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By including the full pressure tensor dynamics in a fluid plasma model, we show that a sheared velocity field can provide an effective mechanism that makes the initial isotropic pressure nongyrotropic. This is distinct from the usual gyrotropic anisotropy related to the fluid compressibility and usually accounted for in double-adiabatic models. We determine the time evolution of the pressure agyrotropy and discuss how the propagation of “magnetoelastic perturbations” can affect the pressure tensor anisotropization and its spatial filamentation, which are due to the action of both the magnetic field and the flow strain tensor. We support this analysis with a numerical integration of the nonlinear equations describing the pressure tensor evolution.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.93.053203

I. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this article is to show that a sheared velocity field in a weakly collisional, magnetized plasma drives a macroscopic pressure anisotropization in the plane of the velocity strain tensor. This represents a general mechanism when collisional relaxation is either absent or slow that causes part of the kinetic energy of the plasma flow to be locally transformed into anisotropic “internal energy.” This energy conversion implies that shear flows do not affect the plasma dynamics only through the fluid destabilization of Kelvin-Helmholtz (KH) instabilities [1]. We discuss in particular the role of the rate of shear in the near-Earth plasma-sheet profile prior to a substorm expansion. In addition, in a fast solar wind [20], occasionally exhibiting [8–15] pressure agyrotropy $\hat{A}^{\text{agy}}$. An example is provided by the distribution functions of ions flowing out into the upstream solar wind within a magnetic flux tube [11]. The generation of nongyrotropic anisotropy by a shear flow was noted in a Vlasov plasma [22,23], where its competition with secondary anisotropy-driven instabilities was discussed. A velocity shear plays an important role in the enhancement of a variety of pressure anisotropy-related plasma instabilities, such as the ion-Weibel modes in the geomagnetic tail, whose threshold is known [24] to be lowered by the presence of a velocity shear in the near-Earth plasma-sheet profile prior to a substorm expansion. In addition, in a fast solar wind [18] and in “space simulation experiments” [19] multipeaked particle distribution functions turn out to be correlated with the magnitude of the gyrotropic anisotropy of the core protons, which is generally otherwise interpreted [20] within the CGL framework.

The anisotropization mechanism, discussed, for the sake of simplicity, in this paper for ions, can obviously be extended to the generation of a nongyrotropic electron pressure tensor. The latter has been indicated as the dominant nonideal term in Ohm’s law driving magnetic reconnection in low-collisionality regimes [25–27]. Nongyrotropic electron distributions have been observed in the magnetopause [12–14] next to $X$ and $O$ points in the reconnection diffusion region, which are

anisotropy meant here, namely, when the velocity field is not statistically invariant under rotations and reflections, and it is known how this symmetry is broken, e.g., by a KH-unstable velocity shear [4] or by a Von Karman flow [5]. On the other hand, in magnetized plasmas pressure anisotropy is mostly meant as gyrotropic in the CGL sense [6]. Although the model we discuss also accounts for gyrotropic anisotropy, as first shown in Ref. [7], which is due to compressibility effects when heat transfer mechanisms are disregarded, here we focus on a possible explanation of the source of the nongyrotropic pressure anisotropy.

This mechanism can affect both the onset and the development of shear-induced fluid instabilities (e.g., KH) in plasmas and of anisotropic turbulence and is relevant to the understanding of the origin of some of the non-Maxwellian states, evidenced both in Vlasov simulations [8,9] and in experiments [10–21], occasionally exhibiting [8–15] pressure agyrotropy $\hat{A}^{\text{agy}}$. An example is provided by the distribution functions of ions flowing out into the upstream solar wind within a magnetic flux tube [11]. The generation of nongyrotropic anisotropy by a shear flow was noted in a Vlasov plasma [22,23], where its competition with secondary anisotropy-driven instabilities was discussed. A velocity shear plays an important role in the enhancement of a variety of pressure anisotropy-related plasma instabilities, such as the ion-Weibel modes in the geomagnetic tail, whose threshold is known [24] to be lowered by the presence of a velocity shear in the near-Earth plasma-sheet profile prior to a substorm expansion. In addition, in a fast solar wind [18] and in “space simulation experiments” [19] multipeaked particle distribution functions turn out to be correlated with the magnitude of the gyrotropic anisotropy of the core protons, which is generally otherwise interpreted [20] within the CGL framework.

The anisotropization mechanism, discussed, for the sake of simplicity, in this paper for ions, can obviously be extended to the generation of a nongyrotropic electron pressure tensor. The latter has been indicated as the dominant nonideal term in Ohm’s law driving magnetic reconnection in low-collisionality regimes [25–27]. Nongyrotropic electron distributions have been observed in the magnetopause [12–14] next to $X$ and $O$ points in the reconnection diffusion region, which are
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known to be hyperbolic points for convection flows [28]. The
 generation of pressure anisotropy near a steady reconnecting
X point due to the local velocity shear was noted in [29],
though with an analysis different from the one presented here.
Moreover, in the nonlinear stage of the current-filamentation
instability arising in the presence of two opposite cold electron
beams, an anisotropic pressure tensor was shown to form and
to decrease the threshold of and to increase the growth rate
of the reconnection instability developing on the shoulder
of the magnetic structures generated by current-filamentation
instability [30], which are also encountered in the presence
of radially inhomogeneous beams such as in high-intensity
laser-plasma interactions [31] and are measured in laboratory
experiments [32].

We introduce the equations of the model in Sec. II and an-
alyze the pressure tensor dynamics in Sec. III, where we show
the role of the traceless strain in modifying the internal energy
of the plasma and in generating pressure anisotropy from an
initially isotropic state. The description of the shear-induced
anisotropization mechanism takes a particularly simple form
when assuming invariance along the initial magnetic field, as
is the case in all the examples considered in the article, and
allows for a polar coordinate representation of the in-plane
pressure tensor in terms of the local, instantaneous, normalized
pressure tensor $A^{\|}$ of the in-plane internal energy and of the
angle of rotation of the principal axes of the pressure tensor
(Sec. IIIA). Two examples of this analysis are then considered.
First, the solutions of the pressure tensor equation are found
by assuming an external forcing which makes the magnetic
and velocity fields constant in time (Sec. IV). Second, the
generation of both agyrotropic and gyrotropic anisotropy is
evidenced by numerical integration of the full set of governing
equations, and the numerical results are interpreted in terms of
the angle of rotation of the principal axes of the pressure tensor
(Sec. IIIA) and in terms of the normal modes which can propagate in the system [3] (Sec. V). The results are discussed and summarized in Sec. VI.

II. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

We start from the two-fluid equations of a collisionless
magnetized plasma obtained from the moments of the
Vlasov equation [3,7,33] coupled to Maxwell’s equations,
where we have assumed quasi-neutrality and neglected the
displacement current. We simplify the electron dynamics by
taking $m_e/m_i \to 0$ and by neglecting the electron temperature,
whereas the full ion pressure tensor, defined as $\Pi \equiv \iint f_i(x,v,t) n m_i u_i u_i d^3v$, with $f_i(x,v,t)$ ion distribution
function, $n$ ion density, and $u_i$ ion fluid velocity, contributes to the
electrostatic dynamics:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = - \nabla \cdot (nu), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u = \frac{J \times B}{nnm_i c} \equiv \nabla \cdot \Pi, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left( \frac{u - J/(nc)}{c} \times B \right).$$

The magnetic field evolves according to the ideal Hall-MHD
duction equation,

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left( \frac{u - J/(nc)}{c} \times B \right),$$

while the time evolution of the ion pressure tensor $\Pi$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial t} + (u \cdot \nabla) \Pi + (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Pi + (\nabla u) \cdot \Pi + (\nabla u)^T \Pi + (\nabla u)^T \Pi = \Omega_{\Pi}(\Pi \times B + B \times \Pi).$$

III. ROLE OF THE VELOCITY STRAIN

Defining the matrices $B_{ij} \equiv \Omega_{\Pi} \varepsilon_{ijm} b_m$ and $W_{ij} \equiv \Omega_{\Pi}(\partial_i u_j - \partial_j u_i)/2$, which describe the rotation induced by the magnetic field and by the shear flow, respectively, the strain traceless matrix $D_{ij} \equiv \Omega_{\Pi}(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i)/2 + \Omega_{\Pi} C_{ij}$, the volumetric compressibility in three-dimensional space $C \equiv -\partial_i u_i/3$, and the derivative $d/dt \equiv \partial_t + u_i \partial_i$, Eq. (3) can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Pi = [B + W, \Pi] - [D, \Pi] + 5C \Pi,$$

where $[,]$ denotes the commutator and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ the anticommutator. The compressibility term $C$ acts isotropically on $\Pi$, while the commutator term shows that the magnetic field $B$ and the flow vorticity $\omega (\partial_i u_j - \partial_j u_i)$ combine to make $\Pi$ rotate around the axis of $B + W$. The periodic components rotate at twice the cyclotron frequency in the absence of vorticity or at twice the fluid rotation frequency in the vanishing magnetic-field limit. If the axes of $B$ and $W$ are aligned, the two frequencies add up if $B \cdot \omega > 0$ and subtract if $B \cdot \omega < 0$. The role of this asymmetry was noted in a CGL-FLR framework [39], in the evolution of the KH developing at the dusk and dawn flanks of planetary or cometary magnetospheres.

It also intervenes in the onset of the shear-induced mechanism
which drives the anisotropization that is described below.

D. DEL SARTO, F. PEGORARO, AND F. CALIFANO

PHYSICAL REVIEW E 93, 053203 (2016)
The traceless strain $\mathbf{D}$ can modify the internal energy of the plasma (i.e., $1/2$ the trace of $\Pi$) independently of isotropic compressions,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\text{tr}(\Pi) = -2\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}\Pi) + 5C\text{tr}(\Pi).$$

(6)

and, through the anticommutator term of Eq. (5), can induce both gyrotropic and nongyrotropic pressure anisotropization, as can be shown by projecting the pressure tensor $\Pi$ along the rotation axis of $\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{W}$ and onto the perpendicular plane.

A. Agyrotropy generation

In the examples discussed in this article we consider a uniform initial magnetic field directed along the $z$ axis and assume that all quantities are constant along $z$ ($\partial_z = 0$). In this geometry the Hall term in Eq. (2) vanishes identically [3], so that the magnetic field remains aligned along $z$, though possibly evolving in magnitude because of the three-dimensional compressibility term. In this limit the dynamics of the pressure tensor $\Pi_{\perp}$ in the $x$-$y$ plane does not depend on the remaining components and we can thus project Eq. (3) onto this plane. In doing so, in order to keep the strain $\mathbf{D}$ traceless, it is convenient to adopt a two-dimensional, in-plane, compressibility $C_{\perp}$, defined as

$$C_{\perp} \equiv -(\partial_k u_k)/2$$

with $k = x, y$. Then, in lieu of Eq. (5), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Pi_{\perp} = [\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{W}, \Pi_{\perp}] - \{\mathbf{D}, \Pi_{\perp}\} + 4C_{\perp} \Pi_{\perp},$$

(7)

where all operators can be written as $2 \times 2$ matrices and

$$\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{W} = (\Omega_e + \omega_r/2)\mathbf{L}$$

with $\Omega_e$ is the cyclotron frequency, $\omega_r$ the $z$ component of the vorticity, and $\mathbf{L}$ the unitary antisymmetric rotation matrix with $L_{xy} = L_{yx} = 0$ and $L_{xx} = L_{yy} = 1$. Defining the agyrotropic part of the perpendicular pressure tensor as $A^{\perp} \equiv \Pi_{\perp} - \text{tr}(\Pi_{\perp}) I/2$, from Eq. (7) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}A^{\perp} = [\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{W}, A^{\perp}] - \{\mathbf{D}, A^{\perp}\} + 4C_{\perp} A^{\perp}$$

$$+ I\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}A^{\perp}) - \mathbf{D}\text{tr}(\Pi_{\perp}).$$

(8)

It is convenient to define a normalized agyrotropy $\tilde{A}^{\perp} (0 \leq \tilde{A}^{\perp} < 1)$ which is related to the eigenvalues $\pm A^{\perp}$ of the agyrotropic part of the perpendicular pressure tensor by

$$\tilde{A}^{\perp} \equiv \frac{2A^{\perp}}{\text{tr}(\Pi_{\perp})}.$$

(9)

Introducing polar coordinates according to

$$\Pi_{xy} = A^{\perp} \sin 2\theta, \quad \Pi_{xx} = \Pi_{yy} = \frac{A^{\perp}}{2} \cos 2\theta,$$

(10)

$$D_{xy} = D \sin 2\phi, \quad D_{xx} = D_{yy} = D \cos 2\phi,$$

(11)

we can rewrite Eq. (7) as a system of three coupled scalar equations,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\text{tr}(\Pi_{\perp}) = -4A^{\perp} D \cos[2(\theta - \phi)] + 4C\text{tr}(\Pi_{\perp}),$$

(12)

$$\frac{dA^{\perp}}{dt} = -D\text{tr}(\Pi_{\perp}) \cos[2(\theta - \phi)] + 4CA^{\perp},$$

(13)

$$\frac{2\theta}{dt} = -(2\Omega_e + \omega_r) + D\text{tr}(\Pi_{\perp}) \sin[2(\theta - \phi)].$$

(14)

Only the anticommutator and the compression term in Eq. (7) contribute to the right-hand side (r.h.s.) of Eqs. (12) and (13) and to the second r.h.s. term in Eq. (14), whereas the commutator is responsible for the first r.h.s. term in Eq. (14).

If $A^{\perp} = 0$ at $t = 0$ (initial in-plane pressure isotropy), the angle $\theta(x,0)$ is undefined but the relative phase between $\theta(x,0)$ and $\phi(x,0)$ can be determined by direct comparison of the components of Eq. (7) written in polar and in Cartesian coordinates, which yields $\theta(x,0) = \phi(x,0) + \pi/2$.

Equation (14) shows that the rotation frequency of the agyrotropic components of the perpendicular pressure tensor is modified by the velocity strain through a term that depends on $\sin[2(\theta - \phi)]$. Even when the strain contribution in Eq. (14) remains smaller than the $2\Omega_e + \omega_r$ term, i.e., when $d\theta/dt$ never vanishes and there is no inversion of the rotation, the strain term can lead to a nonzero time average of the agyrotropic pressure tensor components in Eq. (11). For example, it is easily seen that if we take $\phi$ and the ratio $D\text{tr}(\Pi_{\perp})/A^{\perp}$ to be nearly constant over a rotation period, then $\langle\sin[2(\theta - \phi)]\rangle \neq 0$, while $\langle\cos[2(\theta - \phi)]\rangle = 0$. Here $\langle \rangle$ denotes the time average over a rotation period. This indicates that a slowly varying velocity strain induces a net agyrotropy in the in-plane pressure with an angular shift of $\pi/2$.

From Eqs. (12) and (13) we obtain the evolution of the normalized agyrotropy,

$$\frac{d\tilde{A}^{\perp}}{dt} = 2D(\tilde{A}^{\perp})^2 - 11\cos[2(\theta - \phi)],$$

(15)

which is independent of the compressibility term. Inspection of Eqs. (13) and (14) shows that both $A^{\perp}$ and $\tilde{A}^{\perp}$ increase when the principal axes of $\Pi_{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{D}$ are dephased by an angle comprised between $\pi/4$ and $\pi/2$. The maximum rate of increase is obtained when the minor axis of the perpendicular pressure tensor is aligned with the major axis of the traceless strain (and vice versa).

The above equations must be supplemented by the equations for the plasma fluid velocity $w$ in the $x$-$y$ plane and for the $z$ component of the magnetic field $B$ as given in Eqs. (1) and (2).

IV. FORCED SOLUTIONS

In order to obtain explicit solutions to the system of equations describing the growth of agyrotropy derived in Sec. IIIA, as the first step we consider a model plasma configuration with an incompressible shear flow $u_0(x)$ constant in time (energy is thus constantly injected into the system) in the presence of a uniform and constant magnetic field along the $z$ axis. In this model configuration the velocity strain and the vorticity have the same magnitude. Since $\mathbf{B}$ is uniform in space, the axes of $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ are aligned along $z$, and $\mathbf{D}$ has no $z$ components, the conditions are as described in Sec. IIIA with the additional simplification that Eq. (7) reduces to a linear system of constant coefficient equations. It is thus convenient to follow an eigenvalue analysis so as to identify oscillatory and purely growing regimes. We find three eigenvalues, $\lambda_0 = 0$, which corresponds to a stationary agyrotropic configuration with

$$\Pi_{\perp}^0 = \Omega(x), \quad \Pi_{\perp z}^0 = 0,$$

(16)
FIG. 1. Evolution of $\Pi_{yy}(x,t)$ for $B = B_0 e_z$ and constant $u = (0, V_0 \cos(x/d), 0)$, $\Omega, \tau_H = 1$, and $V_0 = -1.5 c_A$. Both the exponential growth ($\Omega'(x) > 0$) and the spatial filamentation of the oscillating solutions ($\Omega'(x) < 0$) are visible.

and two oscillatory or growing modes $\gamma_{\pm} = \pm 2i \sqrt{\Omega_1 \Omega'(x)}$ with “polarizations”

$$\Pi_{yy}^{\pm} = \frac{\Omega'(x)}{\Omega_c}, \quad \Pi_{xx}^{\pm} = \pm i \sqrt{\frac{\Omega'(x)}{\Omega_c}}. \quad (17)$$

Here $\Omega'(x) \equiv \Omega_1 + \partial_x u_0^y(x)$. Provided $\Omega'(x) > 0$, the $\gamma_0$ mode can describe an equilibrium solution of Eq. (5) (in agreement with the self-consistent equilibria discussed in [40]), $\Pi_{yy} / \Pi_{xx} = \Omega'(x) / \Omega_c$ and $\Pi_{xy} = 0$. The $\gamma_{\pm}$ modes represent either oscillations or growing and damped modes, depending on the sign of $\Omega'(x)$. For $\Omega'(x) > 0$ the perpendicular pressure tensor components of an initial isotropic state with $\Pi_{ij}(x,0) = \Pi_{yy}(x,0) = P_\perp(x)$ oscillate in time around a mean value given by

$$\langle \Pi_{yy}(x,t) \rangle = \frac{\Omega'(x)}{\Omega_c} \langle \Pi_{xx}(x,t) \rangle = \frac{(\Omega'(x) + \Omega_c) P_\perp(x)}{2 \Omega_c}, \quad (18)$$

and $\langle \Pi_{xy}(x,t) \rangle = 0$, which is consistent with the comment on the rotation averages given following Eq. (14) in Sec. III A. The amplitude of the oscillations of $\Pi_{xy}(x,t)$ is given by $\partial_x u_0^y(x) P_{\perp}(x,0)/(4 \Omega_c)$. In Fig. 1 the profile of $\Pi_{yy}(x,t)$ is shown at different times, for an initial pressure tensor $\Pi_{ij} = \delta_{ij}, B_0^0 = 1$ and $u_0^y = V_0 \cos(x)$ with $V_0 = -1.5$ and $k = 1$. An important

FIG. 2. Profiles of (a) $u_y(x,t)$ and (b) its Fourier spectrum, for $c_H = c_\perp = c_A = 1$; times are in units of $\tau_H = \tau_B$.

FIG. 3. Profiles of (a) $u_x(x,t)$ and (b) its Fourier spectrum, for $c_H = c_\perp = c_A = 1$; times are in units of $\tau_H = \tau_B$. 
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feature caused by the spatial inhomogeneity of the shear flow is the strongly inhomogeneous growth of the components of the pressure tensor as regions where the evolution is oscillatory alternate, depending on the local sign of Ω1Ω⊥, with regions of exponential growth occurring over a time scale τH = (kV0)^{-1}. This gives rise to a spatially filamented pressure tensor. In this example, when the instability condition Ω1Ω⊥ < 0 is satisfied, it is easy to verify both from Eq. (17) and from Eqs. (12) and (13) that the trace of Π⊥ and the non-normalized agyrotropy A^⊥ tends asymptotically to 1.

V. SELF-CONSISTENT NUMERICAL SOLUTIONS

In this section we consider the time evolution of the pressure tensor in the self-consistent case, in which the flow and the electromagnetic fields evolve according to Eqs. (1)–(3): here the anisotropization of the pressure tensor caused by the presence of an initially imposed shear flow is limited by the action of the pressure tensor on the plasma flow, which reduces its shear, and by the excitation of nonlinear magnetoelastic perturbations, which tend to redistribute the shear of the velocity flow. This system conserves the total energy

\[ E^{tot} = \int dx \left( \frac{nm u^2}{2} + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} + \frac{tr(\Pi)}{2} \right) \]  

and depends on three dimensionless parameters, τH/τB = (c_A/c_H) (L_H/d_i), (c_A/c_H)^2, and (c_i/c_A)^2, with L_H the scale length of the configuration, c_A the Alfvén velocity, c_H = L_H/τH a measure of the flow velocity, d_i ≡ c_A/Ω, the ion skin depth, and c_i^2 ≡ P_i/(nm_i) = c_s^2/2, with c_s, the “sound” velocity evaluated with respect to the initial ion pressure, assumed isotropic in the plane perpendicular to B [3]. Only two parameters, \( t_u/\tau_s \) and (c_i/c_A)^2, rule the linear dynamics.

The nonlinear self-consistent case has been integrated numerically starting from an isotropic initial condition with homogeneous density, B = B_0 e_z and \( u = u^0(x)e_y \), varying the value of the ratios of the three dimensionless parameters. In Figs. 2–7 we consider the case with \( u^0(x) = \)

FIG. 4. Spatial profiles of the local difference from the initial value for (a–c) \( \delta \Pi_{xx}(x,t) \equiv \Pi_{xx}(x,t) - \Pi_{xx}(x,0) \) (solid lines) and \( \delta \Pi_{yy}(x,t) \equiv \Pi_{yy}(x,t) - \Pi_{yy}(x,0) \) (dash-dotted lines). The initial pressures are uniform and isotropic (\( \Pi^0_c = \delta_j \)) with \( c_i/c_A = 1 \) and \( L_H = d_i \); times are in units of \( \tau_H \). From top to bottom, the values \( \tau_H/\tau_B = c_A/c_H = 0.1, 1, \) and 10 correspond to the pairs of frames in each row, i.e., to (a) and (d), to (b) and (e), and to (c) and (f), respectively. In (c) and (f) the magnetosonic waves leave the box earlier and their amplitude decreases because of the increased value of \( B_0 \) (cf. LFB polarization).
\(V_0 \tanh(x/d_i) / \cosh^2(x/d_i)\) and \(\tau_H/\tau_B = c_A/c_H = c_{\perp}/c_H = 1\). Note that, though its characteristic scale length is chosen of the order \(d_i\), the initial Fourier spectrum peaks around \(kd_i \lesssim 1\) (Fig. 2).

The results obtained show a wave-like behavior of the initial spatially localized velocity that can be qualitatively accounted for by interpreting the shear velocity \(u_{\delta i}(x)\) as an initial perturbation described as a superposition of magnetoelastic modes. These oscillatory modes, with perturbed velocities in the \(x\)-\(y\) plane, propagating along the \(x\) axis are obtained by solving the linearized Eqs. (1), (2), and (7) around a steady spatially homogeneous equilibrium with a uniform magnetic field in the \(z\) direction. As detailed in Ref. [3] this system of linearized equations describes two oscillation branches: a low-frequency branch (LFB), which is an extension of the standard magnetosonic mode, and a high-frequency branch (HFB), which is induced by the dynamics of the pressure tensor. To leading order in \(kd_i \ll 1\), the LFB and HFB have dispersion relations

\[
\omega_i^2 \approx k^2 \left( c_A^2 + 2c_{\perp}^2 \right), \quad \omega_i^2 \approx 4\Omega^2 + 2k^2c_{\perp}^2
\]

and polarization vector components \((1, i\sigma(\epsilon))_h \) and \((1, -i)_h\) in the \((u_x, u_y)\) basis, with \(\epsilon \sim k\omega^2/(2\Omega, v_{g,h})\) and \(v_{g,l} \sim (c_A^2 + 2c_{\perp}^2)^{1/2}\) group velocity of the LFB. Then, ordering \(c_{\perp} \sim c_A\), the LFB polarization vector results, \((1, i\sigma(\epsilon))_h\). This implies that the chosen initial perturbation can be interpreted as a superposition of the two branches with equal and opposite \(u_x\) amplitudes and that the time evolution of \(u_{\delta i}(x)\) is mainly determined by that of the HFB, whose group velocity for \(kd_i \ll 1\), \(v_{g,h} \approx (kd_i)c_{\perp}^2/c_A\), decreases linearly with \(B_0\) and vanishes for \(k \to 0\). On the contrary, both branches contribute to the evolution of \(u_i(x)\), where the initial cancellation is removed as time evolves with the LFB component propagating outwards and the HFB essentially mirroring (Fig. 3) the behavior of \(u_i\) displayed in Fig. 2. This is consistent with the results of the numerical integration and explains why the normalized pressure anisotropy \(\hat{A}^{\perp}\), which in our geometry is mainly related to the spatial inhomogeneity of \(u_{\delta i}(x)\), tends to remain in the original position and not to be carried away at the Alvénic group velocity of the LFB, at least until small spatial scales are formed, which are instead transported away efficiently by the HFB. This localization around \(x \approx 0\) is evident in the time evolution of the profile along \(x\) of all the components of \(\Pi\), as shown in Fig. 4 for different values of the characteristic parameters. The fast local anisotropization of the \(\Pi_{\perp\perp}\) pressure components next to \(x \approx 0\), consistent with the analysis presented in Sec. III A and Sec. IV, as well as its relative persistence in time, is shown in Fig. 5 for the cases corresponding to Figs. 4(a) and 4(c) and in Fig. 6(b) for the case in Fig. 4(b).

Considering now more specifically the region near \(x \approx 0\), Fig. 7(a) shows, for \(\tau_H/\tau_B = 1\), the generation of the initial anisotropic over a time scale \(\sim L_H/c_H\), in agreement with Eqs. (8) and (13), followed by oscillations at \(\sim 2\omega_{\perp}\), consistent with Eq. (14), of the agyrotropic components of the pressure tensor around the mean value \(\bar{A}^{\perp} \approx -0.45\) over several \((kc_{\perp})^2\) times. This indicates that the local agyrotropic anisotropy is long-lived in comparison to the characteristic dynamical time scales. In fact, in the case considered, only a fraction (\(\lesssim kd_i\)) of the initial anisotropy \(u_{\delta i}(x)\) is redistributed by the magnetosonic branch on the characteristic Alvén time of the configuration, while the HFB takes a time \(d_i/v_{g,h} \approx c_{\perp}/(kkc_{\perp}^2) \gg d_i/c_A = \tau_A\) to displace the initial velocity profile by a distance equal to its characteristic size, \(d_i\). The oscillations of \(\bar{A}^{\perp}\) are related by Eqs. (9) and (10) to the oscillations of \(\Pi_{\perp\perp}\) and \(\Pi_{yy}\), shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) for the same parameters, and also visible as spatial oscillations in the corresponding profiles along \(x\), shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(e) at some specific times.

For longer times the interplay between the filamentation shown in Fig. 1 and the propagation of disturbances of the pressure tensor result in the formation of fine-scale spatial structures. An example of early formation of such small-scale structures, corresponding to the steepening of the propagating perturbations, is visible in Figs. 4(b) and 4(e) next to \(x \approx 10.5d_i\), at about \(t \approx 5.5\tau_H\).

Finally, the numerical results show that, in addition to the agyrotropic anisotropy, a gyrotropic anisotropy is also generated by the initial shear velocity \(u_{\delta i}\). This can be understood within the magnetoelastic wave description, by noting that compressible fluctuations of \(u_x\) naturally develop from the
initially incompressible velocity profile. These induce, for both the LFB and the HFB, isothermal fluctuations of the parallel pressure [3] consistent with the magnetosonic polarization $\frac{\delta \Pi_{xx}}{\Pi_{xx}} = \frac{\delta B_z}{B_0}$ (not shown here). In Fig. 7(b) oscillations at $\sim 2\Omega_c$ near $x \simeq 0$ of the gyrotropic anisotropy around a mean value of $A^{\text{gyr}} \simeq 1.05$ are shown for $\tau_A/\tau_B = 1$.

These are related by $A^{\text{gyr}} \equiv 2\Pi_{zz}/\text{tr}[\Pi_{\perp}]$ to the oscillations of components $\Pi_{xx}$, $\Pi_{yy}$, and $\Pi_{zz}$, shown in Fig. 6 [cf. also Figs. 4(b) and 4(e)].

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have shown that the spatial inhomogeneity of a shear flow is transferred to a pressure anisotropy that has both a gyrotropic and a nongyrotropic component. We have investigated this process both analytically and numerically.

A consequence of this analysis that is directly relevant to kinetic plasma simulations is the recognition of the need to start from an initial anisotropic distribution function in order to initialize these simulations correctly in the presence of a velocity shear [41]. In fact isotropic “MHD-type” equilibria cease to be equilibria in the presence of a stationary shear flow where nongyrotropic configurations [38,40] are instead required. This can affect the onset and development of anisotropy-driven or shear-driven instabilities, such as the KH. In fact, while the anisotropization mechanism occurs on the $\tau_H$ scale, the anisotropization induced by a velocity shear with a spectral distribution at $kd_i \lesssim 1$ is stable over a time $\sim c_A/(kc_i^2)$. On
the other hand, the KH instability linear growth rate is on a $\tau_g$ time and so is that of the ion-Weibel mode [24] at $kd \ll 1$, while that of the “fluid” mirror instability [42] is $\sim (k_c A)^{-1}$. This has a direct implication for turbulence, where small-scale spatial inhomogeneities are naturally developed during the direct cascade. Since non-negligible discrepancies with respect to the CGL closure become important when $\tau_H \Omega_e \sim 1$, for $c_H \sim c_A$ (Alfvénic turbulence) pressure anisotropies in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field can be expected when velocity inhomogeneities are generated at a scale $L_H \sim d_i$. The resulting nongyrotropic state can be maintained due to the competition, noted in Refs. [22] and [23], between an external forcing ensuring the maintenance of the shear flow (e.g., turbulent convection) and secondary instabilities feeding on the pressure anisotropies.

Note added in proof. Recently an article was published [43] that presents numerical PIC-Vlasov-hybrid simulations of two-dimensional turbulence that appear to support the analysis that we have presented.
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