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Abstract

The joint modelling of brain imaging information and genetic data is a promising research avenue to highlight the functional role of genes in determining the pathophysiological mechanisms of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). However, since genome-wide association (GWA) studies are essentially limited to the exploration of statistical correlations between genetic variants and phenotype, the validation and interpretation of the findings is usually non trivial and prone to false positives.

To address this issue, in this work we investigate the genetic functional mechanisms underlying brain atrophy in AD by studying the involvement of candidate variants in known genetic regulatory functions. This approach, here termed *functional prioritization*, aims at testing the sets of gene-variants identified by high-dimensional multivariate statistical modelling with respect to known biological processes, in order to introduce a biology-driven validation scheme. When applied to the ADNI cohort, the functional prioritization allowed identifying a link between TRIB3 (tribbles pseudokinase 3) and the stereotypical pattern of grey matter loss in AD, which was confirmed in an independent validation sample, and that provides novel evidence about the relation between this gene and known mechanisms of neurodegeneration.
Significance Statement

In this study we employ a novel experimental imaging-genetics approach for investigating the genetic underpinnings of brain atrophy in Alzheimer’s disease. We successfully combined state-of-art imaging-genetics methods and experimental gene expression data to uncover novel biology in brain atrophy.

The novel experimental paradigm highlighted a significant role of TRIB3 (tribbles pseudokinase 3) in modulating the typical pattern of Alzheimer’s brain pathology. This result corroborates through rigorous data-driven statistical methods evidence emerging from previous studies about the role of TRIB3 in modulating known mechanisms of neurodegeneration, such as neuronal death, cellular homeostasis, and interaction with established genes causing autosomal dominant Alzheimer’s disease: APP and PSEN1. The developed integrated statistical-experimental methodology could serve as a roadmap for investigations in other disorders.


\textbf{Introduction}

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a devastating neurodegenerative disorder and its aetiology still remains largely concealed. In the anticipation of increasing prevalence of AD and other dementias, there is an urgent need for improving the understanding of the disease processes that underlie neurodegeneration. Whilst the knowledge about the genetic and environmental risks underpinning AD is steadily advancing, our understanding of how these factors interact to lead to the complex pathophysiology that results in dementia is less understood.

Advances in imaging technologies have led to non- or minimally-invasive imaging biomarkers that capture various aspects of the disease process including amyloid deposition [1], tau pathology [2], functional decline [3] and neuronal loss [4]. Combining such imaging information with genetic measurements – so called \textit{imaging-genetics} – provides the means for investigating the effect of genetic variation on underlying biological mechanisms [5].

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) query millions of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) individually for their association with either case-control status [6] or disease-specific quantitative phenotypes, e.g., in the case of AD, regional brain volumes [7] or brain amyloid burden [8]. Mass univariate analysis of genetic data is still the predominant method, in virtue of its ease-of-use and well-established theoretical framework, albeit suffering from significant limitations including the requirement for multiple testing, redundancies introduced by linkage disequilibrium (LD) and the lack of analysis of epistatic effects (e.g., SNP-SNP interactions), which
have to be explicitly modeled and searched for exhaustively [9]. Moreover, more than one quantitative phenotype can be derived from the available imaging data, e.g., dozens or hundreds of regional brain volumes, or hundreds of thousands of voxel-level metrics [10]. This potentially large number of genotype-phenotypes features of interest generally complicates the problem of reliably detecting statistical associations, and thus hampers the identification of disease-relevant genetic markers by purely statistical means.

Limitations of classical mass-univariate statistical methods have in recent years been overcome by employing multivariate approaches to data analysis in the context of neuroscience studies [11] and GWAS [12]. Likewise, in imaging-genetics meaningful genotype-phenotype interactions [13] are captured by simultaneously modeling sets of genetic variants that are jointly associated with a given imaging phenotype [14,15,16,17]. Multivariate GWAS have the potential to shed light on the complex genotype-phenotype relationship, and may thus highlight novel links between brain physiology and molecular and biological functions. However, although these methods have proven their ability to identify meaningful SNP combinations associated to brain imaging features, the interpretation and validation of the statistical findings remain very challenging tasks. These problems relate directly to the understanding of the functional role of sets of genetic variants, and to the difficulty of replicating the statistical results in unseen cohorts.

We approach this technical bottleneck by leveraging multivariate approaches to explore high-dimensional datasets and to generate hypotheses, which are subsequently tested in downstream experiments. High-quality databases of matched
genotype and gene expression measurements such as GTEx\textsuperscript{1} [18] and BRAINEAC\textsuperscript{2} [19] facilitate the quantification of effects of SNPs on gene expression in numerous tissues, including various brain tissues. Typically, these databases are used to detail the effect of a genetic variant at the very end of an analysis pipeline and to garner evidence for molecular mechanisms of the genetic locus. However, functional information in ‘convenience’ databases can also be used at an earlier stage in the analysis in order to prioritize a few candidate hypotheses with a clear functional mechanism (e.g., expression quantitative trait loci; eQTL) for the validation phase and thus limit the multiple testing burden.

In this work we apply this novel investigative approach to study the genetic functional mechanisms underlying brain atrophy in AD. The framework is comprised of two steps:

i) **Statistical discovery.** Candidate genetic variants are initially identified through data-driven multivariate statistical analysis of the matched imaging and genetics data. This is achieved by modeling the joint covariation between 1.1 million SNPs and the cortical and subcortical atrophy represented by 327,684 cortical and 27,120 sub-cortical thickness values of 639 individuals (either healthy older controls or patients with AD) from the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) cohort;

ii) **Functional prioritization.** The candidate genetic variants are subsequently screened for functional relevance by querying high-dimensional gene expression databases such as GTEx.

The resulting small set of genetic loci, which are shown to modify gene expression, is then validated in an independent sample of 553 individuals from ADNI diagnosed with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), a proportion of whom progressed to AD.
Compared to previous approaches our work (i) analyses the whole genome and whole brain in a hypothesis free fashion, i.e., without preselecting SNPs or brain regions and (ii) uses a functional prioritization step in order to select genetic loci for validation in an independent cohort.

Starting from the initial ~1.1 million SNPs, the multivariate statistical analysis allowed the identification of a relatively small number of genetic loci that are statistically associated with the typical pattern of AD brain pathology. The subsequent functional prioritization step ultimately identified a significant role of TRIB3 (tribbles pseudokinase 3), a gene showing important connections to known mechanisms of neurodegenerative diseases. Indeed, although a role for TRIB3 in dementia has not been extensively explored, there are several aspects of TRIB3 function that have relevance to mechanisms related to neuronal death, cellular homeostasis, and of interaction with established AD genes, such as APP and PSEN1.

This study ultimately offers an illustration of the potential of effectively combining multivariate statistical modeling in imaging-genetics with recent instruments available from computational biology, to lead to novel insights on the pathophysiology of neurodegeneration.

Results

Model training and estimated components

Figures 2 and 3 show the relevant areas of the identified joint genetic and phenotype variation, respectively, for the first three PLS components through stability selection. The components were very robust (100% reproducible) during the stability selection procedure (Supplementary Methods). The fourth and fifth components did not present
any relevant locations (i.e., all bins have p<0.95) after stability selection for both the genetic modality and for the imaging modality.

**Genetic components**

The circular Manhattan plot (Circos v0.96 [20]) of Figure 2 shows the PLS weights and the selection frequency for the PLS genotype components, describing the importance of the genetic loci associated to cortical thickness variation for component 1, 2 and 3. The plot shows the probability of a given genetic bin of size 10kb of being relevant in the PLS model, i.e., to contain a SNP that is ranked in the top 10% of the absolute weights of the genotype component. Spatially contiguous loci generally show similar importance values, which is caused by LD of these regions. The genes close to the important loci are listed in the innermost circle depending on their genomic position.

In the genetic components 1 through 3 a total of 118 bins exceeded the selection frequency threshold (61, 50, 7 for component 1, 2 and 3, respectively). From these bins 402 (196, 181 and 25) influential SNPs were extracted and annotated with 98 genes through the computational VEP analysis. The extended APOE locus comprising APOE and TOMM40 was selected as the highest scoring region in component 1. A total of 3,956 candidate SNP-gene pairs were considered for the GTEx-based eQTL analysis in six tissues. However, a few genes did not show sufficient expression levels in some tissues and these combinations were excluded from the analysis, resulting in 1,598 unique SNP-gene-tissue tests, of those 104 were significant at the Bonferroni corrected p-value threshold (p=3.1e-5) (Table S1) linking to 14 genes (Table S2; Figure S5): CAPN9, CRYL1, FAM135B, IL10RA, IP6K3, ITGA1, KIN, LAMC1, LINC00941, LYSMD4, RBPMS2, RP11-181K3.4, TM2D1, and TRIB3.
The independent validation of those 14 genes in the MCI cohort confirmed \textit{TRIB3} (p=0.0034) (Table 2). Three additional genes were (close to) nominal significance: \textit{TM2D1} (p=0.053), \textit{LAMCI} (p=0.062), and \textit{RP11-181K3.4} (p=0.053) (Table 2). Of note the top eQTL SNP for \textit{TRIB3} rs4813620 received a p=0.06175 in stage I of a large AD GWAS [6]. However, rs62191440, a SNP in strong LD with rs4813620 (D’=0.8469; r2=0.6559) in the European population [21], received a p-value of 0.00601 (Figure S6) and also constitutes an eQTL for \textit{TRIB3} in various tissues in GTEx including brain tissues cortex and caudate ganglia (Figure S7). Interestingly, when estimating the PLS components on the sub-cohort of 279 training individuals with positive CSF amyloid (Table 1) we identified compatible validation results on the independent testing MCI group. Within this setting, \textit{TRIB3} still leads to marginally significant differences (p=0.0134) between progressing and stable MCI, although not significant after correction for multiple comparison (Table S3).

\textbf{Morphometric components}

Figure 3 shows the PLS phenotype components 1 through 3 (top), as well as the associated selection frequency describing the loci of brain atrophy associated with genetic variation (bottom). The selection frequency colors indicate the probability of each cortical mesh points of being relevant in the PLS model, i.e., to be ranked among the top 10% of the absolute weights of the phenotype component.

The first component is mainly associated to the thinning of the cortical mantle, and is localized in temporal and posterior cingulate cortices (Figure 3). The relevant areas at the subcortical level are primarily associated with amygdalae and thalami. The second
component is mostly associated to the thinning of the subcortical areas (hippocampi and amygdalae), and to the cortical thinning of the temporal areas at the cortical level. The third component is similar to component 2, and describes a sub-cortical thickness pattern prevalent in hippocampi, amygdalae, and thalami. At the cortical level, the component is associated with the thinning of frontal cortices, and to isolated spots located in the parahippocampal gyrus.

**Discussion**

In this work we modeled high-dimensional genome-wide SNP data and brain-wide cortical thickness data via joint multivariate statistical modeling and functional prioritization of genes through bioinformatics annotation and a large eQTL database. Our study ultimately identified a link between TRIB3 (tribbles pseudokinase 3) and the stereotypical pattern of grey matter loss in AD (cortical thinning in temporal and posterior cingulate regions and subcortical atrophy). TRIB3 is a pseudokinase which acts as a regulator of several signaling pathways. For example it can interact directly with Akt and inhibit the pro-survival pro-surviv [22]. TRIB3 expression is induced during neuronal cell death [23] and recently increased levels of the TRIB3 protein were found in dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra pars compacta in patients with Parkinson’s disease [24]. TRIB3 expression is stress induced and increases in response to nerve growth factor (NGF) deprivation; endoplasmatic reticulum (ER) stress, and amino acid deprivation [23]. Although a role for TRIB3 in dementia has not been extensively explored, there are several aspects of TRIB3 function that have relevance to known mechanisms of neurodegenerative disease. TRIB3 can interact directly with P62 to modulate autophagic flux [25], an important process in maintaining cellular homeostasis that is
known to be disrupted in neurodegeneration [26]. Knockdown of TRIB3 modulates PSEN1 stability [25] and a yeast two-hybrid screen identified progranulin as a direct interaction partner of TRIB3 [27]. Intriguingly, it has recently been demonstrated that TRIB3 induces both apoptosis and autophagy in Aβ-induced neuronal death, and silencing of TRIB3 was strongly neuroprotective [28]. These links warrant further investigation for a functional role of TRIB3 in neuronal death in dementia.

These earlier findings align with our eQTL analysis where carriers of the minor allele show increased TRIB3 expression (Figure S5), which potentially lowers the threshold to TRIB3 mediated neuronal cell death. TRIB3 expression was modulated by the identified SNP in various other tissues including the caudate (Figure S7), a region affected in PD and Huntington’s disease. A recent study of Trib3 expression in mice concluded that “Trib3 has a pathophysiological role in diabetes” [29]; diabetes itself is a known risk factor for dementia [30] perhaps through shared metabolic processes with AD [31]. Interestingly, one of the three SNPs (rs1555318) selected in the PLS model and attributed to TRIB3 showed a strong association with type-2 diabetes in stage 1 of a large GWAS (p=4.4e-4; Figure S8) [32]. Other GWAS showed links between TRIB3 and information processing speed (p=1.7e-7) [33] and AD (p=0.006; [6]). An earlier genetic study on AD in Swedish men found an association in TRIB3 as well (p=0.044; [34]), which was replicated in a Canadian cohort (p<0.001 ; [35]). Lastly, TRIB3 was reported to physically interact with APP [36] and it shares numerous functional annotations for biological processes regarding lipid metabolism with APOE.

The functional prioritization component of the analysis successfully reduced the set of candidate genetic variants for the independent validation, however, this prioritization
has a shortcoming: it hypothesizes that identified SNPs alter the expression of a nearby gene. Although, this scheme led to the identification of *TRIB3* in the cortical thickness phenotype, it did miss a long-established AD risk gene: *APOE*. SNPs belonging to *APOE* (rs429358 and rs7412) were selected as highest scoring SNPs in component 1. However, none of them was detected as an eQTL and thus *APOE* was excluded from the downstream analysis. Other types of functional prioritizations based on exonic function prediction may have retained *APOE* and other genes in the pipeline. However, SNPs data typically features only a few non-synonymous exonic variants and their high frequency (MAF >5%) renders them unlikely to receive significant ‘damaging’ scores in these predictions. Thus, for this scenario the use of these function predictions would be limited.

The list of genes we identified contains other interesting candidates. For instance, *IL10RA* (interleukin 10 receptor subunit alpha) is a receptor for interleukin 10 (IL10), a cytokine that controls inflammatory response [37]. Carriers of the minor allele show increased *IL10RA* expression (Figure S5) and *Il10ra* expression is increased in affected brain regions with increasing age and presence of AD pathology in transgenic mouse models of AD (MOUSEAC; [38] Figure S9). Moreover, a link between downregulation of *IL10RA* and *TRIB3* in *TRIB3*-silenced HepG2 cells was reported in [25], along with increased abundance of Presenilin 1, ApoE3, and Clusterin. Finally, blocking IL10 response was recently suggested as a therapeutic mechanism in AD [39]. A gene that showed a statistical trend in the validation sample was TM2D1 (TM2 domain containing 1), which is a beta-amyloid binding protein and may be involved in beta-amyloid-induced apoptosis [40]. Further, *MEF2A* (Myocyte Enhancer Factor 2A), like *APOE*, was filtered out by the functional prioritization.
However, $MEF2A$ is a paralog of $MEF2C$, which is an established AD gene [6]. Noteworthy, bins covering $MEF2C$ only barely missed the selection threshold in component 2 for further analysis (max $p=0.926$; Figure 2).

**Methodological Considerations**

The experimental setting proposed in this study is based on the investigation of potential genetic candidates in the AD and healthy training population, and on their testing in the MCI cohort. This experimental choice was motivated by clinical and practical considerations.

From the clinical point of view, although we cannot exclude that the imaging-genetics association patterns could be modulated by state-specific factors throughout the development of the disease [41], the heterogeneity of the MCI label is likely to lead to the inclusion in the discovery dataset of individuals with non-AD pathologies. Thus, including MCIs in the discovery cohort bears the risk of diluting the gene finding (especially considering the relatively low sample size of the study cohort). Likewise, GWAS in AD carried out to date focus on comparing CT and AD. Moreover, the paradigm proposed in this study is rather conservative since it explores associations present throughout the progression of the pathology, i.e., associations were discovered by comparing CT and AD subjects and validated on disease progression in the intermediate MCI cohort. This consideration, while being more conservative, may play in favor of the robustness of the reported results. From a practical point of view, the proposed scheme allowed the validation of the model on a clinically relevant testing cohort by taking advantage of the full sample available in the ADNI dataset. Splitting the available AD and CT subjects into discovery and validation cohort,
would have dramatically reduced the sample size, thus increasing the uncertainty of
the PLS findings.

Concerning the number of components analyzed in the PLS model, we limited the
study to the exploration of the first five eigen-modes. As shown in the experimental
results, the stability of PLS parameters of the high-order components was generally
quite low and did not lead to any significant results after permutation testing. For this
reason, we believe that extending the analysis to higher-order components (e.g.,
components six to ten) would not change the proposed analysis and subsequent
results.

The relevance assessment procedure proposed in this study relies on the choice of
statistical significance thresholds, such as the 10% cutoff on the magnitude of the PLS
weights, and p<0.05 for the selection frequency over the 1,000,000 folds. These
thresholds were not optimized to maximize specific statistical outcome (e.g. the ratio
between true and false positives). Indeed, the optimization of these parameters may
lead to important methodological issues such as overfitting and selection bias [42],
and ultimately lead to poor generalization of the statistical findings. This is
particularly true in the challenging setting proposed in this work, characterized by
large dimensions and low sample size. For this reason, we chose to use standard
cutoffs for significance assessment as a compromise between minimizing this
important source of bias while still identifying meaningful genotype and phenotype
features. Furthermore, we believe that the ultimate approach to assess the validity of
the findings is through testing on genuinely independent data, such as on the MCI
cohort proposed in this study.
Conclusions

This study illustrates the potential of effectively combining multivariate statistical modeling in imaging-genetics with recent instruments available from computational biology, to lead to novel insights on the disease pathophysiology. Thanks to the ever-growing data-driven knowledge based on the vast quantities of information now available to the research community, the paradigm proposed in this study may represent a promising avenue for linking imaging-genetics findings to the current knowledge on functional genetics mechanisms involved in neurodegeneration.

Materials and methods

Study Participants

Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the ADNI database (http://adni.loni.usc.edu). The ADNI was launched in 2003 as a public-private partnership, led by Principal Investigator Michael W. Weiner, MD. The primary goal of ADNI is to test whether serial magnetic resonance imaging, positron emission tomography, other biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological assessment can be combined to measure the progression of MCI and early AD. For up-to-date information, see www.adni-info.org. This research mainly involves further processing of previously collected personal data. We have explicit authorization for the use of the ADNI dataset, and we have signed the relevant papers guaranteeing that we abide to the ethics standards. The ADNI protocol details on page 30-31 the informed consent for imaging data (section d.5.d) and the procedures to maintain confidentiality of the data (section D.5.e).
We selected genotype and phenotype data available in the ADNI-1/GO/2 datasets for 1,192 subjects. Summary socio-demographic, clinical and genetic information are available in Table 1. At time of study entry subjects were diagnosed as healthy individuals (N=401), MCI (N=553) or AD (N=238). A total of 212 (38.3%) MCI patients subsequently converted to AD over the course of the study (6 years). All participants were non-Hispanic Caucasian, with a prevalence of males across the considered groups. AD and MCI groups show significant cognitive decline measured by MMSE and ADAS-COG as compared to the healthy individuals (p<1e-2, two sample t-test for group-wise comparison). There was also a significant increase of individuals with pathological levels of $\text{A}\beta_{1-42}$ in the CSF ($\text{A}\beta_{1-42} < 192\text{pg/ml}$) across the clinical groups, with proportions ranging from 43% for healthy individuals to 93% for AD patients (p<1e-2). Similarly, we observed a higher prevalence of APOE4 carriers in AD and progressing MCI individuals when compared to healthy and MCI stable groups.

In what follows, the 639 healthy and AD subjects form the discovery set, while the MCI converters and non-converters form the independent validation set.

**Data processing**

The imaging phenotype comprised the baseline brain cortical thickness maps estimated with FreeSurfer 5.3 [43] and the bilateral radial thickness maps for hippocampi, amygdalae, thalami, caudate, putamen, globus pallidus and nucleus accumbens. In detail, radial thickness of each subcortical surface model was based on the distance to a medial curve. We fit the medial curve using curve evolution individually for each shape [44]. Surfaces are then registered parametrically to achieve point-to-point correspondence by matching curvature and medial curve-based
features [45,46]. The procedure resembles the cortical surface registration on the sphere performed in FreeSurfer. Finally, the full imaging component comprises 327,684 cortical and 27,120 subcortical features per subject.

SNP genotype data (Illumina Human610-Quad BeadChip for ADNI-1, and Illumina Human Omni Express for ADNI-GO/2) was downloaded from the ADNI website and preprocessed with PLINK [47]. Standard quality control (QC) parameters were used to filter SNPs: minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.01, genotype call rate <95% and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) p-value < 1x10^{-6}. Finally, genotyped SNPs passing QC were used to impute SNPs in the HapMap III reference panel. Imputed SNPs underwent a separate QC regarding minor allele frequency (MAF > 0.01) and imputation quality (imputation R-squared > 0.3) in order to exclude poorly imputed SNPs. For the analysis the individuals' minor allele counts for each of the resulting 1,167,126 SNPs in the 22 autosomes were used.

**Statistical Discovery**

The joint relationship between the genetic and imaging modalities was investigated through partial least squares (PLS) modeling [48,49,50,51,52,53]. Among the several PLS versions proposed in the literature we focus on the symmetric formulation of PLS computed through the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the cross covariance matrix (Figure S1) [51,52,54]. Within this setting, the aim of PLS is to estimate the latent components that maximize the global covariance between the two input modalities. Each input feature receives a weight in the latent component that represents its relative importance for describing the global joint multimodal
relationship. Analyzing these weights helps identifying SNPs that are linked to the patterns of cortical thinning in the brain.

In this study we applied a robust approach for the stable estimation and interpretation of PLS weights in genome-wide genotyping data, aimed at promoting sparsity (i.e., selecting only few features for simplified interpretation) and regularity (by aggregating SNPs within the same genetic neighborhood). This is achieved through a stability selection procedure in which the reproducibility and robustness of the PLS parameters is assessed through a split-half cross-validation based scheme on 1,000,000 repetitions of the models on randomly sampled subgroups (Figure 1 and supplementary Methods).

By considering a pre-defined partition of each chromosome into contiguous loci of size 10kb, the procedure leads to the estimation of a confidence measure taking values ranged between 0.0 and 1.0 indicating the probability of each genetic loci to contain highly reproducible PLS weights, and therefore serving as a measure of importance of the genomic location (Figure 2).

A similar procedure was employed to assess the importance of the phenotype component (Figure 1). However, no regional binning was employed (Figure 3). The procedure was applied to assess the parameter reproducibility of the first five PLS modes; subsequent analyses were performed only on components with relevant genetic and brain regions (i.e., reproducible PLS weights with selection frequency >95%). PLS components and probability measures will be made available at the author’s website.
**Gene identification**

We analyzed the 10kb bins (genetic loci) with the selection frequency exceeding 0.95, i.e., bins selected in 95% or more of the 1,000,000 replications. Within these bins we then identified the influential SNPs: a SNP was declared influential if it was associated with the weights of greatest magnitude in the PLS components estimated on the full data sample, i.e., SNPs with absolute weights exceeding the 99th quantile of all weights in the component. These weights are the ones contributing to the high selection frequency in the split-half procedure, and are representative of the significant variation modeled in the data. Focusing on the features associated with these weights allows us to restrict the functional prioritization on a SNPs subset of reduced dimensionality, by focusing only on the most representative elements.

In order to link SNPs to corresponding genes we used the Ensembl Variant Effect Predictor (VEP) for GRCh37 (date accessed: 17th October 2016) [55] with the GENCODE gene annotation. SNPs tagged as ‘regulatory’ were manually investigated and annotated with the nearby genes.

**Functional prioritization**

All SNPs successfully annotated with a gene were subjected to functional prioritization through expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) analysis based on the Genotype-Tissue-Expression project (GTEx) data. The sample size in GTEx for relevant brain tissues in AD was rather small (e.g., N=81 for hippocampus).

Therefore, we added five more tissues with large samples sizes that were more distantly relevant to AD: nerve tibial (N=256) was added as a proxy for nervous tissue; whole blood (N=338) and artery tibial (N=285) were included to cover blood-based changes and effects on blood vessels [56] adipose subcutaneous (N=298) was
selected due to links between AD and obesity, type-2 diabetes and metabolic disease [57,58]. Finally, transformed fibroblasts (N=272) were included as a general-purpose cell line. P-values were corrected for multiple testing using the Bonferroni method.

**Model validation in independent MCI subjects**

The genes that were found to be under expression control by the identified SNPs were validated for their capacity to predict clinical conversion in MCI subjects. To this end, for each identified gene we applied the PLS weights estimated on the discovery set on the validation set, with the genetic component restricted to SNPs +/- 20kb of the gene borders. The identified latent projections (i.e., a weighted sum of SNPs) results in one score per subject per gene. For each gene the association of the projection score with conversion status was assessed by statistically comparing the scores distribution between healthy individuals and AD patients, and between MCI converters and non-converters (Kruskal-Wallis non parametric test for two sample comparison, Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons).
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**Figure 1.** Cross-validation scheme for the assessment of the genetic loci of maximal genotype-phenotype correlation identified by the PLS model. The whole procedure is repeated 1,000,000 times, and the resulting array is further analyzed. **a)** PLS is applied in a split-half setting. For each of the two non-overlapping randomly sampled groups, the PLS components of joint phenotype and genotype variation are independently estimated. **b)** Left. Each chromosome is partitioned in bins of 10k base-pairs size, which are labeled 1 if they contain a SNP associated to the largest PLS weights (top 10% of absolute values), or 0 otherwise. To obtain stable estimates of the loci of maximal weights, the resulting binary arrays independently estimated in the two groups are merged (bin-wise AND operation). The same procedure is applied on the mesh-based PLS weights associated to the phenotype component. **c)** Steps a) and b) are repeated across 1,000,000 folds, and the results are subsequently averaged to obtain the confidence maps associated to genetic and phenotype components (figures 2 and 3).

**Figure 2.** **PLS genotype component:** the outer circular plots show the probability of a given genetic locus to be associated with the phenotype components shown in Figure 3. The plots show the probability of a given genetic bin of size 10kb of being relevant in the PLS model, i.e., to contain a SNP that is ranked in the top 10% of the absolute weights of the genotype component. Spatially contiguous loci generally show similar importance values, which is caused by LD of these regions. The genes close to the important loci (p>0.95) are listed in the innermost circle depending on their genomic position; genes with eQTLs are highlighted by red font. The inner circular plots show the PLS weights associated to each genetic locus (red: positive, blue: negative). Loci with large absolute weight value are usually characterized by high relevance. The red radial lines are located in correspondence of known AD genes: *ABCA7, APOE, APP,*
BIN1, CASS4, CD2AP, CD33, CELF1, CLU, CR1, DSG2, EPHA1, FERMT2, HLA-DRB5, INPP5D, MAPT, MEF2C, MS4, NME8, PICALM, PSEN1, PSEN2, PTK2B, SLC24A4, SORL1, ZCWPW1. High-resolution circular plots for each component are provided in Supplementary Figures S2, S3 and S4.

**Figure 3.** *PLS Phenotype component:* the figures in the top row show the topographical distribution of the PLS weights associated to the cortical and subcortical brain areas. The absolute value of the weights is proportional to the importance of the underlying brain areas. The relevance of the brain areas is quantified in the bottom row. The colours (red to white) indicate the probability of a brain area to be associated with the genotype component shown in Figure 2, and quantify the probability of each cortical mesh points of being relevant in the PLS model, i.e., to be ranked among the top 10% of the absolute weights of the phenotype component.