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FRACTAL GENESIS OF THE ANGLES OF THE NEUTRINO MIXING MATRIX.

Annotation

A fractal genesis of the angles of the neutrino mixing matrix hypothesis is being examined. (Pontecorvo – Maki–Nakagawa–Sakata matrix - PMNS matrix). It is assumed that framework for this genesis are gold algebraic fractals mantissas: of the Planck mass, of the Planck time and of the fine-structure constant, namely, sines of angles $\Theta_{12}, \Theta_{23}, \Theta_{13}$ are gold algebraic fractals: of the fine-structure constant, of the Planck mass and of the Planck time respectively. A gold algebraic fractal mantissa of any real number can be represented as additive gold algebraic fractal – an infinite sum of the power series (more exactly – geometrical) with the basis of the gold ratio large number. Assessed the Higgs boson mass based on additive gold algebraic fractal.
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it's possible to evaluate the main spatial characteristics of the observable Universe [4]:

mass $M_U$, radius $R_U$, rotation period $T_U$ [5], which is equal to the light signal propagation delay at a distance equal $R_U$:

$$T_U = l_p \frac{M_U}{m_p} = l_p \frac{R_U}{l_p} = \frac{1}{H_0} = 4.431534683326 \times 10^{-7} s,$$

$$M_U = m_p \frac{T_U}{l_p} = m_p \frac{R_U}{l_p} = c^3 T_U \frac{G}{m} = 1.78933736792 \times 10^{53} kg,$$

$$R_U = l_p \frac{M_U}{m_p} = l_p \frac{T_U}{l_p} = c T_U = 1.328540675427 \times 10^{-26} m.$$  

As well, in accordance with the law “Planck universal proportions” – for every celestial body of the observable Universe (including the observable Universe), mass which is $m$, the curvature of space radius is $S$, which created by gravitational field mass which is $m$, with the light signal propagation delay is $t_{dn}$ at distance equal to $S$, the gravitational constant $G$, and Planck force $F_p$, true:

$$G = \frac{l_p^3}{m_p l_p^2} = \frac{R_U^3}{M_U R_U^2} = \frac{S^3}{m t_{dn}^2} = 6.673045869 \times 10^{-11} m^3 kg^{-1} s^{-2},$$

$$F_p = m_p \frac{l_p}{l_p} = M_U \frac{R_U}{T_U^2} = m \frac{S}{t_{dn}^2} = 1.21048301950 \times 10^{44} kg^1 m^1 s^{-2}.$$

Energy of body $E$, which mass $m$, and also the observable Universe energy $E_U$ are:

$$E = m c^2 = \hbar t_{dn} = F_p S; E_U = M_U c^2 = \hbar c T_U = F_p R_U,$$

where: $\hbar = \frac{E_p}{t_p}$ - is the quantum of the Planck energy, where $E_p$ - the Planck energy: $E_p = m_p c^2$.

It is evident:

$$\hbar = \frac{E_p}{t_p} = \frac{m_p c^2}{l_p} = \frac{m_p l_p^2}{c m_p} = 3.628372528 \times 10^{32} J^1 s^{-1}.$$

It’s known [6], that charge is function of the moment of the mass. Then for the elementary charge $e$ is true:

$$e = \sqrt{10^7 \alpha m_p l_p \left[ \frac{1}{17} \frac{1}{m^2} \right]},$$

where $\alpha$ - is the fine-structure constant, it’s value is [2]:

$$\alpha = 7.2973525664 (17) \cdot 10^{-3}.$$

From formula (11), considering [6]:

$$c^2 = \frac{1}{4 \pi e_0},$$

$$a_o = \frac{4 \pi e_0 \hbar^2}{m_e e^2} = \frac{4 \pi e_0 m_p l_p^4}{10^7 \alpha m m_p l_p^2} = \frac{m_p l_p}{a_o \alpha},$$

where: $a_o$ – the Bohr Radius , $m_e$ – the electron mass, $e_0$ – electric constant, it follows:

$$a_o \alpha m_e = m_p l_p; \alpha = \frac{m_p l_p}{a_o \alpha m_e} \cdot m_p = \frac{a_o \alpha m_e}{l_p} \cdot m_p \cdot m_e = \frac{m_p l_p}{a_o \alpha},$$

Considering the formula (11) the Coulomb’s law for two elementary charges in gravitational form is:

$$F = \frac{k_e e^2}{r_{12}^2} = \frac{G m_p^2}{r_{12}^2}.$$  

In the formula (16) $k_e$ – is the proportionality factor (Coulomb's constant, or the electric force constant ), $r_{12}$ – is a distance between two elementary charges.

From formulas (11 – 16) it follows then that measurement units of electromagnetic interaction on the basis of
constants $l_p, m_p, t_p, \alpha$ can be expressed with units of length, of mass and of time[6]. For instance:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Coulomb: } [C] &= k_C \left[ kg^2 \cdot m^2 \cdot s^{-2} \right], \\
\text{Ampere: } [A] &= k_A \left[ kg^2 \cdot m^2 \cdot s^{-1} \right], \\
\text{Volt: } [V] &= k_V \left[ kg^2 \cdot m^2 \cdot s^{-2} \right], \\
\text{impedance: } [\Omega] &= k_\Omega \left[ m^1 \cdot s^{-1} \right], \\
\text{electric capacitance: } [F] &= k_F \left[ m^{-1} \cdot s^2 \right], \\
\text{inductance: } [H] &= k_H \left[ m^1 \right], \\
\text{magnetic induction: } [TL] &= k_{TL} \left[ kg^2 \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

where: $k_C, k_A, k_V, k_\Omega, k_F, k_H, k_{TL} –$ are dimensionless coefficients of proportionality between units of measurements. Given that units of electromagnetic interaction basis is a moving charge that is the function of moment of the mass, then electromagnetic interaction is particular case of gravitational interaction that also is confirmed by formulas (17 – 23).

Therefore in certain conditions exists gravitational-electromagnetic resonance [1,7,8]. The parameters of such resonance are defined by constants: $l_p, m_p, t_p, \alpha$.

In [9] was shown that between fundamental constants exists fractal connectivity and basic characteristics of a muon are gold algebraic fractals of the Planck mass and of the Planck length. Based on formulas (4 – 16) can be concluded that fundamental physic constants and spatially-energetic characteristics of the observable Universe – are multiplicative gold algebraic fractals of a muon.

Taking into account universal character of constants $l_p, m_p, t_p, \alpha$ for gravitational and electro-magnetic interactions, i.e. for matter macro world, unity and the interrelationship of all spatial and structural levels of matter, it can be assumed that constants $l_p, m_p, t_p, \alpha$ are also take part in formation of micro world patterns but given it specific and the influence of scale. It is handier to look for relationship of constants representation $l_p, m_p, t_p, \alpha$ on macro and micro levels of matter along the lines of gold algebraic fractals, more particularly – on the basis of gold algebraic fractals mantissas for the physical constants case and mantissas functions for physical processes case.

2. Fractal genesis of the angles of the neutrino mixing matrix.

The angles $\Theta_{12}, \Theta_{23}, \Theta_{13}$ of neutrino mixing matrix have the following values [10]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sin^2(\Theta_{12}) &= 0.307 \pm 0.013, \\
\sin^2(\Theta_{23})_{\text{mm}} &= 0.51 \pm 0.04 \quad \text{(normal mass hierarchy)}, \\
\sin^2(\Theta_{23})_{\text{in}} &= 0.50 \pm 0.04 \quad \text{(inverted mass hierarchy)}, \\
\sin^2(\Theta_{13}) &= (2.10 \pm 0.11) \cdot 10^{-2},
\end{align*}
\]

or:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sin(\Theta_{12}) &= 0.55407580708780 \pm 0.114, \\
\sin(\Theta_{23})_{\text{mm}} &= 0.714142842854284 \pm 0.2, \\
\sin(\Theta_{23})_{\text{in}} &= 0.70710 \pm 0.2, \\
\sin(\Theta_{13}) &= 0.144913767461894 \pm 0.03316624790,
\end{align*}
\]

then:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{12} &= 33.64708224^\circ, \Theta_{12+} = 34.44990199^\circ, \Theta_{12-} = 32.83473313^\circ, \\
\Theta_{23}^{\text{mm}} &= 45.572996^\circ, \Theta_{23}^{\text{in}}+ = 47.8698524^\circ, \Theta_{23}^{\text{in}}- = 43.28009362^\circ,
\end{align*}
\]
\[ \Theta_{23,\text{inv}} = 45^\circ, \Theta_{23,\text{inv}+} = 47.29428287^\circ, \Theta_{23,\text{inv}} = 42.70571713^\circ. \]  

\[ \Theta_{13} = 8.33228569^\circ, \Theta_{13+} = 8.54931942^\circ, \Theta_{13} = 8.10961446^\circ. \]  

Let’s say that sines of the angles \( \Theta_{12}, \Theta_{23}, \Theta_{13} \) are gold algebraic fractals of constants \( l_p, m_p, t_p, \alpha \). Represent the constants \( l_p, m_p, t_p, \alpha \), numerical values for which are defined by the formulas (2, 12), in form of gold algebraic fractals (in GAF form) \( \{4,9,11\} \):

- mantissa of the Planck length: \( l_p^m = 1.2865859866, \) then: \( l_p = l_p^m \cdot f_g^{167} m, \)  

- mantissa of the Planck mass: \( m_p^m = 1.1756969040, \) then: \( m_p = m_p^m \cdot f_g^{37} kg, \)  

- mantissa of the Planck time: \( t_p^m = 1.588954250534220, \) then: \( t_p = t_p^m \cdot f_g^{208} s, \)  

- mantissa of the fine-structure constant: \( \alpha^m = 1.4522098299, \) then: \( \alpha = \alpha^m \cdot f_g^{11}. \)  

In formulas (36 – 39) \( f_g \) is large number of golden ratio:  
\[
\frac{1}{f_g} = \frac{f_g}{f_g}, f_g + f_g^2 = 1, \text{ is equal:}
\]
\[
f_g = \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} - 0.5 = 2 \sin(18^\circ) = 2 \cos(72^\circ) = 0.61803398874989484820...
\]  

Some constants can be used in two positions: as direct or inverse values.
For example, the inverse value of the fine-structure constant:
\[
\frac{1}{\alpha} = 137.03599913815450,
\]  

in the form of gold algebraic fractals is:

GAF mantissa of the inverse value of the fine structure constant:
\[
\alpha^m = 1.11418746481018987150, \text{then: } \frac{1}{\alpha} = \alpha^m \cdot f_g^{-10}.
\]  

It is obvious that for all constants mantissas and for their inversions, on example of fine-structure constant is true:
\[
\frac{1}{f_g} = \alpha^m \cdot \alpha^m = 1.61803398874989484820...
\]  

Define first five levels of gold algebraic fractals of the constants: \( l_p, m_p, t_p, \alpha \).
For this sequentially multiply five times the mantissas of this constants by \( f_g \). The results are presented in the table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mantissa name</th>
<th>Level1</th>
<th>Level2</th>
<th>Level3</th>
<th>Level4</th>
<th>Level5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planck’s length</td>
<td>0.79515387</td>
<td>0.49143212</td>
<td>0.30372175</td>
<td>0.1877103</td>
<td>0.11601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck’s mass</td>
<td>0.726620</td>
<td>0.44907</td>
<td>0.27754439</td>
<td>0.1715318</td>
<td>0.106012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck’s time</td>
<td>0.982028</td>
<td>0.6006265</td>
<td>0.3751012</td>
<td>0.23182529</td>
<td>0.14327590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine structure constant</td>
<td>0.89751503</td>
<td>0.55469479</td>
<td>0.3428202</td>
<td>0.21187456</td>
<td>0.13094568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Believing that numbers in the table 1 – are the sines of some angles will present their values in table 2:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant name</th>
<th>Level1</th>
<th>Level2</th>
<th>Level3</th>
<th>Level4</th>
<th>Level5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planck’s length</td>
<td>52.669791^\circ</td>
<td>29.4347540^\circ</td>
<td>17.681277^\circ</td>
<td>10.819194^\circ</td>
<td>6.6619637^\circ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck’s mass</td>
<td>46.6038340^\circ</td>
<td>26.684433^\circ</td>
<td>16.11370^\circ</td>
<td>9.876896^\circ</td>
<td>6.08550^\circ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planck’s time</td>
<td>79.1209132^\circ</td>
<td>37.3679974^\circ</td>
<td>22.03057^\circ</td>
<td>13.404558^\circ</td>
<td>8.2374534^\circ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine structure constant</td>
<td>63.833328^\circ</td>
<td>33.68969567^\circ</td>
<td>20.048792^\circ</td>
<td>12.232228^\circ</td>
<td>7.5242428^\circ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the hypothesis under consideration is right then the true values of the angles \( \Theta_{12}, \Theta_{23}, \Theta_{13} \), the following:
\[
\Theta_{12} = 33.68969567^\circ \text{[fine – structure constant, level 2]},
\]
\[
\Theta_{23} = 46.6038340^\circ \text{[Planck’s mass, level 1]},
\]
\[ \Theta_{13} = 8.2374534^\circ \text{[Planck's time, level 5]}. \] (46)

Angles represented in expressions (44–46) are within tolerance limits of the angles \( \Theta_{12}, \Theta_{23}, \Theta_{13} \), which are given in the expressions (32 – 35).

In [9] is shown that the main characteristics of a muon are gold algebraic fractals of the fundamental constants: of the Planck mass and of the Planck length. Considering:

\[ c = \frac{l_p}{f_p}, \]

it could be argued that main characteristics of a real elementary particle – muon, underlies the fractal genesis of the angles (44, 45, 46).

In [5] was stated that the angle \( \Theta_{13} \) is close to the integral angle of the Carioles force (which is equal to 9.79°) in the model of rotating observable Universe. According to updated data, this angle is closer to the angle:

\[ \angle [\text{Planck's mass, level 4}] = 9.876896^\circ. \]

Considering that genesis if the angles \( \Theta_{12}, \Theta_{23}, \Theta_{13} \), and also the integral angle of the Carioles force and of their fractals is determined by Big Bang, it is conceivable that the integral angle of the Carioles force and the angle \( \angle [\text{Planck's mass, level 4}] = 9.876896^\circ \) – is the one and the same angle. Then contribution of dark matter in general energetic balance of Universe will be not 26.8%, but 26.88%, including contribution of the Carioles force constitutes 6.88%.

**Conclusions.** On the basis of gold algebraic fractals it is possible to research physical processes at different structural and spatial levels of matter. If the hypothesis under consideration is right then gold algebraic fractals of neutrino mixing matrix are related to the gold algebraic fractals of Planck constants, of a muon main characteristics and of the fine-structure constant.

### 3. Additive gold algebraic fractals.

In [4,9] were examined simple and multiplicative gold algebraic fractals. On example of the abstract physical constant \( 0 < A \leq 1 \), consider additive gold algebraic fractals.

For this represent the constant \( A \) in the form of gold algebraic fractal:

\[ A = m^A \cdot f_g^n, \] (47)

where: \( m^A \) – GAF mantissa, \( n \) – integer number, value of structure level of GAF in doing so:

\[ 1 \leq m^A \leq \frac{1}{f_g}, 0 \leq n < \infty. \] (48)

It is evident that: \( \frac{1}{A} \) can be represented as:

\[ \frac{1}{A} = m^{A_i} \cdot f_g^{-(n-1)}, \] (49)

where \( m^{A_i} \) – is inversion of the mantissa \( m^A \), wherein under the condition of formula (43):

\[ \frac{1}{f_g} = m^A \cdot m^{A_i}. \] (50)

Explore the mantissa \( m^A \). For this we’ll look at the power series as follows:

\[ f_g^1 + f_g^2 + f_g^3 + \ldots + f_g^k + \ldots = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f_g^{k-1}. \] (51)

It is obvious that the power series (51) – is geometrical progression given that it’s every element \( z \) can be computed under formula:

\[ f_g^z = f_g^1 \cdot f_g^{z-1}, 2 \leq z < \infty. \] (52)

The series (51) makes sense as much as the following conditions are met:

1) the necessary test for the convergence: \( \lim_{d \to \infty} f_g^d = 0, d = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \)

2) the sufficient test for the convergence:
a) d’Alambert’s: \( \lim_{d \to \infty} \frac{f_{g}^{d+1}}{f_{g}^{d}} = f_{g}^{1} < 1 \),

b) the Cauchy’s radical test: \( \lim_{d \to \infty} \sqrt[d]{f_{g}^{d}} = f_{g}^{1} < 1 \).

From the formula (52) follows that the number \( f_{g}^{1} = f_{g} \) is denominator of the series (51), because \( f_{g}^{1} < 1 \), than the series (51) – is decreasing geometrical progression, then the sum of the series is:

\[
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1} = \frac{f_{g}^{1}}{1-f_{g}} = \frac{f_{g}^{1}}{f_{g}^{2}} = f_{g}^{1} = 1,61803398874989484820... \tag{53}
\]

For the series:

\[
f_{g}^{2} + f_{g}^{3} + f_{g}^{4} + ... + f_{g}^{k} + ... = \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1}, \tag{54}
\]

amount is:

\[
\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1} = \frac{f_{g}^{2}}{1-f_{g}} = \frac{f_{g}^{2}}{f_{g}^{2}} = f_{g} + f_{g}^{2} = 1. \tag{55}
\]

For the series:

\[
f_{g}^{3} + f_{g}^{4} + f_{g}^{5} + ... + f_{g}^{k} + ... = \sum_{k=4}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1}, \tag{56}
\]

amount is:

\[
\sum_{k=4}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1} = \frac{f_{g}^{3}}{1-f_{g}} = \frac{f_{g}^{3}}{f_{g}^{2}} = f_{g} = 0,61803398874989484820... \tag{57}
\]

For series:

\[
f_{g}^{4} + f_{g}^{5} + f_{g}^{6} + ... + f_{g}^{k} + ... = \sum_{k=5}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1}, \tag{58}
\]

amount is:

\[
\sum_{k=5}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1} = \frac{f_{g}^{4}}{1-f_{g}} = \frac{f_{g}^{4}}{f_{g}^{2}} = f_{g}^{2} = 0,381966011250105151795... \tag{59}
\]

For series:

\[
f_{g}^{5} + f_{g}^{6} + f_{g}^{7} + ... + f_{g}^{k} + ... = \sum_{k=6}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1}, \tag{60}
\]

amount is:

\[
\sum_{k=6}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1} = \frac{f_{g}^{5}}{1-f_{g}} = \frac{f_{g}^{5}}{f_{g}^{2}} = f_{g}^{3} = 0,23606797749978969640... \tag{61}
\]

Let:

\[ i = 1, 2, 3, ... \to \infty, \]

then in general case for considered series is true:

\[
f_{g}^{i} + f_{g}^{i+1} + f_{g}^{i+2} + ... + f_{g}^{k} + ... = \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} f_{g}^{k-1} = f_{g}^{k-3}. \tag{62}
\]

For example consequently for \( k = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 \), will get:

\[
f_{g}^{4} = 0,145898033750315455386..., \tag{63}
\]
\[
f_{g}^{5} = 0,09016994374947424102..., \tag{64}
\]
\[
f_{g}^{6} = 0,0557280900000841214..., \tag{65}
\]
\[
f_{g}^{7} = 0,0344418537486330267..., \tag{66}
\]
\[
f_{g}^{8} = 0,02128623625220818770..., \tag{67}
\]
\[
f_{g}^{9} = 0,01315561749642483896... \tag{68}
\]

It is evident that at \( k \to \infty \), is true:
\[ f_g^{i} + f_g^{i+1} + f_g^{i+2} + \ldots + f_g^{k} + \ldots = \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} f_g^{k-1} = f_g^{k-3} \rightarrow 0. \]  

(69)

GAF mantissa of any physical constant consisted of two parts: first part – is constant, which inherent for all mantissas – this is a number 1, wherein:

\[ f_g^0 = f_g + f_g^2 = 1, \]  

(70)

second part – is constant, let’s define it as: \( m^{Ac} \), which inherent only for particular GAF. It’s characteristic number reflecting the specificities of the given fractal:

\[ 0 \leq m^{Ac} \leq f_g, \]  

(71)

that is GAF \( m^A \) can be represented as:

\[ m^A = 1 + m^{Ac} = f_g + f_g^2 + m^{Ac}. \]  

(72)

On the basis of the formulas \((51 - 69)\) it can be argued that an any characteristic number \( m^{Ac} \) can be represented with specified accuracy \( \varepsilon \) in the form of series sum of the pattern \((62)\). Let \( S \) is the series sum of the form \((62)\), representing number \( m^{Ac} \) with accuracy \( \varepsilon \), i.e.:

\[ |m^{Ac} - S| \leq \varepsilon. \]  

(73)

Algorithm of the amount finding \( S \) is following:

1) find the amount \( S_1 \), as series of \((62)\) type, which value as close as possible to the number \( m^{Ac} \), but is less than it on modulus;

2) define the accuracy \( \varepsilon_1 \):

\[ m^{Ac} - S_1 = \varepsilon_1, \]  

(74)

3) verify the ratio of accuracy \( \varepsilon \) and \( \varepsilon_1 \), if: \( \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1 \), then:

4) define the amount \( S_2 \), as series of \((62)\) type, which value as close as possible to the number \( \varepsilon_1 \), but is less than it on modulus;

5) define the accuracy \( \varepsilon_2 \):

\[ m^{Ac} - (S_1 + S_2) = \varepsilon_2, \]  

(75)

6) verify the ratio of accuracy \( \varepsilon \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \), if: \( \varepsilon < \varepsilon_2 \), then:

7) define the amount \( S_3 \), as series of \((62)\) type, which value as close as possible to the number \( \varepsilon_2 \), but is less than it on modulus;

8) define the accuracy \( \varepsilon_3 \):

\[ m^{Ac} - (S_1 + S_2 + S_3) = \varepsilon_3, \]  

(76)

9) verify the ratio of accuracy \( \varepsilon \) and \( \varepsilon_3 \), and then:

10) do iterations of \((74 - 76)\) type until the condition \((73)\) will be met, then:

\[ S = S_1 + S_2 + \ldots + S_b, \]  

(77)

where \( b \) – is the iterations quantity of \((74 - 76)\) type, required to met the \((73)\) condition.

N.B.: if characteristic number of the mantissa \( m^{Ac} \) is close to \((59 - 68)\) or multiply to it, then the algorithm of it representation as power series is simplified.

From \((70, 72, 77)\) it follows that any physical constant’s mantissa can be represented as additive gold algebraic fractal with any specified accuracy.

Let’s see the examples. Represent first ten numbers of a number sequence as additive gold algebraic fractals:

\[ \begin{align*}
1 &= (f_g + f_g^2) \cdot f_g^0, \\
2 &= (f_g + f_g^2 + f_g^3) \cdot f_g^{-1}, \\
3 &= (f_g + f_g^2 + f_g^4) \cdot f_g^{-2}, \\
4 &= (f_g + 2 \cdot f_g^2 + f_g^4) \cdot f_g^{-2}, \\
5 &= (f_g + f_g^2 + 2 \cdot f_g^3) \cdot f_g^{-3}, \\
6 &= (f_g + 2 \cdot f_g^2 + f_g^3) \cdot f_g^{-3}, \\
\end{align*} \]  

(78)
\[ 7 = (f_g + f_g^2 + f_g^8) \cdot f_g^{-4}, \quad (84) \]
\[ 8 = (f_g + f_g^2 + f_g^4 + f_g^8) \cdot f_g^{-4}, \quad (85) \]
\[ 9 = (f_g + f_g^2 + f_g^5 + f_g^7 + f_g^{11} + f_g^{13} + f_g^{20} + f_g^{23} + f_g^{26}) \cdot f_g^{-4}, \quad (86) \]
\[ 10 = (f_g + 2 \cdot f_g^5 + f_g^6 + f_g^8) \cdot f_g^{-4}, \quad (87) \]

where \( f_g^i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8 \) — determined by (57 – 67). Represent as additive gold algebraic fractals – the mass of some elementary particles: leptons (except for neutrino):
a electron \( e \), a muon \( \mu \), a tau \( \tau \) and bosons: Higgs boson \( H^0 \), \( Z^0 \) boson, \( W^\pm \) boson. Wherein will use not the energetic but the gravitational equivalent of a mass.

Mass values \([12]\) of the above-mentioned elementary particles:

electron mass \( m_e : m_e = 9.10938356(11) \cdot 10^{-31} \text{kg} \),
\( (88) \)
muon mass \( m_\mu : m_\mu = 1.883531594(48) \cdot 10^{-28} \text{kg} \),
\( (89) \)
tau mass \( m_\tau : m_\tau = 3.16747(29) \cdot 10^{-27} \text{kg} \),
\( (90) \)
Higgs boson mass \( m_{H^0} : m_{H^0} = 2.2999(43) \cdot 10^{-25} \text{kg} \),
\( (91) \)
\( Z^0 \) boson mass \( m_{Z^0} : m_{Z^0} = 1.625567(37) \cdot 10^{-25} \text{kg} \),
\( (92) \)
\( W^\pm \) boson mass \( m_{W^\pm} : m_{W^\pm} = 1.43299(27) \cdot 10^{-25} \text{kg} \).
\( (93) \)

Mass values of elementary particles \((88 – 93)\) in the form of gold algebraic fractals:

mantissa of the electron mass: \( e^m = 1.13164233168890 \), then: \( m_e = e^m \cdot f_g^{144} \text{kg} \),
\( (94) \)
mantissa of the muon mass: \( \mu^m = 1.175788105912 \), then: \( m_\mu = \mu^m \cdot f_g^{133} \text{kg} \),
\( (95) \)
mantissa of the tau mass: \( \tau^m = 1.10190162111 \), then: \( m_\tau = \tau^m \cdot f_g^{127} \text{kg} \),
\( (96) \)
mantissa of the Higgs boson mass: \( H^{0\,m} = 1.0205472724 \), then: \( m_{H^0} = H^{0\,m} \cdot f_g^{118} \text{kg} \),
\( (97) \)
mantissa of the \( Z^0 \) boson mass: \( Z^{0\,m} = 1.20374351254 \), then: \( m_{Z^0} = Z^{0\,m} \cdot f_g^{110} \text{kg} \),
\( (98) \)
mantissa of the \( W^\pm \) boson mass: \( W^{\pm\,m} = 1.0611412325 \), then: \( m_{W^\pm} = W^{\pm\,m} \cdot f_g^{119} \text{kg} \).
\( (99) \)

Represent mantissas from formulas \((94 – 99)\) as of gold algebraic fractals.

Mantissa of the electron mass: \( e^m = 1.13164233168890 \), is close to multiply value of
\( f_g^9 = 0.01315561749642483896 \ldots \), therefore approximately it can be represented:

with accuracy \(10^{-3} \):
\[ e^m \approx f_g + f_g^2 + 10 \cdot f_g^9, \quad (100) \]

with accuracy \(10^{-5} \):
\[ e^m \approx f_g + f_g^2 + 10 \cdot f_g^9 + f_g^{20} + f_g^{23} + f_g^{26} = 1.1316415716769572. \quad (101) \]

Approximation representation with accuracy \(10^{-5} \) mantissa of the electron mass: \( e^m = 1.13164233168890 \)
In the form of additive algebraic gold fractal on the algorithm \((74 - 77)\):
\[ e^m \approx f_g + f_g^2 + f_g^5 + f_g^7 + f_g^{11} + f_g^{13} + f_g^{20} + f_g^{23} + f_g^{26} = 1.1316415716769572. \quad (102) \]

Approximation representation of the electron mass in the form of additive algebraic fractal:
\[ m_e \approx (f_g + f_g^2 + f_g^5 + f_g^7 + f_g^{11} + f_g^{13} + f_g^{20} + f_g^{23} + f_g^{26}) \cdot f_g^{144} \text{kg}. \quad (103) \]

Mantissa of the muon mass: \( \mu^m = 1.175788105912 \) can be represented approximately as follows:

with accuracy \(10^{-3} \):
\[ \mu^m \approx \sqrt{f_g + 2 \cdot f_g^2} = 1.175570504585, \quad (104) \]

with accuracy \(10^{-5} \):
\[ \mu^m \approx f_6 + f_8^4 + f_9^4 + f_7^8 + f_{10}^{10} + f_{16}^{16} + f_{24}^{24} = 1.175783598349. \]  

(105)

Approximation representation of the muon mass in the form of additive gold algebraic fractal:

\[ m_\mu \approx (f_6 + f_8^4 + f_9^4 + f_7^8 + f_{10}^{10} + f_{16}^{16} + f_{24}^{24}) \cdot f_8^{13} \text{kg}. \]  

(106)

Mantissa of the tau mass: \( \tau^m \approx 1.10190162111 \) can be represented approximately with \( 10^{-5} \) in the form of additive gold algebraic fractal:

\[ \tau^m \approx f_6 + f_8^2 + f_7^8 + f_9^{10} + f_{12}^{12} + f_{16}^{16} + f_{21}^{21} = 1.1019001427232. \]  

(107)

Approximation representation of the tau mass in the form of additive gold algebraic fractal:

\[ m_\tau \approx (f_6 + f_8^2 + f_7^8 + f_9^{10} + f_{12}^{12} + f_{16}^{16} + f_{21}^{21}) \cdot f_8^{127} \text{kg}. \]  

(108)

Mantissa of the Higgs boson mass: \( H^{0,m} = 1.02054727240 \) can be represented in the form of additive algebraic gold fractal:

\[ H^{0,m} = f_6 + f_8^2 + f_7^8 = 1.02128623625220. \]  

(109)

Value of the Higgs boson mass in energetic equivalent is [12]:

\[ m_{H^0} = 125.09 \pm 0.24 \text{ GeV}. \]  

(110)

Value of the Higgs boson mass in energetic equivalent with (109) represented in the form of additive algebraic gold fractal is:

\[ m_{H^0} = (f_6 + f_8^2 + f_7^8) \cdot f_8^{18} = 125.18057589962250 \text{ GeV}, \]  

(111)

what is within tolerance on formula (110). Should note that the Higgs boson mass and number 7 (formula (84)) are one and the same additive algebraic gold.

Mantissa of the \( Z^0 \) boson mass: \( Z^{0,m} = 1.20374351254 \) can be represented approximately with accuracy \( 10^{-6} \) in the form of additive gold algebraic fractal:

\[ Z^{0,m} \approx f_6 + f_8^2 + f_7^4 + f_9^6 + f_8^{11} + f_{13}^{20} + f_{22}^{22} = 1.203743823360. \]  

(112)

Approximation representation of the \( Z^0 \) boson mass in the form of additive gold algebraic fractal:

\[ m_{Z^0} \approx (f_6 + f_8^2 + f_7^4 + f_9^6 + f_8^{11} + f_{13}^{20} + f_{22}^{22}) \cdot f_8^{119} \text{ kg}. \]  

(113)

Should note that characteristic number inversion of the mantissa of the \( Z^0 \) boson mass approximately with accuracy \( 10^{-3} \) multiply to the value \( f_8^7 \) by formula (66), therefore inversion of mantissa’s \( Z^0 \) boson mass approximately in the form of additive gold algebraic fractal:

\[ Z^{0,m} \approx f_6 + f_8^2 + 10 \cdot f_7^7. \]  

(114)

Mantissa of the \( W^\pm \) boson mass: \( W^{\pm,m} = 1.0611412325 \) can be represented approximately with accuracy \( 10^{-5} \) in the form of additive gold algebraic fractal:

\[ W^{\pm,m} \approx f_6 + f_8^2 + f_6^6 + f_9^{12} + f_8^{13} + f_7^{17} + f_8^{19} = 1.0611400856. \]  

(115)

Approximate value of the \( W^\pm \) boson mass in the form of gold algebraic:

\[ m_{W^\pm} \approx (f_6 + f_8^2 + f_6^6 + f_9^{12} + f_8^{13} + f_7^{17} + f_8^{19}) \cdot f_8^{19} \text{kg}. \]  

(116)

**Conclusions.** Formulas (78 – 87) and (100 – 116) demonstrate that any physical constants which have any numerical value can be represented with specified accuracy in the form of additive gold algebraic fractals. Probably the Higgs boson mass and number 7 are one and the same gold algebraic fractal. In this case the Higgs boson mass can be defined accurately.
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