

Intramolecular d10–d10 interactions in heterometallic clusters of the transition metals

Sabrina Sculfort, Pierre Braunstein

▶ To cite this version:

Sabrina Sculfort, Pierre Braunstein. Intramolecular d
10–d10 interactions in heterometallic clusters of the transition metals. Chemical Society Reviews, 2011, 40 (5), pp.2741-2760. 10.1039/c0cs00102c . hal-01872459

HAL Id: hal-01872459 https://hal.science/hal-01872459

Submitted on 20 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Revised CS-CRV-09-2010-000102

Intramolecular d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions in Heterometallic Clusters of the Transition Metals [†]

Sabrina Sculfort and Pierre Braunstein*

Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination, Institut de Chimie (UMR 7177 CNRS), Université de Strasbourg, 4 rue Blaise Pascal - CS 90032, F-67081 Strasbourg Cedex, France. E-mail: braunstein@unistra.fr Fax: +33 368 851 322; Tel: +33 368 851 308.

[†]In memoriam Dr. Marie-Madeleine Rohmer

For the Table of Contents

This review deals with the synthesis and structures of heterometallic transition metal clusters displaying intramolecular, metallophilic $d^{10}-d^{10}$ interactions.



Weak attractive interactions between closed shell metal ions have been increasingly studied in the last few years and are generally designated as metallophilic interactions. They are best evidenced in the solid state where structural data obtained by X-ray diffraction provide precise information about the distance between the metals involved. The strength of such metal-metal interactions has been compared to that of hydrogen bonding (ca. 7-11 kcal/mol) and is clearly sufficient to bring about novel bonding and structural features and confer interesting physical properties such as luminescence, polychromism, magnetism or onedimensional electrical conductivity. The Cu(I)-Cu(I), Ag(I)-Ag(I) and Au(I)-Au(I) interactions have been increasingly observed and the latter have certainly been the most studied. Early qualitative analyses of the aurophilic attraction focused on Au-Au bonding originating from 6s, 6p and 5d orbital mixing. Numerous theoretical studies on metallophilic interactions continue to be carried out at various levels of sophistication which take into account relativistic and correlation effects to describe these van der Waals-type interactions. In this review, we would like to focus on the synthesis and structures of heterometallic clusters of the transition metals in which intra- rather than intermolecular d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions are at work, in order to limit the role of packing effects. We wish to provide the reader with a comparative overview of the metal core structures resulting from or favoring metallophilic interactions but do not intend to provide a comprehensive coverage of the literature. We will first examine heterometallic clusters displaying homometallic and then heterometallic d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions. Although the focus of this review is on $d^{10}-d^{10}$ interactions involving metals from the group 11, we shall also briefly examine for comparison some complexes displaying intramolecular d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions involving metals from other groups.

1. Introduction

Whereas organometallic chemistry primarily focuses on compounds with metal-carbon bonds, coordination chemistry is concerned with all aspects of the interactions between ligands and metals, and includes the study of the synthesis, physical and chemical properties of the resulting metal complexes. Optimizing their synthesis and understanding their electronic structures, the nature of the bonding between metals and ligands and their reactivity are essential to this chemistry. Recognizing that direct bonding between metal atoms could exist in molecules - and not only in the bulk state - was the start of a "post-Wernerien" chemistry. Cotton coined the term « metal atom cluster » in 1964: "The term cluster seems an appropriate one for a finite group of metal atoms which are held together mainly, or at least to a significant extent, by bonds directly between the metal atoms, even though some nonmetal atoms may also be intimately associated with the cluster".¹⁻³ Metal clusters are now very familiar not only to molecular chemists, i.e. coordination and organometallic chemists, but also to specialists of solid-state and physical chemistry since metal-metal bonding can occur in molecular compounds, inorganic solids (e.g. oxides, chalcogenides, halides) or in the gas phase (e.g. alkali metals). If one extends the original definition to include carbon and the remarkable fullerenes, even organic chemistry becomes concerned with clusters. This is not unreasonable when considering that boron has long been accepted "in the club", in the form of boranes and carboranes whose structures and bonding have helped considerably understand the structural chemistry of transition metal clusters.⁴⁻⁶ Thus, all branches of chemistry, including materials sciences, nanosciences and catalysis, have become concerned, to a variable extent of course and for fundamental or applied reasons, with the study of element-element bonding.

During the last 20 years, several books have illustrated the rapid developments of cluster chemistry, its relevance to the synthesis of new chemical bonds, to a deeper understanding of chemical bonding, to the multisite reactivity and activation of small molecules, to homogeneous, supported and heterogeneous catalysis, and have emphasized its central role in nanosciences and its fruitful interfaces with biology and physics.⁷⁻²¹ One of the many facets of cluster chemistry that attracted considerable attention from the synthetic chemists was the fascinating possibility to link chemically different metals through direct metal-metal bonding, thus opening a new field where 1540 chemical bonds can be envisaged, just to mention the possibilities offered by 56 transition elements. If many of these heterometallic bonds have now been formed, either in dinuclear complexes or in mixed-metal clusters, many combinations still remain unknown. Associating metals that do not form alloys in the bulk state turned out to be possible at the molecular level, and the relevance of such "molecular alloys" to e.g. bimetallic catalysis attracted considerable attention.^{7,15,22}

The first examples of heterometallic complexes containing a metal-metal bond between a group 11 metal (Cu, Ag, Au) and another transition metal, such as W, Mn, Fe, Co, were published by Coffey, Lewis and Nyholm in 1964.²³ Such complexes allowed the authors to investigate the conditions favouring the formation of covalent metal-metal bonds by taking into account the electronic configuration and the effective electronegativity of the metal atoms. With its d¹⁰ electronic configuration, the coinage metal ion was found to behave like a pseudohalogen (cf. the similarity between e.g. [Mn(CO)₅Cl] and [Mn(CO)₅-

4

Au(PPh₃)]). Later, the similarity between $[Au(PPh_3)]^+$ and H⁺ was emphasized²⁴⁻²⁶ and further analyzed in terms of the isolobal analogy, a remarkably fruitful concept.²⁷⁻²⁹ Attempts were made to prepare and isolate a Au-Au complex of the type $[(R_3P)Au-Au(PR_3)]$ (L = phosphine) that would be isolobal to dihydrogen, but although a structural report has appeared in the literature for a complex that has not been fully described,³⁰ stabilisation and full characterization of such a digold unit was achieved when coordinated to a Pt(II) centre in $[PtCl(AuPPh_3)_2(PEt_3)_2]^+$.³¹ With a d⁸ Pt(II) centre and a formally neutral digold unit isolobal to H₂, the two-electron, three-centre bonding involving the metals in this triangular structure is analogous to that in the well-known 2e H₃⁺ system. In this first platinum-gold cluster, the Au-Au distance of 2.737(3) Å is significantly shorter than in gold metal (2.884 Å).³¹



This complex was obtained by reaction of *trans*- $[Pt(H)Cl(PEt_3)_2]$ with $[Au(PPh_3)]^+$ with release of a proton. Similar approaches have led to e.g. Mo-Au³² and Fe-Au³³ clusters in which the gold centres correspond to $Au(I) d^{10}$ ions. The interest for generating and understanding interactions between metal centres with a d^{10} electronic configuration (d^{10} - d^{10} interactions) has been rapidly growing in chemistry because they had to involve concepts other than classical covalent or dative bonding. Best evidenced by X-ray diffraction studies, their attractive nature results in inter- or intramolecular distances which are shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii of the atoms concerned. Although van der Waals radii may not be ideal points of comparison when they do not derive from structures of existing systems,³⁴ they are often used in the literature for benchmarking purpose and they will therefore also be used here in this context. They are more meaningful when they are obtained from intermolecular distances in molecular crystals.^{35,36} Being particularly significant in the case of gold, although closed-shell metal cations such as Au(I) ([Xe]4f¹⁴5d¹⁰) could be expected to repel each other on the basis of electrostatics, the term of aurophilicity was introduced by Schmidbaur in 1988 to describe interactions between Au(I) centres which could not be explained by conventional concepts of chemical bonding.^{16,37-42} It should be recalled that attractive interactions between closed-shell Cu(I)-Cu(I) and Ag(I)-Ag(I) ions had already been recognised by Hoffmann in 1978⁴³ and Jansen in 1980, respectively^{44,45} The aurophilicity concept suggests a new type of chemical bonding between gold atoms with the following characteristics:

- a) Steric effects permetting, the metal-metal distances are significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.7 Å),
- b) Several atoms can gather around a gold centre to form polynuclear species, such as triangles, squares, lozenges, etc... of gold atoms,
- c) The bond energy associated with the interaction is generally small, in the order of magnitude of H-bonding, but larger than the energy of standard van der Waals contacts.

Early theoretical studies on the octahedral ions $[{Au(PH_3)}_{6}X_m]^{m+}$ (X₁ = B, X₂ = C, X₃ = N) used as models for a fascinating series of main-group-element-centred octahedral gold complexes, focused on the role of the gold 5d atomic orbitals in Au-Au bonding.⁴⁶ The participation of d-orbitals can be achieved only if the formal d¹⁰ configuration is broken, e.g. through 6s/5d hybridisation. It was thus concluded that there is a prominent contribution of the gold 5d atomic orbitals to the Au-Au bonding within the cluster, via $6s/5dz^2$ hybridisation in the MOs of a_{1g} symmetry. Furthermore, it was argued that the effect has its origin in the relativistic modification of the gold valence atomic orbitals energies, which brings the 5d and 6s orbitals into close energetic proximity. Investigating the origin of the aurophilic attraction, Pyykkö and Li concluded that the effect was primarily due to electron correlation rather than to s-d hybridisation.^{47,48} The variation of the relativistic contraction of the 6s shell for the elements ranging from Cs (Z = 55) to Fm (Z = 100) is represented in Figure 1 and a pronounced local minimum is observed for gold. Relativistic contributions to the bonding between gold ions, or between gold(I) and another d¹⁰ ion, will therefore be significant and relevant to the molecular and supramolecular chemistry of this element, including the use of structure-directing building blocks for the design of nanostructured functional materials.⁴⁹



Figure 1. Variation of the ratio between relativistic and non-relativistic 6s shell radii in the atomic ground states of the elements 55-100 as a function of Z (Reprinted with permission from ref. 50.

Copyright American Chemical Society). A clear minimum is observed for Au, which corresponds to a maximum of the relativistic effects for this element.

"Metallophilic attraction" has been coined to broaden the concept of aurophilicity,⁴⁸ and is best described as a correlation-dispersion phenomenon, enhanced by induction. This phenomenon continues to give rise to numerous theoretical investigations and developments.^{36,41,51-63} Relativistic effects can result in an increase or a decrease of metallophilicity, depending on the system and the level of theory employed. An increase is found for aurophilicity at the MP2 level, but at the higher, coupled-cluster levels a weakening was recently reported.⁶⁴

If aurophilicity manifests itself in a rapidly increasing number of molecules, in colloids and small particles,^{49,65} chemists rapidly became curious to see if a similar phenomenon could be observed for other closed shell or pseudo-closed shell elements. Thus for exemple, square-planar d⁸ complexes can be regarded as pseudo-closed shell systems owing to the strong ligand field splitting of the d orbitals.^{66,67}

Remaining within the group 11 metals, it is particularly interesting to compare, experimentally and theoretically, $d^{10}-d^{10}$ interactions involving copper(I)⁶⁸⁻⁷⁰ or silver(I)⁷¹⁻⁷³ ions with those involving gold(I) ions in closely related complexes. Properties such as luminescence, catalysis, conductivity, magnetism and electrochemistry are strongly influenced by the occurrence of such d^{10} - d^{10} interactions, either between molecules or within polynuclear and cluster compounds, and are thus relevant to potential applications in e.g. nanotechnologies and molecular-based electronics. Gold clusters are of course obvious candidates for the occurrence of such interactions but many of them contain formally both Au(0) and Au(I) centres. Intermolecular $d^{10}-d^{10}$ interactions are central to supramolecular gold chemistry, in much the same way as H-bonding in organic and coordination chemistry. Their strength is difficult to evaluate with precision since packing forces are also at work. The study of intramolecular d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions thus becomes particularly attractive. Whereas many reviews have appeared since 2000 which discuss various aspects of d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions,^{42,49,60,66,74-89} we will focus here on *intramolecular* d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions in heterometallic clusters of the transition metals, which are less represented in other reviews. Even in this case, it remains almost impossible to evaluate the energetic contribution of the attractive d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions to the global stabilization of the molecule considered since these will generally be supported by ancillary ligands or bridging metal atoms. As indicated above, interactions between metal ions with a closed-shell electronic configuration represent such a broad field that we will restricting ourselves to the relevant heterometallic clusters of the transition metals. We will therefore leave out complexes and clusters, even when heterometallic, involving elements from the groups 13 or 14, which in their low-valent state, may involved $d^{10}s^2-d^{10}$ rather than strictly $d^{10}-d^{10}$ interactions. We will not cover high nuclearity heterometallic clusters containing group 11 metals, which generally form the central core of their metal skeleton. The latter is often compared to the structures of the bulk metals and their complexity prevents a detailed discussion of $d^{10}-d^{10}$ interactions.

We will focus in particular on the nature of the metallic core and the resulting interactions between the d^{10} ions. First, we will consider intramolecular homometallic metallophilic interactions between Cu(I), Ag(I) or Au(I) ions in heterometallic complexes of the transition metals, then heterometallic d^{10} - d^{10} interactions between these group 11 metals and finally some examples of intramolecular metallophilic interactions in heterometallic clusters involving at least one group 11 metal and, for comparison, in structurally related clusters with d^{10} metals from other groups. This review is not meant to be comprehensive but represents an attempt to categorize and relate to each other the situations encountered in this very rich and diversified field of chemistry.

To achieve a meaningful evaluation of the consequences of intramolecular d^{10} - d^{10} interactions, in terms of structures and/or properties, requires to compare the synthesis, structure, and bonding of molecules as closely related as possible, in which only the nature of the d^{10} ion is varied, while keeping the other chemical parameters constant. The availability of sets of isoelectronic systems should provide unique opportunities for studying structure/bonding/reactivity relationships both experimentally and theoretically. In this review, we will not examine the theoretical aspects of the metallophilic interactions.

2. Intramolecular homometallic d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions in heterometallic clusters.

2.1. Cu-Cu interactions.

Specific geometries of the metal core of heterometallic clusters appear to facilitate the development of d^{10} - d^{10} interactions, such as the planar (rhomboidal) raft of six metals found in $[Cu_2Re_4H_{16}(PMe_2Ph)_8]^{2+}$ (1) or the trigonal bipyramidal geometry in complex $[Ir_2Cu_3H_6(MeCN)_3(PMe_2Ph)_6]^{3+}$ (2).^{90,91} Like planar clusters, 1 represents an attractive geometric model of a metal surface and the unique arrangement of the metals around the central Cu₂ unit and the steric accessibility of copper suggest possible enhanced reactivity of this dication. In 2, the iridium atoms occupy the apical sites of the trigonal bipyramidal

structure. Three phosphine ligands are facially coordinated to the pseudo-octahedral iridium centres and each copper is bonded to an acetonitrile ligand. The Cu-Cu distances are 2.493 Å in **1** and 2.57(2) Å in **2**.



Only 6 of the 16 hydride ligands were located. The terminal phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.



Only the metals and the inner coordination sphere donor atoms are shown. The 6 bridging hydride ligands between Cu and Ir are not represented.

The metal centres in the copper/iron mixed cluster $[Cu_3Fe_3(CO)_{12}]^{3-}$ (3) also form a planar skeleton which consists of a v₂-triangle (*i.e.*, in a v_n-polyhedron, there are n+1 equally spaced atoms along each edge) in which the central Cu₃ triangle is inscribed within the larger triangle formed by the three Fe(CO)₄ groups.^{92,93} The distances between the copper atoms are found between 2.582(2) and 2.613(2) Å. The Cu-Cu-Cu angles are in the range 59.25(4)-60.44(5)° and the Fe-Cu-Fe angles in the range 174.26(7)-175.23(7)°. The Cu₃Os₃ core of [Cu₃Os₃H₉(PMe₂Ph)₉] (4) has also a v₂-triangular structure and the orientation of the three bridging Os(PMe₂Ph)₃ groups is such that the molecule possesses a C₃ axis.⁹⁴ The Cu-Cu distances are equal to 2.626(3) Å and all the Os-Cu-Os angles are within 3.6° of 180°.



In the octanuclear copper-cobalt mixed cluster **5** described by Klüfers, the inner Cu₄ square is inscribed within a Co₄ square and each Cu-Cu edge is bridged by a Co(CO)₄ fragment, thus leading to a v_2 -square structure.⁹⁵ The values of the Cu-Cu distances range from 2.703(4)-2.731(4) Å and the Co-Cu-Co angles from 167.41(4)° (at Cu(1)) to 158.07(6)° (at Cu(2)), respectively.



(Reproduced with permission from ref. 95. Copyright Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA)

The triangular and square geometries observed in these Cu(I) clusters thus appear to favour d^{10} - d^{10} interactions. It is noteworthy that although the bridging metalloligands $[Fe(CO)_4]^2$ and $[Co(CO)_4]^-$ are isoelectronic and almost isosteric, they lead to a v₂-triangular and a v₂-square core structure in **3** and **5**, respectively.

The reactions of $[Cu(NCMe)_4]PF_6$ with $[MoCp(CO)_3]^-$ or $[Mo(CpNMe_2)(CO)_3]^-$ (CpNMe₂ = η^5 -C₅H₄NMe₂) afforded the v₂-triangular clusters $[Cu_3\{MoCp(CO)_3\}_3]$ (6) and $[Cu_3\{Mo(CpNMe_2)(CO)_3\}_3]$ (7), respectively, which like 3 and 4, adopt a 2-D raft-type arrangement.^{62,96} The central Cu(I) core forms an almost equilateral metal triangle inscribed within a molybdenum triangle. The mean Cu-Cu distances are similar in **6** and **7**, 2.627(8) Å and 2.617(1) Å, respectively, and much shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (2.80 Å). These two clusters are very similar, except for the orientation of the metalloligands with respect to the Cu-Cu edge they bridge. The Mo(1)-Cu(1)-Mo(2), Mo(2)-Cu(2)-Mo(3) and Mo(3)-Cu(3)-Mo(1) angles are 175.92(5)°, 177.87(5)°, 171.25(5)° for **6** and 175.50(2)°, 173.41(2)°, 176.85(2)° for **7**, respectively.



A similar reaction between [CuCl(tmed)] (tmed = N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylendiamine) and Na[MCp(CO)₃] (M = Cr, Mo, W) afforded the dinuclear complex [(tmed)Cu{MCp(CO)₃}] (8) in which the tmed ligand remained chelated to copper, thus preventing cluster formation.⁹⁷



In clusters 1-7, the Cu-Cu distances are close to the sum of the metal atom radii (2.556 Å) but shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (2.80 Å),^{34,98} which suggests attractive metallophilic interactions between the Cu centres. These distances are even

comparable to the sum of the covalent radii of 2.64 Å proposed by Alvarez.⁹⁹ Notably shorter Cu-Cu distances are found in the v_2 -triangular compared to the v_2 -square structures.

Interestingly, heterobimetallic clusters of Cu(I) with core geometries related to the square arrangement found in **5** have been observed which do not display d^{10} - d^{10} interactions, as in e.g. the octanuclear [Et₄N]₄[M₄Cu₄S₁₂O₄] (M = Mo, W) (**9**) and the dodecanuclear clusters [M₄Cu₄S₁₂O₄{Cu(tmen)}₄] (M = Mo, W; tmen = *N,N,N,N*-tetramethyl ethylenediamine) (**10**).¹⁰⁰ The eight metal atoms in the former cluster form a distorted v₂-square arrangement, with sulphur atoms doubly or triply bridging the metals. The derived dodecanuclear cluster **10** can be considered as formed of a [M₄Cu₄S₁₂O₄]⁴⁻ unit linked to four Cu(tmen)⁺ groups at each M atom. These Cu(tmen)⁺ groups are positioned alternately on one and the other side of the Cu₄M₄ square. The Cu-Cu separations, in the range 5.415(1)-5.515(1) Å for **9** and 5.236(1)-5.354(1) Å for **10**, are much too large to allow direct interactions between the copper centres. These two examples serve to illustrate that if some structural core arrangements appear favorable for the occurrence of metallophilic interactions, other parameters such as the nature and position of the ligands play an important role.



It is interesting to compare the Cu-Cu distances found in the heterometallic clusters of type $\{M[m]\}_n$ (M= Cu, n = 3 or 4) mentioned above with those in e.g. the homometallic copper square $[Cu_4(\mu-C_4H_3S)_4]$ (11)¹⁰¹ or the copper-cobalt cluster $[Cu_3\{\mu_2-(CCHCo_2(CO)_6)\}_3]$ (12). The latter contains a triangular Cu(I) core surrounded by $CCHCo_2(CO)_6$ moieties, each copper being bonded to two cobalt atoms and one carbon, with

an average value for the short Cu···Co non-bonding distance of 2.584 Å.¹⁰² The Cu-Cu distances in **11** and **12**, in the range 2.453(3)-2.507(3) Å and 2.494(2)-2.508(2) Å, respectively, are short enough to allow cuprophilic interactions.



2.2. Ag-Ag Interactions

The metal core of the Pt(II)-Ag(I) cluster $[Ag_2{PtMe_2(N,N)}_2](OTf)_2$ (N,N is a diimine ligand) forms a Ag_2Pt_2 parallelogram whose Ag-Ag diagonal has a length of 2.6972(2) Å.¹⁰³ When Cu(I) was used as a precursor in place of Ag(I), a dinuclear Pt-Cu complex was obtained instead, consistent with argentophilic interactions being stronger than cuprophilic interactions. An unusual square pyramidal Ag₄Au cluster has been characterized in which the distances between the four basal Ag(I) centres were in the range 2.8424(8)-2.9396(7) Å.¹⁰⁴

In 1985, the cationic cluster $[Ag_3Rh_3H_9(tripod)_3]^{3+}$ (13) was obtained by reaction of a methanolic solution of $[RhCl_3(tripod)]$ with 4 equiv. of AgCF₃SO₃.¹⁰⁵ Its almost planar metal core forms an equilateral v₂-triangle in which the Ag₃ core is bridged on all three edges by rhodium atoms. All the Ag-Rh bonds are hydrogen-bridged and there is an alternation of single and double bridges around the Rh triangle. This structural assignment was supported by the alternation of the Ag-Rh distances, which show shorter contacts (2.795(4)-2.807(4) Å) where a double hydrogen bridge has been postulated and longer contacts (2.884(4)-2.933(4) Å) for the single bridges. The Ag-Ag distances are in the range 2.968(4)-2.998(4) Å and much shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii for silver (3.44 Å).



The terminal phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity. The hydride positions are not indicated.¹⁰⁵

The first structurally characterized carbonyl clusters of the type $[AgM(CO)_4(dmpe)]_3$ (M = Nb, Ta; dmpe = 1,2 bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane) (14) were reported in 1989.¹⁰⁶ These clusters were isolated from the reaction of NEt₄[M(CO)₄(dpme)] with AgNO₂ or AgBF₄ in THF at room temperature in a 1:1 molar ratio. The solid state structures of 14a (M = Nb) and 14b (M = Ta) are isotypic. In these v₂-triangular raft-clusters, the Ag₃ core is bridged on all three edges by niobium or tantalum atoms. The almost identical Ag-Ag distances of 2.8424(5) Å are in the range of those found in the literature (median value from CCSD Nov. 2008: 3.016 Å, σ = 0.20 Å for 3343 samples).



(Reproduced with permission from ref. 106. Copyright Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA)

The first octanuclear heterometallic cluster $Ag_4M'_4$ analogous to the Cu₄M'₄ cluster **5** was described by Klüfers.¹⁰⁷ The centrosymmetric silver-cobalt cluster **15** contains a planar metal core and each Ag-Ag edge of the central square is bridged by a Co(CO)₄ fragment in such a way that this square is inscribed within a slightly distorted cobalt square (v₂-square structure). The Ag-Ag distances are in the range 3.01(1)-3.03(2) Å, and the inward bending of the Co(1)-Ag-Co(2) angle (161.25(4)°) is consistent with attractive argentophilic interactions.



The related anionic cluster $[Ag_4{Fe(CO)_4}_4]^{4-}$ (**16**) was reported in 1994 by Longoni *et al.* who also provided a bonding analysis.¹⁰⁸ It was selectively obtained by reaction of AgBF₄ or AgNO₃ with Na₂[Fe(CO)₄]·xTHF in tetrahydrofuran and/or acetonitrile solution. Its structure contains an idealized Ag₄ square (average Ag-Ag distance 3.149 Å) surrounded by four edgebridging Fe(CO)₄ groups. These fragments adopt a C_{2v} conformation and **16** has idealized D_{4h} symmetry. The inward bending of the Fe-Ag-Fe linear sequences (average angle 165.4°) suggests, like in the case of **15**, the occurrence of Ag-Ag attractive interactions.



The v₂-square clusters $[Ag_4\{MoCp(CO)_3\}_4]$ (17)⁶² and $[Ag_4\{Mo(CpNMe_2)(CO)_3\}_4]$ (18)⁹⁶ were recently characterized and are interestingly related to 15 and 16. The mean d¹⁰-d¹⁰ distances within the silver square of 17 (2.8703(1) Å) and 18 (2.8687(1) Å) are similar, they are much shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii for silver (3.44 Å) and even comparable to the sum of the covalent radii of 2.90 Å.⁹⁹ The main difference between clusters 17 and 18 is to be found in the orientation of the metalloligands with respect to the Ag-Ag edge they bridge. The Mo-Ag-Mo angles are 147.80(3)° for Ag(1) and 163.56(3)° for Ag(2) in 17 and 149.81(4)° for Ag(1) and 157.55(3)° for Ag(2) in 18 and the inward bending of these bonds is again consistent with attractive argentophillic interactions.



The structures of $[Ag_8Fe_4(CO)_{16}(dppm)_2]$ (19) and $[Ag_4Au_4Fe_4(CO)_{16}(dppe)_2]$ (20)¹⁰⁹ (dppm = bis(diphenylphosphine)methane, dppe = bis(diphenylphosphine)ethane) are

organized around a central silver square whose edges are spanned by $Fe(CO)_4$ groups which are placed alternately above and below the Ag₄ plane. This arrangement is similar to that found in **16**. The opposite vertices defining the long diagonal of the central square of **19** and **20** are bonded to Ag₂(dppm) and Au₂(dppe) fragments, respectively. The d¹⁰-d¹⁰ distances in the central silver square are short, with an average of 2.799 Å for **19** and 2.755 Å for **20**. Cluster **19** represents a rare example of a neutral Ag-Fe cluster containing phosphines as ancillary ligands for silver.



The terminal phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.



20 The terminal phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

An octanuclear cluster $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)WS_3Ag]_4$ (21) and an unusual helical polymer $\{[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)WS_3]_2Ag_3(CN)\}_{\infty}$ (22) have been synthesised and structurally characterized.¹¹⁰ The authors reacted (PPh₄)[($\eta^5-C_5Me_5$)WS₃] with AgCN with the objective to introduce

metal cyanide moieties into a cluster in view of the ability of the cyanide ligand to bridge between metal atoms, and obtained **21** and **22**. However, the minor octanuclear cluster **21** did not contain cyanide ligand and was better synthesized by reaction of $(PPh_4)[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)WS_3]$ with 1 equiv. of $[Ag(NCMe)_4]PF_6$ in MeCN (85% yield). The Ag-Ag separations in this cluster were too long to correspond to significant bonding between the d¹⁰ ions.



In contrast, the Ag-Ag distances in the nearly symmetrical Ag₃ cluster $[Ag_3(CH_3im(CH_2py))_2(NCMe)_2](BF_4)_3$ (23), which was obtained from the N-heterocyclic carbene precursor 1-methyl-3-(2-pyridinylmethyl)-1H-imidazolium tetrafluoroborate,¹¹¹ and in the square cluster $[Ag_4(L)_2](PF_6)_4$ ·Et₂O·MeCN (24) (H₂L = 2,6-bis(N-(pyridylmethyl) imidazoliumyl)pyridine)⁷³ are much shorter and similar to those in the heterometallic complexes of the type $\{Ag[m]\}_n$ [m = Nb(CO)₄, Ta(CO)₄, n = 3 (14); m = Co(CO)₄, Fe(CO)₄, MoCp(CO)₃, n = 4 (15-18)] seen above. They are in the range 2.7598(8)-2.7832(8) Å for 23 and 2.784(1)-2.820(1) Å for 24.



2.3. Au-Au Interactions

In 1981, the unusual mixed-metal cluster $[(Ph_3PAu)_3V(CO)_5]$ (25) was synthesized by treatment of the sodium or cesium salt of the pentacarbonylvanadate(3-) ion, $[V(CO)_5]^{3-}$, with $[AuCl(PPh_3)]$ in THF.¹¹² Its tetrahedral metal core contains three gold atoms and a eight-coordinated vanadium centre. The Au-Au distances are in the range 2.768(1)-2.855(1) Å.



25 ORTEP of 25. The phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity. (Reprinted with permission from ref. 112. Copyright American Chemical Society)

An Au₂Mo₄ phosphinidene complex with an unusual H-shaped planar metal core has been characterized which exhibits an Au-Au separation of 3.022(1) Å.¹¹³ The first heterometallic borole complexes of Fe and Au were reported in 1998 and include the Au₂Fe cluster [(OC)₂{ η^{5} -(1-phenylborole)}Fe{Au(PPh₃)}₂] (**26**) which was obtained by reaction of the metalate [HFe{ η^5 -(1-phenylborole)}(CO)₂]⁻ with [AuCl(PPh₃)] in CH₂Cl₂.³³ The distance of 2.737(1) Å between the two Au(I) centres is significantly shorter than in gold metal (2.884 Å). This complex further reacts with [AuCl(PPh₃)] to form a cationic FeAu₃ cluster as the only product (eq. 1) in which the arrangement of the metals was established to be tetrahedral by a Wide Angle X-ray Scattering study.³³



Perspective view of **26**. Phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.



A gold(I) triangle is also present in the cations $[Au_3(\mu_3-O)M(\mu-PPh_2py)_3]^{2+}$ (M = Cu(I), Ag(I), PPh_2py = diphenylphosphine-2-pyridine), which exhibits extremely bright luminescence in the solid-state at room-temperature.^{114,115} The Au-Au contacts within the tetrahedral metal core were found in the range from 2.9801(6) to 3.0000(6) Å for M = Cu and from 3.0661(3) to 3.2096(3) Å for M = Ag.

The reaction of $[(C_5H_4SiMe_3)_2NbH_3]$ with $[Au\{N(SiMe_3)_2\}(PPh_3)]$ produced the raft cluster $[NbAuH_2(C_5H_4SiMe_3)_2]_3$ (27) in high yield.¹¹⁶ Its structure consists of a gold triangle surrounded by three Cp'_2Nb groups (Cp' = C_5H_4SiMe_3) and six bridging hydrides [Au-Au

2.764(2), 2.757(2) and 2.780(3) Å]. Molecular orbital calculations indicated a striking electronic stabilization of this geometry, which may be of importance in other gold hydrides.



The 6 bridging hydride ligands between Au and Nb are not represented.

The dinuclear complex $[CpMo(CO)_3(AuPPh_3)]$, obtained by reaction of $Li[MoCp(CO)_3]$ with $[AuCl(PPh_3)]$ at -95 °C in dichloromethane, reacted under UV irradiation with excess $[AuN_3(PPh_3)]$ to afford the cationic cluster $[CpMo(CO)_2(AuPPh_3)_4]^+$ (28).¹¹⁷ The five metal atoms form a trigonal bipyramid with the Mo atom occupying an equatorial position. The Au-Au distances in this cluster are in the range 2.812(1)-2.856(2) Å.



Phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

The neutral, "square-in-a-square" or v_2 -square clusters [Au₄{MoCp(CO)₃}₄] (**29**) and [Au₄{Mo(CpNMe₂)(CO)₃}₄] (**30**) display Au-Au distances in the range 2.7417(8)-2.8030(9) Å and 2.7598(5)-2.8248(5) Å, respectively. These are shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii for silver (3.34 Å)³⁴ and even comparable to the sum of the covalent radii of 2.72 Å proposed by Alvarez.⁹⁹ The four Au atoms are not exactly coplanar, the Au-Au-Au angles are around 90° and the Mo-Au-Mo angles between 150.99(3)° and 154.29(3)° for **29** and between 149.88(3)° and 162.07(3)° for **30**. The inward bending of the Mo-Au-Mo units is consistent with attractive aurophilic interactions.



Cluster **29** could be synthesized directly by a 1:1 reaction between $[AuBr_2]^{-118}$ and Na[MoCp(CO)₃]⁶² or by reaction of $[AuBr_2]^{-}$ with the linear heterotrinuclear complex $[n-Bu_4N][Au\{MoCp(CO)_3\}_2]$,¹¹⁹ itself obtained by the reaction of $[n-Bu_4N][AuBr_2]$ with 2 equiv. of Na[MoCp(CO)₃]. These clusters form an interesting series of oligomers of the type $[M\{MoCp(CO)_3\}]_n^{n-}$ (n = 3 for M = Cu; n = 4 for M = Ag, Au) in which the group 11 metals are always in the M(I) oxidation state. Furthermore, the structural similarity between **29** or **30** and the cluster Na₂[Pd₄{MoCp(CO)₃}₄]·2THF (**31**) is noteworthy,¹²⁰ although the electronic configuration of the Pd centres is different from d¹⁰. This Pd₄Mo₄ anionic octanuclear cluster was the first example of a v₂-square cluster where Pd has a formal oxidation state of (+¹/₂).¹²⁰ The redox reaction between [Pd₄(OAc)₄(CO)₄] (which contains a rectangular metal core) and a large excess of Na[MoCp(CO)₃] in THF which afforded **31** also yielded the dinuclear complex [CpMo(CO)₃]₂ and air-sensitive palladium-molybdenum complexes.



A planar Au₄ unit was found in the neutral cluster $[Au_8Mo_4(CO)_{20}(PPh_3)_4]$ (**32**).¹²¹ This complex was isolated in low yield (<10%) from the reaction of $[AuCl(PPh_3)]$ with $[Mo_2(CO)_{10}]_2^-$ in acetonitrile at room temperature. The average Au-Au separation is 2.77±0.02 Å and the Au-Mo distances are 2.93 ± 0.03 Å. The Au-Au-Au angles are around 90°.



Phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

In 1995, Longoni and coll. reported the cluster $[Au_4{Fe(CO)_4}_4]^{4-}$ (**33**)¹²² which forms with **3** and **16** a nice homologous series of oligomeric clusters of the type $[M{Fe(CO)_4}]_n^{n-}$ (n

= 3 for M = Cu; n = 4 for M = Ag, Au). It is also closely related to **29** and **30** which belong to the family of clusters $[M{MoCp(CO)_3}]_n^{n-}$ (n = 3 for M = Cu; n = 4 for M = Ag, Au). Cluster **33** was isolated from the reaction in THF of $[Fe(CO)_4]^{2-}$ with $[AuBr_2]^-$ in a molar ratio 1:1. Two structural modifications were characterized, consisting of a square (**33A**) or a rectangle of gold atoms (**33B**) whose edges are bridged by $Fe(CO)_4$ groups. The Au(1)-Au(2) and Au(2)-Au(1') distances in **33A** and Au(3)-Au(4) and Au(3)-Au(4') in **33B** are equal to 2.973(2) Å, 2.831(2) Å, 2.932(2) Å and 3.400(2) Å, respectively.¹²²



The neutral cluster $[Au_8{Fe(CO)_4}_4(P^P)_2]$ (34) was isolated in good yields by condensation of the anion $[Au_3{Fe(CO)_4}_2(P^P)]^-$ with $[AuCl(SEt_2)]^{.123}$ The Au-Au distances in the gold core are in the range 2.737(2)-2.772(2) Å and the mean Au-Au distance in the central square is 2.7485 Å. The successful synthesis of this cluster via the condensation reaction shown below (Scheme 2) suggested the possible synthesis of related clusters $[Au_6M_2{Fe(CO)_4}_4(P^P)_2]$ (M = Cu, Ag) upon replacement of $[AuCl(SEt_2)]$ with CuCl or AgNO₃. To our knowledge, only the $[Au_6Cu_2{Fe(CO)_4}_4(P^P)_2]$ derivative has been described in the literature.¹²³



Scheme 2. Synthesis of 34 by condensation reaction.¹²³



Phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

In view of the structural similarities between the gold core of the mixed-metal clusters discussed above and some homometallic gold clusters, it is interesting to compare their Au-Au distances. In the triangular cluster $[Au_3 \{\mu_2 - (p-tol)N = COEt\}_3]$ (35)¹²⁴ and the gold square found in $[Au_4 \{\mu_2 - PhNC(Ph)NPh\}_4]$ (36),¹²⁵ the Au-Au distances in the range 3.2790(9)-3.3368(9) Å and 2.925(2)-2.982(2) Å for 35 and 36, respectively, are similar to those in the heterometallic clusters $\{Au[m]\}_4$ discussed above. The gold atoms in 36 form a distorted square (Au-Au = 82–97°) and the N–Au–N angles of 170° show a deviation from linearity consistent with Au···Au interactions.



3. Intramolecular heterometallic d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions in heterometallic clusters.

The number of mixed-metal complexes and clusters containing at least two chemically different d¹⁰ ions susceptible to interact which each other, in addition to another metal, is still limited but rapidly increasing. It is therefore interesting to examine the consequences of these interactions on the structure and properties of the complexes and compare them with those in which the d¹⁰ ions are the same. Heterobimetallic complexes containing two metals of the group 11 have been recently shown to possess interesting luminescence properties.^{85,111,126-138} Examples of mixed-metal clusters containing two different group 11 metals and another metal remain rare. We have recently examined ways to prepare such trimetallic complexes in order to compare them with the related bimetallic compounds.

3.1. Cu-Ag Interactions

Reaction of the salt $[N(PPh_3)_2]_2[Ru_4(\mu-H)_2(CO)_{12}]$ with 1 equiv. of $[CuCl(PPh_3)]$ and 1 equiv. of $[AgI(PPh_3)]$ in dichloromethane, in the presence of TIPF₆, afforded red microcrystals of the trimetallic cluster $[CuAgRu_4(\mu_3-H)_2(CO)_{12}(PPh_3)_2]$ (**37**) in *ca*. 35-45% yield.^{139,140} The metal skeleton of **37** consists of a tetrahedron of ruthenium atoms with the Ru(1)Ru(3)Ru(4) face capped by a Cu(PPh_3) moiety and the Cu(1)Ru(3)Ru(4) face of the CuRu_3 tetrahedron thus formed further capped by a Ag(PPh_3) unit to give a capped trigonal bipyramidal metal core geometry. A comparison of the interatomic distances between **37** and the analogous bimetallic copper and silver complexes shows that the Cu-Ag separation

[2.764(1) Å] is intermediate between the Cu-Cu [2.699(2) Å] and the Ag-Ag separation [2.857(1) Å].



The phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

The structure of $[CuAg_3\{MoCp(CO_3\}_4]$ (**38**) contains a central square metal core formed by three silver(I) and one copper(I) ions, inscribed within a molybdenum square since each edge of the coinage metal square is bridged by a MoCp(CO)₃ fragment. This molecule is the first trimetallic cluster with such a v₂-square geometry.¹¹⁹ The Cu-Ag distances in **38** are in the range 2.734(1)-2.814(1) Å and are clearly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii for copper and silver (3.12 Å) or to the value of 2.9931(12) Å found in a dinuclear Ag-Au complex containing bridging ligands.¹⁴¹ They are even comparable to the sum of the covalent radii of 2.77 Å for copper and silver.⁹⁹ The Cu-Ag d¹⁰-d¹⁰ distances are intermediate between those in the analogous copper [Cu₃{MoCp(CO₃}₃] (mean value of Cu-Cu: 2.627(8) Å) and silver complexes [Ag₄{MoCp(CO₃}₄] (mean value of Ag-Ag: 2.8699(9) Å). The Ag-Ag distances in **38**, in the range 2.9093(8)-2.9351(11) Å, are longer than the Ag-Ag distances in [Ag₄{MoCp(CO₃}₄]. The Ag1-Cu1-Ag3, Cu1-Ag3-Ag2, Ag3-Ag2-Ag1 and the Ag2-Ag1-Cu1 angles of 83.94(3)°, 97.22(2)°, 78.83(2)° and 99.68(3)°, respectively, are indicative of distorsions of the metal core away from planarity.



Reaction of RNHC(S)PPh₂NPPh₂C(S)NR (HRSNS; R = Me, Et) with Cu(I), Ag(I) or Au(I) salts followed by deprotonation afforded zwitterionic complexes of general formula [M(RSNS)] (M = Cu, Ag, Au).¹⁴² The complexes [Cu(RSNS)] and [Ag(RSNS)] were used as building blocks for the assembly of dicationic, pentanuclear multi-zwitterionic Cu₅, Cu₃Ag₂ (**39**) and Ag₅ clusters of the general formula [M'₂{M(RSNS)}₃]²⁺ (M = Cu, M' = Cu, Ag; M = M'= Ag).¹⁴² The reaction of [Ag(EtSNS)] with [Cu(NCMe)₄]PF₆ in a 3:2 ratio did not afford the expected complex [Cu₂{Ag(EtSNS)}₃][PF₆]₂ but rather a mixture of species, in which [Ag₂{Cu(EtSNS)}₃]²⁺ was the major product. In **39**, the average Ag-Cu and Ag-Ag bond lengths are 2.899(6) Å and 3.149(7) Å, and the average Ag-Cu-Ag and Cu-Ag-Cu angles are 65.8(2)° and 94.8(1)°, respectively.



Phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

28

"Wrapping" the $[Au_6Cu_6(C_2Ph)_{12}]$ cluster in a $[Au_3(diphosphine)_3]^{3+}$ "belt" was found to increase its stability, particularly under irradiation. Its luminescence is much more intense than that of the corresponding homometallic compounds and was attributed to the presence of the Cu₆Au₆ cluster core.¹⁴³

3.2. Cu-Au Interactions

Specific properties may be associated with the presence of heterometallic d^{10} - d^{10} interactions, as shown recently with a trinuclear, Cu-Au-Cu chain complex which functions as a luminescent vapochromic sensor,¹⁴⁴ or in Cu(I) halide butterfly dimers interacting with a Au(I) cationic dicarbene unit.¹²⁸ In the complex [Au₃(μ_3 -S)Cu(μ -PPh₂py)₃](BF₄)₂ (PPh₂py = diphenylphosphine-2-pyridine), the Cu-Au contacts within the tetrahedral metal core were found in the range 2.9000(13)-2.9871(14) Å. A coordination isomer was also characterized in which two monocationic Au₃S units bridge two Cu(I) ions through the pyridine groups. There is only one Cu-Au bonding interaction in this compound and its value of 2.7954(9) Å is shorter than in the other isomer.¹¹⁵

The trimetallic, hexanuclear clusters $[MM'Ru_4(\mu_3-H)_2\{\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2\}(CO)_{12}]$ [M = Cu, M' = Ag or Au; M = Ag, M' = Au] were synthesized for comparison with the analogous bimetallic clusters.¹⁴⁵ The molecular structure of $[AuCuRu_4(\mu_3-H)_2\{\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh_2\}(CO)_{12}]$ (40) consists of a tetrahedron of ruthenium atoms of which the Ru(1)Ru(3)Ru(4) face is capped by a copper atom. One of the CuRu_3 faces of the tetrahedron thus formed is further capped by a gold atom, to give an overall capped trigonal bipyramidal metal core geometry. The Cu-Au distance in 40 of 2.614(2) Å suggests the occurrence of direct metal-metal interactions. Similarly, the mixed metal cluster $[MM'Ru_4H_2(\mu-dppf)(CO)_{12}]$ [M = Cu, M' = Au; dppf = Fe(η^5 -C₅H₄PPh_2)_2] (41)¹⁴⁶ was compared to the analogous bimetallic clusters [MM'Ru_4H_2(μ -dppf)(CO)_{12}] [M = Cu, Ag or Au]. The metal skeleton of 41 is similar to that of 40. The Cu-Au distance in 41 [2.641(1) Å] is intermediate between the Cu-Cu and Au-Au distances in the bimetallic copper and gold analogues [2.528(2) Å and 2.901(1) Å, respectively].



The cluster $[Cu_2Au_6{Fe(CO)_4}_4(dppe)_2]$ (42) contains a mixed Cu_2Au_2 square.¹²³ The average distance between copper and gold is 2.558 Å, which is shorter than the Au-Au distances in the gold analogue 34 (range 2.737(2)-2.772(2) Å).¹²³



In $[CuAu_3\{MoCp(CO_3\}_4]$ (43), which was one of the first trimetallic cluster with 38 to have a v₂-square structure, the central metal square is formed by two Au(I) centres and the other two opposite positions contain a mixture of gold and copper. The best fit for the structure refinement was obtained when assuming a 80:20 Cu/Au occupation for one of these two sites, 20:80 Cu/Au for the other. Each edge of the square is bridged by a MoCp(CO)₃

metalloligand. The molybdenum atoms form a distorted Mo₄ square and are not coplanar with the gold and copper atoms, as a result of a slight tetrahedral distortion. The distances between the group 11 metals are in the range 2.6657(15)- 2.9037(9) Å and are much shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.06 Å). They are even comparable to the sum of the covalent radii proposed for Cu and Au (2.68 Å).⁹⁹



The reaction of $[(RC_2Au)PPh_2C_6H_4PPh_2(AuC_2R)]$ (R = Fc or C₆H₄Fc) with $[Cu(NCMe)_4]PF_6$ afforded the heterometallic complexes $[{Au_3Cu_2(C_2Ph)_6}Au_3(PR_2C_6H_4PR_2)_3][PF_6]_2$ (44).¹³⁰ The average Cu-Au distance of 2.853 Å is in the range found for the other gold-copper complexes with direct Au-Cu bonding.



(Reprinted with permission from ref. 130. Copyright American Chemical Society)

A luminescent alkynyl Cu₂Au₄ cluster of C_2 symmetry was obtained by reaction of an alkynyldigold(I) complex with [Cu(NCMe)₄]⁺ and its crystal structure revealed that the weak Au···Au interaction present in the precursor complex was replaced by two Cu···Au contacts of 2.8524(16) Å.¹⁴⁷ In the heterobimetallic Cu(I)-Au(I) alkynyl cluster (**45**),¹⁴⁸ the intramolecular Cu···Au distances are in the range 2.743(1)–2.980(1) Å, which indicates the possible occurrence of Cu···Au interactions. The homometallic Cu····Cu and Au····Au separations of 4.153 Å and 3.451–3.476 Å are two long to represent significant copper–copper or gold–gold interactions. The complex was prepared by a modification of previous literature procedures.¹⁴⁹



The phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

3.3. Ag-Au Interactions

In the complex $[Au_3(\mu_3-O)Ag(\mu-PPh_2py)_3](BF_4)_2$ (PPh_2py = diphenylphosphine-2-pyridine), which exhibits extremely bright luminescence in the solid-state at room-temperature, the Ag-Au contacts within the tetrahedral core are in the range 2.8985(5)-2.9690(5) Å.¹¹⁴ A square pyramidal Ag₄Au cluster has been characterized in which the apical Au(I) centre is connected to two basal Ag(I) centres via 3c-2e aryl bridges but this did not affect significantly the Ag-Au distances, which were in the range 2.9019(6)-3.0134(6) Å.¹⁰⁴ A helical coordination polymer containing pyridine-substituted N-heterocyclic carbene ligands as supports for Ag(I)-Au(I) interactions of 2.8359(4) and 2.9042(4) Å has been characterized.¹³⁵ A complex analogous to **44** containing Ag(I) in place of Cu(I) displays Ag-Au separations ranging from 2.9194(13) to 3.0121(13) Å.¹⁵⁰

Trimetallic clusters containing silver, gold and another metal are rare. An example was encountered with **20** in which the Ag-Au separations range 2.767(2)-2.793(2) Å.¹⁰⁹ Two

pairs of diastereomeric tetrahedral clusters [Re₂(MPPh₃)(M'PPh₃)(μ -PCy₂)(CO)₇] with mixed coinage metals (M = Au, M' = Cu (**46**) or Ag (**47**)) have been synthesised.¹⁵¹ The Cu-Au and Ag-Au distances are 2.584(2) Å and 2.7026(18) Å, respectively.



M' = Cu (46), Ag (47)The terminal phenyl and cyclohexyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

Comparisons with the metallophilic Ag····Au contacts in (trimethylphosphine)silver(I) and -gold(I) phenylethynyl complexes can be made.¹⁵² The structure of the anionic cluster in $[Ag(PMe_3)_2]^+ [Ag_2Au_3(C=CPh)_6]^-$ (48) has an idealized D_{3h} symmetry with the gold atoms of three collinear PhC=CAuC=CPh anions forming an equilateral triangle. The silver atoms are also in close contact with the gold atoms, with distances ranging from 2.854(2) to 3.039(1) Å, which clearly represent metallophilic interactions and are comparable with the sum of the covalent radii of 2.81 Å for Ag and Au.⁹⁹ The Ag₂Au₃ trigonal-bipyramidal metal core has six axial-equatorial Ag-Au bonds, but the equatorial-equatorial Au-Au contacts (3.95 Å average) are too long to represent significant metallophilic interactions. This type of pentanuclear anion has been characterised previously by Abu-Salah who prepared several complexes of formula $[M_2M'_3(C=CR)_6]^-$ (M, M'= Cu, Ag, Au).^{149,153}



The terminal phenyl groups have been omitted for clarity.

The crystal structure of the complex $[AgAu_4(CH_2SiMe_3)_4(\mu-dppm)_2]SO_3CF_3$ (49)¹⁵⁴ shows the presence of a silver(I) centre solely bonded to four gold atoms in a distorted tetrahedral environment. The silver-gold and the silver-silver distances are in the range 2.7179(13)-2.7822(13), 3.2170(9)-3.2773(12) Å, respectively.



(Reprinted with permission from ref. 154. Copyright Royal Society of Chemistry)

In the large Ag-Au alkynyl-diphosphine aggregate $[Ag_4Au_{14}(C_2Ph)_{12}(PP)_6][PF_6]_4$, which can serve as a phosphorescence dye suited for one- and two-photon imaging in human stem cells, the Ag-Au distances are in the range 2.8535(7)-3.0504(7) Å.¹²⁶

Because of their relevance and structural analogy with some of the complexes discussed above, we will briefly examine the situation in heterometallic complexes involving closed shell atoms outside group 11 elements. The heterotrinuclear metal-metal bonded chain complex [Hg{Fe[Si(OMe)_3](CO)_3(dppm-P)}_2] (dppm = Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2)^{155-157} behaves like a trimetallodiphosphine and chelates a d¹⁰ Cu(I) centre through its P donors. The resulting complex [Hg{Fe[Si(OMe)_3](CO)_3(μ -dppm)}_2Cu]⁺ (**50**) has a T-shape geometry and the existence of a d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interaction between Cu(I) and Hg(II) is supported by the distance of 2.689(2) Å between them and by theoretical calculations.¹⁵⁸ An intramolecular dynamic behaviour was evidenced by VT-NMR spectroscopy and explained by an oscillation of the P-Cu-P unit about the Cu(I)-Hg(II) axis.

Although the resulting complexes were not cluster compounds, it is interesting to note that metallomacrocycles containing basic nitrogen atoms and Lewis acidic mercury centres have been used to produce complexes featuring Cu(I)-Hg(II) interactions (2.921 and 2.919 Å)¹⁵⁹ and Hg(II)-Pd(II) (3.1020(3) and 3.2337(3) Å) interactions.¹⁶⁰ Metallophilic interactions between Au(I) and Hg(II) have been shown to quench the fluorescence of Au nanoclusters and this has been applied to the highly selective and sensitive detection of mercuric ions.¹⁶¹

Reaction of the Fe-Hg-Fe chain complex $[Hg{Fe[Si(OMe)_3](CO)_3(dppm-P)}_2]$ mentioned above with a d¹⁰ Pd(0) precursor afforded the related complex $[Hg{Fe[Si(OMe)_3](CO)_3(\mu-dppm)}_2Pd]$ (**51**) in which a Pd-Hg bond has formed (2.6915(8) Å). It is no longer orthogonal to the Fe-Hg-Fe axis, as in the case of the related Cu-HgFe₂ complex, because of a favourable interaction of the Pd centre with a Fe-bound carbonyl ligand.¹⁵⁶ The Pd-Hg bond length is shorter than is complexes showing Pd-Hg d⁸-d¹⁰ contacts (2.8797(8)-3.2841(2) Å).¹⁶²⁻¹⁶⁶ This complex also undergoes dynamic behaviour in solution, but this time the motion involves a sliding of the Pd centre along the Fe-Hg-Fe chain. Changing the reagent opposed to the chain complex $[Hg{Fe[Si(OMe)_3](CO)_3(dppm-P)}_2]$ for another d¹⁰ fragment, this time a Pt(0) centre, afforded with **52** yet another type of complex.¹⁵⁷ Although in **52** a triangular Fe-Hg-Pt unit is present, with a Pt-Hg distance of 2.824(1) Å, a intramolecular redox reaction has taken place and a trimethoxysilyl group originally bound to Fe has migrated to platinum, a rare event.¹⁶⁷⁻¹⁷¹ The possibility of reversible isomerization involving the Pt analog of **51** has been discussed.¹⁵⁷







View of the structure of **52** and suggested reversible isomerization of the complex (shift of the $-Si(OMe)_3$ group between Pt and Fe).¹⁵⁷

In the v₂-square cluster $[(\eta^5-CH_3C_5H_4)Mn(CO)_2Hg]$ (53), the Hg-Hg distances of 2.888(2) Å and the non-linearity of the Mn-Hg-Mn unit (157.2°) are indicative of Hg-Hg interactions.¹⁷² For comparison, a value of 1.75(7) Å has been calculated for the van der Waals radius of Hg(II),¹⁷³ in good agreement with the value of 1.73 Å obtained experimentally for Hg(II) in [HgR₂]₂.¹⁷⁴ The structure of [CdFe(CO)₄]₄·2acetone (54) is also that of a v₂-square cluster and consists of a nearly planar, centrosymmetric, eight-membered ring of alternating Cd and *cis*-Fe(CO)₄ units.¹⁷⁵ Its core geometry is strongly distorted because of the coordination of acetone molecules to two Cd atoms but no Cd-Cd interactions were observed, the distance between these atoms being in the range 3.55-3.60 Å. These examples show that although this type of v₂-square geometry is favourable for metal-metal interactions within the central square, these do not always take place.



(Reproduced with permission from ref. 172. Copyright Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA)

The v₂-triangular cluster complex $[Ir_3Pt_3(\mu-CO)_3(CO)_3(\eta-C_5Me_5)_3]$ (**55**) was obtained in quantitative yield by reaction of $[Ir(CO)_2(\eta-C_5Me_5)_3]$ with the Pt(0) precursor $[Pt(C_2H_4)_3]$ in diethylether at 0 °C.¹⁷⁶ It contains a nearly planar array of metal atoms, with a central triangle of platinum atoms (mean Pt-Pt distance of 2.703(3) Å), whose edges are bridged by an iridium fragment. The iridium atoms each carry a η -C₅Me₅ ligand and are coordinated by two CO ligands. The Ir₃Pt₃(μ -CO) unit has approximate C₃-symmetry. The centroids of the η -C₅Me₅ ligands are well out of the Ir₃Pt₃ plane, one above and two below this plane. Although the Ir-Pt interactions are not of the d¹⁰-d¹⁰ type, the v₂-triangular structure of this cluster is strikingly similar to those of clusters involving d¹⁰ ions of the group 11 metals discussed above.



Conclusions

The number of metal complexes and clusters in which metallophilic interactions contribute significantly to their structure and properties is now very large and continues to grow. Even when considering only interactions involving the d^{10} ions from the group 11 metals, in order to facilitate comparisons, it is clear that their occurrence is possible in a number of structural types, although some arrangements of the metal cores seem to occur more frequently. We have focused here on heterometallic clusters of the transition metals, whose diversity is of course much larger than that of the homometallic ones, which allows an even larger scope for metallophilic interactions. Whereas unsupported $d^{10}-d^{10}$ interactions may only represent *ca*. 7-11 kcal/mol, recognizing their importance allows for a better understanding of the structural and physical properties of complex molecules. An evaluation of the energetics involved is difficult in metal clusters where bridging metal atoms and ligands enforce certain structural geometries and this prevents an easy separation of the various energetic contributions. Qualitative structural elements are often suggestive of the occurrence of metallophilic interactions, such as metal-metal distance and/or bond angles. Thus for example, attractive d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions appear responsible for the inward bending of the Mo-Ag-Mo or Mo-Au-Mo sequences in the "square-in-a-square" octanuclear clusters (v₂-square structures) 17, 18, 29 and 30. The values of the separations between the d¹⁰ ions involved represents a major indicator of the occurrence of attractive metallophilic interactions, and these values can be compared to the sum of the van der Waals radii, and are sometimes even shorter than the sum of the covalent radii. Table 1 provides structural informations from the literature for benchmarking purposes.

	M = Cu	M = Ag	M = Au
2 x covalent radius (mono-coordinated univalent M) ⁶¹	2.24	2.56	2.48
2 x covalent radius (two-coordinated univalent M) 71,177	2.26	2.66	2.50
2 x covalent radius (four-co-ordinated univalent M) ¹⁷⁷	2.58	2.92	2.74
2 x single bond metallic radius ³⁵	2.346	2.678	2.672
2 x metal atom radius ⁹⁸	2.556	2.89	2.884
2 x covalent radius ⁹⁹	2.64(4)	2.90(5)	2.72(6)
2 x van der Waals radius ³⁴	2.80	3.44	3.32

Table 1. Covalent, atomic metal and van der Waals radii for the group 11 metals (in Å)

The difficulties associated with a detailed theoretical analysis of the metallophilic interactions are that not only must relativistic basis sets be used but the energy surfaces are rather shallow. We are currently trying to assess the role of the metallophilic interactions in the family of clusters $[M\{MoCp(CO)_3\}]_n^{n-}$ (n = 3 for M = Cu; n = 4 for M = Ag, Au) where the Cu₃Mo₃ clusters 6 and 7 have a v₂-triangular structure, in contrast to the v₂-square geometry of the Ag₄Mo₄ and Au₄Mo₄ clusters 17, 18 and 29, 30, respectively.



In related clusters, square metal cores have been encountered in this review with Cu(I) and triangular arrays with Ag(I) and Au(I)! Of course, steric factors should not be overlooked, and are often difficult to quantify. An additional challenge is to prepare and characterize polymetallic clusters containing two or more chemically different d^{10} metal ions. Will their geometry and properties be directly related to that of clusters containing only one type of d^{10} ion or not and if yes, which of the d^{10} ion will influence most the structure and properties. In complexes containing mixed d^{10} ions interacting with each other, a shortened separation compared to analogous complexes containing only one type of d^{10} ion has often been noted. It has been attributed to the introduction of attractive Coulomb interactions between the

dissimilar metals which increase the dispersion forces.^{178,179} Such interactions have also been invoked to explain the stability and shortened intermetallic separations in the chain complexes Pd(0)-Tl(I)-Au(I) and Pt(0)-Tl(I)-Au(I) which were the first to involve three different closed-shell metals.¹⁸⁰ Over the last few years, it has been increasingly observed that heterometallic d¹⁰-d¹⁰ interactions can bring about new photophysical properties and more examples will certainly emerge of unique behaviour for such polymetallic assemblies.^{66,74,77-79,85,111,126-138,143,181-188}

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the referees for their comments, to Dr. Roberto Pattacini for assistance, and to the CNRS, the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche, the DFH/UFA, the DFG International Research Training Group GRK532 and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-06-BLAN-410) for support of our own research in this field.

References

- 1 J. A. Bertrand, F. A. Cotton and W. A. Dollase, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 1349.
- 2 F. A. Cotton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1964, **3**, 1217.
- 3 F. A. Cotton, *Quart. Rev.*, 1966, **20**, 389.
- 4 K. Wade, J. Chem. Soc. D., 1971, 792.
- 5 K. Wade, *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.*, 1976, **18**, 1.
- 6 D. M. P. Mingos, Acc. Chem. Res., 1984, 17, 311.
- 7 D. F. Shriver, H. D. Kaesz and R. D. Adams, *The chemistry of metal cluster complexes*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1990.
- 8 D. M. P. Mingos and D. J. Wales, *Introduction to Cluster Chemistry*, Prentice Hall, 1990.
- 9 G. Gonzalez-Moraga, *Cluster Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- 10 G. Schmid, *Clusters and Colloids. From Theory to Applications*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1994.
- 11 L. J. de Jongh, *Physics and Chemistry of Metal Cluster Compounds. Model Systems for Small Metal Particles*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1994.
- 12 U. Kreibig and M. Vollmer, *Optical properties of metal clusters*, Springer, 1995.
- 13 S. M. Kauzlarich, *Chemistry, structure, and bonding of Zintl phases and ions*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1996.
- 14 D. M. P. Mingos, *Structural and electronic paradigms in cluster chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- 15 R. D. Adams and F. A. Cotton, *Catalysis by Di-and Polynuclear Metal Cluster Complexes*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim (Germany), 1998.
- 16 H. Schmidbaur, *Gold: Progress in Chemistry, Biochemistry and Technology*, Wiley, Chichester, 1999.
- 17 P. Braunstein, L. A. Oro and P. R. Raithby, *Metal Clusters in Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1999.
- 18 P. J. Dyson and J. S. McIndoe, *Transition metal carbonyl cluster chemistry*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 2000.
- 19 D. L. Feldheim and J. C. A. Foss, *Metal Nanoparticles*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2002.
- 20 M. Driess and H. Nöth, *Molecular clusters of the main group elements*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004.
- 21 T. P. Fehlner, J.-F. Halet and J.-Y. Saillard, *Molecular clusters: a bridge to solid-state chemistry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- 22 P. Braunstein and J. Rosé, in *Metal Clusters in Chemistry*, eds. P. Braunstein, L. A. Oro and P. R. Raithby, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1999, vol. 2, pp. 616.
- 23 C. E. Coffey, J. Lewis and R. S. Nyholm, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 1741.
- 24 J. W. Lauher and K. Wald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 7648.
- 25 P. Braunstein, J. Rosé, Y. Dusausoy and J.-P. Mangeot, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. IIc: Chim., 1982, **294**, 967.
- 26 X. Li, B. Kiran and L.-S. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2005, 109, 4366.
- 27 R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1982, 21, 711.
- 28 D. M. P. Mingos, *Gold Bull.*, 1984, **17**, 5.
- 29 P. Braunstein and J. Rosé, *Gold Bull.*, 1985, **18**, 17.
- 30 B. R. Theobald, P. C. Minshall and D. M. P. Mingos, *unpublished, quoted in D. M. P. Mingos, Pure Appl. Chem. 1980, 52, 705.*
- 31 P. Braunstein, H. Lehner, D. Matt, A. Tiripicchio and M. Tiripicchio-Camellini, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1984, **23**, 304.

- 32 R. Galassi, R. Poli, E. A. Quadrelli and J. C. Fettinger, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 3001.
- 33 P. Braunstein, G. E. Herberich, M. Neuschütz, M. U. Schmidt, U. Englert, P. Lecante and A. Mosset, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 2177.
- 34 A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem., 1964, 68, 441.
- 35 L. Pauling, *The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd Ed.*, Cornell Univ. Press, Ithaca, NY, 1960.
- J. Muñiz, C. Wang and P. Pyykkö, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2010, in press.
- 37 F. Scherbaum, A. Grohmann, B. Huber, C. Krüger and H. Schmidbaur, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1988, **27**, 1544.
- 38 H. Schmidbaur, *Gold Bull.*, 1990, **23**, 11.
- 39 H. Schmidbaur, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1995, 24, 391.
- 40 H. Schmidbaur, F. Gabbaie, A. Schier and J. Riede, *Organometallics*, 1995, 14, 4969.
- 41 H. Schmidbaur, *Gold Bull.*, 2000, **33**, 3.
- 42 H. Schmidbaur and A. Schier, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1931.
- 43 P. K. Mehrotra and R. Hoffmann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17**, 2187.
- 44 M. Jansen, J. Less Common Met., 1980, 76, 285.
- 45 M. Jansen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 1098.
- 46 A. Görling, N. Rösch, D. E. Ellis and H. Schmidbaur, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 3986.
- 47 J. Li and P. Pyykkö, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1992, 197, 586.
- 48 P. Pyykkö, J. Li and N. Runeberg, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1994, **218**, 133.
- 49 M. J. Katz, K. Sakai and D. B. Leznoff, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1884.
- 50 P. Pyykkö and J.-P. Desclaux, Acc. Chem. Res., 1979, 12, 276.
- 51 N. Kaltsoyannis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 1.
- 52 P. Pyykkö and F. Mendizabal, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1997, **3**, 1458.
- 53 P. Pyykkö, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 3573.
- 54 S.-G. Wang and W. H. E. Schwarz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 1266.
- 55 M. A. Carvajal, S. Alvarez and J. J. Novoa, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 2117.
- 56 P. Pyykkö, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 4412.
- 57 H. Schmidbaur, S. Cronje, B. Djordjevic and O. Schuster, *Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **311**, 151.
- 58 P. Pyykkö, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2005, **358**, 4113.
- 59 W. Q. Tian, M. Ge, F. Gu, T. Yamada and Y. Aoki, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2006, 110, 6285.
- 60 P. Pyykkö, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 1967.
- 61 P. Pyykkö and M. Atsumi, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 186.
- 62 S. Sculfort, P. Croizat, A. Messaoudi, M. Bénard, M.-M. Rohmer, R. Welter and P. Braunstein, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9663.
- 63 P. Schwerdtfeger and M. Lein, in *Gold Chemistry: Applications and Future Directions in the Life Sciences*, ed. F. Mohr, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009.
- 64 E. O'Grady and N. Kaltsoyannis, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, 6, 680.
- 65 G. J. Hutchings, M. Brust and H. Schmidbaur, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 1759.
- 66 L. H. Doerrer, Comments Inorg. Chem., 2008, 29, 93
- 67 L. H. Doerrer, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 3543.
- 58 J.-M. Poblet and M. Bénard, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1179.
- 69 M. A. Carvajal, J. J. Novoa and S. Alvarez, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 1465.
- 70 J. Grundy, B. Donnadieu and F. Mathey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 7716.
- 71 A. Bayler, A. Schier, G. A. Bowmaker and H. Schmidbaur, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 7006.
- 72 X.-L. Zhao and T. C. W. Mak, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 3212.
- 73 B. Liu, W. Chen and S. Jin, *Organometallics*, 2007, 26, 3660.
- 74 Z.-N. Chen, N. Zhao, Y. Fan and J. Ni, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, 253, 1.

- 75 M. C. Gimeno, in *Modern Supramolecular Gold Chemistry: Gold-Metal Interactions* and *Applications.*, ed. A. Laguna, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008, pp. 1.
- 76 A. Laguna, *Modern Supramolecular Gold Chemistry: Gold-Metal Interactions and Applications*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008.
- A. Barbieri, G. Accorsi and N. Armaroli, Chem. Commun., 2008, 2185.
- 78 E. J. Fernandez, A. Laguna and J. M. Lopez-de-Luzuriaga, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 1969.
- 79 D. L. Phillips, C.-M. Che, K. H. Leung, Z. Mao and M.-C. Tse, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **249**, 1476.
- 80 C.-M. Che and S.-W. Lai, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **249**, 1296.
- 81 H. Fleischer, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2005, 249, 799.
- 82 J. H. K. Yip, J. Wu, K.-Y. Wong, K. P. Ho, C. S.-N. Pun and J. J. Vittal, J. Chin. Chem. Soc., 2004, **51**, 1245.
- 83 H. Müller-Buschbaum, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 2004, 630, 2125.
- 84 V. J. Catalano, B. L. Bennett, M. A. Malwitz, R. L. Yson, H. M. Kar, S. Muratidis and S. J. Horner, *Comments Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **24**, 39.
- 85 M. Bardaji and A. Laguna, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2003, 3069.
- 86 V. W.-W. Yam, Acc. Chem. Res., 2002, 35, 555.
- 87 T. Tanase, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2002, 75, 1407.
- 88 L. H. Gade, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 3573.
- 89 A. Vegas, Crystallogr. Rev., 2000, 7, 189.
- 90 L. F. Rhodes, J. C. Huffman and K. G. Caulton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 5137.
- 91 L. F. Rhodes, J. C. Huffman and K. G. Caulton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 1759.
- 92 G. Doyle, B. T. Heaton and E. Occhiello, *Organometallics*, 1985, 4, 1224.
- 93 G. Doyle, K. A. Eriksen and D. Van Engen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 445.
- 94 T. H. Lemmen, J. C. Huffman and K. G. Caulton, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1986, 25, 262.
- 95 P. Klüfers, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 307.
- 96 S. Sculfort, PhD Thesis, Université de Strasbourg, 2009.
- 97 G. Doyle, K. A. Eriksen and D. Van Engen, *Organometallics*, 1985, 4, 2201.
- 98 E. Wiberg, A. F. Holleman and N. Wiberg, *Lehrbuch der Anorganischen Chemie, 102. Auflage*, W. de Gruyter, Berlin (Germany), 2007.
- 99 B. Cordero, V. Gomez, A. E. Platero-Prats, M. Revés, J. Echeverria, E. Cremades, F. Barragan and S. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, 2832.
- 100 Q. Huang, X. Wu, Q. Wang, T. Sheng and J. Lu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 893.
- 101 M. Hakansson, H. Eriksson, A. Berglund Ahman and S. Jagner, J. Organomet. Chem., 2000, **595**, 102.
- 102 A. Vega, V. Calvo, E. Spodine, A. Zarate, V. Fuenzalida and J.-Y. Saillard, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 3389.
- 103 M.-E. Moret and P. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 5675.
- 104 E. J. Fernandez, A. Laguna, J. M. Lopez-de-Luzuriaga, M. Monge, M. Montiel, M. E. Olmos, J. Perez, R. C. Puelles and J. C. Saenz, *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, 1162.
- 105 J. Ott and L. M. Venanzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 1760.
- 106 F. Calderazzo, G. Pampaloni, U. Englert and J. Strähle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1989, **28**, 471.
- 107 P. Klüfers, Z. Kristallogr., 1984, 166, 143.
- 108 V. G. Albano, F. Azzaroni, M. C. Iapalucci, G. Longoni, M. Monari, S. Mulley, D. M. Proserpio and A. Sironi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **33**, 5320.
- 109 V. G. Albano, M. Carmela Iapalucci, G. Longoni, M. Monari, A. Paselli and S. Zacchini, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 4438.
- 110 J.-P. Lang and K. Tatsumi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 1364.

- 111 V. J. Catalano and A. L. Moore, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, 44, 6558.
- 112 J. E. Ellis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 6106.
- 113 M. A. Alvarez, I. Amor, M. E. Garcia and M. A. Ruiz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 7963.
- 114 Q.-M. Wang, Y.-A. Lee, O. Crespo, J. Deaton, C. Tang, H. J. Gysling, M. Concepción Gimeno, C. Larraz, M. D. Villacampa, A. Laguna and R. Eisenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 9488.
- 115 O. Crespo, M. Concepcion Gimeno, A. Laguna, C. Larraz and M. D. Villacampa, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 235.
- A. Antinolo, J. K. Burdett, B. Chaudret, O. Eisenstein, M. Fajardo, F. Jalon, F. Lahoz, J. A. Lopez and A. Otero, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 17.
- 117 J. Pethe, C. Maichle-Mossmer and J. Strähle, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1997, 623, 1413.
- 118 P. Braunstein and R. J. H. Clark, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1973, 1845.
- 119 S. Sculfort, R. Welter and P. Braunstein, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 2372.
- 120 T. A. Stromnova, I. N. Busygina, S. B. Katser, A. S. Antsyshkina, M. A. Porai-Koshits and I. I. Moiseev, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 114.
- 121 R. Della Pergola, L. Garlaschelli, M. C. Malatesta, C. Manassero and M. Manassero, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 8465.
- 122 V. G. Albano, F. Calderoni, M. C. Iapalucci, G. Longoni and M. Monari, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 433.
- 123 V. G. Albano, C. Castellari, C. Femoni, M. C. Iapalucci, G. Longoni, M. Monari and S. Zacchini, *J. Cluster Sci.*, 2001, **12**, 75.
- 124 A. A. Mohamed, M. A. Rawashdeh-Omary, M. A. Omary and J. P. Fackler, Jr., *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, 2597.
- 125 H. E. Abdou, A. A. Mohamed and J. P. Fackler, Jr., Inorg. Chem., 2007, 46, 141.
- 126 I. O. Koshevoy, Y.-C. Lin, Y.-C. Chen, A. J. Karttunen, M. Haukka, P.-T. Chou, S. P. Tunik and T. A. Pakkanen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1440.
- 127 I. O. Koshevoy, Y.-C. Lin, A. J. Karttunen, P.-T. Chou, P. Vainiotalo, S. P. Tunik, M. Haukka and T. A. Pakkanen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 2094.
- 128 V. J. Catalano, A. L. Moore, J. Shearer and J. Kim, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 11362.
- 129 D. Rios, M. M. Olmstead and A. L. Balch, 2009, 48, 5279.
- 130 I. O. Koshevoy, A. J. Karttunen, S. P. Tunik, M. Haukka, S. I. Selivanov, A. S. Melnikov, P. Y. Serdobintsev, M. A. Khodorkovskiy and T. A. Pakkanen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 9478.
- 131 E. J. Fernandez, P. G. Jones, A. Laguna, J. M. Lopez-de-Luzuriaga, M. Monge, M. E. Olmos and R. C. Puelles, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 5931.
- 132 V. J. Catalano and A. O. Etogo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 5608.
- 133 E. J. Fernandez, A. Laguna, J. M. Lopez-de-Luzuriaga, M. Montiel, M. E. Olmos, J. Perez and R. C. Puelles, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 4307.
- 134 E. J. Fernandez, A. Laguna, J. M. Lopez-de-Luzuriaga, M. Monge, M. Montiel and M. E. Olmos, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 1163.
- 135 V. J. Catalano, M. A. Malwitz and A. O. Etogo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 43, 5714.
- 136 E. J. Fernandez, A. Laguna, J. M. Lopez-De-Luzuriaga, M. Monge, P. Pyykko and N. Runeberg, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, 750.
- 137 E. J. Fernandez, J. M. Lopez-De-Luzuriaga, M. Monge, M. A. Rodriguez, O. Crespo, M. C. Gimeno, A. Laguna and P. G. Jones, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 636.
- 138 E. J. Fernandez, M. C. Gimeno, A. Laguna, J. M. Lopez-de-Luzuriaga, M. Monge, P. Pyykkö and D. Sundholm, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 7287.
- 139 M. J. Freeman, A. G. Orpen and I. D. Salter, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1987, 1001.
- 140 M. J. Freeman, M. Green, A. G. Orpen, I. D. Salter and F. G. A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1983, 1332.

- 141 O. Crespo, E. J. Fernandez, M. Gil, M. Concepcion Gimeno, P. G. Jones, A. Laguna, J. M. Lopez-de-Luzuriaga and M. E. Olmos, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2002, 1319.
- 142 R. Pattacini, L. Barbieri, A. Stercoli, D. Cauzzi, C. Graiff, M. Lanfranchi, A. Tiripicchio and L. Elviri, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 866.
- 143 I. O. Koshevoy, L. Koskinen, M. Haukka, S. P. Tunik, P. Y. Serdobintsev, A. S. Melnikov and T. A. Pakkanen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3942.
- 144 C. E. Strasser and V. J. Catalano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 10009.
- 145 S. S. D. Brown, I. D. Salter and T. Adatia, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1993, 559.
- 146 I. D. Salter, V. Sik, S. A. Williams and T. Adatia, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 643.
- 147 H. de la Riva, M. Nieuwhuyzen, C. Mendicute Fierro, P. R. Raithby, L. Male and M. C. Lagunas, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 1418.
- 148 S.-K. Yip, C.-L. Chan, W. H. Lam, K.-K. Cheung and V. W.-W. Yam, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2007, **6**, 365.
- 149 M. S. Hussain, M. Ul-Haque and O. M. Abu-Salah, J. Cluster Sci., 1996, 7, 167.
- 150 I. O. Koshevoy, P. V. Ostrova, A. J. Karttunen, A. S. Melnikov, M. A. Khodorkovskiy, M. Haukka, J. Jänis, S. P. Tunik and T. A. Pakkanen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 9022.
- 151 H.-J. Haupt, O. Seewald, U. Flörke, V. Buss and T. Weyhermüller, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2001, 3329.
- 152 O. Schuster, U. Monkowius, H. Schmidbaur, R. S. Ray, S. Krüger and N. Rösch, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 1004.
- 153 O. M. Abu-Salah, J. Organomet. Chem., 1998, 565, 211.
- 154 M. Contel, J. Garrido, M. C. Gimeno and M. Laguna, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 1083.
- 155 P. Braunstein, M. Knorr, A. Tiripicchio and M. Tiripicchio Camellini, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 3685.
- 156 W. Schuh, P. Braunstein, M. Bénard, M.-M. Rohmer and R. Welter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 2161.
- 157 W. Schuh, P. Braunstein, M. Bénard, M.-M. Rohmer and R. Welter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 10250.
- 158 M. Bénard, U. Bodensieck, P. Braunstein, M. Knorr, M. Strampfer and C. Strohmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 2758.
- 159 U. Patel, H. B. Singh and G. Wolmershäuser, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 1715.
- S. Sharma, R. S. Baligar, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 1987.
- 161 J. Xie, Y. Zheng and J. Y. Ying, *Chem. Comm.*, 2010, 46, 961.
- 162 S. Sharma, R. S. Baligar, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng.*, 2009, **48**, 1987.
- 163 M. A. Bennett, M. Contel, D. C. R. Hockless, L. L. Welling and A. C. Willis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 844.
- 164 L. R. Falvello, J. Forniés, A. Martin, R. Navarro, V. Sicilia and P. Villarroya, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 6166.
- L. R. Falvello, S. Fernandez, R. Navarro and E. P. Urriolabeitia, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 38, 2455.
- 166 M. Kim, T. J. Taylor and F. P. Gabbai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, **130**, 6332.
- 167 P. Braunstein, M. Knorr, B. Hirle, G. Reinhard and U. Schubert, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1992, **31**, 1583.
- 168 M. Knorr, P. Braunstein, A. Tiripicchio and F. Ugozzoli, *Organometallics*, 1995, **14**, 4910.

- 169 P. Braunstein, T. Faure and M. Knorr, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 1791.
- 170 P. Braunstein, M. Knorr, G. Reinhard, U. Schubert and T. Stährfeldt, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 4265.
- 171 A. Messaoudi, P. Deglmann, P. Braunstein and P. Hofmann, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 7899.
- 172 W. Gäde and E. Weiss, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1981, **20**, 803.
- 173 P. Pyykkö and M. Straka, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **2**, 2489.
- 174 A. J. Canty and G. B. Deacon, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1980, **45**, L225.
- 175 R. D. Ernst, T. J. Marks and J. A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 2090.
- 176 M. J. Freeman, A. D. Miles, M. Murray, A. G. Orpen and G. A. Stone, *Polyhedron*, 1984, **3**, 1093.
- 177 U. M. Tripathi, A. Bauer and H. Schmidbaur, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 2865.
- 178 N. Runeberg, M. Schütz and H.-J. Werner, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, 110, 7210.
- 179 F. Mendizabal, P. Pyykkö and N. Runeberg, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2003, 370, 733.
- 180 V. J. Catalano and M. A. Malwitz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 6560.
- 181 K. Umakoshi, K. Saito, Y. Arikawa, M. Onishi, S. Ishizaka, N. Kitamura, Y. Nakao and S. Sakaki, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 4238.
- 182 Q.-H. Wei, G.-Q. Yin, L.-Y. Zhang, L.-X. Shi, Z.-W. Mao and Z.-N. Chen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 3484.
- 183 Q.-H. Wei, L.-Y. Zhang, G.-Q. Yin, L.-X. Shi and Z.-N. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, **126**, 9940.
- 184 V. W.-W. Yam, W.-Y. Lo, C.-H. Lam, W. K.-M. Fung, K. M.-C. Wong, V. C.-Y. Lau and N. Zhu, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **245**, 39.
- 185 M. Yamada and H. Nishihara, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2578.
- 186 A. Vogler and H. Kunkely, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **219**, 489.
- 187 V. W.-W. Yam, C.-L. Chan, C.-K. Li and K. M.-C. Wong, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2001, 216, 173.
- 188 V. W.-W. Yam and K. K.-W. Lo, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1999, **28**, 323.