A mason type analysis of cylindrical ultrasonic micromotors

Marc Budinger, Jean-François Rouchon, Bertrand Nogarède

► To cite this version:

Marc Budinger, Jean-François Rouchon, Bertrand Nogarède. A mason type analysis of cylindrical ultrasonic micromotors. European Physical Journal: Applied Physics, 2004, 25 (1), pp.57 - 65. 10.1051/epjap:2003085 . hal-01861787

HAL Id: hal-01861787

<https://hal.science/hal-01861787>

Submitted on 25 Aug 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A MASON TYPE ANALYSIS OF CYLINDRICAL ULTRASONIC MICROMOTORS

M. Budinger, J-F. Rouchon and B. Nogarede

Laboratoire d'Electrotechnique et d'Electronique Industrielle, Groupe « Machines et Mécanismes Electroactifs – EM² »,
LEEI, INPT-ENSEEIH, 31000 TOULOUSE, FRANCE

e-mail : mbudinger@leei.enseeiht.fr

Phone : (33) 05 61 58 82 08 Fax : (33) 05 61 63 88 75

Abstract

This paper deals with the global analytical modelling of cylindrical piezoelectric micromotors. The modelling, based on an equivalent electric circuit, is established by using geometrical and electromechanical parameters for the different parts of the motor. The motor stator is represented here in a form very similar to a traditional Mason circuit. The differences occur in the particular configurations of movement, namely flexural instead of longitudinal vibrations. In the equivalent circuits, contact between rotor and stator is represented by voltages which are functions of vibratory speed. Useful results for design can be obtained such as torque/speed curve, no load admittance, supply voltage, stress and amplitude of vibrations. This modelling is simple to implement and would also lend itself to optimisation by analytical methods because of the relatively small amount of computation required.

A MASON TYPE ANALYSIS OF CYLINDRICAL ULTRASONIC MICROMOTORS

M. Budinger, J-F. Rouchon and B. Nogarede

Laboratoire d'Electrotechnique et d'Electronique Industrielle, Groupe « Machines et Mécanismes Electroactifs – EM² », LEEI, INPT-ENSEEIH, 31000 TOULOUSE, FRANCE
e-mail : name@leei.enseeih.fr

Abstract : This paper deals with the analytical modelling of piezoelectric cylindrical micromotors [1] and supplements kinematics analysis done by [2]. The modelling, based on an equivalent electric circuit, is established by using geometrical and electromechanical parameters for the different parts of the motor. It gives electromechanical characteristics and other useful values for motor design.

Keywords : ultrasonic micromotor, TWUM, analytical modelling, equivalent circuit.

I. Introduction

Piezoelectric actuators have many well-known advantages compared with other technologies. They provide a high torque-mass ratio, they can lock when the supply is switched off and they ensure increased comfort of use [3][4]. Cylindrical type ultrasonic motors have interesting characteristics for a good electromechanical energy conversion and have a very simple structure which is adapted to millimetric sizes. However there is little literature which deals with modelling and theoretical analysis. The objective of this paper is to supplement the kinematics analysis done by [2]. The analytical modelling presented here is based on electric equivalent circuit and gives a global but simple model of the motor.

II. Modeling of the rotating-mode motor stator

Cylindrical ultrasonic micromotor has a cylindrical stator and two rotors. The stator consists of a titanium tube, a layer of PZT thin film and electrodes as shown in fig. n° 1. The poling direction of the PZT film is in the thickness direction. The fundamental bending vibration is generated by the piezoelectric length-extension effect.

Figure n° 1 : The structure of the stator transducer [1]

The stator of the rotating-mode motor presents a structure which resembles a Langevin type transducer. The difference comes from the level of the excited modes; modes of flexion for rotating-mode motor and longitudinal vibration modes for the Langevin type transducer.

Equivalent electric circuit modeling of Langevin type actuator

The Langevin type transducer is modeled in a classic way with Mason equivalent electric circuits [5]. From the transducer geometry, they make it possible to get a relatively precise model of the electro-mechanical conversion based on an analogy between longitudinal vibratory speed and current (the counterpart of effort in one section is then voltage). According to this approach, a non piezoelectric rod in traction/compression can be modeled by the equivalent circuit of Fig. n° 2 where voltage F and current $\dot{U}$ represent respectively the effort and speed at the extremities of the rod. A transformer which expresses the electro-mechanical energy conversion is added to the circuit for a piezoelectric ceramic.

Figure n° 2 : Non piezoelectric rod modeling

Modeling by equivalent circuits of the stator

Following this approach, the model of the stator of a rotating-mode motor has been developed, which, in this case, lends itself to the calculation of the characteristic bending magnitudes and hence the angular vibratory speed and moment at a section.

Stator's equivalent diagram in flexion

A flexural vibration system can be modeled with a transfer matrix which connects vibratory efforts and speeds in these two ends [6]. It is also possible to represent this matrix by an electric circuit. For that, an elementary cross-section of a beam of section A and of moment of inertia I is isolated in Fig. n° 3.

Figure n° 3 : Elementary cross-section of a beam in flexion

Displacement is orthogonal displacement (u) or rotation angle (Ψ). Effort is shearing (T) or moment (M). By applying the fundamental principle of dynamics to this elementary volume, we get:

$$\rho A \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \quad (1)$$

$$\rho I \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} - T \quad (2)$$

And

The equations of the resistance of the materials give:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} = \frac{M}{cI} \quad (3)$$

$$\Psi - \frac{T}{a_T AG} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \quad (4)$$

And

The beams studied here are relatively short: the moment of inertia $\rho I dx (\partial^2 \Psi / \partial t^2)$ of the section and the shearing effect $T / (a_T SG)$ will not be neglected [7]. We finally get:

$$cI \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\rho^2 I}{aG} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^4} + \rho S \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \left(\rho I + \frac{c \rho I}{aG} \right) \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial t^2 \partial x^2} \quad (5)$$

For steady-state, sinusoidal excitation and vibration the following complex notation can be adopted: $u = U e^{j\omega t}$. The differential equation of propagation of the elastic wave then becomes:

$$cI \frac{\partial^4 U}{\partial x^4} + \omega^2 \rho I \left(1 + \frac{c}{a_T G} \right) \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \left(\omega^4 \frac{\rho^2 I}{a_T G} - \omega^2 \rho S \right) U = 0 \quad (6)$$

This has a solution of the form:

$$U(x) = A \sin(k_1 x) + B \cos(k_1 x) + C \sinh(k_2 x) + D \cosh(k_2 x) \quad (7)$$

$$\text{with: } k_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\Delta} + \rho I \left(1 + \frac{c}{a_T G} \right) \omega^2}{2cI}} \quad \text{and: } k_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\Delta} - \rho I \left(1 + \frac{c}{a_T G} \right) \omega^2}{2cI}}$$

$$\text{where: } \Delta = \left(\rho I \left(1 + \frac{c}{a_T G} \right) \omega^2 \right)^2 + 4cI \rho S \omega^2 \left(1 - \frac{\rho I}{a_T SG} \omega^2 \right)$$

The structure of the motor is excited by ceramics, which only excite moments of flexion. It can be supposed that for a length L of non piezoelectric rod, only pure moments M_I

and M_2 are applied at the extreme sections S_I and S_2 (shearing efforts T_I and T_2 are then null). Starting from the general expression (7) of the orthogonal displacement U , the expression of the moments is:

$$M(x) = \alpha_M (A \sin(k_1 x) + B \cos(k_1 x)) + \beta_M (C \sinh(k_2 x) + D \cosh(k_2 x)) \quad (8)$$

$$\text{with : } \alpha_M = cI \left(\frac{\rho \omega^2}{a_T G} - k_1^2 \right) \quad \text{and : } \beta_M = cI \left(\frac{\rho \omega^2}{a_T G} + k_2^2 \right)$$

the expression of the angles is given by :

$$\psi(x) = \alpha_\psi (A \cos(k_1 x) - B \sin(k_1 x)) + \beta_\psi (C \cosh(k_2 x) + D \sinh(k_2 x)) \quad (9)$$

$$\text{with : } \alpha_\psi = \frac{k_1 (\alpha_M + a_T S G)}{a_T S G - \rho I \omega^2} \quad \text{and : } \beta_\psi = \frac{k_2 (\beta_M + a_T S G)}{a_T S G - \rho I \omega^2}$$

and the expression of the efforts is written :

$$T(x) = \alpha_T (A \cos(k_1 x) - B \sin(k_1 x)) + \beta_T (C \sinh(k_2 x) + D \cosh(k_2 x)) \quad (10)$$

$$\text{with : } \alpha_T = a_T S G (\alpha_\psi - k_1) \quad \text{and : } \beta_T = a_T S G (\beta_\psi - k_2)$$

By applying boundary conditions at the end sections S_I and S_2 (shearing efforts T_I and T_2 null) coefficients A , B , C and D can be determined as functions of angles ψ_I and ψ_2 . Once these coefficients are determined, the expression of the moments can be written:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 = M\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) = & \quad (11) \quad \text{And} \quad M_2 = M\left(+\frac{L}{2}\right) = \\ & -\frac{(\psi_1 + \psi_2)}{2(\alpha_\psi \beta_T - \beta_\psi \alpha_T)} (-\alpha_M \beta_T \tan(k_1 L/2) + \beta_M \alpha_T \tanh(k_2 L/2)) \\ & + \frac{(\psi_1 - \psi_2)}{2(\alpha_\psi \beta_T - \beta_\psi \alpha_T)} (\alpha_M \beta_T \cot \operatorname{an}(k_1 L/2) + \beta_M \alpha_T \cot \operatorname{anh}(k_2 L/2)) \end{aligned} \quad 12$$

They can also be rewritten in the form of:

$$M_1 = M\left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) = \tilde{\alpha} \psi_1 + \tilde{\beta} (\psi_1 - \psi_2) \quad (13)$$

$$M_2 = M\left(+\frac{L}{2}\right) = -\tilde{\alpha} \psi_2 + \tilde{\beta} (\psi_1 - \psi_2) \quad (14)$$

And

$$\text{With : } \tilde{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha_M \beta_T \tan(k_1 L / 2) - \beta_M \alpha_T \tanh(k_2 L / 2)}{j \omega (\alpha_\psi \beta_T - \beta_\psi \alpha_T)} \quad \text{and } \tilde{\beta} = j \frac{\frac{\alpha_M \beta_T}{\sin(k_1 L)} + \frac{\beta_M \alpha_T}{\sinh(k_2 L)}}{\omega (\alpha_\psi \beta_T - \beta_\psi \alpha_T)}$$

These equations can be put in the form of an electric equivalent circuit (Fig. n° 4) as for a Langevin type transducer.

Figure n° 4 : Electric form equivalent circuit

Stator model validation and application

This equivalent diagram represents the passive part (titanium) of the stator. The thicknesses of the active parts (PZT) are very small. The dynamics of the stator is thus rather well represented by this diagram. The engine functions on the first of resonance in free-free bending. This corresponds to null moments on ends of stator ($M_1=M_2=0$). One can thus calculate the frequency of resonance when is $Ze q = \tilde{\beta} + \tilde{\alpha} / 2$ null . For the geometry of stator of the figure n°2, the calculated frequency is about 104 Khz (value close to the 105 Khz measured [1]).

On the end surface of the stator, motion components in a cylindrical coordinate reference can be written as [2] :

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_r \\ U_\theta \\ U_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_0 \cos(\omega t + \theta) \\ U_0 \sin(\omega t + \theta) \\ -R \psi_0 \cos(\omega t + \theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

There is a travelling wave on the circumference of the stator. It is made up of two elliptic movements : a first, tangential and driving component, a second, radial and undesirable component. This undesirable component can be eliminated by inclining the

contact zone (Fig. n° 5). The previous model is able to calculate this angle with geometrical parameters of the stator.

Figure n° 5 : Contact zone geometry

To obtain a pure travelling wave without radial parasitic movement, the V_r component of the radial component in a reference mark V , must be null. Angle φ must check the following relation:

$$\tan(\varphi) = \frac{R\psi_0}{U_0}$$

To determine the value of φ , relation between ψ_0 and U_0 should be given. By taking again the equations described previously, one can show that:

$$\dot{U}_2 = \gamma \dot{\psi}_2, \text{ with } \gamma = \frac{1}{2(\alpha_\psi \beta_T - \beta_\psi \alpha_T)} \left(\left(2 + \tilde{\alpha} / \tilde{\beta} \right) (\beta_T \tan(k_1 L / 2) - \alpha_T \tanh(k_2 L / 2)) - \left(\tilde{\alpha} / \tilde{\beta} \right) (\beta_T \cot an(k_1 L / 2) + \alpha_T \cot anh(k_2 L / 2)) \right)$$

And thus :

$$\tan(\varphi) = \frac{R_e}{\gamma}$$

For the geometry of stator of the Fig. n° 1, the angle of inclination calculated is nearly 24.5° (value close to the 25.5° calculated by finite elements [1]).

Piezoelectric effect modeling

The ceramics used are a layer of PZT thin film. The poling direction of the PZT film is in the thickness direction and the bending vibration is generated by the length-extension effect. The electric field component E can be assumed constant along the full length of the ceramic. Stress and strain are thus expressed by :

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= s_{11}^E T_1 + d_{31} E_3 & (15) \quad \text{With} \quad S_1(y) &= (d\psi / dx)y \\ D_3 &= d_{31} T_1 + \varepsilon_{33}^T E_3 & E_3 &= -V / L \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Effort T can be rewritten as :

$$T_1 = \frac{S_1}{s_{11}^E} + \frac{d_{31}}{s_{11}^E} \frac{V}{L} \quad (19)$$

By integrating $T_1 \cdot y$ on the active surface of the ceramic, moment M is deduced:

$$M = \iint T_1(y) y dA = \frac{1}{s_{11}^E} \frac{d\psi}{dx} \iint y^2 dA + \frac{d_{31}}{s_{11}^E} \frac{V}{L} \iint |y| dA \quad (20)$$

which can equally be written in the form :

$$M = \frac{I}{s_{11}^E} \frac{d\psi}{dx} + NV \quad (21)$$

with:

$$I = \iint y^2 dA \quad (22) \quad \text{And} \quad N = \frac{d_{31}}{s_{11}^E L} \iint |y| dA \quad (23)$$

In relation (21), an expression of the form $M = cI(d\psi / dx)$ can be found again, and the supplementary terms are equivalent to a moment $I = NV$. The electric equivalent circuit of stator (Fig. n° 4) can be completed by a transformer which accounts for the electromechanical conversion which takes place at the level of the ceramic. The equivalent electric diagram of the stator is given Fig. n° 6 with C_0 the electric capacity of the ceramic.

Figure n° 7 : Contact Stator/Rotor

Let x be the position on the external perimeter of the stator. The travelling wave deformation y has for formula at $t=0$:

$$y = R\psi_0 \cos(\theta) = R\psi_0 \cos(x/R) \quad (36)$$

The radius of the equivalent cylinder R_{eq} takes then the expression:

$$R_{eq} = 1 / \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{R}{\psi_0} \quad (37)$$

The length of contact takes as value:

$$a = 2 \left(\frac{L_n R_{eq}}{\pi E^*} \right)^{1/2} \quad (38)$$

with :

$$L_n = \frac{F_{pres}}{c}, \text{ the linear load ;}$$

$$\frac{1}{E^*} = \frac{1 - \gamma_{stator}^2}{E_{stator}} + \frac{1 - \gamma_{rotor}^2}{E_{rotor}}, \text{ the equivalent elasticity constant.}$$

The distribution of pressure p is given by:

$$p = P_0 \left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{a} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \text{ with } P_0 = \frac{2L_n}{\pi a} \quad (39)$$

Calculation of the torque/speed curve for a given vibratory speed

The rotor speed is deduced from the tangential part U_θ of elliptic movement:

$$v = \dot{U}_\theta = U_0 \omega \cos(x/R) \quad (40)$$

In Fig. n° 7, co-ordinate b corresponds to the point for which the local speed of the stator is equal at the tangential speed V_r of the rotor :

$$V_r = \dot{U}_\theta = U_0 \omega \cos(b/R) \text{ and } b = R \cdot \cos^{-1}(V_r / U_0 \omega) \quad (41)$$

The efforts transmitted to the rotor depend on relative speed :

- For $v > V_r$ or $-b < x < +b$: positive ;
- For $v < V_r$ or $-a < x < -b$ ou $+b < x < +a$: negative.

By taking a law of friction of the type $dF = \mu \cdot p \cdot sg(v - V_r) dS$, torque C is calculated by:

$$C = 2\mu R \left(\int_0^b p(x) c dx - \int_b^a p(x) c dx \right) = 2\mu c R P_0 \left(\int_0^b \sqrt{1 - (x/a)^2} dx - \int_b^a \sqrt{1 - (x/a)^2} dx \right) \quad (42)$$

$$C = 2\mu a c R P_0 \left(\arcsin\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) + \frac{b}{a} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right)$$

And for low speeds ($b \geq a$), it takes the value:

$$C_{\max} = \frac{\pi}{2} \mu a c R P_0 = \mu F_{\text{pres}} R \quad (43)$$

Considering these expressions, the torque/speed characteristic is given by Fig. n° 8.

Figure n° 8 : Torque/speed characteristic

Expression of the mechano/mechanic conversion in the equivalent circuits

For the points corresponding to the $b > a$ part of the characteristic (Figure 21.), the total power P_θ developed in the contact for the tangential movement can be written in the following form :

$$P_\theta = 2\mu \int_0^a p(x).c.v(x)dx = 2\mu c U_0 \omega P_0 \int_0^a \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2} \cos\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) dx \quad (44)$$

If $\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^2}$ is approximated by $\cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2a}\right)$, P_θ becomes:

$$P_\theta = 2\mu c U_0 \omega P_0 \int_0^a \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2a}\right) \cos\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) dx, \quad (45)$$

$$P_\theta = \mu c U_0 \omega P_0 \cos\left(\frac{a}{R}\right) \frac{\pi a}{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2}.$$

Moreover, another expression of P_θ is:

$$P_\theta = P_{\theta_{\text{perte}}} + P_{\text{meca}} \text{ with } P_{\text{meca}} = C_{\text{max}} \cdot \Omega \quad (46)$$

In equivalent circuits, the power P_θ could be calculated by:

$$P_\theta = 2 \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[M_2 \dot{\psi}_2^*] = \text{Re}[M_2 \dot{\psi}_2^*] \quad (47)$$

This expression can be rewritten according to (35), and to :

$$\text{Re}[M_2 \dot{U}_2^*] = \text{Re}[\gamma M_2 \dot{\psi}_2^*] = \gamma P \quad (48)$$

Then:

$$P = \frac{\text{Re}[M_2 \dot{U}_2^*]}{\gamma} \quad (49)$$

As $\dot{U}_2$, $\dot{\psi}_2$ and M_2 are assumed to be co-phasal, the final expression of M_2 is :

$$M_2 = \frac{\gamma P}{U_0 \omega} = \mu c \gamma P_0 \cos\left(\frac{a}{R}\right) \frac{\pi a}{\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2} \quad (50)$$

In the same way for the radial movement, the power P_r developed can be written :

$$P_r = 2\mu c U_0 \omega P_0 \int_0^a \cos\left(\frac{\pi x}{2a}\right) \sin\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) dx \quad (51)$$

$$P_r = \mu c U_0 \omega P_0 2a \frac{\frac{a}{R} - \frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)}{\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2}$$

As for power P_θ , this power correspond to a tension M_2 given by expression :

$$M_2 = \mu c \gamma P_0 2a \frac{\frac{a}{R} - \frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)}{\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2} \quad (52)$$

The equivalent circuit (Fig. n° 6) of the motor can thus be supplemented by adding :

A resistance R to take account of the mechanical losses within the stator (this resistance can be replaced by the use of complex elastic constant [15]) ;

- A voltage E_θ to represent the power developed by tangential vibratory movement (Eq. (50));
- A voltage E_r to represent the power developed by tangential radial movement (Eq. (52)).

IV. Numerical implementation of the model

Let us take the case of a micro motor made up of :

- A stator transducer (Fig. n° 1) ;
- Two rotors hold against the stator transducer at the top and the bottom by a spring.

The equivalent circuit of the motor is as Fig. n°9.

Figure n° 9 : Equivalent electric diagram of micromotor

Near frequency resonance, the dynamic part of the circuit can be represented by a RLC equivalent circuit (Fig. n° 10).

Figure n° 10 : Equivalent RLC electric diagram of micromotor

The electromechanical characteristics of this motor can be obtained : No load admittance of the stator, torque/speed curve, the supply voltage. An example of results is presented in fig. n° 11 (No load admittance, Contact pressure , Torque/Speed curve with supply voltage).

Figure n° 11 : Electromechanical characteristics

V. Conclusion

This article has presented a global model for cylindrical piezoelectric micromotor; it takes into account both electromechanical conversion in the stator and mechano-mechanical conversion between stator and rotor. The motor stator is represented here in a form very similar to a traditional Mason circuit. The differences occur in the particular configurations of movement, namely flexural instead of longitudinal vibrations. In the equivalent circuits, contact between rotor and stator is represented by voltages which are functions of vibratory speed. Useful results for design are obtained such as torque/speed curve, no load admittance, supply voltage, stress and amplitude of vibrations. Good agreements between model and numerical or experimental results are observed (on resonance frequency for example). This modeling is simple to implement and would also lend itself to optimisation by analytical methods [10] because of the relatively small amount of computation required.

References

- [1] T. Morita et al., *Design of a cylindrical ultrasonic micromotor to obtain mechanical output*, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 35 (1996), pp. 3251-3254
- [2] Pin Lu et al., *A kinematic analysis of cylindrical ultrasonic micromotors*, Sensors and Actuators A 87 (2001) 194-197
- [3] T. Sashida , T. Kenjo, *An introduction to ultrasonic motors*, Clarendon Press, OXFORD, 1993.
- [4] S. Ueha, Y. Tomikawa, *Ultrasonic Motors*, Oxford Science Publication, 1993
- [5] T. Ikeda, *Fundamentals of Piezoelectricity*, Oxford Science Publications.
- [6] G. Zhou, *The performance and design of ultrasonic vibration system for flexural mode*, Ultrasonics 38 (2000), Elsevier Science, pp. 979-984
- [7] S. Timoshenko, *Résistance des matériaux*, Dunod.
- [8] Minotti P. et al., *Moteur piezo-électrique à onde progressive : I. modélisation de la conversion d'énergie mécanique à l'interface stator/rotor*, Journal de Physique III., 1996, vol. 6, n°10, p. 1315-1337
- [9] J.F. Rouchon, Ph. Kapsa, *The elastic contact area between a sinusoidal indenter and a layered solid : application to calculation of ultrasonic motors performances*, 29 oct.2 Nov 1995, YOKOHAMA, Japon.
- [10] Messine F., Nogarede B., Lagouanelle J.-L., *Optimal design of electromechanical actuators : a new method based on global optimization*, IEEE transactions on magnetics, 1998, vol. 34, n° 1, pp. 299-308

Notations

Ψ	Rotational angle
ω	Frequency
ρ	Density
γ	Poisson constant
μ	Friction constant
ε^S	Dielectric constant for constant S
A	Section area
a	Half length of contact (Hertz theory)
a_T	Timoshenko's coefficient
C	Elastic stiffness
C_0	Clamped capacity
C^D	Elastic stiffness for constant-D
D	Electric displacement component along axe $x'Ox$
E	Electric field component along axe $x'Ox$
e	Piezoelectric constant
E_θ	Equivalent voltage for tangential movement
E_r	Equivalent voltage for radial movement
F_{pres}	Effort on stator
G	Coulomb modulus
H	Piezoelectric constant
I	Moment of inertia
I	Current
k	Wave number
k_{33}, k_{31}	Coupling factor
L	Length of rod
L_n	Linear load (Hertz theory)
M	Bending moment
N	Force factor
p	Contact pressure
P_θ	Power of the tangential movement
P_0	Maximum pressure of contact (Hertz theory)
P_r	Power of the radial movement
R	Cylinder radius
R_{eq}	Radius of equivalent cylinder (Hertz theory)
S	Strain
T	Shear force
U	Displacement
V	Supply voltage