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abstrac t

Background: This study aims at testing for paths from childhood abuse to clinical indicators of complexity in bipolar disorder (BD), through dimensions of affective dysregulation, impulsivity and hostility.

Method: 485 euthymic patients with BD from the FACE-BD cohort were included from 2009 to 2014. We collect clinical indicators of complexity/severity: age and polarity at onset, suicide attempt, rapid cycling and substance misuse. Patients completed questionnaires to assess childhood emotional, sexual and physical abuses, affective lability, affect intensity, impulsivity, motor and attitudinal hostility.

Results: The pathway analysis demonstrated significant associations between emotional abuse and all the affective/impulsive dimensions (p < 0.001). Sexual abuse was moderately associated with emotion-related dimensions but not with impulsivity nor motor hostility. In turn, affect intensity and attitudinal hostility were associated with high risk for lifetime presence of suicide attempts (p < 0.001), whereas impulsivity was associated with a higher risk of lifetime presence of substance misuse (p < 0.001). No major additional paths were identified when including Emotional and Physical Neglect in the model.

Conclusions: This study provides refinement of the links between early adversity, complexity/severity of BD, mainly, dimensions of affective dysregulation,
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1. Introduction

A substantial body of literature indicates that childhood traumatic events influence the complexity/severity of the clinical expression of bipolar disorders (BD) (Aas et al., 2016a). Early reviews have suggested that childhood trauma was associated with several indicators of worse course and prognosis of BD such as an earlier age at onset, suicide attempts, psychotic features, rapid cycling, substance use disorders or a higher frequency of mood recurrences (Daruy-Filho et al., 2011; Elain et al., 2008; Fisher and Hosang, 2010). More recent studies in this field have replicated many of these findings. For example, in a large sample of patients with BD, we have demonstrated an association between emotional and sexual abuse (but not physical abuse), an earlier age at onset, rapid cycling, suicidal attempt and substance use disorders (Elain et al., 2013a). Other studies further demonstrated associations between childhood trauma and age at onset (Aas et al., 2013; Anand et al., 2015; Li et al., 2014; Post et al., 2015, 2016), delusions or hallucinations (Cakir et al., 2016; Uptegrove et al., 2015), suicide attempts (Erten et al., 2014; Janiri et al., 2014), a deteriorating illness course (Post et al., 2015), comorbid panic disorder (Pavlova et al., 2016) or rapid cycling (Watson et al., 2013). Recently, data coming from 30 studies were combined in a meta-analysis that confirmed association between childhood trauma and greater mania, depressive and psychosis severity, higher risk of comorbidity with post-traumatic stress, anxiety and substance misuse disorders, earlier age of BD, higher risk of rapid cycling and suicide attempt (Agnew-Blais and Danese, 2016).

The literature regarding childhood trauma and BD has suggested that not all subtypes of trauma had equivalent clinical consequences (Aas et al., 2016a). Emotional, physical and sexual abuse often co-occur and are highly inter-related, so their respective contribution to different phenotypes may be uneasy to disentangle. Previous studies have shown a main effect of emotional and sexual abuse on clinical complexity/severity (Elain et al., 2013a; Janiri et al., 2014; Maniglio, 2013; Post et al., 2014; Watson et al., 2013). Physical abuse might have a weaker influence on the global complexity/severity while a more specific effect on psychotic features (Elain et al., 2017; Uptegrove et al., 2015). However, other studies found no effect of physical abuse on psychotic features in BD after covariations with emotional and sexual abuse (Elain et al., 2013a). A systematic review suggested that sexual abuse was strongly (and perhaps directly) associated with post-traumatic stress disorder in BD; whereas it was less strongly (and perhaps indirectly) related to suicide attempts, alcohol and/or drug abuse or dependence, psychotic symptoms, and an early age of illness onset (Maniglio, 2013). Disentangling the respective contribution of each type of childhood abuse as risk factors for complexity/severity of BD is of potential clinical interest but a challenge.

Childhood trauma might influence not only on categorical indicators of complexity/severity but also on various dimensions of psychopathology that are linked to such a complexity/severity of the disorder. In patients with BD, higher levels of trauma correlated with a higher emotional deregulation such as increased affective lability and affect intensity (Aas et al., 2014a; Elain et al., 2008). Childhood trauma has also been associated with traits of aggression in BD (Garno et al., 2008), suggesting that childhood trauma might influence not only emotional regulation but also components of hostility or impulsivity. In turn, such measures of emotional, behavioral and impulsive dysregulation have been proposed to be associated to the clinical complexity/severity of BD. Studies that used self-reported questionnaires described that higher levels of both affect intensity and affective lability in normothymic patients with BD were associated with lifetime comorbidities (substance misuse, social phobia), an earlier age at onset and suicide attempts (Henry et al., 2007; Mathieu et al., 2014; Olie et al., 2015). In patients with BD, impulsivity has also been associated with alcohol or cannabis misuse comorbidity, rapid cycling and mixed episodes (Elain et al., 2013b), but not with suicidality when using assessments such as the Barrat Impulsivity Scale (Elain et al., 2013b; Olie et al., 2015; Parmentier et al., 2012; Patton et al., 1995). Finally, externalized or internalized hostility and aggression traits were associated with suicide attempts in BD (Galfalvy et al., 2006; Garno et al., 2008; Parmentier et al., 2012).

Although not exhaustively reviewed here, these findings highlight the hypothesis of a pathway from childhood trauma, through dimensions of psychopathology to clinical indicators of the complexity/severity or course of BD. Some authors have proposed to investigate whether some dimensions (in that case affective instability) mediate the association between childhood traumatic events and outcomes of BD (Marwaha et al., 2016) and suggest testing this hypothesis using formal path analytic statistical techniques. Our aim was therefore to use a path analysis to model the links from emotional, physical and sexual abuse to indicators of complexity/severity of BD through dimensions of emotional deregulation and impulsivity/hostility in a sample of patients with BD who have been assessed during remission.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sample

Previous publications using the FACE-BD sample described in details the data collection (Boudebesse et al., 2013; Godin et al., 2014; Henry et al., 2011). Briefly, the sample was collected from outpatients who were assessed in nine psychiatric departments belonging to the French network of centers of expertise in bipolar disorders. This network was established by the French Ministry of Research, developed under the aegis of the Fondamental Foundation and afterward funded by the French Ministry of Health. The network provides support to general practitioners and psychiatrists requiring assistance in the diagnosis and management of patients with BD.

All outpatients 18 years or older assessed in the expert centers and diagnosed with BD according to DSM-IV criteria (types I, II, and not otherwise specified) (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) can be enrolled in the Fondamental Advanced Centers of Expertise in Bipolar Disorders (FACE-BD) cohort.

The institutional review board (Comité de Protection des Personnes Ile de France IX; January 18, 2010) approved the assessment protocol in accordance with the French laws for non-interventional studies. The institutional review board asked us to provide all patients with an informational letter. Written formal consent was not required, but we sought the agreement of the patient in each case.
before analyzing the clinical data. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

2.2. Clinical assessment

The patients were interviewed by senior psychiatrists or psychologists specialized in BD. The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (First et al., 1995) was used to confirm the diagnosis of BD and to assess the lifetime history of mood episodes, course and comorbid psychiatric disorders according to DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). Current mood state and residual depressive and manic symptoms were assessed with the Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating and the Young Mania Rating Scale (Montgomery and Asberg, 1979; Young et al., 1978). Patients selected for this analysis had MADRS and YMRS scores below 8 and were at least at three months of the end of their last mood episode.

All the clinical indicators of complexity, severity or poor outcome in BD were derived from the information retrospectively collected using the SCIP. For this study, we have selected five variables: age at onset, polarity at onset, suicide attempt, rapid cycling, and substance misuse disorder. Age at onset was defined as the age at which the first mood episode occurred (depressive, manic, hypomanic, or mixed according to DSM-IV criteria). Two types of onset polarity were defined: a depressive onset (for which the first mood episode met DSM-IV criteria for a major depressive episode) and a manic onset (for which the first mood episode met DSM-IV criteria for a manic, hypomanic, or mixed episode). Suicide attempt and rapid cycling were coded as present if observed at any moment of the course of the illness (lifetime presence). Substance misuse disorder was defined as the lifetime presence of abuse or dependence to alcohol, or cannabis or any other illicit drugs (except nicotine dependence).

2.3. Dimensional assessment

Childhood traumatic events were recorded using the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) that is a 28-item self-report questionnaire (Bernstein and Fink, 1998). The CTQ yields a total score and five subscores for emotional and physical neglect, as well as emotional, physical and sexual abuse. For this analysis we only used scores for abuse since we have suggested that more severe clinical outcome in BD seem to be mainly driven by abuse and rather than neglect (Etain et al., 2013a). All variables (three sub scores for abuse) were used as continuous variables measuring trauma severity.

The Affect Intensity Measure (AIM) comprises 40 items and defines affect intensity as responses to a given level of emotion-provoking stimulation. The total score is the mean of items scores. The original authors demonstrated the validity and the temporal stability of the AIM (Diener et al., 1985; Larsen et al., 1986, 1987). We used the French validated version (Mathieu et al., 2014).

Affective lability was measured using the Affective Lability Scale (ALS) (Harvey et al., 1989). The ALS includes 54 questions, rated on a four-point Likert scale ranging from ‘very uncharacteristic of me’ to ‘very characteristic of me’. The responses are coded from 0 to 3. The total score is the mean of all item responses divided by the number of responses, thus ranging from 0 to 3. The main psychometric properties of the French version of the ALS have been previously reported (Aas et al., 2014b).

Impulsivity was assessed with the French version (Bayle et al., 2003; Caci et al., 2010) of the Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS, version 10) (Patton et al., 1995), a 34-item self-report questionnaire that was developed for the measurement of impulsiveness as a stable characteristic. Each item is rated on a 4-point scale ranging from 1 (rarely/never) to 4 (almost always/always).

The Buss-Durkee Hostility Inventory (BDHI) is a 75 items questionnaire (Buss and Durkee, 1957) designed to measure individual differences in traits of general aggression and hostility. Each item is a false/true assertion. Two components were used as described by the validation article: Attitudinal (Resentment, Suspicion and Guilt) and Motor (Assault, Indirect Hostility, Verbal Hostility and Irritability) components of hostility. We used a French version of the BDHI (Consoli et al., 1993).

2.4. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics (Mean (SD), median, range and N (%)) were used to examine the characteristics of the sample. Non-parametric tests were used to correlate continuous variables (Spearman rho test). Significance was evaluated based on a two-tailed test, we choose a critical value at $p < 0.001$ given the number of paths investigated. All statistical analyses were implemented with Mplus 6.1 (Muthén and Muthén, 2007).

Path analyses from emotional, physical and sexual abuse to the dimensional measures (affect intensity, affective lability, impulsivity, attitudinal hostility and motor hostility) and the clinical variables were performed using WLSMV estimator (Weighted Least Squares Mean and Variance) to deal with categorical variables. The model examined both direct and indirect associations between these abuse subscales and the continuous and categorical outcomes. The model also estimated correlations amongst (i) the three abuse types, and (ii) the dimensional and categorical outcomes. A path diagram representation of the model was then drawn: straight single-headed arrows represented regression paths; the curved tow-headed arrow represented correlations.

An iterative procedure allowed the selection of the best-fitting model. The path analysis started with a saturated model in which all variables were interrelated. Then non-significant path correlations/associations were gradually excluded until a good-fitting model was reached. Goodness of fit was reported using standard indices: the Chi-square statistic, Comparative Fit Index (CFI), the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA). Rules of thumb for determining acceptable model fit were as follows: a non-significant Chi-square test, a CFI value of 0.90 or above, and RMSEA values close to 0.05 or below. All path coefficients and correlations are reported as standardized estimates.

3. Results

The sample consisted of 485 euthymic BD patients (203 men and 282 women) with a mean age at interview of 40.9 (±12.6) years old. The socio-demographic, clinical and dimensional description of the sample is presented in Table 1.

3.1. Correlations between trauma subtypes and dimensions of psychopathology

Table 2 provided the matrix of correlations between continuous variables. Most correlations were statistically significant except between physical abuse and affect intensity. The only correlation coefficient that exceeded 0.50 was between affect intensity and affective lability.

3.2. Path analysis

Indices of goodness of fit of the model were as followed:
Table 1
Demographic, clinical and dimensional characteristics of the sample of patients with BD (n = 485).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (males)</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of BD (BD1)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>62.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suicide attempt (lifetime presence)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid cycling (lifetime presence)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance misuse (lifetime presence)</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarity at onset (depressive onset)</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime psychotic features</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at interview</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td>16e66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>7e66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADRS score</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0e14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMRS score</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0e7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulsivity score (BIS)</td>
<td>65.14</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>43e101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affect Intensity score (AIM)</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>1.6e5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affective Lability score (ALS)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0e3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Hostility score (BDHI)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2e40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudinal Hostility score (BDHI)</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0e17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Abuse score</td>
<td>9.77</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5e25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Abuse score</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5e25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Abuse score</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5e20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Neglect score</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5e25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Neglect score</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5e18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTQ total score</td>
<td>40.63</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25e92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BD: Bipolar Disorder; N: number of patients; SD: Standard Deviation; MADRS: Montgomery Asberg Depression Rating Scale; YMRS: Young Mania rating Scale; BIS: Barrat Impulsivity Scale; ALS: Affective lability Scale; AIM: Affect Intensity Measure; BDHI: Buss Durkee Hostility Inventory.

Table 2
Correlation matrix between childhood abuse and dimensions scores.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EA</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>BIS</th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>AIM</th>
<th>BDHI Mot</th>
<th>BDHI Att</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIS</td>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALS</td>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIM</td>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDHI Mot</td>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDHI Att</td>
<td>rho</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EA: emotional abuse, PA: physical abuse, SA: sexual abuse, BIS: Barrat Impulsivity Scale; ALS: Affective lability Scale; AIM: Affect Intensity Measure; BDHI Mot: Buss Durkee Hostility Inventory Motor component; BDHI Att: Buss Durkee Hostility Inventory Attitudinal component.

Rho: Spearman Coefficient; p: p value.

\[ \chi^2 \approx 51.48 (df \approx 44) \text{ p} \approx 0.204; \text{CFI} \approx 0.99 \text{ and RMSEA } \approx 0.02. \]

As shown on the left part of Fig. 1, correlation estimates between emotional, physical and sexual abuse were significant (0.27 rho 0.51; p < 0.001). There were significant path coefficients for direct effects of emotional abuse on all psychopathological dimensions with standardized coefficients between 0.19 and 0.40 (p < 0.001). To a lesser extent, sexual abuse was associated with AIM (p = 0.05) and BDHI Attitudinal component (p = 0.004). No associations were observed between physical abuse and any of the psychological dimensions when taking into account emotional and sexual abuse in the model.

The right part of the path diagram showed significant associations between AIM (p < 0.001) and BDHI Attitudinal (p < 0.001) and suicide attempt. ALS (p = 0.006) and BDHI Motor scores (p = 0.02) also showed associations with suicide attempt but not significant after correction. BIS score was associated with substance misuse outcome (p < 0.001). The effects of BDHI Motor on both substance misuse (p = 0.0099) and polarity at onset (p = 0.05) were significant; but not after correction for multiple testing.

Some of the clinical outcomes were inter-related: suicide attempt and polarity at onset (p = 0.03), rapid cycling and polarity at onset (p = 0.01), and substance misuse and age at onset AAO (p = 0.05). No direct links were found between the childhood trauma sub-scores and the clinical variables.

Dimensions of psychopathology partially mediated the effect of emotional abuse on suicide attempt and substance misuse. The total direct effects were significant (p < 0.0001), as well as all the indirect effects (Emotional Abuse/AIM/Suicide Attempt p = 0.004; Emotional Abuse/ALS/Suicide Attempt p = 0.011; Emotional Abuse/BDHI Attitudinal/Suicide Attempt p < 0.001; Emotional abuse/BDHI Motor/Suicide Attempt p = 0.025; Emotional abuse/BIS/Substance Misuse p = 0.001; Emotional abuse/BDHI Motor/Substance Misuse p = 0.023).

When adding Emotional and Physical Neglect in the model no
additional significant path was observed; with the exception of an association between Emotional Neglect and ALS (p = 0.011). We identified no significant path concerning Physical Neglect.

Finally, we performed a series of logistic regressions to explore whether the paths observed in our model in relation with Suicide Attempt and Substance Misuse (see Fig. 1) were still significant when adjusting for age and gender. Age did not contribute to any of the observed associations, whereas sex did (data not shown). Therefore we performed two additional path analyses in males and females separately.

Since the division of the sample by two (282 females, 203 males) might result in a loss of power in hypothesis testing, we built a simplified model using Emotional Abuse only, the five dimensions of psychopathology and only two clinical variables (Suicide Attempt and Substance Misuse). The significant paths observed in Fig. 1 for the whole sample were also observed in females only (Supplementary Fig. 1). In males, we identified only one significant path from Emotional Abuse to Substance Misuse through the measure of impulsivity (BIS), but no path converging to Suicide Attempt (Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2).

4. Discussion

In a sample of euthymic patients suffering from BD, we demonstrated that emotional abuse was associated with higher levels of emotional dysregulation and impulsivity/hostility. Sexual abuse was associated with higher levels of attitudinal hostility and affect intensity. No influence of physical abuse on any of the dimensions was observed when other subtypes of trauma were accounted for. In turn, dimensions of emotional instability (affect intensity and attitudinal hostility) were associated with lifetime presence of suicide attempt while dimensions of impulsivity (and to a lesser extent motor hostility) were associated with lifetime presence of substance misuse. As postulated by Maniglio, this path analysis demonstrated that some trauma subtypes (sexual abuse as proposed in his systematic review, but mainly emotional abuse in our study) were indirectly related to suicide attempts and substance misuse (Maniglio, 2013).

In the model we have tested, emotional abuse seems to be more relevant with regards to the investigated dimensions of psychopathology as compared to other types of trauma. Stabilization of affect is generally thought to occur in a developmental progression. It has been proposed that as children mature cognitively, socially, and morally, their observed reactions to environmental stimuli become more predictable and stable (Gerson et al., 1996). Longitudinal data on Dutch mono- and dizygotic twin pairs have been analyzed to decompose the stability of the CBCL-JBD (Child Behavior Check-List Juvenile Bipolar Disorder) phenotype (high levels of aggressive behavior, anxious/depressed behavior and attention problems) into genetic and environmental contributions (Boomsma et al., 2006). The influences of common environment appeared to decrease over time, particularly between ages 7 and 10 years. Putting together this result with the overall decrease in influence of shared environment from 7 to 12 years, it suggests the possibility of an important environmental factor during the
formative years of CBCL-JBD onset that may not be present later on. During this critical period of development that is childhood, the occurrence of emotional abuse might lead to abnormal trajectories of maturation of emotional and impulsive regulatory processes. We cannot exclude that physical and sexual abuse might also be relevant with regards to other dimensions that were not studied here (such as psychosis proneness or cognitive functioning for example). For example we demonstrated an interaction between childhood abuse and cannabis misuse on delusion proneness in an independent sample of bipolar patients (Etain et al., 2016). Nevertheless, concerning emotional regulation and impulsivity/hostility, emotional abuse seems to play a prominent role when other subtypes of trauma were incorporated in the model.

Suicide attempt and substance misuse represented severe conditions that are frequently associated to BD and increase morbidity and mortality risks (Hayes et al., 2015). The prevalence of attempted suicide in BD type II and BD type I are similar: 32.4% and 36.3%, respectively (Novick et al., 2010) with a further increased risk for those patients with comorbid alcohol or other substances use disorders (Carra et al., 2014). In this path analysis, we replicated the results we obtained in an independent sample showing an association between impulsivity and alcohol, cannabis or other substances misuses (Etain et al., 2013b) thus suggesting that substance misuse comorbidity might be related to impulse dyscontrol and/or motor hostility. We also found associations between affect intensity, attitudinal hostility and suicide attempt, but not with impulsivity or motor hostility. These findings extend some previous results we obtained in an independent sample of patients with BD. Indeed, like others (Perroud et al., 2011), we observed no association between BIS score and suicidal attempts (Etain et al., 2013b) in BD while we observed that some components of hostility were associated with suicidal behavior (Parmentier et al., 2012). Also BDHI baseline score (but not BIS score) significantly predicted, among other clinical variables, the risk of suicide attempt in a sample of patients with BD who have been followed up to 2 years (Galfalvy et al., 2006).

Affect intensity refers to stable individual differences in response intensity to a given level of emotion-provoking stimulation (Larsen et al., 1986). Attitudinal hostility might also be part of the emotional regulation processes as proposed in the validation article which also referred to “emotional hostility” (items measuring Resentment, Suspicion and Guilt) (Buss and Durkee, 1957). This suggests that in patients with BD, suicide attempts might be more related to the inability to appropriately regulate emotional outputs than to tone down motor or cognitive components of impulsivity. It has been suggested that the experience of high levels of affect accompanied by the inability to control these affects are the essential components leading to the risk of suicidal behavior (Links et al., 2000). A meta-analysis of 70 studies has suggested that the relationship between trait impulsivity and suicidal behaviors was small (Anestis et al., 2014). In the longitudinal data from the 2000 Adult Psychiatric Morbidity Survey, impulsivity significantly predicted the presence of suicidal thoughts, but this effect became non-significant with mood instability included in the same model (Peters et al., 2015). Other authors suggested that impulsive responses to emotions uniquely and significantly related to self-harm, suicide ideation, and suicide attempts among patients with BD (Johnson et al., 2016), meaning that impulsive responses to emotion (emotion-triggered impulsivity) was more relevant than other forms (i.e. motor) of impulsivity. Therefore, the links between impulsivity, emotional deregulation and suicidal behaviors in BD would deserve more research (Watkins and Meyer, 2013) including a more nuanced approach to impulsivity in bipolar suicidality and a greater focus on emotion regulation.

Several limitations require to be discussed. Childhood trauma assessment was retrospectively so not exempt from potential uncontrolled recall biases. Nevertheless, a previous study has shown that individuals with severe mental illness are able to reliably report in adulthood on experiences of abuse during childhood (Fisher et al., 2011), while another study demonstrated similar findings specifically in patients with BD (Shannon et al., 2016). Clinical description of BD was also retrospective but recorded using reliable structured clinical interviews. All substances were pooled in the same “substance misuse” category. Suicide attempts were only characterized by their lifetime presence and we did not further explore other relevant characteristics that could provide higher resolution of the suicide phenotype. We also restricted our analysis to childhood abuse in order to provide a more parsimonious model but childhood neglect might also be relevant to be studied. Nevertheless, this choice was mainly based on our previous results showing that abuse might be more relevant to BD complexity/severity than neglect (Aas et al., 2013; Etain et al., 2013a). The CTQ does not provide some relevant information related to childhood trauma such as age at the time of exposure, chronicity of exposure, perpetrators … For instance, it has been demonstrated that age at exposure modulated the impact of childhood traumatic experiences on the psychopathological profile and the functional outcome in early psychosis (Alameda et al., 2015, 2016). The impact of age at exposure and other relevant characteristics of childhood trauma should be further investigated by models that attempt to link childhood trauma, dimensions of psychopathology and the clinical expression of BD. Finally, the two path-analyses stratified on sex might identified specific paths; in particular those related to suicide attempt that were observed only in females. This might be due to a gender effect or to a reduction of the power of the analyses when dividing the sample by two. This would require further exploration.

Another limitation is due to the assumptions on which our model was based, meaning that the dimensions of psychopathology are mediators between childhood trauma and clinical features of BD. First, we assumed that childhood trauma increase the levels of some psychopathological dimensions. We cannot exclude the fact that a reverse causation is also possible. For instance, an emotionally unstable or impulsive child might be overexposed to emotional trauma and harsh discipline when caregivers fail to find appropriate way to cope. The design being cross sectional and based on a retrospective measure of trauma makes impossible to exclude this possibility. Longitudinal studies have demonstrated that childhood trauma predicted later externalizing and internalizing behaviors (Briggs-Gowan et al., 2012; Slopen et al., 2014). Moreover, Rijlaarsdam and colleagues have shown that parental hostility and harsh discipline appeared to contribute to children’s externalizing problems, independent of prior levels of child problem behavior (Rijlaarsdam et al., 2014). Therefore, future studies should attempt to clarify the direction of these paths, although a reverse causation is not supported by these latter findings. Second, this model assumed that these dimensions are considered to be stable, being viewed as “personality traits or aspects of temperament” and being constructed early during development. In a prospective cohort study of 3e12-year-old children, externalizing behaviors have been found to show considerable longitudinal stability throughout the developmental years (Pihlakoski et al., 2006). The longitudinal study of Dutch mono- and dizygotic twin pairs also showed that the within-person correlations, or stability-coefficient correlations across time of the CBCL-JBD phenotype were 0.72 from 7 to 10 years, 0.66 from 7 to 12 years, and 0.77 from 10 to 12 years meaning a stability across ages (Boomsma et al., 2006). Third, we made the assumption that these dimensions pre-exist to the occurrence of the clinical outcomes (onset of the disorder and related clinical features). For example, a review
concluded that mood swings/affective lability belong to a risk constellation of prodromes that exists prior to the development of BD (Leopold et al., 2012). In the same way, increased impulsivity probably preexists to the development of BD (Faedda et al., 2014). Fourth, the “stability assumption” implies that the dimensions would be relatively little changed by mood state or the course of the disorder. All these dimensions are probably trait components but also affective-state dependent. For example, ALS score increased with depressive symptoms (Aminoff et al., 2012). In order to minimize this, patients were assessed during euthymic states as showed by very low scores of depressive and manic symptoms, but a minor effect cannot be definitively excluded. Moreover, we found no associations between AIM score and the number of mood episodes or duration of illness, which may indicate that level of affect intensity is not directly modified by clinical symptoms per se (Mathieu et al., 2014). Finally, we used each dimension as a unidimensional construct, here again to provide a more parsimonious model, but the investigation of each scales’ sub-components might add more comprehensive information as we proposed in an independent sample using some sub-components of the ALS (Aas et al., 2016b).

We have recently reviewed the physiological and molecular mechanisms that might lead from childhood trauma to clinical outcomes in BD through dimensions of psychopathology or cognitive deficits (Aas et al., 2016a). Childhood trauma might impact the structure and functioning of several brain regions (medial prefrontal cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, anterior cingulate cortex, caudate nuclei, corpus callosum), some of them being key structures for the production, processing and regulation of emotions while others behave as a inhibitory control network for behaviors (Elton et al., 2014). Dysconnectivity between some brain regions involved in emotional and behavioral regulation could be linked to childhood trauma (Grant et al., 2014; Souza-Queiroz et al., 2016). This is a central hypothesis when interpreting the impact of childhood trauma on emotion deregulation and impulsivity/hos
tility. In turn, these dimensions might induce maladaptive coping strategies or greater sensitivity to later stressors. Other mechanisms might involve the impact of early adversity on biological markers belonging to the immune, circadian, neuropsychiatric or oxidative stress systems, although the links with emotional and behavioral outputs remain to be clarified (Aas et al., 2016a).

Several clinical implications should be highlighted. First, patients with BD and a more unstable or severe form of the disorder should be systematically screened for childhood trauma, in particular those patients with suicide attempt and/or substance use disorders. Second, several psychosocial interventions, that target childhood traumatic experiences and their consequences should be discussed as part of a personalized care plan. For example, this might include trauma-focused cognitive behavior therapy or eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (Ehring et al., 2014), even though their efficacy remains to be specifically demonstrated in BD (Moreno-Alcazar et al., 2017). Third, it might be relevant also to targeting some psychological mediators (impulsivity, emotional instability) in order to improve some of the deleterious outcomes in BD. Some psychotherapy might focus on emotional regulation, such as Schema Therapy for Emotional Dysregulation or mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for BD that is suggested to increase emotion-regulation abilities (Dodamo et al., 2016; Deckersbach et al., 2012).

5. Conclusion

This study provides refinement of the links between emotional abuse, affective/impulsive dimensions and the clinical complexity/severity of BD in terms of suicide attempts and substance misuse. In clinical practice, childhood trauma should be systematically assessed since early adversity may influence affective/impulsive dimensions observed during remission, which in turn might influence the complexity, severity and prognosis of the disease. Since the effects of trauma on some psychopathological conditions has been suggested to be possibly reversible (Read et al., 2005), future research about psychotherapeutic interventions that would target both history of early traumatic events and affective/impulsive regulation strategies, may help improving the overall prognosis of bipolar disorder.
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