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HIGHLIGHTS
• Estimation of DMP, DEP, DiBP, DnBP, BzBP and DEHP concentration at Bay of Marseille.
• One year PAEs observations (2014) in the Bay of Marseille.
• Variation of PAE composition from surface to the bottom water.
• High PAEs concentration close to deep and bottom water.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT
Phthalic Acid Esters (PAEs) are a group of emerging organic contaminants that have become a serious issue because of their ubiquitous presence and hazardous impact on the marine environment worldwide. Seawater samples were collected monthly from December 2013 to November 2014 in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea (Marseille Bay). The samples were analyzed for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) as well as the molecular distribution of dissolved PAEs by using solid phase extraction followed by gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analyses. The results demonstrated the occurrence of six PAEs, including dimethyl phthalate (DMP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP), benzylbutyl phthalate (BzBP) and diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP), with total concentrations ranging from 130 to 1330 ng L⁻¹ (av. 522 ng L⁻¹).

In Marseille Bay, the highest concentrations were detected in the bottom water from June to November 2014 and in the whole water column during the winter mixing period. This result suggests that resuspension of PAE-rich sediment, in relation to the accumulation of plastic debris above the seabed, or the higher degradation rate in the upper layer of the water column, plays a significant role in the PAE dynamics in coastal water. DEHP was the most abundant PAE in all of the surface samples and the summer bottom samples, followed by DiBP and DnBP, which also represent the largest fractions in the other bottom samples.

1. Introduction
Phthalates or phthalic acid esters (PAEs) are widely used in the manufacture and processing of plastic products such as plasticizers in a very broad range of industrial applications (Serôdio and Nogueira, 2006; Net...
et al., 2015a). PAEs account for approximately 92% of produced plasticizers and represent the most produced and consumed plasticizers worldwide (Rahman and Brazel, 2004; He et al., 2013) since these compounds are used to improve the flexibility of polyvinyl chloride (PVC) resins (Kimber and Dearman, 2010; Net et al., 2015b). Other industrial applications include the manufacturing of cosmetics, insect repellents, insecticide carriers and propellants (Net et al., 2015a; Net et al., 2015b; Xie et al., 2007). PAEs of lower molecular weight (LMW-PAEs: C3-C6) are used as essential components of solvents, adhesives, waxes, pharmaceutical products, insecticide materials and cosmetics, whereas PAEs of higher molecular weight (HMW-PAEs: C7-C13) are instead used as additives to improve the flexibility and handling of industrial materials (Holahan and Smith, 2015; IARC, 2000; Halden, 2010). These compounds are suspected to enter the environment directly as emissions from household and industrial products, as released by wastewater from production and processing activity or the use and disposal of materials (Andrady, 2011).

Previous studies have shown that PAEs are endocrine-disrupting chemicals with the capability of inducing significant effects on the reproduction of various organisms, including protozoans, mollusks, crustaceans, fishes and invertebrates (Andrady, 2011), on the ecosystem functioning (Kolena et al., 2014) and on human obesity and cancer development (Okamoto et al., 2011; Howdeshell et al., 2008; Buckley et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). Therefore, PAEs have become a matter of concern for their potential risk to health and the environment. Six PAEs (dimethyl phthalate (DMP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP), benzylbutyl phthalate (BzBP), diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) and di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP)) have been included as priority pollutants of the US-EPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2014), the European Union (EU) (Commission Staff Working Document on the Implementation of the Community Strategy for Endocrine Disrupters–A Range of Substances Suspected of Interfering with the Hormone System of Humans and Wildlife, 2007) and the Chinese water list (National Standard of the People’s Republic of China, 2006). Accordingly, monitoring PAEs in the major environmental matrices has become a priority. After their introduction in the environment, they can reach marine water by wastewater and riverine inputs, and end up in the sediment via association with humic acid and adsorption onto particles and finally end up in the sediment (Bauer et al., 1998). Due to the physiochemical properties and biogeochemical cycling of PAEs, they have been detected in food, air, water, soil and sediment (Net et al., 2015b; Turner and Rawling, 2000; Fromme et al., 2002; Horn et al., 2004; Brossa et al., 2005; Teil et al., 2007; Xie et al., 2005; Xie et al., 2006; Zeng et al., 2008; Ferreria and Morita, 2012; Fu et al., 2009; Fu et al., 2013). However, there is a lack of data related to their sources, distribution in the whole water column as well as their transfer mechanisms from the molecular state to different living species.

The Mediterranean Sea being a semi-enclosed basin with slow turn-over time of ~80 years implies a sensitive response to anthropogenic impact (The Mermex Group, 2011). Mediterranean rivers and primarily the Rhone River supply large amounts of freshwater, particles and organic carbon to the Mediterranean Sea (Sempéré et al., 2000; Panagiotopoulos et al., 2012). There is extensive urbanization particularly along the coastline as well as a number of highly industrialized spots all along the Mediterranean basin that are concentrated mainly in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea. All these activities as well as agricultural and domestic activities (The Mermex Group, 2011; UNEP Chemicals, 2002) generate a large volume of wastewater, which provides marine litter, microplastics (Deudero and Alomar, 2015; Collignon et al., 2012; Cozar et al., 2015; Faure et al., 2015; Pedrotti et al., 2016; Sauria et al., 2016; Ruiz-Orenjo et al., 2016; Schmidt et al., submitted), anthropogenic molecules (Sicre et al., 2008; Sempéré et al., in press), persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and related contaminants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and polychlorinated dibeno-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) (The Mermex Group, 2011; Berrojalbiz et al., 2011; Castro-Jimenez et al., 2010) that impact the coastal marine ecosystems in unknown proportion. However, only a few studies have reported the occurrence of individual phthalates in the Mediterranean Sea (Brossa et al., 2005; Sanchez-Avila et al., 2012), and there is only one study dealing with the distribution of a series of PAEs in the Mediterranean basin (Palselli et al., in press). The objectives of the present study are to investigate the composition and distribution of PAEs in the NW Mediterranean coastal seawater column and to ascertain their temporal trends on an annual basis.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area, sampling, and dissolved organic carbon analyses

Seawater samples (n = 72) were collected with R/V Antedon from the SUNMEX station (Fig. 1) in the northwestern Mediterranean Sea in Marseille Bay (43°18’N, 05°22’E) from December 2013 to November 2014 at 1.5, 5, 15 and 30 m with a bottom depth of 32–33 m with a 12-L GO-FLOC (GENERAL OCEANICS) bottle previously rinsed with 1% hydrochloric acid and ultrapure water to avoid contamination. Immediately after sampling, the seawater samples were directly transferred from the GO-FLOC into 5-L pre-combusted (450 °C for 6 h) glass bottles closed with PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene) lined screw caps, wrapped with aluminum foil and brought back to the laboratory within 4 h for processing. Four samples collected in October 2014 at Marseille Bay were already published (Palselli et al., in press). The samples were filtrated through pre-combusted (450 °C for 6 h) GF filters (70-mm filter diameter, rinsed with 2 L of Milli-Q water and 150 mL of sample prior to filtration) in a glass apparatus directly and transferred into 1-L glass bottles. After filtration, duplicate subsamples (10 mL) were collected for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) analyses with pre-combusted Pasteur pipettes, transferred into pre-combusted glass vials, poisoned with sulfuric acid to pH 2 to avoid any biological activity, closed with PTFE-lined screw caps and stored in the dark at 4 °C. DOC concentrations were measured using a Shimadzu TOC-5000 carbon analyzer (Sempéré et al., 2008). The nominal analytical precision of the procedure was within 2%. The accuracy of the instrument and the system blank were determined by analyzing reference materials (D. Hansell, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, Miami, USA), including the Deep Seawater reference (DSR) and low carbon water (LCW) reference materials. The average DOC concentrations in the DSR and LCW reference standards were 45 ± 2 μM C, n = 34 and 1 ± 0.3 μM C, n = 24, respectively.

All glassware including Pasteur pipettes, glass bottles and glass filtration apparatus was previously cleaned in 1% hydrochloric acid bath, rinsed with ultrapure water and combusted at 450 °C for 6 h. All the GC/MS and DOC seawater analyses were conducted in duplicate in the MIO laboratory in Marseille within 6 months. Filtration of samples was conducted in the MIO ISO class 6 chemistry cleanroom (temperature: 22 ± 2 °C; SAS pressure: ± 15 Pa; SAS breathing rate: 30 vol/h; lab pressure: ± 30 Pa; brewing rate: 50 vol/h).

2.2. Phthalates analyses

Seven phthalates were studied including dimethyl phthalate (DMP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), di-isobutyl phthalate (DIBP), di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP), benzylbutyl phthalate (BzBP), diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP) and di-n-octyl phthalate (DnOP) (Fig. 2). The deuterated internal standards were: DEP-d4, DnBP-d4, DEHP-d4. All native and labeled standards were of high purity grade (>98%, 2000 μg mL−1 Supelco). Stock solutions were prepared in hexane and stored in the dark at 4 °C. Working solutions (unlabeled and labeled standards) were prepared by dilution of these solutions at 20 μg mL−1. All solvents were glass-distilled grade and supplied by Rathburn Chemicals Ltd. (Walkerburn, UK). Milli-Q water was produced on-site on a Milli-Q A10 water system equipped with a Milli-Q water purification unit, and the Milli-Q water was produced on-site on a Milli-Q A10 water system equipped with a Milli-Q water purification unit. The Milli-Q water was produced on-site on a Milli-Q A10 water system equipped with a Milli-Q water purification unit.
system, Millipore (Molsheim, France) with resistivity higher than 18.2 MΩ cm (25 °C) and Total Organic Carbon <2 μg L⁻¹.

The extractions were performed following the method optimized for seawater PAE detection at trace levels (Paluselli et al., in press). PAEs were extracted by solid phase extraction (SPE) from seawater using a precombusted 6-mL glass reaction tube containing 200 mg of Oasis® HLB sorbent (Waters Corporation, 30 μm, 100 g). SPE was conducted on a Visiprep vacuum manifold from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France). Before sample extraction, SPE cartridges were three times sequentially cleaned with 5 mL of acetone, 5 mL of dichloromethane, conditioned with 5 mL of ethyl acetate, 5 mL of acetone and 5 mL of ultrapure water prior sample processing. Seawater samples were spiked (for recovery estimate) with DEP-d₄, DnBP-d₄ and DEHP-d₄ at 50, 200 and 400 ng L⁻¹, respectively, prior to loading onto SPE cartridges under

![Fig. 1. Area of study. The map (adapted from Fraysse et al., 2014) shows the sampling stations in the Gulf of Lyon: SUNMEX (43°18′N, 05°22′E; depth 32 m) in the Bay of Marseille (December 2013–November 2014). Northern current is the main general circulation feature influencing the Gulf of Lyon with a geostrophic flux (calculated to 700 dbar) that varies throughout the year in a range of 0.9–1.8 Sv with its maximum in November or December (Sempéré et al., 2000). The Rhone River is the main fresh water supplier of the Mediterranean Sea with an average flow rate of 54 ± 12 km³ year⁻¹ with maximum values in the fall period (Sempéré et al., 2000). In the studied period, the Rhone River flux ranged from 1300 to 2800 m³ s⁻¹.](image)

![Fig. 2. Chemical structure of the 6 detected PAEs. Dimethyl phthalate (DMP; MW = 194.18), Diethyl phthalate (DEP; MW = 222.24), Dibutyl phthalate (DnBP; MW = 278.34), Diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP; MW = 278.34), Benzylbutyl phthalate (BzBP; MW = 312.36) and Diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP; MW = 390.56). Figure adapted from Xie et al., 2007.](image)
vacuum at a flow rate of 5 to 10 mL min$^{-1}$. Then, 5 mL of ultrapure water was percolated to remove the remaining salt and air-dried for around 1 h under vacuum. PAEs were eluted into precolumned 10 mL-vials by a 2-step percolation of 3 mL of ethyl acetate. Ethyl acetate was gently evaporated to a final volume of 200 μL at room temperature under a gentle stream of nitrogen (purity >99.995%). Then, samples were immediately closed with PTFE lined screw caps rubbed with PTFE ribbon and stored before injection in the dark at −20 °C.

Analysis was performed using an Agilent Technologies 6850 GC system coupled to an Agilent Technologies 5975C mass spectrometer (GC/MS) operated with electron impact ionization (70 eV). Chromatographic separation was achieved on an Agilent HP-5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μm film thickness) with the GC oven programmed at 70 °C for 1 min and then up to 230 °C at 30 °C min$^{-1}$, to 250 °C at 8 °C min$^{-1}$ and to 280 °C at 30 °C min$^{-1}$ with a final isothermal hold for 7.50 min. Helium was used as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.1 mL min$^{-1}$. Samples were manually injected (2 μL) on a splitless mode injector with a temperature set at 250 °C. The injector (Merlin Microseal system) was used as a low carryover septum and a gas purifier (Charcoal, CP179772) to prevent contamination during the injection. Data were acquired in single ion monitoring (SIM) mode for increased sensitivity with a dwell time of 100 ms. Data were collected and analyzed with the Agilent ChemStation software.

2.3. Quality control and quality assurance

For each batch of 12 samples, a method blank, a spiked blank and a sample duplicate were processed. The retention time and the response factors of GC/MS were assessed for each analytical sequence from daily control standards to ensure the most appropriate quantification. The average recoveries of PAEs spiked in seawater samples showed acceptable recovery for all phthalates, ranging from 97% ± 3% for DEP to 110% ± 7% for DnBP. Internal standard recoveries were estimates as 94% ± 2% for DEP-d4, 77% ± 3% for DnBP-d4 and 14% ± 2% for DEH-d4. All material was produced in borosilicate glass previously kept in chloromethane before use. Extractions were carried out in controlled air conditions in an ISO class 6 chemistry cleanroom (temperature: 22 °C; SAS pressure: +15 Pa; SAS brevage rate: 30 vol/h; lab pressure: +30 Pa; breathing rate: 50 vol/h). Although precautions were considered to prevent sample contamination during the protocol, DEP, DnBP and occasionally DnOP were detected in the blanks at levels that remained below 0.07 ± 0.02 ng L$^{-1}$, 0.8 ± 0.3 ng L$^{-1}$ and 0.7 ± 0.2 ng L$^{-1}$, respectively. DEHP was occasionally detected in blanks between the method detection limit (MDL) and the quantification detection limit (QDL). Limits of detection (LOD) were derived from the blanks and quantified as mean blanks plus three times the standard deviation of blanks, and they ranged from 0.11 ng L$^{-1}$ for DMP to 1.67 ng L$^{-1}$ for DEHP.

2.4. Statistics

Principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to reduce the multidimensional nature of the dataset and to evaluate the interrelationships among the PAEs, sites and sampling periods. PCA was performed using the individual phthalate concentrations (i.e. DEH, DnBP) as PCA parameters. This data set was transformed in two smaller matrices that are linear combinations of the original data set. The number of observations in this study is 216, which permits the use of this statistical approach. The PCA statistics were performed using the statistical package XLSTAT 2010.2 (Addinsoft). Time series maps were made using the latest Ocean Data View version: ODV 4.7.4.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. General characteristics of the water column

The hydrological data (Fig. 3) are in line with previous well-known features in Marseille Bay and the local coastal area indicating that (Sérôdio and Nogueria, 2006) the water column was well mixed during winter and fall periods, most of the time under the action of wind (Frayssé et al., 2014; Gatti et al., 2006; Barrier et al., 2016), whereas (Net et al., 2015a) the water began warming in May (16–17 °C) causing water stratification. It is noteworthy that lower salinity was observed in October–November 2014 probably in association with freshwater intrusion (Fig. 3b). The DOC distribution (Fig. 3e) showed a clear seasonal trend with a concentration range of 62.5–72.5 μM in winter-spring and 72.5–90 μM during summer-fall. Higher DOC values (79–81 μM) were observed in the spring water column as well as above the bottom in winter. As a byproduct of primary production, the DOC distribution highlighted higher concentrations in spring-summer following phytoplankton growth (Fig. 3d). The high DOC concentrations near the bottom and in the whole water column during winter might instead be explained by resuspension of rich organic sediment and subsequent mixing under the action of wind as previously reported from CDOM (colored dissolved organic matter) and DOC time series studies (Para et al., 2010; Sempéré et al., 2015). Such low DOC concentrations were comparable to those previously reported in open waters of the Mediterranean Sea (Doval et al., 1999; Dañer et al., 2001; Santinelli et al., 2012; Sempéré et al., 2002; Sempéré et al., 2003), with a stable annual mean of 67 ± 7 μMC at 2 m and 63 ± 6 μMC at 5 m.

3.2. Concentration and relative abundance of PAEs in Marseille Bay

All targeted PAEs were detected in all samples collected at SUNMEX station except DnOP. During the studied period, the sum of the 6 PAEs’ concentrations in the water column (ΣPAEs) ranged from 130 to 1330 ng L$^{-1}$ (av. 520 ng L$^{-1}$: Table 1; Fig. 3f) in Marseille Bay. The highest ΣPAEs concentrations were observed all along the well mixed water column in winter 2013 as well as near the bottom in the May–August and September–December 2014 periods (Fig. 3). By contrast, the lowest ΣPAEs concentrations were found in shallower waters between 0.5 and 15 m by the end of winter and in October–November 2014 where low salinities were observed (Fig. 3b). Note that the October–November 2014 period corresponds to possible freshwater intrusion into Marseille Bay (Gatti et al., 2006) as suggested by lower salinity values (Fig. 3b). In Marseille Bay, for 62 out of 72 samples, DEHP (9.3–91.6%) was the most abundant PAE, followed by DnBP (3.8–78%) and DnBP (2.1–44.8%) (Figs. 4–5; Table 1). By contrast, DMP, DEP and BzBP were the least abundant (0.2–2%) and can be considered minor species here.

Very limited data are available for the occurrence and fate of PAEs as a simultaneous series in marine waters and more specifically in both the Mediterranean Sea and river waters, making any comparison of ΣPAEs data with existing studies difficult. However we found that our data are consistent with literature. Indeed, examination of already published individual PAEs, indicated that our DEHP concentrations (20–920 ng L$^{-1}$; Table 1) were in the same range as values reported for NW Spanish coastal seawater (30–620 ng L$^{-1}$; (Sanchez-Avila et al., 2012)) and the southern coast of the UK (100–2200 ng L$^{-1}$; (Turner and Rawling, 2000)) but one order of magnitude lower than that for the Northern Mediterranean Spanish coast (Brossa et al., 2005). Noteworthy lower values were also reported in the North Sea for DEHP (0.5–5.3 ng L$^{-1}$). DMP as well as DEP (0.02–40 ng L$^{-1}$) (Teil et al., 2007; Dargnat et al., 2009). Similar concentrations of DEHP, 161–314 and 323–779 ng L$^{-1}$, were found in the Seine River whereas DMP concentrations were reported in the range of 2.5–5.5 ng L$^{-1}$ in six Spanish rivers including Ebro River (Sanchez-Avila et al., 2012). DEP was detected in different studies in the Seine River (France) in a range slightly higher than our data, from 46 to 208 ng L$^{-1}$ (Teil et al., 2007) to 71–181 ng L$^{-1}$ (Dargnat et al., 2009).
al., 2009) as well as 52–284 ng L\(^{-1}\) in 6 Spanish rivers (Sanchez-Avila et al., 2012; Dargnat et al., 2009). Limited data are available for the distribution of PAEs in coastal seawater. The contribution of carbon from PAEs to the DOC pool (PAE-C) accounted for 10–60 ppm. A comparison with other organic compounds within the DOC pool identified in the coastal Mediterranean Sea indicated that our PAE concentrations are in the same order of magnitude as the reported values of dissolved water phase PAHs (3–120 ng L\(^{-1}\); Berrojalbiz et al., 2011), one of the most abundant classes of organic contaminants in the marine environment. Lower concentration range was reported for other organic pollutants as PFCs (0.07–4.55 ng L\(^{-1}\); Sanchez-Avila et al., 2012; Ahrens et al., 2010) in NW Mediterranean Sea, PCB (2.2–82.4 pg L\(^{-1}\); Berrojalbiz et al., 2011) in surface water of Mediterranean Sea and PBDE, another group of compounds used as additives in polymer, detected in Aegean Sea with concentration range of 4–6 pg L\(^{-1}\) (Lammel et al., 2015). PCDD/Fs was reported with two orders of magnitude lower than PAEs concentration (42.5–64.0 fg L\(^{-1}\)) (Castro-Jimenez et al., 2008). However, PAE concentrations are nevertheless two orders of magnitude lower than the sum of \(\alpha,\omega\)-dicarboxylic acids (20.7 ± 10.6 µg L\(^{-1}\)) and \(\omega\)-oxoacids (11.7 ± 6.2 µg L\(^{-1}\)) in the Marseille coastal area (Tedetti et al., in press).

3.3. PCA analysis

The grouping of samples by depth and season using principal component analysis (PCA) formed several clusters (samples scores, Fig. 6a) over PC1 and PC2 that represent 79.7% of the total information. Samples collected at Marseille Bay formed five well-identified clusters (Fig. 6a) including the fall samples in the lower left quadrant, the spring in the central left, the summer in the upper left, winter samples in the upper right quadrant and the bottom samples in the right quadrant. Of the biomarkers plotted, DMP, DEP and DnBP being positive on PC1 and most negative on PC2 form a compact cluster on the central-right quadrant and separated from DEHP located in the upper quadrant (positive on PC1 and PC2 factors) and from DiBP in the lower quadrant (positive on PC1 and negative on PC2). DEHP is a plasticizer used in the manufacture of PVC and other plastic products. On the other hand, the presence of LMW-PAEs in the same group is related to their common use as components of solvents, adhesives, pharmaceutical products, insecticide materials and cosmetics.

In brief, the PCA score plot therefore highlights that i) summer and winter groups have a similar pattern i.e. highest concentrations of DEHP, DMP and DEP, together with lowest concentrations of DnBP; ii) fall and spring groups are also very close on Fig. 6a: their intensity on both PC1 and PC2 axis are low and negative, meaning low Σ PAEs.
3.4. Potential sources and sinks of PAEs in the water column

The averaged concentrations of PAEs found in Marseille Bay (522.7 ± 313.3 ng L\(^{-1}\)) samples are significantly higher than that previously found offshore (maximum depth 2500 m) (135 ± 74 ng L\(^{-1}\) (Paluselli et al., in press)) suggesting that the freshwater input and urban area provide a significant amount of PAEs to Marseille Bay. Our results also indicated i) significant seasonal variations of ΣPAEs in Marseille Bay suggesting variability in sources, degradation processes of PAEs occurring in coastal seawaters, and/or partitioning of PAEs onto particulate matter; ii) heterogeneous vertical distribution by the end of winter/spring and homogenous concentration in the whole water column in the winter period with a large ΣPAEs increase of concentration above the sea floor at different periods of the year.

### 3.4.1. Seasonal variation

Concerning seasonal variation in Marseille Bay, it is interesting to note that elevated concentration of PAEs (Fig. 3f) in summer coastal seawater nearby the Marseille urban area is concomitant with (Serodio and Noguera, 2006) the intense recreational coastal activities that reach their maximum during the summer on the beaches of Marseille, as well as (Net et al., 2015a) the elevated maritime traffic occurring during the tourist season between the ports of the coastal study area. As DEHP is predominant during the summer period (Figs. 4–5), it is likely that such summer activities preferentially generate inputs of DEHP-rich PAE into coastal seawater. It should be noticed that DEHP is the most abundant plasticizer for PVC, PVA and rubber materials that are widely used at sea through surfing, diving and swimming or left on the beaches such as food packaging, bags and sunscreen containers. The high turn-over of cruise ships could also represent a significant source of DEHP for the coastal area. In Marseille Bay, the large variations of PAE concentrations in the first 25 m of the water column could be connected to variation of input and degradation processes as well. Few PAE-degrading bacteria have been isolated from marine environments (Gu et al., 2009) and a recent study suggests that the ability to degrade phthalate and its monoesters is widespread in Japanese seawater from bacteria related to 11 different genera (Iwaki et al., 2012). It is likely that prokaryotic degradation processes regulate the PAE concentration in the water column as well.

### 3.4.2. Higher concentration near the bottom

Interestingly, we found that PAE concentrations are quite elevated above the bottom being, in most cases, higher than the corresponding concentration on the sea surface (Figs. 3f–7), with the exclusion of winter 2013 and September for which PAE concentrations are similar in the whole water column probably because of winter mixing under the action of Mistral wind (Frayse et al., 2014). Such an accumulation of ΣPAEs near the bottom has already been reported in a previous study in offshore Mediterranean seawater at 2000 m depth (Paluselli et al., in press). A gradient in the PAE concentration might be either due to i) preferential photochemical and/or prokaryotic degradation in the surface water column rather than in deeper seawater, giving rise to accumulation of PAEs above the seafloor, or ii) PAE input directly from the bottom by pure chemical diffusion and/or advection phenomena (resuspension of sediment or bioturbation).

Surface water column photochemical degradation is certainly more effective in the first meters of the water column whereas prokaryotic degradation is likely to be more efficient above the sediment. Although PAE-degrading bacteria have been isolated from marine environments (Gu et al., 2009; Iwaki et al., 2012), preferential biodegradation in the

### Table 1

Concentration ranges, average concentrations and relative abundance of PAEs in Marseille Bay (n = 72) from December 2013 to November 2014 (samples were collected at 0.5, 5, 15 and 30 m depth).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Concentrations (ng L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Relative abundance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Av. ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bay of Marseilles (Dec 2013–Nov 2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl phthalate (DMP)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.9–6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8–6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.8–7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.1–11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.8–11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyl phthalate (DEP)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.4–25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.3–27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.3–45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8.9–50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.3–50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>342–2157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>301–1553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>294–1551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50.8–383.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>275–383.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibutyl phthalate (DbBP)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>120–1346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>140–196.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>120–178.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>210–596.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12.0–596.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzylobutyl phthalates (BzBP)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.7–4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6–4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.6–4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.6–6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.6–6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylhexyl phthalate (DEHP)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42.3–802.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>158–7140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>513–5250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>130.0–923.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.8–923.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PAEs</td>
<td>130.8–1330.7</td>
<td>522.7 ± 313.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
water column rather than above the bottom is very unlikely. Therefore, only photochemical degradation may explain such PAE concentration gradient along the vertical gradient.

This vertical gradient might also be connected to a concentration of plastic debris above the sea floor that may releases various chemical additives including phthalates into surrounding waters during their degradation as stated before. Indeed, accumulation of debris with a large proportion of plastic material has been observed several times, by trawling sampling and by video quantification based on recordings at the sea floor of the French Mediterranean coast including Marseille Bay (Galgani et al., 1995; Galgani et al., 1996; Galgani et al., 2000), the Rhone River plume area as well as canyons and continental slopes in the northwestern Mediterranean (Fabri et al., 2014). In most cases, plastic bags accounted for >90% of the total debris (Galgani et al., 1995) and in particular in the eastern canyons of the Gulf of Lion (Galgani et al., 2000) close to Marseille. Such debris are likely PAE-producer candidates. Chemical diffusion from sediment, or sediment resuspension/bioturbation could though explain higher PAE concentration close to the sediment. Resuspension episodes of PAE-rich sediment in the water column (originating from wind-driven turbulence and hydrodynamic features (Lapouyade, 2001) such as upwelling above the seafloor as previously reported for CDOM and DOC in Marseille Bay (Para et al., 2010)) are possible. However, higher DOC concentrations were not observed close to the sediment (Fig. 3e), supporting poor physical transport from the sediment toward the water column. Transport by chemical diffusion would therefore be predominant, in case PAEs input came from the sediment.

Interestingly, in Marseille Bay bottom samples, DiBP and DnBP relative abundance values were predominant over DEHP or higher (compared to surface waters) and match the period of high concentration of total PAEs. Indeed, in surface water samples, the relative abundance of DEHP, DnBP and DiBP averaged 66 ± 18%, 11 ± 6% and 21 ± 15%, respectively, whereas these values averaged 48 ± 21%, 21 ± 12% and 32 ± 14%, respectively, in bottom samples during the year (Fig. 5). A possible explanation would be a higher degradation rate of LMW-PAEs close to the atmosphere/water interface due to higher organisms’ density, as suggested by Yuan et al., 2010. The predominance (or higher relative abundance) of DnBP and DiBP versus DEHP in deep-water samples is in line with previous observations at a deep offshore station (z = 2400 m) (Paluselli et al., in press). The variation of PAE relative abundance near the bottom could also be explained considering the higher hydrophobicity of DEHP (logKow = 7.54, SPARC) compared with DnBP and DEP (logKow = 4.63 and 2.51, respectively, SPARC), which could determine different grades of PAE repartition between sediment and water, making available a smaller dissolved fraction of DEHP and suggesting that DEP and even DnBP mainly exist under freely dissolved phase compared with DEHP. The higher desorption rate of these phthalate, with the exception of DEHP and DMP, in the interface sediment/water was already observed in a previous study (Mackintosh et al., 2006).

Fig. 4. Relative abundances for DEHP (a), DnBP (b), DiBP (c), DEP (d), DMP (e) and BzBP (f) at SUNMEX station in Marseille Bay from December 2013 to November 2014.
Surprisingly, a significant decrease of DEHP concentration and relative abundance was observed after the summer (October/November 2014) (Figs. 4–5). The change in relative abundance during fall, even on the surface, was due to an increase of DiBP and to a lesser extent DnBP and could be associated with potential resuspension as we observed only high PAE concentration in bottom samples. Note that

---

**Fig. 5.** Relative abundance of PAEs in surface (0.5 m; a) and bottom (30 m; b) seawater samples collected at SUNMEX station in Marseille Bay from December 2013 to November 2014.

---

**Fig. 6.** Principal components analysis (PCA) for PAEs in the study area was applied to reduce the multidimensional nature of the dataset and to evaluate the interrelationships among the PAEs, sites and sampling periods. The PCA statistics were performed using the statistical package XLSTAT 2010.2 (Addinsoft). In (a) the sample scores, whereas in (b) the variable loadings of the PCA. The variance accounted for by each principal component is shown in parentheses after the axis label.
the variable intensity of the resuspension processes might be favored by the Northern Current entering into the Gulf of Lyon and being particularly high from October to May (Lapouyade, 2001) intensifying the inputs and shelf/slope exchange processes including turbulent mixing and vertical flux on the continental slope (Lapouyade, 2001; Monaco et al., 1999). In these circumstances exchange with the deep bottom, already observed to be rich in plastic debris, could represent a seasonal source of PAEs for the Bay of Marseille at the end of the stratification season, especially in the eastern canyons close to Marseille. This area was already noticed to accumulate most of the debris in the Gulf of Lyon, especially plastic bags (Galgani et al., 1995; Galgani et al., 1996).

Our data together with others studies, suggest sediment resuspension as an important process explaining the heterogeneous vertical distribution. However, additional specific experiments are needed to confirm such hypothesis.

4. Conclusion

This study provides the first complete data on PAEs concentration levels in the Bay of Marseille (NW Mediterranean Sea). In addition, the first estimation of the PAEs annual occurrence and PAEs vertical distribution were reported here. The Marseille Bay, which is highly populated, displays levels of contamination of the same order of magnitude as other coastal area (NW Spanish coast, UK southern coast, Seine River; (Turner and Rawling, 2000; Brossa et al., 2005; Teil et al., 2007; Sanchez-Avilia et al., 2012; Dargnat et al., 2009)). DEHP was detected as the predominant PAE congener in spring/summer season at Marseille as other coastal area (NW Spanish coast, UK southern coast, Seine River; (4).
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