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Quantum strongly correlated systems which exhibit interesting features in condensed matter physics often need unachievable either temperature or pressure range in classical materials. One solution is to introduce a scaling factor namely the lattice parameter. Synthetic heterostructures named superlattices or supracrystals were synthesized, including individual and collective use of semiconductors, metals and insulators for the exploitation of their unique properties. However, most of them are currently limited to dense packing. Unfortunately, some desired properties need to adjust the colloidal atoms neighbouring number. This review sums up the current state of the research in non-dense packing, discusses the benefits, outlines possible scenarios and methodology, describes examples reported in the literature, briefly discusses the challenges, and offers preliminary conclusions. Penetrating such new and intriguing research field demands a multidisciplinary approach accounting for the coupling of statistic physics, solid state and quantum physics, chemistry, computational science and mathematics. Standards interactions between colloidal atoms and emerging field such as Casimir forces use are reported. In particular, the paper focuses on the novelty of patchy colloidal atoms to meet this challenge.
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FIG. 1. Giant aurothiol cluster (c-Au:SR) compound, drawn to scale forming a cubic supracrystal (a) (the central columns of nanocrystals from a body-centered-cubic type structure have been removed for clarity of viewing) [7] according to the synthesis method proposed by Brust and Schiffrin [8]. The compound’s core, at center, is represented in idealized form consisting of 144 Au atoms (golden spheres). 62 n-butylthio groups adsorbed (red) sulfur atoms; (green) methyl(ene) groups are displayed in the right side (b). The diffraction pattern (c) reveals the superlattice parameter (after the original figure in [7]).

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum strongly correlated systems which exhibit interesting features in condensed matter physics such as phase transitions in high temperature superconductivity, spin ordering in magnetism, superfluidity, Bose-Einstein condensation, etc, often need unachievable either temperature or pressure range [1] in classical materials. One solution is to introduce a scaling factor namely the lattice parameter. For that purpose, atomic physics developed cold atoms in optical lattices used to simulate quantum phenomena and models in condensed matter physics [2]. This interest in these superlattices (cold atoms organized as supracrystals) emanates from the growing advancement in techniques to prepare, manipulate and detect strongly correlated states in them. However, this wonderful toolbox is limited to the laboratory so far. Applications in the “daily life” necessarily requires the synthesis of materials having large lattice parameters in standard conditions of pressure and temperature. This explains why assembling atoms into clusters which can then be used as building blocks to form supracrystals (figure 1) has undergone tremendous development over the past years [3–6].

For a long time, the isotropic character of the particles associated to the common interacting forces [9] limited supracrystal to the most compact architectures, face-centered cubic (fcc) or hexagonal-close packed (hcp), as described in the so-called Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeck (DLVO) theory. Novel strategies based from patch and specific oriented ligands including DNA open now a large field of architectures more or less dense templating the Bravais lattices. Nanocrystal size, inter-particle distance, and coating agent play key roles in supracrystal properties [10, 11]. Some parameters cannot be easily tuned in a wide range. The transition between different symmetries and bond lengths within an atomic crystal requires a phase transition at high temperature $T$ or pressure $P$ where the stability is not achieved in normal conditions. This is not the case in supracrystals where lattice symmetry and parameter can be adjusted in a wide range without recourse to external $P$ and $T$. However, this is true as long as a supracrystal templates a Bravais lattice [12, 13]. It is generally admitted that atoms are assimilated to spheres. The opened question is what happens when spheres are replaced by complex polyhedra with specific shape symmetries. They form superlattices where a particular class called metamateri- als are periodic at a much larger scale than the building unit. At a first glance, superlattices are simply crystals with unusual (large) periodic lengths. These materials have strong effects over periodic fields having a wavelength close to the crystal period. When the period- icity matches with the excitation wavelength, super- lattices form photonic band gaps or phonon band gaps or other equivalent systems. The key point is the diffraction related to resonance effect which creates band gap inside the lattice. This is more complicated since the spheres are replaced by polyhedra that introduce new degrees of freedom. First, the polyhedra have their own lattice space group symmetry and a shape with a specific point group symmetry. The open-ended question is how matches the polyhedra point group symmetry and the superlattice one: are they commensurable or incom- mensurable? The first issue comes from the well-known fullerite $C_{60}$. Solid $C_{60}$ is a molecular crystal in which $C_{60}$ molecules occupy the lattice sites of a fcc ($Fm\bar{3}m$) structure where van der Waals interactions are the dominant intermolecular forces. Then, near the temperature $T_c \sim 250 – 260$ K, the $C_{60}$ crystal is known to undergo a first-order phase transition from fcc to simple cubic ($P\bar{6}3$) associated with changes in the molecular rotations [14]. Above $T_c$, $C_{60}$ molecules rotate almost freely templating a ”super spherical atom”. Below $T_c$, the molecular rotations are partially locked with the fivefold symmetry axes having specific orientations (see Fig. 2a). Since five- fold group ($I_h$) is incompatible with ($Fm\bar{3}m$) space group [15], the $C_{60}$ solid at low temperature adopts a common point subgroup $T_h$ ($P\bar{6}3$ space group) leading unusual features as observed in the transport properties [16, 17]. Another issue is the coupling between clusters since the links between them can be tuned from few atomic lengths to microns. About optical properties, the most emergent effect is the broadband spectral tunability of the collective plasmonic response ranging from strongly coupled clusters to isolated ones (Fig. 2b) [18, 19].

The last issue is the symmetry of the superlattice including the first neighboring number $n_1$. For instance, transport properties are related to metal insulator tran-
The last part is dedicated to some current examples and new perspectives offered by supracrystals in many fields, crystallography, plasmonics, magnetism, chemistry, catalysis, etc.

II. GLOSSARY

A special attention will be devoted to establish the typical vocabulary used in the text.

**Bravais lattice**: in common crystals, the set of all possible ways a lattice can be periodic if composed of identical spheres placed at the lattice point form the 14 Bravais lattices.

**Colloid**: a colloid is constituted of a dispersed phase, i.e. the nanoparticles (NPs), and a continuous phase, i.e. the solvent, the mixture of which should be stable over time.

**Colloidal atom**: this term refers to a colloidal object (particle) which is used as a building-block to form a supracrystal (colloidal crystals), by analogy with atoms forming crystals.

**Colloidal crystals**: colloidal crystals consist in an ordered array of NPs (e.g. gem opal). Superlattices, superlattice, and supracrystals refer to the same concept.

**Flory-Huggins parameter**: this parameter ($\zeta$) is introduced in the theory of polymer solutions to take into account the energy of mixing monomer units and solvent molecules. Good solvents have a low $\zeta$ and poor solvent a high $\zeta$.

**Kagome lattice**: a lattice formed by the vertices and edges of interlaced triangles (two interlaced triangles form a David star).

**Ligands**: a ligand is a species (i.e. molecule with functional group) that forms at least one bond with an atom of the nanoparticle surface. As in coordination chemistry, the nature of the bonding can range from covalent to ionic.

**Monodisperse**: dispersity is a measure of the heterogeneity of sizes of molecules or particles in a mixture. A collection of objects is called uniform if the objects have the same size, shape, or mass.

**Nanocrystals**: nanocrystals consists in crystalline nanoparticles in contrast to amorphous ones.

**Self-assemblies**: self-assemblies result from the organization of a disordered system that forms an organized structure through specific, local interactions among the species constitutive of the system themselves [23, 24].

**Order parameter**: an order parameter is a physical length (generally normalized to remain in the range $[0-1]$) which evolves during a phase transition. It can be density in a liquid/gas transition or the average magnetization for magnetic transitions. Generally the order parameter will be 0 in the less organized phase and 1 in the organized or condensed phase.

**Percolation**: during a first order transition, islets of the new phase nucleate into the initial one and grow.
Percolation correspond to the situation when all domains of the growing phase are interconnected.

**Point group symmetry:** it is the group of symmetries that leaves a crystal (or a molecule) invariant. They are used to make categories of crystals relative to their geometry.

**Zeta-potential:** colloids in solution are surrounded with an ionic double layer. In their motion, they drag with them a part of this ionic layer, which is immobilized at the surface. The zeta-potential is the electric potential difference between the bulk and this slippery interface.

### III. INTERACTIONS BETWEEN PARTICLES

The key function when one deals with assembly is the interaction between building blocks. Regarding the size of these building blocks, various types of forces are at the origin of the assembled structures. For molecular self-assembly for instance, the relative strength of the interactions generates motifs that can be tuned according to the molecular specificity of the units [25]. At a larger scale, some interactions vanish (hydrogen bonding, covalent interaction, substrate-mediated interaction...) whereas others are averaged (electrostatic and magnetic interactions by example) or get a new form (van der Waals and Casimir forces...) [26–28]. In this section, we give a short overview of the forces between particles with sizes ranging from the nanoscale to the microscale.

#### A. Dipole-Dipole Interaction

A permanent dipole may exist within the material due to an inhomogeneous distribution of electrons. For instance, elongated gold NPs with (111) and (100) facets placed in a solution of citrate and mercaptoethanol molecules will exhibit a permanent dipole due to the preferential adsorption of citrate on one type of facets. In consequence, large dipolar moments will affect the interactions between nanoparticles (NPs) through dipolar coupling. Equation 1 corresponds to the potential energy between two dipoles possessing a moment \( \mu \) and distant of \( r \):

\[
V_{\text{dipole}} = -\frac{\mu^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0r^2} (2\cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 - \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \cos\phi)
\]

(1)

where the orientation of the dipoles \( i \) with respect to a main axis is described by \( \theta_1 \) and \( \phi \), \( \epsilon \) and \( \epsilon_0 \) are the permittivity of the NP material and of the vacuum, respectively [29]. Depending on the relative orientation of the dipole inside the nanoparticle, the configuration in which the two dipoles are inline or antiparallel is favored. Dipole-dipole interactions scale like \( r^{-3} \) and could explain the phase diagram observed in some nanocrystal superlattices [30].

#### B. Dispersive van der Waals Interactions

The van der Waals forces (vdW) gather three contributions [29]: the Keesom contribution between two fixed dipoles (cf. Sect. IIIA), the Debye contribution between a rotating dipole and a nonpolar entity, and the London contribution between two instantaneous dipoles. This last term is a dispersive interaction and stands generally for vdW interaction. It originates from electromagnetic fluctuations due to the relative movements of positive and negative charges inside the atoms. They mainly generate an attractive force and play an important role in the self-assembly of particles. In some very special cases repulsive vdW forces have been predicted and experimentally measured [31]. However, the exact treatment of vdW forces is very difficult and an approximate treatment based on the Hamaker theory is generally used [32]. It consists in a summation of pairwise interactions between atoms belonging to two macroscopic bodies like two NPs under the form of an integration over the volume of the interacting particles. An accurate treatment of vdW forces is the continuum Dzyaloshinskii-Lifshitz-Pitaevskii (DLP) theory [33]. However application of the DLP method is limited for very small or anisotropic particles for which the dielectric response deviates from bulk values. In this case another method can be used: the Coupled-Dipole Method [34–36] which is a more relevant atomistic treatment.

Note that the van der Waals potential depends on the shapes of the NPs. For two isotropic NPs with a radius \( R \) and a distance \( r \) between the two NPs centers, the associated non-retarded interaction energy is:

\[
V_{\text{vdW}} = -\frac{H}{3} \left[ \frac{R^2}{r^2 - 4R^2} + \frac{R^2}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( 1 - \frac{4R^2}{r^2} \right) \right],
\]

(2)

where \( H \) is the Hamaker constant of the NPs material. One recovers the limit case, when \( R \ll r \) then \( V_{\text{vdW}} = -(16H/9)(R^6/r^6) \).

#### C. Electrostatic Interactions

Electrostatic interactions involved dissociated charges. Charges dissociate easily in polar media due to the high dielectric constant (\( \epsilon \sim 80 \) for water) while they do not dissociate easily in nonpolar solvents. This does not imply that charges are absent and that these effects should be neglected in nonpolar solvents. They can be either attractive or repulsive. The amplitude and the length scale of the interaction can be controlled by choosing the appropriate solvent with surrounding counternions. For example, the scarcity of charges induces a lack of screening of electrostatic interaction; charges will have effect over large distances, a small double-layer capacitance will exist and significant surface potentials will be generated. The electrostatic forces \( V_{el} \) between particles are generally modelled by the DLVO approximation [37–39].
\[ V_{el} = \frac{\varepsilon_0 R^2 \xi^2}{R^4} \exp\left[ -\kappa (r - 2R) \right], \]

where \( R \) is the sphere radius, \( \kappa \) is the Debye length, \( \xi \) is the \( \xi \)-potential. One important question is from where the charges may come from? In colloidal nanocrystal system, ligands that are bound to the particles surface should compensate for the charges carried by the surface ions. Obviously, defects in this surface coverage can induce charges. Interestingly, the structural diversity of binary NPs superlattices composed of two NPs with resulting charges of opposite sign is reported to be induced by electrostatic interactions [40]. This model is inaccurate for the small interparticle distances [27]. By adjusting electrostatic forces like in changing the screening length it has been possible to self-assemble nanoparticles in non-compact diamond structure [41] or to fabricate a binary cocrystal by using anionic and cationic species [42].

### D. Magnetic Forces

When particles present a permanent magnetic moment, directional interactions appear which can be attractive or repulsive. They are modeled by a dipole-dipole interaction. If the particles are superparamagnetic the interaction can be triggered by an external magnetic field and the magnetic interaction between two particles separated by the distance \( r \) and with a magnetic moment \( m_m \) is:

\[ V_{magn} = -\frac{\mu_0 m_m^2}{2\pi r^3} \left( 1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{r^3} \right), \left(4\right) \]

where \( \theta \) is the angle between the external field and the line joining the sphere centres [43, 44]. Interestingly, a dual application of electric and magnetic fields on superparamagnetic particles allows the formation of bireciprocal chains, colloidal networks and crystals by modulating the electric field and by keeping uniform the magnetic field [45]. On the other hand, magnetic cubic nanoparticles can form 1D, 2D, 3D structures such as chains, ribbons, and large cuboids that are self-assembled with a combination of dipole–dipole magnetic interaction and vdB interaction [46].

### E. Steric Interaction

A repulsive force between two NPs stabilized by ligands exist when these NPs approach at a distance smaller than twice the ligand-brush length due to ligand compression. This will impact the free energy. For planar surfaces:

\[ \Delta G = 2\mu k_B TV(r) + 2\left(\frac{2\pi}{9}\right)^{3/2} \mu^2 k_B T (\alpha^2 - 1) (r\sigma^2) M(r), \left(5\right) \]

where \( \mu \) is the density of ligand, \( \alpha \) is an expansion factor related to the Flory-Huggins parameter and depending on the solvent, \( (r\sigma^2) \) is the mean length of the ligand in solution, and \( V(r) \) and \( M(r) \) are functions depending on the distance between the NPs only. Note that in the case of spherical NPs, \( \Delta G \) is more complicated as the curvature of the NPs has to be taken into account. However, Eq. 5 remains valid when the ligand-brush length is much smaller than the NPs radius [47]. Other theoretical approaches have been developed to take into account these ligands induced interactions [48–51].

### F. Depletion Interactions

The origin of this force is purely entropic [52]. An attraction between the colloids that is of osmotic origin is induced by the depletion of the ligands between the NPs. Such effect is observed when two NPs become close enough in a system containing NPs and smaller objects (e.g. organic molecules like ligands, polymers). The potential corresponds to the product of the osmotic pressure induced by the depletant and the overlap volume. Such interactions have been used to induce the self-assembly of NPs [53–56].

### G. The effect of water for hydrophobic NPs

If the presence of water in organic solvents is often neglected, it may have several different effects on the interactions between NPs. For examples, water can form reverse micelles in nonpolar solvents, adsorb at the surface of NPs, or template the formation of hybridosomes [57–60].

### H. Molecular Surface Forces

Many other forces occur between the surfaces of the particles like covalent bonds, hydrogen bonds, dipolar interactions. Hydrogen bonds are particularly important between complementary DNA base pairs [61]. These interactions can be turned on or off by changing the temperature. By placing the DNA bases at some specific positions on the particles, directional interactions are obtained which have been recently used to make nanoparticle superlattices with the diamond structure [62]. Another important type of molecular surface force is due to the presence of ligands surrounding the particles. They are of different kinds. The forces between ligands when two particles become close is repulsive (steric repulsion) and the entropy of the solvent molecules increases by the
partial breaking of solvent shell leading to an attractive interaction.

I. Casimir Forces: a survey

Confined fluctuating fields induce forces upon enclosing surfaces. This situation can be extended to classical statistical physics where the order parameter plays the role of the fluctuating field. Close to a Landau second-order phase transition, where the correlation length diverges, these forces take universal scaling forms characterized by the symmetry of the system, the dimensionality and the boundary conditions. Among them, Casimir-Lifshitz and Casimir-deGennes-Fisher forces play a role in the colloidal chemistry.

1. Quantum phase transition: Casimir-Polder and Casimir-Lifshitz Forces

In quantum field theory, the Casimir-Polder [63] (CS) forces are physical forces arising from a quantized field. Their origins lie into the fluctuation of the electromagnetic field in vacuum and are directly related to Heisenberg principle. Contrary to van der Waals forces where a fluctuating dipole induces a fluctuating electromagnetic dipole field, which in turn induces a fluctuating dipole on a nearby particle, CS forces concern large distances between particles with retardation effects. In the seminal work of Casimir and Polder [63, 64], the calculation was done in vacuum between two parallel plates with an infinite dielectric constant (perfect conductor). In fact, due to the skin depth and the plasmon frequency above which the conductivity goes to zero, the CS forces were experimentally evidenced [65][66] for a critical distance \( d_c > 1 \) nm:

\[
d_c > \left( \frac{me}{\alpha^4 \pi \hbar n} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{6}
\]

where \( n \) is the density of free electrons in the metal, \( \alpha \) the fine structure constant. However, Casimir’s analysis of idealized metal plates was generalized to arbitrary dielectric (for example a solution) and realistic metal plates (or spheres in a colloidal solution) by Lifshitz [67]. In a colloidal solution, Casimir-Lifshitz forces (CL) are effective for a distance to 1 nm up to few tenths of nanometers [68, 69]. There are few instances wherein the Casimir-Lifshitz effect can give rise to repulsive forces between colloids in the solution [70].

2. Classical phase transition: Casimir-deGennes-Fisher forces

A more generic route to temperature control of colloidal phase behavior is to suspend colloids in a near-critical binary liquid. Figure 3 displays the formation of solid and liquid colloidal phases in equilibrium with a dilute gas phase with only the temperature as a control parameter [71, 72].

The confinement of the critical fluctuations of the order parameter close to the critical point gives rise to an effective force between the colloids which exhibits striking similarities with the Casimir force in quantum field theory [73] (see Fig. 8c), and is sometimes called critical Casimir force [74]. Casimir-deGennes-Fisher force (CDF) induced interactions are generally thought to drive particle aggregation and colloidal self-assembly processes in a tunable, reversible, and in-situ fashion due to their strong dependence on temperature and on solvent composition [75–79]. The advantage of the CDF effect is its universality: as other critical phenomena, the scaling functions depend only on the symmetries of the system and are independent of colloidal properties.

3. CDF potential in binary mixture

We now back to the repulsive term in DLVO theory for binary liquid mixtures. For two particles of diameter \( 2R \) at close center-center interparticle separation \( r \), the repulsive electrostatic potential has the general form [72]:

\[
U_{rep}(r) = A_{rep} \exp \left( -r / \lambda_D \right), \tag{7}
\]

where \( A_{rep} \) is the amplitude and \( \lambda_D \) the Debye screening length. The Casimir-like attraction between two spheres
writs [72]:

\[ U_{\text{att}}(r) = \frac{A_{\text{att}}}{\xi(T)} \exp \left[-\frac{r}{\xi(T)}\right], \]  

where \( \xi(T) \) is the temperature dependent correlation length of the solvent [72],

\[ \xi(T) = \xi_0 (1 - T/T_C)^{-0.63}. \]  

\( T_C \) is the critical temperature. CDF potential takes advantage that repulsive or attractive term can be modulated by the temperature as long \( U_{\text{att}}(r) \) is temperature dependent as depicted in Fig. 4.

The keypoint of the CDF force originates from the confinement of the solvent critical fluctuations between the surfaces of distinct colloids and belongs to the class of the so-called critical parameter which exhibits scaling and universality [73]. Then, the interaction between colloidal hard sphere particles immersed in a chemical solution becomes attractive and long-ranged on approaching the solution percolation transition. The analogy with CDF force is simply obtained by comparison between \( T - T_c \) with \( p - p_c \) (\( p_c \) being the percolation threshold) and \( \xi \) the length of the infinite cluster nearby the transition (see Fig. 4).

J. Ligands

1. Ligand-NP and ligand-ligand interaction

Ligand is an ion or molecule attached to a metal atom at the cluster surface by coordinate bonding. Bonding may include several types of interactions such as electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, biological recognition interactions [80, 81]. When the interaction depends on the type of crystal facet, we can create bond directionality which is the base of patch [82]. It is the case when phase separation occurs at the NPs surface due to miscible/immiscible ligand. Figure 5 illustrates the immiscibility phase diagram for ternary mixtures when immiscible lipids control the morphology of patchy emulsions [83].

2. Modelling patch: anisotropic interaction

Patchy colloidal atoms are particles decorated on their surface by a predefined number of attractive sticky spots [84–88]. Most of patchy colloidal atoms are obtained by chemical route. However, shell coating device can be obtained directly in free phase as depicted in Fig. 6.
such as diamond lattice.

This feature which is not affected by phase separation is a characteristic of patchy interacting particles systems. This feature decreases slowly decreases when the patches are randomly distributed [92]. Since the packing limit can be tuned by \( f \), the existence of a region of densities which can not be realized via the isotropic potential mentioned above. Interactions between patchy particles can be looked as a covalent-like bonding. The most simple simulation of such potential writes [91, 92]:

\[
V_{\text{eff}}(A, B) = V^{\text{HS}}(A, B) + \sum_{i=1}^{f} \sum_{j=1}^{f} V_{\text{SW}}(r_{ij}^{AB}). \tag{10}
\]

\( V_{\text{eff}}(A, B) \) is the interaction potential between two patchy particles A and B, \( f \) the number of patches, \( V^{\text{HS}}(A, B) \) the isotropic hard sphere model described above (DLVO, Casimir-like, Asakura-Oosawa . . . ). Two patchy particles interact through an attractive square well (SW) potential only if two patches are properly aligned. The interaction between patches is modeled by a square well potential \( V_{\text{SW}} \) of depth \( U_0 \) for \( \delta \leq 0.12 \) (see Eq. 12), \( R \) being the radius of a (monodisperse) particle. Table I displays the gas-liquid coexistence regions for different packing and \( f \) values. We clearly observed that the critical packing collapses when \( f \) decreases [91]. Surprisingly, this value slowly decreases when the patches are randomly distributed [92]. Since the packing limit can be tuned by \( f \), the existence of a region of densities which is not affected by phase separation is a characteristic of patchy interacting particles systems. This feature opens the way to non-dense packing superlattices [93, 94] such as diamond lattice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f )</th>
<th>( \phi_c )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. DNA

Oligonucleotides, i.e. short single strands of DNA, have been recognized as ligands of choice for the assembly of NPs. Indeed, base pairing between complementary DNA strands yields an "intraparticle bond" with unequalled advantages [95, 96]. Thus, control of interparticle distance with subnanometer resolution is obtained, based on the number of base pairs in the DNA duplex. The tunable sequence-dependent enthalpy of interaction allows access to equilibrium structure, proof reading and error correction of self-assembly through thermal annealing procedure [95, 97]. In addition, sequence recognition also enables sequential binding [4, 98]. When grafted into a densely packed shell, the DNA duplex arranges in a surface-normal orientation following precisely the shape of the nanoparticle, which is of particular interest for non-spherical nanoparticles. Post-synthesis addition of DNA intercalators into DNA duplexes increases the thermal stability of the DNA nanoparticle superlattices enabling further high-temperature modification of the assemblies [99]. In principle, DNA can be attached to many types of nanoparticles, including quantum dots, iron oxides and silica. However in most cases, DNA was attached to gold nanoparticles. Indeed, thiolated DNA can be easily anchored to the surface in a post-synthetic step, via the formation of S-Au bond [100]. In addition, gold nanoparticles can be produced with a variety of forms including rods, triangular prisms with edges, corner and facets that can be functionalized selectively [95].

### K. Effect of the particle size and shape

The direct application to NPs of the self-assembly theory elaborated for microparticles (classical theory of colloidal interactions) is not straightforward. The classical approach of microparticles self-assembly uses the DLVO theory which makes several assumptions that are no longer verified for nanoparticles with sizes about 1–20 nm [101]. First, the elementary interactions are no longer additive. Second, the size of the solvent molecules and solvated ions is not negligible compared to the size of the particles. Third, the media inside or outside the particles cannot be considered as uniform. For example, the ligand surrounding the particle has different properties compared to the particle core. Thus, it is not possible to consider a uniform dielectric constant instead we have to consider local atomic polarizabilities. Finally, the DLVO theory considers simple particle shapes, mainly spheres.
or less often cylinders while nanoparticles have a large palette of shapes, some of them being very complex. In particular, the presence of sharp apexes leads to singularities that affect the properties of the particles. In order to take into account above limitations, the different interactions have to be calculated simultaneously. This can be done by density functional theory (DFT) calculations or molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [101].

IV. DRIVING FORCES IN SELF-ASSEMBLY OF PARTICLES

The interactions between particles play a central role in the assembly of particles. In the case of hard particles which has been studied for years there is no attraction between the particles only an infinite repulsion when particles come on contact. The free energy is minimized by the maximization of the entropy [102]. Entropic interactions (mainly depletion interactions) are the driving force for self-assembly of isotropic particles at large volume fraction ($\phi$) giving compact structures (fcc, bcc, or hcp). For the hard spherical particles the fcc structure was conjectured by Kepler, four centuries ago [102] and proved by Hales only recently [103]. The situation is more complicated for anisotropic particles [104]. Directional entropic forces arise from the alignment of flat facets that maximizes entropy [105]. In the case of real particles other interactions are to be accounted. They are of van der Waals, electrostatic, magnetic or molecular types. A complete description is given in [27]. Here, we will give only the main characteristics of the interactions.

A. Particles without interactions

Hard spheres are widely used as model particles in the statistical mechanical theory of fluids and solids. They are defined simply as impenetrable spheres (radius $R$) that cannot overlap in space. The pairwise interaction potential is modelled as:

$$V(r) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } r > R, \\
\infty & \text{if } r < R. 
\end{cases}$$

(11)

Since there is no attractive part, the lowering of the free energy is achieved by maximising entropy.

B. Particles with interaction: crude model

The interaction between particles can be modelled by a square well potential:

$$U(r) = \begin{cases} 
\infty & \text{if } r \leq R, \\
U_0 & \text{if } R < r < R + \delta, \\
0 & \text{if } r > R + \delta. 
\end{cases}$$

(12)

$R$ being the radius of the NP.

FIG. 7. Typical profile for a pairwise DLVO potential resulting from attractive van der Waals and repulsive double layer interactions. The two figures display a DLVO potential with one or two minima according to the van der Waals range [112].

C. Particles with interaction in binary mixture

Colloidal particles, due to their size, are often characterized by effective interactions whose range is significantly smaller than the particle diameter. The use of standard effective potential based on point charge is still debated. Colloidal stability requires that the repulsion and entropic contribution between the suspended particles is greater than the attractive dispersion force. When particles are surrounded (or not) by ligands the interactions are limited to (unretardated) van der Waals and electrostatic double layer interactions (the so-called DLVO approximation [10, 106, 107]). van der Waals forces dictate the profiles at large and small distances, while the double layer force dominates the intermediate distances. Other interactions are under consideration [108], including osmotic repulsion for capped particles with ligands (the repulsive forces due to overlapping of the long-chain capping material covering the metal nanoparticles and their interactions with the surrounding solvent molecules), steric repulsion, electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bonding, magnetic interaction, etc. All of them are related to a decomposition of pairwise potentials with a more or less extent as depicted in Fig. 7. Notice that a theoretical model including attractive forces between two sets of particles has provided recently complete phase diagrams for 2D and 3D systems [109], which are different from those with the well-known repulsive hard-sphere model [110, 111]. As mentioned previously, van der Waals forces promote a dense packing in fluid phase, other forces promote a dense packing in crystalline phase. For that purpose, the addition of co-solute
particles allows manipulating and controlling the phase behaviour of colloids by means of effective interactions (depletion). Co-solute properties can be exploited to create new types of effective interactions among colloids. Far away the criticality, when the two colloids are at distances larger than \( 2R \) (see Fig. 8a) the net pressure on each colloid is zero, the interactions are limited to DLVO. When the two colloids are closer than \( 2R \) a "depletion" region appears between the two particles (see Fig. 8b). The mismatch of the pressure inside and outside the colloids gives rise to a non-zero balance. This force can be calculated analytically within Asakura-Oosawa model [113, 114]. When the solvent correlation length becomes of the order of the two colloid particles distance (near the critical temperature), an effective force appears due to Casimir-deGennes-Fisher force (see Fig. 8c).

**D. External driving force**

There are few ways for driving or controlling growth by external forces including magnetism [115], electrical field, laser beam, etc. Collino et al. [116] showed that acoustically-driven assembly can be exploited to achieve tunable patterning of anisotropic particles (Fig. 9).

Korda et al. [117] drive 2D monolayer of colloidal spheres by hydrodynamic forces into a large array of holographic optical tweezers. Jensen et al. studied the crystallization after natural sedimentation under gravity and rapid deposition under ultracentrifugation. The crystallization depends strongly to the structure of the template (substrate). In this manner, they obtained rapid growth of large and defect free colloidal fcc crystals onto fcc(100) templates. By contrast, fcc(111) template favours disordering under sedimentation.

**V. NPS SYNTHESIS**

**A. Strategy**

Two classes of elementary building-blocks (called NPs) are under consideration:

- Faceted NPs (nanocrystals): these smaller blocks, obtained through chemical and physical methods, require a selective functionalization of their oriented surface (using organic ligands, DNA fragments, etc).

- Micro (and nano) patchy particles: obtained by chemical engineering (polymerization) from modified spherical colloids to generate anisotropic particles [118].

It must be noted that the size of the considered particles ranges from few nm to microns and questions the validity of the models developed in the literature.

**B. Nucleation of colloidal crystals: theory**

The nucleation of a solid phase in a colloidal suspension has been treated since a long time using the classical nucleation theory from Volmer [119] and Becker-Dring [120] for atomic systems. This theory works relatively well for colloids that behave as hard spheres systems (HS).
The nucleation rate per unit volume is:

\[ \phi \]

where \( \phi \) is the volume fraction. (persaturation is obtained by cooling which increases the nucleation rate.) Figure 10 shows nucleation rate for experimental system (red curve) and simulations: monodisperse hard spheres from [124]. (From [121]) [112]

The total free Gibbs energy variation to form a spherical crystallite of radius \( R \) is

\[ \Delta G = \frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 \rho \Delta \mu + 4 \pi R^2 \gamma, \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where \( \rho \) is the density of the solid, \( \Delta \mu \) is the difference of chemical potential between the solid and the liquid and \( \gamma \) the solid-liquid interfacial energy. The first term corresponds to the formation of the solid nucleus and the second term to the creation of the surface of the nucleus. The maximum of the curve corresponds to the critical nucleus (after this size the nucleus grows spontaneously). The energy of the critical nucleus is:

\[ \Delta G_{\text{crit}} = \frac{16\pi}{3} \left( \frac{\gamma^3}{(\rho \Delta \mu)^2} \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The nucleation rate per unit volume is:

\[ J = \kappa \exp \left( \frac{-\Delta G_{\text{crit}}}{k_B T} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where \( \kappa \) is the kinetic prefactor, \( k_B \) the Boltzmann constant and \( T \) the temperature. Taking Eq. 14, the nucleation rate becomes

\[ J = \zeta \exp \left[ \frac{-16 \pi \gamma^3}{(\rho \Delta \mu)^2} \right]. \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Figure 10 shows nucleation rate for experimental system and simulation with hard sphere (HS) systems. The supersaturation is obtained by cooling which increases the volume fraction (\( \phi \)) in the condensed phase. The maximum of the nucleation rate is obtained close to the melting point which is at \( \phi_m = 0.545 \) for a HS system. The agreement between experiment and simulation is qualitatively good. However, some quantitatively discrepancies appear between experiments and simulations. These disagreements can be due to the fact that the nucleus shape is different from a sphere due to the crystalline structure of the bulk material. In fact, experiments and simulations have shown that the nuclei can be flat [125] or multiple twins close to a decahedron [126]. Crystallization of DNA coated microspheres has been obtained experimentally only recently [127]. The DNA colloidal particles behave like uncovered colloid, a nucleation stage is observed before growth which is compatible with classical nucleation theory [127]. However the window to observe well-ordered crystallization is quite reduced [127, 128]. Particle with DNA strands attached at well-defined positions (patchy particles) form directional bonding that opens the way to the formation of non-compact (fcc or bcc) structures (see Sec. IX). In this case, computer simulations show that the nucleation barrier significantly changes with the number of patches [129]. In the case of nanoparticles, few experimental works on nucleation have been published. The self-assembly of gold nanoparticles (4.9 nm) coated by ligands has been studied by dynamic light scattering. The size of the nuclei as a function of temperature has been well fitted with the classical nucleation theory [130].

C. Mechanism of growth in the framework of the La Mer model

The key idea of separating the nucleation stage and growth process in time is often used to obtain nearly mono disperse particles. Within this hypothesis, one introduces the concept of the self focusing regime. This cannot be achieved in nucleation growth in free gas phase since the high rate of growth leads to a growth time in the same order of magnitude than the nucleation one. This is not the case in liquid (colloidal) phase where one observes a burst of nuclei formation in a short period, an initial fast rate of growth of these nuclei and a slow rate of growth leading to a long growth period compared to the nucleation period (often referred to Lamer’s mechanism [132]). Now, assume that the average radius of the particles is \( R \). The bulk liquid phase (media) is considered to have a uniform supersaturated monomer concentration, \( C_B \), while the monomer concentration at the particle interface noted \( C_i \) is depleted, \( C_R \) the solubility of the particle with a radius \( R \) (Fig. 11) which is related to \( C_\infty \) by the Gibbs-Thomson relationship given by [133]:

\[ C_R = C_\infty \exp \left( \frac{2 \gamma \text{int} v}{k_B T} \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

\( \gamma \text{int} \) is the interfacial energy, \( v \) the molar mass, \( C_\infty \) the concentration for a flat surface. One obtains the critical radius (see Fig. 11)

\[ R_{\text{crit}} = \frac{2 \gamma v}{k_B T \log S}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)
This critical radius corresponds to the minimum size at which a particle can survive in solution without being redissolved.

The Gibbs-Thomson law states that the solubility is not independent of the size. Both the particles and media are fluids, the solute atoms diffuse to the spherical particles under steady-state condition. The growth originates from the concentration gradients at the vicinity of the surface. The concentration at the surface of particles in equilibrium with larger particles is lower than that with smaller particles as depicted in Eq. 17. Atoms in the media flow through the concentration gradients both from the surface of the smaller particles to media and from the media to the surface of larger particles, this is the so-called Ostwald ripening (i.e. the diffusion of mass from regions of high interfacial curvature to regions of low interfacial curvature). The process occurs by the growth of large particles at the expense of smaller ones of low interfacial curvature. The process occurs by the mass from regions of high interfacial curvature to regions of the surface. The concentration at the surface of particles in equilibrium with larger particles is lower than that with smaller particles as depicted in Eq. 17. Atoms in the media flow through the concentration gradients both from the surface of the smaller particles to media and from the media to the surface of larger particles, this is the so-called Ostwald ripening (i.e. the diffusion of mass from regions of high interfacial curvature to regions of low interfacial curvature). The process occurs by the growth of large particles at the expense of smaller ones which dissolve. One can introduce a critical radius \( R_{crit} \) where particles with \( R > R_{crit} \) grow and particles with \( R < R_{crit} \) shrink (we assume that \( R_{crit} \) is a constant). The flux of monomers, \( J \) passing through a spherical surface with radius \( x \) (\( x \ll \delta \) where \( \delta \) is the depletion zone within the diffusion layer) is given by Fick’s first law [134, 135]. At the steady state,

\[
J = 4\pi DR(C_B - C_i).
\]  

(19)

This flux is compensated by the consumption rate of the monomers near the surface

\[
J = 4\pi R^2 k_d (C_i - C_R),
\]  

(20)

where \( k_d \) is the rate constant, \( D \) the diffusion coefficient. Assuming that \( dR/dt = Jv/S \) with \( S = 4\pi R^2 \), the master equation for the \( R \) time dependence in the general case writes

\[
\frac{dR}{dt} = \frac{Dv}{R(C_B - C_R)} \frac{1}{1 + D/k_d R}.
\]  

(21)

\( C_B \) and \( C_R \) are given by Eq. 17 and

\[
C_B = C_\infty \exp \left( \frac{2\gamma_{int} v}{R_{crit} k_B T} \right).
\]  

(22)

One assumes \( \frac{2\gamma_{int} v}{k_B T R_{crit}} \ll 1 \) then

\[
\frac{dR}{dt} = \frac{2\gamma_{int} v^2 C_\infty}{k_B T} \left( \frac{1}{R_{crit}^2} - 1 \right).
\]  

(23)

Two asymptotic behaviours are under consideration, namely \( D \ll k_d R \) and \( D \gg k_d R \). The first case \( D \gg k_d R \) called reaction-limited growth where the growth rate is limited by the surface reaction of the monomers is derived from Eq. 23

\[
\frac{dR}{dt} \propto \frac{\Delta R}{R^2}.
\]  

(24)

Assuming that size distribution is centered around \( \bar{R} \) with a dispersion \( \Delta R/\bar{R} \). Previous equation writes (assuming that the critical radius \( R_{crit} \) is a constant)

\[
\frac{d\Delta R}{dt} \propto \frac{\Delta R}{R^2 \bar{R}^2}.
\]  

(25)

The dispersion increases with time leading to a broadening with mean size increase.

The second case \( D \ll k_d R \) called diffusion limited growth regime states that the particle growth is essentially controlled by the diffusion of the monomers to the surface. This regime was first described by Ostwald and developed by Lifshitz, Slyozov and Wagner (LSW) [134–136]. Equation 23 writes

\[
\frac{dR}{dt} \propto \frac{1}{R^2} \left( \frac{R}{R_{crit}} - 1 \right),
\]  

(26)

\[
\frac{d\Delta R}{dt} \propto \frac{\Delta R}{R^2} \left( \frac{2}{R} - \frac{1}{R_{crit}} \right).
\]  

(27)

When clusters grow and reach \( 2R_{crit} \), \( d\Delta R/dt \) becomes negative. Then, the size distribution narrows within increasing size, this is the self-focusing regime. Nucleation regime is intermediate between the two diffusion limited growth and reaction-limited growth regimes. However,
the monodispersity needs to approach the diffusion limited growth regime: smaller particles will grow more quickly than larger particles, leading to a narrowing of the size distribution. Another way to reach a self focusing regime needs nucleation of binary or ternary compounds with a core shell structure [137–139]. In certain conditions smaller (binary/ternary) particles grow at expense of the larger ones, this is the digestive ripening which is the inverse of Ostwald ripening. Core-shell structures are an interesting field as long self-assembly of self-limiting monodisperse superlattices can be obtained from polydisperse nanoparticles [140]. In this case, the self-limiting growth process (i.e. self-focusing regime) is yet governed by a balance between electrostatic repulsion and van der Waals attraction, which is aided by the broad polydispersity of the nanoparticles.

VI. SUPRACRYSTAL BASED ON SPHERICAL (ISOTROPIC) PARTICLES

A. Size dispersity

In the literature, a common sentence is “NPs are sufficiently mono-disperse that they form well-ordered 2-D hexagonal lattice or close-packed supracrystals”. The introduction of spherical NPs with different sizes into the otherwise mono dispersed sample of NPs may lead to disordering and phase segregation during the crystallization process. It may seem intuitive at first glance, this idea that somehow a perfect long range order needs identical spheres packing. In fact, it is not true since a collection of different spheres can form crystals (if we add smaller spheres to pack the vacancy of a fcc packing, we can increase the density further). However, this specific case corresponds to spheres with well-defined ratios and will be discussed later (the so called ”the packing together of many discretely sized particles” [141]). If we consider a Gaussian size distribution $\sigma$ (the dispersion of the packing of continuous particle size distributions [141]), which is realistic for a nucleation/growth process, the long range order rapidly vanishes with $\sigma$. This is difficult to make a criterion giving the upper limit of $\sigma$ for a perfect crystallization. We just define an upper theoretical limit: long range order is wholly disrupted once the distribution of NPs sizes reaches a value of dispersion $\sigma = 0.27$ (defined as the standard deviation of the distribution of radii divided by its mean value within a triangular distribution)[142]. This is the upper limit where there is no way of solving phase transition (i.e. crystallisation). It is found experimentally that colloidal systems with a polydispersity $\sigma$ greater than about 0.10 do not crystallize on an experimentally accessible timescale at any concentration [143]. More recently, it has been shown that fractionation into several solid phases can occur with possible coexistence of several solids with a fluid phase (Fig. 12) [144]. Crystallization in a single structure needs very low polydispersity as depicted in Fig. 12 [145].

There is no way to observe a phase transition (crystallisation) when the variance is higher than 0.27 (upper limit) and probably limited to 0.1 (Fig. 12).

B. Topology

A 3D periodic lattice needs a short and a long range order. Supracrystal can be considered as a sphere packing problem. From the topology point of view, the long range order needs two conditions: monodispersity and dense packing. The first is related to the synthesis of the NPs, the second is related to the attractive or repulsive interaction between the NPs (crystallization). This latter can be viewed as a first order transition according to Landau’s theory and is related to a fluid-crystalline phase transition where entropy drives crystallization [146].

C. Crystallization

The volume fraction filled by the solid objects in random close packing cannot exceed a density limit of 63.4 % [148] while in dense packing (crystal like) this value is 0.74 % according to Hales-Kepler conjecture [103]. Hard-sphere system can freeze [146] with no attractive inter NPs interactions as depicted in the phase diagram (Fig. 13). The coexistence between solid and liquid-like is defined in the range of density 0.494 – 0.545. The thermodynamical stable system corresponds to the fcc crystal branch. However, kinetics dictates the crystallisation or not by the comparison of the time scale for the non-equilibrium to metastable equilibrium transition compared to the time scale related to the transition from
FIG. 13. Phase diagram for the sphere packing problem showing the phase coexistence without interaction (hard sphere model) [146]. The stable branch gets fcc structure while metastable structure gets the random compact packing hcp. fcc or hcp with associated polytypes (fcc, hcp or combinations just differ by entropy energy) need defect free structures. Icosahedral symmetry which is locally more compact introduces topological defects hindering a superlattice construction. This is the way to obtain hcp metastable structures. Below the freezing, no superlattice (diamond for example) can be obtained with a standard route (pairwise interaction potential). Note that no expected superlattice exists below the loosest packing limit 0.055 [147].

D. Particles with interaction

In this section, we discuss the case of packing structures. The phase diagram for a fluid of hard spheres without interaction is depicted in Fig. 14a (see also Fig. 13). The addition of long-range attractions results in three-phase equilibria, with a triple point and phase line between liquid and gas ending in a critical point leading to the much complex phase diagram depicted in Fig. 14b [151]. Likewise, for short-range attractions (Fig. 14c), equilibrium between gas and crystal is observed, but the gas-liquid equilibrium becomes metastable. This underlines the complexity of the phase diagram according to the type of interaction between particles. According to Fig. 13, for hard sphere without interaction, the critical density \( \rho_c \) of the phase coexistence where both fluid and solid can coexist until the melting point is \( \phi_c = 0.545 \). This density depends on the interaction between spheres (particles). Table II reports critical density for van der Waals interaction and adhesive hard-sphere potential (AHS) defined by a square-well potential \( U(r) \) [152].

Contrary to vdW interaction which is attractive [153], AHS potential supposes that the structure is dominated by the hard repulsion part of the intermolecular potential. Reading the table shows that the potential tunes the boundary between the phase coexistence. Note that for \( \delta < 0.25 \) gas-liquid separation becomes metastable with respect to the fluid-solid equilibrium.
TABLE II. Critical point parameters for the square-well potential fluid for width $\delta$ (in units of $R$) [152] and vdW potential [153].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$\phi_c$</th>
<th>$\phi_{\max}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{L_{\max}}$</th>
<th>$\phi_{U_{\max}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VII. SUPRACRYSTAL BASED FROM NON-SPHERICAL PARTICLES: THEORY AND COMPUTER SIMULATION OF PARTICLE SELF-ASSEMBLY

A. Theory of self-assembly

For a long time humanity was questioning about the compact assembly of identical objects. As we have seen before, Kepler was interested in the maximal filling of space by spheres. He conjectured that the packing fraction was $\pi/4 = 0.7854$... which corresponds to a fcc structure. However, we have no exact answer for other congruent convex objects besides the trivial cases of cube and truncated octahedron that fill the space. The conjecture of Ulam reported by M. Gardner in 1972 predicts that the maximum packing fraction for an assembly of convex objects is always larger than those of spheres [154]. Tetrahedra, which represent an important class of NPs [155], are an interesting case. The regular packing of tetrahedra with $\phi = 2/3$ and an irregular arrangement with $\phi = 0.72$ have been predicted [102] while the densest Bravais lattice of tetrahedra corresponds to $\phi = 18/49 = 0.367...$. Experimentally, a packing estimated to $\phi \approx 0.59$ was obtained for CdSe tetrahedra [155]. These results are clearly in contradiction with Ulam’s conjecture. However experiments with tetrahedral dice later showed a maximum compactness with $\phi \approx 0.75$ but the dice were non perfect tetrahedra (the vertices and edges were rounded) [156]. Later, Chen founds a periodic packing of tetrahedra with $\phi = 0.7796$ [157] that clearly is larger than those of spheres. The Ulam conjecture was verified. In 2009, Torquato and Jiao [158] studied the densest organization of the 5 Platonic solids (tetrahedron, icosahedron, dodecahedron, octahedron, cube) and the 13 Archimedean solids (the Archimedean solids are polyhedras composed of two or more regular polygons meeting in identical vertices): truncated tetrahedron (A1), truncated icosahedron (A2), snub cube (A3), snub dodecahedron (A4), rhombicosidodecahedron (A5), truncated icosidodecahedron (A6), truncated cuboctahedron (A7), icosidodecahedron (A8), rhombicuboctahedron (A9), truncated dodecahedron (A10), cuboctahedron (A11), truncated cube (A12), truncated octahedron (A13), which are represented in Fig. 15. They found theoretically a formulation for the upper bound of the maximum density of packing for nonspherical particles:

$$\phi_{U_{\max}} = \min \left( \frac{V_p \pi}{V_s 18^{1/2}}, 1 \right),$$

where $V_p$ is the volume of a d-dimensional particle and $V_s$ is the volume of the largest sphere that will be inscribed in the nonspherical particle. In the same paper [158], they calculate by numerical simulations the maximum packing ($\phi_{\max}$) for Platonic solids. The value of $\phi_{\max}$, $\phi_{L_{\max}}$ (the optimal lattice packing) and $\phi_{U_{\max}}$ obtained for Platonic and Archimedean solids are shown in Tab. III. It is interesting to note that for the tetrahedron ($\phi_{\max} = 0.8563$) the densest packing obtained in the simulations has no long range order unlike for the other Platonic solids for which the maximum density corresponds to Bravais lattices. Torquato and Jiao conjectured that the densest packing of platonic and Archimedean solids with central symmetry are given by the corresponding densest lattice packing [158]. Tetra- hedron is the only non-centrosymmetric Platonic solid as the truncated tetrahedron for the Archimedean solids which presents a much higher density ($\Phi = 0.9951$) than the corresponding densest lattice (0.6809). Several authors have also studied the densest packing of tetrahedral by computer simulations. They found maximum packing fraction of 0.8503 [164], 0.8547 [165], 0.8563 [159, 160]. The last calculations constructed the lattice from an analytic formulation. Cube is the only Platonic solid that completely fill space but in combining octahedra and tetrahedra it is also possible [166]. Numerical methods have been recently elaborated to study regular packings of irregular nonconvex particles [167]. In Tab. III, we give the maximal density obtained by numerical simulations for Platonic and Archimedean solids.
TABLE III. Theoretical results of the densest organization for the 5 Platonic solids, the 13 Archimedean solids and the rhom- bictodecahedron (Catalan solid). \( \phi_{\text{max}} \), \( \phi_{\text{max}}^L \), and \( \phi_{\text{max}}^U \) are the density for the optimal lattice, the upper-bound value and the maximum value observed in the simulations, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Lattice</th>
<th>( \phi_{\text{max}}^L )</th>
<th>( \phi_{\text{max}}^U )</th>
<th>( \phi_{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahedron (T)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3073</td>
<td>0.8563</td>
<td>0.8363</td>
<td>[158–160]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icosahedron (I)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.8363</td>
<td>0.8934</td>
<td>0.8363</td>
<td>[158]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dodecahedron (D)</td>
<td>( \beta )-Mn (A13)</td>
<td>0.9045</td>
<td>0.9811</td>
<td>0.9045</td>
<td>[158, 161]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octahedron (O)</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>0.9473</td>
<td>0.9811</td>
<td>0.9473</td>
<td>[158]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cube (C)</td>
<td>sc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[158]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archimedean Solids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalan Solids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We add also the case of the rhombic dodecahedron which is a space filling Catalan solid for which self-assembly has been experimentally studied.

**B. Computer simulation**

Computer simulations have been extensively used in the recent years to study the self-assembly of particles. Glotzer et al. have studied the phase diagram of 145 convex polyhedra [161] (Fig. 16). Other groups have tackled the case of crystal packings of ellipsoids and rods [168, 169] As only hard particles interactions are considered, the simulation can be made on a large number of particles. Packing efficiency increases the alignment of flat facets that maximizes the entropy and then the stability of the assembly. These simulations used Monte Carlo methods.

**C. Comparison between theoretical models and experiment: case of polyhedron particles**

Table IV gives experimental observations for self-assembly of polyhedron nanoparticles in the size range 10 – 300 nm.

**1. Tetrahedra**

PbSe tetrahedra with a size of 10 nm self-organize in an orthorhombic Bravais lattice [155]. No face to face contact but rather edge to edge contact is observed on the contrary of the simulations [158–160]. The arrangement of tetrahedra is less dense than predicted theoretically (\( \Phi \simeq 0.59 \) taking into account the ligands). The discrepancies between theory and experiments can be solved if we introduce other interactions than hard particles which are stabilized by maximization of entropy. These new interactions are due to the ligand-ligand interaction which is dependent of the nature of the solvent. In the case of a bad solvent (or vacuum) the interaction between edges is attractive while the interaction between the facets is weakly repulsive and in the case of good solvent the strong repulsion between ligand on the facets is also favorable to vertex to vertex contact [155].
TABLE IV. Experimental results of self-assembly of polyhedral nanocrystals (NC). Orthorhombic (or), Minkowski lattice (Ml), body-centered cubic (bcc), hexagonal close-packed (hcp), simple cubic (sc), rhombohedral (rh), face-centered cubic (fcc), body-centered tetragonal (bct). The particle size represents the mean edge length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Lattice</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CdSe</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNi</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt3Cu2</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InCdO</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>Octahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt</td>
<td>Rhombic dodecahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtNi</td>
<td>Rhombic dodecahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtSe</td>
<td>Rhombic dodecahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe3O4</td>
<td>Rhombic dodecahedron</td>
<td>Ml</td>
<td>105, 163, 174–178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Octahedra

The self-assembly of octahedral NPs (size from 9 to 300 nm) from different materials (Ag, Au, PtNi, Pt3Cu2, MnO, InCdO) has been studied [105, 163, 174–178]. They self-assemble in a bcc lattice except for Ag [163] and InCdO [178] which form a Minkowski lattice (Ml) and MnO [177] which forms a hcp lattice. The prediction from theory was the Mi which corresponds to a very dense arrangement ($\Phi = 0.94$). For the bcc lattice the packing is much less dense ($\Phi = 0.33$) while for the hcp lattice the filling factor ($\Phi = 0.88$) is a little smaller than for the Ml. In the dense structures (Ml, hcp) a high number of face to face contact are observed which is explained by a maximization of entropy. For large particles (50–300 nm) the particles follow the structure (Ml) predicted by the theory for hard particles which means that entropic directional forces are the most important interaction which favors face to face contacts. Small particles (around 10 nm in size) are organized in the bcc structure which is not dense ($\Phi = 0.33$). In this structure the particles tend to form tip to tip contact. For this range of sizes, interactions other than hard particle ones become important. In particular the interactions between facets through adsorbed ligands are strongly repulsive and face to face contact is impossible. On the edges and vertices of the octahedra the ligand-ligand interaction between two particles is less repulsive which explains the preference for tip to tip contact. The MnO octahedra [177] lay within an intermediate size range and they self-organize in a dense structure ($\Phi = 0.88$) but less dense than for large particles ($\Phi = 0.94$). Gold octahedra with a size of 70 nm [105] should have a dense structure but they adopt the bcc structure. However this is a particular case. Indeed, surfactant (CTAB) is added in large quantity (much larger than the critical micellar concentration) forming micelles in the solution which creates depletion interactions [105]. Surfactant forms also a bilayer on the surface of the particles and since the CTAB molecule is charged, repulsive electrostatic interactions are present. Then, the distance between particles correspond to the situation where depletion and electrostatic forces compensate. By changing the concentration of surfactant or the ionic strength the distance between the particles can be tuned (in a limited range) [105].

3. Cubes

The self-organization of cubes of different materials (Ag, Pd, Pt, PbSe, Fe3O4) in the size range 5 to 30 nm has been studied. In most cases the simple cubic structure which fills the space has been observed [163, 180–182, 184] in agreement with Monte Carlo simulations with hard particles. The fact that the simple cubic (sc) structure can be formed even for small sizes is due to the cubic shape of the nanoparticles which induces a tetra-coordination of the NPs corresponding to the most stable
organization. However, if the NPs are not regular cubes the sc structure is no longer observed. When the 8 corners of the cubes are rounded, the particles self-assemble in a rhombohedral (RH) structure as observed for Pd [180] and PbSe [183]. The continuous and reversible evolution of the structure of the NPs assembly has been observed for Pd cubes covered with docanethiol ligands which strongly interact with Pd via sulphur atom. The shape of the particles evolves as a function of the evaporation of the solvent from a perfect cube with rounding of the corners, then of the edges and eventually at the end the particles reach a spherical shape [180]. In the case of 10 nm Pt cubes different organizations have been observed depending on the nature of the solvent [182]. In toluene (aromatic) the sc structure is observed while in hexane (aliphatic) the body-centered-tetragonal structure (bct) is observed. This difference is due to a delicate balance between ligand-ligand and ligand-solvent interactions. The repulsion between ligands (oleylamine in this case) is stronger in hexane than in toluene then in the former case the bct structure allows to reduce the sum of the ligand-ligand interactions.

4. Cuboctahedra

The self-organization of perfect cuboctahedra has been studied only for large (≈ 200 nm) Ag particles which form a fcc structure as expected from simulation with hard cuboctahedra [163].

5. Truncated cubes

The self-assembly of truncated cubes of Ag, Au, Pt and Fe$_2$O$_3$ in the size range 4.7 – 300 nm has been studied. Large Ag [163] and Au [105] particles form the sc structure expected from theoretical calculations. Particles, smaller than 10 nm, form different structures. Pt particles of 4.7 nm self-organize in fcc structure as expected from calculations with hard cuboctahedra [181]. The stability of the less dense fcc structure is explained by multipolar electrostatic interactions resulting from charge transfer between ligands and Pt cores [181]. The energy minimum is obtained when the (111) facets on the corners of the truncated cubes in a layer are shifted relatively to those of the adjacent layer. For 8.5 nm Fe$_2$O$_3$ truncated cubes a bct organization is found [185] instead of the expected sc one. The bct structure is explained by the anisotropy of the van der Waals attraction is screened therefore the driving force for the self-assembly is the increase of entropy by maximizing the face to face contacts. These entropic forces are directional in contrast to the spherical colloids. Very dense packing with a filling factor close to one can be obtained. For small particles (typically smaller than 20 nm) disagreements between simulations and experiment appear. In fact, small particles no longer can be considered as hard particles. Experimentally much less dense structures are observed. This is due to the strong repulsion between the facets of the nanoparticles arising from the interaction between ligands of two neighboring particles. For example tetrahedra or octahedra prefer tip-to-tip contacts instead of face-to-face contacts.

6. Truncated octahedra

Large (around 300 nm) silver particles with a truncated octahedron shape self-assemble in a bcc structure (Kelvin structure) as expected from calculations with hard polyhedra, the truncated octahedron like the cube is a space filling solid.

7. Rhombic dodecahedron

Gold rhombic dodecahedron [105] with a relatively large size of 36 nm self-assemble in a fcc structure as expected from calculations with hard particle interactions. All the (110) facets are aligned. However the facets are not in contact as expected from theory (rhombic dodecahedra fill the space), the measured gap size (15.3 nm) being larger than those expected from the ligand length. This large gap results from the competition between electrostatic and depletion forces.

Comparison between experimental results on the self-assembly of large neutral particles (typically larger 30 – 300 nm) show a good agreement with theoretical calculations for hard polyhedra. As mentioned later, this can be understood by the fact that for these sizes the van der Waals attraction is screened therefore the driving force for the self-assembly is the increase of entropy by maximizing the face to face contacts. These entropic forces are directional in contrast to the spherical colloids. Very dense packing with a filling factor close to one can be obtained. For small particles (typically smaller than 20 nm) disagreements between simulations and experiment appear. In fact, small particles no longer can be considered as hard particles. Experimentally much less dense structures are observed. This is due to the strong repulsion between the facets of the nanoparticles arising from the interaction between ligands of two neighboring particles. For example tetrahedra or octahedra prefer tip-to-tip contacts instead of face-to-face contacts. When cubic particle are truncated, the appearance of (111) facets creates an axial anisotropy which gives rise to new structures like rh or bct. By changing the relative strength of attractive and repulsive forces between the particles the gap between particles can be adjusted.

VIII. ANISOTROPY: BIMODAL DISTRIBUTION

A. Isotropic particles

The low compacity can be obtained by using a combination of two nanoparticles with a bimodal distribution. As mentioned previously, the crystallization is in competition with the fluid phase. The packing in fluid phase (random close packing, rcp) for a bimodal distribution
The stability is given by the maximum of compacity. The letters A,B,C and D show the crossover between rcp and fcc structures. Within the interval, AA, BB, CC and DD (D is the critical value), the crystallization is not stable (adapted from [186, 187]).

\[ \phi(u, X_L)_{rcp,bim} = 0.64 + 0.184(1 - X_L)X_L(u - 1). \]  

(29)

0.64 is the rcp for a a mono-sized system, \( u \) is the diameter ratio of large and small spheres, \( X_L \) the mole fraction of the large spheres.

Likewise, the packing fraction in crystalline phase for a bimodal distribution \( \phi(u, X_L)_{cryst,bim} \) writes (for all the 14 Bravais lattices) [187]

\[ \phi(u, X_L)_{cryst,bim} = \frac{\eta_{cryst}X_L(u^3 - 1) + 1}{X_L(u^3 - 1) + 1 + (1 - X_L)X_L(u^3 - 1)}, \]  

(30)

where \( \phi_{cryst} \) is the packing for a crystalline form (0.74 in fcc structure).

Figure 17 displays the phase diagram for a set of parameters \( u \) and \( X_L \), derived from Eqs. 12 and 7. The local and the superlattice structure (here the sphere packing) is given by the maximum of compacity. The letters A,B,C and D show the crossover between rcp and fcc structures. Within the interval, AA, BB, CC and DD (D is the critical value), the crystallization is not stable (adapted from [186, 187]).

\[ \phi(u, X_L)_{rcp,bim} = 0.64 + 0.184(1 - X_L)X_L(u - 1). \]  

(29)

0.64 is the rcp for a a mono-sized system, \( u \) is the diameter ratio of large and small spheres, \( X_L \) the mole fraction of the large spheres.

Likewise, the packing fraction in crystalline phase for a bimodal distribution \( \phi(u, X_L)_{cryst,bim} \) writes (for all the 14 Bravais lattices) [187]

\[ \phi(u, X_L)_{cryst,bim} = \frac{\eta_{cryst}X_L(u^3 - 1) + 1}{X_L(u^3 - 1) + 1 + (1 - X_L)X_L(u^3 - 1)}, \]  

(30)

where \( \phi_{cryst} \) is the packing for a crystalline form (0.74 in fcc structure).

Figure 17 displays the phase diagram for a set of parameters, \( X_L \) and \( u \). Without interaction between particles, the stability is given by the maximum of compacity. In rcp the maximum of compacity is obtained for \( X_L = X_S = 0.5 \) (\( X_S \) is the mole fraction of small spheres). Below \( u = 1.2 \), the stability of the crystalline phase (fcc in this example) is checked in the whole range of \( X_L \). For \( u > 1.2 \), the stability of the crystal phase is assumed outside the crossover labelled by A,B,C,D. For \( X_L \) inside the range AA, BB, CC and DD, the amorphous phase is expected to be the stable one. The main result is that the random bimodal distribution allows non-dense packing in a very narrow window. For fcc structure the critical value is about \( u = 1.2 \) giving a packing fraction of about 0.66 compared to 0.74 in fcc structure. Hynninen et al. have numerically shown that both the pyrochlore and diamond structures could be obtained through self-assembly of a binary mixture of large and small colloidal spheres [188]. When the size ratio of the two populations of spheres is well defined, the so-called Laves phase

**FIG. 17.** Packing fraction in rcp and fcc structure for a set of parameters \( u \) and \( X_L \), derived from Eqs. 12 and 7. The stability is given by the maximum of compacity. The letters A,B,C and D show the crossover between rcp and fcc structures. Within the interval, AA, BB, CC and DD (D is the critical value), the crystallization is not stable (adapted from [186, 187]).

**FIG. 18.** Left. Phase diagram of binary hard spheres with a small-to-large size ratio of 0.82. The phase diagram is shown in the composition \( x \) (number fraction of small spheres) and reduced pressure \( p \) representation. The labels f.c.c.L’ and f.c.c.‘S’ denote the fcc crystals of large and small particles, respectively. Right. Diamond structure of large spheres and pyrochlore structure of small spheres. Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: [188], copyright 2007.

**B. Mixture of isotropic/anisotropic particles**

Bimodal distributions of spherical particles and anisotropic polyhedral blocks can be assembled together. The lattice is given by the polyhedral block acting as a spacer [189]. Both types of particles are functionalized with DNA strands, allowing to encode particle interactions via DNA sequence.

The local and the superlattice structure (here the sphere packing) is given by the symmetry of the nanoblocks while interactions between particles are induced by functionalizing spherical NPs and cubic NPs with complementary DNA strands (Fig. 19). For that purpose, samples assembled at room temperature were subsequently annealed at a pre-melting temperature (234 K) for several hours, followed by gradually cooling back to room temperature. This ensures the crystallisation in the NaCl structure. Ducrot et al. [190] prepared pre-assembled colloidal tetrahedra and spheres (polystyrene particles) obtaining a class of colloidal superstructures, including cubic and tetragonal colloidal crystals. The superlattice is made up of two interpenetrating sublattices, one diamond, and the other pyrochlore. The spheres of
the diamond sublattice have four tetrahedrally coordinated nearest neighbours thanks to DNA-mediated interactions. The spheres of the pyrochlore sublattice can be grouped into distinct tetrahedral clusters that occupy the voids of the diamond sublattice.

IX. SUPRACRYSTAL BASED ON ANISOTROPIC FUNCTIONALIZED PARTICLES WITH INTERACTIONS

As previously discussed, the assembly of particles interacting isotropically leads to close-packed lattices. In that sense, it allows to mimic metal crystals or ionic crystals if the colloidal precursors are pure batches of size-monodisperse particles or binary mixtures of differently-sized particles [191], respectively. Nevertheless, less close-packed lattices such as covalent crystals remain un-mimicable at the colloidal scale because their assembly necessitates highly directional interactions between building blocks. Indeed, the diamond structure, with a packing factor of 0.34 against 0.74 for fcc and hcp, is based on tetrahedral arrangements, i.e. fourfold coordination, of atoms displaying a fourfold valence, i.e. \(sp^3\) orbital hybridization of carbon atoms. So, there is a huge interest in giving precursor colloids predetermined instructions for directional assembly by decorating their surface with sticky patches (enthalpic patches), or topological discontinuities such as dimples (entropic patches) for locking neighboring spherical colloids especially by depletion interactions. It shall be mentioned here that the self-assembly of tetravalent particles is not the unique route to get a diamond lattice of particles: some rare successful examples concern the microfabrication technique [192] or caging of nanoparticles into tetrahedral DNA origamis [62]. These concepts of patchy particles and colloidal valence have been first implemented through digital experiments about one decade ago and led to a huge number of publications since. From the viewpoint of their effective fabrication, only few examples of patchy particles have been reported and their self-assembly capability has been actually checked to getting low-dimension systems such as discrete aggregates, linear chains or planar arrays. This led in particular to the ideas of colloidal molecules (CMs) and colloidal macromolecules (CMMs) opening the way to mimic at the colloidal scale any molecular entity existing at the atomic scale. It involves the development of robust and up scalable strategies to fabricating colloidal atoms (CAs) with valence of 1, 2, 3, 4 and even 6 to a certain extent. Monovalent, i.e. monopatchy, particles, usually called Janus particles [193], and their micelle-like or chain-like self-assemblies [193, 194] will not be described in this paper because they cannot lead to higher dimension lattices.

A. Numerical self-assembly of patchy hard spheres

Generally based on the Kern-Frenkel model [195], these digital experiments describe the phase behavior of square-well fluids with a patchy short-ranged attraction with the help of the conventional Monte Carlo simulation model. For obvious reasons of concision, only the studies concerning the assembly of pure batches of colloids with 2, 3, 4 or 6 patches organized in linear, triangular, tetrahedral or octahedral arrangements, respectively, will be discussed below because they are the most representative of the fabrication efforts described in the next section. The assembly of divalent CAs with two identical patches has been extensively studied numerically. Sciortino and coworkers have developed a spot-like patch model to study a simple fluid composed of particles having a hard-core repulsion, complemented by two short-ranged attractive sticky spots at the particle poles [196]. They reported the formation of linear chains, which was in complete agreement with the predictions of the Wertheim theory [197]. The organization of two-patch spheres into chains whose average length increases smoothly with decreasing temperature was also observed by Glotzer and coworkers through a coarse-grained patchy model [198]. The patch-to-particle size ratio, i.e. the patch angular width, was particularly investigated and it was shown that, when this value is high enough to enable one patch to interact simultaneously with the patches of two neighboring particles, ring structures may be promoted leading to a two-dimensional Kagome lattice [199]. It has also been shown theoretically that triblock Janus parti-

FIG. 19. Synopsis of the method. (a) Schematic of a 46 nm sphere/46 nm cubic NPs pair linked by intercomplementary DNA system. (b) Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) data with experimental (blue) and modelled (red) structure factors, \(S(q)\), (c) scattering image and (d) the corresponding structure schematic for 46 nm spherical/46 nm cubic NPs assembly system, which crystallizes into a NaCl-type lattice. (e) Low-magnification scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of shere/cubic-assembled crystals, where square-lattice ordering can be observed from the fragments. (f,g) High-magnification images of superlattice, demonstrating the alternate packing of spheres and cubic NPs in the 3D square lattice (scale bar, 200nm) [189].
cles, which have two large attractive patches separated by a repulsive band, can form a 3D pyrochlore lattice [200]. Romano and Sciortino have further demonstrated by performing Monte Carlo simulations that a rational design of the shape and the symmetry of the two patches can drive the patchy particles to crystallize in a single morphology by eliminating the undesired polymorphs [201]. For instance, they have shown that triblock Janus particles patterned with staggered triangular patches, which is readily achievable within current synthesis methodologies [202], crystallize in 3D into a cubic tetrastack lattice. Some numerical studies have also concerned divalent CAs with two patches of different natures and/or sizes, especially the case of mono-dimple particles whose dimple radius is close to that of the particle. Ashton et al. have indeed studied their depletion-induced self-assembly and showed that they can assemble into chains in the presence of a depletant via lock-and-key binding in which the convex part of one particle interlocks with the concave part of another [203]. Sciortino and coworkers theoretically and numerically investigated the phase diagram of particles with three regularly arranged attractive patches [91, 92]. They mainly showed that the gas-liquid coexistence region in the temperature-density plane is reduced by comparison with particles with a higher number of patches. Particular attention has been paid to the specific case of tetravalent CAs with four identical patches arranged on a tetrahedral geometry because of their relevance to photonic crystals with diamond symmetry [92, 204–207]. Indeed, the phase diagram was found to be very rich, with several re-entrant coexistence lines and the range in density where the diamond phase is actually stable is very narrow, which is a consequence of its low compressibility. Moreover, at low pressures and finite temperatures, the diamond is competitive with a bcc crystal, both the diamond and the bcc exhibiting similar energies [208]. The diamond solid is only stabilized when the entropy increases, that is when the size of the patches decreases (Fig. 20). In fact, digital crystallization to the diamond structure is greatly favored when a seed crystal is introduced or when an additional term in the potential which induces a relative orientation between particles is added [94]. Glotzer and coworkers [209] showed how specific self-assembled structures can be targeted by engineering directional entropic forces through the systematic alteration of particle shape. They performed Monte Carlo simulations and demonstrated that tetrahedrally faceted spheres crystallize to a diamond lattice when the amount of faceting exceeds a certain value (Fig. 21a). One should note that the resulting diamond lattice exhibits a packing factor of at least 0.6, which is noticeably higher than the one of the open diamond structure obtained from the self-assembly of particles with four enthalpic patches.

Several patchy particle models were considered to study the assembly behavior of six-patch octahedral particles [207, 210–212]. A bcc crystal appears more favorable at moderate pressures, whereas an orientationally ordered fcc structure becomes favorable at high pressures and low temperatures, and a fcc plastic crystal is the most stable solid phase at high temperatures. By slicing cubically coordinated facets into hard spheres, particles with six attractive entropic patches were created [209]. Monte Carlo simulations showed that such particles assemble into simple cubic lattices when the faceting amount and packing factors exceed 0.6 and 0.54, respec-

---

**FIG. 20.** Phase diagrams in the dimensionless density-temperature representation for particles with four tetrahedrally arranged patches and different patch angular widths (patch width decreasing when $\cos \theta_{\text{max}}$ increases). Reprinted by permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: [208], copyright 2013.

**FIG. 21.** Different crystal structures formed by assembly of a) tetrahedrally and b) cubically faceted spheres as the amount of faceting varies. Reprinted with permission from [209]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.
B. Synthesis and self-assembly of particles bearing two and more patches

As previously reported, anisotropic interactions may occur spontaneously between faceted particles. In addition, the differentially-reactive facets can be used for creating attractive patches quite easily. One of the most representative examples was reported by Kumacheva and coworkers who used hydrophobic interactions for binding metal nanorods by their ends [213]. They used for instance gold nanorods covered with a double layer of cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) whose symmetry was broken by the selective exchange of the CTAB molecules anchored to the 111 facets (at the ends of the nanorods) by thiol-terminated polystyrene (PS) macro-molecules. By selectively changing the quality of the solvent for the stabilizing molecules, i.e. PS and CTAB, they assembled the nanorods in rings, nanochains, bundles, nanospheres and bundled nanochains. They succeeded in getting CMs mimicking copolymers by using a mixture of gold and palladium nanorods and controlling the length of the CMs by using Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles bearing a gold patch serving as chain stoppers. The next examples concern amorphous and therefore spherical CAs whose symmetry is broken through different strategies to create surface patches or dimples. Original divalent CAs were obtained by Sacanna et al. from monodisperse silicon oil droplets nucleated from a homogeneous solution of hydrolyzed polymerizable alkoxysilane and encapsulated into cross-linked polymer shells [214]. The as-obtained microparticles bear one dimple whose diameter was close to that of the particles. In depletion condition they observed the formation of CMMs (lock-and-key strategy) similar to those obtained by simulation [203] and the absence of irreversible chemical bonds between the CAs allows these ball-in-socket joints to move freely. Using microparticles with a high patch-to-particle size ratio, Granick and coworkers observed the two-dimensional Kagome lattice [215] envisioned digitally by Sciortino and coworkers [60]. They fabricated divalent particles made up of two hydrophobic patches separating an electrically charged bond. These particles were fabricated by sequential deposition of metal on a monolayer of latex spheres. After the first vapor deposition, a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp was used to lift up the monolayer so that patches are facing down. A second deposition is then performed to create patches on the other pole of the spheres and the grafting of octadecanethiol molecules onto the metal made the patches hydrophobic. As far as we know, no experimental study of the crystallization of trivalent or tetravalent CAs has been reported yet, even though several routes to produce such colloids exist. Indeed only discrete assemblies, i.e. CMs, were reported from CAs at microscale and therefore observed by optical or fluorescence ni-croscopies. It may be emphasized that linear, triangular, tetrahedral or octahedral geometries at the colloidal level have been systematically generated by steric hindrance, while at the atomic level they are caused by electron repulsion. The relevant examples from the literature consist in confining a controlled number of spheres (supposed to become the patches, patch precursors or dimple precursors) in a droplet, through sticky protrusions or at the surface of another colloid. Thus, mixtures of patchy microparticles were obtained by sonication of oil-in-water emulsions stabilized with negatively-charged PS particles and subsequent photopolymerization of the oil [216]. Other mixtures were produced through the assembly of polymer particles bearing a liquid protrusion and the subsequent polymerization of the liquid [217, 218]. The direct confinement in droplets, initially developed by Lauga et al. [219], was largely exploited by Pine and coworkers [56, 220–223], in particular with 540 nm amidinated cross-linked PS spheres. By swelling the clusters with styrene, then polymerizing the styrene, islands of the original spheres protruding from the newly formed surface were functionalized with single-stranded DNA molecules. Their spontaneous assembly with other patchy particles bearing complementary DNA strands into AB-, AB2-, AB3-, and AB4-like CMs was observed by optical microscopy. Deriving this strategy with silica microspheres, they also fabricated multicavity particles and studied their three-dimensional assembly into CMs according to the lock-and-key route (Fig. 22).

Duguet, Ravaine and coworkers reported another fabrication route leading to silica nanoparticles with diameters of about 100 nm bearing patches whose number may be easily controlled from 1 to 12 with morphology yields reaching 70-80 % for particles with 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 or 12 patches) [224–228]. They used a seeded-growth emulsion polymerization of styrene in the presence of silica particles previously surface-modified with methacrylicoxymethyltrimethoxysilane (MMS), and obtained for instance silica/PS tetrapods made of a silica core and four tetrahedrally-arranged PS satellites (Fig. 23a). They showed it is possible to derive them into sp³-like dimpled CAs by simply dissolving the PS in THF (Fig. 23b) obtaining tetravalent particles whose shape is very close to that envisioned by Glotzer and coworkers (Fig. 21a). Taking advantage of the PS residue resulting from the copolymerization with MMS-derived grafts, the bottom of the dimples can be regioselectively functionalized, thereby leading to particles with potentially both enthalpic and entropic patchiness and therefore made capable to accommodate covalently-bonded spherical satellites (Fig. 23c).
2. Origamis

DNA folding can be programmed to produce 2D and 3D architectures (Figure 26), including lines, cubes, and smileys, etc. referred to as origami. DNA origami are fully addressable, enabling further functionalization in a programmable manner and numerical studies to explain how such a robust self-assembly occurs have been proposed recently by Frenkel et al. [128, 236–238]. The positioning of nanoparticles onto DNA origamis 1D, 2D or 3D templates with a precision of the order of the nanometer was successfully achieved, with high yields. Thus, rationally designed plasmonic materials such as chiral helices based on metallic particles grafted onto a DNA scaffold were demonstrated [239]. DNA programmed folding can also form monodisperse 3D origami nano-objects which can be turned into versatile patchy building blocks for anisotropic assembly. Thus, the assembly of DNA cuboids via lateral faces yields 1D chains [240]. The key point of this strategy is to decouple the formation of the cuboids from their assembly, by selecting DNA connector sequences of very different melting temperature.
FIG. 24. Nanoparticles isotropically functionalized with complementary single-stranded DNA self-assemble into periodic nanostructures like a body centered cubic crystal. When the nanoparticles are directionally functionalized by attaching DNA strands at specific locations, the particles can be designed to self assemble into finite-size mesoscopic architectures, such as the Empire State Building. From [234].

FIG. 25. Programming colloidal crystal habit with anisotropic nanoparticle building blocks and DNA bonds. From [4].

3. DNA transplant onto NPs with patches

The symmetry of surface functionalization can be broken by manipulating the core/shell structure of polymer coated NPs. Thus, the competition between a hydrophilic and a hydrophobic low molecular weight thiolated ligand at the surface of gold NPs, regulates the partial attachment of an amphiphilic PS-b-PAA polymer [242]. When only hydrophobic ligand is present, a homocentric core/shell (Au@PS-PAA) structure is formed whereas in the presence of a mixture of hydrophilic and hydrophobic ligand, an eccentric core-shell is formed, exposing partially the surface of the particle to the outer media (Fig. 27). DNA grafted to this exposed surface further allowed the elaboration of dimers, tetramers, "cat-paw" and satellite flower assemblies, with high regioselectivity and monodispersity.

X. (EXPECTED) PROPERTIES OF ANISOTROPIC SELF-ASSEMBLIES OF NPS AND POSSIBLE APPLICATIONS

The self-assembly of anisotropic particles has gained a great interest recently, and significant advances have to be pointed out [87, 215, 244, 245] opening the door to application developments.
A. Optoelectronics: photonic crystals

Photonic crystals are 1D, 2D or 3D periodic structures constructed from alternating regions with different refractive indices that promote a photonic band gap (PBG), analogous to the electronic band gap, which facilitates control of electrons in atomic crystals [246–250]. The position of the bandgap can be shifted by either changing the properties of the two constitutive materials and/or by changing the lattice constant, while the width of the bandgap can be widened by increasing the difference between the dielectric constant of both materials. As a result, photonic crystals have been proposed for use as a powerful means to manipulate, confine, and control light in three dimensions of space. The fabrication of 3D photonic crystals exhibiting a PBG in the optical regime in large quantities, at a reasonable cost, and in a controllable way, is still a great challenge for materials scientists. In order to get a bandgap in the visible region, one needs to pattern the dielectric materials into structures of 100-300 nm in size along all three dimensions. Even if such feature sizes can be obtained with top-down approaches such as three-dimensional holographic interference lithography and two-photon lithography, these techniques are generally expensive and require a longer time to fabricate the structures over a larger area because of the serial nature of the approach. Colloid based solutions are highly attractive as an alternative method due to the ease of accessing the submicrometer size regime. These bottom-up approaches rely on the self-driven assembly of pre-designed spherical (or, more rarely, anisotropic) building blocks into ordered periodic structures which are close to or at a thermodynamic equilibrium state. The cost-effective production of 3D photonic structures (mostly fcc lattices) with a bandgap in the visible region through colloidal self-assembly has indeed been extensively reported [251–253].

The real push in this field has been the strong desire to achieve the fabrication of 3D photonic crystals possessing a complete photonic band gap (PBG) at optical wavelengths. A complete PBG is a range of frequencies for which light propagation is forbidden regardless of propagation direction. 3D photonic crystals with a complete PBG offer a vast number of applications such as low-loss waveguides, platforms for optical microcircuits, and thresholdless lasers [249, 254, 255]. Many computational studies have pointed out the conditions (e.g., the symmetry of the lattice points, the structural type of the lattice, and the minimum contrast in refractive index between high and low dielectric regions) under which photonic crystals should exhibit complete bandgaps in the optical regime [256–260]. Unfortunately, it was demonstrated that a fcc structure, that is mostly obtained by self-assembly of colloidal spheres, is not well-suited to generate photonic crystals with a complete bandgap due to degeneracy in the photonic band structure caused by the spherical symmetry of the lattice points [261, 262]. In order to lift degeneracy at points of high symmetry in the Brillouin zone, and thus to obtain a complete PBG, it was suggested to employ non-spherical objects as the building blocks to construct fcc lattices via self-assembly. The results indicated that a complete bandgap could develop between the second and third bands in the photonic structure as dimer-like particles with identical [261, 263] or different radii [264, 265] were used as building blocks. One should note that such dimers can be easily synthesized by phase separation in a seeded-polymerization technique [266] or by seeded emulsion polymerization [267]. The self-assembly of PS dimer- and spherocylinder-shaped colloids was recently achieved by evaporation mediated vertical deposition but only a partial photonic bandgap was experimentally observed for crystals from spherocylinder building blocks [268]. Computational studies have also suggested another strategy to obtain a complete PBG, by reducing the symmetry of the 3D lattice from the fcc to diamond or pyrochlore (also known as tetrastack) structures. In these cases, a complete gap was shown to develop for a minimum refractive-index contrast ratio of 1.9 and at low-lying bands [269–272], which makes the structures more stable against disorder [273]. Although the diamond and pyrochlore structures are very well suited to fabricate crystals with a complete PBG, their formation is not favoured by spontaneous colloidal self-assembly due to their low packing densities. In order to capitalize on the advantage of colloids for low-cost fabrication, several methods have been proposed to overcome this limitation. Ngo et al. have suggested the use of four spheres combined in the form of tetrahedrons as building units to form a tetrastack structure [274].

As mentioned in Sec. VIII pyrochlore and diamond structures could be obtained through self-assembly of a binary mixture of large and small colloidal spheres. However, most promising route to fabricate diamond or pyrochlore structures by self-assembly consists in decorating the surface of colloids with attractive patches [275]. Because of the tetrahedral packing of the diamond and pyrochlore lattices, particular attention has been paid to the specific case of four identical patches arranged on a tetrahedral geometry [204, 276, 277]. Nevertheless, obtaining a diamond-like or pyrochlore-like lattice will not be necessarily sufficient to make the colloidal crystal working as a complete PBG. It will be indeed mandatory to adjust the refractive-index contrast ratio between the colloids and the voids between the colloids at values higher than 1.9. If the precursor colloids cannot be high index materials, e.g. titania, filling the interparticular voids with a high index material and subsequently dissolving the colloids to get an inverse crystal will be an alternative.

B. Metamaterials

Metamaterials are composite systems whose properties are dominated not by the individual atoms, but by
the properties of larger, artificially produced structures or meta-atoms. They are engineered structures designed to interact with electromagnetic radiation in a desired fashion (negative index). Smith et al. first demonstrated [278] that a supracrystal based on a periodic array of interspaced conducting nonmagnetic split ring resonators and continuous wires exhibits a frequency region in the microwave regime with simultaneously negative values of effective permeability and permittivity. Among the properties, the most amazing is the negative index metamaterial. All materials have an index of refraction, which measures the degree and direction that light is bent as it passes through these materials. This index of refraction is basically an intrinsic property of the matter. One of the application is the design of flat lens [279] that avoids aberrations that plague glass lenses with positive refraction index have (Fig. 28). Another is the superlens. Diffraction limit dictates that no conventional lens (positive index) can resolve details much smaller than the wavelength of the light that illuminates its target. Flat lenses could surpass such limits.

C. Plasmonics

Plasmonics in superlattices has been extensively studied over the two past decades [280–282]. Plasmon band in a metallic cluster with a size lower than the light wavelength obeys to the Mie dipole response. The optical properties of a supra (metallic)lattice can be considered as a collection of interacting dipoles. If the superlattice is well ordered the dipoles can interact with a collective response [281]. The shape of the superlattice plays an important role when the size is of the same order of magnitude than the light wavelength. Ross et al. [283] prepared DNA-programmable assembly of mesoscale superlattices of 20 nm gold spheres. The lattice parameter is fixed by the DNA design which constitutes the spacer. Figure 29 shows the tuning of the plasmon band according to the lattice parameter for a macroscopic sample and small rhombic dodecahedra (1 µm). The plasmon shift is enhanced in this case and can be attributed to a photonic crystal effect.

D. Application in heterogeneous catalysis

2D-assembly of metallic nanoparticles has already been used as model catalysts especially to study the effects of size, shape and density of particles on the catalytic properties. This work has been recently reviewed [285]. Here, we are focused on 3D assembly of particles. This kind of assembly is until now much less used for catalytic application but it presents interesting perspectives that we will shortly described in this paragraph. 3D-assembly of colloids is used to form porous membranes which can be used as catalysts. These membranes are made in several steps. First, preparing a regular dense assembly of polymer or silica spherical particles (the same method could be also used with non-isotropic assembly), then precursors in solution are introduced in the free space between the colloids, then by calcination the precursors form a solid framework. Latter on, the template particles are eliminated by calcination at high temperature or
La FIG. 30. The different fabrication stages of a 3DOM can be a metal oxide with catalytic properties (TiO \(\) \(\) ). The material from the membrane ordered macroporous (3DOM) membrane or inverse colloid-based porous material (CBPM), if it is ordered it is called three-dimensional porous membrane. If it is disordered it is called colloid-based porous material. A very promising application of 3DOM TiO \(\) \(\) with Au nanoparticles is photocatalysis [287–289]. A very promising application of 3DOM TiO \(\) \(\) with Au nanoparticles is photocatalysis [289]. Indeed very high activity (larger than Au/TiO \(\) \(\) powder catalysts) has been obtained for the reduction of CO \(\) \(\) in methane with visible light. This high performance is due to two factors: large photon harvesting due to the photonic properties of the 3DOM structure (like in the case of a photonic crystal), plasmon resonance excitation of hot electron in the gold nanoparticles which are injected in the conduction band of the TiO \(\) \(\) which then activate the CO \(\) \(\) molecule.

Assembly of colloidal metallic particles can be used in heterogeneous catalysis but two problems arise. First, the stabilizing ligands around the particles limit the number of free metal sites. Second, the assembly is not stable during catalysis because some coalescence occurs between the metallic particles which is due to the partial desorption of the ligands. An alternative solution has been recently put forward by Bäumer et al. [292]. It is based on the use of bifunctional ligands which link two particles by their two end groups. By using ligands formed by an alkyl chain with two terminal amine groups which bound to Pt nanoparticles, a solid porous material is obtained. In this material 50 % of the surface atoms of the particle remain free and the catalytic activity presents a good stability [292]. This new method has been used for hydrogen gas sensing application [292]. If these new concepts of catalytic membranes present very promising properties they are not yet employed in industrial catalysis. This is due to two main reasons (i) the fabrication cost of 3DOM membranes is very high compared to the classical impregnation method used for the fabrication of industrial catalysts, (ii) the size of the membranes obtained until now is too small for industrial application. However, these two limitations could be overpassed by some further developments in particular in the appealing fields of photocatalysis or electrocatalysis [293].

E. Magnetism

NPs exhibit superparamagnetism when magnetization can randomly flip direction under the influence of temperature. Blockage appears when the volume is high enough (the anisotropy energy is proportional to the volume) to counterbalance the thermal energy. Superparamagnetism can be bypassed when NPs are coupled together in a network. Then, the blocking temperature increases due to the collective behavior. In this case, the mean NPs distance and the neighboring are the main parameters whatever the long range ordering. Pileni et al. [294] showed that the nanocrystals ordering on long distance in supracrystals permits the emergence of collective intrinsic properties (Fig. 31). Generally, the NP acts as a magnetic monodomain with a giant magnetic moment (super-spin which is a zero dimensional magnetic building block) [295]. The open issue is the complexity of interparticle interactions, the standard one being the magnetic dipolar forces [296–301]. Since the geometry of the supracrystal can be controlled, it is possible to create geometric frustration with no degeneracy (for example in triangular lattice) or degeneracy in Kagome lattice. However, also direct and superexchange mechanisms may exert an influence in the long range ordering.

Superparamagnetic colloidal photonic crystals can be built. Adding magnetic components to the colloidal building blocks provides an opportunity for convenient and precise control of the properties of photonic crystals through an external magnetic field [302] (Fig. 32).

F. Energy storage

Supracrystal can be used as a template to build up ordered mesoporous structures. (Fig. 33). Guo et al. [303] shown that ruthenium decorated hierachically ordered mesoporous carbon can be used as stable electrodes for lithium oxygen batteries.
FIG. 31. (a) TEM image of a hexagonal network of cobalt NPS (left) and (right) magnetization curves of disordered network (black) and ordered hexagonal network (red) [294]. The hysteresis opening in ordered network is due to additional coupling at long range order.

FIG. 32. (a) TEM image of polyacrylate capped Fe₃O₄ colloidal NPs. The magnetic particles are in the superparamagnetism regime. The reflection spectra at normal incidence can be tuned applying a magnetic field, tuning parameter is the distance between the magnet and the sample (each curve is separated by a step of 0.1 cm) [302].

G. Mechanical properties of TPMS supracrystal

1. outlook

From a mechanical point of view, the supracrystal can be looked at a porous solid [304]. Among the huge zoology of porous structures, the mechanical properties of porous solids based on the labyrinth domains of triply-periodic minimal surfaces S (TPMS) are renewed a considerable interest over the past decade with the development of the additive manufacturing processes. TPMS surfaces have two important properties: the surfaces locally minimize their areas which is equivalent to having zero mean curvature and they have the symmetries of a crystallographic group in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). The surfaces (locally) are characterized by a set of two curvatures: the mean curvature \( (k_1 + k_2)/2 \) and the Gauss curvature \( k_1 k_2 \). Taking as reference a flat surface \( (k_1 = k_2 = 0) \), a local non-zero curvature induces a stress according to the Willmore functional \( \tilde{W} \) [305, 306] (a more accurate form is given by the Helfrich energy [307] in physics but the idea is the same).

\[
\tilde{W} = \int_{S} \left\{ \left( \frac{(k_1 + k_2)}{2} \right)^2 - k_1 k_2 \right\} dS. \quad (31)
\]

The Willmore functional is invariant under conformal changes of metric (in other words is independent of the nature of the elements). This formalism can be used to qualitatively explain the cohesive energy as a function of
the curvature (radius) in fullerenes and single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) [308]. Note that in SWNTs the Gauss curvature is zero, in fullerenes the Gauss and mean curvatures are non zero and in TPMS the mean curvature is zero.

2. Mechanical properties

Mechanical properties of TPMS have been progressed over the past decade [309–313]. Among them, Von Mises stress is a tool for engineers giving an information if the design will fail under a load. Figure 35 displays the Von Mises stress and the principal strain in TPMS structures compared to classical superlattices with the same whole symmetry (cubic). We clearly see that, contrary to common structures, the stress/strain is homogeneously distributed over the network in the TPMS structures.

H. The future of additive manufacturing

Additive manufacturing [314], i.e. 3D printing, refers to fabrication processes used to synthesize a three-dimensional object in which successive layers of material are formed under computer control to create an object. Early equipment and materials were developed in the 80’s and generally used for rapid prototyping. It is often claimed that it signals the beginning of a third industrial revolution. Today, several techniques coexist as a function of the chemical composition of the precursor powders: selective laser melting, selective laser sintering, electron beam melting, etc. Colloidal self-assembly could embody the future of these techniques, where the printer will be gone and it is matter, e.g. the building blocks, that will be programmed to spontaneously form in liquid media the desired objects and devices. Moreover, the consolidating stage currently achieved with a laser or electron beam would become useless, or replaced by an ultimate and global sintering stage. Colloidal self-assembly would allow to fabricate more easily multiphasic materials, contrarily to current techniques which use powders of identical chemical composition. Nevertheless, making this future technology successful involves programming the building blocks to specify not only the location and connectivity of the building blocks within the assembled structure, but probably also the order and manner in which they are added to it [315, 316]. This encoded information determines the complexity of the final structure which at equilibrium minimizes the system’s free energy and is determined by the accessible configurations and their respective energies. As discussed earlier, despite progress towards programmability, the self-assembly of colloidal materials remains limited to highly symmetric structures, e.g. periodic close-packed colloidal crystals, linear chains and small clusters, and cannot provide arbitrary structures. In the puzzle approach described by Cademartiri and Bishop, highly selective and directional interactions between colloids are necessary to lower the energy of the desired structure [316]. The number of specific interactions is much larger for arbitrary structures than for periodic structures, which are fully specified by their unit cell. Nevertheless, fabricating a device in solution means being capable to program the shape and the dimension of the assembled structures, i.e. deciding when the bulk structure ends and therefore when it is timely to place surface building blocks. For example, the assembly of colloids into a bcc crystal requires only one specific interaction, however programming a similar crystal of precisely 1,000 colloids and arbitrary shape would require 1,000 specific interactions. As far as we know, DNA is the only practical chemical system that can produce such large numbers of distinct and specific interactions whose strength can additionally be tuned by varying the number of bases in the sticky ends of the DNA strands [317, 318]. Encoding specificity may also be achieved in a much lesser extent by interactions based on complementary shapes. But to get arbitrary structures, the puzzle approach requires selective interactions that are both directional and independently addressable, i.e. arising from anisotropic and/or patchy colloidal colloids. Although the puzzle approach simplifies the design of equilibrium structures, it offers little in the way of controlling the kinetic process of equilibration [316]. The lack of long-range correlations between the assembling components leads to flat energy landscapes, i.e. to many configurations of similar energy, which can result in very long assembly times and high probability of forming kinetically trapped structures. Annealing remains the simplest way to achieving the equilibrium structure. An alternative route would be tailoring the relative strengths of specific interactions for instance by
determining the primary nucleation event.

XI. CONCLUSION/PERSPECTIVES

"Large scale" synthetic of nanoscale organized materials constitutes a challenge for the community of chemists. Excepted promising toolbox based from non-additive forces derived from Casimir effect, most of the isotropic interactions between colloidal atoms favor compact structures. The explanation comes from the robustness of the universal scaling of the transition phases leading to dense packing. Supracrystals based on anisotropic particles with interactions seems a promising way for non-dense packing. The anisotropy can be monitored directly by the anisotropy of the surface in complex polyhedra or artificially by functionalized surfaces with ligands. Among them, NPs directionnaly functionalized with DNA is the best way for the future. It is a real challenge to overcome with the help of modeling/numerical predictions that must focus on unrealistic (imperfect) systems: the synergy between experimental and numerical research is mandatory.


