



Attempt toward 1D Cross-Linked Thermoplastic Elastomers: Structure and Mechanical Properties of a New System

Olivier Colombani, Chantal Barioz, Laurent Bouteiller, Corinne Chanéac,
Lionel Fompérie, Frédéric Lortie, Hélène Montès

► To cite this version:

Olivier Colombani, Chantal Barioz, Laurent Bouteiller, Corinne Chanéac, Lionel Fompérie, et al.. Attempt toward 1D Cross-Linked Thermoplastic Elastomers: Structure and Mechanical Properties of a New System. *Macromolecules*, 2005, 38 (5), pp.1752–1759. 10.1021/ma048006m . hal-01696738

HAL Id: hal-01696738

<https://hal.science/hal-01696738>

Submitted on 29 Aug 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Attempt toward 1D cross-linked thermoplastic elastomers: Structure and mechanical properties of a new system

Olivier Colombani^a, Chantal Barioz^b, Laurent Bouteiller^{c}, Corinne Chanéac^c, Lionel Fompérie^b, Frédéric Lortie^d, and Hélène Montès^e.*

Laboratoire de Chimie des Polymères, UMR 7610, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, Pl. Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France, Fax: (+33) 1 44 27 70 89; Nexans Research Center, 170, Av. J. Jaurès, 69353 Lyon Cedex 07, France; Laboratoire de Chimie de la Matière Condensée, UMR 7574, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, Pl. Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France; Laboratoire des Matériaux Macromoléculaires, UMR 5627, INSA, 20 Av. A. Einstein, 69621 Villeurbanne, France; Physico-chimie des Polymères et des Milieux Dispersés, UMR 7615, ESPCI, 10 rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

a Laboratoire de Chimie des Polymères

b Nexans Research Center

c Laboratoire de Chimie de la Matière Condensée

d Laboratoire des Matériaux Macromoléculaires

e Physico-chimie des Polymères et des Milieux Dispersés

Abstract

“1D cross-linked” thermoplastic elastomers could be an interesting class of materials, where the elastomer would be physically cross-linked by the self-association of functional groups forming long supramolecular chains. These materials could present both a high strength (due to the very large functionality of the cross-linking domains), and reversibility at room temperature (due to the intrinsic

reversibility of the supramolecular chains). In order to obtain such materials, polydimethylsiloxanes (PDMS) grafted with hydrogen bonding bis-ureas have been synthesized by modification of amino-functional PDMS. The materials obtained are physically cross-linked at room temperature by aggregation of strongly but reversibly self-associated bis-ureas. PDMS combining high bis-urea content and long polymer chains can undergo high strains and stresses at room temperature, but can be processed at higher temperature. A wide control of the mechanical properties of the materials has been achieved by adjusting the structure of the polymer (molecular weight, grafting density, nature of the bis-urea). Although 3D cross-linking has been obtained instead of the aimed 1D cross-linking, new thermoplastic elastomers with easily tunable processing temperature and mechanical properties are presented.

Keywords

thermoplastic elastomer, hydrogen bond, bis-urea, rheology, tensile properties, non covalent cross-linking.

Introduction

Elastomers, which are soft and resilient polymeric materials able to undergo reversible deformations, find a lot of applications in everyday life (tires, cable sheathing, plastic gloves). Such materials are usually obtained by the chemical cross-linking of a flexible polymer. The softness of the material is granted by the low T_g of the polymer, and a good elasticity is achieved through the formation of covalent bonds, which hold the chains together. Unfortunately, such materials can hardly be reprocessed because of the covalent links between the polymer chains. Consequently, most of these materials end their life as energy supplies.

To cope with these recycling problems, thermoplastic elastomers have been developed. The most common way to obtain thermoplastic elastomers consists in forming a non covalent network by creating physical interactions between the chains.^{1,2} These polymers exhibit elastomer properties as long as the

physical interactions are strong enough. Then, the physical network can be destroyed by external action, such as heating, which leads to a processable polymer.

The formation of a non covalent network can result from weak interactions such as ionic interactions¹³ or hydrogen bonds,^{1,4-13} and/or from polymer/polymer segregation.¹ Hydrogen bonds are particularly useful to form and control the toughness of non-covalent networks thanks to their directionality and relative strength. Thus, thermoplastic elastomers relying on well-organised hydrogen bonds have already been obtained, the most widely used being polyurethanes.¹ In these multi-block copolymers, soft blocks are physically cross-linked by hard three-dimensional (3-D) crystalline domains strengthened by hydrogen bonds. In a similar way, Stadler et al. presented polybutadienes grafted by 4-carboxyphenylurazoles which self-assemble by hydrogen bonds and self-organize in the polymer. Here, the "crystalline" zones are bidimensional (2-D)¹⁴ and act as strong and multiple cross-linking points that lead to impressive rheological and tensile properties.⁶ Moreover, when the melting point of the ordered domains is reached, the material can easily be processed.

Other systems bear functional groups which dimerize by hydrogen-bonding, but do not crystallize. For example, Stadler et al.^{5,15} presented polybutadienes cross-linked through the pair-wise hydrogen bonding of phenyl urazoles grafted on the polymer. These systems have a higher elastic modulus than non-modified polybutadienes, but their visco-elastic properties are limited because of the relative weakness of the association constant of phenyl urazoles (10^3 L/mol in chloroform¹⁶). Other authors showed that it is possible to dramatically improve the rheological and tensile properties of non polar polymers when the reversible cross-linking is realized with ureido-pyrimidones.^{7,11,17} Indeed the dimerisation constant of these molecules is much higher (10^7 L/mol in chloroform¹⁸), which leads to the formation of very strong physical networks. Unlike previously cited thermoplastic elastomers with 3-D or 2-D ordered domains, these elastomers are reversibly cross-linked through dimerization only (0-D). The advantages of this "zero-dimensional cross-linking" is that these systems are expected to be in a thermodynamic equilibrium⁷ and

that reversibility is possible even at room temperature. On the other hand, the tensile properties of “0-D cross-linked” elastomers are much weaker than those of “2-D or 3-D cross-linked” elastomers.

In this respect, “1-D cross-linked” elastomers would be an interesting intermediate class of materials, where the elastomer would be physically cross-linked by the self-association of functional groups forming long supramolecular chains. These materials could present both a high strength (due to the very large functionality of the cross-linking domains), and reversibility at room temperature (due to the intrinsic reversibility of the supramolecular chains).

This paper presents our first attempt toward the synthesis of such materials. Bis-ureas, which have been reported to self-assemble in apolar solvents into long and rigid one-dimensional cylinders^{19,20} by four strong cooperative hydrogen bonds (10^5 L/mol in chloroform²¹), were chosen as the cross-linking element. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) was chosen as the polymer backbone, because of its flexibility, low polarity and availability. Moreover, Yilgor et al.⁴ have already shown that PDMS bearing bis-urea linkages in the main chain exhibit very good tensile properties. Finally, it was checked by an FTIR study in chloroform that bis-ureas grafted on polydimethylsiloxane chains self-assemble efficiently²². In this paper, we report the functionalization of polydimethylsiloxanes with urea or bis-ureas. The microstructure of the polymers as well as their tensile and rheological properties are described. Although 3D cross-linking has been obtained instead of the aimed 1D cross-linking, new thermoplastic elastomers with easily tunable processing temperature and mechanical properties are presented.

Results

1. Synthesis

Seven urea functional PDMS were obtained by reacting commercial amino-functional PDMS with mono-isocyanates (Scheme 1, Tables 1 and 2). The synthesis was performed at room temperature and in solution, which ensured a quantitative modification of amines into urea functions according to ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Moreover, size exclusion chromatography (SEC) showed that neither scission of the backbone nor chain coupling occurred during the modification.²³ Finally, OC106-4, OC120-1 and OC128-

1, corresponding to three different batches of PDMS(30)-g-BuP synthesized in almost the same conditions,²⁴ are very similar in terms of molar masses and functionality (Table 1). As a conclusion, this synthesis is an easy, quantitative, and reproducible way to obtain various urea-functional PDMS.

2. Structural characterization

2.1. FTIR



The infrared spectra of all the present polymers show a broad band at 3310-3340 cm⁻¹ characteristic of hydrogen bonded NH groups.²⁵ Moreover, PDMS(37)-g-NMeP is the only polymer with detectable free NH groups (3430cm⁻¹).

2.2. DSC


All the present polymers have a low temperature T_g (below -115°C) characteristic of the polydimethylsiloxane backbone. Moreover, the DSC thermogram of BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP (respectively PDMS(37)-g-NMeP) presents a sharp endothermic peak (Figure 1), which is close to the melting point of its model bis-urea BuP/EH (mp = 190°C) (respectively NMeP/EH (mp = 88°C)). These two compounds are low molecular weight bis-ureas (Scheme 2) similar to the moieties grafted on the polymer backbone. On the contrary, a very broad endothermic transition is observed for PDMS(10)-g-BuP and PDMS(13)-g-BuP, and no peak is observed for PDMS(30)-g-Ph and PDMS(30)-g-BuP.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of urea or bis-urea grafted PDMS (a) and of bis-urea telechelic PDMS (b).


a




PDMS-g-BuP



PDMS-g-NMeP



PDMS-g-Ph






Table 1. Synthesis conditions and characteristics of urea or bis-urea grafted PDMS (Scheme 1).

Sample	Reactants			Products						
	m/n	(NCO/NH ₂) ratio	m/n ^(a)	M _n ^(b) (g/mol)	M _w ^(b) (g/mol)	I _p ^(b)	n ^(c)	M _{1f} ^(d) (g/mol)	Yield (%)	
PDMS(10)-g-BuP (OC121-1)	81	1.2	71	10 500	14 500	1.4	1.8	5 700	74	
PDMS(13)-g-BuP (OC123-1)	26	1.2	26	13 000	20 000	1.5	6	2 400	69	
PDMS(30)-g-BuP (OC106-4)	22	2.2	23	31 000	73 000	2.4	15	2 100	64	
PDMS(30)-g-BuP (OC120-1)	23	1.2	22	28 000	78 000	2.8	14	2 100	76	
PDMS(30)-g-BuP (OC128-1)	21	1.2	22	27 000	84 000	3.1	13	2 100	69	
PDMS(37)-g-NMeP (OC125-4)	23	1.2	21	37 000	130 000	3.5	19	2 000	69	
PDMS(30)-g-Ph (OC132-4)	21	1.3	24	28 000	63 000	2.3	14	2 000	54	

(a) determined by ^1H NMR; (b) determined by SEC; (c) average number of functional groups per chain, determined using m/n and M_n ; (d) M_{tr} corresponds to the average molecular weight between two functional groups and was determined using m/n value.

Table 2. Synthesis conditions and characteristics of bis-urea telechelic PDMS (Scheme 1).

Sample	Reactants				Products				
	$M_n^{(a)}$ (g/mol)	$x^{(a)}$	(NCO/NH ₂) ratio	$x^{(a)}$	$M_n^{(a)}$ (g/mol)	$M_n^{(b)}$ (g/mol)	$M_w^{(b)}$ (g/mol)	$I_p^{(b)}$	Yield (%)
BuP/PDMS(3)/BuP (OC086-1)	2 800	35	2.2	48	4 500	4 500	7 000	1.6	54
BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP (OC098-3)	27 500	370	3.3	386	29 500	25 000	46 000	1.8	86

(a) determined by ^1H NMR; (b) determined by SEC.






Figure 1. DSC scans of the urea and bis-urea functional PDMS (20°C/min, first heating ramp, under nitrogen).

Scheme 2. Chemical structure of low molecular weight bis-ureas.




BuP/EH



NMeP/EH



EH/EH



2.3. Small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS)

BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP, PDMS(13)-g-BuP and PDMS(30)-g-BuP were compared to BuP/EH (Figure 2). Each of these compounds presents a diffraction peak broader than for the low molecular weight reference compound (BuP/EH). The distances in the 4-6 nm zone are characteristic of long range order, suggesting a pseudo-ordered structure.

Similarly to EH/EH,²⁰ BuP/EH has been shown by small angle neutron scattering (SANS) to form long supramolecular cylinders of diameter 3.0 nm in dilute toluene solution. If we assume that the SAXS diffraction peak at 2.3 nm for BuP/EH in the bulk results from long range order among such supramolecular cylinders, then by analogy, the SAXS diffraction peak at 4 to 6 nm for the polymers could result from similar supramolecular cylinders, which would be farther apart because of the presence of the macromolecular backbone.




Figure 2. Small angle X-ray scattering curves obtained for three bis-urea functional PDMS (Scheme 1 and Tables 1 and 2) and a model bis-urea (Scheme 2) at 25°C.

3. Tensile properties at 22°C

PDMS(30)-g-BuP and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP can undergo high strain and stress before breaking (Figure 3). PDMS(13)-g-BuP, and BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP are tough enough to be tested but cannot withstand high strains (Table 3). Finally, BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP and PDMS(10)-g-BuP are too brittle to be tested, and PDMS(30)-g-Ph is a liquid.




Figure 3. Tensile tests of PDMS(30)-g-BuP and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP (22°C, 200 mm/min).

Table 3. Tensile properties of the bis-urea functional PDMS (22°C, speed = 200 mm/min).

Name	%N ^(a)	M _n ^(b) (g/mol)	Stress at break (MPa)	Strain at break (%)	Young Modulus (MPa)
BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP OC086-1	2.73	4 500		too brittle to be tested	
BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP OC098-3	0.43	25 000	0.15 +/- 0.05	25 +/- 7	0.55
PDMS(10)-g-BuP OC121-1	0.98	10 500		too brittle to be tested	
PDMS(13)-g-BuP OC123-1	2.26	13 000	0.35 +/- 0.1	5 +/- 2	5.5
PDMS(30)-g-BuP OC106-4	2.76	31 000	4.0 +/- 0.1	280 +/- 30	10.1
PDMS(30)-g-BuP OC120-1	2.82	28 000	4.0 +/- 0.1	390 +/- 75	9.7
PDMS(30)-g-BuP OC128-1	2.72	27 000	4.3 +/- 0.3	350 +/- 50	10.6
PDMS(37)-g-NMeP OC125-4	2.67	37 000	0.7 +/- 0.1	1800 +/- 230	1.0

(a) nitrogen content determined by elemental analysis, and proportional to the bis-urea content; (b) determined by SEC.

4. Rheological properties at 30°C

The amino-functional PDMS are liquids even for the highest molar masses, but the present bis-urea functional PDMS are elastic solids. This qualitative observation is confirmed by rheology (Figure 4): PDMS(30)-g-BuP is characterized by a rubbery plateau over 2 decades at 30°C, whereas its amino-functional precursor is a viscous liquid on the same frequency range. Moreover, G' is more than 7 orders of magnitude higher for PDMS(30)-g-BuP than for its precursor.

Figures 5 and 6 show that PDMS(13 or 30)-g-BuP, BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP are also elastic solids at 30°C. Additionally, the height of their elastic plateau increases in the order BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP << PDMS(13)-g-BuP < PDMS(37)-g-NMeP < PDMS(30)-g-BuP. On the contrary, PDMS(10)-g-BuP has an elastic behaviour only for the highest frequencies (Figure 6), and PDMS(30)-g-

Ph is a viscous liquid, even for high frequencies (Figure 5). The viscosity of mono-urea grafted PDMS(30)-g-Ph is newtonian over a wide shear rate range, but is 10^3 times as high as the viscosity of its amino-functional precursor (Figure 7). Finally, BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP could not be tested because it did not stick to the rheometer plates and was very brittle below 190°C.




Figure 4. Rheological properties of bis-urea grafted PDMS(30)-g-BuP (▲, △) and its amino functional precursor (◆, ◇) at 30°C. Elastic modulus G' (full symbols), loss modulus G'' (hollow symbols).




Figure 5. Rheological properties of PDMS(30)-g-BuP (◆, ◇), PDMS(37)-g-NMeP (■, □), and PDMS(30)-g-Ph (▲, △) at 30°C. Elastic modulus G' (full symbols), loss modulus G'' (hollow symbols).




Figure 6. Rheological properties of PDMS(30)-g-BuP (◆, ◇), PDMS(13)-g-BuP (▲, △), BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP (●, ○), and PDMS(10)-g-BuP (■, □) at 30°C. Elastic modulus G' (full symbols), loss modulus G'' (hollow symbols).




Figure 7. Viscosity of PDMS(30)-g-Ph (◆) and its amino-functional precursor (■) at 30°C.

5. Rheological properties at high temperatures

Figures 8 and 9 show the thermo-mechanical behaviour of the modified PDMS. PDMS(13)-g-BuP is a rubber up to 80°C. Then, G' decreases and the polymer becomes viscous above 100°C, which makes it

easy to process from 100°C to 140°C. Above 160°C, a rapid increase of the elastic and loss moduli is observed, and the polymer can then hardly be processed.




Figure 8. Evolution of the elastic modulus G' (◆) and of the loss modulus G'' (◇) for PDMS(13)-g-BuP at 1Hz.




Figure 9. Temperature dependence of the elastic modulus G' for PDMS(30)-g-BuP (◆), PDMS(13)-g-BuP (△), PDMS(10)-g-BuP (○), PDMS(37)-g-NMeP (●), and BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP (+) at 1Hz.

The evolution of the elastic moduli of the different bis-urea modified PDMS are compared on Figure 9. Although the rheological properties of PDMS(30)-g-BuP and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP are very similar at

30°C, a huge difference is observed at higher temperatures. Indeed, the end of the rubbery plateau occurs at 60°C for PDMS(37)-g-NMeP, but at 110°C for PDMS(30)-g-BuP. Finally, PDMS(10)-g-BuP flows at about 40-50°C, BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP flows at 110°C, and BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP could not be analyzed by rheology but softens at 190°C.²⁶

Discussion

The fact that all the materials described here are fully soluble in THF proves that no covalent cross-linking occurred during synthesis or processing. Nevertheless, the PDMS modified by bis-ureas present much better rheological and tensile properties than their amino-functional precursors. The origin of this improvement, as well as the differences between the seven samples, are now discussed.

1. Telechelic polymers: BuP/PDMS(4 or 29)/BuP

The observation of an endothermic peak close to the melting temperature of low molecular weight reference bis-ureas (Figure 1) suggests that the chain-ends of the telechelic polymers are organized in a crystal-like structure. The ordered phase of hydrogen bonded bis-ureas must be responsible for the physical cross-linking of the polymers and the improvement of their mechanical properties (Figure 6, Table 3), compared to their amino-functional precursors. Moreover, the melting of the ordered domains of bis-ureas at high temperatures leads to a weakening of the physical network, which enables the processing of these materials. The rapid decrease of the elastic modulus G' of BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP (at 110°C) is indeed very close to the melting point (135°C) of this polymer (Figures 1 and 9).

In spite of these interesting observations, neither BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP nor BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP are strong elastomers, probably because the chains of BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP are too short to entangle and the bis-urea content of BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP is too low for an efficient cross-linking.

In fact, these polymers behave similarly to 4-carboxyphenylurazole telechelic polyisobutylenes described by Stadler et al.^{27,28} The latter are physically cross-linked by 4-carboxyphenylurazoles which self-assemble by hydrogen bonds and form ordered structures in the polymer. However, bis-ureas melt at higher temperatures than 4-carboxyphenylurazoles, which enhances the thermo-mechanical properties.

In an effort to reduce the crystallization tendency of bis-urea functions, randomly grafted polymers were then considered.

2. Grafted polymers: PDMS(10, 13 or 30)-g-BuP

2.1. Mechanical properties at room temperature

These materials are also physically cross-linked by an ordered phase of hydrogen bonded bis-ureas according to SAXS experiments (Figure 2). However, the ordered domains are not as regular as in the telechelic polymers: no sharp endothermic peak is observed by DSC for PDMS(30)-g-BuP.

Since, PDMS(10, 13 or 30)-g-BuP are grafted polymers, it is possible to vary their molecular weight and their bis-urea content independently. In particular, PDMS(30)-g-BuP combines a high bis-urea content with long polymer chains. As a consequence, this material is a strong elastomer at room temperature (Figures 3 and 6, Table 3). On the contrary, PDMS(13)-g-BuP, whose bis-urea content is close to that of PDMS(30)-g-BuP, has a high rubbery plateau (Figure 6), but its shorter chains are probably responsible for its poor tensile properties (Table 3). Finally, PDMS(10)-g-BuP possesses neither long chains nor high bis-urea content, which explains its poor tensile and rheological properties (Figure 6, Table 3).

2.2. Degradation of bis-ureas

According to Figure 8, PDMS(13)-g-BuP can be processed above 100°C. However, a rapid increase of the elastic modulus at 160°C forbids the processing at higher temperatures. The increase of the elastic and loss moduli above 160°C is actually caused by chemical cross-linking of the material. Indeed, the sample used for this analysis swelled, but was not soluble in THF at the end of the experiment. Similarly, after one night at 150°C, a sample of PDMS(30)-g-BuP is insoluble in THF, although one night at 100°C does not affect the solubility.

In order to understand the nature of this cross-linking reaction, a thermogravimetric analysis was performed. TGA experiment on PDMS(30)-g-BuP (under air atmosphere) reveals that no significant weight loss is observed up to 200°C, whereas the chemical cross-linking happens as soon as 150°C. Based

plates can be obtained, but degradation occurs to a limited extent, which makes it impossible to recycle the polymer a large number of times. In order to obtain undegraded plates of PDMS(30)-g-BuP for this study, the polymer was pressed at 100°C and put into contact of THF vapours (see Experimental Section). This procedure reproducibly yielded perfectly homogeneous and undegraded samples.

3. PDMS(37)-g-NMeP and PDMS(30)-g-Ph

PDMS(30)-g-BuP, PDMS(37)-g-NMeP, and PDMS(30)-g-Ph were obtained from the same amino-functional PDMS and have thus similar functionalities and molar masses (Table 1). However, they are grafted with very different associative groups, which results in huge differences of thermo-mechanical properties (Figures 3, 5, and 9, Table 3).

These properties can be related to the strength of the physical network formed in each case. Indeed, DSC and SAXS experiments (Figures 1 and 2) show that the bis-ureas grafted on PDMS(30)-g-BuP and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP are ordered, whereas the mono-ureas grafted on PDMS(30)-g-Ph are not. Consequently, at room temperature, the crystallization of bis-ureas is responsible for the very good tensile properties of PDMS(30)-g-BuP and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP. However, when temperature is increased, the strength of the network depends on the precise nature of the bis-urea moiety grafted on the polymer. A previous study in solution has shown that the strength of the self-assembly of bis-ureas increases in the order: NMeP/EH $\ll$ BuP/EH.²² This explains the better thermo-mechanical properties of PDMS(30)-g-BuP compared to that of PDMS(37)-g-NMeP.

Finally, in PDMS(30)-g-Ph, the formation of hydrogen bonds without crystallization is not sufficient to obtain an elastomer at room temperature, because of the low association constant of mono-ureas. Nevertheless, the formation of hydrogen bonds in PDMS(30)-g-Ph leads to a polymer much more viscous than its amino-functional precursor (Figure 6): the effect of hydrogen bonds cannot be neglected.

Conclusion

A quantitative and reproducible synthesis was used to functionalize polydimethylsiloxanes (PDMS) by mono-ureas or bis-ureas without degrading the polymer backbone.

Although the aimed “one-dimensional” physical cross-linking of these polymers was not reached because bis-ureas self-organize at least partially in crystal-like domains, the modified materials exhibit impressive viscoelastic and tensile properties compared to their amino-functional precursors.

In particular, all PDMS modified by bis-ureas are elastic solids whereas the amino-functional polymers are newtonian liquids. Moreover, in the case of PDMS(30)-g-BuP and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP, the physical cross-linking due to the ordered hydrogen bonded bis-ureas leads to strong elastomers at room temperature. Indeed, these polymers contain enough bis-ureas and have long enough polymeric chains to undergo high strains and stresses during tensile tests. Furthermore, PDMS(37)-g-NMeP can be easily processed at 80°C where its bis-urea domains melt. On the contrary, PDMS(30)-g-BuP has very good rheological properties up to 120°C, but its processing is limited by the degradation of bis-ureas above 150°C. This material can however be processed at 140°C and 110 bars without becoming chemically cross-linked.

Finally, tuning the thermo-mechanical properties of these materials is possible through engineering of the bis-urea functions.

Experimental Section

Synthesis. Amino-functional PDMS (ABCR), and phenylisocyanate (99%, Aldrich), were used as received. THF (analytical grade) was distilled over sodium wire. The synthesis and characterization of the two mono-isocyanate/mono-ureas and of PDMS(30)-g-BuP (runs OC106-4, OC120-1, OC128-1), BuP/PDMS(4)/BuP (run OC086-1), BuP/PDMS(29)/BuP (run OC098-3), and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP (run OC125-4) was previously reported.²²

PDMS(13)-g-BuP (run OC123-1). A solution of the amino-functional PDMS (AMS-152, 35.0 g, 16.8 10⁻³ molar equivalent of NH₂ functions) in dry THF (300mL) was slowly added, at room temperature and under nitrogen, to a stirred solution of mono-isocyanate/mono-urea (OC084²²– 6.50g, 20.1 10⁻³ mol) in dry THF (260mL). After one week, the reaction mixture was concentrated down to about 200mL by evaporation of the solvent and precipitated in 2L of methanol. The precipitate was then filtered on a n°2

fritted funnel, washed thoroughly with methanol and dried under vacuum. 27.9g (69% yield) of an off-white rubbery solid was obtained. The full conversion of $-\text{NH}_2$ functions was confirmed by ^1H NMR (the $-\text{CH}_2\text{NH}_2$ group ($\delta=2.58$ ppm) was not detected on the final product). ^1H NMR (200 MHz, $\text{CDCl}_3/[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$ (85/15 v/v), 22°C): $\delta(\text{ppm})=8.12/8.00(\text{s}, 2\text{H}, \text{Ar-NH})$, $\delta=7.64(\text{d}, 1\text{H}, \text{Ar-H})$, $\delta=7.26(\text{s}, 1\text{H}, \text{Ar-NH})$, $\delta=7.22/6.94(\text{m}, 6\text{H}, \text{Ar-H})$, $\delta=6.06(\text{t}, 1\text{H}, \text{CH}_2\text{-NH})$, $\delta=3.07(\text{m}, 2\text{H}, \text{N-CH}_2)$, $\delta=2.46(\text{t}, 2\text{H}, \text{Ar-CH}_2)$, $\delta=2.08(\text{s}, 3\text{H}, \text{Ar-CH}_3)$, $\delta=1.45(\text{m}, 4\text{H}, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2/\text{Ar-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2)$, $\delta=1.26(\text{m}, 2\text{H}, \text{Ar-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2)$, $\delta=0.82(\text{t}, 3\text{H}, \text{CH}_3)$, $\delta=0.47(\text{m}, 2\text{H}, \text{Si-CH}_2)$, $\delta= -0.01(\text{s}, 162\text{H}, \text{Si-CH}_3)$; ^{29}Si NMR (40 MHz, $\text{CDCl}_3/[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$ (85/15 v/v), 22°C): $\delta(\text{ppm})=7.1(\text{s}, 2\text{Si}, \text{O-Si}(\text{CH}_3)_3)$, $\delta= -22.0(\text{m}, 152\text{Si}, \text{O-Si}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{-O/O-Si}(\text{CH}_3)(\text{CH}_2\text{-})\text{-O})$, $M_n = 13\,000$ g/mol according to ^{29}Si NMR; IR(film): $\nu=3316\text{cm}^{-1}(\text{N-H})$, $\nu=1640\text{cm}^{-1}(\text{C=O})$; elemental analysis calcd (%): C 38.1, H 8.0, N 2.37; found: C 37.91, H 8.10, N 2.26; DSC ($20^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$, N_2) $T_g = -118^\circ\text{C}$ (inflexion point); SEC (THF): $M_n = 13000$, $M_w = 20000$, $I_p = 1.5$.

PDMS(10)-g-BuP (run OC121-1). The synthesis of PDMS(10)-g-BuP is derived from that of PDMS(13)-g-BuP and only the purification method and the mono-isocyanate/mono-urea used (OC116²³) are slightly different. After one week, the reaction mixture was concentrated down to about 70mL by evaporation of the solvent and precipitated in 2L of methanol. The viscous oil obtained was decanted and washed three times with methanol and dried under vacuum to afford a transparent brittle rubbery solid (74% yield). ^1H NMR (200 MHz, $\text{CDCl}_3/[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$ (85/15 v/v), 22°C): $\delta(\text{ppm})=8.15/8.01(\text{s}, 2\text{H}, \text{Ar-NH})$, $\delta=7.65(\text{d}, 1\text{H}, \text{Ar-H})$, $\delta=7.26(\text{s}, 1\text{H}, \text{Ar-NH})$, $\delta=7.22/7.16/6.96/6.90(\text{d}, 6\text{H}, \text{Ar-H})$, $\delta=6.06(\text{t}, 1\text{H}, \text{CH}_2\text{-NH})$, $\delta=3.06(\text{m}, 2\text{H}, \text{N-CH}_2)$, $\delta=2.45(\text{t}, 2\text{H}, \text{Ar-CH}_2)$, $\delta=2.08(\text{s}, 3\text{H}, \text{Ar-CH}_3)$, $\delta=1.47(\text{m}, 4\text{H}, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2/\text{Ar-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2)$, $\delta=1.24(\text{m}, 2\text{H}, \text{Ar-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2\text{-CH}_2)$, $\delta=0.82(\text{t}, 3\text{H}, \text{CH}_3)$, $\delta=0.49(\text{m}, 2\text{H}, \text{Si-CH}_2)$, $\delta= -0.02(\text{s}, 435\text{H}, \text{Si-CH}_3)$; ^{29}Si NMR (40 MHz, $\text{CDCl}_3/[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$ (85/15 v/v), 22°C): $\delta(\text{ppm})=7.2(\text{s}, 2\text{Si}, \text{O-Si}(\text{CH}_3)_3)$, $\delta= -22.0(\text{m}, 82\text{Si}, \text{O-Si}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{-O/O-Si}(\text{CH}_3)(\text{CH}_2\text{-})\text{-O})$, $M_n = 6\,500$ g/mol according to ^{29}Si NMR; IR(film): $\nu=3319\text{cm}^{-1}(\text{N-H})$, $\nu=1638\text{cm}^{-1}(\text{C=O})$; elemental analysis calcd (%): C 34.8, H 8.0, N 0.98;

found: C 35.36, H 8.03, N 0.98; DSC (20°C/min, N₂) T_g = -123°C (inflexion point); SEC (THF): M_n = 10500, M_w = 14500, I_p = 1.4.

PDMS(30)-g-Ph (run OC132-4). The synthesis of PDMS(30)-g-Ph is derived from that of PDMS(13)-g-BuP except that phenylisocyanate is used to modify the amino functions. After one week, 25mL of methanol were added into the reaction mixture, which was then concentrated down to about 70mL by evaporation of the solvent. The polymer was precipitated in 2L of methanol and formed a viscous oil. The oil was decanted, washed three times with methanol and dried under vacuum to afford a viscous liquid. As the yield was low, a second fraction of PDMS(30)-g-Ph was obtained after elimination of the methanol from the soluble part, redissolution in a minimum of THF, and precipitation in methanol. SEC and ¹H NMR revealed that both fractions were similar and they were combined by dissolution in THF and evaporation of the solvent (54% yield). ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃/[D₆]DMSO (85/15 v/v), 22°C): δ(ppm)=7.91(s, 1H, Ar-NH), δ=7.28/7.12/6.81(m, 5H, Ar-H), δ=5.70(t, 1H, CH₂-NH), δ=3.07(q, 2H, N-CH₂), δ=1.46(m, 2H, N-CH₂CH₂CH₂), δ=0.45(m, 2H, Si-CH₃), δ= -0.01(s, 148H, Si-CH₃); IR(film): ν=3335cm⁻¹(N-H), ν=1650cm⁻¹(C=O); elemental analysis calcd (%): C 35.2, H 8.0, N 1.39; found: C 35.14, H 8.11, N 1.35; DSC (20°C/min, N₂) T_g = -117°C (inflexion point); SEC (THF): M_n = 28000, M_w = 63000, I_p = 2.3.

¹H and ²⁹Si NMR spectra were recorded on a Brüker AC200© 200 MHz spectrometer at 20°C. FTIR spectra were obtained, from THF or chloroform solutions evaporated on KBr disks, with a Nicolet Avatar 320© spectrometer at 20°C. SEC was performed in THF with PL-gel columns and with ultra-violet (UV) and refractive index (RI) detectors. The samples were injected at 5 g/L. The number and mass average molecular weights of the modified polymers were determined with the RI detection, using a polystyrene calibration. The absence of low molecular weight residual compounds such as hydrolysed mono-isocyanate was checked by UV detection.

The amino-functional precursors could not be analysed by SEC because of the adsorption of amines on the columns. Thus, PDMS(30)-g-Ph, which was obtained from a commercial mono-isocyanate containing

no diisocyanate, was used as a reference. Indeed, if the fractionation during precipitation is neglected, PDMS(30)-g-Ph is expected to be almost identical to its amino-functional precursor. Figure 10 shows that the chromatograms of PDMS(30)-g-BuP and PDMS(30)-g-Ph (UV detection) are almost identical. This confirms that BuP/NCO+NCO/BuP does not contain any residual diisocyanates.²² On the contrary, a small increase of molecular weight can be observed for PDMS(37)-g-NMeP, which confirms that the mono-isocyanate/mono-urea used for the synthesis of this polymer contained a small amount of residual diisocyanate.^{22,26} Nevertheless, PDMS(37)-g-NMeP is not chemically cross-linked.




Figure 10. SEC chromatograms of PDMS(30)-g-Ph, PDMS(30)-g-BuP, and PDMS(37)-g-NMeP (UV detection).

Mechanical analysis.

Processing of the testing plates. Homogeneous plates of the modified PDMS were obtained by pressing the polymer powder between two steel plates spaced by a 1 mm mould at 100°C and 110 bars during 10 minutes, and then cooling to room temperature under 110 bars for 10 more minutes. Teflon-coated paper sheets were used to help unmoulding.

PDMS(30)-g-BuP did not yield homogeneous plates with this method. Then, after a first pressing at 100°C and 110 bars, the unhomogeneous plates of PDMS(30)-g-BuP were placed in a container saturated

with THF vapours. When the polymer seemed homogeneous, it was taken out of the container and left overnight under a hood. When only small amounts of THF were present in the polymer, the latter was pressed again at 100°C and 110 bars. Finally, it was dried under vacuum to eliminate the remaining THF.

Tensile tests. Tensile tests were performed according to European norm CEI 60 811 with dumbbell tensile plates cut from the plates. The section of the testing plates, measured before each run, was about 1 by 4 mm. The strain percentage was determined by measuring the deformation of 20mm of the dumbbell. The tests were performed on a computer-controlled Zwick tensile tester at 22°C and with an elongation speed of 200 mm/min. Five testing plates were used for each sample and the results were treated with Test Xpert Software.

Rheology. The solid samples were analysed on a plate-to-plate RDA II rheometer (Rheometrics). Polymer disks of 8mm diameter and 0.5 to 2 mm thickness were chosen for this analysis. These samples were analysed by strain-fixed dynamic rheology with a temperature or a frequency sweep. A strain scanning at the highest frequency was realized to select a strain leading to accurate measures of G' and G'' in the linear regime. The variable temperature experiments were performed by steps of 5°C, with an equilibration time of 5 minutes.

The urea modified PDMS (PDMS(30)-g-Ph) and the amino-functional PDMS (AMS-162) were analysed at 30°C with a cone to plate RFSII rheometer (Rheometrics). The cone (diameter = 5 cm, angle = 4×10^{-4} rad) was spaced from the plate by 45 μ m. The strain was also chosen in the linear regime.

Other analyses.

Differential scanning calorimetry was performed on a modulated DSC-2920 (TA-instruments) under nitrogen and at 20°C/min. Results were analysed with the Thermal Advantage software (TA-instruments). Because of the degradation of bis-ureas above 150°C, only one ramp from 30 to 220°C was performed for the modified PDMS.

PDMS(30)-g-BuP was analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) in a platinum cup, under air atmosphere, and at 20°C/min, on a TGA-Q50 device (TA-instruments).

SAXS: the generator was a rotating Rigaku copper anode powered by a 12 kW current. The sample was placed 38 cm away from the detector, which measured the scattered intensity (I), versus the incidence angle (2θ). The reported distance (d) is related to θ by the Bragg law: $d = \lambda/(2 \cdot \sin\theta)$.

Acknowledgments: Nexans company is acknowledged for financial support. Guylaine Ducouret and Joël Belleney are warmly thanked for performing rheological and ^{29}Si NMR experiments, respectively.

Supporting information available. Processing tests of PDMS(30)-g-BuP between 100 and 160°C.

References

- (1) Holden, G.; Legge, N. R.; Quirk, R.; Schroeder, H. E.; Thermoplastic elastomers, 2nd ed ed.: New-York, 1996.
- (2) Spontak, R. J.; Patel, N. P.; Curr. Opin. Collo. Interf. Sci. 2000, 5, 334-341.
- (3) Antony, P.; De, S. K.; J. Macromol. Sci., Rev. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2001, C41, 41-77.
- (4) Tyagi, D.; Yilgor, I.; McGrath, J. E.; Wilkes, G. L.; Polymer 1984, 25, 1807-1816.
- (5) Stadler, R.; de Lucca Freitas, L. L.; Colloid Polym. Sci. 1986, 264, 773-778.
- (6) Hilger, C.; Stadler, R.; de Lucca Freitas, L. L.; Polymer 1990, 31, 818-823.
- (7) Lange, R. F. M.; Van Gurp, M.; Meijer, E. W.; J. Polym. Sci. Part A: Polym. Chem. 1999, 37, 3657-3670.
- (8) Seidel, U.; Hellmann, J.; Schollmeyer, D.; Hilger, C.; Stadler, R.; Supramolecular Science 1995, 2, 45-50.
- (9) Abetz, V.; Dardin, A.; Stadler, R.; Hellman, J.; Samulski, E. T.; Spiess, H. W.; Colloid & Polym. Sci. 1996, 274, 723-731.
- (10) Hellman, J.; Hilger, C.; Stadler, R.; Polym. Adv. Technol. 1994, 5, 763-774.
- (11) Rieth, L. R.; Eaton, R. F.; Coates, G. W.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2001, 40, 2153-2156.
- (12) Folmer, B. J. B.; Sijbesma, R. P.; Verteegeen, R. M.; van der Rijt, J. A. J.; Meijer, E. W.; Adv. Mater. 2000, 12, 874-878.

- (13) Chino, K.; Ashiura, M.; *Macromolecules* 2001, 34, 9201-9204.
- (14) Hilger, C.; Stadler, R.; *Macromolecules* 1992, 25, 6670-6680.
- (15) Muller, M.; Stadler, R.; Kremer, F.; Williams, G.; *Macromolecules* 1995, 28, 6942-6949.
- (16) de Lucca Freitas, L. L.; Auschra, C.; Abetz, V.; Stadler, R.; *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 1991, 269, 566-575.
- (17) Yamauchi, K.; Lizotte, J. R.; Hercules, D. M.; Vergne, M. J.; Long, T. E.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 8599-8604.
- (18) Söntjens, S. H. M.; Sijbesma, R. P.; van Genderen, M. H. P.; Meijer, E. W.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000, 122, 7487-7493.
- (19) Boileau, S.; Bouteiller, L.; Lauprêtre, F.; Lortie, F.; *New J. Chem.* 2000, 24, 845-848.
- (20) Lortie, F.; Boileau, S.; Bouteiller, L.; Chassenieux, C.; Demé, B.; Ducouret, G.; Jalabert, M.; Lauprêtre, F.; Terech, P.; *Langmuir* 2002, 18, 7218-7222.
- (21) Simic, V.; Bouteiller, L.; Jalabert, M.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 13148-13154.
- (22) Colombani, O.; Bouteiller, L.; *New J. Chem.* 2004, 28, 1373-1382.
- (23) A slight increase of molecular weight is observed for PDMS(37)-g-NMeP, but no chemical cross-linking occurred (see experimental section).
- (24) OC106-4 was precipitated twice since a higher excess of isocyanate was used for modification.
- (25) Colombani, O.; Bouteiller, L.; Barioz, C.; Bonetti-Riffaud, J.; Fompérie, L.; *Polym. Prep.* 2003, 44, 620-621.
- (26) Colombani, O.; PhD Thesis; Université Paris VI: Paris, 2003; p.110.
- (27) Muller, M.; Dardin, A.; Seidel, U.; Balsamo, V.; Ivan, B.; Spiess, H. W.; Stadler, R.; *Macromolecules* 1996, 29, 2577-2583.
- (28) Schirle, M.; Hoffmann, I.; Pieper, T.; Kilian, H. G.; Stadler, R.; *Polym. Bull.* 1996, 36, 95-102.
- (29) Skuches, C. S.; Carleton, P. S.; *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 1984, 29, 3431-3443.
- (30) The formation of biuret and isocyanurate linkages is also possible.