How biologically formed macropores influence subsurface flow

Jérome Nespoulous, Roy C. Slide, Yves Le Bissonnais, Merlin Ramel, Rodolphe Dombey, Alexia Stokes

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-01837358
https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01837358
Submitted on 5 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
How biologically formed macropores influence subsurface flow

J. Nespoulous1, R.C. Sidle2, Y. Le Bissonnais1, M. Ramel3, R. Dombey2, A. Stokes1
1. INRA - National Institute of Agronomic Research, France. 2. University of Sunshine Coast, Sustainability Research Centre, Australia

Introduction

• Water flow in soil influences heavily erosion, pollution and/or agronomic issues. However, subsurface flow processes still need further research.
• Subsurface flow is described as preferential uniform or non-uniform flow occurring in interconnected macropores (diameter > 2mm).
• Biological macropores formed by plant root systems and pedofauna influence largely this preferential flow.

Aims

• How do different forest cover types influence preferential flow by root morphology and pedofauna activity?
• Is there any evident relationship at this scale between preferential flow and biotic parameters?

Methods

Tropical climate

Field work Xishuangbanna, Yunnan province, China

Treatments

• Rubber tree plantation (Hevea brasiliensis) vs endemic tree forest
• Understory fine root effect vs weak fine roots bare soil
• Sites have a similar soil texture

Fig. 1: Sites presentation. 1 site = 1 treatment. (i) ‘Bare soil trees’: Tree plantation and bare soil; (ii) ‘Clear-cut trees’: clear-cut tree plantation with understory; (iii) ‘Forest’: Secondary forest with understory.

Experiment

1) Dyed infiltration simulation
   • 3 experimented plot per site
2) Soil excavation
   • 3.5 soil profiles per plot
3) Measurements for each soil profiles

Measurements

Photo-description-sampling: spatialized with referenced grid (Fig. 2)
• Patterns of water infiltration by blue dyeing
• Roots impact by diameter classes
• Pedofauna activity: presence / absence
• Soil resistance to penetration

Results

Site variability (Fig. 3)

• Fauna was more present on clear-cut plantations.
• Fine roots were equally developed in clear-cut plantation and forest, and less developed in bare soil.
• Coarse root were significantly more developed in forest.
• Resistance to penetration is much less important in forest.

PCA (Fig. 4)

• ‘Blue dye’ is related to roots and ‘concentrate blue dye’ is mostly explicated by coarse roots.
• Coarse root effects on subsurface flow was more observed in forest.
• Fine root effect on subsurface flow was more observed in clear-cut trees with the most amount of grass (Fig. 3).
• Bare soil trees with less roots is affected by fauna activity.

Fig. 3: Variability between treatments. Measured for each grid cell. (i) Fauna activity described by cumulated presence/absence. (ii) Resistance to penetration is the pressure resistance: 0 kPa = void; 12 kPa = maximum resistance for the penetrometer. (iii) Coarse roots (≥2mm) and fine roots (<2mm) impact are counted in function of coloration.

Fig. 4: PCA (Principal component analysis) on the first projection (axis 1.2) representing 48.99% of projected inertia.

Conclusions

• Preferential flow is driven by roots.
• The effect of fauna on preferential flow is not evident as fauna was present in the most of the cases.
• In the forest, preferential flow is mainly influenced by coarse tree roots.
• The influence of grass is lower in the forest than in clear-cut plantations.

Acknowledgment

Thank you very much to all the colleagues for the field work in china and to the EASE team for the discussions.