscript{[13]}, 38 patients developed an SRM, and tobacco consumption before and after the LT were the only independent risk factors found when using a multivariate analysis. Therefore, it is paramount that all patients cease tobacco and alcohol consumption prior to and after LT.

**Personal risk factors**

**Age and gender:** Age is a well-established risk factor for solid cancer in the general population. Using a multivariate analysis, Herrero et al.\textsuperscript{[23]} and Watt et al.\textsuperscript{[23]} concluded that this is also the case for liver transplant recipients. In our series of 465 patients\textsuperscript{[13]}, we did not find this to be a risk factor, probably due to the low standard deviation of age within our patient cohort.

Numerous single-center studies have failed to find a statistically significant difference between male and female liver transplant recipients in terms of the development of de novo solid tumors using a multivariate analysis after LT\textsuperscript{[13,15,22,23]}. This is probably because of the much greater weight of other risk factors.

**Obesity:** Liver transplant recipients often present with a metabolic syndrome before transplantation, or develop it after the procedure; these syndromes can be triggered and aggravated by anti-calcineurins and corticosteroids\textsuperscript{[38]}. In our series of 465 patients\textsuperscript{[13]}, 27.4% of the 65 patients who developed a non-skin solid tumor after LT were obese, vs 15.8% of the rest of the cohort. Using a multivariate analysis, we found that obesity and tobacco consumption before LT were independent risk factors for non-skin solid tumors. Interestingly, in a subgroup analysis of 427 patients with 27 different cancers (eight colorectal, eight prostate, four breast, and seven other types of cancer), obesity was the only independent risk factor after excluding smoking-induced cancer (head and neck, lung, esophageal, and urinary tract cancer). To the best of our knowledge, there are no other studies that have investigated this risk factor after LT, although obesity and excess body weight are independent risk factors for breast, endometrial, esophageal, and colorectal cancers in the general population\textsuperscript{[39]}.

In addition to cardiovascular complications that can cause obesity, it seems that obesity could be responsible for non-skin solid cancers after LT. Regular physical activity and a balanced diet are essential for these patients.

**Specific LT risk factors**

**Indications for LT:** The incidence of CRC after LT differs depending on the series; it has been found to range from 0.03% to 3.1\%\textsuperscript{[9-9,16,23-27]}. This spread can be explained by the proportion of patients who received a transplant for primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) in association with chronic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Indeed, Watt et al.\textsuperscript{[23]} found 25 cases of CRC in 798 liver transplant recipients (3.1%); 127 (15.9%) of these patients received LT for PSC. This variable was the strongest risk factor for developing a solid cancer after LT, and reflects the high risk of CRC in patients with IBD.

In Europe, PSC represents 4\% of LT indications\textsuperscript{[2]}, yet in a study of a large series the incidence of CRC was two to three times higher than that observed in the general population\textsuperscript{[19]}. This was also observed in a single-center study in Japan\textsuperscript{[16]}, with one patient transplanted for PSC. In our series of 465 patients\textsuperscript{[13]}, only six patients received a transplant for PSC, and none of the patients developed CRC; however, in the entire study, we found an incidence of CRC that was 2.7 times higher than expected. Moreover, in a meta-analysis excluding patients transplanted for PSC, Sint Nicolaas\textsuperscript{[20]} found a 1.8-fold higher risk of CRC after LT.

**Why do non-PSC liver transplant recipients appear to have an increased risk of CRC?** John Cunningham virus (JCV) reactivation in adenomas could be a possible mechanism for this increased risk\textsuperscript{[38]}. Another possibility could be the presence of precursor lesions for CRC before the LT. A case-control study found that 7.3\% of the 82 liver transplant recipients developed advanced neoplasia, compared to 1.2\% in the 82 control patients from the general population\textsuperscript{[39]}. Another study retrospectively identified 92 liver transplant recipients who underwent a screening colonoscopy; the relative risk for advanced neoplasia was 8.9 compared to a large asymptomatic cohort\textsuperscript{[40]}. In a single-center study in Japan\textsuperscript{[42]}, induction of tumor growth and metastatic potential from TGF-β1 activation\textsuperscript{[42,43]}, and disruption of angiogenesis and
apoptosis\textsuperscript{[44-46]}.  

In a study of kidney transplant recipients by Dantal \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{[47]} the incidence of cancer (mainly skin malignancies) was higher in patients with elevated cyclosporine target levels (\textit{e.g.}, 150-250 ng/mL vs 75-125 ng/mL). More recently, in liver transplant recipients, Vivarelli \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{[48]} found a higher risk of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence in patients exposed to higher doses of anti-calcineurin, either cyclosporine or TC, during the first three months after LT.

We monitored the blood concentrations of TC in 247 patients treated with TC for at least one year after LT\textsuperscript{[49]}. The mean TC concentration during the first year after LT was significantly higher in patients who developed non-skin solid tumors (10.3 and 7.9 ng/mL, respectively, \(P < 0.0001\)). The independent risk factors for developing solid cancer using a multivariate analysis were tobacco consumption before the LT, and the mean annual TC concentration during the first year after LT. Indeed, a model that takes into account smoking and mean TC concentration during the first year after LT strongly predicted the occurrence of a solid cancer in our sample population.

How can we change our immunosuppression strategies to prevent cancer? In liver transplant recipients, short-term complications are dominated by infections and post-operative complications; rejection is less problematic than in other organ transplantations. Acute cellular rejection is a rare event that may be easily controlled either with an increase in TC or a bolus of steroids.

Therefore, it might be interesting to rapidly decrease CNI concentrations to minimize the risk of solid cancer after LT. To avoid organ rejection, one could use drugs that block proliferative signaling, such as mTOR inhibitors. mTOR inhibitors have major immunosuppressive activity through their intracellular binding to FKBP12 and inhibition of mTORC1, which blocks cell cycle progression and IL-2 signaling in T cells. Indeed, these are already used in patients with CNI-related nephrotoxicity. As well as their immunosuppressive properties, these drugs have anti-oncogenic effects in preclinical models, and they are currently being investigated as anti-cancer agents in clinical trials\textsuperscript{[50]}. For kidney transplantations, the CONVERT trial\textsuperscript{[51]} has demonstrated lower cancer rates (mainly skin cancer) in renal allograft recipients who were switched to a sirolimus-based, CNI-free immunosuppressive treatment.

However, mTOR inhibitors have significant side effects, including rejection, delayed wound healing, mouth ulcers, and leg edema, and there is a 46% discontinuation rate among renal transplant recipients\textsuperscript{[52]}. Therefore, data for liver transplant recipients from large cohorts are needed to accurately determine the risk/benefit balance of using mTOR inhibitors before they can become routine treatment for all patients.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, \textit{de novo} malignancy is currently the second-leading cause of death for liver transplant recipients after cardiovascular complications, and the risk of developing a non-skin solid tumor is high. This risk is higher than that observed in the general population, especially for smoking-induced cancers (head and neck, lung, and esophageal), CLC, and virus-induced cancers (cervical and Kaposi’s sarcoma).

While the role of alcohol and tobacco consumption in this high rate of solid cancers is indisputable, recent data also implicate the role of CNIs, whose cumulative and dose-dependent effects on cell metabolism might play a direct role in oncogenesis. Therefore, it is paramount that LT patients cease alcohol and tobacco consumption before and after transplantation, and that the minimum dose of CNI is administered to reduce the risk of malignancy, while still preventing graft rejection.

In the future, we will evaluate the safety and efficacy of CNI-free regimens through prospective studies.
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