



# The vowel system of San Valentino in Abruzzo Citeriore

Diana Passino, Diego Pescarini

► **To cite this version:**

Diana Passino, Diego Pescarini. The vowel system of San Valentino in Abruzzo Citeriore. Working Papers in Italian Studies. 2019. <hal-01856704>

**HAL Id: hal-01856704**

**<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01856704>**

Submitted on 17 Jan 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# The vowel system of San Valentino in Abruzzo Citeriore<sup>1</sup>

Diana Passino<sup>1,2</sup>, Diego Pescarini<sup>2,1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université Côte d'Azur

<sup>2</sup> CNRS: Bases, Corpus, Langage

**Abstract:** This work focuses on the vowel system of the Italo-Romance dialect spoken in the village of San Valentino in Abruzzo Citeriore. Based on novel fieldwork data, the article describes the vowel system of Sanvalentinese from a phonetic and phonological point of view and accounts for a number of puzzling evolutions in the light of a reconstruction of previous stages of the dialect.

**Keywords:** Italian dialects, vowel differentiation, mobile diphthongs, metaphony

## 1. Introduction

This contribution describes and analyses the vowel-system of the Italo-Romance dialect of San Valentino in Abruzzo Citeriore (henceforth San Valentino) in order to provide an account of some puzzling phonological features and attempt a reconstruction of a previous stage of the dialect. The article is organised as follows: in this section we introduce the dialect under investigation by overviewing the surrounding dialectal area (1.1) and presenting the vowel system we are going to investigate in detail (sections 1.2 and 1.3 illustrate the outcomes of Latin vowels in the stressed and unstressed positions, calling attention to the data that are of particular interest). In section 2 we provide a detailed description and analysis of the data at hand. Section 3 summarizes our proposals and provides some final remarks.

### 1.1 The dialectal area of San Valentino

The dialect of San Valentino in Abruzzo Citeriore is spoken in a village with a population of approximately 2000 inhabitants, located in the Abruzzi.



Figure 1 – Detail of the *Carta dei dialetti d'Italia* (Pellegrini 1977)

<sup>1</sup> Although the chapter is the result of close collaboration between the authors, Diego Pescarini and Diana Passino carried the main responsibility for data collection and analysis, respectively. We wish to thank our informant, Silvio Pascetta, and, for comments and discussion, audiences in Viterbo, Rome, and Padua.

For previous studies on Sanvalentinese, see Benincà & Pescarini 2014; Pescarini & Pascetta 2014; Pescarini, & Passino 2015; Passino & Pescarini, *to appear*.

Our data come from fieldwork conducted with one informant. Since our interest is mainly phonological, we have not conducted phonetic analysis of the data collected. The phonetic transcription is therefore based on our perception.

The town lies on top of a hill overlooking the Pescara river valley, 40 km from the Adriatic Sea. The Sanvalentine dialect belongs to the Upper-Southern group, more specifically it is an Eastern Abruzzese dialect of the Chietino group (Giammarco 1979: 88).

The dialectal area where Sanvalentine is spoken is characterized by several phonological features. We focus here on vocalic differentiation by position (Wartburg 1950:142, Weinrich 1958 1969:176, Rohlfs 1966 § 8-10, 31-32, 36-39 62-63, 80-81 Carosella 2005) and mobile diphthongs. Vocalic differentiation by position refers to a situation whereby tonic open syllables evolve displaying a richer inventory of vowels than closed syllables: the former undergo tonic lengthening or breaking under sentence stress, while the latter display a smaller inventory of vowels that do not undergo lengthening, often lax vowels or light diphthongs. The differentiation by position characterizes a subgroup of the Upper-Southern dialects shown in Figure 1 that includes Southern Abruzzi, non-salentine Apulia, Northern and Central Lucania, and Northern Calabria through Molise (Rohlfs 1966:30, Savoia 1989, and Marotta & Savoia 1994 for Southern Abruzzi; Ziccardi 1919 for Molise; Zingarelli 1899, Merlo 1912, De Gregorio 1939, Rohlfs 1966:30, Stehl 1980, Loporcaro 1988, Carosella 2005 among others for Apulia; Marotta & Savoia 1994 and Carpitelli & Savoia 2008 for Lucania; Marotta & Savoia 1994 for Northern Calabria).

In the vocalic differentiation of Sanvalentine and nearby dialects, open syllables of proparoxytonic words pattern with closed syllables in displaying short/lax vowels, as shown in (1):

(1) Open vs. closed position in San Valentino

<i>open syllable</i>		<i>closed syllable</i>
<i>Paroxytones</i>	<i>Proparoxytones</i>	
peĩtə < PĒDEM nouvə < NŌVU(M)	'mætərə < MĒTERE 'rətələ < RŌTULU(M)	'pəttə < PĔCTU(M) 'kəllə < CŌLLU(M)

Another phonological feature common to the dialects of the area is the alternation driven by sentence/phrase stress and simple word stress, which yields mobile diphthongs. Certain vowels break under sentence stress. This results in diphthongs surfacing in the sentence/phrase final position and in isolation, whereas simple vowels appear in sentence-internal position under ordinary word stress.

The examples in (2)-(3) illustrate this situation with data from Abruzzese and Apulian dialects featuring an alternation between (a) diphthongs in syllables bearing sentence/phrase stress (") and (b) simple vowels in syllables bearing only word stress ('):

- (2) a. Nu "fuilə < FĪLUM (Palmoli, Rohlfs 1966:30)  
'a thread'  
b. Nu 'filə "nairə  
'a black thread'

- (3) a. A "foikə < FĪCUM (Vico del Gargano, Rohlfs 1966:30)  
'a fig'  
b. 'fika "sekk  
'dried fig'

In Sanvalentine, the vowel/diphthong alternation concerns the outcomes of Proto-Romance \*ε, \*ɔ, \*u. As shown in (4), the simple vowels [e, o] and the central rounded vowel [ə] occur in phrase internal positions, while the diphthongs [ei/ou/əu] occur in the sentence/phrase final position:

(4)

	<i>Phrase internal position</i>	<i>Phrase final position</i>
*ε >	Lu 'petə sa The foot his 'his foot'	sə          faʃə    mələ a lu "peitə to.himself= he.made ill    to the foot 'he hurt his foot'
*ɔ >	'korə ma! Hearth my 'my darling'	allu "kourə to.the hearth 'to the hearth'
*u >	'nøʃi      nuʃjillə walnuts and hazelnuts 'walnuts and hazelnuts'	e    ccu    bbonə li "nøʃə are more good    the nuts 'nuts are tastier'

As previously mentioned, the diphthongised allophones appear in sentence final position and thus also when the word is uttered in isolation. Given the peculiar alternation between diphthongs and simple vowels recorded in this dialect in sentence medial and in sentence final position, we will henceforth mark sentence stress when words appear in isolation.

### 1.2. Vowel inventory in the stressed position

Having outlined some outstanding phonological features of the dialectal area, we can now introduce the vowel system of Sanvalentinese (in (5) and Table 1)<sup>2</sup> and call attention to the phonological features worthy of investigation. As can be observed in the following table, the present-day system features a double series of allophones in complementary distribution in the open and closed positions. Since vowels in open positions undergo tonic lengthening, only long nuclei (allophonic heavy diphthongs or long vowels) may appear in stressed open syllables of paroxytones, with lax vowels occurring elsewhere (with the remarkable exception of [ei] < \*i occurring in the closed position). The reflexes of \*ε, \*ɔ in open positions display diphthongs alternating with simple tense vowels (cf. the mobile diphthongs described above) and lax vowels in closed positions. The dialect also displays some phonologically puzzling data: a stressed schwa in open positions alternating with a full [a] in closed positions as outcomes of \*a; the back vowels [ɔ], and [ɑ] respectively evolved from Proto-Romance \*i, and \*e. This [ɔ] < \*i vowel is of an intermediate quality, being different from both [o] and [ɔ], also present in the language, and alternates with the diphthong [ei] in closed position. On top of that, a considerable number of allophones, namely five, are on record as outcomes of \*u.

---

<sup>2</sup> In the Italo-Romance dialectological tradition, it is customary to discuss vowel systems both in metaphonic and non-metaphonic positions, i.e., to set the reflexes of the Proto-Romance vowel inventory given final /i/ (or final /i, u/ according to the dialect described) apart from the outcomes given final /a, e, o, u/ (or /a, e, o/ according to the dialect described). This is because the phonological process of metaphony induces vowel-raising on tonic vowels by influence of final unstressed high vowels. In this section we introduce the default system in non-metaphonic environment postponing the illustration of the tonic system in metaphonic position to 3.3.1

(5) Evolution of tonic vowels in the dialect of San Valentino:

Latin	Ī	Ī	Ē	Ĕ	Ā/Ă	Ō	Ō	Ū	Ū
		∨					∨		
Proto-Romance	*i	*e	*ε	*a	*ɔ	*o	*u		
Open position	ɔ	a	e/ei	ə	o/ou	u	u	u/ə/əu	
Closed position	ei	a	ε	a	ɔ	ɔ	ɔ	ɔ/wu	

Table 1. Illustration of the tonic vowel system of Sanvalentinese

P.Rom	<i>Open syllables</i>			<i>Closed syllables (and open syllables of proparoxytones)</i>		
*a	"trə:və	TRABEM	'beam'	"passə	PASSUM	'step'
*ε	"pei:tə	PĒDEM	'foot'	"pettə	PĒCTOREM	'breast'
*e	"ma:sə	MĒNSEM	'month'	"paʃfə	PĪSCEM	'fish'
*i	"fɔ:lə	FĪLUM	'thread'	"lejbbərə	LĪBRUM	'book'
*ɔ	"vouwə	BŌVEM	'ox'	"kəllə	CŌLLEM	'hill'
*o	"fju:rə	FLŌREM	'flower'	"tənnə	ROTŪNDUM	'round'
*u	"mu:rə	MŪRUM	'wall'	"fəʃtə	FŪSTUM	'trunk'
	"ləu:mə	LŪMEN	'light'	"ʃwɔtʃə	CIŪCUM	'donkey'

1.3. Vowel inventory in unstressed positions

Unstressed vowels, on the other hand, exhibit a mapping that is rather common across Upper Southern dialects: in the pre-tonic position, reflexes of front vowels (\*i/e/ε) appear as [ə], reflexes of back vowels (\*u/o/ɔ) converge to [u], and \*a remains unchanged. In the post-tonic position, all vowels reduce to [ə]. However, in particular configurations – most notably noun phrases, but not exclusively – some final vowels, namely [a, i, u], may resist reduction and surface as full vowels (Bafile 1997, Ledgeway 2009 for Neapolitan). For Sanvalentinese this situation is illustrated in (6) with data from Pescarini & Pascetta (2014):

- (6) a. kəss einə nu bellu parlə` 'This is something good to say/hear'  
 b. m'aripwɔrti təu ? 'Are you bringing me back home ?'  
 c. na bbella kəsə 'A nice house'

In Table 2. we show the mapping from the Proto-Romance heptavocalic system in all of the aforementioned contexts: open, closed, and unstressed positions:

Table 2.

<i>Proto-Romance</i>	*i	*e	*ɛ	*a	*ɔ	*o	*u
<i>Open Position</i>	[o]	[a]	[ei]/[e]	[ə]	[ou̯]/[o]	[u]	[u]/[əu̯]/[ə]
<i>Closed Position</i>	[eɪ]	[a]	[ɛ]	[a]	[ɔ]	[ɔ]	[wɔ]/[ɔ]
<i>Pretonic Position</i>	[ə]	[ə]	[ə]	[a]	[u]	[u]	[u]
<i>Word-final</i>	[ə]	[ə]	[ə]	[ə]	[ə]	[ə]	[ə]

Pre-tonic reduction is a synchronically active phenomenon, as shown in (7), where the evaluative suffixes *-attə/-allə* trigger an alternation due to stress shift. Notice that [ə] and [a], which are reflexes of Proto-Romance \*i and \*e, synchronically reduce to schwa, as opposed to other back vowels, which reduce to [u]. We discuss this further in section 2.

- (7) a. "paɫə → pə'l-attə  
hair hair-DIM  
b. "vɔnə → və'n-allə  
wine wine-DIM  
c. "kəsə → ka's-attə  
house house-DIM  
d. "voʊwə → vu.'v-attə  
ox ox-DIM  
e. "tɔnnə → tun'n-attə  
tuna tuna-DIM

Once the vowel system of San Valentino has been illustrated, we provide a phonological representation of the inventory and an account of the puzzling phonological features outlined above.

## 2. The Sanvalentinese vowel system

### 2.1 The reflexes of \*a

The evolution of Proto-Romance \*a in tonic position displays two allophones according to position: a schwa-like [ə] allophone in the open position, and [a] in the closed position. In addition, [a] is also found in the pre-tonic position and, sporadically, in word-final position (as previously shown in section 1.2). This alternation is puzzling, as one might expect the melodically weaker schwa-like allophone to occur in the weaker prosodic positions (closed, pretonic) and the melodically stronger allophone [a] to surface in the stronger prosodic positions (open, tonic). To account for the presence of [ə] in the open tonic position, we propose that, like the outcomes of \*i, \*ɛ and \*u, and consistently with the typology of the dialectal area (detailed in section 1.1), also the outcome of \*a in the open position had a diphthongised allophone under sentence stress. This broken allophone, a reconstructed heavy centering diphthong [ɛɐ]/[ɛə], was eventually monophthongised.

Similar diphthongs arising from \*a in open position under sentence stress are attested in several Upper-Southern dialects like the Lucanian dialect of Gorgoglione (Savoia 2015:335-336), exemplified in (8)<sup>3</sup>:

- (8) a. "nɛʋsə < NASUM 'nose' (Gorgoglione, Lucanian)  
 b. "lattə < LACTEM 'milk'

In Gorgoglione, \*a breaks in the open position under sentence stress (8a), while simple [a] occurs in the open sentence-internal position and in the closed position (8b), as is customary in these dialects. In the neighbouring dialect spoken in Cirigliano, located 6.3 km from Gorgoglione, on the other hand, a weak schwa allophone appears in the open position, while [a] surfaces in the closed position, as illustrated in (9):

- (9) a. "nə:sə < NASUM 'nose' (Cirigliano, Lucanian)  
 b. "lattə < LACTEM 'milk'

We can safely hypothesise that dialects such as Gorgoglione, where a diphthong surfaces in the open position, represent a previous diachronic stage with respect to the dialect of Cirigliano, where monophthongation to schwa has targeted a previously centering [ɛʋ]-type diphthong. In view of the above data, we extend this reconstruction to Sanvalentinese and propose that the allophone [ə] originated through monophthongation of a centering diphthong [ɛə], which in turn originated from the breaking of \*a in open position under phrasal stress.

## 2.2 *The reflexes of mid-front vowels*

The outcomes of the Proto-Romance \*ɛ are [e] in the open position, alternating with [ej] under phrasal stress and [ɛ] in the closed position, whereas \*e resulted in a low-back vowel [ɑ]. To account for the presence of the low-back allophone [ɑ], we appeal to a previous stage of the language, when an underlying /e/, broke and surfaced as an [aj]/[ai] diphthong, both in the open and – exceptionally – closed positions. This diphthong eventually underwent monophthongisation resulting in [ɑ]. Consistently with our proposal, [aj] and [ai] diphthongs, as well as [ɑ] (all resulting from \*e) have been documented throughout the Eastern Abruzzi-Apulian area (Teramo, Opi, Gessopalena, Tuffillo, Andria, Altamura, Ruvo di Puglia, Palo del Colle, Gravina di Puglia, Molfetta among many others cf. Rohlf 1966:85, Loporcaro 1988, Savoia 1989, 2015, Passino 2016). More importantly, our informant recognises these diphthongs as an archaic feature of Sanvalentinese, as spoken by previous generations. Again, the emergence of a double series of allophones follows the general pattern described for the dialects of the area, i.e. tense vowels alternating with heavy diphthongs in the open position and lax vowels in the closed position.

## 2.3 *The reflexes of mid-back vowels*

The outcomes of \*ɔ in open position are [o]/[ou] respectively under word and sentence stress and [ɔ] in the closed position. As is customary in this dialectal area the alternation of tense/lax and diphthongized allophones is regulated by position and phrase stress.

The outcome of Proto-Romance \*o displays the allophone [u] in the open position and [ɔ] in the closed. We propose that the surfacing of [u] in the open position results from the monophthongation of an [au]-type diphthong, with which [o] was alternating in a previous stage of the language. The monophthongation that we propose must have taken place before that of [oj] and [aj] that are recognised as archaic, since our informant has no recollection of this diphthong in the language.

<sup>3</sup> /a/ breaks in the open position also in other dialects of the area such as Agnone (Ziccardi 1910).

However, diphthongation of \*o in open position is common across the dialectal area (Rohlf 1966:99). [au] < \*o is documented in the neighbouring dialect spoken in Casalinocontrada (De Lollis 1890-1892), in Opi, Pescasseroli, Alberobello, Andria among others while [eu] < \*o has been reported in Popoli (Savoia 1989), Agnone (Rohlf 1966:99) among others, providing support for our reconstruction. The reconstruction of a diphthongised allophone is consistent with the phonological features described in the dialectal area of investigation.

#### 2.4 The reflexes of high vowels

This section deals with high vowels, which display a number of unexpected outcomes. In order to provide an explanation and propose a coherent representation of high vowels in the system, it is worth introducing Sanvalentinense metaphony and its bearing on morphology, described in the next section.

##### 2.4.1 Metaphony in San Valentino

Another factor bearing on vowel differentiation in the evolution from Latin to the Italo-Romance dialects is metaphony, an assimilatory process according to which word-final unstressed high vowels, which eventually became centralised or disappeared in some dialects, influenced stressed word-internal vowels, causing raising or diphthongisation (Lausberg 1976:228, Loporcaro 2011:127). As opposed to the general situation, in restricted areas of the Italian peninsula, including the area of San Valentino, metaphony was only triggered by \*-i (which eventually reduced to schwa in absolute word-final position), and targeted also /a/. The metaphonic alternations of Sanvalentinense are outlined in Table 3.

Table 3. Vowel differentiation and metaphony in Sanvalentinense

<i>Proto-Romance</i>	*i	*e	*ɛ	*a	*ɔ	*o	*u
<i>Open Position (non metaphonic)</i>	[ɔ]	[a]	[e]/[ei]	[ə]	[o]/[ou]	[u]	[u],[ə]/[əu]
<i>Open Position (metaphonic)</i>	[i]	[i]	[i]	[i]	[ə]/ ə̣	[ə]/ ə̣	[ə]/ ə̣
<i>Closed Position (non metaphonic)</i>	[e]	[a]	[ɛ]	[a]	[ɔ]	[ɔ]	[wɔ]/[ɔ]
<i>Closed Position (metaphonic)</i>	[jɪ]	[jɪ]	[jɪ]	[jɪ]	[wɔ]	[wɔ]	[wɔ]

Table 4 illustrates the metaphonic alternations as occurring in actual words. In the case where alternations hold between sentence-final and sentence-internal position, we indicate the latter allophones in brackets, since they do not occur in words spoken in isolation.

Table 4. Metaphonic alternations in Sanvalentinese

<i>Open position</i>			<i>Closed position</i>		
Sg.	Pl.		Sg.	Pl.	
'trə:və	'tri:və	'beam'	'passə	'pjissə	'step'
"peɪtə ('peɪtə)	'pi:tə	'foot'	'pettə	'pjittə	'breast'
'mɑ:sə	'mi:sə	'month'	'pɑʃfə	'pjɪʃfə	'fish'
'fɔ:lə	'fi:lə	'thread'	"leɪbbərə	'ljɪbbərə	'book'
"voʊwə ('vo:wə)	"vəʊwə ('vəwə)	'ox'	'kəllə	'kwolle	'hill'
'fju:rə	"fjəʊrə ('fjəʊrə)	'flower'	'tənnə	'twonnə	'round'
'mu:rə	"məʊrə ('mərə)	'wall'	'fɔʃtə	'fwɔʃtə	'trunk'

Whether metaphony can still be analysed as a synchronic process at all is a much debated question. Despite the fact that final *-i* (*i.e.* the metaphonic trigger) has undergone reduction to schwa in Sanvalentinese, it is our contention that a floating *-i* as a plural exponent can be posited in the dialect, where metaphony expresses gender/number distinctions. The argument in favour of the presence a floating *-i* is supported by the fact that, as discussed in section 1.3, in the dialect of San Valentino, word-final [i] may surface in phrase-internal positions, as shown in (10) with data from Pescarini & Pascetta (2014)<sup>4</sup>:

- (10) a. 'ajə kum'bri:tə 'tʃerti 'bbəni 'ljɪbbərə  
 I.have bought some good books  
 'I bought some good books'
- b. 'ajə kum'bri:tə 'bbəni 'ljɪbbərə  
 I.have bought good books  
 'I bought good books'
- c. 'ajə kum'bri:tə 'ljɪbbri bbəunə.  
 I.have bought books good  
 'I bought good books'

<sup>4</sup> In (10a) the final *-i* does not induce metaphonic raising of the quantifier stem-internal vowel. This is not necessarily a problem for our general analysis of metaphony. However, the discussion of this topic far exceeds the scope of this paper and will be addressed in future work.

By observing Table 3, we find that metaphonic outcomes – like their non-metaphonic counterparts – have different allophones distributed according to position: the allophones [i] and [əu] [ə] in the open position and the light diphthongs [ji] and [wɔ] in the closed. Most noteworthy, different outcomes of Proto-Romance high vowels are documented in metaphonic and non metaphonic position, although high vowels do not usually display metaphonic alternations, since by definition a high vowel cannot be subject to raising. We address all these questions in the next section.

#### 2.4.2 The reflexes of high-front vowels

We have previously shown that the outcomes of \*i in the open position is the back vowel [ɔ]. This vowel, however, does not phonologically pattern with the other back vowels. Back vowels in Sanvalentinese uniformly reduce to [u] when occurring in the pretonic position (after a stress shift), mid-back vowels raise to high back light diphthongs under metaphony, and surface as lax back allophones in the closed position. Conversely, [ɔ] reduces by centralising to *schwa* (as is customary for front vowels), yields a high front vowel in metaphonic position, and displays a front allophone in the closed position, i.e. [ei]<sup>5</sup>.

To account for the gap between phonology and phonetics, we propose again that \*i diphthongised to [oi] in the open position under sentence stress and then further monophthongised to [ɔ], a mid-back allophone phonetically different from the outcomes of \*o and \*ɔ. Breaking of the outcome of \*i is very common in the dialectal area of San Valentino, where diphthongs of different colours are attested (Rohlf 1966: 54). The outcome [oi] in the open position has been documented by Rohlf (1966: 54) and Savoia (1989, 2015) in neighbouring dialects like Popoli, located 21 km from San Valentino along the valley of the Pescara river, as well as in other Upper Southern dialects of the Adriatic area such as Andria, and Bitonto (Rohlf 1966: 54). Above all, the allophone [oi] is recognised as an archaism by our Sanvalentinese informant and can thus be said to be documented in a previous stage of the language we are investigating and support our analysis. In addition, Rohlf (1966: 54-55) also documents a number of front-rounded [ø] as outcomes of \*i, proposing, as we do, a previous stage where \*i diphthongised to [øi].

The [ɔ] allophone extended to the sentence-internal position, as we have suggested in previous cases. The expected allophone in the closed position, given the underlying /i/, should be [ji], a light high-front diphthong. Lowering of [ji] to [je] is compatible with the regression described by Maiden (1991: 201) and Barbato (2008: 285), wherein a metaphonic alternation is analogically extended to cases where it is not etymologically justified in order to signal the number opposition. Under regression, outcomes of high vowels lower in the singular forms, in order to replicate the singular/low vs. plural/high pattern present in the paradigm.

It is more difficult to explain why /e/ surfaces as the heavy diphthong [ej], usually found in the open position, instead of surfacing as [je], in a dialect that almost everywhere else seems to distribute long vowels/heavy diphthongs in the open position and short vowels/light diphthongs in the closed position. In the nearby dialect of Casalcontrada, however, heavy diphthongs are documented also in the closed position (De Lollis 1890-1892). Heavy diphthongs as non metaphonic \*i outcomes are also documented in Apulia in Minervino Murge among others (Stehl 1986). Be that as it may, the diachronic events just described result in different outcomes of high front vowels in metaphonic and non-metaphonic position, as illustrated in (11):

- |      |          |   |          |       |   |          |             |
|------|----------|---|----------|-------|---|----------|-------------|
| (11) | FĪLU(M)  | > | 'fɔ:lə   | FĪLI  | > | 'fi:lə   | 'thread/-s' |
|      | LĪBRU(M) | > | 'leɪbbɾə | LĪBRI | > | 'ljɪbbɾə | 'book/-s'   |

This situation is rare in Romance, since high vowels cannot be targeted by raising processes. To account for the phenomenon, one could wonder about the situation in the past: Why is it that

<sup>5</sup> This outcome is exceptional since diphthongs are usually restricted to open positions, although some exceptions to this generalisation are also found in other dialects of the area. However, it is important to note that it is a front allophone.

underlying  $-i$  unexpectedly underwent a different diachronic path in metaphonic and non-metaphonic position so as to yield vowels of different phonetic quality? Why did diphthongisation of /i/ not take place in the metaphonic position under sentence stress yielding also [oi] and then the monophthong [ɔ̄]? We know that, historically, diphthongisation in the open position took place after metaphony and that in many dialects, such as Popoli (situated 20 km from San Valentino), breaking affected metaphonic high vowels as well as non metaphonic vowels, as shown in (12):

- (12) a. Non-metaphonic                      b. Metaphonic                                      (Popoli; Savoia 1989, 2015:83-84)  
       ['roɪdə] < RIDO                              ['poɪdə] < PEDES

Conversely, in Sanvalentinense, the diphthongisation to [oi] has only occurred in the non-metaphonic position, yielding a situation in which two different phonetic outputs are present as reflexes of the same vowel \*i. This unusual state of affairs, we argue, depends on the influence of a final /i/ to the stressed internal \*i in a previous stage of the language. We propose that at that stage a bond was created on the vocalic tier between identical melodies, which prevented the stem-internal /i/ from breaking.

While in canonical metaphony the final /i/ *induces* the raising of stem-internal, stressed non-high vowels through a harmonic process, in the process we have described here the final /i/ *prevents* the stem-internal /i/ from breaking. Both processes yields an alternation in metaphonic and non-metaphonic positions. The phenomenon we have described took place diachronically. The stressed /i/ diphthongisation is no longer active, and moreover the diphthong has monophthongised. Likewise, the dialect of San Valentino displays different outcomes of the high-back vowel \*u in metaphonic and non metaphonic position. We discuss this issue in the next section.

### 2.4.3 High back vowels in open diphthongising position

The attested reflexes of Sanvalentinense \*u are more than the three expected allophones regulated by position and stress. Table 3 shows [ə]/[u] in the open position, [wɔ̄]/[ɔ̄] in the closed position and [əu], the broken allophone, under sentence stress. Because the data are rather complex we start by discussing the outcomes in the open diphthongising position, the one that characterizes words uttered in isolation or hit by sentence stress. In this position the broken allophone [əu] is on record, which corresponds to word internal [ə]. [u], on the other hand, does not break under sentence stress. It thus shows no alternation with a diphthong and is usually found in the masculine singular of words that etymologically ended in *-u*. [ə], on the other hand, is found in feminine nouns. The former are shown in (13a) and the latter in (13b), where the alternation between word and sentence stress is attested<sup>6</sup>:

- (13) a. "mu:rə < MŪRU(M)                      'wall  
       "mu:tə < MŪTU(M)                      'dumb'  
       "fu:sə < FŪSU(M)                      'spindle'  
       "ʃku:rə < OBSCŪRU(M)                      'dark'
- b. 'fə:nə/ "fəunə < FŪNE(M)                      'rope'  
       'lə:nə/ "ləunə < LŪNA(M)                      'moon'

To account for these different evolutions of Ū we propose the following scenario: the reflex of \*u surfaced as [u] in sentence-internal position, while the broken allophone [əu] surfaced under sentence stress (and thus in isolation). [wɔ̄] was the allophone of the closed position. From a phonetic point of view, these are in fact the expected allophones according to the typology of the language, where vowel differentiation and breaking under sentence stress take place.

<sup>6</sup> For ease of exposition words with word-stress and sentence stress are indicated in isolation. However, the forms with simple word stress may never occur in isolation, where they bear sentence stress.



of the phonetic characteristics of the vowels in the diphthong. This happened in order to maintain the pattern that distinguishes the masculine singular from the other forms of the paradigm shown in Table 4. Accordingly, after backformation a correspondence obtains between words uttered in isolation (with sentence stress) and words in sentence-internal position (with simple words stress). In Table 5 the pattern found in the diphthongizing context is repeated and compared to the pattern obtained via backformation of [ə] < [əu], shown in Table 6.

Table 5. Paradigmatic opposition existing in the diphthongising context (phrase final, isolation)

	SG	PL	
M	"sku:rə	"skəurə	'dark'
F	"skəurə	"skəurə	

Table 6. Analogical extension via backformation in non diphthongising context (phrase-internal)

	SG	PL	
M	"sku:rə	"skørə	'dark'
F	"skørə	"skørə	

A number of words still exist in which [u] instead of [ə] in the sentence-internal position alternates with [əu] under sentence stress (cf. ccu/ccəu < PLŪS<sup>7</sup>), hinting at the existence of this previous diachronic stage.

#### 2.4.5 High back vowels in closed position

In the previous sections we have proposed that the five reflexes of \*u arose as an effect of a number of analogical processes. The first concerned the extension of stressed [u] in diphthongising position to all masculines. The second the backformation of [ə] from [əu] in order to maintain a paradigmatic correspondence, which arisen in the diphthongising open position, also in the non diphthongising open position. We argue that to explain the presence of both [ɔ] and [wɔ] in the closed position as outcomes of \*u we appeal again to paradigmatic pressure and analogy.

If we observe the attested distribution of allophones illustrated in Table 7, we observe again a pattern of allomorphy akin to what has been shown for the open position:

Table 7. Analogical lowering in closed position

	SG	PL	
m	'ʃɔtʃə	'ʃwɔtʃə	'dunce'
f	'ʃwɔtʃə	'ʃwɔtʃə	

In this case, we explain the origin of the allophone [ɔ] from analogical lowering of the high back vowel, with the aim of creating a seemingly metaphonic alternation. The phenomenon has been dubbed regression and is reported in Maiden (1991: 201), for dialects where metaphony has been morphologised. Vowel lowering extends the same pattern to the closed position context, like it has been extended to the open non diphthongising position by means of the backformation of [ə] (see above). After lowering, the outcome of \*u in the closed non-metaphonic position coincides with the outcomes of the other back vowels.

<sup>7</sup> Paolo Acquaviva (p.c.) pointed out that elements such as aspectual adverbs never participated in gender alternations.

### 3. Final remarks

In this contribution we have tried to explain some puzzling evolutions by reconstructing the diachronic scenario by means of data from geolinguistic variation. We have argued that, in a previous stage, Sanvalentinense conformed with how vocalic differentiation worked in the dialects of the area: all vowels diphthongised in open position under sentence stress. In (15) we illustrate our proposal for the tonic system in non-metaphonic position in this previous stage of the language indicating in bold the outcomes that are attested in the present-day dialect or that speakers can recollect:

(15) The tonic vowel-system of San Valentino (reconstruction):

	I	Ī	Ē	Ĕ	Ā/Ā	Ō	Ō	Ū	Ū
		∨					∨		
Proto-Romance	*i	*e	*ε	*a	*ɔ	*o	*u		
Open position	i/oi	e/ai	<b>e/ei</b>	a/εə	<b>o/ou</b>	<b>o/au</b>	<b>u/əu</b>		
Closed position	ei	<b>ai</b>	ε	<b>a</b>	ɔ	ɔ	<b>wŪ</b>		

Subsequently, due to a number of diachronic changes, some purely phonological, others driven by paradigmatic pressure, the system reached the present stage. We illustrate the changes affecting the hypothetic reconstructed system in (16), where vowels deleted by monophthongation of diphthongs are in brackets, while > indicates analogical changes.

(16) Evolution of the present tonic vowel-system of San Valentino (reconstruction):

	I	Ī	Ē	Ĕ	Ā/Ā	Ō	Ō	Ū	Ū
		∨					∨		
Proto-Romance	*i	*e	*ε	*a	*ɔ	*o	*u		
Open position	(i)/o(i)	(e)/a(i)	e/ei	(a)/(ε)ə	o/ou	(o)/(a)u	u > ə /əu		
Closed position	i̇ > ei	<b>a</b>	ε	<b>a</b>	ɔ	ɔ	wŪ > ɔ		

We have also illustrated an effect of word-final vowels on word-internal stressed vowels that recalls metaphony. However, while metaphony induces assimilatory changes in word-internal vowels that result in raising or breaking, we have pointed out cases in which word-final vowel influence word-internal vowels so that they *resist* to unconditioned changes such as vowel breaking.

### References

Bafile, Laura. 1997. «L'innalzamento vocalico in napoletano: un caso di interazione fra fonologia e morfologia» in L. Agostiniani *et al.* (eds.) *Atti del Terzo Convegno della Società Internazionale*

- di Linguistica e Filologia Italiana*, Perugia 27-29 giugno 1994, Edizioni Scientifiche Italiane, Napoli, 127-148.
- Barbato, Marcello, 2008. «Metafonia napoletana e metafonia sabina», in De Angelis, Alessandro, *I dialetti meridionali tra arcaismo e interferenza. Atti del convegno internazionale di dialettologia* (Messina, 4-6 giugno 2008), Palermo, CSFLS, 275-289.
- Benincà, Paola / Diego Pescarini. 2014. «Clitic placement in the dialect of S. Valentino in Abruzzo citeriore». *Archivio Glottologico Italiano* 99.1: 37-65..
- Carosella, Maria, 2005. *Sistemi vocali tonici nell'area garganica settentrionale*. Roma, Edizioni Nuova Cultura.
- Carpitelli, Eisabetta, 1995. «Description des systèmes des voyelles toniques de quelques dialectes de la Toscane nord-occidentale», *Géolinguistique* 6, 43-73.
- Carpitelli, Elisabetta & Leonardo M. Savoia, 2008. «Problèmes de micro-variation phonologique dans les domaines dialectaux de l'Italie septentrionale» *Revue Française de Linguistique appliquée* 13.2: 103-119.
- De Gregorio, Iolanda, 1939. «Contributo alla conoscenza del dialetto di Bisceglie (Bari)», *L'Italia dialettale* 15, 31-52.
- De Lollis, Cesare, 1890-92. «Dell'influsso dell'i e del j postonico sulla vocale accentata in qualche dialetto abruzzese», *Archivio Glottologico Italiano* 9: 25-101.
- Giammarco, Ernesto, 1979. *Abruzzo*, Pisa, Pacini.
- Hayes, Bruce, 1995. *Metrical Stress Theory: Principles and Case Studies*, Chicago, The University of Chicago Press.
- Lausberg, Heinrich. 1976. *Linguistica romanza*. Milano, Feltrinelli.
- Ledgeway, Adam, 2009. *Grammatica diacronica del napoletano*, Tübingen, Niemeyer.
- Loporcaro, Michele, 1988. *Grammatica storica del dialetto di Altamura*, Pisa, Giardini.
- Loporcaro, Michele, 2011. «Phonological processes» in Maiden, Martin, Smith, John Charles and Ledgeway, Adam (eds.), *The Cambridge History of the Romance Languages*. Volume 1: *Structures*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Maiden, Martin, 1991. *Interactive morphonology: metaphony in Italy*, London, Routledge.
- Maiden, Martin 2005. «Morphological autonomy and diachrony» *Yearbook of Morphology 2004*, 137-75.
- Marotta, Giovanna / Savoia, Leonardo M., 1994. «Vowel properties and nuclear constituents: evidence from Italian dialects» *Probus* 6, 43-79.
- Merlo, Clemente, 1912. *Note fonetiche sul parlare di Bitonto (Bari)*. Torino: Bona
- van Oostendorp, Marc 2000. *Phonological Projection; A Theory of Schwa in Optimality Theory*, Berlin, Mouton De Gruyter.
- Passino, Diana, 2016. «Progressive metaphony in the Abruzzese dialect of Teramo» in F. Torres-Tamarit, K. Linke and M. van Oostendorp (eds.), *Approaches to metaphony in the languages of Italy*, Berlin/Boston, Walter de Gruyter, 147-178.
- Passino Diana / Pescarini, Diego, to appear. «Il sistema vocalico del dialetto alto-meridionale di San Valentino in Abruzzo Citeriore con particolare riferimento agli esiti di Ū» *Actes du XXXVIIIe Congrès international de linguistique et de philologie romanes*
- Pellegrini, Giovan Battista, 1977. *Carta dei dialetti d'Italia*, Pisa, Pacini.
- Pescarini, Diego / Pascetta, Silvio 2014. «Osservazioni sintattiche sul dialetto di San Valentino in Abruzzo citeriore» *Quaderni di lavoro ASIt* 17: 99-113.
- Pescarini, Diego / Passino, Diana, 2015. «Fonologia e ortografia del dialetto di San Valentino in Abruzzo citeriore» in G. Marcato (ed.), *Dialetto parlato, scritto, trasmesso*. Padova: CLEUP, 477-482.
- Rohlf, Gerhard, 1966. *Grammatica storica della lingua italiana e dei suoi dialetti*. Vol I, *Fonetica*, Torino, Einaudi.
- Savoia, Leonardo M., 1989. «Proprietà vocaliche e struttura metrico-sillabica in alcune varietà abruzzesi», *Studi in memoria di Ernesto Giammarco*, Pisa, Giardini, 363-420.

- Savoia, Leonardo M., 2015. *I dialetti italiani*, Pisa, Pacini
- Savoia, Leonardo / Maiden, Martin, 1997. «Metaphony» in Maiden, Martin and Parry, Mair, *The dialects of Italy*, London, Routledge, 74–86.
- Stehl, Thomas, 1980. *Die Mundarten Apuliens: Historische und strukturelle Beiträge*, Muenster, Aschendorff.
- Wartburg, Walther von, 1950. *Die Ausgliederung der romanischen Sprachräume*, Bern, Francke.
- Weinrich, Harald, 1958. *Phonologische Studien zur romanischen Sprachgeschichte*, Münster, Aschendorff.
- Ziccardi, Giovanni, 1910. «Il dialetto di Agnone», *Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie* 34, 405-436.
- Zingarelli, Nicola, 1899. «Il dialetto di Cerignola», *Archivio Glottologico Italiano* 15, 83-96, 226-235.