Demonstrator Detection System for the Active Target and Time Projection Chamber (ACTAR TPC) project

T. Roger, J. Pancin, G.F. Grinyer, B. Mauss, A.T. Laffoley, P. Rosier, H. Alvarez-Pol, M. Babo, B. Blank, M. Caamaño, et al.

► To cite this version:

T. Roger, J. Pancin, G.F. Grinyer, B. Mauss, A.T. Laffoley, et al.. Demonstrator Detection System for the Active Target and Time Projection Chamber (ACTAR TPC) project. Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A, 2018, 895, pp.126-134. 10.1016/j.nima.2018.04.003 . hal-01781925

HAL Id: hal-01781925

<https://hal.science/hal-01781925>

Submitted on 5 May 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Demonstrator Detection System for the Active Target 2 and Time Projection Chamber (ACTAR TPC) Project

3 T. Roger^a, J. Pancin^a, G.F. Grinyer^a, B. Mauss^a, A.T. Laffoley^a, P. Rosier^b,
4 H. Alvarez-Pol^c, M. Babo^a, B. Blank^d, M. Caamaño^c, S. Ceruti^e,
5 J. Daemen^e, S. Damoy^a, B. Duclos^a, B. Fernández-Domínguez^c,
6 F. Flavigny^b, J. Giovinnazzo^d, T. Goigoux^d, J.L. Henares^a,
7 P. Konczykowski^c, T. Marchi^e, G. Lebertre^a, N. Lecesne^a, L. Legeard^a,
8 C. Maugeais^a, G. Minier^b, B. Osmond^a, J.L. Pedroza^d, J. Pibernat^d,
9 O. Poleshchuk^e, E.C. Pollacco^f, R. Raabe^e, B. Raine^a, F. Renzi^e,
10 F. Saillant^a, P. Sénécal^a, P. Sizun^f, D. Suzuki^{b,1}, J.A. Swartz^e, C. Wouters^e,
11 G. Wittwer^a, J.C. Yang^e

12 ^a*Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds (GANIL), CEA/DRF-CNRS/IN2P3,*
13 *Bvd Henri Becquerel, 14076 Caen, France*

14 ^b*IPN Orsay, Université Paris Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, 91406 Orsay, France*

15 ^c*Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, E-15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain*

16 ^d*Centre d'Études Nucléaires de Bordeaux Gradignan, Université Bordeaux 1, UMR 5797*
17 *CNRS/IN2P3, Chemin de Solarium, BP 120, 33175 Gradignan, France*

18 ^e*Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfysica, K.U.Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, B-3001*
19 *Leuven, Belgium*

20 ^f*CEA Irfu, Centre de Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

21 Abstract

The design, realization and operation of a prototype or “demonstrator” version of an active target and time projection chamber (ACTAR TPC) for experiments in nuclear physics is presented in detail. The heart of the detection system features a MICROME GAS gas amplifier coupled to a high-density pixelated pad plane with square pad sizes of $2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^2$. The detector has been thoroughly tested with several different gas mixtures over a wide range of pressures and using a variety of sources of ionizing radiation including laser light, an α -particle source and heavy-ion beams of ^{24}Mg and ^{58}Ni accelerated to energies of 4.0 MeV/u. Results from these tests and characterization of the detector response over a wide range of operating conditions will be described. These developments have served as the basis for the design of a larger detection system that is presently under construction.

Keywords: Active Target, Time Projection Chamber, MICROMEAS,
Nuclear Physics

1. Introduction

The use of active targets and time projection chambers (TPCs) for experiments in nuclear physics can be traced back nearly 30 years. Historically, their intrinsic luminosity, three-dimensional tracking and particle-identification capabilities and relatively low-energy detection thresholds were some of the main characteristics where these types of detection systems offered a more attractive option when compared to conventional experimental techniques. Examples include IKAR at GSI [1], the MSTPC at RIKEN [2], the Maya active target at GANIL [3], the University of Warsaw optical TPC [4] and the CENBG TPC [5]. A detailed review of existing active targets can be found in Ref.[6]. While extremely successful, many of these previous-generation detectors were each faced with a number of experimental challenges and limitations that were a result of the technology available at the time of their construction.

With recent technological developments in micro-pattern gaseous detectors (MPGDs) [7–10], connectors and cables to achieve higher-density point-to-point contacts and electronics and data-acquisition systems that feature front-end data processing with high data throughput, present-day active targets and time projection chambers can achieve significantly higher channel densities than could previously be contemplated. Combined with ongoing developments in the production and acceleration of short-lived beams of rare isotopes at radioactive ion-beam facilities worldwide, the demand for active targets and time projection chambers in the nuclear physics community has increased dramatically [11].

The active target and time projection chamber (ACTAR TPC) is an ambitious detector development project whose goal is to develop a state-of-the-art detection system that consists of one (or possibly several) MPGDs coupled to a pixelated pad plane consisting of square pads with a pitch of $2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^2$. Both the overall channel density of 25 pads/cm^2 and the total

Email addresses: roger@ganil.fr (T. Roger), pancin@ganil.fr (J. Pancin)

¹Present address: Riken Nishina Center, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama, 351-0198, Japan

number of channels (16384) are, to the best of our knowledge, the highest that have been achieved by any detector in nuclear physics to date. In the present article, the design construction and operation of a 2048-channel prototype or “demonstrator” version of the ACTAR TPC design is described in detail. Calibration methods used to characterize the detector as well as the results of radioactive source, laser and in-beam tests will be presented.

2. ACTAR TPC Demonstrator Design

2.1. General layout

The main goal of the ACTAR TPC demonstrator was to develop a fully functional prototype detector that would be used to assess the feasibility and robustness for all aspects related to its mechanical design. Some of the most crucial components that required verification before moving towards the final design included the mechanics of the pad plane, the design of the electrostatic field cage, the choice of connectors and cables, and the electronics integration. The ACTAR TPC demonstrator has a total of 2048 channels, which is 8 times smaller than the full-size detector that is presently under construction.

The ACTAR TPC demonstrator was designed at the Institute de Physique Nucléaire d’Orsay (IPNO). The base geometry of the detector consists of an aluminum inner skeleton whose central volume is $300 \times 250 \times 210 \text{ mm}^3$. The detector is encased with 6 removable side flanges (top, bottom and 4 sides) as shown in Figure 1. One of the side flanges was designed with a 12 mm diameter circular entrance window to allow the detector to be coupled to a beam line. A 6 μm thick Mylar foil serves as the sole interface between the beam line (vacuum, 10^{-6} mbar) and the gas volume of the detector itself that is typically operated with pressures ranging from 100 to 1000 mbar. The remaining 3 side-flanges are dedicated to support optional ancillary detectors. Gas flow into and out of the chamber uses two connectors located on opposite side flanges. The top flange (cathode) was designed to support vertically adjustable radioactive sources that can be located in one of two possible positions. The cathode voltage is supplied through a safe high-voltage (SHV) connector located on this flange. The bottom flange (the pad plane) houses the core of the TPC detection system. The chamber was designed to sustain 1 atm differential pressure, so that the active target can run from ~ 0 bar to ~ 2 bar, if equipped with proper O-rings.

The rectangular pad plane consists of an active area of $64 \times 128 \text{ mm}^2$. Individual square copper pads with a pitch of 2 mm and separated by 80 μm

tile the surface of the pad plane for a total 32×64 pads or 2048 total pixels. A MICROMEGAS amplification system [7] was deposited on top of the pad plane using the bulk technique [8]. A wire field cage surrounds the pad plane at a distance of 5 mm from the edge of the micromesh and extends to a vertical height of 170 mm. The role of the field cage is to maintain a homogeneous vertical electric field across the entire drift region above the pad plane. The precise geometry of the field cage will be described below in section 3.2. Both the pad plane and the field cage are fixed onto the bottom flange, as shown in Fig. 1. An additional 3 SHV connectors situated on this flange are used to supply the voltage for the MICROMEGAS and the field cage. A more detailed view of the mechanical design of the pad plane is presented in Fig. 2.

2.2. Micromegas and pad plane

Ionization electrons produced in the gas volume will be guided to the bottom of the detector under a uniform electric field. Amplification of the electron signals is performed using a MICROMEGAS system situated at the bottom of the field cage. The MICROMEGAS consists of a 45/18 stainless-steel woven micromesh laminated on 256 μm high insulating pillars. The amplification gap between the pad plane and the micromesh is approximately 220 μm after the lamination process. The MICROMEGAS bulk was manufactured by the CERN PCB workshop. This particular gap thickness is relatively large when compared to standard MICROMEGAS detectors. This choice was motivated by results obtained in our previous study that demonstrated that the larger gap was better suited to a wider range of operating gas pressures including low pressures of ~ 100 mbar [12]. Typical operating voltages of the MICROMEGAS range from -200 V to -1000 V depending on the type of gas, pressure and desired gain. In order to minimize the capacitance of the pixel routing and the total number of layers in the PCB pad plane, the connectors on the exterior side of the pad plane were chosen so that they occupy the same surface area as the active surface on the interior side. The pad plane serves as the primary interface between the gas volume of the detector and the outside (atmospheric pressure). To clean the chamber before filling it with gas, it must be pumped down to primary vacuum. The pad plane must therefore be able to sustain ~ 1 atm differential pressure with minimal mechanical deformation. To further reinforce the mechanical rigidity, the PCB pad plane was then glued and screwed onto a 15 mm thick aluminum plate. Small openings of 30×7 mm² were machined into the plate to allow the connectors on the PCB to pass through it, as shown in Fig. 2. The 2×48 -channel

Figure 1: (Color online) 3D drawing of the ACTAR TPC demonstrator. The downstream (left) and the right side flanges are shown equipped with four and one double sided stripped silicon strip detectors, respectively. The top flange holds two retractable and vertically adjustable radioactive source arms. The four field cage pillars and the cathode above them are mounted on the pad plane (bottom flange). Outside the chamber and situated below the pad plane, the connection of a single front end electronics card via two spark-protection circuits is shown. A total of 8 cards are required to process the 2048 channels of the demonstrator.

Figure 2: (Color online) Drawing of the pad plane and aluminum frame assembly.

126 SAMTEC connectors have a pitch of 0.8 mm and were wave soldered onto
 127 the pad plane before the MICROMEGAS were assembled above. The final pad
 128 plane is a 4-layer PCB with a thickness of 4 mm. Mechanical simulations
 129 were performed to confirm that the deformation of the entire assembly (pad
 130 plane PCB and the aluminum frame) was less than $100\ \mu\text{m}$ at a differential
 131 pressure of 1 atm.

132 2.3. Electronics and data-acquisition system

133 Data from the 2048 channels of the ACTAR TPC demonstrator are pro-
 134 cessed and stored using the General Electronics for TPCs (GET) system [13].
 135 Between the pads and the electronics a set of 32 protection circuits with 64
 136 channels each were designed to protect the front end from highly saturat-
 137 ing signals that could arise from sparks. The spark-protection circuits were
 138 connected to the pad plane using 12 cm Teflon NEXAN coaxial cables with
 139 $85\ \text{pF/m}$ linear capacitance.

140 The GET system is a comprehensive and generic digital electronics and
 141 data-acquisition system that was designed for nuclear physics instrumenta-
 142 tion with up to 30000 channels. A full description of the GET system is
 143 provided in Ref. [13]. A brief summary is provided here for some of the fea-
 144 tures that are of relevance to the ACTAR TPC demonstrator. The front-end
 145 electronics consists of a custom designed and versatile 64-channel Application
 146 Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) chip called “ASIC for GET” or AGET.
 147 The AGET provides, for each channel, a charge sensitive pre-amplifier with

148 adjustable gain (dynamic ranges of 120 fC, 240 fC, 1 pC and 10 pC), an ana-
 149 logue filter (shaper) with a peaking time ranging from 70 to 1014 ns, a leading
 150 edge discriminator and a 512-cell switch capacitor array to continuously sam-
 151 ple the signal with a maximum frequency of 100 MHz. Four AGET chips
 152 (4×64 or 256 channels) each followed by a 12-bit Analogue to Digital Con-
 153 verter (ADC) are integrated onto a front-end board called AsAd (ASIC and
 154 ADC). A schematic of one AsAd card with 4 AGET chips is shown in Fig.1.
 155 Digitized data from up to 4 AsAd cards (4×256 or 1024 channels) are col-
 156 lected and processed by a concentration board (CoBo). The CoBo cards
 157 are compatible with the Micro Telecommunications Computing Architecture
 158 (μ TCA) and are operated in a Vadatech VT893 μ TCA chassis. A total of
 159 2 CoBo cards are required to read the 2048-channels of the demonstrator
 160 pad plane. Synchronization and distribution of the 100 MHz global master
 161 clock through the μ TCA backplane is performed using the Multiplicity Trig-
 162 ger and Time (MuTanT) module. The MuTanT also provides multi-level
 163 triggering capabilities. System master trigger decisions can be derived from
 164 external sources (L0), overall pad multiplicity (L1) or through a user-specific
 165 hit-pattern algorithm (L2).

166 Communication between the μ TCA chassis and the data-acquisition com-
 167 puter is achieved through an optical fibre from the 10 GbE network switch on
 168 the μ TCA Carrier Hub (MCH). The MCH is a commercially available module
 169 that operates in a dedicated slot of the μ TCA chassis. Detailed technical de-
 170 scriptions of the μ TCA architecture and the MuTanT module are provided in
 171 Ref. [14]. The data-acquisition computer is a DELL PowerEdge R420 server
 172 with dual 6-core/12-thread Xeon E5-2430 2.2 GHz, 32 GB of random-access
 173 memory (RAM) and 8 TB of total disk space. The data acquisition soft-
 174 ware running on LINUX CentOS was developed for the GET system and
 175 consists of 3 main subsystems. The electronics control core (ECC) is used
 176 to load the particular hardware configurations and monitor the electronics
 177 boards. The data flow subsystem is based on the existing NARVAL modu-
 178 lar data-acquisition framework [15] that contains specific processes that are
 179 used for data collection, event building and merging, online data processing
 180 and filtering, and data storage. The run control subsystem is a user-friendly
 181 graphical user interface that incorporates the ECC and data flow subsystems
 182 to provide global control and monitor the status of the entire system.

183 The configuration of the GET electronics is performed using a java-based
 184 Graphical User Interface developed at GANIL. This software provides an
 185 easy way of configuring the electronics parameters such as the gain, threshold

186 and peaking time of the individual channels as well as setting the triggering
187 conditions.

188 **3. Operation of the ACTAR TPC Demonstrator**

189 *3.1. Electronics and MICROMEGAS calibration*

190 Amplified signals from the charge pre-amplifiers of the GET system ex-
191 hibit channel-by-channel gain fluctuations that are typically on the order of
192 $\sim 10\%$. A relative gain alignment of the individual channels must therefore
193 be applied before performing any detailed analysis. Aligning the individual
194 channels requires a two-step process. The first is to send an external pulser to
195 the mesh of the MICROMEGAS so that a charge can be injected in all channels.
196 While this step is relatively straightforward, the amount of charge deduced
197 at the channel level will depend upon both the gain of the pre-amplifier for
198 that specific channel and the size of the gap between the mesh and the pad
199 at that particular location. To disentangle the relative contributions from
200 both of these, a second step is then required to deduce the height of the
201 mesh-pad gap on a pad-by-pad basis. A measurement of the gap homogene-
202 ity for the MICROMEGAS detector used in the ACTAR TPC demonstrator
203 was performed using the method described in Ref. [16]. A collimated ^{55}Fe X-
204 ray source mounted on an automated 2-dimensional scanning table was used
205 to correlate the measured response of the mesh with the precise position of
206 the source. The relative gap of the micromesh was then reconstructed using
207 Magboltz [17] and converted into an effective gain for any combination of gas
208 species or amplification voltage used.

209 A scan of the pad plane was performed using an $\text{Ar}(97\%)+\text{iC}_4\text{H}_{10}(3\%)$ gas
210 mixture at a pressure of 1021 mbar. The resulting gain map and deduced
211 micromesh-pad gap values are presented in Fig. 3. The gain fluctuation
212 measured was of the order of 10%. The deduced gap height across the entire
213 surface was found to be homogeneous to within $\pm 1\%$. Such small variations
214 are negligible when compared to the channel-by-channel gain fluctuations
215 associated with the electronics. Injecting an external pulser on the mesh as a
216 means to calibrate the gain fluctuations of the electronic channels is therefore
217 fully justified for a relatively homogeneous MICROMEGAS gap.

218 *3.2. Field cage and drift field homogeneity*

219 The homogeneity of the electric field is essential for accurately recon-
220 structing particle trajectories through the drift volume. The ideal field cage

Figure 3: (Color Online) MICROME GAS gap homogeneity map deduced with an $\text{Ar}(97\%)+\text{iC}_4\text{H}_{10}(3\%)$ gas mixture at 1021 mbar. A reference gap of $220\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ was chosen for the Magboltz calculations.

is one that would maintain a static and homogeneous electric field that is completely perpendicular to the surface of the micromesh (vertical in the case of ACTAR TPC) with absolutely no components acting in the parallel (horizontal) direction. In practice, small parallel fields can be tolerated provided that their magnitude, relative to the perpendicular electric field, are less than the ratio of half the pad size (1 mm) to the drift height (17 cm). This upper limit of 0.6% for the field-cage tolerance is to ensure that the difference between the creation points of the ionization electrons and their corresponding mesh arrival points are smaller than 1 pad.

In many experiments, the reaction products will have sufficient energy to escape the drift volume. The field cage must therefore be transparent to allow these particles to pass through the cage so that they can be detected in a set of auxiliary detectors such as scintillators or silicon detectors. Special care must also be taken to ensure that the presence of these auxiliary detectors do not disturb the drift field. Following several tests and a detailed simulation study (described below), a double wire-plane field-cage design was chosen. This design, while more complex, outperformed a single wire-plane cage design in terms of overall electric field homogeneity. The field cage is connected to two separate power supplies, to fix the potentials on the top of the cathode and at the bottom, via a set of resistors to the ground. The value of the resistor depends on the voltage applied on both power supplies. It is of the order of 10 M Ω . The mesh is polarized separately to be able to tune its voltage to a different value than for the field cage bottom, in order to compensate for side effects of the electric field on the border of the pad plane, and to avoid the field cage current to be sent in the mesh.

The double wire-plane field cage designed for the ACTAR TPC demon-

247 strator consists of an internal cage with 4 wire planes situated at a distance
 248 of 5 mm from the edge of the pad plane. The distances between the field
 249 cage and the interior walls of the chamber are 40 mm in the vertical direction
 250 (above the cathode) and about 70 mm on all four sides. The voltage between
 251 the cathode and the mesh of the MICROMEGAS is homogeneously degraded
 252 using $4.7 \text{ M}\Omega$ (1%) surface-mounted resistors soldered between each wire.
 253 Each wire plane consists of 169 wires each with a diameter of $20 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and a
 254 1 mm spacing. The second field cage surrounds the first and consists of 4
 255 wire planes with 34 wires each. The wire diameter is also $20 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and the wire
 256 spacing is 5 mm. The distance between the inner and outer wire planes is
 257 10 mm on all sides and their total height (the height of the drift volume) is
 258 170 mm. With this design, the optical transparency is deduced to be nearly
 259 98%.

260 The majority of unwanted stray electric fields in the drift region arise,
 261 in our particular case, from electric fields that are generated between the
 262 field cage and an array of silicon detectors that were placed at a distance
 263 of $\sim 5.0 \text{ cm}$ from the sides of the cage. Assuming that the cathode bias is
 264 3500 V, which corresponds to a drift electric field of approximately 200 V/cm
 265 in the vertical direction, and that the Si detectors are at ground, then the
 266 maximum horizontal electric field will be at the cathode and will be on the
 267 order of 700 V/cm . The magnitude of this field decreases linearly with the
 268 drift height. As described above, the goal of the field cage is to suppress these
 269 fields from reaching the interior of the drift volume. Ideally this suppression
 270 factor should therefore be on the order of 600 in order to achieve the 0.6%
 271 design goal. A simple calculation of this suppression factor was performed
 272 using the formalism described in Ref. [18] for a single wire cage (with 1 mm
 273 wire pitch and $20 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ diameter wires) and the resulting suppression factor
 274 of ~ 90 was found. This is in good agreement with estimates from electric
 275 field calculations using Garfield [19]. The Garfield calculations were then
 276 extended to estimate the overall suppression factor for the double wire-plane
 277 field-cage configuration using the geometry described above. The resulting
 278 suppression factor was determined to be ~ 270 . The additional factor of 3
 279 gained with the double wire field cage is therefore essential for reducing the
 280 transverse electric fields while maintaining a suitable optical transparency.

281 The homogeneity of the drift electric field was characterized using a colli-
 282 mated alpha source. Identical tests were performed using both a single field
 283 cage with a wire spacing of 1 mm and the double field cage (inner cage with
 284 1 mm wire pitch surrounded by a second cage with 5 mm wire pitch). With

the single cage, alpha-particle trajectories showed clear evidence for horizontal deformation. The amplitude of this deformation increased even further for particles emitted in the direction of the cathode where the transverse electric fields are largest. An example of a deformed trajectory is presented in Fig. 4a) for the single wire-plane field cage. In this event, the alpha particle was emitted from the left side of the figure and traverses the active volume of the TPC before being detected in one pixel of a double sided Si strip detector (DSSSD) placed outside and approximately 5 cm from the field cage. This particular pixel of the DSSSD was chosen because it was situated close to the cathode in the vertical direction and near the corner of the field cage in the horizontal. This event thus corresponds to one of the largest deformations observed.

A similar alpha-particle trajectory recorded using the same pixel of the DSSSD but with the double wire-plane field cage is shown in Fig. 4b). The overall horizontal deformation in the double cage is significantly reduced compared to the single wire-plane field cage. Again, this particular trajectory represents one of the most extreme cases. Considering all possible horizontal and vertical alpha-particle emission angles, the majority of events would be significantly less deformed.

3.3. Laser tests

The horizontal and vertical angular resolution with 2×2 mm² square pads was investigated in Ref.[12] where it was shown that the resolution was largely dominated by the straggling of the alpha particles in the gas. A new method, that relies on the use of an ultra-violet laser light, was designed and tested as a means to determine the intrinsic angular resolution of the detector in the absence of straggling. The titanium sapphire (Ti:Sa) laser employed was pumped by a 75 W 532 nm neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet (Nd:YAG) laser (photonics industries CM57-532) frequency doubled at 10 kHz repetition rate. After triple harmonic generation, the Ti:Sa laser produced 162 mW pulses of 140 ns duration at a wavelength of 259 nm. These pulses were of sufficient energy to ionize aromatic hydrocarbons, present in the detector as impurities, via two-photon absorption. The laser light entered the detector through a quartz window that was mounted on one of the side flanges of the detector. At 259 nm, the transmission of this window was measured using a photo sensor to be $\sim 98\%$. The horizontal angle of the laser light was adjusted using a set of mirrors placed just before the entrance window to the detector. The active volume of the TPC was filled with 1 bar

Figure 4: (Color online) Charge projection of an alpha-particle track in 40 mbar of isobutane, with a single wire-plane field cage (a) and with a double wire-plane field cage (b). In both figures, the cathode voltage was set to -2500 V and the mesh voltage was -400 V. The trigger for the data acquisition was provided by one pixel (near the cathode or top of the drift field) of a double sided Si strip detector (DSSSD) located approximately 5 cm behind the field cage. In both figures, the black solid line represents the physical trajectory of the alpha particle from the collimated alpha source to the center of the pixel of the DSSSD.

of $\text{Ar}(97\%)+\text{iC}_4\text{H}_{10}(3\%)$ gas mixture. The cathode voltage was -2500 V and the mesh voltage was set to -380 V. The recorded charge projection for a typical laser pulse aimed at the corner of the field cage is presented in Fig.5a). The curvature exhibited near the end of the trajectory is again due to deformation induced by the single wire-plane field cage that was described above in Sec. 3.2. The three-dimensional angle of the tracks was reconstructed using an algorithm derived from those presented in Ref.[20]. As the curvature arises from a systematic effect, a fit to the entire trajectory using a straight line will result in a systematic shift to the reconstructed angle. However the width of the angular distribution presented in Fig.5b) is not affected by this curvature. From Fig.5b), and neglecting the divergence of the laser beam, an angular resolution of 0.06° FWHM was achieved. This result indicates that, for the case of long trajectories, the angular resolution

335 for tracking particles through the active target will be entirely limited by the
 336 straggling of the ions in the gas rather than geometrical effects associated
 337 with the size of the individual pixels.

Figure 5: (Color Online) a) Recorded charge projection of a single 259 nm laser pulse in a gas mixture of Ar(97%)+iC₄H₁₀(3%) at 1 bar pressure. b) Three-dimensional angular resolution deduced from fitting many trajectories

338 3.4. Alpha-particle source tests

339 The energy resolution of the detection plane was further investigated us-
 340 ing a ²³⁹Pu, ²⁴¹Am and ²⁴⁴Cm mixed alpha source placed 35 mm downstream
 341 the pad plane. The detector was filled with 148 mbar of pure isobutane, which
 342 is sufficient to fully stop the alpha particles inside the active volume. The
 343 voltages applied on the cathode and the micromesh were set to −4000 V and
 344 −400 V, respectively. The total charge deposited by the alpha particles was
 345 obtained on an event-by-event basis by summing all of the individual charges
 346 collected on each pad. The individual charges were reconstructed using the
 347 procedures described in Ref.[21]. A typical total charge spectrum for the al-
 348 pha source is presented in Fig. 6. This spectrum was obtained by restricting
 349 the analysis to horizontal angles between $\pm 1^\circ$ in order to minimize the effect
 350 of the dead zone between the source and the beginning of the pad plane. A

351 fit to this spectrum using three Gaussian distributions yielded energy resolu-
 352 tions of 5.4%, 4.9% and 5.5% (FWHM) for alpha-particle energy deposit of
 353 3.74 MeV, 4.14 MeV and 4.53 MeV, respectively. These results are encourag-
 354 ing when compared to the previous values of 5%, 4.5% and 6% (FWHM) that
 355 were obtained in Ref. [12] and that used a mixture of Ar(98%) + CF₄(2%) at
 356 1100 mbar gas pressure and a MICROMEGAS detector with a gap of 256 μ m
 357 coupled to a 2 $\times$ 2 mm² pad plane.

Figure 6: (Color Online) Total charge spectrum from a $^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{241}\text{Am} + ^{244}\text{Cm}$ mixed alpha source. The red dashed line corresponds to a fit to the histogram using 3 Gaussian distributions. The fit parameters are indicated in the inset. The fit parameters A , σ , and μ correspond to the maximum peak height, the standard deviation, and the position of the centroid, respectively.

358 3.5. Gain characterization measurements

359 The highest energy alpha particle at 5.8 MeV from ^{244}Cm decay was also
 360 used to characterize the gain of the MICROMEGAS amplification system for
 361 various gas mixtures at several different pressures. For each gas mixture and
 362 pressure, measurements were performed using several different mesh volt-
 363 ages. For each measurement, approximately 30 horizontal alpha trajectories
 364 were selected, and the average charge deposited was calculated on a pad-by-
 365 pad basis. This averaged alpha-particle trajectory was then projected along
 366 its axis in order to obtain the average energy-loss profile. This profile is a

convolution of the true energy loss of the alpha particles with a Gaussian distribution to describe the lateral straggling of the ionization electrons in the gas. Examples of several average energy-loss profiles that were measured in a He(90%)+iC₄H₁₀(10%) gas mixture at 700 mbar are presented in Fig.7a). At high mesh voltage, the gains of the charge pre-amplifiers were reduced to avoid saturating the electronic signals. The gain of the MICROMEGAS was then deduced through a comparison of the registered charge profile with a SRIM simulation [22] of the energy loss of the alpha particles in the gas convoluted with the lateral straggling of the electrons. The gain of the amplifier as a function of the applied mesh voltage is presented in Fig. 7b) for several different gas mixtures and pressures including He(90%)+iC₄H₁₀(10%) at 96 mbar and 700 mbar, He(95%)+iC₄H₁₀(5%) at 139 mbar and for pure iC₄H₁₀ at 98 mbar and 148 mbar. The gain curves of the low pressure He + iC₄H₁₀ mix exhibit a smaller slope than those of the other gases tested due to a saturation of the Townsend coefficient for the low partial pressure of isobutane. The maximum gain achieved for each of the gas mixtures tested corresponds to a detection threshold that is smaller than 2 keV/pad.

3.6. In-beam tests

The ACTAR TPC demonstrator was further characterized using low-energy beams of stable ions. Beams of ⁵⁸Ni and ²⁴Mg were produced at GANIL and were accelerated in the first separated sector cyclotron (CSS1) to energies of 4.0 MeV/u and 4.6 MeV/u, respectively. The beams were then sent to the G3 experimental area where they passed through a thin (100 or 200 μg/cm²) carbon stripper foil and a set of two position-sensitive tracking detectors before traversing the Mylar foil entrance window of the detector.

The first test used the ⁵⁸Ni beam that had an average energy of ~3.0 MeV/u after the Mylar entrance window. The detector was filled with 90 mbar of pure iC₄H₁₀ and the cathode and mesh voltages were set to -1500 V and -300 V, respectively. With this beam energy, gas and gas pressure, the ⁵⁸Ni ions had a range of approximately 15 cm and thus were stopped in the active volume of the detector. The electron drift velocity was estimated using Magboltz [17] to be 2.5 cm/μs. The gain of the MICROMEGAS was deduced to be 70, which is in good agreement with the results obtained in Fig. 7b) for pure iC₄H₁₀. Based on the SRIM/TRIM calculations [22] shown in Fig. 8a), the energy losses of the ⁵⁸Ni beam, the ¹²C ions and the scattered protons span nearly two orders of magnitude. To avoid saturating the signals on the 6 rows of pads directly under the beam axis, a lower gain of 1 pC was

Figure 7: (Color Online) a) Average charge profiles of 5.8 MeV ^{244}Cm alpha particles obtained in a gas mixture of He(90%)+iC₄H₁₀(10%) at 700 mbar using several different voltages applied to the micromesh. The gain setting of the pad electronics is indicated by the color of the profiles: pink for 120 fC, green for 240 fC, red for 1 pC and blue for 10 pC. b) Gain curves obtained for: He(90%)+iC₄H₁₀(10%) at 700 mbar (black solid squares), He(90%)+iC₄H₁₀(10%) at 96 mbar (blue open squares), He(95%)+iC₄H₁₀(5%) at 139 mbar (pink open circles), iC₄H₁₀ at 148 mbar (red solid triangles) and iC₄H₁₀ at 98 mbar (green open triangles).

applied to these channels. A higher gain of 120 fC was used for the pads that were off beam axis. The geometry-dependent gain settings that were applied are shown in Fig. 8c). In Fig. 8e), the charge projection of a single event corresponding to the scattering of a proton in the gas by an incident ^{58}Ni beam ion is presented. In this figure, the ^{58}Ni ion is stopped in the chamber. The tail of the Bragg peak begins near column 42 and steadily decreases. At column 50, the tail extends past the 1 pC low-gain region and reaches the 120 fC high-gain region where the remaining signal is amplified.

Identification of the scattered particles was performed using the correlation between the reconstructed range of the particles in the chamber and the total charge collected on the pad plane. In Fig. 9a), this method is clearly able to distinguish between the scattered protons and the ^{12}C ions. After

Figure 8: (Color Online) Top: SRIM energy-loss profiles of the particles involved in the ^{58}Ni test (a) and the ^{24}Mg test (b). Middle: Gain settings applied to the pad plane for the ^{58}Ni test (c) and the ^{24}Mg test (d). Blue indicates pads with 120 fC range, yellow for 1 pC range and red for 10 pC range. Bottom: Charge projection of the scattering of a proton by a ^{58}Ni ion (e) and the scattering of a proton and ^4He by a ^{24}Mg ion (f).

416 selecting proton scattering events, the excitation energy spectrum of ^{58}Ni at
 417 center of mass angles between 30 and 100 degree was reconstructed using the
 418 correlation between the angle of the proton, its energy (determined from its
 419 range in the gas) and the reaction energy (from 1.7A to about 0.2A MeV)
 420 estimated from the position of the reaction vertex that is the intersection of
 421 the beam and proton trajectories. The resulting excitation energy spectrum
 422 is presented in Fig.9b), with in the inset, the center of mass angular domain
 423 and the reaction energy domain covered. The energy resolution obtained was
 424 ~ 175 keV (FWHM), which is excellent for these types of detectors. For com-

parison, a recent result obtained with a similar prototype detector achieved
a resolution of 800 keV (FWHM) for the reconstructed excitation energy
spectrum of ${}^6\text{He}$ [23].

Figure 9: (Color Online) a) Particle identification plot obtained by correlating the range of the particles stopping in the active volume with the total charge deposit. Scattered protons and carbon ions are well separated. b) Excitation energy spectrum reconstructed for the ${}^{58}\text{Ni}+p$ reaction. A Gaussian fit to this distribution (red line) results in an energy resolution of ~ 175 keV (FWHM). Inset) center of mass angular and reaction energy domain covered by the present analysis.

A second test was performed using a ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$ beam at 4.6 MeV/u. After passing through the carbon stripper foil, the beam tracking detectors and the Mylar entrance window of the TPC, the ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$ beam energy was ~ 4.0 MeV/u. The demonstrator was filled with a mixture of He(90%) + iC_4H_{10} (10%) at 200 mbar. The cathode voltage was set to -1900 V and the mesh voltage to -380 V. With these settings, the electron drift velocity was estimated to be $1.7 \text{ cm}/\mu\text{s}$ and the gain of the MICROME GAS was approximately 500 (see Fig. 7). As shown in Fig.8b), the energy loss of the scattered ${}^{12}\text{C}$, ${}^4\text{He}$ and ${}^1\text{H}$ ions span nearly two orders of magnitude. To be able to detect all 3 particles simultaneously with a transverse multiplicity of three pads to ensure a good track reconstruction, the electronics gain across the pad rows were alternated between high gain (120 fC) and low gain (1 pC). The central region directly under the beam axis was set to an even lower gain of 10 pC. The geometrical gain map employed is shown in Fig. 8d). A sample pile-up event is presented in Fig. 8f) and shows the charge projection that contains scattering events of both a proton and a ${}^4\text{He}$ ion. The proton track is only visible on the pads at 120 fC gain and thus cannot be observed on the alternating 1 pC rows. The track of the ${}^4\text{He}$ ion is clearly visible on the 1 pC rows but its signals saturate the rows set to 120 fC gain. The ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$ beam above the 10 pC gain region is

447 also observed without saturating the channels. By carefully optimizing the
 448 gain settings for the individual rows, this technique can be used to extend
 449 the effective dynamic range of the system. A second method to identify the
 450 scattered particles employed a set of silicon detectors that were placed on
 451 the sides of the chamber. A plot of the energy loss (total charge) of the
 452 particles in the active part of the TPC (obtained by summing the charges
 453 on alternating rows as appropriate) versus the energy recorded in the silicon
 454 detectors is presented in Fig. 10. The two distinct groups of events in this
 455 figure correspond to ^1H and ^4He ions.

Figure 10: (Color Online) Particle identification for scattered ^1H and ^4He particles from a beam of ^{24}Mg ions at 4.0 MeV/u. The energy deposited (charge) on the pad plane is plotted versus the energy recorded in a set of Si detectors located on the sides of the chamber (perpendicular to the beam axis).

456 Finally, the multi-particle tracking capabilities of the ACTAR TPC demon-
 457 strator coupled to the GET electronics were investigated during the beam
 458 tests. Some typical events recorded using both the ^{58}Ni and the ^{24}Mg beams
 459 are presented in Fig. 11. The voxel reconstruction of the system permits to
 460 resolve the pileup of events, as illustrated on the two 3D plots on the left,
 461 and to track multi-particle final states (more than two particles) as depicted
 462 on the plots at the right of the figure.

3.7. Summary and discussion

Some of the key results that were obtained from the tests and calibrations described above are summarized and where applicable compared to results previously obtained from similar detectors.

Using the laser light calibration technique described above in Sec. 3.3, a horizontal angular resolution of $\pm 0.06^\circ$ (FWHM) was obtained. For a detector length of 128 mm, this corresponds to position resolution of only ± 0.13 mm (FWHM). As straggling effects in the gas are absent with the laser light, this result can be considered to be the intrinsic angular resolution of the detector and depends primarily on the use of 2×2 mm² pixels. For particles with long trajectories, straggling effects will completely limit the angular resolution with typical results being on the order of $\pm 1^\circ$ in both the horizontal and vertical directions [12]. In terms of angular resolution, the use of larger pixels would therefore be expected to yield similar results. In the Maya active target that uses 8 mm long hexagonal pads, for example, angular resolutions of $\pm 1^\circ$ have also been obtained [20].

Energy resolutions obtained from summing the total charge on the pad plane were obtained using a mixed 3α source. The results obtained were typically 5% (FWHM) for α particles that deposited about 4 MeV in the active volume of the detector. These results are in good agreement with our previous study that achieved 4 to 5% (FWHM) using a similar micromegas detector coupled to a 2×2 mm² pixelated pad plane [12]. These results are about a factor of 2 to 3 better than the value of 11% (FWHM) obtained in Ref. [24] from a MICROMEGAS segmented into one dimensional strips with a pitch of 5 mm and 13% (FWHM) deduced in Ref. [23] from a similar pitch MICROMEGAS detector with a circular geometry. As described in Ref. [12], these results may not be fully comparable as they depend significantly on the details of the analysis procedures.

When tested in beam, an energy resolution of 175 keV (FWHM) was obtained in Fig. 8 for the reconstructed excitation energy spectrum of elastically scattered ^{58}Ni ions by protons. This is about a factor 10 better than the 2 MeV (FWHM) obtained for ^{68}Ni with the active target MAYA [25]. The improved energy resolution obtained in the present work compared to Refs. [23, 25] is presumably dominated by the overall range resolution obtained from alpha-particle source measurements.

In terms of overall gain and energy thresholds, several measurements obtained in a variety of different gases, mixtures and pressures were presented in Fig. 7b). These gain curves show that the detector can be operated up to a

501 maximum gain of about 10^4 (at 1 kHz beam intensity), which corresponds to a
 502 low-energy threshold of approximately 0.15 keV/pad, considering that a track
 503 can be properly treated if the transverse multiplicity is three pads. Through
 504 careful adjustments of the electronic gains on a channel-by-channel basis
 505 using the GET system, the effective dynamic range can be increased by an
 506 additional order of magnitude to approximately 10^3 . In the ^{24}Mg in-beam test
 507 described above, this feature was necessary to be able to observe scattered
 508 protons (2 keV/pad), alpha particles (10 keV/pad) and the ^{24}Mg ion beam
 509 (200 keV/pad) simultaneously and without saturating the electronics. The
 510 future version of ACTAR TPC will allow the polarization of the central
 511 pads located below the beam path in order to locally reduce the micromegas
 512 electric field and hence the gain, further increasing the dynamic range of the
 513 active target while limiting the risk of reaching the Raether limit, as shown
 514 in Ref. [23].

515 Particle identification and tracking capabilities of the detector were also
 516 explored in detail. Depending on the energies of the particles, a number of
 517 complementary measurements such as the energy loss (charge collected) in
 518 the target, the range of the particles in the gas and the energy deposited in
 519 auxiliary detectors can be combined to discriminate between particles with
 520 sufficient resolution. The use of a two dimensional segmented pad plane
 521 coupled with state-of-the-art electronics to digitize the electron arrival times
 522 on the pad plane are essential for studying reactions that lead to multi-
 523 particle final states (more than two particles) or to discriminate and remove
 524 pile up from the analysis as shown in Fig.11. Both of these will improve
 525 the overall efficiency and allow new studies to be performed that were not
 526 possible with previous active targets and TPCs in nuclear physics.

527 4. Conclusion

528 A novel 2048-channel prototype active target and time projection cham-
 529 ber for nuclear physics experiments was presented. The heart of the demon-
 530 strator features a 128 mm $\times$ 64 mm pad plane that consists of a bulk MI-
 531 CROMEGAS, with a 220 μm gap, mounted on a highly pixelated pad plane
 532 that features square pads with a pitch of $2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^2$. An electric field cage
 533 based on planar wire grids surrounds the pads and encompasses the 17 cm
 534 height of the drift volume. In order to maintain a homogeneous drift elec-
 535 tric field at the required level of $\leq 0.6\%$, the use of a double wire cage was
 536 found to be essential. The use of wires rather than a solid structure is crucial

537 for our applications as the field cage must be transparent to particles that
538 escape the active volume of the detector. The double field cage design pre-
539 sented here, has achieved the homogeneity requirement while maintaining an
540 optical transparency of 98%.

541 Characterization and tests of the demonstrator were presented under a
542 wide variety of conditions and with several different sources of ionizing radi-
543 ation. These tests have provided many opportunities to test the electronics,
544 to validate or improve upon the mechanical aspects of the design, to develop
545 unique methods of calibration and to characterize the detector response with
546 several different gases over a wide range of pressures. In terms of resolution,
547 the horizontal angular resolution deduced using laser light was found to be
548 $\sim 0.06^\circ$ (FWHM) while the energy resolution obtained from the total charge
549 collected on the pad plane was deduced to be $\sim 5\%$ (FWHM) for a ~ 4 MeV
550 alpha particle. Tests performed with heavy ion beams of ^{24}Mg and ^{58}Ni have
551 also clearly shown the particle identification and multi-particle tracking capa-
552 bilities of such a detector. With more than 1 month of in-beam tests and now
553 more than 4 years of intensive operation, the mechanical design has proven to
554 be robust and reliable. All of these characteristics are extremely encouraging
555 for the long-term use of such a detector for applications in nuclear physics
556 with heavy-ion beams.

557 This prototype detector was designed and operated as a “demonstrator”
558 for the much larger ACTAR TPC detection system that is presently being
559 constructed. The new system will continue to use square pads of $2\times 2\text{ mm}^2$
560 but the size of the pad plane will be increased to 16384 pads/channels. The
561 design and experience gained with the demonstrator have thus played a cru-
562 cial role in the development of ACTAR TPC. First experiments with this
563 novel detection system are foreseen in 2018.

564 **Acknowledgements**

565 We would like to thank the PCB workshop at CERN and the electronics
566 and the detector R&D workshops of the Institut de Physique Nucléaire (IPN)
567 from CNRS/IN2P3 in Orsay. The research leading to these results have re-
568 ceived funding from the European Research Council under the European
569 Unions Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007- 2013)/ERC grant agree-
570 ments n° 335593 and 617156. One of the authors, T.M., received funding
571 from: Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO) under contract n° 133487 and
572 from the European Commission (EU-MSCA, MagicTin project) under con-

tract n° 661777. The research and development of the GET system was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) in France under contract n° ANR-09-BLAN-0203-02 and the National Science Foundation (NSF) in the United States.

References

- [1] G. D. Alkhazov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2313 (1997)
- [2] Y. Mizoi *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 431, 112 (1999)
- [3] C. E. Demonchy *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 583, 341 (2007)
- [4] K. Miernik *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 581, 194 (2007)
- [5] B. Blank *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 613, 65 (2010)
- [6] S. Beceiro-Novo *et al.*, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 84, 124 (2015)
- [7] Y. Giomataris *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 376, 29 (1996)
- [8] Y. Giomataris *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 560, 405 (2006)
- [9] F. Sauli, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 386, 531 (1997)
- [10] F. Sauli, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 805, 2 (2016)
- [11] W. Mittig *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 784, 494 (2015)
- [12] J. Pancin *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 735, 532 (2014)
- [13] E.C. Pollacco *et al.*, To be submitted to Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A
- [14] G. Wittwer and F. Saillant and M. Blaizot and G. F. Grinyer and B. Raine and C. Belkhiria and S. Primault and C. Gueye, Real Time Conference RTC 2014 (2014)
- [15] X. Grave and R. Canedo and J. F. Clavelin and S. Du and E. Legay, Real Time Conference RTC 2005 (2005)

- 599 [16] B. Mauss and T. Roger and J. Pancin and S. Damoy and G.F. Grinyer,
600 accepted for publication in EPJ Web of Conferences
- 601 [17] S.F. Biagi, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 421, 234 (1999)
- 602 [18] E. Durand,Électrostatique Vols. 1 & 2, Masson (1964)
- 603 [19] R. Veenhof, Garfield, <http://garfield.web.cern.ch/garfield>
- 604 [20] T. Roger *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 638, 134 (2011)
- 605 [21] J. Giovinazzo *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 840, 15 (2016)
- 606 [22] J. F. Ziegler and M. D. Ziegler and J. P. Biersack, Nucl. Instrum. Meth.
607 Phys. Res. B 268, 1818 (2010)
- 608 [23] D. Suzuki *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 691, 39 (2012)
- 609 [24] D. Suzuki *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. A 660, 64 (2011)
- 610 [25] M. Vandebrouck *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C 92, 024316 (2015)

Figure 11: (Color Online) 3D events recorded by the ACTAR TPC demonstrator during the ^{58}Ni and the ^{24}Mg beam tests. The charge projection is plotted using a violet-to-red color palette, while the third dimension (time) is indicated on the voxels using a pink-to-blue color palette. The left two plots show pileup events while the right two plots show multi-particle final state tracking capabilities.