About “Flow of wet granular materials: a numerical study”. Response to a Comment by B. Chareyre.

Saeed Khamseh,¹ Jean-Noël Roux,^{1,*} and François Chevoir¹

¹ *Université Paris-Est, Laboratoire Navier, 2 Allée Kepler, 77420 Champs-sur-Marne, France*

(Dated: July 8, 2017)

In his Comment on our 2015 paper [1], Bruno Chareyre criticizes, as inaccurate, the simple approach we adopted to explain the strong enhancement of the quasistatic shear strength of the material caused by capillary cohesion. He also observes that a similar form of the “effective stress” approach, accounting for the capillary shear stress, which we neglected, results in a quantitatively correct prediction of this yield stress. We agree with these remarks, which we deem quite relevant and valuable. We nevertheless point out that the initial approximation, despite $\sim 25\%$ errors on shear strength in the worst cases, provides a convenient estimate of the Mohr-Coulomb cohesion of the material, which is directly related to the coordination number. We argue that the effective stress assumption, despite its surprising success in the range of states explored in [1], is bound to fail in strongly cohesion-dominated material states.

PACS numbers: 83.80.Fg; 47.57.Qk

Keywords: granular flow; capillary cohesion; Mohr-Coulomb criterion

In our 2015 Physical Review E paper [1] we investigate, by discrete numerical simulations, the constitutive laws of wet spherical grains in steady shear flow, in relation to micromorphology and micromechanics. Assemblies of beads of diameter a , made of a material with mass density ρ , are subjected to a slow shear flow, with controlled shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2}$ and normal stress σ_{22} . (index 1 labelling the flow direction and index 2 the velocity gradient direction). We characterize the material behavior through the apparent friction coefficient, μ^* , conventionally defined, as in cohesionless materials, as the ratio of the shear stress to the normal stress: $\mu^* = \sigma_{12}/\sigma_{22}$. One major control parameter is the reduced pressure P^* comparing the controlled normal stress σ_{22} to the capillary attractive force F_0 between contacting grains: $P^* = a^2 \sigma_{22}/F_0$. Together with inertial number $I = \dot{\gamma} a \sqrt{\rho/\sigma_{22}}$, reduced pressure P^* determines the internal state of the material in steady uniform shear flow.

The issue discussed in the Comment is the definition of effective stresses $\underline{\sigma}^{\text{eff}}$, such that, in the quasistatic limit of $I \rightarrow 0$, the flow condition (yield criterion) takes the form $\sigma_{12}^{\text{eff}} = \mu_0^{*\infty} \sigma_{22}^{\text{eff}}$, with the static internal friction coefficient $\mu_0^{*\infty}$ of the dry material (corresponding to the limits of $P^* \rightarrow \infty$ and $I \rightarrow 0$).

In our paper, we argue that the effect of capillary forces, contributing $\underline{\sigma}^{\text{cap}}$ to the total stress, could be likened to a shift in stresses transmitted through the contact network, and we adopt these shifted stress values as effective stresses. We choose nevertheless to ignore the shift in shear stress, and indeed our simplifying approach amounts to writing $\sigma_{12}^{\text{eff}} = \sigma_{12}$ and $\sigma_{22}^{\text{eff}} = \sigma_{22} - \sigma_{22}^{\text{cap}}$. This omission is criticized by Chareyre in his Comment,

as entailing poorer predictions of shear strength. He points out that on accounting for all terms of the capillary stresses, the quasistatic criterion written as in (his) Eq. 1 very closely fits all simulation data points (Fig. 1) pertaining to homogeneous quasistatic flows. As, on ignoring σ_{12}^{cap} , we obtain a relative error growing to 25% at small P^* , he therefore concludes that we are unduly pessimistic in our assessment of the performance of shear strength predictions based on the effective stress approach.

We find this conclusion well founded for the main data series of Ref. [1], we agree that the success of the assumption that the contact stresses (defined as $\underline{\sigma}^{\text{cont}} = \underline{\sigma} - \underline{\sigma}^{\text{cap}}$) are effective stresses is remarkable, and that this observation nicely agrees with other results [2]. Thus, we regard the Comment as very relevant and interesting.

The perspectives of our work [1] (see also [3]), in which many other aspects of wet granular material rheology are addressed, were actually slightly different. Our main motivation on invoking effective stress ideas was to provide a physical explanation for the strong increase of friction coefficient μ_0^* , the quasistatic limit of μ^* , as P^* decreases through the investigated range. In this respect, the simplified approach ignoring σ_{12}^{cap} proves quite successful, as it provides a semi-quantitative prediction of the shear strength with simple means. As an additional advantage, it justifies the existence of a cohesion in the sense of Mohr-Coulomb, with a value that relates in satisfactory approximation to F_0 , solid fraction Φ and coordination number z as

$$c = \frac{z\Phi\mu_0^{*\infty}F_0}{\pi a^2}. \quad (1)$$

This lends itself to interesting comparisons with the literature [4, 5] and correctly assesses cohesive contributions to strength for $P^* \geq 1$. Using the full contribution of capillary forces to the stresses is more accurate, but σ_{12}^{cap} is not as easily related to the simplest state variables Φ and z . In recent simulations with a slightly different sys-

*Electronic address: jean-noel.roux@ifsttar.fr

tem [6], we also observed σ_{12}^{cap} to vary significantly with P^* .

A more complete exploration of the range of validity of postulate $\underline{\sigma}^{\text{eff}} = \underline{\sigma}^{\text{cont}}$ is still needed, as its physical origin remains mysterious. The basic objections put forth in [1], about the microstructure differences induced by cohesion, should bear some relevance. Cohesive systems might form stable structures with very low densities [3] (e.g., $\Phi = 0.25$) inaccessible to cohesionless ones, in which case the comparison with dry materials subject to the effective stress makes no sense. Such configurations are however

associated with low P^* values for which homogeneous shear flow were not observed. Similar numerical simulations of wet bead assemblies in shear flow were recently carried out in our group [6], with a different value of the intergranular friction coefficient, $\mu = 0.09$, motivated by quantitative comparisons with an experimental system. The effective stress postulate (accounting for σ_{12}^{cap}) is observed to predict the shear resistance with an accuracy better than 5%, except for $P^* < 1$ and small liquid contents ($V_m < 10^{-4}a^3$ with the notations of [1]), in which case the error grows to order 10%.

-
- [1] S. Khamseh, J.-N. Roux, and F. Chevoir. Flow of wet granular materials: a numerical study. *Phys. Rev. E*, 92:022201, 2015.
 - [2] L. Scholtès, B. Chareyre, F. Nicot, and F. Darve. Micromechanics of granular materials with capillary effects. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 47:64–75, 2009.
 - [3] V.-D. Than, S. Khamseh, A.-M. Tang, J.-M. Pereira, F. Chevoir, and J.-N. Roux. Basic Mechanical Properties of Wet Granular Materials: A DEM Study. *ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, 143(SI1):C4016001, 2017.
 - [4] P. Pierrat, D. K. Agrawal, and H. S. Caram. Effect of moisture on the yield locus of granular materials: theory of shift. *Powder Tech.*, 99:220–227, 1998.
 - [5] V. Richefeu, M.S. El Youssoufi, and F. Radjaï. Shear strength properties of wet granular materials. *Phys. Rev. E*, 73:051304, 2006.
 - [6] M. Badetti, A. Fall, F. Chevoir and J.-N. Roux. To be submitted.