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Abstract—In this paper, we focus on vehicular safety applications based on the Dedicated Short Range Communication (DSRC) standard. We propose a new mechanism to alleviate channel congestion by reducing the beacons load while maintaining an accurate awareness level. Our scheme is based on the collective perception concept, which consists in sharing perceived status information collected by vehicles equipped with different types of sensors (radars, lidars, cameras, etc.). To achieve our goal, we propose two main schemes. The first one consists in implementing the collective perception capability on vehicles and adding a new category of status messages to share locally collected sensor data in order to reduce channels load and enhance vehicles’ awareness. The second scheme concerns the accuracy level of the received information from the collective perception enabled vehicles by fixing a prior error threshold on the position. The method proposed is validated by simulations and the results obtained are compared to those of an application based on the traditional beaconing scheme of the IEEE802.11p standard. The simulations show that the proposed scheme is able to significantly reduce the load on the control channel incurred by the beacons and the packet error ratio for different network densities and built-in sensors characteristics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, we are witnessing a tremendous growth in the number of vehicles on roads all over the world. A major consequence of this has been an increase in the number of accidents and inevitably the loss of human life. This is why governments and research communities are investigating the development of passive and active safety solutions for road users. The recent advances in software and hardware technologies and the evolution of wireless communications have assisted researchers and allowed the emergence of a very promising application area of networking. This application concerns Vehicular Ad hoc Networks (VANET). Wireless communications in vehicular environments allow a variety of wide-ranging applications [12] [2]. Before extending their utilization to the infotainment applications, the prime objective of VANETs was to enhance road safety by reducing traffic congestion and accidents. In such VANET applications, vehicles periodically exchange their status information like position, speed, heading, etc. using the IEEE 802.11p [1] [3] standard which is based on on one-hop broadcasts and the 1609 protocol stack. This mechanism allows vehicles to acquire information about traffic conditions in their vicinity. beaconing is a cornerstone component of the V2V communications. However, these periodic beacons lead to the saturation of the channel and packet losses as the IEEE 802.11p MAC layer is based on the Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA) channel access method, which cannot cope with all possible collisions. On the other hand, the vehicular environment is characterized by a rapidly changing very fluctuating topology and limited radio resources. These characteristics have a negative impact on Quality of Service (QoS), particularly when the network density is high, since a large number of vehicles are simultaneously competing to access the radio channel. In addition, vehicular safety applications have stringent requirements in terms of QoS parameters such as delay and reception rate. Therefore, the most challenging task for cooperative safety communications is how to maintain a satisfactory awareness level while reducing congestion on the radio shared channel. To resolve this issue, different approaches have been explored. The main ones are based on an adaptation of the transmission rate, the transmission power or both. While power adaptation schemes limit the vehicle’s neighborhood, and thus the number of vehicles which receive the beacons, rate adaptation schemes try to distribute the bandwidth fairly between the vehicles. In the literature, these tracks have been widely explored in varying network conditions [7] [18] [14] [8] [10] [11] [5] [16]. However, these approaches present drawbacks related to network density and the limited wireless resources.

In this paper we introduce a new beaconing scheme in order to alleviate channel saturation effects on the performance of cooperative safety applications and optimize the network resource utilization. To reduce the exchange of periodic Car Awareness Messages (CAMs), we use the collective perception concept [6] [4]. Recent technological advances have made it possible to design low costs and high performance components for autonomous driving systems where vehicles are equipped with different types of sensors such as Radars, Lidars (Light
Detection and Ranging), acoustic sensors and cameras. The built-in sensors allow these vehicles to obtain an extended view of their surroundings and share these views via a wireless interface with other vehicles. This asset may be exploited in the network to reduce the number of communications between vehicles as we did in this work.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II discusses the state of the art of the different approaches proposed to resolve the congestion problem in vehicular environments. Section III deals with our mechanism to resolve the channel load problem. In Section IV, simulations are conducted to evaluate the performance of the mechanism proposed and its efficiency. In section V the simulation results are discussed. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORKS

The beaconing adaptation issue has been widely studied and several approaches have been proposed to cope with the overload and saturation of CCH in V2V communications. These approaches usually rely on the aforementioned schemes.

The authors in [14] present a new mechanism based on the adaptation of transmission power and contention window size to improve the efficiency of information dissemination. Transmission power adaptation relies on estimating the local density of vehicles, whereas the contention window size is adapted to the collision rate.

In [8] the authors propose a Bi-beacon level scheme where two types of beacons are emitted, while keeping the same transmission rate. The first type of beacon with a long transmission range to guarantee large awareness in the network and the second one with a short transmission range to give drivers time to react efficiently.

In [18], the authors propose a combined rate/power adaptation scheme to deal with the channel load in order to improve channel utilization and reduce collisions. The method uses the current collision rate to adjust the transmission parameters. By using a binary search algorithm, the optimal channel load is located and then used by vehicles. This approach results in a reduced beacon rate while maintaining an acceptable level of awareness for closer neighbors.

A Cooperative Safety Zone is proposed in [7]. The basic idea of the protocol consists in selecting the minimum transmission power for CAMs to keep vehicles connected within a safety zone. The transmission power is selected based on the distance between a source vehicle and its critical neighbors. Cooperative piggybacking is used to extend awareness beyond the safety zone.

Another approach, where the vehicle’s driving context is considered, is studied in [16]. To reduce channel loads and give better network performance, the transmission parameters are adjusted according to the application’s security requirements and driving conditions.

In [11], power adjustment is made dynamically according to channel status, the history of transmission delays and the message priority. The method is able to achieve better performance than the DSRC standard where the transmission power is fixed in advance.

In order to provide high reliability to disseminated beacons, [11] proposes a solution where the beacon adaptation rate is based on the channel load evaluated by two parameters which are the channel busy ratio (CBR) and the local density observed by the vehicles.

In [5], the authors use the concept of collective perception to achieve a decentralized congestion control where different sensing capacities of the vehicles are exploited to enhance traffic awareness and alleviate channel congestion. This approach is efficient when used to reduce channel congestion.

In this paper, we address the channel load control from a different angle. Instead of making use of power/rate adaptation mechanisms like in the previously presented approaches, which are closely related to network dynamics, we will take advantage of different sensing technologies integrated in recent vehicles, which is a promising avenue for the automotive industry and autonomous vehicles.

III. PROPOSED MECHANISM

In this paper, we propose a new mechanism to enhance QoS of cooperative safety communications in vehicular environments and mitigate the channel load issue introduced above. To meet this requirement, our idea consists in using collective perception. The collective perception concept in intelligent transportation systems involves sharing gathered and preprocessed sensor data about the local view of traffic dynamics between connected vehicles. The cooperation between vehicles is carried out via cooperative wireless communication technologies such as V2X (vehicle to everything) communication [13]. In this way, all equipped vehicles participate in extending the awareness information to others beyond their Field of View (FoV). The sensor data fusion models are beyond the scope of this paper.

In the solution given in this paper, we assume that all vehicles are equipped with GPS that provide them with information about their positions and driving status and DSRC transceivers to exchange their own information via wireless messages. In addition to this and unlike existing studies, a second category of vehicles is assumed to be equipped with different types of sensors (cameras, acoustic sensors, radars, lidars ,etc) allowing them to have a vision of the surrounding vehicles. The latter category has an important role in the network as it contributes greatly to reducing channel congestion as will be demonstrated by simulations later. Additionally, we suppose that no obstacles exist on the road and that the perceived dynamic objects consist only of vehicles. A vehicle is described by a unique identifier and its dynamic measurements such as position, speed, heading, etc.

Figure 1 illustrates how our method works. Vehicles A and B are collective perception enabled. Collected and fused information generated by the different sensors of vehicle A and B is included in an Extended CAM (ECAM) where each perceived vehicle is described by its unique identifier, position, heading and velocity. The ECAM contains the description of
the origin vehicle in a similar way to the CAM. In addition to
the self-assessment of the dynamic status of the origin vehicle
B, similar descriptors are added for the perceived vehicles C
and D. These messages are shared with all vehicles in the
communication range of vehicle B and allow an extended view
of the network. For instance, vehicle A will be aware of the
position of vehicle D even if it is not within its detection or
communication range. On the other hand, when the vehicles C
and D receive the ECAM from B, they verify the exactitude
of the estimation of B about their own information. If the
sensitivity threshold is respected, C and B will not send the
beacons containing only their information since all the vehicles
in the vicinity are aware of their correct position. Otherwise,
the vehicles disseminate their beacons.

Before the descriptor of a vehicle is added to the ECAM,
the distance between the origin vehicle and the perceived
vehicle is calculated in order to ensure that only vehicles in
the communication range of the vehicle are included in
its neighborhood table. Since sensors perceived information
is error-prone, its data precision may be altered as distance
increases or due to different inter or environmental factors.
So, in order to make our model more realistic, the estimation
errors are previewed. In this paper, we consider an error rate in
the longitudinal position while estimating neighbors’ positions.

In our method, the transmission will be triggered by cross-
ing an error threshold on the vehicles’ positions. The decision
of a vehicle to disseminate or not its own information at any
time depends on the information received from the equipped
vehicles. The dynamic information included in the objects de-
scriptors represent what all the vehicles in the communication
range of the origin vehicle consider it to be. If the estimation
made by the equipped vehicles is not exact, the receiving
vehicle broadcasts its status information. Otherwise, there is
no need to send the beacons since vehicles in the vicinity
are aware of its positions thanks to the ECAM sent by the
equipped vehicles.

The vehicles’ movement is taken into consideration while
choosing the error threshold. For instance, when an equipped
vehicle \( V_i \) sends, at instant \( t \), an ECAM containing the
estimation of the position of \( V_j \) \( \hat{P}_{ij}(t) \), the position of the
receiving vehicle \( V_j \) is \( \hat{P}_{ij}(t) \), where \( \hat{P}_{ij}(t+1) = \hat{P}_{ij}(t) + \varepsilon_{long} \)
with \( \varepsilon_{long} \) being the error induced by the vehicle movement
on the longitudinal axis. When a vehicle is moving fast, the
error threshold may be frequently crossed. In such a situation,
messages will be sent frequently. On the other hand, when the
vehicle’s acceleration is lower, the position estimation is more
accurate, the error threshold is respected and hence messages
will be broadcast less often.

### IV. Performance Evaluation

In this section, we evaluate our mechanism with different
parameters to investigate the impact of the vehicle density,
sensors sensitivity and percentage of equipped vehicles on
the performance of the safety applications in terms of packet
error rate, channel busy ratio, latency represented by the
number of backoffs performed by a vehicle before sending it
packet in addition to the beacons load. We conducted several
simulations using the simulation framework for vehicular
networks Veins [17] and the microscopic traffic simulator
Simulation of Urban Mobility [9]. The road scenario we used
is a bidirectional 5 km three-lane section. The simulation
parameters are summarized in Table 1.

#### Table I: Simulation parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulation time</td>
<td>400s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet size</td>
<td>32 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECAM size</td>
<td>202 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bit rate</td>
<td>3Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beacon interval</td>
<td>20ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max vehicles speed</td>
<td>70km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Nodes</td>
<td>(50,100, ..., 200)veh/km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Equipped Nodes</td>
<td>(5,10,20,50,100)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway length</td>
<td>5 km (3 lanes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of runs</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Threshold</td>
<td>1.0m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection range</td>
<td>40m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results are compared with a simple application based
on the basic IEEE 802.11p standard. The metrics we used
to evaluate our mechanism are the beacons load which con-
sists of the number of packets sent by each vehicle during
the simulation time. The second metric is the packet error
rate which represents the packet loss rate due to bit error
(SINR not achieved). The channel busy ratio (CBR) is also
considered, which represents the ratio of the total time that a
vehicle occupies the channel while transmitting divided by the
simulation time:

\[
\text{CBR}_i = \frac{\text{total \_ busy \_ time}_i}{\text{sim \_ time}}
\]

Where \( \text{CBR}_i \) is the average CBR that a given vehicle \( i \)
oberves throughout all the simulation time. The last one is
the total number of backoff intervals a vehicle waits before
sending it packets. In order to show the effectiveness of our
scheme, extensive simulation was conducted with different market penetration rates.

Simulation results presented in this section evaluate our method for different parameters along with an application based on the standard periodic beaconing scheme proposed in DSRC. According to the following simulation results, we can attest that the impact of our mechanism based on the dissemination of sensors collected information is noteworthy.
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**Figure 2:** Average packet load versus vehicle density, $Thr=1m$, $det_r=40m$

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3:** Packet error ratio versus traffic density, $Thr=1m$, $det_r=40m$

Figure 2 depicts the average packet load for different percentages of vehicles with collective perception capabilities with different network densities. We can see that the packet load decreases as the market penetration rate increases. For instance, for 100% and 20% penetration rates of the collective perception, the packet load is reduced by 98% and 46% respectively without degrading the vehicles’ awareness with a 40 m sensors detection range and an error threshold on the vehicle’s longitudinal position of 1 m. With high densities, we see that the proposed mechanism achieves better performance than periodic beaconing.

In Figure 3 the packet error ratio due to a bit error is represented and compared to an application where the standard beaconing scheme of IEEE 802.11p is used. It shows the advantage of using a collective perception-based beaconing scheme compared to the standard periodic beaconing. We can note that our solution alleviates packet losses in the network compared to standard solutions. For 20% and 50% we attest that the loss rate is significantly reduced since the packet load is very low. For example, with 100%, the PER is almost zero because the packet load is very low with an improvement of 97% compared to the IEEE 802.11p fixed rate beacons.

![Figure 4](image4.png)

**Figure 4:** Channel busy ratio versus vehicle density, $Thr=1m$, $det_r=40m$

CBR represents the amount of time that a transmission at the level of the MAC layer of a vehicle is not feasible because the channel is busy. A high value of the CBR reflects a high congestion level of the wireless channel. This is why CBR is considered as the best indicator of the performance in the IEEE 802.11p MAC layer [15]. Figure 4 shows the CBR for different rates of equipped vehicles with different network densities. We can see that in case of 0%, the standard beaconing may cause high channel congestion since all vehicles compete to send their CAMs periodically. Channel congestion becomes worse when traffic density increases. For 20% and 50%, the CBR is significantly reduced, as is the packet loss rate, as depicted in Figure 3.

As collective perception extends the awareness range of vehicles by sharing sensor data in additional cooperative messages, it significantly reduces the packets overhead, and hence channel congestion while reducing packet losses and delays.
In this paper, we have presented a new collective perception mechanism to reduce the channel congestion issue due to CAMs in vehicular environments and enhance the QoS of cooperative safety applications in terms of the packet load, PER and average channel busy time. The vehicles with collective perception capacity share the collected information from the built-in sensors with other vehicles. We introduce a new type of message to share sensor data that we call ECAM. After the reception, vehicles verify the accuracy of the information included in the message. According to the result, a transmission could be triggered or not as required by the mechanism. The simulations conducted show that our scheme performs well under different network conditions such as variable traffic densities and percentage of vehicles equipped with our mechanism compared to the periodic beaconing scheme proposed by the DSRC standard.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented a new collective perception mechanism to reduce the channel congestion issue due to CAMs in vehicular environments and enhance the QoS of cooperative safety applications in terms of the packet load, PER and average channel busy time. The vehicles with collective perception capacity share the collected information from the built-in sensors with other vehicles. We introduce a new type of message to share sensor data that we call ECAM. After the reception, vehicles verify the accuracy of the information included in the message. According to the result, a transmission could be triggered or not as required by the mechanism. The simulations conducted show that our scheme performs well under different network conditions such as variable traffic densities and percentage of vehicles equipped with our mechanism compared to the periodic beaconing scheme proposed by the DSRC standard.
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Figure 5: Number of backoffs for different rates of equipped vehicles at different densities, $Thr = 1\, \text{m}$, $det_r = 40\, \text{m}$

Figure 5 depicts the important improvements of the packets' latency, expressed by the number of backoff slots performed by a node, achieved by our method in comparison to the standard periodic beaconing scheme. We can notice that the collective perception mechanism allows a significant reduction in the packets access delays. This is mainly due to the decrease in the packet load, hence the decrease of contention to access the channel. In this case, a node wishing to disseminate its beacons will not perform many backoff intervals before sensing the channel free. Even with of high densities, an important gain can be seen. For example, in 200 veh/km traffic density, a gain of 28% and 72% is achieved by a 5% and 50% of equipped vehicles respectively compared to 0%.
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