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Abstract—In this paper, a concept design of a novel Traveling Wave Driven Piezoelectric Plate Robot (TWDPPR) for planar motion is presented. The TWDPPR consists of an aluminium plate structure, with four non-collocated piezoelectric patches bonded on its surface. A two dimensions modeling of non-collocated piezoelectric patches bonded on thin structures developed and validated in previous work is used in this paper to model the TWDPPR based on the “two modes excitation” method for propulsion. A preliminary design is presented and the model is then used to verify the generation of the 2D traveling wave on the plate for planar motion. A prototype is fabricated and a forward, backward, & steering motions are experimentally achieved. An experimental characterization of the TWDPPR is given in this paper.

Index Terms—Piezoelectric robot, 2D traveling wave, finite element modeling, two modes excitation

I. INTRODUCTION

PIEZOELECTRIC miniature motors are widely presented in literature [1]–[15]. Some of them comprise a fixed part on which are fixed the piezoelectric transducers and a movable part (slider) placed in contact with the fixed part, so as to be moved by the vibration of the piezoelectric transducers. These piezoelectric motors are not adapted for moving the fixed part on which are fixed the piezoelectric transducers, in particular because the piezoelectric transducers are not suitable to generate a wave in the whole of the fixed part. Other piezoelectric miniature motors (Linear ultrasonic motors) can only move in one direction and do not allow to move objects in a plane. The proposed piezoelectric miniature robot in this article is inspired by the linear ultrasonic motors with the difference is that there is no slider, the vibrator itself moves as an entire robot and in multi-directions. Our proposed robot is within the scope of robots that move on a solid substrate (smooth and flat ground) where many designs and mechanisms can be found in literature [16]–[25]. We are interested in the mechanism that uses the propagation of waves to generate motion and then uses the waves to control the direction of motion. Two types of waves are used for propulsion of piezoelectric miniature robots on a solid substrate, the standing wave and the traveling wave. A standing wave bi-directional linearly moving miniature piezoelectric robot is presented in [26]–[28]. Ferreira et al in [29], [30] presents a multi-degree of freedom standing wave miniature piezoelectric robot. A traveling wave miniature piezoelectric robot for bi-directional motion is presented by Hariri et al in [31]–[33]. Jones et al in [34] presents a multi-degree of freedom traveling wave miniature piezoelectric robot for an underwater propulsion. Koza et al in [35] suggests a traveling wave Flagellar swimming using piezoelectric bimorph actuators. Abadi et al in [36] presents a multi-degree of freedom traveling wave miniature piezoelectric robot to control the location of the electrode in deep brain stimulation. A “flying” carpet near a horizontal surface based on piezoelectric traveling wave generation is presented by Jafferis et al in [37].

When a standing wave is used for propulsion, the legs are needed in order to transfer the up and down wave vibration into a linear motion. However, the legs are not necessarily needed when a traveling wave is used for propulsion of piezoelectric miniature robots. In the case of standing wave propulsion, special locations for the legs should be selected to prevent motion against propulsion direction of the robot. Also, legs shapes, dimensions and mechanical properties affect the experimental behaviour of the piezoelectric miniature robot where they need to be taken into account in the model for precise design which complicate the model. Miniature piezoelectric robots that use standing waves for propulsion are generally faster than the ones that use traveling waves and can move on a rougher surface because the legs increase the displacements and therefore the locomotion step of the miniature piezoelectric robots. A traveling wave is easily generated in a finite length structure, however traveling wave is generated by superposition of two standing waves with 90° phase shift in time and space which makes it more complicated to generate compared to a standing wave. Besides from the mentioned advantages and disadvantages of each type of propulsion (standing wave or traveling wave) relative to each other, a traveling wave miniature piezoelectric robot is more suitable for miniaturization than a standing wave one as the legs are not used. For the above mentioned reason, a traveling wave miniature piezoelectric robot is opted to study in this paper.

All the above mentioned traveling wave miniature piezoelectric robots and others cited in [31]–[40] are using 1D traveling wave on a beam structure for propulsion. However in this paper, a 2D traveling wave on a plate structure is used for propulsion. A 2D traveling wave generated on a plate structure is recently reported in [41] for future drag reduction manipulation where two piezoelectric Macro-fiber composite actuators are used to experimentally study the generation of the 2D traveling wave on the plate. In [41], the 2D traveling wave generated will be used in the future to control the interaction between the fluid and the plate structure to improve the efficiency of the systems studied and not to propel the whole system. There is no evidence in this work if this 2D traveling wave can be used as a driven mechanism to propel the
entire system. The aim in this paper is to generate a mechanical traveling wave in a finite flexible plate structure to propel the plate in different directions using piezoelectric patches. The objective here is not to optimize the generation of the traveling wave on the plate but to provide a prove of concept that a 2D traveling wave generated on a finite plate structure can lead to propel the plate in different directions. Our idea is to generate a traveling wave in a plate structure to move it on a solid substrate (smooth and flat ground) using piezoelectric patches bonded on the same face of the plate surface. The proposed design consists of an elastic thin plate structure, with four non-collocated piezoelectric patches bonded on its surface as shown in Fig.1. This system is called herein by Traveling Wave Driven Piezoelectric Plate Robot (TWDPPR). Based on the preliminary design results, a prototype of the TWDPPR is fabricated to verify experimentally the propulsion in different directions. Then, the generation of the 2D traveling wave on the TWDPPR is verified by simulation using the finite element model of the TWDPPR. Based on the preliminary design results, a prototype of the TWDPPR is fabricated to verify experimentally the propulsion in different directions on smooth flat surface. The TWDPPR is experimentally characterized in terms of speed versus applied voltages, speed versus payloads, blocking forces at different applied voltage, and steering capability in different directions versus applied voltages and payloads.

II. OPERATIONAL PRINCIPLE OF THE TWDPPR

Pure traveling waves are usually observed on long structures and rarely on normal finite structures. In finite structures, the excited vibration wave is partially reflected when it hits the boundaries, which creates a mixture of standing and traveling waves. Two approaches can be found in the literature to excite traveling wave in finite structures using two piezoelectric transducers. The first approach is used in [32], [42]–[44] where one piezoelectric transducer is used to generate the traveling wave on a beam and a second piezoelectric transducer is used to prevent the reflection of the wave by means of a passive electrical circuit. The passive electrical circuit used in this approach can be replaced by active control methods like feedback control or adaptive control to control the vibrating wave along the beam and it is used in [37], [45], [46]. The second approach is an easy way to generate a traveling wave in finite structure and it belongs to the active control methods. It consists in generating a traveling wave on the structure by one piezoelectric transducer and removes the reflected wave at its boundaries by applying forces using the other piezoelectric transducer. This second approach is used in [32], [47]–[49] where both piezoelectric transducers are used to excite simultaneously two neighboring natural mode shapes of the beam at the same frequency, but with a phase difference of 90°. The vibration can be approximated as the superposition of two modes; this is called a "two modes excitation". This principle is necessary to generate the traveling wave on a beam structure, and it was first demonstrated theoretically and verified also experimentally by Loh et al. in [47]. At the resonance frequency, two progressive waves with the same amplitude propagating in opposite directions cancel each other, resulting in a standing wave on the beam. This is being experimentally verified and theoretically demonstrated by Kim et al. in [49].

The operation principle for the 2D Traveling Wave Driven Piezoelectric Plate Robot (TWDPPR) for planar motion is based on the second approach and is called "two modes excitation" method. The piezoelectric patches are used in this case to excite the plate using the "two modes excitation" method at a frequency between two resonance frequencies in order to generate a traveling wave on the plate. The traveling wave is the origin of the frictional forces exerting at the contact lines between the plate and the ground that led to movement of the TWDPPR in different directions. In order to understand this concept, the case of a thin beam structure is taken (Fig.2) where the coordinate system is shown in Fig.2a. According to the Euler-Bernoulli hypothesis of thin beam, the displacement field in the z direction, \( u_z \) is

\[
u_z = A \cos(kx - wt), \tag{1}
\]

where \( u_z \) is the displacement field in the z direction, \( A \) is the amplitude, \( k \) is the wavenumber and \( w \) is the angular frequency.
frequency of the exciting signal. Therefore, according to the Euler-Bernoulli theory the displacement field in the $x$ direction $u_x$ can be expressed as

$$u_x = -z \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial x} = zA k \sin(kx - wt). \quad (2)$$

Therefore

$$\left( \frac{u_x}{zA} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{u_z}{A} \right)^2 = 1. \quad (3)$$

Evidently, the motion of a point on the beam in the $x-z$ plane is an ellipse, which is the definition of a 1D traveling wave. Fig. 2b illustrates the contact mechanics between the plate and the ground. The elliptical motion of each point is the origin of the driving frictional forces between the plate and the ground that led to movement of the TWDPPR. Here we suppose that the driving friction forces are produced by the highest points of the elliptical motions as illustrated in Fig. 2b and detailed discussions about that can be found in [50]. The contact points shown in Fig. 2b become contact lines when the width of the plate is taken into account. Therefore, the motion of a line on the plate is an elliptic cylinder, which is the definition of a 2D traveling wave. The elliptical motions of these contact lines between the plate and the ground are that led to movement of the TWDPPR. The number of the contact lines is equal to half the number of the antinodes for a given operating frequency. Fig. 3 represents the methods of operation of the TWDPPR where a forward motion (Fig. 3a), a forward steering (Fig. 3b & Fig. 3c) and a clockwise rotational motion (Fig. 3d) are shown. The arrows in Fig. 3 indicate the motion direction of the TWDPPR.

![Fig. 3: The methods of operation of the TWDPPR](image)

A sinusoidal signal of amplitude $V$ and frequency $f$ is applied to the piezoelectric patches with a phase difference. A phase difference of 90° between patches will generate a traveling wave, and a phase difference of 0° or 180° between patches will generate a standing wave as shown in Fig. 3. The traveling waves are used to move the TWDPPR and the standing waves have the effect of limiting the friction between the TWDPPR and the ground on which the TWDPPR moves. To reverse moving the TWDPPR, simply reverse the phase shift or the active/inactive piezoelectric patches as indicated by Fig. 3. Different plate structures and piezoelectric patches configurations can be found in this patent [51].

### III. Modeling of the TWDPPR

A finite element model for non-collocated piezoelectric patches bonded on thin structures developed and validated in [52] is retaken here and adopted to demonstrate the generation of the traveling wave on the TWDPPR using the “two modes excitation” method.

Given the geometry of the plate where the thickness is very small compared to the other two dimensions, and with the assumptions of very small deformations (less than a fifth of the thickness) and low bi-polar electric field applied to the piezoelectric patches (less than $+0.4kV/mm$), this finite element model is based on Kirchhoff-Love hypothesis, linear constitutive relations, plane stress formulation and Hamilton principle to obtain the variational equation governing the mechanical and piezoelectric part of the system. Then Hermite elements are used to obtain the variational equation in matrix form, taking into account the boundary conditions and the damping behaviour of the real system. In the case of “two modes excitation” method, all piezoelectric patches ($p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$) are used as actuators where four sinusoidal voltages are applied with the same amplitude $V$ and frequency $f$ and different phase $\phi$ ($V \sin(2\pi f t + \phi_1), V \sin(2\pi f t + \phi_2), V \sin(2\pi f t + \phi_3), V \sin(2\pi f t + \phi_4)$) while no external loads are applied. The dynamic equation is given in Eq. 4 where $M_{nn}, C_{nn}$ and $K_{nn}$ are the structural mass matrix, the structural damping matrix and the structural stiffness matrix respectively, $K_{mvp1}$, $K_{mvp2}$, $K_{mvp3}$ and $K_{mvp4}$ are the piezoelectric stiffness matrices for piezoelectric patches $p_1, p_2, p_3$ and $p_4$, $K_{mvp1}$, $K_{mvp2}$, $K_{mvp3}$ and $K_{mvp4}$ are the transpose of $K_{mvp1}$, $K_{mvp2}$, $K_{mvp3}$ and $K_{mvp4}$, and $K_{vvp1}$, $K_{vvp2}$, $K_{vvp3}$ and $K_{vvp4}$ are the dielectric stiffness for piezoelectric patches $p_1, p_2, p_3$ and $p_4$. The mass and stiffness matrices included all the geometric parameters and physical properties of the system where readers can refer to [52] for more details. $U_i$ is the vector with nodal structural displacements, $Q_{p1}$, $Q_{p2}$, $Q_{p3}$ and $Q_{p4}$ are the electrical charges for piezoelectric patches $p_1, p_2, p_3$ and $p_4$. $E_{3p1}$, $E_{3p2}$, $E_{3p3}$ and $E_{3p4}$ are the electric fields for piezoelectric patches $p_1, p_2, p_3$ and $p_4$. $V$ is the applied voltage, $t_p$ is the thickness of the piezoelectric patches, $f$ is the applied frequency and $\phi_1$, $\phi_2$, $\phi_3$ and $\phi_4$ are the phase of the applied sinusoidal voltages.
for $p_1$, $p_2$, $p_3$ and $p_4$.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
M_{mm} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
U_i \\
t_pQ_{p1} \\
t_pQ_{p2} \\
t_pQ_{p3} \\
t_pQ_{p4}
\end{bmatrix}
+
\begin{bmatrix}
C_{mm} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{U}_i \\
\dot{t}_pQ_{p1} \\
\dot{t}_pQ_{p2} \\
\dot{t}_pQ_{p3} \\
\dot{t}_pQ_{p4}
\end{bmatrix}

= \begin{bmatrix}
K_{mvp1} & K_{mvp1}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-K_{mvp2}^{-1}K_{mvp3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
U_i \\
t_pQ_{p1} \\
t_pQ_{p2} \\
t_pQ_{p3} \\
t_pQ_{p4}
\end{bmatrix}

(4)

As example, when $\phi_1 = \phi_4 = 0^o$ and $\phi_2 = \phi_3 = 90^o$ a traveling wave in the negative x-direction and a standing wave in the negative y-direction are generated. Therefore, a forward motion should be achieved in the positive x-direction for the TWDPPR. By changing $\phi_2$ and $\phi_3$ from $90^o$ to $-90^o$ a backward motion in the negative x-direction must achieved.

The dynamic finite element model for the TWDPPR presented in this section is taken in free space, without environmental interactions. Modeling the interaction between the TWDPPR and the ground (contact mechanics) using Coulomb friction model, viscous friction model or viscoelastic foundation model is omitted at this stage to concentrate more on the design of the TWDPPR (section IV), on the generation of a 2D traveling wave on the plate (section V), and on the experimental verification of the traveling wave on the TWDPPR (section VI). Also, as we have not developed mathematical models of the contact mechanics, the operational characteristics of the TWDPPR is obtained experimentally (see section VII).

**IV. DESIGN OF THE TWDPPR**

The design process consists of determining the optimum geometry and mechanical properties for the TWDPPR that lead to optimize the generation of the traveling wave on it. It consists of determining the optimal shape and geometry of the plate and the piezoelectric patches, the material used for the plate and the piezoelectric patches, and the locations of the piezoelectric patches. Only, a preliminary design is shown in this section to prove that a mechanical traveling wave can be generated on a plate and propel it in different directions. Therefore, the aim here is not to optimize the generation of the traveling wave on the plate, but to provide a prove of concept that a 2D traveling wave can be generated on a plate and would lead to propel the plate in different directions.

At this preliminary stage, the shape of the plate is taken rectangular having a length of $180mm$, a width of $60mm$ and a thickness of $1mm$. The type of material used for the plate is studied in [52] where a comparison is made between steel, aluminium, brass and acrylic. By using the same methodology as in [53], it was found that at $0.5mm$ plate thickness, the aluminium is the best elastic material that have the best compromise between maximum transverse displacement (deflection in z-direction) and maximum blocking force. Therefore, the aluminium is selected for the plate.

Piezoelectric ceramic Lead zirconate titanate (PZT) is chosen here because of its marked piezoelectric effect. Among PZTs, the NCE41 from Noliac inc. is chosen because it is characterized by low self heating at high frequency operation. The NCE41 is a hard-doped PZT with low dielectric and mechanical losses and considerably high curie temperature ($284^\circ C$). The polarization of the PZT and the applied electric field on it are chosen in the thickness direction which allow the $d_{31}$ transversal mode of vibration of the PZT. The transversal mode of vibration of a PZT bonded on a flexible plate structure causes the bending of the plate. The length and width of the PZT are not optimized at this preliminary stage. The length of the PZT is chosen to be, approximately, twice its width. The length of the PZT is equal to $32mm$ and the width is equal to $17mm$. The thickness of the PZT is critical to the displacement of the plate and it needs to be optimized because for very thin PZT, no displacements are generated on the TWDPPR while the thickness becomes large, the bending stiffness of the TWDPPR becomes more important than the bending moment generated by the PZT patches [54]. The thickness of the PZT depends on the thickness of the plate and the material used for it. For $0.5mm$ aluminium thickness, it was found in [52] that the maximum transverse displacement is obtained at $0.45mm$ thickness of PZT. A $0.45mm$ thickness for the PZT is chosen.

The effect of the positions of PZT patches on the performance of the traveling wave is studied in [33] in the case of a beam structure. It has been found that locating the PZT patches near the ends of the beam structure will lead to best performance and that the traveling wave is mainly generated on the distance between the patches. The difference in traveling wave performance for different positions of the PZT patches was due to the establishment of the PZT patches relative to the nodes and the anti-nodes of a given frequency. The locations of the piezoelectric patches are not optimized at this preliminary stage. They are chosen similar to the case of a beam structure in the x-direction based in previous results. The locations in the x-direction are $x_{p1} = x_{p4} = 24mm$ and $x_{p2} = x_{p3} = 124mm$ where $x_{p1}$, $x_{p2}$, $x_{p3}$ and $x_{p4}$ are the positions in the x-axis of PZT patches $p_1$, $p_2$, $p_3$ and $p_4$ respectively. The positions of the PZT patches in the y-direction are arbitrary chosen near the ends where $y_{p1} = y_{p2} = 3.5mm$ and $y_{p3} = y_{p4} = 39.5mm$. Table I resumes the mechanical properties of the TWDPPR and its geometry. According to the maximum peak to peak electric field beyond which the PZT patch is depolarized ($+ - 0.3kV/mm$ from Table I), a maximum applied voltage of $135V$ amplitude
TABLE I: Properties and geometry of the TWDPPR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties, geometry</th>
<th>PZT s</th>
<th>Aluminium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (E)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5139.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>11406</td>
<td>20338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permittivity</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>593.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency constant (m.s⁻¹)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>580.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezoelectric constant (x10⁻¹)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elastic compliances (Pa)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max peak to peak electric field (V/m)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max compressive strength (Pa)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length x width x thickness (mm³)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>τ₁, τ₂, τ₃, τ₄, τ₅, τ₆ (mm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As mentioned in section II, a mixture between standing and traveling waves is expected on the TWDPPR because pure traveling waves are usually observed on long structures and rarely on normal finite structures. By comparing Fig. 4a, Fig. 4c, Fig. 4e, Fig. 4g, Fig. 4i and Fig. 4k with a pure traveling wave, it is noted that the traveling wave performance is increased with the excitation frequency for the length end of the plate where a good traveling wave is found at 11406Hz and above and a wave closest to the standing wave is found at 580.31Hz and 2334.95Hz. By comparing Fig. 4b, Fig. 4d, Fig. 4f, Fig. 4h, Fig. 4j and Fig. 4l with a pure standing wave, it is noted that a good standing wave performance is demonstrated for the width end of the plate at all the excitation frequencies, although it is slightly decreased when increasing the frequency. These results verify the generation of the traveling waves at the length ends of the plate and the standing waves at the width ends of the plate. They can partially verify the operation principle of TWDPPR presented in section II and shown in Fig. 3. In addition, from Fig. 4, the operating frequency of the TWDPPR is expected to be between mode order 90 & 91 (11406Hz from Table II), mode order 91 & 92, and so on. Having a good traveling wave performance with less displacement does not lead to move the TWDPPR. Also, having a good displacement with less traveling wave performance does not lead to move it as well. Good traveling wave and high transverse displacement are needed to move the TWDPPR. Therefore, the optimal operating frequency of the TWDPPR is expected to be between mode order 90 & 91 (11406Hz from Table II).

In order to fully verify the generation of 2D traveling wave on the TWDPPR, a top view representation in the (x, y) plane for the normalized transverse displacement of the TWDPPR is shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 at different time step at 20338Hz. The lines in the width-direction indicated the generation of a 2D traveling wave on the surface of the plate and the discontinuity of these lines along the length of the plate represents the rotation propulsion. The lines are not identically reproduced because a pure traveling wave is difficult to obtain in finite structure (plate) and only a mixture between standing and traveling wave is obtained. From figures, it can be observed that the traveling wave is mainly concentrated between the piezoelectric patches in the longitudinal direction. Fig. 5 shows the forward motion of the TWDPPR where a backward traveling wave is generated on the surface of the plate as indicated by the circles shown on the figure. Fig. 6 shows the clockwise rotation of the TWDPPR where an anticlockwise wave is generated on the plate as indicated by the circles shown on the figure.

TABLE II: The excitation frequencies of the TWDPPR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode order (n)</th>
<th>f₀(Hz)</th>
<th>2f₀+1(Hz)</th>
<th>Excitation freq. (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>567.47</td>
<td>593.15</td>
<td>580.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2298</td>
<td>2371.9</td>
<td>2334.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>5059.2</td>
<td>5219.2</td>
<td>5139.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>11139</td>
<td>11420</td>
<td>11406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>15641</td>
<td>15845</td>
<td>15743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>20304</td>
<td>20372</td>
<td>20338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One length end and one width end of the plate are taken to visualize the traveling wave and standing wave on the TWDPPR resulting from the simulation. Fig. 4 represents the transverse displacement in μm through the length and width of the plate in mm at each instant. (Fig. 4a & Fig. 4b), (Fig. 4c & Fig. 4d), (Fig. 4e & Fig. 4f), (Fig. 4g & Fig. 4h), (Fig. 4i & Fig. 4j) and (Fig. 4k & Fig. 4l) show the expected traveling wave at one length end of the plate and the expected standing wave at one width end of the plate at 580.31Hz, 2334.95Hz, 5139.2Hz, 11406Hz, 15743Hz and 20338Hz respectively.

As mentioned in section II, a mixture between standing and traveling waves is expected on the TWDPPR because pure traveling waves are usually observed on long structures and rarely on normal finite structures. By comparing Fig. 4a, Fig. 4c, Fig. 4e, Fig. 4g, Fig. 4i and Fig. 4k with a pure traveling wave, it is noted that the traveling wave performance is increased with the excitation frequency for the length end of the plate where a good traveling wave is found at 11406Hz and above and a wave closest to the standing wave is found at 580.31Hz and 2334.95Hz. By comparing Fig. 4b, Fig. 4d, Fig. 4f, Fig. 4h, Fig. 4j and Fig. 4l with a pure standing wave, it is noted that a good standing wave performance is demonstrated for the width end of the plate at all the excitation frequencies, although it is slightly decreased when increasing the frequency. These results verify the generation of the traveling waves at the length ends of the plate and the standing waves at the width ends of the plate. They can partially verify the operation principle of TWDPPR presented in section II and shown in Fig. 3. In addition, from Fig. 4, the operating frequency of the TWDPPR is expected to be between mode order 90 & 91 (11406Hz from Table II), mode order 91 & 92, and so on. Having a good traveling wave performance with less displacement does not lead to move the TWDPPR. Also, having a good displacement with less traveling wave performance does not lead to move it as well. Good traveling wave and high transverse displacement are needed to move the TWDPPR. Therefore, the optimal operating frequency of the TWDPPR is expected to be between mode order 90 & 91 (11406Hz from Table II).

In order to fully verify the generation of 2D traveling wave on the TWDPPR, a top view representation in the (x, y) plane for the normalized transverse displacement of the TWDPPR is shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 at different time step at 20338Hz. The lines in the width-direction indicated the generation of a 2D traveling wave on the surface of the plate and the discontinuity of these lines along the length of the plate represents the rotation propulsion. The lines are not identically reproduced because a pure traveling wave is difficult to obtain in finite structure (plate) and only a mixture between standing and traveling wave is obtained. From figures, it can be observed that the traveling wave is mainly concentrated between the piezoelectric patches in the longitudinal direction. Fig. 5 shows the forward motion of the TWDPPR where a backward traveling wave is generated on the surface of the plate as indicated by the circles shown on the figure. Fig. 6 shows the clockwise rotation of the TWDPPR where an anticlockwise wave is generated on the plate as indicated by the circles shown on the figure.
VI. FABRICATION AND EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF THE TWDPPR

Based on the chosen geometry and mechanical properties from Table I, four PZT patches are bonded onto the aluminum plate using a strong bonding epoxy adhesive (two parts Araldite 2013 provided by Huntsman International LLC). The PZT patches are Wrapped Around Electrodes type. This type has both electrodes on the same face. Then, thin wires are soldering on the PZT patches electrodes. The experimental setup for the TWDPPR is shown in Fig.7 where the TWDPPR is connected to a signal generator via an electronic system. In addition to the power supply, the electronic system supplying the TWDPPR is composed of four power amplifiers, two inverters and one phase shifter. To choose the power amplifier, the maximum applied voltage to the piezoelectric patches and the maximum consumed current by the piezoelectric patches must be determined. The maximum applied voltage is chosen equal to 135 V (bi-polar $+135V$) according to the
maximum peak to peak electric field \((+ - 300V/mm)\) and the thickness of the piezoelectric patches \((0.45mm)\) shown in Table I. This maximum applied voltage is taken for a design purpose only and will not be applied in experiments. The maximum consumed current by the piezoelectric patches depends on the electrical properties of the piezoelectric patches, the maximum excitation frequency and the maximum applied voltage. A maximum excitation frequency of \(35kHz\) is assumed to excite high frequencies (extreme case for a design purpose only). This excitation frequency is below the frequency constants of the piezoelectric patches shown in Table I, then the piezoelectric patches can be modeled by a single capacitor measured experimentally to be \(14.4nF\). This gives a maximum consumed current equal to \(430mA\). In practice, the output current of the power amplifier should be taken at least 10 times the load current to avoid the destruction of the sinusoidal signal applied to the piezoelectric patch. The chosen power amplifier \(PA93\) from APEX precision power Inc. is verifying these conditions. A heat sink is added to the power amplifier for long-term operation. A phase shifter is designed to work between \(1&35kHz\). The circuit is formed by a capacitor, resistances and an operational amplifier \(7L082\) from Texas Instruments Inc.). The phase is shifted by varying a resistance between two ends corresponding to the operating area (between \(1&35kHz\)).

On the basis of this developed non-integrated electronic system, the weight of the onboard electronics must not exceed 75 g (11.4g for the power amplifier, 15g for all other components & 14g for the battery). Heat sinks used on the non-integrated electronic system for long-term operation could be removed from the onboard electronics where the aluminum plate can serve as a natural heat sink for power amplifiers. The ability of the robot to carry on this weight for moderate applied voltage is demonstrated in the following section.

The setup is first configured for forward motion as shown in Fig. 3a and the excitation frequency is tuned between mode order 90 & 91 and above. The optimal operating frequency is determined experimentally by the excitation frequency that gives the maximum speed. The maximum speed of the TWDPPR is obtained at \(11.2kHz\) which is close to the excitation frequency obtained by simulation \(11406Hz\). At this frequency, the 2D traveling wave on the TWDPPR has a good traveling wave with high transverse displacement as seen in Fig. 4g from the simulation results. Then, the two other configurations presented in Fig.3b and Fig.3d for steering and rotational motion are tested at the optimal operating frequency \(11.2kHz\). The TWDPPR is tested on a smooth glass flat surface and on a smooth wood flat surface where no significant difference in speed on both surfaces is reported. Experimentally, forward and backward motion, steering forward-up and forward-down motion, steering backward-up and backward-down motion have been obtained. However, rotational motion has not been experimentally obtained. This is due to the low transverse displacements on the surface of the plate (Fig. 6) obtained by the propagation of two opposite traveling waves, and the low torque generated from the propagation of these two traveling waves.

In a future work, in order to obtain a rotational propulsion, the shape of the plate will be changed from rectangular to square shape which will lead to amplify the torque generated. Furthermore, the rectangular piezoelectric patches will be replaced by square piezoelectric patches to favor displacements in the y-direction, and therefore to generate traveling waves in the y-direction. More details can be found in this patent [51].

Fig. 8 shows an image sequence of the TWDPPR for linear and steering motion.
the formula \( d/t \) where \( d \) is the distance travelled and \( t \) is the time measured by a chronometer. Different voltage amplitudes are applied (20, 30, 40, 50, 60) and the results are given in Fig. 9a. Six trials are taken for each applied voltage, and then the average speed is calculated. Fig. 9a shows the average velocity of the TWDPPR at various applied voltages on smooth flat glass surface. It can be seen from Fig. 9a that the relationship between speed and magnitude of the applied voltage follows a linear trend. In addition, there is no clear difference in speed between forward and backward motions or forward-down and backward-down motions. This is due to the symmetry of the TWDPPR and it is in agreement with the experimental results reported in [47] for linear ultrasonic motors. The TWDPPR reaches a maximum linear speed of 133.3 mm/s at 60 V amplitude. The velocity can be larger with a higher input voltage. A maximum sinusoidal applied voltage of 135 V amplitude can be applied without depolarizing of the piezoelectric patches.

A payload (embedded mass) is introduced onto the TWDPPR as shown Fig. 9b, and the variation of the speed versus different payloads at constant applied voltage is studied. The lightweights were located on the centre of the plate while measuring the speed. However, heavyweights were uniformly distributed on the plate while measuring the speed to balance the motion direction. The results are shown in Fig. 9c for linear forward motion and forward-down steering motion at 60 V amplitude. The average speed at each payload is computed in the same manner as stated above. The figure shows that the velocity decreases when the payload is increased. The slight non-linearity shown can be attributed to the payloads and their distribution on the TWDPPR affecting the operating frequency. As expected, the speed for linear forward motion is higher than for forward-down steering motion. This is because the four piezoelectric patches are excited in the first case and only two patches are excited in the second case. In order to increase the speed for steering motion, a three piezoelectric patches can be excited simultaneously [51]. The TWDPPR is able to carry 90 g of payload and still produce motion at 60 V amplitude.

The performance characteristic of the TWDPPR is essential in determining its output power, and it is specified in terms of blocking force (with velocity held to zero) and free velocity (with force held to zero), where a linear behaviour is established between them [55], [56]. The blocking force of the TWDPPR is measured experimentally on an inclined plane as shown in Fig. 9b, when the speed of the TWDPPR becomes zero. Fig. 9d shows the performance of the TWDPPR for linear forward propulsion method at different applied voltages. The nominal operating point for the TWDPPR (17.79 mN, 66.51 mm/s) is determined from Fig. 9d at one half its blocking force at 60 V amplitude. At the nominal operating point, this TWDPPR can provide an output power of 1.18 mW at 60 V amplitude. Furthermore, the efficiency of the TWDPPR that is defined as the mechanical output power over the electrical power consumed is very high. This is because the piezoelectric patches are approximated by electrical capacitors at 11.2 kHz (far below the frequency constant given in Table I) and therefore the electrical power consumed is considered very low. A quantitative value of the efficiency is not given herein for the lack of accurate measurement tools for measuring the phase angle between the driving voltage and the driving current that is required to compute the input power consumption in alternative operation.

Finally, the steering capability of the TWDPPR for different applied voltages and different payloads is studied. Fig. 9e and Fig. 9f show the steering motion trajectory in \((x, y)\) plane for different methods of operation (configurations) of the TWDPPR at different applied voltages and different payloads. It can be seen from figures that the steering capability of the TWDPPR is only affected by the payloads. Adding a payload into the center of mass of the TWDPPR increases the moment generated by it while moving, and thus deviates the path of movement.

With the available data, a comparison is performed against several existing Wave Driven piezoelectric miniature robots in terms of the parameters that are usually reported in the literature, such as robots dimension, mass, applied voltage, speed, output mechanical power, and planar motion capability. Table III summarizes the parameters of some comparable existing piezoelectric wave driven miniature robots. From table, miniature piezoelectric robots that use standing waves for propulsion are generally faster (bodylength/second) than the ones that use traveling waves because the legs increase the displacements and therefore the locomotion step of the miniature piezoelectric robots. However, slippage will occur during interactions between the legs and the ground in the case of standing wave driven robots and will lead to a lower
output mechanical power. It can be seen from table that a traveling wave miniature piezoelectric robot is more suitable for miniaturization than a standing wave one as the legs are not used. From the table, the robot developed in this work is the first maneuverable traveling wave driven piezoelectric miniature robot to the best of the authors knowledge.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the design and operational principle of Traveling Wave Driven Piezoelectric Plate Robot (TWDPDR) capable of planar motion is presented. This TWDPDR consists of four piezoelectric patches bonded on a thin aluminium plate. The generation of the 2D traveling wave on the TWDPDR is verified using a two dimensions modeling of non-collocated piezoelectric patches bonded on thin structures developed and validated in previous work. A prototype is fabricated and an experimental verification is conducted. An experimental characterization of the TWDPDR in terms of speed versus applied voltage, speed versus payload, blocking force and steering capability is studied in this paper. The robot shows high performance in terms of maximum speed and high payloads at considerably low applied voltage which facilitates the integration of power source in future work. Further optimization of the mechanical structure to improve the 2D traveling wave performance will be sought in future work. This includes optimization of the piezoelectric material, in-depth investigation of the rotational motion, increasing the speed of the TWDPDR and its motion capability, as well as decrease the size and weight of the TWDPDR. Development of a skin integrated flexible electronics for the TWDPDR will also be part of our future work.
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1Including onboard electronics and battery.
2Two such piezo-metal composite beams are placed opposite to each other to form a pair.

TABLE III: Comparison to Existing Piezoelectric Wave Driven Miniature Robots.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension (mm)</th>
<th>Mass (g)</th>
<th>Peak-Peak Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Speed (cm/s)</th>
<th>Power (mW)</th>
<th>Wave Type</th>
<th>Planar Motion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(l x w x h)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(bodylength/s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our TWDPDR Robot</td>
<td>180 x 60 x 0.35</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>13.3 (0.74)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2D Traveling wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPSMR [27]</td>
<td>50 x 10 x 10.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>1D Standing wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Son et al. [28]</td>
<td>53 x 45 x 19</td>
<td>23.25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.9 (1.11)</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>1D Standing wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferreira et al. [29], [30]</td>
<td>64 x 38 x 20</td>
<td>300¹</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>30 (0.69)</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>2D Standing wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hariri et al. [32]</td>
<td>180 x 17 x 0.77</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13.1 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1D Traveling wave</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Including onboard electronics and battery.
²Two such piezo-metal composite beams are placed opposite to each other to form a pair.

Adel Razek was born in Egypt. He obtained the Dipl. Eng. and M. Sc. Eng. degrees from Cairo University in Egypt in 1968 and 1971. Joining the Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble (INPG) in 1971, he became Docteur d’Etat es Sciences Physiques in 1976. In 1977, he was a postdoctoral researcher at INPG. He has been a Research Director at the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) in France since 1986. He moved to the Laboratoire de Gnie Electrique de Paris associated with CNRS, SUPELEC, and the University of Paris, as a research scientist at CNRS in 1978, senior research scientist in 1981, research director in 1986, and senior research director in 1997. His main current research concerns computational electromagnetics (EMC, NDT, CAD) and design of electrical drives and actuators. He is the author or co-author of more than 150 scientific papers. Dr. Razek received the Andre Blondel medal in 1985 for his research work. He is a Fellow of the IEEE, a Fellow of the Institution of Electrical Engineers (IEE/IET; UK), and a Membre Emerite of the Societe des Ingenieurs Electriciens (SEE; France).