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ABSTRACT The COhort of BRonchial obstruction and Asthma (COBRA) is a longitudinal cohort that involves 12 French academic institutions. DNA, serum samples and clinical data are collected at entry and every 6 months thereafter.

Of 1080 patients with asthma recruited between 2007 and 2015, 401 had mild/moderate and 613 had severe asthma. Cross-sectional analyses compared with patients with milder disease, patients with severe asthma had more symptoms, exacerbations, hospitalisations and visits to the emergency department during the preceding 12 months, higher numbers of blood eosinophils, and more comorbidities. More than 60% of patients with severe asthma were therapy-uncontrolled at entry, and 152 of them were being treated with omalizumab. In addition, patients with asthma who had the highest eosinophilia levels (>300/mm$^3$) had shorter asthma duration, lower lung function, and higher rates of severe exacerbations and unacceptable asthma control than patients with lower eosinophil counts.

Longitudinal analyses performed in 427 patients with asthma with at least three differential blood cell counts demonstrated that both eosinophil numbers and eosinophil increase over time were associated with the number of exacerbations occurring until the next visit and with Juniper score.

Studies with the COBRA cohort will help to improve knowledge concerning the risk and biological factors associated with asthma severity and to better understand their influence on the disease trajectory.
Introduction

Asthma is a global health problem affecting more than 300 million people of all ages and ethnic origins around the world [1]. Although most patients are usually highly responsive to inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), associated with short- or long-acting β2 agonists (SABA and LABA, respectively), some patients experience severe and persistent asthma and they respond sub-optimally to high doses of ICS or even oral corticosteroids (OCS). Recent reports estimated that 5–10% of patients with severe asthma fall into a category of relative steroid insensitivity [2, 3]. These patients develop recurrent symptoms and exacerbations and/or persistent airflow obstruction, and they incur significant morbidity, disability and decrement in quality of life.

During the past decade, severe asthma has been identified as a heterogeneous disease, manifesting as several distinct clinical phenotypes that develop through a variety of mechanisms [4, 5]. In particular, the presence or absence of increased numbers of eosinophils defines a pathophysiological and clinically distinct subset of the disease [6]. This phenotypic characterisation has become clinically valuable for developing add-on treatments for patients with severe asthma who are atopic and hypereosinophilic, including development of monoclonal antibodies directed against immunoglobulin E (IgE) (e.g. omalizumab) [7] or the eosinophilic cytokine, interleukin (IL)-5 (e.g. mepolizumab and reslizumab) [8–10]. To date, firm clinical and biological criteria that will enable clinicians to allocate individual patients to specific phenotypic groups remain, to a large extent, to be established.

Studies with cohorts are a prerequisite for characterising novel asthma phenotypes and for developing more personalised treatment [11]. Some European and US cohorts have already provided insights into novel asthma phenotypes, and have improved our understanding of the underlying pathological processes [12–16]. However, most studies conducted with these cohorts were cross-sectional or, when longitudinal, they included low numbers of patients in the cohort or limited numbers of examinations during follow-up [13–15].

In the present report, we describe a novel longitudinal multicentre French cohort of patients with asthma, named the COrhônot of BRonchial obstruction and Asthma (COBRA). This cohort, which involves 12 academic centres in France, started in 2007, is still ongoing and has already enrolled more than 1000 patients, among which approximately 60% have severe asthma.

The current priorities of studies with the COBRA cohort include cross-sectional characterisation of the physiopathological, biological and genetic factors related to asthma, particularly to the severe forms of the disease, as well as their stability over time in longitudinal studies. In this first report, we describe in detail the characteristics of the COBRA cohort. In this study, we investigated variations over time in peripheral blood eosinophils in relation to exacerbations and to hallmarks of asthma control.

These approaches will contribute to the identification of relevant outcomes, biomarkers, and personal and environmental factors that modulate the natural history of severe asthma, thereby providing a mechanistic basis for developing more targeted therapies.

Methods

Patient inclusion and demographic and clinical assessment

This cohort was approved by the CPP Île-de-France I ethics committee (number 09-11962) and all subjects gave their written informed consent before being enrolled. Patients are managed according to the best standard care of the tertiary hospital outpatient clinics in each centre participating in the study.

Between January 2007 and December 2015, 1080 adult patients with asthma, aged between 18 and 85 years, were included. During the first visit, demographic data, personal and family history, age at asthma onset, atopic manifestations, indoor and outdoor allergen exposure, smoking history, allergic and nonallergic co-morbidities, cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions to 11 common allergens, hospitalisations for asthma and admissions to the intensive care unit (ICU), elements from the clinical examination, and details of treatments were recorded in a validated standardised folder (study flow chart is shown in figure 1). In addition, all patients underwent assessment of flow volume, and forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC) were monitored before and after the inhalation of 400 μg of salbutamol. Patients were asked to withdraw the use of SABA and LABA for 6–12 h before the measurements.

Based on the Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) guidelines [17], these 1080 subjects were distributed into five groups (steps 1 to 5) (table 1 and tables S1 to S3). This classification was established by considering the frequency and intensity of daytime and night-time asthma symptoms; the need, dose and type of treatment, including add-on therapies; the use of rescue medication; and the respiratory function.
Specifically, severe asthma was defined according to inadequate symptom control and/or two or more exacerbations (defined as a worsening of asthma symptoms requiring treatment with systemic corticosteroids in the 12 months preceding study inclusion), despite use of at least 1000 μg of fluticasone equivalents, combined with LABA. In these patients with severe asthma, the number of hospitalisations for asthma during their lifetime and during the past year, of emergency department visits in the past year, of ICU admissions, and of exacerbations requiring OCS bursts were also monitored. Asthma control was established using the Asthma Control Questionnaire (ACQ), which contains five activity questions and defines scores <0.5 as optimal asthma control, >0.5–1.5 as suboptimal asthma control and >1.5 as unacceptable asthma control [18].

Any change in treatment, including SABA and LABA, inhaled and/or oral ICS and OCS dose and other controller medication, such as antihistamine, nasal corticosteroids, leukotriene modifiers, theophylline, anticholinergics, and omalizumab, at the end of the inclusion visit was noted.

Data were entered into a central database that was elaborated and managed by LaSer Analytica, Paris, France (http://www.la-ser.com).

Biology
Peripheral venous blood was obtained from each patient at entry for the measurement of total IgE (international units per mL) and blood eosinophilia (number per mm$^3$) and for preparation and storage of 250-μL serum aliquots. In addition, venous blood was collected from all patients into three EDTA-treated vials containing 7 mL blood for DNA isolation. Serum and blood samples were immediately frozen at −80°C in each centre and were then shipped to the Biological ResourcesCentre (CRB) of the Bichat Hospital in Paris (Drs Sarah Tubiana and Virginie Huart). At CRB, DNA was isolated and aliquots were stored at −80°C, together with serum samples. All samples were tracked by a dedicated database.

We examined demographic and clinical factors associated with the number of eosinophils at baseline, using data from 855 of the 1080 patients having peripheral blood cell counts. We distributed these...
### TABLE 1 Patient characteristics at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All subjects</th>
<th>GINA group</th>
<th>p-value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients</strong></td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>68 (6.7f)</td>
<td>151 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Females</strong></td>
<td>694 (64.5)</td>
<td>37 (54.4)</td>
<td>107 (70.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age years</strong></td>
<td>49.1±15.4</td>
<td>40.3±15.5</td>
<td>45.6±15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caucasian origin</strong></td>
<td>866 (82.2)</td>
<td>58 (85.3)</td>
<td>129 (86.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family history of asthma</strong></td>
<td>188 (17.8)</td>
<td>10 (14.7)</td>
<td>21 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameter</strong></td>
<td>TABLE 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation in ICU during past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits to emergency department in past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation in ICU during lifetime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With severe exacerbations in the past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS bursts in the past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total serum IgE IU·mL⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood eosinophils mm⁻³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood eosinophils &lt;300 mm⁻³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood eosinophils &gt;300 mm⁻³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With history of atopy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Positive cutaneous tests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dust mite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cockroach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternaria alternata</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladosporium spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus fumigatus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillium spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Respiratory function</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ % pred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-bronchodilator FEV₁ % pred</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-bronchodilator FEV₁ L &lt;70% predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility to β₂ agonists %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility to β₂ agonists mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With reversibility &gt;12% and &gt;200 mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospitalisations and treatments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisations for asthma during lifetime n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisations for asthma in the past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits to emergency department in the past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation in ICU during lifetime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With severe exacerbations in the past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS bursts in the past year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Continued*
TABLE 1 Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All subjects</th>
<th>GINA group</th>
<th>p-value *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td>239 (23.3)</td>
<td>47 (70.1)</td>
<td>75 (50.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suboptimal</td>
<td>299 (29.2)</td>
<td>15 (22.4)</td>
<td>55 (36.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unacceptable</td>
<td>486 (47.5)</td>
<td>5 (7.5)</td>
<td>19 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACQ score</td>
<td>1.99±1.49</td>
<td>0.67±0.86</td>
<td>1.07±1.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n (%), mean±SD or median (interquartile range). * ANOVA, or chi-squared test across Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) groups 1 to 5. BMI: body mass index; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: forced vital capacity; ICU: intensive care unit; OCS: oral corticosteroids; ACQ: Asthma Control Questionnaire. Bold type denotes statistical significance.

855 patients with asthma into three groups, according to their eosinophil count at baseline, i.e., low eosinophilia, <150 mm−3 (n=271, 31.7%), mild eosinophilia, 150–300 mm−3 (n=251, 29.4%) and high eosinophilia, >300 mm−3 (n=333, 38.9%) (figure 1).

Patient follow-up and longitudinal analyses

In the COBRA cohort, patients were reviewed over a period of 10 years, with a visit performed every 6–9 months for the first 5 years, and then once a year for the following 5 years. At the time of each visit, serum samples were collected and stored at the CRB. Peripheral blood eosinophil level, spirometry and clinical examination results, asthma symptoms and control, and quality of life were recorded, and any change in treatment was noted. Specifically, normalised annual dose and burst frequency of OCS, and addition or removal of controlling medications were recorded.

We first determined the evolution in the number of peripheral blood eosinophils, according to the categories defined above at entry (low, mild and high eosinophilia). This analysis was performed in 427 patients with asthma out of the 1080 initially included, as they had at least three differential blood cell counts, including at the inclusion visit (figure 1). The time elapsed from the first (inclusion) and the third measurement was (mean±SD) 22.0±15.6 months.

Secondly, we examined potential associations between changes in blood eosinophil counts from baseline to various points during follow-up and asthma control.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (V.9.3, SAS Institute Inc., Carey, North Carolina, USA) and R 2.15.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) software programs. In all analyses, p<0.05 was considered significant.

Categorical variables were summarised as numbers and percentages and compared by the Chi-squared test. Normally distributed quantitative variables were reported as mean values and standard deviations (mean±SD) and compared using ANOVA. Non-normal variables were log-transformed prior to testing, and were reported as medians and interquartile range (IQR). These variables were compared using Kruskal–Wallis analysis and Mann–Whitney U-test. Cross-sectional associations between biological and clinical variables at baseline were assessed using the nonparametric Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (ρ). Benjamini–Hochberg correction with a family-wise error rate of 0.05 was used to adjust for multiple comparisons.

The association between eosinophil count, eosinophil count evolution and the rate of asthma exacerbations was assessed using a Poisson regression model. In this model, a random intercept was included (mixed-effects model) to account for several measurements occurring in the same patient. The association between eosinophil count, eosinophil count evolution and the Juniper score was determined using a linear regression model. In this model, a random intercept was included (mixed-effects model) to account for several measurements occurring in the same patient. Multivariate models including tobacco smoking, long-term steroid use and anti-IgE use were built. In these models, all the variables were allowed to vary over time.

Results

Patient characteristics at baseline

In total, 1080 adults were recruited between 2007 and 2015, and they were distributed into five groups: three with either mild or moderate asthma (groups from GINA 1, 2 and 3, n=401) and two with severe asthma (groups from GINA steps 4 and 5, n=613) (table 1). The age of patients with asthma increased as...
the severity of asthma progressed and, therefore, asthma duration was higher in patients with severe asthma (GINA groups 4 and 5) than in patients with mild/moderate disease. Overall, the large majority of the 1080 patients included in the COBRA cohort were of Caucasian origin (i.e. 82.2%), although this proportion was slightly lower in patients with severe asthma from GINA group 5 (74.9%, p=0.08). Compared with patients with mild/moderate asthma, patients with severe asthma had higher numbers of peripheral blood eosinophils (overall p=0.002), and the proportion of patients with blood eosinophil counts of >300 mm$^{-3}$ was higher in both the GINA groups 4 and 5 (43.8 and 47.2%, respectively), than in the groups of patients with mild/moderate asthma (from 26.2% to 37.0%) (table 1). There was also a significant increase in the levels of total IgE in patients with severe asthma in GINA group 5, compared with the other groups (overall p=0.003) (table 1).

Body mass index (BMI) was not statistically different between patients with severe asthma (GINA groups 4 and 5) and those with mild/moderate asthma (GINA groups 1–3) (overall p=0.37). This lack of significance was also found when we analysed only female patients with asthma (overall p=0.37) (table 1).

There was a high incidence of atopy history in the five asthma groups (86.3–91.8%), and this condition slightly, but not significantly, prevailed in GINA groups 2 and 3 (table 1). In addition, whereas the rate of patients with asthma with positive cutaneous tests to most of the allergens tested (latex, cat, dog, rat, cockroach and moulds) was similar in all asthmatic groups, more patients with mild/moderate asthma from GINA groups 2 and 3 had cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions to dust mites and pollen (overall p=0.005 and p=0.004, respectively) (table 1).

As expected, patients with severe asthma (GINA groups 4 and 5) had significantly lower pre- and post-bronchodilator FEV$_1$ and pre-bronchodilator FEV$_1$/FVC, and the proportion of patients with persistent airflow obstruction (i.e. post-bronchodilator FEV$_1$ < 70% of predicted) was higher (44.2% and 50.4% for GINA groups 4 and 5, respectively) than in the groups with mild/moderate asthma (2.6%, 7.2% and 12.6% for GINA groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively) (overall p<0.0001, table 1).

In addition, compared with patients with milder disease, patients with severe asthma had a higher number of hospitalisations and ICU admissions for asthma during their lifetime, and of hospitalisations and emergency department visits for asthma in the past 12 months (table 1). A higher rate of these patients with severe asthma had also experienced severe exacerbations in the past year (78.8% and 85.8% in GINA groups 4 and 5, respectively) compared with patients with mild/moderate asthma (20.0%, 38.4% and 58.6%, in GINA groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively) (overall p<0.0001, table 1). In line with this observation, the number of OCS bursts during the 12 months preceding inclusion in the cohort was higher in patients with severe than in patients with mild/moderate asthma (overall p<0.0001) (table 1).

Finally, 61.3 and 75.1% of the patients with severe asthma in GINA groups 4 and 5, respectively, were considered therapy-uncontrolled, compared with 7.5%, 12.8% and 27.9% of the patients in GINA groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Accordingly, the mean ACQ score of the patients with severe asthma was significantly higher (2.58 and 2.66 in GINA groups 4 and 5, respectively) than those of patients with mild/moderate asthma (values from 0.67 to 1.74 in GINA groups 1 to 3) (overall p=0.0001, table 1).

Lifestyle, environmental exposures and comorbidities
The overall proportion of current smokers was not statistically different across the five groups of patients with asthma (table S1). In contrast, the rate of former smokers was higher in GINA groups 3 and 4 (33.0 and 31.9%, respectively), than in the other groups (between 17.6 and 27.9%, overall p=0.04, table S1). Higher proportions of never smokers were found in GINA groups 1, 2 and 5 (69.1%, 70.2 and 53.9%, respectively) than in GINA groups 3 and 4 (60.4 and 65.2%, respectively, overall p=0.02, table S1).

In addition, a higher proportion of patients with severe asthma from GINA groups 4 and 5 were exposed to dogs (44.5 and 49.5%, respectively, overall p=0.004) and moulds (27.6 and 41.0%, respectively, overall p=0.0008) compared with patients with mild/moderate asthma (table S1). Other environmental and professional exposures are shown in table S1.

There was a higher incidence of sinusitis, nasal polyps (overall p<0.0001), drug allergy (overall p=0.03) and gastro-oesophageal reflux (overall p<0.0001) in patients with severe asthma compared with patients with mild/moderate asthma (table S2). Allergic conjunctivitis prevailed in patients from GINA group 2 (42.4%) and, to a lesser extent, GINA group 3 (32.2%) (overall p=0.04) (table S2). Other comorbidities are listed in table S2.

Medications
The large majority of patients with asthma from GINA groups 3–5 were using both LABA and ICS (table S3). Use of antihistamine, anticholinergic and antigastro-oesophageal reflux disease drugs increased as asthma severity progressed (table S3). Other classes of therapy were also used (table S3).
Because GINA step 5 defines continuous OCS intake and higher levels of add-on treatment [7] we found that 34.0% of patients in group 5 were being treated with OCS (mean daily dose of 22±21 mg) 0.7% with leukotriene modifiers and 74.2% with omalizumab (table S3).

Finally, the mean proportion of patients with asthma in the whole cohort who declared adherence to treatment was 90.9%, with the highest rate (95.6%) observed in patients with severe asthma in GINA group 5 (table S3).

Correlation analyses at baseline

We then examined cross-sectional associations between respiratory function, exacerbations and asthma control and the demographic, biological and clinical parameters collected in the 1080 patients with asthma at inclusion (table 2).

The number of peripheral blood eosinophils negatively correlated with female sex (p=0.04), BMI (p=0.029) and pre-bronchodilator FEV1 (p=0.0004), whereas it was positively associated with age and late asthma onset (p<0.01) allergic sinusitis (p=0.038) and nasal polyps (p=0.0002) (table 2).

Pre-bronchodilator FEV1 negatively correlated with age, Caucasian origin, asthma duration (p<0.004) and treatment (LABA, ICS, anti-IgE, leukotriene modifiers and number of OCS bursts, p<0.003 for all comparisons). FEV1 was also negatively associated with the rate of hospitalisations for asthma during lifetime (p=0.0002) and with most of the comorbidities, particularly with nasal polyps (p=0.0004), allergic rhinitis (p=0.0028), cardiovascular diseases (p=0.0018) and gastro-oesophageal reflux (p=0.009) (table 2).

The proportion of patients with asthma having experienced severe exacerbations in the past year positively correlated with female sex (p=0.034), with former smoking (p=0.026), with treatment (LABA, ICS and

TABLE 2 Main correlation analyses in asthmatics at inclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Blood eosinophils mm⁻³</th>
<th>Pre-bronchodilator FEV1 (%)</th>
<th>With exacerbations in the past year</th>
<th>ACQ score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p-value ¹</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex n</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
<td>0.0432</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age years</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
<td>0.4544</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian origin n</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.7599</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg·m⁻²</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>0.9686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of asthma onset years</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.1190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late asthma onset (&gt;30 years)</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.0111</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.2092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma duration years</td>
<td>-0.168</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total serum IgE IU·mL⁻¹</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.3359</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.2843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood eosinophils ×10⁶ L⁻¹</td>
<td>-0.134</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.0771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of atopy</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>0.2503</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.2084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker n</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>0.0968</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.7451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never smoker n</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.7599</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.5892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smoker n</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.5591</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>0.7454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral corticosteroids bursts in the past y</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.8523</td>
<td>-0.104</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On short-acting β₂-agonists n</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.5314</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.3043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On long-acting β₂-agonists n</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.0566</td>
<td>-0.239</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On inhaled corticosteroids n</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.2743</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On continuous oral corticosteroids n</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.8104</td>
<td>-0.131</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On anti-IgE n</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.2427</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On leukotriene modifiers n</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.0894</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation for asthma during lifetime</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>0.2913</td>
<td>-0.162</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation for asthma in the past y</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>0.8630</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>0.1190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With allergic sinusitis n</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.0378</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>0.7726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With nasal polyps n</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>-0.119</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With allergic rhinitis n</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.7988</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With allergic conjunctivitis n</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>0.0566</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.0472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With cardiovascular diseases n</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>0.0562</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With gastro-oesophageal reflux disease n</td>
<td>-0.013</td>
<td>0.7726</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹: Spearman’s rank order method and Benjamini–Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons. FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; ACQ: Asthma Control Questionnaire; BMI: body mass index. Bold type denotes statistical significance.
Cross-sectional and longitudinal analyses on blood eosinophil count

When patients were classified into three groups according to their eosinophil count at baseline, we confirmed that patients with asthma with high eosinophilia (>300 mm$^{-3}$) belonged mostly to GINA groups 4 and 5 (69.8%). These patients had elevated total IgE levels, late asthma onset, lower asthma duration and FEV$_1$, higher reversibility to β2 agonists, a higher rate of severe exacerbations and higher incidence of unacceptable asthma control (table 3).

We first looked at the variation in blood eosinophils over time for the 427 patients with asthma who had at least three differential blood cell counts. Overall, no significant change was noted (figure S2). Of note, no significant effect of treatment adjustment (leukotriene receptor antagonist, OCS, omalizumab) on the variation in blood eosinophils over time was found (figure S2).

We then analysed the stability of blood eosinophil numbers over time, relative to their count at baseline (figure 2). We found that 32.2%, 22.9% and 24.8%, respectively, of patients with low, mild and high blood eosinophilia at inclusion maintained stable numbers of eosinophils during approximately 2 years of follow-up (figure 2).

In parallel, we investigated the influence of variations in the number of blood eosinophils during follow-up and asthma severity, relative to their counts at inclusion. We found that a higher proportion of patients with mild/moderate asthma (GINA groups 1–3) whose eosinophil number at inclusion was <150 mm$^{-3}$ (p=0.0004, Fisher exact test, two-tailed), or 150–300 mm$^{-3}$ (p=0.001) had stable eosinophilia over time, compared with patients with severe asthma (GINA groups 4 and 5). The opposite results were obtained when we analysed patients with eosinophil counts >300 mm$^{-3}$ at entry, as nearly 70% of patients with severe asthma had stable eosinophil numbers during follow-up compared with 31% of patients with mild/moderate asthma (p=0.0001) (figure 2).

We also determined the effect of OCS on blood eosinophil counts. This analysis was performed on 54 patients with severe asthma who were on daily OCS treatment at inclusion and throughout the entire follow-up period. We found a significant correlation (p=0.04) between decrease in eosinophil numbers and the dose of OCS (figure S1).

Finally, we examined the impact of an increase in the number of blood eosinophils on the occurrence of exacerbations during follow-up. Overall, 2742 exacerbations were reported in the COBRA cohort (1135 patient-years of follow-up), with an unadjusted rate of 2.41. The number of exacerbations per patient per year amounted to 1.97, 2.54 and 2.75 for patients with low (<150 mm$^{-3}$), mild (150–300 mm$^{-3}$), and high eosinophilia (>300 mm$^{-3}$), respectively. During follow-up, both eosinophil count and increase in eosinophil count were associated with the number of exacerbations that occurred until the next visit (table 4). We also found that in this longitudinal analysis, both eosinophil count and the increase in eosinophil count were associated with Juniper score (table 4).

When adjusted for tobacco smoking, FEV$_1$, long-term corticosteroid use and anti-IgE use, the increase in the eosinophil count (per 200 increase) was still associated with the number of exacerbations that occurred until the next visit (p=0.02) whereas eosinophil count was not (p=0.77) (table 5).

We did not find any association between eosinophil count or increase in eosinophil count and hospitalisations (p=0.89 and 0.25, respectively) (table S4).

Finally, we sought to assess the prognostic value of eosinophil count on upcoming exacerbations using the area under the curve (AUC) for receiver operating characteristic (ROC). ROC AUC was 0.54 and 0.59 for eosinophil and delta eosinophil count, respectively.

Discussion

At the time of the current analyses, 1080 patients with asthma were enrolled in the multicentre French COBRA cohort. Among these patients, those with severe asthma (GINA groups 4 and 5) represented more than 60% of the total population. These patients were older, had longer asthma duration, elevated blood eosinophilia and lower lung function, and they had greater exposure to dogs and moulds than patients
TABLE 3 Characteristics of asthmatic patients according to peripheral blood eosinophils at inclusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>All subjects</th>
<th>Eosinophils &lt;150 mm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Eosinophils 150–300 mm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Eosinophils &gt;300 mm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>p-value $^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>271 (31.7)</td>
<td>251 (29.4)</td>
<td>333 (38.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>546 (63.9)</td>
<td>187 (69.3)</td>
<td>165 (65.7)</td>
<td>194 (58.3)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age years</td>
<td>48.6±15.6</td>
<td>50.4±15.7</td>
<td>47.4±15.2</td>
<td>48.1±15.8</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian origin</td>
<td>686 (81.8)</td>
<td>210 (79.5)</td>
<td>210 (84.7)</td>
<td>266 (81.3)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other origin</td>
<td>153 (18.2)</td>
<td>54 (20.5)</td>
<td>38 (15.3)</td>
<td>61 (18.7)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg·m$^{-2}$ all patients</td>
<td>27.1±6.1</td>
<td>26.9±5.9</td>
<td>28.2±6.8</td>
<td>26.5±5.6</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of asthma onset years</td>
<td>24.0±18.5</td>
<td>23.7±18.0</td>
<td>20.9±18.0</td>
<td>26.7±18.9</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late asthma onset (&gt;30 years)</td>
<td>285 (33.9)</td>
<td>88 (33.2)</td>
<td>73 (29.4)</td>
<td>124 (37.7)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma duration years</td>
<td>24.7±17.5</td>
<td>27.0±19.2</td>
<td>26.5±16.8</td>
<td>21.4±16.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild/moderate asthma (GINA 1–3)</td>
<td>320 (38.6)</td>
<td>109 (41.4)</td>
<td>114 (46.3)</td>
<td>97 (30.2)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe asthma (GINA 4–5)</td>
<td>510 (61.4)</td>
<td>154 (58.6)</td>
<td>132 (53.7)</td>
<td>224 (69.8)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total serum IgE IU·mL$^{-1}$</td>
<td>181 (67–457)</td>
<td>101 (39–314)</td>
<td>175 (66–389)</td>
<td>255 (99–609)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With history of atopy</td>
<td>487 (85.5)</td>
<td>147 (85.5)</td>
<td>157 (91.3)</td>
<td>183 (86.3)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-bronchodilator FEV1 % pred</td>
<td>77.6±23.0</td>
<td>80.9±25.0</td>
<td>78.7±22.3</td>
<td>74.1±21.4</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-bronchodilator FEV1 % pred</td>
<td>80.7±31.4</td>
<td>83.4±24.1</td>
<td>83.8±45.1</td>
<td>76.6±22.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-bronchodilator FEV1 L</td>
<td>2.28±0.91</td>
<td>2.31±0.90</td>
<td>2.33±0.91</td>
<td>2.23±0.90</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-bronchodilator FEV1 L</td>
<td>2.36±0.90</td>
<td>2.35±0.93</td>
<td>2.41±0.90</td>
<td>2.34±0.87</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With post-bronchodilator FEV1 &lt;70% pred</td>
<td>168 (33.9)</td>
<td>40 (28.4)</td>
<td>47 (32.0)</td>
<td>81 (38.9)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC % pred</td>
<td>67.6±14.1</td>
<td>69.6±14.6</td>
<td>67.4±14.3</td>
<td>66.1±13.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility to β2-agonists %</td>
<td>9.3±14.8</td>
<td>7.4±14.4</td>
<td>8.7±13.2</td>
<td>11.2±15.9</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility to β2-agonists mL</td>
<td>169±263</td>
<td>140±294</td>
<td>154±245</td>
<td>200±249</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With reversibility &gt;12% and &gt;200 mL</td>
<td>165 (25.1)</td>
<td>33 (16.9)</td>
<td>46 (23.7)</td>
<td>86 (32.0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisations and treatments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisations for asthma during lifetime n</td>
<td>3.74±9.62</td>
<td>3.99±9.41</td>
<td>3.84±10.51</td>
<td>3.47±9.10</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation for asthma in the past year</td>
<td>196 (23.3)</td>
<td>71 (26.7)</td>
<td>49 (20.0)</td>
<td>76 (23.1)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visits to emergency department in the past year</td>
<td>404 (47.6)</td>
<td>131 (48.9)</td>
<td>106 (42.6)</td>
<td>167 (50.5)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalisation in ICU during lifetime</td>
<td>185 (21.9)</td>
<td>64 (23.9)</td>
<td>53 (21.5)</td>
<td>68 (20.5)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With severe exacerbation in the past year</td>
<td>569 (67.4)</td>
<td>185 (69.0)</td>
<td>149 (60.1)</td>
<td>235 (71.7)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS bursts in the past year n</td>
<td>4.1±10.6</td>
<td>4.9±14.0</td>
<td>2.7±6.9</td>
<td>4.4±9.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On maintenance use of OCS</td>
<td>54 (6.4)</td>
<td>20 (7.5)</td>
<td>9 (3.6)</td>
<td>25 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose of OCS mg per day</td>
<td>21.7±17.8</td>
<td>26.0±20.0</td>
<td>22.4±16.8</td>
<td>18.1±16.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimal asthma control</td>
<td>195 (23.4)</td>
<td>66 (24.9)</td>
<td>72 (29.4)</td>
<td>57 (17.6)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suboptimal asthma control</td>
<td>229 (27.5)</td>
<td>85 (32.1)</td>
<td>62 (25.3)</td>
<td>82 (25.4)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unacceptable asthma control</td>
<td>409 (49.1)</td>
<td>114 (43.0)</td>
<td>111 (45.3)</td>
<td>184 (57.0)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACQ score</td>
<td>1.99±1.52</td>
<td>2.04±1.55</td>
<td>1.81±1.51</td>
<td>2.10±1.49</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n (%), mean±SD, or median (interquartile range). $^a$: ANOVA or chi-squared test, or Kruskal–Wallis test across patient groups. BMI: body mass index; GINA: Global Initiative for Asthma; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: forced vital capacity; ICU: intensive care unit; OCS: oral corticosteroids; ACQ: Asthma Control Questionnaire. Bold type denotes statistical significance.

with milder disease. In addition, patients with severe asthma had lower disease control and higher frequency of severe exacerbations and visits to the emergency department in the past year and higher frequency of hospitalisations and ICU admissions for asthma during their lifetime. A higher incidence of nasal polyps, rhinosinusitis, gastro-oesophageal reflux and hypertension was also noted in patients with asthma in GINA groups 4–5 relative to GINA groups 1–3.

As expected, patients with severe asthma were treated with higher doses of ICS and they received more antihistamine, anticholinergic, theophylline, leukotriene modifier and anti-gastro-oesophageal reflux medications than patients with milder disease. Omalizumab was prescribed to 74.2% of patients with severe asthma in GINA group 5 (16.1% of the total asthmatic population). In this group, 34% of patients were also on OCS therapy (7.3% of the total asthmatic population), with a mean daily dose of 22 mg oral
prednisone. Together, these observations indicate that the patients with severe asthma included in the COBRA cohort are among the most severe cases currently described in the literature [11–15].

Correlation analyses conducted in the 1080 patients with asthma at baseline demonstrated highly significant associations between airflow obstruction and several demographic and clinical characteristics, including female sex, age, BMI, age of asthma onset, duration of asthma, presence of atopy, atopic and non-atopic comorbidities, and treatments. In addition, the incidence of severe exacerbations in the past year and the ACQ score, used as an index of asthma control, correlated significantly with female sex, BMI, steroid and add-on therapy, and nonallergic (but not allergic) comorbidities, including gastro-oesophageal reflux and cardiovascular diseases.

Overall, these findings confirm, to a large extent, previous observations obtained with other cohorts or with large groups of patients [2,13, 14, 19–22], thereby validating the COBRA cohort as a suitable tool for studies on epidemiological, physiopathological and clinical aspects of asthma.

### TABLE 4 Influence of eosinophil count evolution on Juniper score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Value (se)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>11.9 (0.68)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils count per 200</td>
<td>0.22 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta eosinophil count per 200 increase</td>
<td>0.22 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: adjusted for tobacco smoking, forced expiratory volume in 1 second, and long-term corticosteroids and anti-IgE use.

### TABLE 5 Influence of eosinophil count evolution on exacerbation rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate analysis</th>
<th>Multivariate analysis *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophils count per 200 increase</td>
<td>1.21 (1.01–1.47)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta eosinophil count per 200 increase</td>
<td>1.24 (1.10–1.40)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: adjusted for tobacco smoking, forced expiratory volume in 1 s, and long-term corticosteroids and anti-IgE use.
Measurement of peripheral blood eosinophils is an easily obtainable and reliable biomarker, allowing the identification of specific asthma phenotypes [23, 24]. High eosinophilia (usually defined as blood eosinophil counts of $>300 \, \text{mm}^{-3}$ or $>400 \, \text{mm}^{-3}$) is associated with poor asthma control and elevated incidence of severe exacerbations [25, 26]. In this context, SCHLEICH et al. [27] recently showed that exacerbations were particularly frequent when combining blood and sputum eosinophil counts, underlying the role of both systemic and local eosinophilic inflammation.

Eosinophil count also provides guidance to identify a threshold for characterising clinical responses to biologics, particularly those targeting T helper 2-type responses [6, 27]. To examine the evolution of eosinophils over time, we first separated our 1080 patients into three groups, according to the number of blood eosinophils at inclusion ($<150 \, \text{mm}^{-3}$ (low), $150–300 \, \text{mm}^{-3}$ (mild) and $>300 \, \text{mm}^{-3}$ (high)). We found that only 32.2%, 22.9% and 24.8%, respectively, of these patients maintained stable numbers of eosinophils during approximately 2 years of follow-up. These results differ from those of the EGEA study [15], which conducted analyses of blood granulocytes in 242 patients with asthma, having two measurements 12 years apart. In that study, in which patients were separated into two groups: (high and low eosinophil patterns), according to an eosinophil count cut-off point of 250/mm $^3$ the study found that 52% of patients with asthma showed a similar profile 12 years after the first evaluation. The different cut-off level and the different time intervals between measurements of eosinophil count may explain the differences observed between the EGEA and the COBRA cohorts.

The stability of phenotype based on eosinophilic pattern was also explored in the longitudinal cohort, BIOAIR [14], which included 169 patients with asthma, 93 of whom had severe asthma. Among these patients with asthma, only 80 with severe and 58 with mild asthma completed 1 year of follow-up study. In the BIOAIR cohort, 48.6% of the patients changed allocation, depending on the number of sputum eosinophils [14].

Our current results have an important clinical impact as blood eosinophils have been proposed as a biological biomarker to personalise immunological biotherapies and to target severe asthma with elevated blood eosinophil counts for treatment with anti-interleukin-5 (anti-IL-5) antibodies [6]. Interestingly, we found that the proportion of patients with stable eosinophilia was markedly influenced by the GINA step at inclusion. Indeed, approximately 60% of the patients in GINA groups 1–3 who had low/mild eosinophil count at inclusion had stable eosinophilia over time, whereas 70% of the patients with high eosinophil count in GINA groups 4–5 remained stable.

This suggests that an eosinophil cut-off of $300 \, \text{mm}^{-3}$ is a more reliable biomarker than a lower one to guide the prescription of an anti-IL-5 monoclonal antibody in patients with severe asthma (GINA 5). It should be noted that the rate of patients with severe asthma in the COBRA cohort who shifted from GINA 4–5 to GINA 1–3 after 12 months follow-up was low, amounting to 16%.

Finally, we found that the eosinophil count and an increase in the number of eosinophils during follow-up were predictive of the occurrence of exacerbations and loss of asthma control. In the EGEA study [26], changes in eosinophilic profiles between the two evaluation points 12 years apart were unrelated to asthma control. As stated above, these discrepancies may originate from the differences in the eosinophil thresholds, duration of follow-up and frequency of eosinophil measurement used in the two studies.

Several confounding factors, particularly changes in medications, may have a potential influence on phenotype stability and on blood eosinophil count. In the BIOAIR cohort [14], the rate of sputum eosinophils was not influenced by changes in the dose of ICS. The same observation was reported in our cohort for the 26 patients with severe asthma who were on OCS during the follow-up period [14]. However, the relationship between blood and sputum eosinophils is not clearly established in a recent study, blood eosinophil counts were poor predictors of sputum eosinophil percentages [28]. This was confirmed by a recent systematic review and meta-analysis [29], in which the sensitivity of blood eosinophils for detecting sputum eosinophils of 3% or more and 2% or more was low, ranging from 0.52 to 0.77.

In a sub-analysis conducted in a small group of patients with severe asthma on maintenance use of OCS, we also demonstrated that the decrease in blood eosinophil count significantly correlated with the daily dose of OCS. This finding underlines the importance of considering a treatment and the dose of OCS when using blood eosinophil count as a biomarker to prescribe a biotherapy, such as an anti-IL-5.

In conclusion, deciphering severe asthma phenotypes based on clinical, physiological and biological variables remains an important challenge, especially in view of the availability of novel targeted biotherapies [30]. The COBRA cohort has been successful in recruiting a large number of patients, particularly patients with severe asthma with similar characteristics as those previously reported in other cohorts. COBRA, which to our knowledge is one of the largest longitudinal cohorts identified, will be a
useful tool to better characterise different asthma phenotypes and to identify associated biomarkers, as well as their stability over time.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients who made this study possible and the Investissement d’Avenir - Program ANR-11-IDEX-0005-02, Laboratoire d’Excellence, INFLAMEX.

The investigators and contributors of the COBRA cohort Study Group are as follows: M. Aubier (coordinator), B. Crestani, C. Taillé, M.C. Domont and M. Pretolani (all from Inserm UMR1152 and Bichat-Claude Bernard University Hospital, Paris); P. Berger (Inserm UMR 1045, University Hospital, Bordeaux), A. Bourdin, I. Vachier and N. Molinari (University Hospital, Montpellier); P. Chanez (St.Marguerite University Hospital, Marseille); T. Similowski (Inserm UMR1158, La Pitié Salpêtrière University Hospital, Paris); A. Didier (University Hospital, Toulouse); F. De Blay (University Hospital, Strasbourg); M. Humbert and G. Garcia (Inserm UMR999, University Hospital Bicêtre, Clamart), A. Magnan (Inserm UMR915, University Hospital, Institut du Thorax, Nantes); B. Maître (Inserm UMR 955, University Hospital, Mondor-Créteil); N. Roche (University Hospital Cochin-Broca-Hôtel Dieu, Paris); A. Tsicopoulos and C. Chenivesse (Inserm UMR1019, University Hospital, Lille); G. Deslée (Maison Blanche University Hospital, Reims;CH Marquette (Pasteur University Hospital,Nice); G Devouassoux (Croix Rousse University Hospital, Lyon).

Third parties contributing to the study include Clinical Investigation Centre (CIC), and Biological Resource Centre (CRB), both at the Bichat hospital,Paris,and LaSer Analytica,Paris,France.

References