RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1002/2018JD028304

Key Points:

- Tritium records in three snow pits at Vostok show natural variability at about 10 years (solar cycles) and at a shorter periodicity
- From measurements on a same pit at same depths, tritium is found to be correlated to Na^+ and anticorrelated to $\delta^{18}\text{O}$
- Modeled tritium outputs suggest that this correlation is at least partly linked to atmospheric conditions modulating input of stratospheric air

Supporting Information:

- Supporting Information S1

Correspondence to:

E. Fourré,
elise.fourre@lsce.ipsl.fr

Citation:

Fourré, E., Landais, A., Cauquoin, A., Jean-Baptiste, P., Lipenkov, V., & Petit, J.-R. (2018). Tritium records to trace stratospheric moisture inputs in Antarctica. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 123, 3009–3018. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2018JD028304>

Received 10 JAN 2018

Accepted 25 FEB 2018

Accepted article online 10 MAR 2018

Published online 25 MAR 2018

Tritium Records to Trace Stratospheric Moisture Inputs in Antarctica

E. Fourré¹ , A. Landais¹ , A. Cauquoin^{1,2,3} , P. Jean-Baptiste¹, V. Lipenkov⁴ , and J.-R. Petit⁵

¹Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (UMR 8212 IPSL/CEA-CNRS-UVSQ), Orme des Merisiers, Gif-sur-Yvette, France, ²Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique, Université Paris 6, France, ³Now at Alfred Wegener Institute, Bremerhaven, Germany, ⁴Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute, St. Petersburg, Russia, ⁵Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement (UMR 5001 UGA/CNRS/IRD/G-INP), Paris, France

Abstract Better assessing the dynamic of stratosphere-troposphere exchange is a key point to improve our understanding of the climate dynamic in the East Antarctica Plateau, a region where stratospheric inputs are expected to be important. Although tritium (^3H or T), a nuclide naturally produced mainly in the stratosphere and rapidly entering the water cycle as HTO , seems a first-rate tracer to study these processes, tritium data are very sparse in this region. We present the first high-resolution measurements of tritium concentration over the last 50 years in three snow pits drilled at the Vostok station. Natural variability of the tritium records reveals two prominent frequencies, one at about 10 years (to be related to the solar Schwabe cycles) and the other one at a shorter periodicity: despite dating uncertainty at this short scale, a good correlation is observed between ^3H and Na^+ and an anticorrelation between ^3H and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ measured on an individual pit. The outputs from the LMDZ Atmospheric General Circulation Model including stable water isotopes and tritium show the same ^3H - $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ anticorrelation and allow further investigation on the associated mechanism. At the interannual scale, the modeled ^3H variability matches well with the Southern Annular Mode index. At the seasonal scale, we show that modeled stratospheric tritium inputs in the troposphere are favored in winter cold and dry conditions.

1. Introduction

Antarctica is a key region to better document past and present climate dynamic. This remote continent and especially the East Antarctic plateau are characterized by harsh climate conditions and significantly influenced by stratosphere-to-troposphere transport. Numerous climate and environmental reconstructions have been made possible thanks to numerous proxies archived in the Antarctic snow and ice over the last million years (e.g., Epica, 2004; Higgins et al., 2015) and at high resolution over the last centuries (Abram et al., 2014; Schneider et al., 2006). Among the different proxies measured on the ice matrix, stable water isotopes ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$ or δD) are particularly useful to document past temperature variations (Jouzel et al., 2003) and aerosols concentration (e.g., Na^+ , Ca^{2+} , and SO_4^{2-}) to document past atmospheric transport as well as natural aerosols sources (e.g., Fischer et al., 2007; Lambert et al., 2012; Legrand et al., 2016). Despite the use of sulfur isotopic anomaly to detect past stratospheric volcanic explosions in ice cores (Baroni et al., 2007), documenting the past stratospheric input is not straightforward from ice core proxies.

Natural tritium (^3H or T) is mainly produced in the stratosphere at a rate of ~ 0.32 atoms/ cm^2/s by spallation of nitrogen and oxygen (Masarik & Beer, 2009) and rapidly enters the water cycle in the form of tritiated water molecules HTO . ^3H has a radioactive half-life of $4,500 \pm 8$ days (Lucas & Unterweger, 2000). Since 1954 and until the 1963 Nuclear Test Ban Treaty, vast amounts of thermonuclear tritium (~ 525 kg, United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, 2000) have been injected in the stratosphere and upper atmosphere compared to the steady state global natural inventory of ~ 4.6 kg (deduced from the above production rate). Since 1963 tritium levels in precipitation have been steadily decreasing due to radioactive decay and dilution within the large oceanic reservoir. As a consequence, tritium concentration in precipitation today is very close to the natural level and insignificantly affected by anthropogenic production as shown by a recent modeling of natural tritium concentration in water confronted to present-day measurements in precipitation (Cauquoin et al., 2015). The present-day tritium content of the stratosphere is about 9×10^5 tritium unit (TU) (Ehalt et al., 2002; Fourré et al., 2006) whereas tritium in precipitation is in the range of a few tens of TU (IAEA/GNIP database, International Atomic Energy Agency / Global Network of Isotopes in

Precipitation), 1 TU meaning a T/H ratio of 10^{-18} . This large difference is due to the higher H_2O content in the troposphere, diluting the signal.

HTO concentration in accumulated snow (precipitation and ice crystals settling) can thus be related to stratospheric water input. Stratospheric inputs are expected to be particularly important in polar regions, especially in central Antarctica in austral winter (Roscoe, 2004). A clear increase of tritium concentration in snow from the coast to the interior of East Antarctica is observed both in data and in modeling experiments (Cauquoin et al., 2015; Fourré et al., 2006). This effect on the local tritium concentration in snow is also favored by the very low accumulation rate in East Antarctica.

In turn, tritium concentrations in East Antarctica snow should ideally be used to assess the dynamic of stratosphere-troposphere exchanges. However, tritium data are very sparse in East Antarctica except a few mean concentration measurements performed on surface snow (Becagli et al., 2004; Cauquoin et al., 2015; Proposito et al., 2002) and a couple of samples at the Vostok station covering the period 1980–1990 (Fourré et al., 2006). In this study, we present the first high-resolution measurements of tritium concentration over the last 50 years at the Vostok station. The Vostok station, at an elevation of $\sim 3,500$ m, is characterized by its very low accumulation rate and temperature (respectively $2.4 \text{ cm eq ice yr}^{-1}$ and -57°C) and is thus one of the best place in Antarctica to detect stratospheric water vapor input. The signature of such an input at Vostok has already been suggested by combined measurements of water stable isotopes and ^{10}Be (Winkler et al., 2013). Indeed, variations of ^{10}Be concentrations in snow are influenced by the ^{10}Be production itself modulated by the solar activity (as for the tritium production) and by its transport in the atmosphere (Baroni et al., 2011). In addition, because of photochemical reactions involving ozone in the stratosphere, the triple isotopic composition of the water vapor in the stratosphere is not expected to follow a mass-dependent relationship so that ^{17}O -excess of water (^{17}O -excess = $\ln(\delta^{17}\text{O} + 1) - 0.528 \times \ln(\delta^{18}\text{O} + 1)$) (Barkan & Luz, 2005; Barkan & Luz, 2007; Landais et al., 2008) is expected to bear a signal of stratospheric moisture input (Winkler et al., 2013). The correlation between ^{17}O -excess and ^{10}Be in the Vostok snow pits (Touzeau et al., 2016; Winkler et al., 2013) has thus been assumed to reflect a temporal modulation of the stratospheric moisture input.

In contrast to ^{10}Be and ^{17}O -excess whose signatures in terms of stratospheric input can be debated, variability in tritium data at Vostok is more directly linked to variability of the stratospheric moisture inputs. In the following, the combination of the tritium measurements with stable water isotopes ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$, δD , and $\delta^{17}\text{O}$), aerosols concentration, and ^{10}Be as well as a confrontation with modeling outputs permits to identify the mechanisms at play that link climatic conditions and stratospheric moisture inputs.

2. Analytical Methods and Results

Tritium concentrations have been measured on samples collected with a 2 to 3 cm depth resolution from the wall of three snow pits drilled respectively in 2006 (2.95 m deep), 2007 (3 m deep), and 2008 (3.65 m deep) at Vostok station. The last one covers the period 1945–2008 and is the most documented (Baroni et al., 2011; Winkler et al., 2013).

The tritium content of the ice was measured by mass spectrometry using the ^3He regrowth method (Jean-Baptiste et al., 1992): the principle of this method is to degas the water sample to remove all the ^3He initially dissolved and then to store it under high vacuum in a sealed glass bulb (Corning 1724 glass to prevent helium diffusion) to allow for the accumulation of tritiogenic ^3He . Special care is taken at the degassing step to avoid any fractionation (and subsequent questionable correction): a cold trap is inserted between the sample and the pumping unit and the water trapped in it is further fully transferred back to the sample. The tritium content of the sample is then deduced from the amount of ^3He produced during the storage time and measured by mass spectrometry (MAP215-50 with an electron multiplier operated in the pulse mode). In this study, measurements range from about 10TU to 400TU, with analytical one-sigma uncertainty of 1.5–3%.

For each snow pit (Figure 1 and data in supporting information), a clear peak corresponding to the bomb tests is identified at about 230–250 cm depth. The postbomb parts of the records suggest an interannual variability yet affected by some spatial distortion although the pits are separated by only a few hundred meters. This can be attributed to redistribution of snow by wind, formation of dunes influencing local accumulation patterns, and densification processes as reported, for instance, by Ekaykin et al. (2002) for δD and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ at Vostok. Diffusion and wind ventilation can also alter the original tritium variations in surface

Figure 1. Tritium records versus depth for three pits dug at Vostok in 2006, 2007, and 2008.

snow (Johnsen et al., 2000; Neumann & Waddington, 2004). However, despite this stratigraphic noise, a similar cyclic interannual pattern can be identified on all pits with a wavelength of ~20–30 cm.

Building a chronology is a necessary step to further study this cyclic interannual pattern and to correct tritium data for radioactive decay since deposition time. In this dry region of East Antarctica, the accumulation rate is too small to enable annual layer counting from the chemistry or water isotopes profiles. The dating strategy is based on the following time markers (full blue symbols in Figure 2): a first tie point was taken at the surface of the pit; second, we matched the tritium bomb peak recorded in the snow pit record with the same tritium bomb peak observed in the precipitation record from the IAEA/GNIP database (more specifically data from Invercargill station, south of New Zealand, WMO Code 9384400); third, a linear interpolation was applied between these two horizons. For the 2008 pit, a check of the relevance of such a linear interpolation is possible through the comparison with the non-sea-salt sulfate record (Baroni et al., 2011) allowing the identification of volcanic events: actually years 1964 (Agung eruption) and 1992 (Pinatubo eruption) exactly match the non-sea-salt sulfate peaks (Figure 2). For the 2006 and 2007 pits, we used the same

two first time markers and since sulfate records were not available we used the 2008 pit chronology as a reference to match the tritium variations patterns as shown by the solid red lines in Figure 1. Errors in the chronology of a couple of years are expected locally because of specially low amount of precipitation, spatial variability of accumulation patterns due to wind scouring (Libois et al., 2014), and possible wind blowing effects leading to possible hiatus and missing annual layers. Still, the fact that a single linear interpolation calibrated only on the surface and the bomb tritium peak in precipitation matches well with the volcanic eruptions record gives some confidence to the approach. This also confirms that diffusion does not have a major effect on the tritium variations archived in snow (otherwise, we would expect a larger width of the bomb peak in the pits than in precipitation).

Figure 2. Chronology for the 2008 pit from tritium record in precipitation and volcanic events recorded by non-sea-salt (nss) sulfate concentration. Full symbols show the identified tie points (see text): in blue the surface of the pit and the tritium peak as recorded in precipitation and in red the linearly derived years corresponding to the Agung and Pinatubo eruptions (1964 and 1992), matching well the non-sea-salt sulfate profiles. TU = tritium unit.

Figure 3. Stack of the three pits: data are averaged in different temporal sliding windows after the numerical removal of the bomb tritium component. TU = tritium unit.

3. Discussion

3.1. Tritium Data

To further analyze the temporal variations of natural tritium on the longest possible period, it is important to (1) remove the bomb tritium component and (2) increase the ratio signal versus noise. To remove the anthropogenic signal on the tritium profile, we approximated the decreasing trend of the tritium peak by a combination of two exponentials. This signal has then been subtracted from the measured signal over the period 1970–present. To remove the local noise component from the global signal, we followed previous studies of water isotopes in East Antarctica that stacked different records from several neighbor snow pits (Ekaykin et al., 2014; Münch et al., 2016). We thus put our three pits on their reconstructed chronology, described in the previous section, together to build a stack by averaging all the data in a temporal sliding window (Figure 3).

The stack reveals two prominent frequencies, one of about 10 years (red curve in Figure 3) and the other at 3–5 years (blue curve in Figure 3). The 10 year frequency can be linked to the solar forcing mod-

ulating the natural cosmogenic tritium production (Schwabe cycles), while the 3–5 years cycles may be related to internal modes of atmospheric circulation with similar periodicity such as the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) (Fogt & Bromwich, 2006; Marshall, 2003; Thompson & Wallace, 2000; Thompson et al., 2000), although an influence of deposition effects cannot be completely ruled out at this stage.

3.2. Tritium Compared With Water Isotopes and Other Tracers

Figure 4 compares the relative variability of tritium and stable water isotopes (Winkler et al., 2013) on the same snow pit dug in 2008 at Vostok. Tritium data have been corrected from the influence of the bomb test peak, and we concentrate only on the top 2 m where the natural variability is dominant. In addition, we display the ^{10}Be and the sodium concentration (Baroni et al., 2011). Na^+ concentration is usually linked in inland

Figure 4. From top to bottom, variability of ^{10}Be concentration, sodium concentration, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of snow, and ^{17}O -excess of snow and tritium (corrected from the influence of the bomb test peak) on the 2008 Vostok snow pit on a depth scale. For the four upper panels, the tritium concentration is also displayed in light gray (reverse axis for the third panel) for comparison with the other proxies.

Antarctic snow to sea ice production rate during brine or frost flower formation (Rankin et al., 2000; Wagenbach et al., 1998; Wolff et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2008). Na^+ concentration is thus associated with larger sea ice extent, and maximum Na^+ concentrations are observed during austral winter on short timescales and in ice corresponding to glacial maxima on long timescales (see review by Abram et al., 2013).

The frequency analysis of these parameters has revealed a periodicity of 4 to 5 years similar to what has been observed for the tritium variability and that may be attributed to SAM. As mentioned above, the chronology of one pit is not entirely reliable in such dry area and postdeposition effects can be huge so that we do not elaborate more on the frequency analysis for this individual record. Despite this limitation, interesting features arise from the comparison and correlation of the different records on the same coherent depth scale (i.e., on the very same snow pit).

From the combined measurements of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{17}\text{O}$ on the Vostok snow pit dug in 2008 and whose tritium concentration profile is presented here, Winkler et al. (2013) found a surprising anticorrelation between the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and the ^{17}O -excess signals. This anticorrelation is opposite to the general correlation between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and ^{17}O -excess observed in cold regions of Antarctica in transect surface snow samples and large precipitation samples at Vostok which can be explained by kinetic fractionation during snow condensation in clouds (Touzeau et al., 2016). This anticorrelation between ^{17}O -excess and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ has been confirmed on more recent snow pits drilled at Vostok (Touzeau et al., 2016) but could not easily be explained by postdeposition effects. The correlation observed for the recent period (since 1975) between ^{10}Be (Baroni et al., 2011) and ^{17}O -excess on the same snow pit rather led to the suggestion that the origin for the ^{17}O -excess variability could be linked to the variability of stratospheric moisture input in central East Antarctica (Winkler et al., 2013) since ^{17}O -excess anomalies can be created by photochemical reactions in the stratosphere.

However, comparing ^{10}Be with stable water isotopic records to retrieve information on the stratospheric input is not very satisfactory since ^{10}Be is attached to aerosols. Transport and deposition processes for water isotopes and ^{10}Be are thus not comparable which raises potential doubts on the interpretation. Tritium is actually a much better tracer for stratospheric moisture input than ^{10}Be .

We notice a significant correlation between tritium and Na^+ ($R = 0.44$; $N = 65$) as well as an anticorrelation between tritium and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ($R = -0.39$; $N = 65$). The correlation between tritium and ^{10}Be is also strongly visible ($R = 0.41$, $N = 65$) and expected, given the common stratospheric origin of the two tracers. Since $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and ^{17}O -excess are strongly anticorrelated despite the noise on the ^{17}O -excess data, we observe some correlation between tritium and ^{17}O -excess ($R = 0.3$; $N = 65$). An anticorrelation between Na^+ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ has already been observed both on similar and much longer timescales in East Antarctica (e.g., Bigler et al., 2010; Hoshina et al., 2016) and is classically explained by more sea ice on the Antarctic margins during cold periods associated with low $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. The fact that aerosols concentration (Na^+) and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ display comparable temporal variabilities suggest that the postdeposition effects on water isotopes expected from water vapor diffusion within surface snow (Johnsen et al., 2000; Town et al., 2008) do not fully erase the climatic imprint on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. If so, tritium, ^{10}Be , and ^{17}O -excess maxima at the Vostok station would mostly correspond to cold conditions indicated by low $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values.

3.3. Modeled Tritium and Water Isotopes Using an Atmospheric General Circulation Model

To further investigate the mechanisms leading to an anticorrelation between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and tritium, we have used outputs from the LMDZ Atmospheric General Circulation Model (Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique Zoom), version LMDZ5a (Dufresne et al., 2013). Tritium and water isotopes have been implemented in this version thereby called LMDZ-iso (Cauquoin et al., 2015; Cauquoin et al., 2016; Risi et al., 2010). The details of water stable isotopes and tritium implementation, as well as their dynamical and physical descriptions, have been described in the aforementioned references: Risi et al. (2010) describe the implementation of water stable isotopes with the fractionation processes involved; Cauquoin et al. (2015) describe the implementation of natural (i.e., cosmogenic) tritium, while Cauquoin et al. (2016) focus on the addition of the anthropogenic tritium from the atmospheric nuclear bomb tests. For the natural signal, the tritium production rate used in the model is constant over time but takes into account the strong dependency with latitude and altitude (see details in Cauquoin et al., 2015, and the references therein). It is derived from the calculations of Masarik and Beer (2009) for a long-term average value of solar activity and a geomagnetic field intensity corresponding to present-day values. Although the mean value of the tritium production rate is

Figure 5. Variations of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (on a reverse scale) and tritium of snow at the seasonal scale (light colors) and at interannual scale (12 months precipitation weighted running average, dark colors) for the years 1990–2008 at Vostok as obtained from the LMDZ-iso model equipped with tritium. The lower panel shows the variations of the annual Southern Annular Mode (SAM) index over the same period (Marshall, 2003). Light gray rectangles indicate minima of the tritium content in snow which are also associated with minima of the SAM index.

associated with a relatively large uncertainty within 30–50% (Masarik & Beer, 2009), this does not impact the following discussion since it only implies a global shift in the values. Finally, note that in our study, we also use the recently corrected version of the advection scheme of LMDZ-iso. The erroneous scheme, so-called “upstream scheme,” is too diffusive (Hourdin & Armengaud, 1999) and affects all water isotopes, with as the main consequence a humid bias at the poles and especially in the polar stratosphere (Cauquoin et al., 2016; Cauquoin & Risi, 2017). As in Cauquoin et al. (2016), the isotopic outputs shown here are from simulations that were nudged by the horizontal winds from 20CR reanalyzes (Compo et al., 2011).

Risi et al. (2010) and Cauquoin et al. (2015) have shown that LMDZ-iso captures well the relative spatial variability of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and tritium in Antarctica with (1) a decrease of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ toward low-temperature areas because of the distillation of the water mass and (2) an increase of tritium toward the East Antarctic plateau reflecting, on top of the elsewhere observed “continental effect,” the strong influence of stratospheric input combined with very low humidity conditions. The temporal variability is also well depicted by the LMDZ-iso model: first, the general shape (bomb peak and slow decrease) of the tritium concentration is well reproduced over the period 1950 to 2008 which is the period of interest of the present study (Cauquoin et al., 2016); and second, at the seasonal scale in Antarctica, the higher content of tritium in the winter precipitation is well reproduced as observed in the available tritium record in monthly precipitation on the Antarctic base of Halley Bay (Cauquoin et al., 2015). Interannual and seasonal variations of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in Antarctic precipitations are also well reproduced in the LMDZ-iso with a clear dominance of the temperature signal in the precipitation $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signal (Risi et al., 2010). We thus confidently use the simulated tritium and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variability in Antarctica from the LMDZ-iso model in the following.

Figure 5 shows the outputs of tritium and water isotopes in Vostok precipitation from LMDZ-iso over the period 1990–2008. There is a clear maximum in modeled tritium concentration during austral winter as already

Figure 6. Modeled variations of tritium, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of precipitation, temperature, and precipitation amount during year 2006 from the LMDZ-iso modeled equipped with tritium at the Vostok station. Light blue rectangles highlight periods associated with significant tritium input during cold periods. Yellow rectangles highlight periods associated with a larger amount of precipitation but little tritium input, in winter milder temperatures and higher $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ episodes.

observed at Halley Bay in both analyzed and modeled precipitation tritium content. For our study at Vostok we cannot compare directly the modeled tritium and water isotopes variability from Figure 5 to the measured profiles from Figures 3 and 4 because of local chronology uncertainties of a few years in our reconstructed tritium concentration record in Figure 3. As mentioned in section 2, precipitation intermittency and wind blowing effects in such a low accumulation site are associated with hiatus of a few years and anomalously thick snow layers. This is the reason why we restrict our analysis to the comparison of the relative variations of tritium and stable water isotopes in both snow pit profiles and model outputs. The pertinence of such a data-model comparison is supported by the validation of stable water isotopes and tritium modeled variability (both temporally and spatially) in Antarctica with the LMDZ-iso model (Risi et al., 2010; Cauquoin et al., 2015, 2016). In addition, even if a straightforward comparison is limited by the chronology issue, the mean tritium level is similar in our data (Figure 4) and in the modeled outputs (Figure 5). The amplitude of the measured tritium variability is slightly higher than the amplitude of the modeled tritium variability on annual average which is also expected from the sampling resolution (3 cm) which is smaller than the average thickness of an annual snow layer (~ 7 cm).

There is a significant anticorrelation in the model between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and tritium at the seasonal and interannual scale (Figure 5): $R = -0.59$ at the monthly scale ($N = 228$) and $R = -0.78$ at the annual scale using tritium and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ precipitation weighted averages ($N = 19$), in agreement with what is observed in the data. As expected and already noted in Winkler et al. (2013), there is a strong correlation in the model between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and temperature at both the seasonal and interannual timescales at Vostok. In the model nudged by reanalyses, the Vostok annual temperature is significantly anticorrelated with the annual SAM index ($R = -0.54$, $N = 19$) in agreement with the finding of Thompson and Solomon (2002) using data from different weather stations. This anticorrelation between SAM index and East Antarctic temperature is mainly explained by stronger circumpolar wind during positive phases of the SAM that prevent heat to reach the interior of Antarctica (van den Broeke & van Lipzig, 2003).

3.4. Characteristic Atmospheric and Isotopic Patterns During Stratospheric Input

We observe similar relationships between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and tritium in both model outputs and data. Moreover, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of precipitation is strongly imprinted by temperature, a link that is observed both in data records and in the

model. This relationship is explained by the distillation effect (e.g., Touzeau et al., 2016). Because the link between $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, temperature, and tritium is well captured by the LMDZ-iso model, we use the model outputs to progress on the understanding of the atmospheric conditions leading to high natural tritium values in East Antarctica. At a seasonal scale, precipitations are very rich in tritium during winter (Figure 6). The strongest peaks of tritium concentration are observed during cold days when the daily precipitation amount is relatively small (i.e., at least 5 times lower than the strongest daily precipitation amount; light blue rectangles in Figure 6). Still, since the tritium concentration can reach up to 300 TU, these events contribute significantly to the average annual tritium content in snow. This can be clearly seen on the upper panel of Figure 6, which quantifies the amount of HTO brought by the precipitation events. On the opposite, during the larger winter precipitation events associated with warmer temperatures and hence high $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, tritium level remains low (yellow rectangles in Figure 6). These events reflect strong intrusion of warm air from the coast linked to amplification of Rossby waves in the circumpolar westerlies and mostly negative SAM index (e.g., Schlosser et al., 2016, and references therein). Finally, our modeling results suggest that the tritium level in Antarctic precipitation is controlled by the climatic conditions during austral winter. It suggests that when precipitation is mainly related to arrivals of warm air from the coast in austral winter, hence negative SAM index like for the observations in 2009 (Schlosser et al., 2016), tritium level in precipitating snow should be low due to dilution. On the opposite, cold austral winter in the East Antarctic associated with positive SAM index as observed in 2010 (Schlosser et al., 2016) is expected to be associated with higher tritium level in precipitating snow. This is also the case when we compare the modeled tritium in winter (Figure 5) and the SAM index (from Marshall & National Center for Atmospheric Research Staff, 2016): for the 1995–1999 period, for instance, year 1996 correspond to negative SAM index and relatively low tritium values compared to the period 1997–1999 when the SAM index was positive and winter tritium values higher (Figure 5).

4. Conclusion

We have displayed the first tritium profiles over 3 to 3.6 m deep snow pits retrieved during three consecutive years at the remote station of Vostok in East Antarctica. A correction from the bomb peak permits to study the natural tritium variability at the Vostok station. As for the other climatic and environmental proxies measured in snow pits at Vostok, there is no straightforward depth correspondence between the tritium minima and maxima from one pit to its neighbor. Therefore, the best way to look at tritium natural variability is to perform a stack of the three snow pits plotted against their own timescale. This stack displays two kinds of variability: (1) a 10 years periodicity variability that most probably corresponds to the solar influence on the tritium production and (2) a 3–5 years periodicity. Due to the low accumulation at Vostok, the snow pit samples cannot capture the seasonal cycle, and also, processes like wind scouring can lead to inhomogeneous accumulation pattern at the surface (e.g., Libois et al., 2014). It is therefore not clear whether the 3–5 year variability should be regarded as a result of inhomogeneities in surface accumulation processes or as a signal of interannual variability linked to SAM. However, it is still possible to compare the tritium record to variability of other climatic and environmental proxies provided that they are all measured on the same snow pit, hence on a common timescale.

Over the 3–5 years periodicity, tritium variations are significantly correlated with ^{10}Be concentration also reflecting stratospheric input. They are also correlated with sodium concentration and anticorrelated with $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variations in the same snow pit. Using the atmospheric model LMDZ-iso equipped with stable water isotopes and tritium, we show that the strong tritium inputs likely occur during cold conditions. During these periods, the air is dry and the dilution of the tritium of stratospheric origin in the tropospheric water vapor is less efficient. These periods also correspond to low $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in falling snow (because of low condensation temperature) in the model, hence explaining the anticorrelation between tritium and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$.

The correlation between ^{17}O -excess, ^{10}Be , and tritium on the 2008 snow pit supports a possible stratospheric origin for the ^{17}O -excess signal in remote region of East Antarctica as hypothesized by Winkler et al. (2013). The alternative explanation for the origin of the ^{17}O -excess signal was linked to fractionating postdeposition process (e.g., diffusion, Johnsen et al., 2000, or wind pumping associated with condensation on cold layer, Neumann & Waddington, 2004). Indeed, these two processes linked to water vapor transport are favored within the top meters of the snow because of high snow porosity. However, tritium concentrations show such a large variability that diffusion effects are impactless, and it is not expected that ^{10}Be , sodium concentration,

and water isotopes are affected similarly by fractionating postdeposition effects. We thus believe that the correlation observed between stable water isotopes parameters, sodium concentration, and tritium is at least partly linked to atmospheric conditions modulating input of stratospheric air in East Antarctica.

Finally, our work opens new perspectives. In particular the combined use of tritium and stable water isotopes is a strong tool to assess the input of stratospheric moisture in the past (only recent past for tritium because of its radioactive decay). This combination can thus be used to quantify the evolution over the last decades of stratospheric versus tropospheric moisture inputs, that is, distinguish winters with frequent arrivals of warm air from the coast from winters with more local moisture sources.

Acknowledgments

We thank Camille Risi for her modeling expertise, Arnaud Dapoigny for his technical contribution to the tritium measurements, and Melanie Baroni, Elisabeth Schlosser, and AlexandraTouzeau for fruitful discussions. We are also grateful to two anonymous reviewers who provided helpful comments to greatly improve this manuscript. Amaelle Landais and Alexandre Cauquoin have received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013)/ERC grant agreement 306045. The table reporting detailed tritium data of the three pits can be downloaded in the supporting information.

References

- Abram, N. J., Mulvaney, R., Vimeux, F., Phipps, S. J., Turner, J., & England, M. H. (2014). Evolution of the Southern Annular Mode during the past millennium. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(7), 564–569. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2235>
- Abram, N. J., Wolff, E. W., & Curran, M. A. J. (2013). A review of sea ice proxy information from polar ice cores. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 79, 168–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.01.011>
- Barkan, E., & Luz, B. (2005). High precision measurements of $^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ ratios in H_2O . *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 19(24), 3737–3742. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.2250>
- Barkan, E., & Luz, B. (2007). Diffusivity fractionations of $\text{H}_2^{16}\text{O}/\text{H}_2^{17}\text{O}$ and $\text{H}_2^{16}\text{O}/\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}$ in air and their implications for isotope hydrology. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 21(18), 2999–3005. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.3180>
- Baroni, M., Bard, E., Petit, J.-R., Magand, O., & Bourlès, D. (2011). Volcanic and solar activity, and atmospheric circulation influences on cosmogenic ^{10}Be fallout at Vostok and Concordia (Antarctica) over the last 60 years. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75(22), 7132–7145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.09.002>
- Baroni, M., Thiemens, M. H., Delmas, R. J., & Savarino, J. (2007). Mass-independent sulfur isotopic compositions in stratospheric volcanic eruptions. *Science*, 315(5808), 84–87. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1131754>
- Becagli, S., Proposito, M., Benassai, S., Flora, O., Genoni, L., Gragnani, R., et al. (2004). Chemical and isotopic snow variability in East Antarctica along the 2001/02 ITASE traverse. *Annals of Glaciology*, 39(1), 473–482. <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756404781814636>
- Bigler, M., Röthlisberger, R., Lambert, F., Wolff, E. W., Castellano, E., Udristi, R., et al. (2010). Atmospheric decadal variability from high-resolution Dome C ice core records of aerosol constituents beyond the Last Interglacial. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 29(1–2), 324–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2009.09.009>
- Cauquoin, A., Jean-Baptiste, P., Risi, C., Fourré, É., & Landais, A. (2016). Modeling the global bomb tritium transient signal with the AGCM LMDZ-iso: A method to evaluate aspects of the hydrological cycle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, 12,612–12,629. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025484>
- Cauquoin, A., Jean-Baptiste, P., Risi, C., Fourré, É., Stenni, B., & Landais, A. (2015). The global distribution of natural tritium in precipitation simulated with an Atmospheric General Circulation Model and comparison with observations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 427, 160–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.06.043>
- Cauquoin, A., & Risi, C. (2017). Importance of the advection scheme for the simulation of water isotopes over Antarctica by general circulation models: A case study with LMDZ-iso (LMDZ5a revision 1750). *Geoscientific Model Development Discussion*, 11, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2017-178>
- Compo, G. P., Whitaker, J. S., Sardeshmukh, P. D., Matsui, N., Allan, R. J., Yin, X., et al. (2011). The twentieth century reanalysis project. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 137(654), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.776>
- Dufresne, J.-L., Foujols, M.-A., Denvil, S., Caubel, A., Marti, O., Aumont, O., et al. (2013). Climate change projections using the IPSL-CM5 Earth System Model: From CMIP3 to CMIP5. *Climate Dynamics*, 40(9–10), 2123–2165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-012-1636-1>
- Ehhalt, D. H., Rohrer, F., Schauffler, S., & Pollock, W. (2002). Tritiated water vapor in the stratosphere: Vertical profiles and residence time. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(D24), 4757. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001343>
- Ekaykin, A. A., Kozachek, A. V., Lipenkov, V. Y., & Shibaev, Y. A. (2014). Multiple climate shifts in the Southern Hemisphere over the past three centuries based on central Antarctic snow pits and core studies. *Annals of Glaciology*, 55(66), 259–266. <https://doi.org/10.3189/2014AoG66A189>
- Ekaykin, A. A., Lipenkov, V. Y., Barkov, N. I., Petit, J. R., & Masson-Delmotte, V. (2002). Spatial and temporal variability in isotope composition of recent snow in the vicinity of Vostok station, Antarctica: Implications for ice-core record interpretation. *Annals of Glaciology*, 35(1), 181–186. <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781816726>
- Epica, C. M. (2004). Eight glacial cycles from an Antarctic ice core. *Nature*, 429(6992), 623–628. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02599>
- Fischer, H., Siggaard-Andersen, M. L., Ruth, U., Röthlisberger, R., & Wolff, E. W. (2007). Glacial/interglacial changes in mineral dust and sea-salt records in polar ice cores: Sources, transport, and deposition. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 45, RG1002. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005RG000192>
- Fogt, R. L., & Bromwich, D. H. (2006). Decadal variability of the ENSO teleconnection to the high-latitude South Pacific governed by coupling with the southern annular mode. *Journal of Climate*, 19(6), 979–997. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3671.1>
- Fourré, E., Jean-Baptiste, P., Dapoigny, A., Baumier, D., Petit, J. R., & Jouzel, J. (2006). Past and recent tritium levels in Arctic and Antarctic polar caps. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 245(1–2), 56–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.03.003>
- Higgins, J. A., Kurbatov, A. V., Spaulding, N. E., Brook, E., Introne, D. S., Chimiak, L. M., et al. (2015). Atmospheric composition 1 million years ago from blue ice in the Allan Hills, Antarctica. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(22), 6887–6891. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1420232112>
- Hoshina, Y., Fujita, K., Izuka, Y., & Motoyama, H. (2016). Inconsistent relationships between major ions and water stable isotopes in Antarctic snow under different accumulation environments. *Polar Science*, 10(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2015.12.003>
- Hourdin, F., & Armengaud, A. (1999). The use of finite-volume methods for atmospheric advection of trace species. Part I: Test of various formulations in a general circulation model. *Monthly Weather Review*, 127(5), 822–837. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1999\)127%3C0822:TUOFVM%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1999)127%3C0822:TUOFVM%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Jean-Baptiste, P., Mantsi, F., Dapoigny, A., & Stievenard, M. (1992). Design and performance of a mass spectrometric facility for measuring helium isotopes in natural waters and for low-level tritium determination by the ^3He ingrowth method. *International Journal of Radiation Applications and Instrumentation. Part A. Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 43(7), 881–891. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-2889\(92\)90150-D](https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-2889(92)90150-D)

- Johnsen, S. J., Clausen, H. B., Cuffey, K. M., Hoffmann, G., Schwander, J., & Creyts, T. (2000). Diffusion of stable isotopes in polar firn and ice: The isotope effect in firn diffusion. *Physics of Ice Core Records*, 159, 121–140.
- Jouzel, J., Vimeux, F., Caillon, N., Delaygue, G., Hoffmann, G., Masson-Delmotte, V., & Parrenin, F. (2003). Magnitude of isotope/temperature scaling for interpretation of central Antarctic ice cores. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108(D12), 4361. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002677>
- Lambert, F., Bigler, M., Steffensen, J. P., Hutterli, M., & Fischer, H. (2012). Centennial mineral dust variability in high-resolution ice core data from Dome C, Antarctica. *Climate of the Past*, 8(2), 609–623. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-8-609-2012>
- Landais, A., Barkan, E., & Luz, B. (2008). Record of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and ^{17}O -excess in ice from Vostok Antarctica during the last 150,000 years. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L02709. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GL032096>
- Legrand, M., Yang, X., Preunkert, S., & Theys, N. (2016). Year-round records of sea salt, gaseous, and particulate inorganic bromine in the atmospheric boundary layer at coastal (Dumont d'Urville) and central (Concordia) East Antarctic sites. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, 997–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD024066>
- Libois, Q., Picard, G., Arnaud, L., Morin, S., & Brun, E. (2014). Modeling the impact of snow drift on the decameter-scale variability of snow properties on the Antarctic Plateau. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 119, 11,662–11,681. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022361>
- Lucas, L. L., & Unterwieser, M. P. (2000). Comprehensive review and critical evaluation of the half-life of tritium. *Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology*, 105(4), 541–549. <https://doi.org/10.6028/jres.105.043>
- Marshall, G. J. (2003). Trends in the southern annular mode from observations and reanalyses. *Journal of Climate*, 16(24), 4134–4143. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2003\)016%3C4134:TITSAM%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016%3C4134:TITSAM%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Marshall, G. J., & National Center for Atmospheric Research Staff (2016). The Climate Data Guide : Marshall Southern Annular Mode (SAM) Index (Station-based). Retrieved from <https://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/climate-data/marshall-southern-annular-mode-sam-index-station-based>
- Masarik, J., & Beer, J. (2009). An updated simulation of particle fluxes and cosmogenic nuclide production in the Earth's atmosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114, D11103. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010557>
- Münch, T., Kipfstuhl, S., Freitag, J., Meyer, H., & Laepple, T. (2016). Regional climate signal vs. local noise: A two-dimensional view of water isotopes in Antarctic firn at Kohnen Station, Dronning Maud Land. *Climate of the Past*, 12(7), 1565–1581. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-12-1565-2016>
- Neumann, T. A., & Waddington, E. D. (2004). Effects of firn ventilation on isotopic exchange. *Journal of Glaciology*, 50(169), 183–194. <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756504781830150>
- Proposito, M., Becagli, S., Castellano, E., Flora, O., Genoni, L., Gragnani, R., et al. (2002). Chemical and isotopic snow variability along the 1998 ITASE traverse from Terra Nova Bay to Dome C, East Antarctica. *Annals of Glaciology*, 35(1), 187–194. <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781817167>
- Rankin, A. M., Auld, V., & Wolff, E. W. (2000). Frost flowers as a source of fractionated sea salt aerosol in the polar regions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27(21), 3469–3472. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL011771>
- Risi, C., Bony, S., Vimeux, F., & Jouzel, J. (2010). Water-stable isotopes in the LMDZ4 general circulation model: Model evaluation for present-day and past climates and applications to climatic interpretations of tropical isotopic records. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115, D12118. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013255>
- Roscoe, H. K. (2004). Possible descent across the “tropopause” in Antarctic winter. *Advances in Space Research*, 33(7), 1048–1052. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1177\(03\)00587-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1177(03)00587-8)
- Schlosser, E., Stenni, B., Valt, M., Cagnati, A., Powers, J. G., Manning, K. W., et al. (2016). Precipitation and synoptic regime in two extreme years 2009 and 2010 at Dome C, Antarctica—Implications for ice core interpretation. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(8), 4757–4770. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-4757-2016>
- Schneider, D. P., Steig, E. J., Van Ommen, T. D., Dixon, D. A., Mayewski, P. A., Jones, J. M., & Bitz, C. M. (2006). Antarctic temperatures over the past two centuries from ice cores. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33, L16707. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027057>
- Thompson, D. W. J., & Solomon, S. (2002). Interpretation of recent Southern Hemisphere climate change. *Science*, 296(5569), 895–899. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1069270>
- Thompson, D. W. J., & Wallace, J. M. (2000). Annular modes in the extratropical circulation. Part I: Month-to-month variability. *Journal of Climate*, 13(5), 1000–1016. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2000\)013%3C1000:AMITEC%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013%3C1000:AMITEC%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Thompson, D. W. J., Wallace, J. M., & Hegerl, G. C. (2000). Annular modes in the extratropical circulation. Part II: Trends. *Journal of Climate*, 13(5), 1018–1036. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2000\)013%3C1018:AMITEC%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013%3C1018:AMITEC%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Touzeau, A., Landais, A., Stenni, B., Uemura, R., Fukui, K., Fujita, S., et al. (2016). Acquisition of isotopic composition for surface snow in East Antarctica and the links to climatic parameters. *The Cryosphere*, 10(2), 837–852. <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-10-837-2016>
- Town, M. S., Warren, S. G., Walden, V. P., & Waddington, E. D. (2008). Effect of atmospheric water vapor on modification of stable isotopes in near-surface snow on ice sheets. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, D24303. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009852>
- United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (2000). Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. Report to the General Assembly, with annexes. United Nations sales publication no E.77.IX.3 (ISBN 92-1-145538-8). New York.
- van den Broeke, M. R., & van Lipzig, N. P. M. (2003). Factors controlling the near-surface wind field in Antarctica. *Monthly Weather Review*, 131(4), 733–743. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(2003\)131%3C0733:FACTNSW%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(2003)131%3C0733:FACTNSW%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Wagenbach, D., Legrand, M., Fischer, H., Pichlmayer, F., & Wolff, E. W. (1998). Atmospheric near-surface nitrate at coastal Antarctic sites. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(D9), 11,007–11,020. <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD03364>
- Winkler, R., Landais, A., Risi, C., Baroni, M., Ekaykin, A., Jouzel, J., et al. (2013). Interannual variation of water isotopologues at Vostok indicates a contribution from stratospheric water vapor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(44), 17,674–17,679. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1215209110>
- Wolff, E. W., Rankin, A. M., & Röthlisberger, R. (2003). An ice core indicator of Antarctic sea ice production? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(22), 2158. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018454>
- Yang, X., Pyle, J. A., & Cox, R. A. (2008). Sea salt aerosol production and bromine release: Role of snow on sea ice. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L16815. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034536>