Water quality affects the structure of copepod assemblages along the Sfax southern coast (Tunisia, southern Mediterranean Sea)

Zaher Drira^{A,D}, Salma Kmiha-Megdiche^A, Houda Sahnoun^B, Marc Pagano^C, Marc Tedetti^C and Habib Ayadi^A

^ABiodiversity and Aquatic Ecosystems UR/11ES72 Research Unit, Department of Life Sciences, Sfax Faculty of Sciences, University of Sfax, Soukra Road kilometre 3.5, BP 1171, 3000 Sfax, Tunisia.

^BCoastal and Urban Environment Research Unit, Sfax Preparatory Engineering Institute (IPEIS), BP 901, 13288 Sfax, Tunisia.

^CAix-Marseille University, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, University of Toulon, Research Institute for Development (IRD), Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography, UM 110, 13288 Marseille, France.

^DCorresponding author. Email: zaherdrira@yahoo.fr

Abstract. The Sfax southern coast (Gulf of Gabes, Mediterranean Sea) has been under increased anthropogenic pressure for many years. In the present study we investigated the effects of this anthropisation on the spatial distribution of copepod assemblages in relation to the physicochemical features of seawater at 20 stations sampled on 19 March 2013. Copepods represented 73% of total zooplankton abundance. Small planktonic copepods (<1.45 mm), including pollution-tolerant species (e.g. *Oithona nana*, *Paracalanus parvus*, *Harpacticus littoralis* and *Tisbe battagliai*), proliferated exclusively in stations of ~0.5-m depth characterised by high coastal anthropogenic inputs. The largest copepod species were dominated by *Calanus helgolandicus* (1.45–2.5 mm) in the offshore zone in depths of ~3 m. Substantial numbers of *Oithona plumifera* (7.5%) were found at depths between 0.5 and 3 m. Copepod diversity was significantly higher in the southern zone, which is less affected by sewage, than in the northern zone, which was subjected to higher pressure (Shannon–Wiener index $H' = 1.5$ – 2.5 and ≤ 1.5 bits individual⁻¹). A shift in the planktonic copepod community between the two zones was linked to deterioration of water quality, with higher phosphorus levels, turbidity and chemical oxygen demand (COD) in the northern zone.

Additional keywords: anthropogenic inputs, chemical oxygen demand–5-day biochemical oxygen demand, COD–BOD₅, diversity, zooplankton.

Introduction

Plankton represents the base of the marine food web and thus plays a pivotal role in fisheries. Primary productivity and plankton growth are closely related to the physicochemical parameters of seawater (i.e. light, nutrients and oxygen; Shastri 2000; Gang *et al.* 2006; Khwaja *et al.* 2014). Zooplankton is an essential component of the aquatic ecosystem. It is the faunal component that occupies the primary consumer level and forms a link between microscopic photosynthetic algae and fish (Madin *et al.* 2001; Sundaresan and Kumar 2013). Zooplankton feed on phytoplankton and are, in turn, consumed by fish and macroinvertebrates (Sharma 1998; Biswas 2015). Zooplankton communities depend on the nutrient content of an ecosystem and rapidly respond to changes in nutrient content (Dodson 1992). Their diversity and abundance are very sensitive to any changes in physicochemical conditions, thus providing information

about the health of an aquatic system and of any damage and threat to the ecosystem (Rosenberg and Resh 1993; Biswas 2015; Sanyal *et al.* 2015). Zooplankton is not only a pertinent bioindicator of pollution load, but can also be used to assess the changing trophic status of an aquatic system (Mukhopadhyay *et al.* 2007; Kushwaha and Agrahari 2014; Sanyal *et al.* 2015).

The Sfax southern coast (southern Mediterranean Sea, Tunisia) has been under increased anthropogenic pressure for many years due to industrial activities, including phosphate treatment, ship activity in commercial and fishing harbors, agricultural activity, including salt marshes and the storage of olive oil waste (margins), and the dumping of considerable volumes of urban waste in the Thyna landfill and municipal wastewater treatment plants (Zaghdien *et al.* 2005; Gargouri 2006; Choura *et al.* 2015). Hence, in the southern part of Sfax, many different sources release diverse compounds into marine waters, including

Fig. 1. Location of the study stations along the southern coast of Sfax (Tunisia) in the southern Mediterranean Sea, sampled in spring (March 2013). Stations 1–10, northern stations; Stations 11–20, southern stations. SIAPE, phosphoric acid and fertiliser plant; WWTP, wastewater treatment plant (located in front of Station 9). The fishing harbour is located close to Stations 1 and 2.

phosphates, fluorides, sulfates, naturally occurring radionuclides, trace metals and other trace elements, hydrocarbons and polyphenolic and flavonoid compounds (Zaghden *et al.* 2005; Tayibi *et al.* 2009; Mezghani-Chaari *et al.* 2011). These discharges have led to increases in biological oxygen demand (BOD), dissolved oxygen (DO) and suspended particulate matter (SPM), in addition to changes in pH, in the receiving water (Davis and Marshall 1998; Negi and Rajput 2013).

A recent study evaluated the water quality along the Sfax southern coast by analysing physicochemical parameters (temperature, salinity, pH), major anions (Cl^- , HCO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , F^-), major cations (Ca^{2+} , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+}) and biogeochemical parameters (nutrients, turbidity, SPM, total polyphenolic and flavonoid compounds, chemical oxygen demand (COD), 5-day BOD (BOD_5); Drira *et al.* 2016). The Sfax northern coast has been partly restored as part of the Taparura Project, including rehabilitation of the site of a former industrial complex, cleaning up of beaches and restoration of the area (Callaert *et al.* 2009). This project led to significant improvements in plankton communities and water quality (Rekik *et al.* 2013a). For this reason, a future project restoring the southern area is deemed necessary to ameliorate the biological quality of the seawater (primarily planktonic aspects).

The aims of the present study were to: (1) investigate the spatial distribution of zooplankton (copepod) assemblages along the Sfax southern coast; and (2) assess relationships between zooplankton composition and the physicochemical parameters of seawater, which were determined in the same samples and published previously (Drira *et al.* 2016), using

multivariate analysis. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to evaluate the effects of anthropogenic inputs on the structure and spatial distribution of copepod assemblages in along the southern Sfax coast.

Materials and methods

Study area and sampling

The study area was along the coastline of Sfax city (34°44'N, 10°46'E), located in the south-east of Tunisia in the northern part of the Gulf of Gabes (southern Mediterranean Sea; Fig. 1). The area is characterised by shallow waters and an important biodiversity with endemic *Posidonia oceanica* seagrass beds, which were replaced by green tides caused by coastal *Ulva rigida* (Ben Brahim *et al.* 2010). Despite its ecological significance, the southern Sfax coast has been under serious threat for many decades from maritime, urban and industrial activities. The major sources of pollution include urban and industrial wastewaters, the fishing harbour and phosphate-processing coproducts (Aloulou *et al.* 2012). The main industries in Sfax are phosphates, chemical products, textiles, olive oil, food, soap and paint (Barhoumi *et al.* 2009).

In the present study, 20 stations were sampled at high tide during a spring cruise conducted on 19 March 2013 along the Sfax southern coast on the vessel *Taparura*. Station depths ranged from 0.3 to 3.5 m (Figs 1, 2a). Stations located in the northern part of this area (S1–10) were the most affected by sewage from the El Maou wadi, the phosphate industry and the waste water treatment plant (WWTP), whereas stations located in the southern part of this area (S11–20), bordering the solar

Fig. 2. Spatial variation of zooplankton parameters at Stations 1–20 sampled off the southern coast of Sfax in spring (March 2013): abundance of (a) total zooplankton, (b) miscellaneous zooplankton, (c) total copepods, (d) calanoids, (e) harpacticoids, (f) cyclopoids, (g) poecilostomatoids, (h) adult males, (i) adult females, (j) copepodids and (k) nauplii; (l) size of copepod species; (m) number of copepod taxa; and (n) diversity index.

saltern marsh, were less affected although they did receive inputs from the Hakmouni wadi (Fig. 1).

Zooplankton was collected using a cylindroconical net (aperture 30 cm, height 100 cm, mesh size 100 μm) equipped with a Hydro-Bios flowmeter (mechanical flow meter HB 438 111, Muchtar Tabrani, Bekasi, Indonesia, see https://www.jualo.com/elektronik/iklan-hydro-bios-flow-meter_2, accessed 14 September 2017). The net was towed obliquely from near bottom to the surface at each station at a mean speed of 1 m s^{-1} over a period of 4 min. After collection, zooplankton samples (200 mL) were rapidly preserved in a buffered formaldehyde solution (2%). Samples were subsequently stained with Rose Bengal to identify the internal tissues of the different zooplankton species and to facilitate copepod dissection.

Zooplankton analysis

Zooplankton samples were identified according to Rose (1933), Bradford-Grieve (1999) and Costanzo *et al.* (2000). The different copepod species were sorted into four demographic classes (nauplii, copepodids, adult males and adult females). Miscellaneous zooplankton were also counted according to Tregouboff and Rose (1978a, 1978b). Enumeration of the entire zooplankton sample was performed under a vertically mounted deep-focus dissecting microscope (TL 2; Olympus Australia Pty Ltd, Melbourne, Vic., Australia) and numerical density was expressed as the number of individuals per cubic metre. The total length of the body of adult copepods was measured for each species in each station sampled (10 individuals for each species in each sample). The body lengths of the different copepod species were measured using an eyepiece micrometer and taking into consideration the several body parts that make up the whole body. The sex ratio (expressed as a percentage) was estimated for each copepod species according to Wiwatanaratnabutr and Grandjean (2016) as follows:

$$\text{number of males} \div (\text{number of males} + \text{number of females}) \times 100$$

Data processing and statistical analysis

Geographic information systems (GIS) tools through ArcGIS, ver. 10.2 (Esri, Redlands, CA, USA), were used to create contour plots. Kriging was the method used to build maps relative to spatial distribution for all dataset parameters. Mesozooplankton diversity was measured using a range of univariate and multivariate diversity measurements. Species were assessed using the Shannon–Wiener index, H' (Shannon and Weaver 1949):

$$H' = - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n_i}{N} \log_2 \frac{n_i}{N}$$

where n_i is the number of individuals of taxon i in the sample and N is the total number of organisms of all species. The dominance index, δ , for copepod species was calculated using the following formula:

$$\delta = (n_1 + n_2) \div N$$

where δ is the dominance index equal to the percentage contribution of the two most important species ($n_1 + n_2$) of

the total standing stock and N is total individual abundance. Unpaired Student's t -tests were used to evaluate differences between the northern (S1–10) and southern (S11–20) stations in terms of physical, physicochemical and biogeochemical parameters with a confidence level of 95%. Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.

The spatial variability of copepod communities in relation to environmental variables was assessed using multivariate analysis after $\log_{10}(x + 1)$ data transformation (Sokal and Rohlf 1981). Analyses were conducted using two datasets, the first containing the frequencies of copepod taxa and the second containing the environmental and trophic variables, with the diversity index (H') considered as an independent variable. Factorial correspondence analysis (FCA) and principal component analysis (PCA) were performed on these two datasets respectively. The results of the two analyses were associated through a co-inertia analysis (Dolédéc and Chessel 1994). Analyses were performed using Ade-4 software (Laboratory of Biometry, Genetics and Population Biology, University of Lyon 1, Lyon, France) (Thioulouse *et al.* 1997). Pearson's rank correlations were conducted using XLStat 2014 (Thierry Fahmy, University of California—Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA) to determine potential correlations between the copepod community and the physicochemical variables.

Data are given as the mean $\pm$ s.d.

Results

Spatial distribution of zooplankton according to environmental conditions

Table 1 summarises the mean values of zooplankton parameters and selected environmental parameters using data from Drira *et al.* (2016) in the northern (S1–10) and southern (S11–20) stations.

Total zooplankton abundance varied from 1.43×10^3 individuals m^{-3} at S20 to 47.95×10^3 individuals m^{-3} at S10, with a mean of $10.25 \pm 12.55 \times 10^3$ individuals m^{-3} (Fig. 2a; Table 1). Zooplankton assemblages were dominated by copepods, which represented 73% of total zooplankton abundance, whereas the abundance of miscellaneous zooplankton did not exceed 27% (Table 2). The density of non-copepod zooplankton varied from 0.25×10^3 individuals m^{-3} at S20 to 25.57×10^3 individuals m^{-3} at S10, with a mean of $3.07 \pm 5.40 \times 10^3$ individuals m^{-3} (Fig. 2b; Table 1). Polychaete larvae, cirriped larvae, ostracods, jellyfish, zoea and fish eggs were also permanent components of meroplankton contributing to 81% of the non-copepod abundance. Conversely, appendicularians, cladocerans and foraminifera were permanent components of the holoplankton, but did not exceed 19% of miscellaneous zooplankton abundance (Table 2). Total copepod abundance varied from 0.49×10^3 individuals m^{-3} at S17 to 35.85×10^3 individuals m^{-3} at S6, with a mean of $7.18 \pm 8.89 \times 10^3$ individuals m^{-3} (Fig. 2c; Table 1).

In all, 27 different copepod species were identified during a single cruise in March 2013 belonging to four different orders: Calanoida, Cyclopoida, Harpacticoida and Poecilostomatoida (Table 2). Calanoida was the most diverse order (12 species), followed by Poecilostomatoida (6 species), Cyclopoida (5 species) and Harpacticoida (4 species), contributing to 39, 10, 29 and 22%

Table 1. Summary of zooplankton parameters (present study) and environmental variables (from Drira *et al.* 2016) along the Sfax southern coast in spring (March 2013) at the northern and southern stations

Data are the mean $\pm$ s.d. The last column shows results of Student's *t*-tests for comparisons between northern (Stations 1–10) and southern (Stations 11–20) stations. Asterisks denote significant differences between Northern and Southern stations: *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.001$; ***, $P < 0.0001$. NTU, nephelometric turbidity units; SPM, suspended particulate matter; COD, chemical oxygen demand; BOD₅, 5-day biochemical oxygen demand; Chl-*a*, chlorophyll-*a*

	Northern stations	Southern stations	<i>t</i> (d.f)
Physical and chemical parameters			
Depth (m)	1.59 $\pm$ 1.06	0.97 $\pm$ 0.44	1.95 (18)
Temperature (°C)	17.9 $\pm$ 2.20	15.20 $\pm$ 0.80	4.75 (18)***
Salinity	37.4 $\pm$ 1.00	40	4.20 (18)***
pH	7.86 $\pm$ 0.14	8.39 $\pm$ 0.07	9.00 (18)***
Biogeochemical parameters			
NO ₃ [−] (μM)	6.44 $\pm$ 2.70	6.56 $\pm$ 1.74	0.26 (18)
NO ₂ [−] (μM)	0.31 $\pm$ 0.11	0.32 $\pm$ 0.14	0.16 (18)
NH ₄ ⁺ (μM)	3.59 $\pm$ 1.71	4.25 $\pm$ 1.36	1.05 (18)
Total N (μM)	21.04 $\pm$ 3.57	21.22 $\pm$ 2.82	0.43 (18)
PO ₄ ^{3−} (μM)	12.72 $\pm$ 5.19	9.65 $\pm$ 2.72	0.74 (18)
Total P (μM)	32.78 $\pm$ 12.86	27.73 $\pm$ 11.99	0.47 (18)
Si(OH) ₄ (μM)	33.15 $\pm$ 16.64	28.66 $\pm$ 13.90	0.04 (18)
N/P ratio	0.99 $\pm$ 0.53	1.26 $\pm$ 0.51	0.66 (18)
Turbidity (NTU)	5.47 $\pm$ 1.65	0.58 $\pm$ 0.24	9.64 (18)***
SPM (mg L ^{−1})	29.45 $\pm$ 6.95	29.17 $\pm$ 2.57	1.04 (18)
COD ($\times 10^3$ mg L ^{−1})	2.13 $\pm$ 0.58	1.66 $\pm$ 0.16	1.71 (18)*
BOD ₅ (mg L ^{−1})	65.30 $\pm$ 31.90	55.30 $\pm$ 26.50	0.07 (18)
BOD ₅ /COD	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	0.03 $\pm$ 0.01	0.77 (18)
COD/BOD ₅	42.26 $\pm$ 27.11	37.94 $\pm$ 19.07	0.73 (18)
SPM/BOD ₅	0.63 $\pm$ 0.47	0.67 $\pm$ 0.35	0.30 (18)
Chl- <i>a</i> ($\times 10^{-2}$ μg L ^{−1})	0.64 $\pm$ 0.56	0.33 $\pm$ 0.25	1.60 (18)
Zooplankton			
Abundance ($\times 10^3$ individuals m ^{−3})			
Total zooplankton	15.40 $\pm$ 16.28	5.10 $\pm$ 2.92	1.69 (18)
Non-copepod zooplankton	4.26 $\pm$ 7.57	1.88 $\pm$ 0.97	0.60 (18)
Total copepods	11.14 $\pm$ 11.23	3.21 $\pm$ 2.35	2.10 (18)*
Calanoids	3.96 $\pm$ 5.40	0.30 $\pm$ 0.36	2.00 (18)
Harpacticoids	1.76 $\pm$ 2.69	1.68 $\pm$ 2.01	0.62 (18)
Cyclopoids	3.60 $\pm$ 3.75	0.61 $\pm$ 0.64	2.49 (18)*
Poecilostomatoids	0.68 $\pm$ 1.47	0.28 $\pm$ 0.32	0.21 (18)
Adult males	0.38 $\pm$ 0.72	0.05 $\pm$ 0.09	0.65 (18)
Adult females	5.09 $\pm$ 9.25	0.68 $\pm$ 1.08	3.11 (18)**
Copepodids	3.95 $\pm$ 6.37	1.02 $\pm$ 1.92	1.84 (18)
Nauplii	1.70 $\pm$ 3.68	1.44 $\pm$ 0.61	1.84 (18)
Length of copepod species (mm)	0.84 $\pm$ 0.08	0.88 $\pm$ 0.04	1.34 (18)
Ratio males/adults (%)	13 $\pm$ 30	20 $\pm$ 36	0.46 (18)
Shannon index (bits individual ^{−1})	0.75 $\pm$ 0.89	1.54 $\pm$ 0.63	1.70 (18)*
Number of copepod species	16.70 $\pm$ 4.59	21.70 $\pm$ 3.70	2.59 (18)*
Dominance index (%)	53.54 $\pm$ 18.08	65.96 $\pm$ 14.16	2.61 (18)**

of the total abundance respectively (Table 2). Among the calanoid copepods, *Paracalanus parvus*, *Calanus helgolandicus* and *Paracartia latisetosa* were the most abundant species, accounting for 14, 7.5 and 6.5% of total copepod abundance respectively. Among the cyclopoid copepods, *Oithona nana* and *Oithona plumifera* were the most abundant species, accounting for 14 and 7.5% of total copepod abundance respectively. *Tisbe battagliai*, *Euterpina acutifrons* and *Harpacticus littoralis* were the dominant harpacticoid species and accounted for 13, 9 and 3.5% of total copepod abundance respectively. Among the poecilostomatoid copepods, *Corycaeus clausi* was dominant, accounting for 1.5% of total copepod abundance.

The peak abundance of copepod community recorded at S6 was associated with a high density of calanoids, harpacticoids, cyclopoids, adult males and adult females (Fig. 2d–f, h, i, k; Tables 1, 2). However, poecilostomatoid density and the percentage of males reached maximum values at S5 (Fig. 2g; Table 1). In all sampled stations, a low percentage of larval-stage (copepodids 35%, nauplii 22%) individuals (Table 2) and a high percentage of adults (43% of total copepod abundance) were recorded. There was a greater number of adult females than males (Table 2), which affected the sex ratio (% males). In fact, the sex ratio did not exceed 20% (Table 1). The Shannon–Wiener index (*H'*) for copepods was low, with values ranging

Table 2. Taxonomic composition and quantitative aspects of the zooplankton community along the Sfax southern coast in spring (March 2013)
Data are the mean $\pm$ s.d. Stations with maximum abundance are listed for each taxon. RA, relative abundance; TL, total length; FO, frequency of occurrence; SWMSA, station with maximum species abundance

Zooplankton	Abbreviation	RA (%)	TL (mm)	FO (%)	Density (individuals m ⁻³)	SWMSA
Copepods (73%)						
Small copepods (≤ 1.45 mm)		92.74				
Calanoids						
<i>Acartia clausi</i> (Giesbrecht, 1889)	<i>A cl</i>	0.49	1.010 $\pm$ 0.001	55	31.83	5
<i>Acartia longiremis</i> (Lilljeborg, 1853)	<i>A lo</i>	0.06	1.220 $\pm$ 0.004	35	3.99	3
<i>Acartia danae</i> (Giesbrecht, 1889)	<i>A da</i>	0.05	1.120 $\pm$ 0.008	45	3.42	3
<i>Acartia</i> sp. (Dana, 1846)	<i>A sp</i>	0.15	1.060 $\pm$ 0.006	50	9.45	14
<i>Paracalanus parvus</i> (Claus, 1863)	<i>Par p</i>	14.01	1.010 $\pm$ 0.005	100	905.80	10
<i>Paracartia grani</i> (Sars, 1904)	<i>Par g</i>	0.14	1.150 $\pm$ 0.007	40	8.99	3
<i>Paracartia latisetosa</i> (Kritchagin, 1873)	<i>Par l</i>	6.32	1.200 $\pm$ 0.007	75	408.90	6
<i>Temora longicornis</i> (Müller, 1792)	<i>Tem l</i>	1.20	1.020 $\pm$ 0.011	75	77.34	3
<i>Centropages typicus</i> (Kroyer, 1849)	<i>Cen t</i>	3.09	1.400 $\pm$ 0.010	25	200.10	6
<i>Isias clavipes</i> (Boeck, 1865)	<i>Isi</i>	0.10	1.240 $\pm$ 0.015	50	6.22	17
Harpacticoids						
<i>Clytemnestra scutellata</i> (Dana, 1847)	<i>Cly</i>	1.34	0.600 $\pm$ 0.010	25	86.84	10
<i>Euterpina acutifrons</i> (Dana, 1847)	<i>Eut a</i>	8.93	0.390 $\pm$ 0.014	65	576.97	12
<i>Harpacticus littoralis</i> (Sars, 1910)	<i>Har l</i>	3.42	0.320 $\pm$ 0.014	75	221.45	11
<i>Tisbe battagliai</i> (Volkmann-Rocco, 1972)	<i>Tis</i>	13.00	1.400 $\pm$ 0.090	95	840.12	6
Cyclopoids						
<i>Oithona brevicornis</i> (Giesbrecht, 1891)	<i>Oit br</i>	1.06	0.650 $\pm$ 0.156	95	68.45	4
<i>Oithona nana</i> (Giesbrecht, 1892)	<i>Oit n</i>	14.87	0.560 $\pm$ 0.041	95	961.05	10
<i>Oithona plumifera</i> (Baird, 1843)	<i>Oit pl</i>	7.49	1.410 $\pm$ 0.034	95	483.79	6
<i>Oithona similis</i> (Claus, 1866)	<i>Oit s</i>	4.27	0.410 $\pm$ 0.037	90	276.30	7
<i>Oithona</i> sp. (Baird, 1843)	<i>Oit sp.</i>	5.11	0.880 $\pm$ 0.071	100	330.68	6
Poecilostomatoids						
<i>Oncaea mediterranea</i> (Claus, 1863)	<i>Onc m</i>	0.93	0.650 $\pm$ 0.003	65	60.27	7
<i>Oncaea minuta</i> (Giesbrecht, 1892)	<i>Onc mi</i>	0.23	0.620 $\pm$ 0.006	60	14.88	3
<i>Triconia conifera</i> (Giesbrecht, 1891)	<i>Tri</i>	0.26	0.650 $\pm$ 0.006	70	16.87	19
<i>Corycaeus clausi</i> (Dahl, 1894)	<i>Cor cl</i>	1.14	1.210 $\pm$ 0.035	90	73.56	16
<i>Corycaeus latus</i> (Dana, 1849)	<i>Cor la</i>	0.38	0.690 $\pm$ 0.007	85	24.40	5
<i>Corycaeus speciosus</i> (Dana, 1849)	<i>Cor sp</i>	0.05	0.800 $\pm$ 0.029	90	300.20	5
Large copepods (1.45–2.5 mm)		7.26				
Calanoids						
<i>Calanus helgolandicus</i> (Claus, 1863)	<i>Cal h</i>	7.23	1.780 $\pm$ 0.047	90	467.69	6
<i>Temora stylifera</i> (Dana, 1849)	<i>Tem s</i>	0.03	1.490 $\pm$ 0.008	30	1.84	11
Miscellaneous zooplankton (27%)						
Meroplankton		81				
Polychaeta larvae	<i>Pla</i>	18.36	0.612 $\pm$ 0.011	95	564.34	10
Cirripedia larvae	<i>Cla</i>	16.46	0.766 $\pm$ 0.015	90	505.69	10
Ostracoda	<i>Ost</i>	1.30	0.502 $\pm$ 0.001	15	40.05	6
Medusa	<i>Med</i>	0.61	0.120 $\pm$ 0.001	50	18.61	10
Zoea	<i>Zoe</i>	0.50	0.402 $\pm$ 0.002	55	15.21	5
Fish eggs	<i>Egg</i>	43.64	0.510 $\pm$ 0.005	100	1341.06	10
Holoplankton		19				
Appendicularia	<i>App</i>	16.12	1.025 $\pm$ 0.004	100	495.30	10
Cladocera	<i>Cla</i>	0.12	0.515 $\pm$ 0.003	35	3.75	18
Foraminifera	<i>For</i>	2.90	0.306 $\pm$ 0.006	70	89.06	10

between 0.03 bits individual⁻¹ (14 species, S6) and 2.42 bits individual⁻¹ (26 species, S17; Fig. 2m, n).

There were significant differences between the groups of stations in the two zones (northern v. southern) for several environmental parameters. Water temperature, turbidity and COD were significantly higher in the northern than southern stations, whereas salinity and pH were higher in southern than northern stations. However, there were no significant differences

for most zooplankton parameters between the northern and southern stations, except for total copepods, cyclopoids and total adult females, which were more abundant in the northern than southern stations. However, the number of copepod species, the Shannon–Wiener index (H') and the dominance index (δ) were higher in the southern than northern stations (Student's t -test, $P < 0.05$; Table 1). There was a tendency for δ to decrease from onshore to offshore stations (Fig. 3).

Fig. 4. Co-inertia analysis. Ordination on the axes (1, 2) of (a) copepod taxa and (b) environmental variables and plots of the sampling points on the (c) first and (d) axes second of the two systems. The diagonal lines represent equality between the coordinates on the two systems. (a) Symbols used to indicate taxa are defined in Table 2. S1–20, Stations 1–20; Chl-*a*, chlorophyll-*a*; H' , Shannon–Wiener diversity index; TP, total P; TN, total N; BOD₅, 5-day biochemical oxygen demand; SPM, suspended particulate matter; COD, chemical oxygen demand.

poor diversity. Quite low H' values for copepod communities (0.48–0.89 bits individual⁻¹) were reported in 2008 in the same area (Ben Salem *et al.* 2015). In contrast, the Sfax northern coast (north of the commercial harbour), partly rehabilitated through the TaparuraProject (including confinement of phosphogypsum and depollution of coastal waters; Callaert *et al.* 2009), was characterised by much higher H' values in the same season (most values >2 bits individual⁻¹, with a maximum of 3.5 bits individual⁻¹; Rekik *et al.* 2012). Note the difference in H' between the southern (present study) and northern (investigated by Rekik *et al.* 2012) coasts in the same season, spring, after the rehabilitation process through the TaparuraProject. The nutrient (NO_3^- and PO_4^{3-}) concentrations in the present study are considerably (2- and 20-fold respectively) higher than those reported by Rekik *et al.* (2012). Indeed, high nutrient inputs in a mesotrophic system hinder the stability of the ecosystem, thus leading to a reduction in species richness (Bell 2005; Ben Salem *et al.* 2015). In the present study, with regard to H' values, we may also consider that the water quality in the northern zone of the study area ($H' = 0.75 \pm 0.89$) was substantially degraded compared with that in the southern zone ($H' = 1.54 \pm 0.63$), less affected by the sewage from El Maou wadi, the phosphate industry and WWTP, even though nutrient concentrations were

not significantly different between the two zones (Student's *t*-test, $P > 0.05$).

The difference in copepod biodiversity between the northern (S1–10) and southern (S11–20) stations could be explained, in part, by the spatial distribution of dissolved trace metals. Indeed, Ben Salem and Ayadi (2016) showed that the highest contents of dissolved Cd (0.21 $\mu g L^{-1}$), Cu (4.34 $\mu g L^{-1}$), Fe (30.74 $\mu g L^{-1}$), Ni (10.21 $\mu g L^{-1}$), Pb (3.43 $\mu g L^{-1}$) and Zn (5.78 $\mu g L^{-1}$) were found in stations situated in front of the WWTP (i.e. S9 in the present study). The Cu and Ni concentrations exceeded the continuous concentration and maximum concentration in the US Environmental Protection Agency water quality criteria (US Environmental Protection Agency 1999).

COD was more important in the northern than southern stations (Drira *et al.* 2016). The highest values were recorded at S9, located in front of the phosphate industry plant, which releases large amounts of PO_4^{3-} , Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} into the sea (Drira *et al.* 2016). Inputs of phosphates and organic matter may contribute to the increase in COD, BOD₅ and SPM in surface waters (Davis and Marshall 1998; Negi and Rajput 2013; Drira *et al.* 2016) and subsequently to changes in the community structure of zooplankton (Tallberg *et al.* 1999; Arora and Mehra 2009). In the present study, a BOD₅/COD ratio <0.5 indicates

pollution of a chemical origin (Ohwoghere-Asuma and Aweto 2013; El Rhaouat *et al.* 2014; Jamwal *et al.* 2015) whereas COD/BOD₅ ratios > 3 indicate an organic load of a low biodegradability (Drira *et al.* 2016). In addition, the highest density of copepods (35.85×10^3 individuals m⁻³; S6) was associated with a high BOD₅ (60 mg L⁻¹). BOD₅ is a key parameter that regulates the population of copepods (Jagadeeshappa and Kumara 2013). The increase in the total numerical density of zooplankton has been shown to be related to a decrease in SPM load (Bhattacharya *et al.* 2014). The same result was found in the present study for S10, which had the highest zooplankton density (47.95×10^3 individuals m⁻³) and the lowest SPM (17.9 mg L⁻¹).

The present study showed that there were more adult females than males. Several studies have shown that pollution may affect the population dynamics of zooplankton species by controlling individual survival and reproduction, and by altering the sex ratio. For example, Medina *et al.* (2002) reported that adult males of *Acartia tonsa* were much more sensitive than females to contamination by pyrethroids. This is consistent with the results of the present study showing a preponderance of females and thus suggesting a high mortality of males in a highly polluted environment. The preponderance of females over males, which reduces the sexratio (Kiørboe 2006), may be due to the higher mortality of males because of their increased vulnerability to predation during their search for mates (Mendes-Gusmão *et al.* 2013). However environmental factors driving differential physiological longevity of males and females may be more important in determining the sex ratio (Mendes-Gusmão *et al.* 2013). Comparisons of the size structure and composition of zooplankters can indicate the nature and extent of pollutant loads (Sarma 1996; Mukhopadhyay *et al.* 2000). In the present samples, dominant species such as *O. nana*, *P. parvus*, *H. littoralis* and *T. battagliai* were spread in the shallow waters (~0.5-m depth) along the coast and thus appeared more adapted to the coastal anthropogenic inputs. In addition, the small cyclopoid *O. nana* had clear eurythermal and euryhaline distributions (Drira *et al.* 2014). Nonetheless, large copepods (>1.45 mm), such as *C. helgolandicus* (relative abundance 7.23%, total length 1.78 ± 0.05 mm) dominated in the more off-shore zone at ~3-m depth. The coastal anthropogenic inputs affected the copepod species by decreasing their body size. *O. plumifera*, a small copepod species (<1.45 mm; 7.5%), was found in substantial amounts between the inshore and offshore areas. Copepod species can be classified into different ecological groups based on water quality affinities (Hooff and Peterson 2006; Bi *et al.* 2012). In the present study, we identified three different groups that are good indicators of water pollution, as discussed below.

The first group is composed of *O. nana* (14.9% of the total adult copepod count), *P. parvus* (14%) and *H. littoralis* (3.4%), which were the dominant small (<1.45 mm) copepod species. These species were spread along the coast, proliferated primarily in shallow waters (~0.5-m depth) and were thus better adapted than other species to coastal anthropogenic inputs. These species are resistant to unfavourable conditions and have a high capacity to develop in eutrophicated and polluted areas (Arfi *et al.* 1981). *O. nana* was reported to be dominant in the Gulf of Gabes (Drira *et al.* 2014) and in the Tunis North Lagoon (Annabi-Trabelsi *et al.* 2005), accounting for 87 and

31% of total copepod abundance respectively. Several studies have demonstrated that *O. nana*, which has a certain ability to endure environmental perturbations because of its low respiratory rate and omnivorous diet (Gallienne and Robins 2001; Rekik *et al.* 2013b; Ben Ltaief *et al.* 2015), is able to proliferate in unstable ecosystems and capable of colonising polluted environments (Williams and Muxagata 2006; Drira *et al.* 2010, 2014; Rekik *et al.* 2013b) and areas subjected to eutrophication (Richard and Jamet 2001). A possible link between phosphate and the abundance of *O. nana* in the Gulf of Gabes, and along the southern coast of Sfax in particular, was demonstrated in previous studies (Drira *et al.* 2010, 2014; Ben Salem *et al.* 2015). Thus, this species is cosmopolitan in many marine ecosystems (Fernández de Puellas *et al.* 2007) and dominant in coastal areas of several Mediterranean regions (Mazzocchi and Ribera d'Alcala 1995; Christou 1998), including the Algerian basin (Gaudy 1985; Riandey *et al.* 2005). Tolerance to pollution, as observed in the Gulf of Gabes, may also explain the high proliferation of *O. nana* observed in the Bay of Toulon (north-western Mediterranean) by Jamet *et al.* (2001), who suggested that this species may be used as a biological indicator of such unhealthy systems.

The second group of good indicators of water pollution consists of *P. latisetosa* (<1.45 mm) and *C. helgolandicus* (7.5%; 1.45–2.5 mm), which were dominant only in waters of ~3-m depth (northern stations exclusively; i.e. S3, S4 and S8). The large copepod *C. helgolandicus* is rare in inshore waters, with maximum abundance in the present study observed in offshore waters, as reported for the Irish Sea (Gowen *et al.* 1999) and the Mediterranean Sea (Zakaria *et al.* 2016).

The third group is represented by *O. plumifera* (<1.45 mm; 7.5%), which was found in substantial amounts at depths between 0.5 and 3 m (Fig. 3; Table 2). *O. plumifera* was shown to be the most abundant component of coastal and oceanic copepod assemblages in shallow nearshore waters off the southern coast of South Africa (6×10^3 individuals m⁻³; Porri *et al.* 2007). This species exhibits very particular swimming and feeding behaviour (Paffenhöfer and Mazzocchi 2002), allowing it to exploit the large variety of available food resources, such as phytoplankton, ciliates and detritus (Ribera d'Alcalà *et al.* 2004). A perusal of literature has shown that this species is tolerant of organic pollution, which may favour its dominance when COD values are high (Bhattacharya *et al.* 2014).

Along the Sfax southern coast, anthropogenic inputs as well as tidal and current conditions may explain the high level of organic debris preferentially consumed by *O. plumifera*. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that suspended matter was homogeneously distributed in neritic and open sea in the Gulf of Gabes (Drira *et al.* 2010). In summary, the present study demonstrates that the nearshore coastal waters appear to be more responsive or sensitive to anthropogenic inputs, resulting in the different copepod species assemblages.

Conclusions

Zooplankton composition may reflect water quality in coastal marine ecosystems. The present study was undertaken to assess zooplankton species diversity in relation to anthropogenic inputs along the southern coastline of Sfax. Copepod

assemblages could move along the tides and currents, which may partially mask the effects of water chemistry (anthropogenic inputs) on zooplankton diversity and composition. The results of the present study revealed that the most abundant mesozooplankton copepod species (*O. nana*, *P. parvus*, *H. littoralis* and *T. battagliai*) were spread along the coast and were more adapted and tolerant of coastal anthropogenic inputs. The copepod diversity was higher in the southern, less-affected stations (H' between 1.5 and 2.5 bits individual⁻¹) than in the northern stations, which were more affected by sewage ($H' \leq 1.5$ bits individual⁻¹). In addition, a shift in the planktonic copepod community between the two zones was linked to a deterioration in water quality (high phosphorus content, high turbidity, high COD) in the northern zone directly subjected to higher levels of pollutant discharge. Increased nutrient loading from expanding anthropogenic activities along the southern coast of Sfax must be carefully considered in order to maintain the stability of the ecosystem and increase plankton richness. Therefore, integrated management of the southern coast of Sfax remains an important need and public awareness is required to improve the water quality and biodiversity in the region.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the 'Sfax Integrated Coastal Area Management – Tunisia' (SMAP III) project, conducted in the Biodiversity and Aquatic Ecosystems UR/11ES72 Research Unit at the University of Sfax in collaboration with the Mediterranean Institute of Oceanography (MIO) Marseille, France, and the Research Institute for Development (IRD) French–Tunisian International Joint Laboratory 'LMI COSYS-Med'. The authors thank the Société d'études et d'Aménagement des Côtes Nord de la ville de Sfax (SEACNVS) for providing access to the sampling site. This study was performed within the framework of the postdoctoral fellowship of Zaher Drira (University of Sfax). The authors acknowledge Mr Tarek Omar and Mr Hammouda Sahnoun for their technical help during the cruise. Two anonymous reviewers and the Handling Editor are acknowledged for their relevant comments and corrections. The authors are equally grateful to Mr Kamel Maaloul, translator and English professor, for proofreading the manuscript.

References

- Aloulou, F., Elleuch, B., and Kallel, M. (2012). Benthic foraminiferal assemblages as pollution proxies in the northern coast of Gabes Gulf, Tunisia. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* **184**, 777–795. doi:10.1007/S10661-011-2001-2
- Annabi-Trabelsi, N., Daly-Yahia, M. N., Romdhane, M. S., and Ben Maïz, N. (2005). Seasonal variability of planktonic copepods in Tunis North lagoon (Tunisia, North Africa). *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* **46**, 325–333.
- Arfi, R., Champalbert, G., and Patriti, G. (1981). Système planctonique et pollution urbaine: un aspect des populations zooplanctoniques. *Marine Biology* **61**, 133–141. doi:10.1007/BF00386652
- Arora, J., and Mehra, N. K. (2009). Seasonal dynamics of zooplankton in a shallow eutrophic, man-made hyposaline lake in Delhi (India): role of environmental factors. *Hydrobiologia* **626**, 27–40. doi:10.1007/S10750-009-9735-7
- Barhoumi, S., Messaoudi, I., Deli, T., Saïd, K., and Kerkeni, A. (2009). Cadmium bioaccumulation in three benthic fish species, *Salaria basilisca*, *Zosterisessor ophiocephalus* and *Solea vulgaris* collected from the Gulf of Gabes in Tunisia. *Journal of Environmental Sciences* **21**, 980–984. doi:10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62371-2
- Bell, G. (2005). The co-distribution of species in relation to the neutral theory of community ecology. *Ecology* **86**, 1757–1770. doi:10.1890/04-1028
- Ben Abdallah, F., Elloumi, N., Mezghani, I., Boukhris, M., and Garrec, J. P. (2006). Survival strategies of pomegranate and almond trees in a fluoride polluted area. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* **329**, 200–207. doi:10.1016/J.CRV.2005.12.003
- Ben Brahim, M., Hamza, A., Hannachi, I., Rebai, A., Jarboui, O., Bouain, A., and Aleya, L. (2010). Variability in the structure of epiphytic assemblages of *Posidonia oceanica* in relation to human interferences in the Gulf of Gabes, Tunisia. *Marine Environmental Research* **70**, 411–421. doi:10.1016/J.MARENRES.2010.08.005
- Ben Ltaief, T., Drira, Z., Hannachi, I., Bel Hassen, M., Hamza, A., Pagano, M., and Ayadi, H. (2015). What are the factors leading to the success of small planktonic copepods in the Gulf of Gabes, Tunisia? *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **95**, 747–761. doi:10.1017/S0025315414001507
- Ben Salem, Z., and Ayadi, H. (2016). Heavy metal accumulation in *Diplodus annularis*, *Liza aurata*, and *Solea vulgaris* relevant to their concentration in water and sediment from the southwestern Mediterranean (coast of Sfax). *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* **23**, 13895–13906. doi:10.1007/S11356-016-6531-6
- Ben Salem, Z., Drira, Z., and Ayadi, H. (2015). What factors drive the variations of phytoplankton, ciliate and mesozooplankton communities in the polluted southern coast of Sfax, Tunisia? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* **22**, 11764–11780. doi:10.1007/S11356-015-4416-8
- Bhattacharya, B. D., Bhattacharya, A., Rakshit, D., and Sarkar, S. K. (2014). Impact of the tropical cyclonic storm 'Aila' on the water quality characteristics and mesozooplankton community structure of Sundarban mangrove wetland. *Indian Journal of Geo-Marine Science* **43**, 216–223.
- Bi, H., Peterson, W. T., Peterson, J. O., and Fisher, J. L. (2012). A comparative analysis of coastal and shelf-slope copepod communities in the northern California Current system: synchronized response to large-scale forcing? *Limnology and Oceanography* **57**, 1467–1478. doi:10.4319/LO.2012.57.5.1467
- Biswas, M. (2015). Seasonal abundance of zooplankton in relation to physico-chemical features in Rabindra Sarobar, Kolkata. *International Research Journal of Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Studies* **1**, 56–62.
- Bradford-Grieve, J. M. (1999). ICES identification leaflets for plankton. Leaflet number 181 to replace Fiches d'Identification du Zooplancton number 12. In 'Copepoda. Sub-Order: Calanoida, Family: Acartiidae, Genera: Acartia, Paracartia, Pteriacartia'. (Ed. J. A. Lindley.) pp. 1–19. (Natural Environment Research Council, Plymouth Marine Laboratory: Plymouth, UK.)
- Callaert, B., Van Den Bogaert, J., Pieters, A., Pynaert, K., Tison, P., Levrau, K., Vander Heyde, D., and Glaser, D. (2009). Taparura Project: sustainable coastal development, including the decontamination and rehabilitation of the coastal area of the city of Sfax, Tunisia. In 'Best Environmental Practices in Coastal and Maritime Engineering, Proceedings of the First Coastal and Maritime Mediterranean Conference', 2009, Hammamet, Tunisia. (Eds D. Levacher, M. Sanchez, and E. Garry.) pp. 175–178. (Centre Français du Littoral.) Available at <http://www.paralia.fr/cmcm/e01-44.pdf> [Verified 14 September 2017].
- Choura, M., Keskes, M., Chaari, D., and Ayadi, H. (2015). Study of the mechanical strength and leaching behavior of phosphogypsum in a sulfur concrete matrix. *IOSR Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology* **9**, 8–13. doi:10.9790/2402-09430813
- Christou, E. D. (1998). Interannual variability of copepods in a Mediterranean coastal area (Saronikos Gulf, Aegean Sea). *Journal of Marine Systems* **15**, 523–532. doi:10.1016/S0924-7963(97)00080-8
- Costanzo, G., Campolmi, M., and Zagani, G. (2000). *Stephos marsalensis* new species (Copepoda, Calanoida, Stephidae) from coastal waters of Sicily, Italy. *Journal of Plankton Research* **22**, 2007–2014. doi:10.1093/PLANKT/22.10.2007

- Davis, L. N., and Marshall, H. G. (1998). Mesozooplankton distribution and abundance in the Pagan River: a nutrient enriched subestuary of the James River, Virginia. *Virginia Journal of Science* **49**, 151–162.
- Dodson, S. (1992). Predicting crustacean zooplankton species richness. *Limnology and Oceanography* **37**, 848–856. doi:10.4319/LO.1992.37.4.0848
- Dolédéc, S., and Chessel, D. (1994). Co-inertia analysis: an alternative method for studying species environment relationships. *Freshwater Biology* **31**, 277–294. doi:10.1111/J.1365-2427.1994.TB01741.X
- Drira, Z., Bel Hassen, M., Ayadi, H., Hamza, A., Zarrad, R., Bouaïn, A., and Aleya, L. (2010). Copepod community structure related to environmental factors from a summer cruise in the Gulf of Gabes (Tunisia, eastern Mediterranean Sea). *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **90**, 145–157. doi:10.1017/S0025315409990403
- Drira, Z., Bel Hassen, M., Ayadi, H., and Aleya, L. (2014). What factors drive copepod community distribution in the Gulf of Gabes, eastern Mediterranean Sea? *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* **21**, 2918–2934. doi:10.1007/S11356-013-2250-4
- Drira, Z., Kmiha-Megdiche, S., Sahnoun, H., Hammami, A., Allouche, N., Tedetti, M., and Ayadi, H. (2016). Assessment of anthropogenic inputs in the surface waters of the southern coastal area of Sfax during spring (Tunisia, Southern Mediterranean Sea). *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **104**, 355–363. doi:10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2016.01.035
- Ekpo, I. (2013). Effect of physico-chemical parameters on zooplankton species and density of a tropical rainforest river in Niger Delta, Nigeria using canonical cluster analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Science* **2**, 13–21.
- El Rhauat, O., El Kherrati, I., El Khayyat, F., Chiguer, H., Ezziani, K., Ibada, A., Fareh, M., Saidi, Y., El Kharim, K., and Belghyti, D. (2014). Physico-chemical evaluation of urban wastewater of the town of Sidi Kacem. *Computational Water, Energy, and Environmental Engineering* **3**, 30–35. doi:10.4236/CWEEE.2014.31004
- Fernández de Puellas, M. L., Alemany, F., and Jansá, J. (2007). Zooplankton time series in the Balearic Sea (western Mediterranean): variability during the decade 1994–2003. *Progress in Oceanography* **74**, 329–354. doi:10.1016/J.POCEAN.2007.04.009
- Gallienne, C. P., and Robins, D. B. (2001). Is *Oithona* the most important copepod in the world's oceans? *Journal of Plankton Research* **23**, 1421–1432. doi:10.1093/PLANKT/23.12.1421
- Gang, R. K., Saksena, D. N., and Rao, R. J. (2006). Assessment of physico-chemical water quality of Harsi reservoir, District Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Ecophysiology and Occupational Health* **6**, 33–40.
- Gargouri, T. (2006). Diagnostic de la zone côtière sud du Grand Sfax, Projet SMAP III – Sfax. Rapport de synthèse global et Plan Directeur de Gestion Intégrée provisoire, Diagnostic Zone Côtière Sud du Grand Sfax étendue aux Îles Kerkennah Décembre 2006 Mai 2007, Special Publication Number 3, Expert/consultant ANPE (Agence Nationale de Protection de l'Environnement Tunisie) Municipalité de Sfax, Sfax, Tunisia.
- Gaudy, R. (1985). Features and peculiarities of zooplankton communities from the western Mediterranean. In 'Mediterranean Marine Ecosystems'. (Eds M. Moraitou and V. Kiortsis.) pp. 279–301. (Plenum Press: New York, NY, USA.)
- Gowen, R. J., McCullough, G., Kleppel, G. S., Houchin, L., and Elliott, P. (1999). Are copepods important grazers of the spring bloom in the western Irish Sea? *Journal of Plankton Research* **21**, 465–483. doi:10.1093/PLANKT/21.3.465
- Hooff, R. C., and Peterson, W. T. (2006). Copepod biodiversity as an indicator of changes in ocean and climate conditions of the northern California current ecosystem. *Limnology and Oceanography* **51**, 2607–2620. doi:10.4319/LO.2006.51.6.2607
- Jafari, N., Nabavi, S. M., and Akhavan, M. (2011). Ecological investigation of zooplankton abundance in the river Haraz, northeast Iran: impact of environmental variables. *Archives of Biological Sciences* **63**, 785–798. doi:10.2298/ABS1103785J
- Jagadeeshappa, K. C., and Kumara, V. (2013). Influence of physico-chemical parameters on the diversity of plankton species in wetlands of Tiptur Taluk, Tumkur Dist, Karnataka State, India. *Caribbean Journal of Science and Technology* **1**, 185–193.
- Jamet, J. L., Boge, G., Richard, S., Geneys, C., and Jamet, D. (2001). The zooplankton community in bays of Toulon area (northwest Mediterranean Sea, France). *Hydrobiologia* **457**, 155–165. doi:10.1023/A:1012279417451
- Jamwal, P., Zuhail, T. M., Raju Urs, P., Srinivasan, V., and Lele, S. (2015). Contribution of sewage treatment to pollution abatement of urban streams. *Current Science* **108**, 677–685.
- Khwaja, S., Manish, V., Suresh, G., Chirag, G., Virendra, K. S., and Mohammad, D. H. (2014). Diel variations in limnological characteristics of Omkareshwar reservoir of Narmada River, India. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment* **6**, 12–24. doi:10.5897/JENE2013.0371
- Kjørboe, T. (2006). Sex, sex-ratios and the dynamics of pelagic copepod populations. *Oecologia* **148**, 40–50. doi:10.1007/S00442-005-0346-3
- Kushwaha, V. B., and Agrahari, M. (2014). Effect of domestic sewage on zooplankton community in River Rapti at Gorakhpur, India. *World Journal of Zoology* **9**, 86–92.
- Madin, L. P., Horgan, E. F., and Steinberg, D. K. (2001). Zooplankton at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series Study (BATS) station: diel, seasonal and interannual variation in biomass, 1994–1998. *Deep-Sea Research* **48**, 2063–2082. doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00171-5
- Mazzocchi, M. G., and Ribera d'Alcala, M. (1995). Recurrent patterns in zooplankton structure and succession in a variable coastal environment. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **52**, 679–691. doi:10.1016/1054-3139(95)80081-6
- Medina, M., Barata, C., Telfer, T., and Baird, D. (2002). Age and sex related variation in sensitivity to the pyrethroid cypermethrin in the marine copepod *Acartia tonsa* Dana. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* **42**, 17–22. doi:10.1007/S002440010286
- Mendes-Gusmão, L. F., McKinnon, A. D., and Richardson, A. J. (2013). No evidence of predation causing female-biased sex ratios in marine pelagic copepods. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **482**, 279–298. doi:10.3354/MEPS10265
- Mezghani-Chaari, S., Hamza, A., and Hamza-Chaffai, A. (2011). Mercury contamination in human hair and some marine species from Sfax coasts of Tunisia: levels and risk assessment. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* **180**, 477–487. doi:10.1007/S10661-010-1800-1
- Mukhopadhyay, S. K., Chatterjee, A., Gupta, R., and Chattopadhyay, B. (2000). Rotiferan community structure of a tannery effluent stabilisation pond in east Calcutta wetland ecosystem. *Chemical and Environmental Research* **9**, 85–91.
- Mukhopadhyay, S. K., Chattopadhyay, B., Goswami, A. R., and Chatterjee, A. (2007). Spatial variations in zooplankton diversity in waters contaminated with composite effluents. *Journal of Limnology* **66**, 97–106. doi:10.4081/JLIMNOL.2007.97
- Negi, R. K., and Rajput, A. (2013). Impact of pulp and paper mill effluents on the diversity of zooplankton in the Ganga River water at Bijnor (UP) India. *Journal of Biology* **6**, 112–117.
- Ohwoghere-Asuma, O., and Aweto, K. E. (2013). Leachate characterization and assessment of groundwater and surface water qualities near municipal solid waste dump site in Effurun, Delta state, Nigeria. *Journal of Environment and Earth Science* **3**, 126–134.
- Paffenhöfer, G. A., and Mazzocchi, M. G. (2002). On some aspects of the behaviour of *Oithona plumifera* (Copepoda: Cyclopoida). *Journal of Plankton Research* **24**, 129–135. doi:10.1093/PLANKT/24.2.129
- Patra, A., Santra, K. B., and Manna, C. K. (2011). Ecology and diversity of zooplankton in relation to physico-chemical characteristics of water of Santragachi Jheel, West Bengal, India. *Journal of Wetlands Ecology* **5**, 20–39. doi:10.3126/JOWE.V5I0.4595
- Porri, F., McQuaid, C. D., and Froneman, W. P. (2007). Spatio-temporal variability of small copepods (especially *Oithona plumifera*) in shallow

- nearshore waters off the southern coast of South Africa. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **72**, 711–720. doi:10.1016/J.ECSS.2006.12.006
- Rajagopal, T., Thangamani, A., Sevarkodiyone, S., Sekar, M., and Archunan, G. (2011). Zooplankton diversity and physico-chemical conditions in three perennial ponds of Virudhunagar district, Tamilnadu. *Journal of Environmental Biology* **31**, 265–272.
- Rekik, A., Drira, Z., Guermazi, W., Elloumi, J., Maalej, S., Aleya, L., and Ayadi, H. (2012). Impacts of an uncontrolled phosphogypsum dumpsite on summer distribution of phytoplankton, copepods and ciliates in relation to abiotic variables along the near shore of the southwestern Mediterranean coast. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **64**, 336–346. doi:10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2011.11.005
- Rekik, A., Denis, M., Aleya, L., Maalej, S., and Ayadi, H. (2013a). Spring plankton community structure and distribution in the north and south coasts of Sfax (Tunisia) after north coast restoration. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **67**, 82–93. doi:10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2012.11.029
- Rekik, A., Maalej, S., Ayadi, H., and Aleya, L. (2013b). Restoration impact of an uncontrolled phosphogypsum dump site on the seasonal distribution of abiotic variables, phytoplankton and zooplankton along the near shore of the south-western Mediterranean coast. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research International* **20**, 3718–3734. doi:10.1007/S11356-012-1297-Y
- Riandey, V., Champalbert, G., Carlotti, F., Taupier-Letage, I., and Thibault-Botha, D. (2005). Zooplankton distribution related to the hydrodynamic features in the Algerian Basin (western Mediterranean Sea) in summer 1997. *Deep-sea Research – I. Oceanographic Research Papers* **52**, 2029–2048. doi:10.1016/J.DSR.2005.06.004
- Ribera d'Alcalà, M., Conversano, F., Corato, F., Licandro, P., Mangoni, O., Marino, D., Mazzocchi, M. G., Modigh, M., Montresor, M., Nardella, M., Saggiomo, V., Sarno, D., and Zingone, A. (2004). Seasonal patterns in plankton communities in a pluriannual time series at a coastal Mediterranean site (Gulf of Naples): an attempt to discern recurrences and trends. *Scientia Marina* **68**, 65–83. doi:10.3989/SCIMAR.2004.68S165
- Richard, S., and Jamet, J. (2001). An unusual distribution of *Oithona nana* Giesbrecht (1892) (Crustacea: Cyclopoida) in a bay: the case of Toulon Bay (France, Mediterranean Sea). *Journal of Coastal Research* **17**, 957–963.
- Rose, M. (1933). 'Copépodes pélagiques. Faune de la France, 26.' (Le Chevalier: Paris, France.)
- Rosenberg, D. M., and Resh, V. H. (1993). 'Freshwater Biomonitoring and Benthic Macroinvertebrates.' (Chapman and Hall: New York, NY, USA.)
- Sanyal, P., Bhattacharya, N., and Chakraborty, S. K. (2015). Biomonitoring of four contrasting wetlands of Kolkata, West Bengal based on zooplankton ecodynamics and biotic indices. *Journal of Environmental Protection* **6**, 683–699. doi:10.4236/JEP.2015.67062
- Sarma, S. S. S. (1996). Some relationships between size structure and fertility of rotifer populations. In 'Advances in Fish Wildlife Ecology and Biology'. (Ed. B. L. Kaul.) pp. 37–50. (Daya Publishing House: Delhi, India.)
- Shannon, C. E., and Weaver, W. (1949). 'The Mathematical Theory of Communication.' (University of Illinois Press: Urbana, IL, USA.)
- Shannon, C. E., and Weaver, W. (1963). 'The Mathematical Theory of Communication', 1st paperbound edition. (University of Illinois Press: Urbana, IL, USA.)
- Sharma, B. K. (1998). Faunal diversity in India: Rotifera. In 'Faunal Diversity of India'. (Eds J. R. B. Alfred, A. K. Das, and A. K. Sanyal.) pp. 57–70. (Environmental Centre, India, Zoological Survey of India: Calcutta, India.)
- Shastri, Y. (2000). Physicochemical characteristics of River Mosem. *Geobios* **27**, 194–196.
- Sokal, R. R., and Rohlf, F. J. (1981). 'Biometry: The Principles and Practices of Statistics in Biological Research', 2nd edn. (W. H. Freeman and Co.: New York, NY, USA.)
- Sundaresan, S., and Kumar, S. B. (2013). Seasonal variation and distribution of zooplanktonic fauna in Tandalam Pond (Chennai). *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archives* **4**, 727–730.
- Tallberg, P., Horppila, J., Vaisanen, A., and Nurminen, L. (1999). Seasonal succession of phytoplankton and zooplankton along a trophic gradient in a eutrophic lake – implications for food web management. *Hydrobiologia* **412**, 81–94. doi:10.1023/A:1003804417036
- Tayibi, H., Choura, M., Lopez, F. A., Alguacil, F. J., and Lopez-Delgado, A. (2009). Environmental impact and management of phosphogypsum. *Journal of Environmental Management* **90**, 2377–2386. doi:10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2009.03.007
- Thioulouse, J., Chessel, D., Dolédec, S., and Olivier, J. (1997). Ade-4: a multivariate analysis and graphical display software. *Statistics and Computing* **7**, 75–83. doi:10.1023/A:1018513530268
- Tregouboff, G., and Rose, M. (1978a). 'Manuel de Planctologie Méditerranéenne Tome I.' (CNRS: Paris, France.)
- Tregouboff, G., and Rose, M. (1978b). 'Manuel de Planctologie Méditerranéenne Tome II.' (CNRS: Paris, France.)
- US Environmental Protection Agency (1999). National recommended water quality criteria for priority pollutants – correction: EPA 822-Z-99-001. Report Number 4304T, US EPA Office of Water, Washington, DC, USA.
- Wilhm, J. L. (1975). Biological indicators of pollution. In 'River Ecology'. (Ed. B. A. Whitton.) pp. 375–402. (Blackwell: Oxford, UK.)
- Williams, J. A., and Muxagata, E. (2006). The seasonal abundance and production of *Oithona nana* (Copepoda: Cyclopoida) in Southampton Water. *Journal of Plankton Research* **28**, 1055–1065. doi:10.1093/PLANKT/FBL039
- Wiwatanaratnabutr, I., and Grandjean, F. (2016). Impacts of temperature and crowding on sex ratio, fecundity and *Wolbachia* infection intensity in the copepod, *Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology* **141**, 18–23. doi:10.1016/J.JIP.2016.10.003
- Zaghden, H., Kallel, M., Louati, A., Elleuch, B., Jean, O., and Saliot, A. (2005). Hydrocarbons in surface sediments from the Sfax coastal zone (Tunisia) Mediterranean Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* **50**, 1287–1294. doi:10.1016/J.MARPOLBUL.2005.04.045
- Zakaria, H. Y., Abdel-Kader, M. H., Abo-Senna, F. M., and El-Naggar, H. A. (2016). Abundance, distribution, diversity and zoogeography of epipelagic copepods off the Egyptian coast (Mediterranean Sea). *Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research* **42**, 459–473. doi:10.1016/J.EJAR.2016.11.001