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Abstract—Customer product reviews play an important role in the customer’s decision to purchase a product or use a service. Customer preferences and opinions are affected by other customers’ reviews online, on blogs or over social networking platforms. We propose a multilingual recommender system based on sentiment analysis to help Algerian users decide on products, restaurants, movies and other services using online product reviews. The main goal of this work is to combine both recommendation system and sentiment analysis in order to generate the most accurate recommendations for users. Because both domains suffer from the lack of labeled data, to overcome that, this paper presents a collaborative filtering system to implement a recommendation system to produce a unique and functioning recommender system. We propose to integrate a semi-supervised classification-based opinions analysis system into a multilingual recommendation system. Firstly, the process of opinions classification extracts the statistical features set such as: number of words, emotionalism, addressing, reflexivity/etc. Secondly, the resulting features vector will be the numerical representation of the review’s text in the classification phase by the semi-supervised SVM. Finally, a polarity score is generated to compute the vote for the collaborative filtering of the recommendation phase.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines the recommendation systems techniques. Section 3 describes the semi-supervised support vector machine. Section 4 explains in details the description of all the phases of the proposed hybrid system. Section 5 presents the results of the experimental analysis and evaluation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The goal of a Recommender System (RS) is to generate meaningful recommendations to users about items or products that might be of interest to them. This new area of research is gaining more importance mainly due to the effects of widespread use of social media. Most of the existing systems and resources are tailored towards English or other European languages. Despite the fact that Arabic is currently among the top ten most used languages on the Internet, there are very few resources for Arabic recommender systems.

This work is primarily concerned with the task of recommending different products to Algerian customers based on other customers’ opinions. We focus on the top four languages used in Algeria: Arabic, Algerian dialect, French and English. Opinion analysis is concerned with the feelings and emotions expressed in a text. It is developing rapidly today because of the widespread usage of web and social media to express very large number of opinions. Therefore, we built a polarity detection system that proven it efficiency in previous experimental works [1-4]. This system transforms reviews texts into a numerical evaluation and feeds them into a recommendation system to implement a collaborative filtering.

Users’ emotions are stated explicitly with a vote or implicitly with comments. Those comments are written in natural language with specific vocabulary. They have a polarity score to foresee the vote associated with this comment and make recommendations about items that may interest other customers.

The novelty of this work stems from combining the fields of sentiment analysis and recommendation using collaborative filtering to produce a unique and functioning recommender system. We propose to integrate a semi-supervised classification-based opinions analysis system into a multilingual recommendation system. Firstly, the process of opinions classification extracts the statistical features set such as: number of words, emotionalism, addressing, reflexivity/etc. Secondly, the resulting features vector will be the numerical representation of the review’s text in the classification phase by the semi-supervised SVM. Finally, a polarity score is generated to compute the vote for the collaborative filtering of the recommendation phase.

II. RECOMMENDATION SYSTEMS TECHNIQUES

A recommender system provides suggestions to users, in multiple contexts. For example, when choosing between multiple items or providing the customer with suggested products. Recommender systems are used in most e-commerce websites, where the system displays a list of recommended items to the end user. The core function of a recommender system is to identify potentially useful items for users [5]. In order to predict these, a RS has to be able to predict the utility of these items. Then, based on the results, the system decides which items to recommend.

Recommender systems are commonly classified into three types according to how recommendations are made, namely: content-based filtering (CBF), collaborative filtering (CF), and
social filtering (SF) systems. A CBF system suggests user items similar to those he preferred or liked in the past. A CF system suggests user items that have similar preferences liked in the past while a SF system suggests items according to the preferences of the user’s social contacts on social media network. Each of these types of recommendations has its own strengths and weaknesses. In order to address particular short comings and compensate for weaknesses, hybrid filtering (HF) systems combine different recommendation approaches.

III. SEMI-SUPERVISED SVM

Semi-supervised support vector machine (S3VM), proposed by Bennett and Demiriz [6], is a learning method based on cluster assumption. The optimal goal of S3VM is to build a classifier by using labeled and unlabeled data. Similar to SVM, S3VM requires the maximum margin to separate the labeled and unlabeled data. The new optimal classification boundary must satisfy that the classification on original unlabeled data has the smallest generalization error.

Ding et al. [7] explained that the S3VM can be used on all two-class problems in semi-supervised learning. Assuming that there is a dataset, A, with a total of one thousand sets, where one hundred sets are labeled, and the others are not. Only one hundred sets of data may be used with SVM. On the contrary, semi-supervised SVM can make full use of the one thousand sets. Additionally, the performance of semi-supervised SVM is better than SVM. In conclusion, semi-supervised SVM is the best choice, if there are small amounts of labeled data and large amounts of unlabeled data.

Semi-supervised support vector machines have been widely used in many classification problems [8-20]. Because of the lack of labeled Arabic or Algerian datasets we took advantage of the S3VM superior performance with unlabeled datasets.

IV. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

As explained earlier the system addresses two problems. First, constructing recommendation system for Algerian users based on opinions analysis. Second, dealing with the four languages, of Algerian users, including: Arabic, Algerian dialect, French and English. Fig. 1 summarizes the different phases of proposed solution.

1. Collaborative filtering

Breese et al. [21] divided collaborative filtering algorithms into two categories: memory-based algorithms [22-26] and model-based algorithms [27-39]. Memory-based algorithms compute a prediction by combining ratings of selected users or items that are judged to be relevant. Model-based algorithms use all available ratings to learn a model, which can then be used to predict the rating of any given item by any given user. Memory-based CF algorithms can be further divided into user-based CF algorithms and item-based CF algorithms.

In this work, we used the user-based CF algorithms [23, 25], where a set of k nearest neighbors of the target user is identified first by calculating the correlations or similarities between the users’ ratings. We experimentally found that spearman similarity was the best similarity measure for the proposed system. Spearman similarity consists of finding a correlation coefficient, not between the values taken by the two variables, but between the ranks of these values. It is defined by:

\[ r_s = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}((\text{rang}(x_i) - \text{rang}(\bar{y})))(\text{rang}(y_i) - \text{rang}(\bar{y})))}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}((\text{rang}(x_i) - \text{rang}(\bar{y}))^2)(\sum_{i=1}^{n}((\text{rang}(y_i) - \text{rang}(\bar{y}))^2)\right)}

Where, \( (x_i) \) and \( (y_i) \) are the observation’s ranks in the sample.

The similarities between the users range from -1 to 1. We chose the value 0 as a threshold to identify the closest neighbors of a user. The collaborative filtering with spearman similarity selects the items chosen by similar user’s profiles. These last post reviews associated to their preference items.

2. Opinions analysis

Fig. 2. explains the architecture of the proposed sentiment analysis system. We start first by explaining the features extraction task. Then provide a short overview of the selected features and later we explain the classification phase using the semi-supervised SVM.

- Reviews Acquisition
- Features Extraction
- Classification (S3VM)
- Recommendation

**Features Vector**
1. Number of sentences
2. Number of positive words
3. Number of negative words
4. Number of neutral words
5. Sum of polarity words
6. Average of positive polarity words
7. Average of negative polarity words
8. Average of neutral polarity words
9. Number of predicates
10. Number of Adjectives
11. Number of Adverbs
12. Average of predicates
13. Average of adjectives
14. Average of adverbs
15. Emotionalism
16. Reflexivity
17. Addressing

Fig. 2. The proposed process for opinions analysis.
1. Reviews acquisition

Because the proposed recommender system is tailored towards Algerian users, we have collected a labeled corpus that comprises almost one thousand reviews in Arabic (MSA), one hundred in Algerian dialect and two thousand in French and English. We also have managed to collect one million unlabeled reviews by using a web crawler. The crawler is a web robot that systematically browses the World Wide Web, and is usually used for the purpose of web indexing.

The main motivation behind using the semi-supervised learning is to employ the large collection of unlabeled data jointly with a few labeled examples for improving generalization performance. Therefore, we have selected two thousand structured reviews for the training of the S3VM.

2. Features extraction

Feature extraction is a rudimentary and essential phase in Sentiment detection process. Therefore, it is important to convert the Arabic review text into a feature vector, so as to process text in a much efficient manner. The selected features used in our system for polarity detection can be summarized as follow.

\[
\text{Number of sentences} = \sum \text{sentences} \quad (2) \\
\text{Number of positive words} = \sum \text{PositiveWord} \quad (3) \\
\text{Number of negative words} = \sum \text{NegativeWord} \quad (4) \\
\text{Number of neutral words} = \sum \text{NeutralWord} \quad (5) \\
\text{Sum of polarity words} = \sum \text{PositiveWord} + \sum \text{NegativeWord} + \sum \text{NeutralWord} \quad (6) \\
\text{Average of positive polarity words} = \frac{\sum \text{PositiveWord}}{\text{Sum of polarity words}} \quad (7) \\
\text{Average of negative polarity words} = \frac{\sum \text{NegativeWord}}{\text{Sum of polarity words}} \quad (8) \\
\text{Average of neutral polarity words} = \frac{\sum \text{NeutralWord}}{\text{Sum of polarity words}} \quad (9) \\
\text{Number of predicates} = \sum \text{Predicates} \quad (10) \\
\text{Number of Adjectives} = \sum \text{Adjectives} \quad (11) \\
\text{Number of Adverbs} = \sum \text{Adverbs} \quad (12) \\
\text{Average of predicates} = \frac{\sum \text{Predicates}}{\sum \text{Predicates} + \sum \text{Adjectives} + \sum \text{Adverbs}} \quad (13) \\
\text{Average of adjectives} = \frac{\sum \text{Adjectives}}{\sum \text{Predicates} + \sum \text{Adjectives} + \sum \text{Adverbs}} \quad (14) \\
\text{Average of adverbs} = \frac{\sum \text{Adverbs}}{\sum \text{Predicates} + \sum \text{Adjectives} + \sum \text{Adverbs}} \quad (15) \\
\]

- Emotionalism: The researchers exploited the presence of the adverbs and adjectives in a document as an indicator permitting to determine the opinions. We calculated the emotionalism of a document by counting the number of the adverbs, adjectives and predicates.

\[
\text{Emotionalism} = \frac{\sum \text{Predicates} + \sum \text{Adjectives} + \sum \text{Adverbs}}{\sum \text{nouns} + \sum \text{verbs}} \quad (16)
\]

- Reflexivity: The reviewers used a lot of reflexivity pronouns such as: « I/me », « I am personally », « I think that », my point of view », etc. All of these sentences make reference to an opinion of review, and therefore, we include the measure of the reflexivity. All documents contain a large number of these words will be more subjective. This measure is expressed by Ref (d):

\[
\text{Ref} (d) = \frac{\sum [\text{words}] \cap \text{R} \cap \text{d}}{|\text{R}||\text{d}| + |\text{A}|} \quad (17)
\]

Where,

\[d: \text{document} \]
\[\text{R: reflexivity list} \]
\[|\text{R}|: \text{the number of reflexivity pronouns in } d \text{ from } \text{R} \]
\[|\text{A}|: \text{the number of addressing pronouns in } d \text{ from } \text{A} \]

- Addressing: Most reviews contain some addressing words such as: « you yourselves yourselves themselves, because the reviewers write their opinions addressed to others.

\[
\text{Add} (d) = \frac{\sum [\text{words}] \cap \text{D} \cap \text{A} |\text{A}|}{|\text{R}||\text{d}| + |\text{A}|} \quad (18)
\]

Where,

\[d: \text{document} \]
\[\text{A: Addressing list} \]
\[|\text{R}|: \text{the number of reflexivity pronouns in } d \text{ from } \text{R} \]
\[|\text{A}|: \text{the number of addressing pronouns in } d \text{ from } \text{A} \]

3. Classification

The Sentiment Polarity Classification is a binary classification task where an opinionated document is labeled with an overall positive or negative sentiment. Sentiment Polarity Classification can also be termed as a binary decision task. The input to the Sentiment Classifier can be opinionated or sometimes not. When given a review is as an input, analyzing and classifying that review, as a good or bad news, is considered to be a text categorization task. Furthermore, this piece of information can be good or bad news, but not necessarily subjective (i.e., without expressing the view of the author). What means this task is a multiclass categorization, where the review can be positive, negative or neutral.

S3VM was chosen as the classification techniques for this phase, in order to benefit from all the collected dataset: labeled and unlabeled in the training.
4. Recommendation

Once the neighbors are obtained and their associated reviews are classified, a weighted average is used to combine the neighbor’s item ratings to produce a prediction value for the target user. As soon as all the similarities of the target user A with respect to the other users are calculated using Spearman similarity (equation 1) and the n most similar users that constitute the vicinity of this target user are defined, the prediction \( P_{Aj} \) of the value of an item j evaluated by the user A is calculated using the weighted sum of the estimates of the nearest neighbors who have already estimated the item j as follows:

\[
P_{Aj} = v_A + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{sim}(A, i) \times (v_{ij} - v_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\text{sim}(A, i)|}
\]

(19)

Where,

- \( n \): number of users present in the neighborhood of A, having already voted on item j
- \( v_{ij} \): Vote of user i for object j
- \( v_A \): Average user rating i
- \( |\text{sim}(A, i)| \): Average Similarity

The latter value allows knowing if an item is relevant or not for the target user. This helps the system to generate efficient recommendations for that user. The global range of votes of a recommender system is represented by explicit votes that vary between 0 and 10, if the prediction value ranges between 0 and 5, the item will not be interesting for the user. While the values ranging between 5 and 10 correspond to relevant recommendations for this user.

IV. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

The system performance has been evaluated with three measures: MeanAbsoluteError (MAE), precision and recall.

- **The MeanAbsoluteError (MAE)** is the most widely used measure in recommendation systems. It estimates the mean of the absolute difference between the estimates and the predictions. The collaborative recommendation system is considered to be performing well when the MAE value is small. For our system, the smaller the MAE, the more efficient the analysis of opinions. This measure is given by the following equation:

\[
MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f_i - y_i|
\]

(20)

Where,

- \( n \): is the predictions number,
- \( f_i \): is the prediction of i,
- \( y_i \): is the evaluation (vote)

- **The precision** which corresponds to the number of comments ranked well in relation to the total number of comments contained in the corpus. The collaborative recommendation system is considered to be performing when the value of the precision is high.

- **The recall**, known as sensitivity, is the number of related comments retrieved over the total number of relevant comments contained in the corpus.

In our system, the higher the precision, the more effective the opinions analysis system. Precision and recall are measured for each class as follows:

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}
\]

(21)

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}
\]

(22)

To highlight the importance, and to clarify the effect of the combination between Arabic opinion classification and recommendation techniques, we carried out multiple experiments to calculate the previous measures. We have tested our approach using different datasets in multiple languages to confirm the efficiency of the proposed system.

**English dataset**: is the Restaurant_TijuanaRestaurant dataset [40], which contains 2000 reviews from 50 guests in 40 restaurants. We chose this benchmark because it contains all the necessary information on which our contribution is based (comments and votes).

**French dataset**: collected from ldlc.com\(^1\). "High-Tech Experience" online computer hardware sales website. Our dataset contains 10 users, 5 smart phones and 50 evaluations.

**Arabic and dialect dataset**: collected from dz.jumia.com\(^2\), "JumiaMarket", which is an Algerian-based online shopping website. Our dataset consists of 10 users, 5 oriental clothing for women and 50 evaluations.

| TABLE I. | THE EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| **MAE** | **Precision** | **Recall** |
| English | 0.52 | 0.96 | 1.0 |
| French | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Arabic and dialect | 0.60 | 0.90 | 1.0 |

Table I. shows the results of our experiments. The table shows MAE, precision and recall for the proposed system for the three datasets. We can safe say that our system has high precision and recall. That validates the use of S3VM and confirms that applying sentiment analysis techniques to recommendation systems significantly improves the quality of the recommendations. The system sensitivity in terms of recall was measured at 100% for the datasets. That maximizes the chances for a specific user to access the items he would like to have.

Our experiments validated our assumption of great advantages from combining: the opinions analysis and the recommender systems. Therefore, the new proposed hybrid approach significantly improved the recommendation system performance for Algerian users.

\(^1\) http://www.ldlc.com/ (17.06.2017)

\(^2\) https://dz.jumia.com/ (17.06.2017)
V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This study presented a basic tool which can be used to analyze Algerian reviews and comments and detect their polarity, in order to generate meaningful recommendations for users. To achieve this goal, we have tried to use semi-supervised SVM for the opinions classification task to avoid the lack of annotated data problem. The obtained scores from S3VM were used as votes for the recommendation task. To prove the proposed combination efficiency, we have tested and evaluated our system using three datasets (Arabic, Dialect, French and English.). The results were very promising, which encouraged us to continue working along this line. Therefore, we intend to enrich our feature vector with another set of morphological primitives using natural language processing. We plan also to study the choice of using other recommendation techniques.
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