Chlorine measurements at the 5MV French AMS national facility ASTER: Associated external uncertainties and comparability with the 6MV DREAMS facility

R. Braucher, K. Keddadouche, G. Aumaître, D.L. Bourles, M. Arnold, S. Pivot, M. Baroni, A. Scharf, G. Rugel, E. Bard

► To cite this version:

R. Braucher, K. Keddadouche, G. Aumaître, D.L. Bourles, M. Arnold, et al.. Chlorine measurements at the 5MV French AMS national facility ASTER: Associated external uncertainties and comparability with the 6MV DREAMS facility. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 2018, 420, pp.40 - 45. 10.1016/j.nimb.2018.01.025 . hal-01705927

HAL Id: hal-01705927

<https://hal.science/hal-01705927>

Submitted on 3 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Manuscript Number: V1586R1

Title: Chlorine measurements at the 5 MV French AMS national facility
ASTER: associated external uncertainties and comparability with the 6MV
DREAMS facility.

Article Type: Full Length Article

Keywords: Accelerator mass spectrometry, Chlorine , Standard, Cosmogenic
nuclides

Corresponding Author: Dr. Régis Braucher,

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: Régis Braucher

Order of Authors: Régis Braucher; Karim Keddadouche; Georges Aumaître;
Didier L Bourlès; Maurice Arnold; Sébastien Pivot; Mélanie Baroni;
Andreas Scharf; Georg Rugel; Edouard Bard

Abstract: After 6 years of ^{36}Cl routine operation, more than 6000 unknown
samples have been measured at the 5 MV French accelerator mass
spectrometry (AMS) national facility ASTER (CEREGE, Aix en Provence).
This paper presents the long term behavior of ASTER through the analysis
of the measurements of the most used chlorine standards and reference
materials, KNSTD1600, SM-Cl-12 and SM-CL-13 over a 46 months' time
period.

Comparison of measured chlorine concentrations (both ^{35}Cl and ^{36}Cl) from
ice samples on two AMS facilities operating at 5MV (ASTER) and 6MV
(DREAMS, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf) and normalizing to two
different reference materials agree within uncertainties making both
reference materials (SM-Cl-12 and KNSTD1600) suitable for ^{36}Cl
measurement at ASTER.

1 Chlorine measurements at the 5 MV French AMS national facility ASTER: associated external uncertainties
2 and comparability with the 6MV DREAMS facility.

3
4 R. Braucher¹, K. Keddadouche^{1,2}, G. Aumaître^{1,2}, D.L. Bourlès^{1,2}, M. Arnold^{1,2}, S. Pivot¹, M. Baroni¹, A.
5
6 Scharf³, G. Ruge³, E. Bard¹.

7
8 ¹ Aix Marseille Univ., CNRS, IRD, Coll. France, CEREGE, Plateau de l'Arbois, BP 80, 13545 Aix en
9
10 Provence, France
11
12 ² ASTER-Team, Aix Marseille Univ., CNRS, IRD, Coll. France, CEREGE, Plateau de l'Arbois, BP 80, 13545
13
14 Aix en Provence, France
15
16 ³ Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Helmholtz Institute Freiberg for Resource Technology, Bautzner
17
18 Landstr. 400, 01328. Dresden, Germany
19
20
21
22

23
24
25
26 Corresponding author: Régis Braucher; Tel +33442971509; braucher@cerege.fr
27
28

29 Abstract:
30

31
32 After 6 years of ³⁶Cl routine operation, more than 6000 unknown samples have been measured at the 5 MV
33
34 French accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) national facility ASTER (CEREGE, Aix en Provence). This
35
36 paper presents the long term behavior of ASTER through the analysis of the measurements of the most used
37
38 chlorine standards and reference materials, KNSTD1600, SM-CI-12 and SM-CL-13 over a 46 months' time
39
40 period.
41
42 Comparison of measured chlorine concentrations (both ³⁵Cl and ³⁶Cl) from ice samples on two AMS facilities
43
44 operating at 5MV (ASTER) and 6MV (DREAMS, Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf) and
45
46 normalizing to two different reference materials agree within uncertainties making both reference materials
47
48 (SM-CI-12 and KNSTD1600) suitable for ³⁶Cl measurement at ASTER.

49 Keywords: Accelerator mass spectrometry, Chlorine, Standard, Cosmogenic nuclides.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

28 1 In April 2017, ASTER, the 5MV French Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) national facility hosted by
29 2 CEREGE in Aix-en-Provence will pass a decade since the acceptance tests. Since then, ASTER is routinely
30 3 measuring ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al [1, 2]. The ions extracted from a SO110 hybrid ion source [3] are first energy-
31 4 analysed by a 54 degree electrostatic deflector before being mass-analyzed by a 90 degree magnet
32 5 equipped with a fast bouncing system that sequentially injects the isotopes of interest with a repetition
33 6 rate of ~ 100 Hz. A fast beam blanking unit defines with nanosecond resolution the exact duration
34 7 during which the different isotopes are injected through the accelerator. The HVE model 4150 Tandatron
35 8 TM accelerator [4] is equipped with an all-solid-state power supply. The high energy-spectrometer features a
36 9 90 degree analyzing magnet with Faraday cups installed for measurement of the stable isotopes. Faraday cups
37 10 are followed by SiN absorber foil and a 35 degree electrostatic deflector. Two sets of Q-pole doublets just
38 11 before and after the electrostatic deflector serve for proper focusing of the beam that suffers from substantially
39 12 emittance growth by scattering in the foil. A 30 degree magnet reduces background from ions that have been
40 13 scattered on the deflector electrodes. The magnet is mounted vertically to uncouple the dispersive action of the
41 14 electrostatic deflector and the magnet. The measurement of all isotopes is gated in synchronization with their
42 15 corresponding injection periods. The rare isotope is detected in a high-resolution, 4-anode gas ionization
43 16 chamber.

44 17 Because regarding volatile elements (^{36}Cl , ^{129}I) the initial Cs-sputter ion source SO110 induced sample-to-
45 18 sample cross-contamination, the source and aperture designs as well as the shape of the cathodes have been
46 19 upgraded to reduce the resulting memory effects to significantly less than $\sim 0.1\%$, allowing routine
47 20 measurements of these volatile elements [4,5] since 2010. More specifically, an average number of 1000 ^{36}Cl
48 21 unknown targets are measured per year, all being normalized to AgCl materials (KNSTD1600;
49 22 $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl} = 2.112 \times 10^{-12}$) prepared by Kuni Nishiizumi [6]. To monitor the long term variability of ASTER and
50 23 to determine the external uncertainties associated to the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios, it has be
51 24 decided to measure together with the KNSTD1600 standard, the SM-Cl-X reference materials. Prepared by S.
52 25 Merchel under the auspice of the European project “CRONUS-EU”, these SM-Cl-X reference materials have
53 26 been cross-calibrated through an interlaboratory comparison involving eight AMS facilities worldwide. The
54 27 resulting round-robin data are fully presented in Merchel et al. (2011) [7]. The aim of this paper is therefore
55 28 not to refine the SM-Cl-X standards calibration with more data points but to quantify the external
56 29 uncertainties associated to chlorine measurements at the 5MV French Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS)
57 30 national facility ASTER. These measurements also aimed at replacing the KNSTD1600 standard whose
58 31 availability is not guaranteed in the long term, by the SM-Cl-X reference materials. In order to confirm the
59 32 change of reference material after a 46-month period of measurements of SM-Cl-X vs. KNSTD1600 at
60 33 ASTER, an inter comparison has been conducted on an identical batch of samples measured ^{36}Cl
61 34 concentrations measured in the same ice samples both at ASTER and at DREAMS, the 6MV AMS-facility
62 35 hosted by the HDZR Ion Beam Center in Dresden [8,9,10] (Table 1) are then compared for these two AMS
63 36
64 37
65 38

facilities working at different energies and provide results normalized to different standards (KNSTD1600 for ASTER, SMCI-XX for DREAMS. For this inter comparison, modern snow samples from Antarctica have been chosen for their high ^{36}Cl content and their low natural chlorine concentration on the order of 100 ppb. In addition to compare the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ and the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios given by the two AMS facilities along with the calculated ^{36}Cl concentration, the natural chlorine concentration has been determined by isotopic dilution and compared to the values independently obtained by ion chromatography.

2 Determination of the external uncertainties

Chlorine measurements at ASTER.

At ASTER, chlorine measurements are performed as explained hereafter:

Standardization:

- 3 to 4 cathodes of KNSTD1600 standard are measured per batch. Each cathode is measured three times 10 minutes divided in 20 blocks of 30 seconds each. The first 2 minutes of each measurement period are discarded since they may potentially be affected by memory effects. Typical ^{35}Cl currents are ranging from 25-30 μA and regarding ^{36}Cl , 7.000 to 15.000 events are detected.

- At the end of the batch, the three individual measurements performed on each KNSTD1600 cathode are statistically compared (using a Chi2 test following [11]) and recombined to provide the measured $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios associated to each KNSTD1600 cathode. Considering then the 3 to 4 different KNSTD1600 standard cathodes, their respective ratios are compared following the same Chi2 test and a weighted mean is calculated that allows determining the machine response and the correction factor to apply to back calculate the ratios associated to the samples to be measured (three corrections factors are thus determined, one for $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, one for $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$, and one for $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$).

Unknown measurements.

- Samples are measured three times 20 minutes divided in 40 blocks of 30 seconds each. Similarly, the first 2 minutes of each measurement period are discarded and the three measurements (20 minutes each) are compared and recombined to provide $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios that are finally multiplied by the previously determined correction factors to obtain the sample $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios. The previously described procedure is the procedure by default used for the majority of the samples. Highly ^{36}Cl concentrated samples implying a significantly higher counting statistics may only be measured three times 5 minutes divided in 15 blocks of 20 seconds each.

Together with standards and unknown samples, one background cathode filled with a natural chlorine carrier with a low ^{36}Cl content is measured to monitor the cleanliness of the ion source ($^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl} < 3.10^{-15}$)

External uncertainties determination

From February 2013 to December 2016, SM-Cl-12 and SM-Cl-13 reference materials were measured together with the KNSTD1600 standard. As the internationally cross-calibrated SM-Cl-12 reference material may eventually be considered as a substitute for KNSTD1600, an equivalent number of SM-Cl-12 and KNSTD1600 cathodes were measured. In addition, one SM-Cl-13 cathode was measured per batch as SM-Cl-13 will be used to monitor the long term stability of ASTER. Over the covered time period, this represents 189 cathodes of SM-Cl-12 and 31 cathodes of SM-Cl-13 measured.

Assuming a Gaussian distribution centered on the mean, cumulative distribution functions ordering the measurements from the minimum to the maximum value have been plotted for the SM-Cl-12/KNSTD1600 (Fig. 1) and the SM-Cl-13/KNSTD1600 (Fig. 2) ratios considering all $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ individual measurements. All ratio measurements (SM-Cl-12/KNSTD1600 and SM-Cl-13/KNSTD1600) are presented in the Supplementary Material (Sup Table 1).

The distribution function used is $F(x, \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{(2\sigma)^2}}$ where x is the ratio ($^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ or $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$) for which we are looking for the distribution; μ , the mean; and σ , the standard deviation. The mean

standard error is calculated as follows: mean standard error = $\sqrt{\left[\frac{\text{stand.dev.}}{\sqrt{\text{Number of measur.}}}\right]^2}$ (Table 2). When all

SM-Cl -X / KNSTD1600 ratios for each isotopic ratio ($^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$) are taken into account, they do not satisfy the Chi2 test for none of the three $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios (Table 2).

To make all these isotopic ratios satisfy the Chi2 test, an external machine error has thus to be added to the analytical uncertainties (counting statistics + variability of the standard during the batch). Contrary to ^{10}Be and ^9Be , yielding to one isotopic ratio and thus to one external machine error, this has to be done in the peculiar case of chlorine for the three isotopic species yielding to 3 isotopic ratios. For SM-Cl-12, all SM-Cl-12/KNSTD1600 ratios belong to the same population for a given isotopic ratio providing that an external uncertainty of 2.74%, 2.13% and 1.62 % is added to the analytical uncertainties for $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios, respectively. Regarding SM-Cl-13, all SM-Cl-13/KNSTD1600 ratios belong to the same population for a given isotopic ratio providing that an external uncertainty of 2.06%, 2.31% and 1.58 % is added to the analytical uncertainties for $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios, respectively (Table 3, 4).

To our knowledge, the determined external uncertainties presented above are the first one reported for an AMS facility working at such low ion energy (~30MeV) and using a post stripping degrade. They are in agreement with those presented by the SUERC group using a different AMS technology but working at the same energy. SUERC group has proposed uncertainties of ~3% and 1.5% for $^{36}\text{Cl}/\text{Cl}$ ratios and stable chlorine measurements respectively [12]. These numbers were reached after 3 years measurements of a secondary standard (KNSTD 5.0×10^{-13}).

External uncertainty associated to the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratio on the order of 3 % might be considered as high. However, one has to keep in mind that: a/ the 5MV required to reach the charge state 5+ for chlorine represents the upper working limit for ASTER; and, b/ an as efficient as possible isobaric separation relies at

135 ASTER on post-stripping which implies the use of a degrader foil installed behind the focal plane of the 90°-
 136 high energy magnet combined with a strict energy selection (see [2, 13] for more details). These working
 137 conditions imply that although the region of interest (ROI) corresponding to the ^{36}Cl and its interfering isobar,
 138 ^{36}S , are carefully defined after a conditioning of the accelerator tube without gas stripper and a subtle tuning
 139 of the facility (Cs-beam focus, magnetic fields, Einzel lenses, electrostatic deflectors),, at 5 MV the difference
 140 in energy loss of the two isobars ^{36}Cl - ^{36}S is small compared to the total energy loss. Therefore, the lowest
 141 energy post-stripping $^{36}\text{S}^{10+}$ ions may have energy similar to the highest energy post-stripping $^{36}\text{Cl}^{10+}$ ions.
 142 Therefore, both isobars are not perfectly separated in the electrostatic and magnetic analyzers following the
 143 degrader foil and preceding the ΔE -E gas ionization detector and their respective ROI are not well
 144 dissociated. Consequently, before starting a new measurement batch (~ every 2 days), all the tunings have to
 145 be updated to take into account the degrader foil deterioration, the temperature fluctuation, etc. Despite these
 146 limiting conditions, standard variations within a single batch are typically less than 1.5%. The 2.74 % external
 147 error associated to the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratio measurement determined over a 46 months period (56 batches) appears
 148 thenceforth acceptable.

150 **3 Measurements of ice test samples on two AMS: the 5MV ASTER and the 6MV DREAMS.**

152 Considering the previously discussed limitations induced by the ASTER terminal voltage, it appeared
 153 necessary to compare chlorine measurements performed at ASTER with chlorine measurements performed at
 154 other operating facilities. An inter comparison on both ^{36}Cl and Cl concentrations measured in snow samples
 155 was thus conducted on two different AMS facilities: the 5MV ASTER and the 6MV DREAMS. Historically
 156 ^{10}Be has been measured in ice cores to document past solar activity ([14,15,16] and geomagnetic excursions
 157 [17, 18]. Such deposits consisting of a chemically simple and clean substrate accumulate at rates high enough
 158 to allow high resolution records which may sometimes reach an annual resolution [19]. However, ^{10}Be
 159 deposition may also be influenced by phenomenon not related to the heliomagnetic or geomagnetic
 160 modulations such as volcanic eruptions and atmospheric circulation [20]. Comparison between different
 161 cosmogenic nuclides having their own geochemical cycle and deposition behavior is then a means to
 162 significantly improve our understanding of the processes governing the modulation of their production rate in
 163 the atmosphere. In this context, ^{36}Cl concentration measurements in ice cores have been developed at
 164 CEREGE in order to complement the ^{10}Be ones.

165 In order to valid the sample preparation methods as well as the ^{36}Cl concentration measurement from snow
 166 and ice developed at CEREGE, an inter-comparison between the measurements performed at ASTER
 167 (CEREGE) and DREAMS (HDZR Ion Beam Center, Dresden) has been conducted. Besides ^{36}Cl
 168 concentrations obtained from $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratio measurements, the natural chloride concentrations can be
 169 retrieved either from AMS measurements using isotopic dilution from spiked samples [21] , or from ion
 170 chromatography measurements [22]. These two independent methods have also been implemented on a few

samples of the 14 specifically prepared for both ASTER and DREAMS measurements to test the reliability of the ^{36}Cl concentrations measured from snow (or ice) samples with low natural Cl^- concentration.

All the necessary material having been previously rinsed three times with ultra-pure water in order to prevent any contamination, roughly 5 kg of snow were melted in one bottle. This snow comes from a snow pit dug in 2012 at the Dome C-Concordia station in Antarctica (Location: 78°S , 106°E ; elevation: 3488 m; mean annual temperature: -55°C). It was taken along the first 51 cm of the 2 m snow pit and approximatively covers the 2005-2011 time period. The 5 kg of melted snow were then distributed in 14 different bottles containing approximately 350 g of sample. For the ion chromatography measurements processed at the IGE laboratory (Institut des Géosciences de l'Environnement, Grenoble, France), and before any chemical preparation, aliquots of 5 mL were collected from the original sample and from each of the 14 individual samples. Because of the low Cl^- content in snow samples (~ 100 ppb), a carrier has to be added in order to get the minimal ~ 2 mg of Cl^- needed for AMS measurements. Six of these samples have been spiked with an enriched ^{35}Cl (99.89%) solution, while a natural NaCl carrier solution has been added to the eight remaining samples, six with a NaCl carrier currently used at ASTER as an AMS facility background blank, and two with a new NaCl carrier never tested before. Six procedural blanks were also prepared, two from the ^{35}Cl enriched spike, two from the ASTER background blank NaCl carrier and two from the never tested NaCl carrier (See supplementary table). These 20 samples (14 snow samples + 6 blanks) were prepared according to the Conard et al. [23] procedure. After being acidified with a HNO_3 solution, the addition of AgNO_3 solution into the samples allowed the AgCl precipitation. After being kept in the dark for two days to complete the precipitation, AgCl was separated with a centrifuge. Each precipitate was dissolved with NH_4 and then 1 ml of a saturated $\text{Ba}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ solution had been added to remove sulfur contents in the form of BaSO_4 . After being precipitated again with HNO_3 , AgCl targets were rinsed three times with ultra-pure water, and then dried at 80°C for about 48 hours (All data are provided in the supplementary material Sup Table 2).

7 of the 14 samples were measured at DREAMS (samples 1 to 7), 3 spiked with the ^{35}Cl enriched solution and 4 complemented with the natural NaCl carrier (3 with the ASTER tested carrier and 1 with the never tested carrier), and the 7 others were measured at ASTER (samples 8 to 14) (Table 5). One blank sample prepared from these 3 different spike and carrier solutions was also measured on each AMS facility (samples 15 to 20 in the supplementary material Sup Table 2). The $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratios of the two spiked blanks measured at DREAMS and ASTER are not significantly different. The blanks complemented with natural NaCl carriers show lower ^{36}Cl contribution. Indeed, no ^{36}Cl atoms were detected for the ASTER tested NaCl carrier, while the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratio associated to the never tested carrier is 2 to 8 times lower at ASTER and DREAMS, respectively, than that associated to the spike solution. Despite the higher $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratio associated to the spiked blank, the signal to noise ratio is always higher than 150, well above the quantification limit. The relevant $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ blank values were subtracted from the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ sample ratios to determine the ^{36}Cl concentration of the samples (Table 5). In addition, the natural Cl^- concentration determined by ion chromatography was also accounted for the determination of the ^{36}Cl concentrations.

207 Natural Cl⁻ concentration measured at the IGE laboratory lead to values ranging from 102 to 106 ppb (with an
208 associated uncertainty of ~ 5 %), in agreement with the concentration of 106 ppb measured from the initial
209 sample before it splitting. The chloride concentration derived from the isotopic dilution of the 6 spiked
210 samples can be directly compared to the concentration derived from ion chromatography (samples 1 to 3 at
211 DREAMS and 8 to 10 at ASTER). The natural Cl⁻ concentrations deduced from the ³⁵Cl enriched spiked
212 samples ranges from 95 to 107 ppb. Although exhibiting a higher variability than ion chromatography, the Cl⁻
213 concentrations obtained from these two independent methods are in agreement implying that both methods are
214 relevant for total chloride concentration determination at low levels in snow/ice samples (Fig. 3).

215 Because the measurements may be normalized to either the SM-Cl-12 reference material or the KNSTD1600
216 standard measured together at ASTER, it was thus possible to compare the ³⁶Cl concentrations determined at
217 ASTER against SM-Cl-12 and KNSTD1600 and that determined at DREAMS against SM-Cl-12. Regardless
218 of the normalization scheme and the AMS facility used, the obtained weighted mean ³⁶Cl concentrations from
219 the 7 measurements all satisfy the Chi2 test.

220 The two weighted means from ASTER normalized against KNSTD1600 $(3.76 \pm 0.07) \times 10^4$ at/g and from
221 DREAMS normalized against SM-Cl-12 $(3.66 \pm 0.05) \times 10^4$ at/g also agree within uncertainties. This inter
222 comparison validates the use of the SM-Cl-12 as the new reference material replacing the KNSTD1600
223 standard at ASTER.

226 4 Conclusion.

227 The long term behavior of the 5 MV French AMS national facility ASTER (CEREGE, Aix en Provence) has
228 been investigated through the analysis of the measurements of the most used chlorine standards and reference
229 materials, KNSTD1600, SM-Cl-12 and SM-CL-13 over a 46 months' time period. The obtained data allow to
230 determine the SM-Cl-12/KNSTD1600 and SM-Cl-13/KNSTD1600 ratios for the three chlorine isotopic ratios
231 (³⁶Cl/³⁵Cl, ³⁶Cl/³⁷Cl and ³⁵Cl/³⁷Cl) which are used to constrain the external uncertainty linked to the ASTER
232 operating conditions and that has to be propagated with the internal uncertainties (counting statistics, standard
233 variability).

234 Comparison of measured chlorine concentrations from snow samples on two AMS facilities operating at 5MV
235 and 6MV and normalizing to two different reference materials agree within uncertainties making both
236 reference materials (SM-Cl-12 and KNSTD1600) suitable for ³⁶Cl measurement at ASTER.

238 Acknowledgements

239 Parts of this research were carried out at the Ion Beam Centre (IBC) at the Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-
240 Rossendorf e. V., a member of the Helmholtz Association. We would like to thank Silke Merchel for her
241 valuable assistance. The Dome C snow pit has been dug in the frame of the VANISH project (ANR-07-
242 VULN-013) with the logistical support of the French Polar Agency-IPEV. ASTER AMS national facility

(CEREGE, Aix en Provence) is supported by the INSU/CNRS, the ANR through the "Projets thématiques d'excellence" program for the "Equipements d'excellence" ASTER-CEREGE action and IRD.

This work has been partially funded by CNRS through bilateral France - Germany project PICS 6407. The suggestions of the anonymous reviewer also helped to improve the quality of this paper.

References

[1] M. Arnold , S. Merchel, D.L. Bourlès, R. Braucher, L. Benedetti, R.C. Finkel, G. Aumaître, A. Gott dang, M. Klein, The French accelerator mass spectrometry facility ASTER: Improved performance and developments, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B, 268, 1954–1959, (2010).

[2] M.G. Klein, A. Gott dang, D.J.W. Mous, D.L. Bourlès, M. Arnold, B. Hamelin, G. Aumaître, R. Braucher , S. Merchel, F. Chauvet, Performance of the HVE 5 MV AMS system at CEREGE using an absorber foil for isobar suppression, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 266, 1828-1832, (2008).

[3] M.G. Klein, D.J.W. Mous, A. Gott dang, A compact 1 MV multi-element AMS system. B. Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 249(1–2), 764–7 (2006)

[4] A. Gott dang, D.J.W. Mous, R.G. Haitsma, "The novel HVEE 5 MV Tandetron TM", Nucl. Instr. and Meth. B 190, 177 - 182, (2002).

[4] M. Arnold; G. Aumaître; D. L. Bourlès; K. Keddadouche; R. Braucher; R. C Finkel; E. Nottoli ; L. Benedetti; S. Merchel, The French accelerator mass spectrometry facility ASTER after 4 years: Status and recent developments on ^{36}Cl and ^{129}I , Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B, 294, 24-28, (2013).

[5] R. Finkel, M. Arnold, G. Aumaître, L. Benedetti, D. Bourlès, K. Keddadouche, S. Merchel, Improved ^{36}Cl performance at the ASTER HVE 5 MV accelerator mass spectrometer national facility, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B, 294, 121-125, (2013).

[6] P. Sharma, P. W. Kubik, U. Fehn, H. E. Gove, K. Nishiizumi, D. Elmore, Development of ^{36}Cl standards for AMS, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 52, 410-415. (1990).

[7] S. Merchel, W. Bremser, V. Alfimov, M. Arnold, G. Aumaître, L. Benedetti, D. L. Bourlès, M. Caffee, L. K. Fifield, R. C. Finkel, S. P. H. T. Freeman, M. Martschini, Y. Matsushi, D. H. Rood, K. Sasa, P. Steier, T. Takahashi, M. Tamari, S. G. Tims, Y. Tosaki, K. M. Wilcken, S. Xu, Ultra-trace analysis of ^{36}Cl by accelerator mass spectrometry: an interlaboratory study , Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 400, 3125-3132, (2011).

[8] S. Akhmadaliev, R. Heller, D. Hanf, G. Rugel, S. Merchel, The new 6 MV AMS-facility DREAMS at Dresden, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B 294, 5-10, (2013).

[9] G. Rugel, S. Pavetich, S. Akhmadaliev, S.M. Enamorado Baez, A. Scharf, R. Ziegenrucker, S. Merchel, The first four years of the AMS-facility DREAMS: Status and developments for more accurate radionuclide data, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B 370, 94-100, (2016).

[10] S. Pavetich, S. Akhmadaliev, M. Arnold, G. Aumaître, D. Bourlès, J. Buchriegler, R. Golser, K. Keddadouche, M. Martschini, S. Merchel, G. Rugel, P. Steier, Interlaboratory study of the ion source memory effect in ^{36}Cl accelerator mass spectrometry, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B 329, 22-29, (2014).

- [11] G.K. Ward, S.R. Wilson, Procedures for comparing and combining radiocarbon age determinations: a critique, *Archeometry* 20 (1) (1978) 19–31.
- [12] K.M. Wilcken, S.P.H.T. Freeman, C. Schnabel, S.A. Binnie, S. Xu, R.J. Phillips, ^{36}Cl accelerator mass spectrometry with a bespoke instrument. *Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B*:294 , 107 - 114, (2013).
- [13] E. Nottoli, M. Arnold, G. Aumaître, D.L. Bourlès, K. Keddadouche and M. Suter, The physics behind the isobar separation of ^{36}Cl and ^{10}Be at the French AMS national facility ASTER, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. B*:294 , 397-402, (2013).
- [14] Beer, J., Siegenthaler, U., Blinov, A. Temporal ^{10}Be variations in ice: Information on solar activity and geomagnetic field intensity, in: *Secular Solar and Geomagnetic Variations in the Last 10,000 Years*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1988).
- [15] Raisbeck, G.M., Yiou, F., Jouzel, J., Petit, J.R. ^{10}Be and ^2H in polar ice cores as a probe of the solar variability's influence on climate. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond A*300, 463–470, (1990).
- [16] Bard, E., Raisbeck, G.M., Yiou, F., Jouzel, J., Solar modulation of cosmogenic nuclide production over the last millenium: comparison between ^{14}C and ^{10}Be records. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 150.453–462, (1997).
- [17] Beer, J., Johnsen, S., Bonani, G., Finkel, R.C., Langway, J.C.C., Oeschger, H., Stauffer, B., Suter, M., Woelfli, W.. ^{10}Be peaks as time markers in polar ice cores, in: *The Last Deglaciation: Absolute and Radiocarbon Chronologies*. Bard, E., Broecker, W.S., (1992), p. 344.
- [18] Yiou, F., Raisbeck, G.M., Baumgartner, S., Beer, J., Hammer, C.U., Johnsen, S., Jouzel, J., Kubik, P.W., Lestringuez, J., Stievenard, M., Suter, M., Yiou, P., Beryllium 10 in the Greenland Ice Core Project ice core at Summit, Greenland. *J. Geophys. Res.* 102, 26783–26794, (1997).
- [19] Berggren, A.-M., Beer, J., Possnert, G., Aldahan, A., Kubik, P.W., Christl, M., Johnsen, S.J., Abreu, J., Vinther, B.M.. A 600-year annual ^{10}Be record from the NGRIP ice core, Greenland. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, (2009).
- [20] Baroni, M., Bard, E., Petit, J.R., Magand, O., Bourlès, D.. Volcanic and solar activity, and atmospheric circulation influences on cosmogenic ^{10}Be fallout at Vostok and Concordia (Antarctica) over the last 60 years. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 75, 7132–7145, , (2011).
- [21] C. Bouchez, , J. Pupier, L. Benedetti, P. Deschamps, V. Guillou, K. Keddadouche, G. Aumaître, M. Arnold, D. Bourlès, Isotope Dilution-AMS technique for Cl-36 and Cl determination in low chlorine content waters, *Chemical Geology*, 404, 62–70, (2015).

[22] Ginot, P., Dumont, M., Lim, S., Patris, N., Taupin, J.-D., Wagnon, P., Gilbert, A., Arnaud, Y., Marinoni, A., Bonasoni, P., Laj, P.. A 10 year record of black carbon and dust from a Mera Peak ice core (Nepal): variability and potential impact on melting of Himalayan glaciers. *The Cryosphere* 8, 1479–1496, (2014).

[23] Conard, N.J., Elmore, D., Kubik, P.W., Gove, H.E., Tubbs, L.E., Chrunyk, B.A., Wahlen, M. The chemical preparation of AgCl for measuring ^{36}Cl in polar ice with accelerator mass spectrometry. *Radiocarbon* 28, 556–560, (1986).

Figure and tables captions:

Fig 1 Probability distribution functions of the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios of the SM-Cl-12 reference material measured against the KNSTD1600 standard. The standard deviations include the counting statistics of the sample and the variability associated to the KNSTD1600 $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios during the considered batch.

Fig 2 Probability distribution functions of the $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios of the SM-Cl-13 measured against the KNSTD1600 chlorine standard. The standard deviations include the counting statistics of the sample and the variability associated to the KNSTD1600 $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ ratios during the considered batch.

Fig. 3: Total Cl^- concentration determined from ion chromatography (triangle) [21] compared with isotopic dilution method from spiked samples measured at DREAMS normalized to SM-Cl-12, (Black squares, samples 1, 2 and 3) and at ASTER (samples 8, 9 and 10). Stars and dots represent the values measured at ASTER and normalized to KNSTD1600 and SM-Cl-12 respectively.

Table 1: Typical specifications for chlorine measurements at ASTER and at DREAMS AMS (modified from [1] and [7]). Both facilities use a modified HVE source SO110.

Table 2: Data treatment of the SM-Cl-12 and SM-Cl-13 reference material measurements. The Chi2 test is passed when the Experimental 95% Ch12 value is lower that the theoretical one. To pass the test, external uncertainties have to be added (see table 3).

Table 3: $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ SM-Cl-X isotopic ratios determined by multiplying the SM-Cl-X/KNSTD1600 mean ratio (this study, table 2) by their corresponding KNSTD1600 values (column 2), for SM-Cl-12 and SM-Cl-13. Only analytical uncertainties are reported here (no external uncertainty). Corresponding ratios presented by Merchel et al. (2011) [6] are presented. ASTER external uncertainty is the uncertainty to be added to the analytical uncertainties (counting statistics + variability of the standard during the batch) to make all the SM-Cl-12/KNSTD1600 and SM-Cl-13/KNSTD1600 ratios belonging to the same population.

365 Table 4: Measured SM-Cl-12 and SM-Cl-13 $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ isotopic ratios compared to the those published by
366 Merchel et al. (2011) [6]

367
368 Table 5: Concentrations in 10^4 at/g of the ice samples measured at ASTER and normalized with KNSTD1600
369 and SM-Cl-12, and measured at DREAMS against SM-Cl-12. (Uncertainties take into account the counting
370 statistics, variability on standard measurements during the entire batch and the uncertainty linked to the
371 standards that are 1.30% and 1.48 % for KNSTD1600 and SM-Cl-12 $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratios, respectively). All data
372 measurements and associated blanks are presented in the supplementary material.

373
374 Supplement Material available here:
375 <https://www.dropbox.com/s/3l2x7w8loqnqt2e/SupplementTable-Braucher%20et%20al.xlsx?dl=0>

376 SupTable 1: Measured SM-Cl-X / KNSTD1600 ratios

377 SupTable 2: Snow sample preparation (carrier, spike) and measurements.

Table 1: Typical specifications for chlorine measurements at ASTER and at DREAMS AMS (modified from [1] and [7]). Both facilities use a modified HVE source SO110.

	Extraction as	³⁵ Cl currents (μA)	Terminal Voltage (MV)	Charge state after gaz stripper	Charge state after absorber foil	Background (10 ⁻¹⁵)
ASTER	Cl ⁻ at 29.5 kV	15-25	5	5 ⁺	10 ⁺	0.28
DREAMS	Cl ⁻ at 25 kV	20-30	6	5 ⁺	11 ⁺	0.4

Table 2: Data treatment of the SM-Cl-12 and SM-Cl-13 reference material measurements. The Chi2 test is passed when the Experimental 95% Ch12 value is lower that the theoretical one. To pass the test, external uncertainties have to be added (see table 3).

	SM-Cl-12				SM-Cl-13		
	$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$	$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$	$^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$		$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$	$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$	$^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$
Number of cathodes	189				31		
Mean ratio SM-Cl-X/KNSTD1600	0.686	0.685	1.000		0.071	0.071	0.999
Standard deviation	0.027	0.023	0.020		0.005	0.006	0.006
Mean standard error	0.002	0.002	0.001		0.001	0.001	0.001
Theoretical 95% Chi-square	220.99				43.77		
Experimental 95% Chi square	634.89	474.53	2980.65		51.67	53.38	622.4

Table 3: $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$, $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ and $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ SM-Cl-X isotopic ratios determined by multiplying the SM-Cl-X/KNSTD1600 mean ratio (this study, table 2) by their corresponding KNSTD1600 values (column 2), for SM-Cl-12 and SM-Cl-13. Only analytical uncertainties are reported here (no external uncertainty). Corresponding ratios presented by Merchel et al. (2011) [6] are presented. ASTER external uncertainty is the uncertainty to be added to the analytical uncertainties (counting statistics + variability of the standard during the batch) to make all the SM-Cl-12/KNSTD1600 and SM-Cl-13/KNSTD1600 ratios belonging to the same population.

	KNSTD1600 (10^{-12})*	SM-Cl-12 (10^{-12})* This Study	SM-Cl-12 (10^{-12})* [6]	ASTER Ext. Uncert.	SM-Cl-13 (10^{-13})* This Study	SM-CL-13 (10^{-13})* [6]	ASTER Ext. Uncert.
$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$	2.2112	1.446 ± 0.04	1.428 ± 0.021	2.74 %	1.476 ± 0.033	1.515 ± 0.029	2.06 %
$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$	6.603	4.519 ± 0.097	4.466 ± 0.066	2.13 %	4.596 ± 0.106	4.738 ± 0.091	2.31 %
$^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$	3.127	3.126 ± 0.000	3.127	1.62 %	3.126 ± 0.049	3.127	1.58%

*: 10^{-x} factor not applicable for the $^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$

Table 4: Measured SM-Cl-12 and SM-Cl-13 $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$ isotopic ratios compared to the those published by Merchel et al. (2011) [6]

	$^{36}\text{Cl}/(\text{Total Cl})$	
	SM-Cl-12 (10^{-12})	SM-Cl-13 (10^{-13})
This study	1.096 ± 0.03	1.118 ± 0.010
Merchel et al. (2011) [6]	1.082 ± 0.016	1.148 ± 0.022
ASTER old source head for comparison [6])	1.113 ± 0.040	1.265 ± 0.055

Table 5: Concentrations in 10^4 at/g of the ice samples measured at ASTER and normalized with KNSTD1600 and SM-CI-12, and measured at DREAMS against SM-CI-12. (Uncertainties take into account the counting statistics, variability on standard measurements during the entire batch and the uncertainty linked to the standards that are 1.30% and 1.48 % for KNSTD1600 and SM-CI-12 $^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$ ratios, respectively). All data measurements and associated blanks are presented in the supplementary material.

Samples	8 (spiked)	9 (spiked)	10 (spiked)	11 (carrier)	12 (carrier)	13 (carrier)	14 (carrier)	Weighted Mean	Chi2 (Samples / Theoretical)
Aster (KNSTD1600)	4.06 ± 0.15	3.83 ± 0.15	3.91 ± 0.14	3.73 ± 0.14	3.65 ± 0.14	3.5 ± 0.13	3.76 ± 0.14	3.76 ± 0.07	11.13 / 12.59
Aster (SM-CI-12)	3.99 ± 0.14	3.76 ± 0.14	3.84 ± 0.14	3.67 ± 0.13	3.59 ± 0.13	3.44 ± 0.12	3.70 ± 0.14	3.70 ± 0.05	10.50 / 12.59

Samples	1 (spiked)	2 (spiked)	3 (spiked)	4 (carrier)	5 (carrier)	6 (carrier)	7 (carrier)	Weighted Mean	Chi2 (Samples / Theoretical)
Dreams (SM-CI-12)	3.69 ± 0.13	3.96 ± 0.13	3.39 ± 0.17	3.85 ± 0.13	3.60 ± 0.13	3.66 ± 0.13	3.72 ± 0.13	3.66 ± 0.05	9.52 / 12.59

Supplementary	tables	SMCL-12 / KNSTD1600					
	cathode	$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$	$\Delta^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl} \%$	$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$	$\Delta^{36}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl} \%$	$^{35}\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl}$	$\Delta^3\text{Cl}/^{37}\text{Cl} \%$
	AHQV	0.6119	2.20	0.6653	2.19	1.0881	0.51
	AGFP	0.6276	2.17	0.6308	2.05	1.0064	0.26
	AGFP	0.6276	2.17	0.6308	2.05	1.0064	0.26
	AHHD	0.6302	3.97	0.6281	3.17	0.9954	1.15
	AHGZ	0.6343	1.93	0.6415	1.93	1.0021	0.82
	AHFY	0.6406	2.00	0.6388	2.00	0.9882	0.72
	AGYT	0.6437	2.52	0.6691	2.52	1.0375	0.35
	AHGY	0.6444	1.89	0.6472	1.89	0.9953	1.39
	AGUU	0.6473	1.83	0.6471	1.83	0.9996	0.08
	AFZH	0.6488	2.58	0.6778	2.58	1.0557	0.71
	AFZH	0.6488	2.58	0.6778	2.58	1.0557	0.71
	AGQK	0.6489	2.35	0.6521	1.85	1.0222	1.31
	AGXM	0.6506	2.16	0.6827	2.16	1.0513	1.03
	AHQC	0.6508	3.40	0.6567	3.13	1.0065	0.39
	AGPS	0.6510	1.77	0.6506	1.86	1.0015	0.22
	AGYU	0.6511	2.14	0.6841	2.14	1.0486	0.56
	AHCF	0.6511	3.01	0.6595	1.91	1.0032	0.18
	AHYV	0.6516	3.05	0.6581	3.05	1.0060	0.36
	AIAF	0.6517	3.89	0.6499	3.92	0.9984	0.52
	AIAC	0.6524	3.81	0.6554	3.85	1.0058	0.80
	AFZT	0.6531	3.13	0.6679	3.12	1.0520	0.61
	AFZT	0.6531	3.13	0.6679	3.12	1.0520	0.61
	AIAE	0.6544	3.97	0.6619	4.00	1.0126	0.60
	AGEZ	0.6553	1.62	0.6422	1.78	0.9732	0.77
	AGEZ	0.6553	1.62	0.6422	1.78	0.9732	0.77
	AHCE	0.6555	3.13	0.6590	2.10	0.9958	0.24
	AHPI	0.6561	2.04	0.6832	2.04	1.0330	2.23
	AHPF	0.6566	1.84	0.6621	1.86	1.0081	0.65
	AHYU	0.6584	3.17	0.6620	3.19	1.0015	0.35
	AGRL	0.6585	1.90	0.6584	1.90	0.9998	0.10
	AGQS	0.6590	1.91	0.6648	1.91	1.0119	0.53
	AGDT	0.6592	2.55	0.6490	2.29	0.9779	0.74
	AGDT	0.6592	2.55	0.6490	2.29	0.9779	0.74
	AHCD	0.6619	3.05	0.6667	1.99	0.9975	0.52
	AGQQ	0.6625	2.00	0.6672	2.00	1.0102	0.51
	AHFR	0.6641	2.49	0.6599	2.56	0.9914	0.60
	AGQR	0.6647	1.92	0.6526	1.92	0.9848	0.48
	AHPL	0.6652	1.77	0.6621	1.77	0.9924	0.27
	AGYG	0.6656	1.86	0.6597	1.88	0.9909	0.61
	AHQY	0.6656	2.62	0.6568	1.90	0.9874	2.15
	AHPW	0.6664	3.23	0.6640	3.40	0.9998	0.32
	AGFQ	0.6669	2.02	0.6660	1.89	1.0001	0.40
	AGFQ	0.6669	2.02	0.6660	1.89	1.0001	0.40
	AGDS	0.6674	2.63	0.6840	2.38	1.0179	1.63
	AGDS	0.6674	2.63	0.6840	2.38	1.0179	1.63
	AHHB	0.6681	4.07	0.6806	3.30	1.0173	1.15
	AHCB	0.6683	2.15	0.6712	2.14	1.0040	0.30
	AHQR	0.6684	3.34	0.6680	3.07	0.9968	0.43
	AGYD	0.6685	1.56	0.6676	1.56	1.0006	0.22
	AHFW	0.6697	2.07	0.6728	1.80	1.0061	0.51
	AGWU	0.6697	2.09	0.6725	2.09	1.0042	0.12
	AGDR	0.6699	2.48	0.6758	2.22	1.0019	0.75
	AGDR	0.6699	2.48	0.6758	2.22	1.0019	0.75

cathode	$^{36}\text{Cl}/^{35}\text{Cl}$
AHFL	0.0635
AFWV	0.0642
AFYS	0.0659
AHPU	0.0659
AHBY	0.0663
AHFM	0.0664
AFYG	0.0666
AHGU	0.0669
AGEU	0.0675
AFXV	0.0678
AHFM	0.0681
AGDB	0.0682
AHGV	0.0687
AHBX	0.0690
AGJZ	0.0692
AFXH	0.0694
AHYK	0.0695
AHOM	0.0699
AHOL	0.0707
AHPT	0.0707
AGEV	0.0724
AHPS	0.0725
AGDQ	0.0726
AHRK	0.0738
AHYI	0.0739
AGAC	0.0766
AHRL	0.0772
AGJY	0.0774
AIAA	0.0816
AHRM	0.0825
AFZQ	0.0838

Figure1

Figure2

Figure3