Large 18th-19th centuries earthquakes in Western Gulf of Corinth

with reappraised size and location

Paola Albini (1), Andrea Rovida (1), Oona Scotti (2), Hélène Lyon-Caen (3)

(1) Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Milano, Milano, Italy

Corresponding author: paola.albini@ingv.it

(2) Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire, Bureau des Risques Sismiques pour la Sûreté des Installations, Fontenay aux Roses, France

(3) Laboratoire de Géologie, Ecole normale supérieure/CNRS UMR 8538, PSL Research University, Paris, France

Abstract. The area of the Western Gulf of Corinth around the city of Aigio (Achaia, NW Peloponnese, Greece) represents an international pilot site for continuous monitoring and multidisciplinary research on earthquake processes. In the framework of the ANR-SISCOR Corinth Rift Laboratory (CRL) project (2011-2014), a thorough reappraisal of the five largest ($M > 6$) 18th-19th century earthquakes was performed, namely those of 14 May 1748, 23 August 1817, 26 December 1861, 9 September 1888, and 25 August 1889. Written observations of earthquake effects were looked into in their original version and language, and placed in the context from which they originated, to avoid the translations and digests on which previous seismological studies had relied upon. Earthquake records were traced for 108 different localities, and 143 macroseismic intensities in EMS98 have been assigned. Earthquake-related geological phenomena have been identified and carefully mapped, to be used as a further constrain of the location and magnitude of the associated earthquakes. Finally, new parameters for the studied earthquakes have been assessed with two separate and independent strategies, in order to quantify epistemic uncertainties. In conclusion, the 1748, 1817, and 1888 earthquakes were located in the area of Aigio, the 1861 earthquake is reckoned to be the largest of the area, with an epicentral location at sea, while the 1889 earthquake has been re-located to the northwest in mainland Greece, well outside the Gulf of Corinth.

Introduction

The Corinth rift, situated between western mainland Greece and Peloponnese, is among the most tectonically active areas in the Euro-Mediterranean region, as the result of the large-scale back-arc extension of the Hellenic Trench, and the westward propagation of the North Anatolian Fault (e.g. Armijo et al., 1996; Jolivet, 2001; Reilinger et al., 2010). North-

dipping normal faults bounding the southern edge of the Gulf and offshore normal and strike-slip faults (e.g. Armijo et al., 1996; Bell et al., 2009; Beckers et al., 2015) accommodate most of the deformation of the area.

With five earthquakes of magnitude greater than 5.8 in the last 35 years, 1 to 1.5 cm/year of north–south extension, frequent seismic swarms, and destructive earthquakes in the past centuries (e.g. Briole et al., 2000; Papazachos and Papazachou, 2003; Papazachos et al., 2010; Stucchi et al., 2013; Bernard et al., 2006), the rift has long been identified as a site of major importance for earthquake studies in Europe. In 2000, the Corinth Rift Laboratory (CRL) identified the western part of the Gulf of Corinth as an international pilot site for continuous monitoring and multidisciplinary research on earthquake processes (Cornet et al., 2004; Bernard et al., 2006; Corinth Rift Laboratory website), and the project ANR-SISCOR CRL (2011-2014) has focused on the region of Aigio, in today regional unit of Achaea, NW Peloponnese (Figure 1). Adopting a multiscale approach to improve the understanding of the most recent tectonic evolution, it was ascertained that the Corinth fault system is continuously evolving in space and time at geological time scales (Nixon et al., 2016; Beckers et al., 2015; Hemelsdaël and Ford, 2016, and reference therein).

In this multidisciplinary project, there has been space for a specific review of the knowledge of earthquakes in the pre-instrumental period, with the purpose of gathering information to assess macroseismic data, and reappraise the earthquake parameters, eventually. Modern studies and regional seismic catalogues (e.g. Papazachos and Papazachos, 2003) enlist damaging earthquakes that targeted Aigio and its surroundings since Antiquity. This is the case of the famous 373 BCE earthquake, which destroyed the coastal town of Helice and the inland town of Boura, both located close to Aigio (e.g.

Ambraseys, 2009); the ca 23 AD earthquake, which damaged the “Peloponnesian port of Aegeum (Aigio)” (e.g. Ambraseys, 2009); the controversial 551 AD cluster of earthquakes, one of which was located “in the western part of the Gulf of Corinth and in Achaea” by Ambraseys (2009).

For the period 1000-2010 (Figure 1), the seismicity is described up to 1899 by the European-wide catalogue SHEEC (Stucchi et al., 2013), and Papazachos et al. (2010) for the period 1900-2010. Between 1000-1899, the above mentioned catalogues list five earthquakes only, with magnitude values ranging from Mw 6.3 to 6.9, located in the western Gulf of Corinth: 14 May 1748, 23 August 1817, 26 December 1861, 9 September 1888, and 25 August 1889 (Figure 1).

A preliminary overview of previous studies has shown that very few Intensity Data Points (IDPs) were available, even for such indisputably large earthquakes. To reappraise size and location with methods processing macroseismic data, these five earthquakes were investigated ex novo, to assign robust intensity values at as many different places as possible. Finally, for each earthquake epicentral location and magnitude were estimated, based on the exploration of two separate strategies that allow quantifying epistemic uncertainties when processing macroseismic intensity data.

Collecting and processing macroseismic intensity data

From observations to earthquake records

Macroseismic intensity data are widely recognized as the “best available information from which to constrain the magnitude” of pre-instrumental earthquakes (e.g. Hough and Martin, 2015). Both the definition of macroseismic intensity, in this study according to EMS98 (Grünthal, 1998), and the procedures to obtain reliable intensity values have been the object

of quite a number of contributions (e.g. Musson and Cčić, 2002). What is rarely made available in literature, is the thorough, painstaking process required to i) identify the written sources potentially containing descriptive data useful for seismological purposes, and retrieve them, ii) build up of a set of well-distributed-in-space earthquake records (what Musson and Cčić, 2002, shortly define “all available information”), and eventually iii) have a basic knowledge to place descriptions of earthquake effects in their own geographical and historical context, in the light of the language, culture and scope at the very origin of the written testimonies.

While these aspects are detailed in the sections dealing with individual earthquakes, the following notes pinpoint historical, historiographical, geographical, and seismological issues, which were inextricably connected with the scientific process carried out to reappraise the five earthquakes of study.

Calendar and time measure - The Calendar in use in Greece in 18th and 19th centuries was the Julian calendar, defined as Old Style (O.S.) when it was dismissed and substituted with the Gregorian calendar, or New Style (N.S.). The change of calendar had started in western European countries in 1582, but the times of its adoption differ from country to country, and Greece passed to the Gregorian calendar in 1953. Thus, the dates of 19th century Greek newspaper issues are expressed in O.S. in text, figures and tables, including those in the electronic supplement to this article (Tables S5, S6, S7, S8). Dates in both styles are given when introducing the description of each earthquake (e.g.: 14 December O.S./26 December N.S. 1861), and for the press in the reference list, only. The Italian usage of measuring time, with each day starting at sunset, was employed in many Mediterranean countries, and especially in territories ruled by or linked with Italian states, through all 18th

century. From independence onwards (1821), Greece used the French style, spread during the Napoleonic period, with each day starting at midnight and divided into two periods, a.m. and p.m. In the contemporary sources, the time of the 1748 earthquake is given only according to the Italian usage, that for the 1817 event, a moment of transition, is given according to both usages, and from the 1861 event onwards the time of the shock is according to the French or modern style only.

Settlements – After the earthquake observations have been attributed to one and the same earthquake, starts the process of sorting the data by place. To avoid assigning intensity either “to a single street” or “to a whole county” (Musson and Cecić, 2002), one has to correctly locate and identify the affected settlements, in relation with their actual distribution and location when the earthquake happened. In addition to modern cartography, were used for Peloponnese “the ‘scientifically-derived’ map” (Witmore, 2013) as drawn by Bory de St. Vincent's "Expédition Scientifique de Morée" (Carte de la Morée, 1832), and for Greece the “General-Karte des Königreichs Griechenland” compiled by I. Kokkidis and H. Kiepert for the K.u.K. Militärgeographische Institut, Vienna (General-Karte, 1884-1885).

Place names have changed, many times, and in correlation with the domination and official language of the rulers of either the Achaea region or Peloponnese. Present day Peloponnese was called “Morea” (or “Mora”) under the succeeding Latin (Frankish and Byzantine, 13th century to 1460), and Ottoman (1460–1687, and 1715-1820) rules, and also “Romania” during the second Venetian rule (1685-1715). The town of Aigio was known by the name of Vostitsa, with the main variants Vostizza, Vostissa, for centuries, and both names were used well into the 19th century. Different place names were used simultaneously and

indifferently, with the dismissed ones simply disappearing from the latest maps. Tables S1, S3, S5, S6, S7 of the electronic supplement to this article contain both the place names as quoted and those in use today.

Sources of information - Types of sources could not but vary in correspondence to changes of local governments and the passing of time. Administrative documentation was only partially considered in the framework of this study, with the exception of the documentary sources for the second Ottoman ruling period (1715 to 1820), already studied and accounted for in previous studies (Ambraseys and Finkel, 1999; Ambraseys and Jackson, 1997; Ambraseys, 2009 and 2014). Here follows a list of the main types of sources used, and the related period of production:

- Travelogues, 18th and 19th century
- Early cadasters, 18th century, and Maps, 19th century
- Commercial and diplomatic Consuls' reports, 18th and 19th century
- Periodical press and private correspondence, 18th and 19th century
- Local histories, late 19th and early 20th century
- Seismological compilations, mid-19th century onwards.

Language and location of surviving sources have significantly marked this investigation, too. This is due partly to the variety of sources mentioned above and partly to the area of study being a crossroad of cultures and languages, especially in late 18th and 19th centuries. Although in the end the predominant language was of course Greek, in order of relevance the list comprises German, English, Italian, and French.

As for their location, the greatest part of the original texts were retrieved through the internet, which is surely the main “repository” accessed along the years this study was

carried through. The British Library, London, and The National Archives, Kew Gardens (UK), the “Centre des Archives diplomatiques de La Courneuve”, Paris (France), the “Archivio di Stato” (State Archives) of Venice, and “Biblioteca Comunale” (Municipal Library), Piacenza (Italy) were visited in person.

What sets of sources were looked into, what were the difficulties related to “translation” vs “abridgment”, and what types were eventually used for the interpretation of each individual earthquake, will be explained in the following three sections, in which the five studied earthquakes have been grouped.

Macroseismic intensities and earthquake related phenomena

Once the observations have been gathered, they have to be put in the context of their time and place of production, to identify which sources have a common origin, also in case of no explicit cross-reference, and which are the unquestionably independent items. To this end, each source of information had to be retrieved in its full, original version, regardless of the fact that previous studies had i) already used it, or ii) published some fragments, translated from any language into English, or iii) supplied a summary of its seismological contents. In the words of Musson and Ceci (2002), each set of descriptions has to be checked against “the intensity scale to determine which description of those for the various intensity degrees best fits the sum of the data for the particular place under consideration. The key concept here is coherence. It is not helpful to get lost in a pursuit of detail of individual diagnostics; the correct assignment is the one that best expresses the generality of the observations”. This specific part of intensity assignment was reckoned to be best described in relation with the peculiarities of each of the five earthquakes occurred in the 140 years time-span here considered. With respect to each case study, it will be explained which

earthquake records were recognized to be original, “independent”, not biased, so to become those containing the relevant diagnostics, as well as supplemental information (such as how many buildings existed at the time of the earthquake, how many were affected, and by what grade of damage), needed and used to produce coherent and robust sets of macroseismic Intensity Data Points, according to the guidelines of EMS98 (Grünthal, 1998).

Quite a number of observations of earthquake-related geological phenomena were supplied by contemporary sources, and are fully described and discussed in the following. However, as strongly suggested by the EMS98 guidelines, these effects were not directly used to assign an intensity value, given both the dependence of such effects on peculiar geological environments, and the inherent difficulties in their localization with a pair of geographical co-ordinates (Grünthal, 1998). Anyway, records of earthquake-related phenomena proved to be a useful complementary tool to appreciate the extent, and the most likely location of earthquakes (e.g. see the discussion of the 1817 and 1889 events’ location), a key problem in a region where they may be located offshore.

Reappraising size and location

The above described process was the necessary, preliminary step to re-assess location and magnitude for the five studied earthquake, according to procedures making use of IDPs. Location and magnitude estimates from macroseismic data depend on the Intensity Prediction Equation (IPE) and the Intensity Measure (IM) used. IPEs depend on the regional characteristics of wave propagation and the kinematics of earthquake motion, and are thus derived with a set of events with known magnitude and consistent intensity distributions that occurred in the region of interest. Due to an insufficient number of well constrained calibration events in the study region, we relied on existing IPEs: one published

by Papazachos and Papaioannou (1997), hereafter P&P97, and one developed by Gomez Capera et al. (2014).

The P&P97 IPE is derived from a set of 177 earthquakes that occurred all over Greece. It is based on the following functional form:

$$I = 1.258 + 1.613 M_w - 3.282 \log R - 0.003 R \quad (1)$$

where R is the hypocentral distance.

The IPE by Gomez Capera et al. (2014) is based on the functional form by Sibol et al. (1987):

$$M_i = a_i + b_i \log^2 (A_i) + c_i I_o^2 \quad (2)$$

where A_i is the area of the i -th isoseismal, and I_o is the epicentral intensity. The coefficients a_i , b_i and c_i were derived, for each intensity class, from 36 crustal (depth between 1 and 16 km) earthquakes that occurred all over mainland Greece in the time-period 1905-2003, with instrumentally recorded magnitudes in the range 5.1 - 7.0. The dataset includes 10 earthquakes in the Gulf of Corinth.

In order to capture the epistemic uncertainty that affects not only IPEs but also the IM used for determining magnitude, two strategies are considered for the reassessment of location and magnitude of the five studied earthquakes:

- 1) The SISCOR strategy that uses the Bakun and Wentworth (1997) method, hereafter BW, to calculate the macroseismic magnitude based on the minimization of root mean square (rms) of a specific IPE over a grid of trial source locations. Then, it identifies the grid node for which rms is minimum as the macroseismic epicentre, and the associated magnitude value as the earthquake macroseismic magnitude. Bootstrap resampling techniques are then used to calculate 67% confidence regions for the

location (Bakun and Scotti, 2006). In this method the depth is assumed by considering the depth of instrumental seismicity and the IM used is each individual point-to-epicentre distance. The IPE published by P&P97 is selected because it has a functional form suitable for the BW method.

- 2) The SHEEC strategy that uses the Boxer method (Gasperini et al., 1999; 2010). In this method the trimmed mean of the coordinates of the sites in the highest intensity class(es) is first computed as the macroseismic epicentre. Then, for each intensity class, the area of a circular isoseismal with the radius equal to the mean of the intensity point-to-epicentre distances is computed and used to calculate a magnitude value with an IPE in the functional form of equation (2). The macroseismic magnitude is the trimmed mean of the magnitudes derived for each intensity class. The magnitude uncertainty corresponds to the square root of the inverse of the sum of the weights of the isoseismals used in the trimmed mean. Boxer uses the epicentral intensity to mitigate the effect of source depth (Gasperini et al., 1999). In the Boxer method, the IM used is the mean of the intensity point-to-epicentre distances. The IPE published by Gomez Capera et al. (2014) is selected because it has a functional form suitable for the Boxer method.

Both selected strategies were compared with data from the only three recent earthquakes in the Gulf of Corinth for which both macroseismic data points and instrumentally assessed parameters are available. As shown in the electronic supplement to this article (“Testing location and magnitude”, which includes Tables S9 and S10, Figures S1, S2, S3), the two strategies lead to very similar predictions, reasonably close to the instrumental estimates. Nevertheless, uncertainties in location and magnitude affect both

instrumental and macroseismic determinations, and the application of the two strategies provides a minimum uncertainty estimate.

To obtain reliable seismic parameters, for each earthquake a set of well-distributed, robust, complete, and abundant IDPs is needed. Considering that such IDPs are not available in published literature, it was essential to put them together anew, from scratch, as described in the following.

Two Aigio earthquakes: 14 May 1748 and 23 August 1817

These two earthquakes occurred during the second and last period of Ottoman rule (1715-1820), when Achaëa, and all Peloponnese, was included in the “Morea Eyalet”, governed by a pasha residing first at Nafplio (Argolic Gulf), then at Tripoli (also Tripoliçe, Tripolitsa) (e.g. Magocsi, 1993). At that time, the region was certainly not a center of attention of the Ottoman administration. Although the Grand Tour’s revived interest in classical antiquity was already a tradition in mid 18th century, few were the western travelers who reached the places of origin of the classical Greek society, myths and literature, and one has to wait for the beginning of the 19th century to have the Peloponnese really back in the spotlight. This sort of centuries-old oblivion of Achaëa represented a challenge with respect to potential - and likely to be accessible - sources of information on earthquakes between 1748 and 1817.

The 14 May 1748 earthquake

Sources and studies

The Ottoman re-conquest of Peloponnese from Venice in 1715 did not result in a complete break with the past tradition of hosting diplomatic and trade consuls of the Republic of Venice, the Kingdom of France, and the United Kingdom. Consequently, it is not surprising that the most detailed description of the effects of the 1748 earthquake is contained in a long letter, written three days after the earthquake, by the Venetian trade consul Lappo, residing in Ottoman Morea, exactly at Patras (Figure 1). Lappo's letter (Lappo, 1748) was addressed to the governor ("provveditore") of the then Venetian-ruled island of Zakynthos (see Figure 1). Although the original document was looked for without success in the related archival series at the State Archive of Venice (ASVe, 18th cent), a rare printed copy of its full content was found at the Public Library at Piacenza, Italy (Relazione, 1748).

The letter by Lappo was reproduced in extenso and in its original language (Italian) by Issel and Agamennone (1894). They were given the handwritten letter by a "De Biasi" - De Viazis in Greek, who got hold of it in the archive of the Catholic church of San Marco at Zakynthos. The same De Viazis (1891) had already published an abridged version of Lappo's letter in a Greek translation. Note that all previous studies, and among them Ambraseys and Jackson (1997), Ambraseys and Finkel (1999), Papazachos and Papazachou (2003), Ambraseys (2009 and 2014), relied on De Viazis's abridged version only, to describe and estimate this earthquake.

Samples were made into diplomatic and commercial correspondence, and the coeval periodical press and travelogues were investigated, too. Although the weekly "Gazette de France" in the period between June and August 1748 published correspondences from "Constantinople", no items on this earthquake were included. Travelers were still a few,

even less those who actually got to publish their journals. In 1766, Richard Chandler had visited Aigio, and its inhabitants had supplied him with details on the 1748 earthquake's effects (Chandler, 1776). A well-known traveler and French consul in early 19th century Greece, François Pouqueville, in his "Voyage dans la Grèce" drew upon Chandler's account, and partially objected to its veracity (Pouqueville, 1820). At that time, western travelers to Greece were a small, pseudo-academic circle, quoting each other, and rarely including first-hand and independent observations.

A confirmation that the coeval sources for Aigio and surroundings in mid-18th century are scarce is found in the history of the town of Aigio compiled by the historian Stavropoulos (1954). He had to glean information from Chandler (1776) and Pouqueville (1820), and for what the 1748 earthquake is concerned, Stavropoulos re-published, in a slightly revised and annotated version, the letter by consul Lappo in the shortened translation into Greek made by De Viazis (1891).

Time and effects

The date of this earthquake had to be re-adjusted with respect to current catalogues (e.g. SHEEC, Stucchi et al., 2013). The day when the earthquake happened is clearly indicated in the letter from consul Lappo as "Last Tuesday, on the 14 extant [May 1748]" (Lappo, 1748; Relazione, 1748). Correctly, Ambraseys and Jackson (1997) dated this event to the 14th of May 1748 N.S., while some following studies (Ambraseys and Finkel, 1999; Papazachos and Papazachou, 2003; Ambraseys, 2009 and 2014) have mistakenly assumed the date to be expressed in O.S. Thus, eleven days were added to transform the date into N.S., to obtain the 25th of May 1748. However, the 25th of May 1748 was a Saturday, and it does not comply with the day of the week being a Tuesday, as given by Lappo (1748). To

further confirm that the style of calendar adopted was N.S., there is the fact that the time is given as “at 21 hours”, according to the Italian usage. It corresponds to 15.30 GMT (17.30 Athens time) when translated into today’s style of time measuring.

The place where Lappo found himself at the time of the earthquake is mentioned at the beginning of the letter: “[...] there was a sensational earthquake that here in Patras, due to the Almighty’s mercy, caused little or no harm.” Then the focus moves towards Aigio: “At its start the earthquake was felt there [Aigio] as modest, and it left the panicked inhabitants enough time to get out from their homes and move in the middle of squares and widely open streets. Hereinafter, as the earth continued to shake, again and again, almost all the buildings, churches and towers ruined, with not much harm to the people, as they had taken the precaution to leave their homes at the earliest opportunity” (Lappo, 1748).

The observers’ attention was caught by the tsunami triggered by the earthquake, as can be seen in the accounts by Lappo and Chandler, which are compared in Table 1.

The plane-tree is the landmark both observers referred to, and used to give a measure of the height of the waves (Figure 2). The third wave seems to have reached the upper part of the town, well above the plane-tree that, still today, lies in the lower part, close to the coastline.

De Viazis (1891) added that some inhabitants of Patras and Aigio had to forcedly migrate to Zakynthos. This same information is quoted by Issel and Agamennone (1894), with the addition that the earthquake had been felt at Zakynthos, though very weakly.

Ambraseys (2009 and 2014) connected to this earthquake the information contained in two Ottoman documents, dated a few years after 1748, and generically mentioning damage suffered by the towns of Nafpaktos, northern coast of the Gulf of Corinth, and

Corinth, at its easternmost tip (Figure 1). These Ottoman documents do not explicitly mention the date of the earthquake, making reference to damage caused by a “former” earthquake. After a thorough consideration, and in the light of the comprehensive reappraisal of the coeval sources presented above, including the complete silence about any earthquake damage in any place other than Aigio, it was decided on not linking these two Ottoman documents to the 1748 earthquake’s effects, and consequently the places of Nafpaktos and Corinth were not included among those that were seriously affected. In conclusion, macroseismic intensity in EMS98 was assigned at three places, namely Aigio with 8 EMS98, Patras with an intensity of 5 EMS98, and Zakynthos, 2 EMS98, as shown in Table S1 in the electronic supplement to this article.

The 23 August 1817 earthquake

Sources and their accounts

Unlike the preceding case, the 23 August 1817 earthquake effects are evoked by quite a number of contemporary and near-contemporary sources, some of which were known to and used by modern studies, such as Ambraseys and Jackson (1997), Papazachos and Papazachou (2003), and Ambraseys (2009).

The most represented types of source used by previous studies of the 23 August 1817 earthquake are travelogues, and periodical press in Greek, French and English. In early 19th century, the Peloponnese (Morea) was travelled by quite a number of British and French visitors, either on diplomatic duty, such as the French consul François Pouqueville (1820), or for scientific and artistic purposes (e.g. the military geographer and British agent W.M. Leake, 1830, or the classical topographer W. Gell, 1817, travelling with the painter E. Dodwell, 1819). None of them could have experienced the earthquake in person, because

their explorations were carried out mostly before, or well before, August 1817, even if their books were published after 1817. The only exception is Fuller (1829), who visited Aigio in 1818, and was supplied with fresh memories by its same inhabitants. To complement this mostly second-hand sources of information, the search was extended to the reports of the British and French consuls in Greece, and more titles of the periodical press of that time, so that hitherto unknown, independent accounts of the 23 August 1817 earthquake were retrieved.

A comprehensive view of place and time of production of the seven independent accounts this study is based upon, together with their date and actual medium of publication is given in Figure 3 (shaded boxes), and they are:

1 & 2) two unpublished reports by the French consul in Patras, Augustin Arady (Arady, 1817a and 1817b), the first written four days and the second ten days after the earthquake, respectively;

3) a so far unknown, anonymous report in Italian, written at Aigio six days after the earthquake, appeared in the Corfu periodical *Gazzetta Ionia* (1817) three weeks later (Anonymous, 1817a);

4) an anonymous from Patras (Anonymous, 1817b), who dated his account sixteen days after the earthquake; this item, most likely in French, was first published in the *Journal des Débats*, Paris (1817) more than two months later, then translated into English to appear in the *Morning Post*, London (1817), four days later; this record has been reckoned as the most appreciated by the European and early American press of the time, and was published in several newspapers and journals in a verbatim translation into English (e.g. *Morning Chronicle*, 1817; *Literary Gazette*, 1817; *American Monthly Magazine*,

1818), Italian (e.g. *Gazzetta Piemontese*, 1817; *Gazzetta di Milano*, 1817; *Messaggere Tirolese*, 1817; *Gazzetta di Mantova*, 1817; *Giornale della Provincia Bresciana*, 1817); Dutch (e.g. *Leydse Courant*, 1817), German (e.g. *Linzer Zeitung*, 1817; *Weimarische Zeitung*, 1817), and Spanish (e.g. *Gaceta de Madrid*, 1817);

5) an anonymous from Constantinople (Anonymous, 1817c), who wrote three weeks after the earthquake, addressed his report to *Hermes o Logios* (1817), a newspaper in Greek language published in Vienna, to see it published two months later;

6) another anonymous from Constantinople (Anonymous, 1817d), who resumed the subject of the earthquake more than three months later, and whose item was published by the *Journal des Débats* (1818) and by the *Gentleman's Magazine* (1818);

7) an anonymous from Constantinople (Anonymous, 1818), who sent two different accounts, dated 27 December 1817 and 8 January 1818, to *Hermes o Logios* (1818), where they were published together three months later.

Table S2 in the electronic supplement to this article contains the full-text description of the earthquake effects given by these seven independent sources.

Date and macroseismic effects

This earthquake occurred on a Saturday, 11 August O.S., corresponding to 23 August 1817 N.S. Time is approximately indicated between 6 and 9 a.m., as follows:

- “Le 23 aoust a 8 heures du matin” (Arady, 1817a)
- “Agli 11 (23) Agosto giorno di Sabato a mezz’ora di giorno (alle sei ore circa antimeridiane)” (Anonymous, 1817a)
- On the 11/23 August of the past year 1817, at 9 hours, i.e. at 15 hours Italian style, a Saturday (translated from Greek; Anonymous, 1818).

As in the previous case, the accounts of the earthquake effects focused on the town of Aigio. All the testimonies agree in describing one sudden noise immediately followed by one violent shock, which lasted “one and a half minute”. This is different from what happened with the 1748 earthquake, which had been announced by foreshocks. The suddenness of this earthquake seems to be the reason for the high number of dead, on which there are at least two divergent opinions. According to three sources (Anonymous, 1817a, Arady, 1817b, Anonymous, 1817b) at Aigio 65 people died under the ruins, while they were 110 according to the anonymous from Constantinople (Anonymous, 1818).

A report from Aigio (Anonymous, 1817a) (Figure 3) is a unique source, and its vivid and accurate account of the earthquake effects in each neighborhood (“contrada”) of Aigio gives a detailed distribution of damage on the occasion of the 23 August 1817 earthquake (Table 2). The total number of buildings (694 houses, 52 shops, and 6 ovens) is very close to that of “800” given by the French consul Arady (1817b) as the number of existing buildings in Aigio. The seriously damaged buildings result to be close to 500, and this figure is in agreement with the approximation of “two thirds” given by the late report from Constantinople (Anonymous, 1818).

The available records agree also in reporting at five nearby villages a grade of damage similar to that experienced at Aigio. Two sources only (Anonymous, 1817a; Arady, 1817b) correctly spell the place name of “Cumari”, today Koumaris, while the other places have been identified as Eliki (Zevgolio until 1917), Temeni, Rododafni, and Dimitropoulo. The earthquake was strongly felt at Patras. In all, macroseismic intensity was assessed at seven places, with the maximum value of 9 EMS98 assigned at Aigio (Figure 4, and Table S3 in the electronic supplement to this article).

There are records of a tsunami affecting both coasts of the Gulf of Corinth with strong effects at Aigio - where 18 people were drowned (Anonymous, 1818) - and Trizonia (Figure 4), where it destroyed two buildings (Anonymous, 1817a).

According to contemporary sources (see Table S2 in the electronic supplement to this article), in the harbor of Aigio, the sea level rose more than 15 cubits (Anonymous, 1817c), or 20 cubits (Anonymous, 1818), or 15 feet (Arady, 1817b; Anonymous, 1817b), which in meters correspond to 6.8 m., 9.1 m., and 11.2 m., respectively. At Aigio and surroundings, especially in the area close to the mouth of the River “Gaidouropnieti” (today Meganitas), the water reached inland a distance of 100 paces (Arady, 1817b; Anonymous, 1817b), or 50 cubits (Anonymous, 1818), corresponding to 75 meters, or 23 meters, respectively. According to Anonymous (1817a), the sea flooded the area comprised between the “wood of Longos and the monastery of Calogericò” (not marked in Figure 4). At Trizonia, the sea advanced inland for 200 paces, corresponding to ca 150 meters (Anonymous, 1817a).

The information about the tsunami reaching Galaxidi (Phocis, see also Figure 1) comes from two contributions by Christomanos (1870a and 1870b), which were not retrieved in their original version. Although accepted by previous interpretations, this unchecked record was considered not reliable enough to be associated with the 1817 earthquake.

A veritable Western Gulf of Corinth earthquake: 26 December 1861

The 26 December 1861 earthquake is included in several studies focusing on large earthquakes of the Gulf of Corinth (e.g.: Mouyaris et al., 1992; Lekkas et al., 1996; Caputo et al., 2015). Researchers’ quite uninterrupted attention was drawn by the presumed 12 to 13 km surface rupture mapped by the German astronomer Johann Friedrich Julius Schmidt

(1825-1884), who at the time of the earthquake was the Director of the Observatory of Athens. Schmidt published two maps (from now on map1 and map2, as in Figure 5), the most famous being that in color, or map2 (Schmidt, 1875). Map2 details the geomorphological features of the Aigio plain, from the mouth of the river Meganitas, roughly at Rododafni – then Mouri, to the mouth of the river Erasinos, in correspondence to the small settlement of Pounta, immediately east of Diakopto (Figure 6). Superimposed are the effects of the 1861 earthquake, according to the observations Schmidt himself made in January 1862, along routes rendered in the map with red lines. The paramount piece of this map is the “grosse Spalte”, literally the large fissure, which had opened between the "alluvial plain southeast of Aigio and the foothills to the south-west" (Schmidt, 1875). This same map was re-drawn in a simplified manner by Montessus de Ballore (1924), and in this latter version published by Richter (1958), also; all the studies referenced above include a reproduction of Schmidt's map2.

Some authors consider the 1861 surface rupture the effect of the reactivation of the 373 B.C. Helike fault (Sebrier, 1977; Mouyaris et al., 1992; Caputo et al., 2015). Others, following de Ballore (1924), interpreted "this crack nothing more than the surface expression of a large-scale slumping and spreading of the valley material" (Richter, 1958; Antonopoulos, 1980, who also re-published the simplified map from Richter). Recently, also Ambraseys (2009) concluded that “the ground crack described by Schmidt was not of tectonic origin”.

On the contrary, the 1861 earthquake macroseismic effects have been so far less valued, although included in some studies of the historical earthquakes of the Gulf of Corinth (Ambraseys and Jackson, 1997; Ambraseys, 2009), Greece (e.g. Papazachos and

Papazachou, 2003), or the Balkan peninsula (Shebalin, 1974). These authors published either a textual description of the effects, or isoseismals, or earthquake parameters, but no estimate of macroseismic intensity values at the affected sites.

Sources and their accounts

When considering the surviving descriptions of this earthquake, the starring role is undeniably played by the writings of Julius Schmidt, who obscured all the other observers with his detailed and captivating reports, and his two maps. In Schmidt's own words (Schmidt, 1875) his most important publications on the 1861 earthquake are five accounts, shortly described in Table 3, and referenced in "Julius Schmidt" box of Figure 5.

These five items do not include the several newspaper articles signed by Schmidt himself, as he considered them not so much relevant. He rather nailed down the comprehensive essay completed in 1874, and included in the successive editions of his "Studien über Erdbeben" (Schmidt, 1875, 1879, 1881) as the ultimate study on the 26 December 1861 earthquake: "This is the definitive report on which I have been working in the thirteen years since the earthquake" (Schmidt, 1875). He used his handwritten material, essentially what he had collected on the occasion of the two surveys of the affected area he had done between 31 December 1861 and 29 January 1862, together with the data from other scientists' essays.

In pinpointing his last account as definitive, Schmidt diverted the attention of those who studied this earthquake after him from the other contemporary sources, as well as the several later publications, to the point that he overshadowed his own other essays, and mainly his first report in Greek (Schmidt, 1862b). The latter was ready to be published in September 1862, with the first map of the effects in the plain of Aigio, but had to wait until

1867 to be published, due to the political turmoil Greece was living in those days. In other words, Schmidt restricted the access to a comprehensive description of the 26 December 1861 earthquake to those able to read German, and focused the scientists' attention on its most easily accessible part, the self-explaining map of the "grosse Spalte" in the plain of Aigio (Figure 6).

Differences and incoherencies among the data contained in Schmidt's reports become evident when comparing the digests he included in each of them. Table S4 in the electronic supplement to this article supplies their full texts, and shows that none of them actually describes the earthquake in its entirety. This holds especially from the perspective of acquiring an overall distribution of macroseismic data. On the contrary, excluding the limited area of the plain of Aigio, as in map1 and map2, the scenario of the 1861 earthquake drawn by Schmidt results in a narrow and sometimes blurred vision.

To change this point of view, and go beyond the so far "untouchable" Schmidt's description, earthquake observations hitherto not considered by previous studies have been added by means of a set of primary sources, and fully reinterpreted. The most substantial contribution comes from an increased set of Greek newspapers, shown in Figure 5 in the box "Local newspapers". Six of them, namely *Aion* (1861, 1862), *Anegersis* (1861, 1862), *Avgi* (1861a-h; 1862), *Elpis* (1862), *Merimna* (1861), and *Phos* (1861a-c; 1862a-b) were published in Athens. Printed in Tripoli (Arcadia, Peloponnese) were *Arkadia* (1861a-b, 1862a-b), and *Veltiosis* (1861) (note that *Aion*, *Avgi*, and *Veltiosis* were used by Ambraseys, 2009, too). The newspaper *Enosis* (1862) was published in Ermoupoli, capital city of the island of Syros (Cyclades, Aegean Sea), and drew its information from the periodical *Achaia*, printed in Patras. Newspapers from abroad were consulted too, such as

Journal des débats politiques et littéraires (1862) and *The Times* (1862). Worth mentioning is also the long letter written on 2 January 1862 by the British consul in Athens, Thomas Wyse (1862) (this source was used by Ambraseys, 2009, too).

Which are the sources that have supplied valuable records on earthquake effects at each place is detailed in Table S5 in the electronic supplement to this article. In summary, out of the 72 places at which the earthquake's effects were described, 26 are accounted for by the newly retrieved press items, only. These 26 additional localities substantially improved the spatial distribution of the earthquake effects, and increased by more than one third the total number of observation points.

Date and macroseismic effects

Preceded and followed by minor shocks, this damaging earthquake happened on 14 December O.S./26 December N.S. 1861, at 8:28 a.m. (Schmidt, 1875). The actual distribution of intensity values covers an area much wider than that included both in map1 and map2 by Schmidt (1862b and 1875), and in previous modern seismological studies (Figure 6). The number of observations increased in the southern as well as northern coasts of the Gulf of Corinth to such an extent, that the macroseismic scenario has notably shifted, and goes well beyond the area of Aigio and surroundings.

Intensity values in EMS98 were assigned, for the first time, at 72 different places, plus a documented “not felt” at Ermoupoli (island of Syros, Cyclades, Aegean Sea) (Phos, 1861a). The maximum intensity value of 9 EMS98 was assessed at ten places, all located in today regional unit of Achaia (Figure 6), and for which records of damage go along the same lines. For some settlements only, the number of houses they were made of is

mentioned, to conclude that all such buildings were destroyed “from the foundations”, together with the school and the church, as in the case of Valimitika (e.g. Anegersis, 1861).

In comparison, Aigio seems to have suffered slightly lesser damage (8-9 EMS98), as a distinction is made among collapsed, cracked, and inclined buildings, the latter so much so as to be uninhabitable (e.g. Elpis, 1862). An overall view of the disaster in Achaea is appreciable through the news that, in just the two districts of Aigio and Boura, more than 1,950 families remained homeless (Elpis, 1862). Similarly outright is the concentration of casualties in Achaea. Figures of lost lives may differ depending on the source (Avgi, 1861d; Enosis, 1862), as follows: 2 or 4 at Aigio, 1 or 2 at Temeni, 1 or 2 at Valimitika, 3 or 4 at Elaionas, 1 at Boufouskia, 1 “carried away by the sea”. The number of injured seems to have been 126, 18 seriously (Avgi, 1861d).

Intensity reached 8-9 EMS98 also at Galaxidi (Phocis) on the northern coast of the Gulf of Corinth (Figure 6b). The first records of damage to appear on newspapers are imprecise, but the passing of time makes clear that Galaxidi suffered damage, of different grades, to about 200 public and residential buildings - the manor houses, also -, and to about 30 ships and boats at anchor in the harbor. The schools were not spared, although a few students only were injured by the falling of the roof (Phos, 1862b; Elpis, 1862; Anegersis, 1861). The only record of victims in the region of Phocis concerns the village of Vidavi (Figure 6b), where two houses collapsed, and the three children of the owner died in the ruins (Anegersis, 1861).

Out of the 23 intensity values of 8 EMS98, eleven were assigned at settlements in Achaea, four to places in Corinthia, situated to the west of Achaea (Figure 6); the

remaining were assessed at three and five places in Beotia and Phocis, respectively. The earthquake was not felt outside of the territory of today Greece.

The observations on the earthquake related phenomena in the plain of Aigio, as supplied by the press correspondents, complement the data contained in map1 and map2 by Schmidt (1862b and 1875), and they have been put together in the following list and in Figure 6b.

- The coast between the rivers Selinous and Vouraikos sank “to a great depth and was cut from the land, for a width of 100 to 120 royal meters” (Anegersis, 1862; Enosis, 1862), and from the Diakopto to Pounta (Schmidt, 1862b and 1875).
- Cracks and liquefaction dotted the same area as above (Schmidt, 1862b and 1875).
- Sand cones appeared mostly in correspondence to the mouths of the rivers Vouraikos and Selinous, and to the west and east of Aigio (Schmidt, 1862b and 1875).
- The “grosse Spalte” is described by Schmidt as “a fissure (ρήγμα) in the ground, 13,000 meters long” (1862b), which stretched from Keryneia to Pounta; it formed deep gaps of a considerable width close to Rodia (Enosis, 1862).
- The tsunami affected the following areas: “In Aigio [...] the sea flooded the harbor road and the springs at the old plane tree only, about 2 or 3 feet uphill” (Schmidt, 1875); on the coast between Elaionas and Diakopto “the sea exceeded its height so much that a boy of fifteen was swept by it and was drowned” (Enosis, 1862).

The tsunami affected also the northern coast of the gulf (Figure 6b), “from the bay of Chrissa (Salona, Itea) to that of Nafpaktos” (Anegersis, 1862; Schmidt, 1862b and 1875). From east to west, in Antikyra, “the sea increased and extended on land for 20 steps and

more” (Anegersis, 1862), in Galaxidi, the sea raised “10 feet “in successive waves, flooding the town, and causing ships and boats to crash into each other and to be thrown on land (Anegersis, 1862; Phos, 1862b), and at Tolofon, warehouses on the coast were flooded by the sea (Anegersis, 1862). In Galaxidi, cracks on rocky soil were observed, too (Anegersis, 1862).

Disentangling earthquakes effects: 9 September 1888 vs 25 August 1889

As for the previous case histories, the starting point of the investigation of the 9 September 1888 and the 25 August 1889 earthquakes was the preparation of two separate, independent studies, though their closeness in time - less than a year -, had us be on the alert. The only way to unravel the knot was to become familiar with the protagonists of the scientific community of late 19th century Greece, delve into their published accounts and the ongoing debate on the earthquake origin, to be able to identify what information was referred to which earthquake, and eventually to reassign individual effects to each of the two earthquakes. Something like extracting from a single jumble the pieces to put together two different jigsaw puzzles.

The authors of reports on both these earthquakes were highly-educated people living in Greece at that time, with strong interests towards the earthquake phenomenon.

Konstantinos Mitsopoulos was “a professor of mineralogy and geology at the University of Athens from 1889, and a co-founder of the Greek Geographical Society” (Peckham, 2000). He had studied in Germany, and used his connections with the German scientific milieu to personally and shortly report on the occasion of the 1888 and 1889 earthquakes (Philippson, 1889a). Mitsopoulos addressed to Alfred Philippson, a German geographer, geologist, and university professor, who had travelled Greece many times,

from 1887 onwards. The two had most likely met, and Philippson published many contributions on one of the top-journals of that time, “Petermanns’ Geographische Mitteilungen”, where his essay on Peloponnese was to appear shortly (Philippson, 1892).

Christos P. Koryllos was a medical doctor in Patras, and had attended courses at Austrian and German universities. According to his own words (Ephimeris, 1889m), Koryllos had built in his residence a meteorological and astronomical laboratory, completed with a pendulum to record earthquakes. He had travelled through the Peloponnese, and published extensively on this region (e.g. Koryllos, 1903).

Bernhard Ornstein is another testimony of the connections between Greek and German academics, a situation that was going to endure for several decades into the 20th century. Ornstein had been for many years the surgeon-general of the Greek Army in Athens, and had a particular interest in natural history; after going back to Germany, he maintained his interest in Greek matters, and particularly its seismicity (Ornstein, 1889).

W.G. Forster was the “Manager and Electrician to the Eastern Telegraph Company” in Zakynthos at the time of the 1888 and 1889 earthquakes; he was developing a theory on the “true and only reason for seismic disturbances” (Forster, 1887, as quoted by Nature, 1888). Having observed a good connection “between interruptions of the cables [*in the Gulf of Corinth*] and the occurrence of earthquakes” he postulated that “seismic disturbances are mainly due, in a direct sense, or result from, geological evolution or subsidences” (Forster, 1890).

However, the most detailed and reliable accounts of the 1888 and 1889 earthquakes are actually supplied by the local, Greek press, which published independent and private telegrams and letters (Figure 7). Both earthquakes were reported by the substitute and the

British consul in their despatches (Des Graz, 1888; Monson, 1889) (Figure 7), while the diplomatic correspondence of the French consul was silent.

For both the 1888 and the 1889 earthquakes, the reference study has been until now that by Angelos G. Galanopoulos (1953). Seismologist, head of the Seismological Department of the University of Athens, he wrote the “Katalog der Erdbeben in Griechenland für die Zeit von 1879 bis 1892”. Galanopoulos fully referenced his informative background, made by a sound set of Greek newspapers, printed in Athens and Patras, supplemented by the scientific reports presented above (see also Figure 7). Galanopoulos clearly had had access to these sources of information in their original versions, respectively in Greek and German. However, in his “Katalog” (1953) which has the format of a 100-pages table followed by short essays on eight large earthquakes, he published his summaries in German of the earthquake accounts he had collected.

An analysis of the 1888 and 1889 earthquakes was included in the works by Ambraseys and Jackson (1997), Ambraseys (2009), and Papazachos and Papazachou (2003). All these authors relied upon the research and trusted the interpretation provided by Galanopoulos (1953), and evidently without re-reading his sources.

For the first time after the study by Galanopoulos (1953), several hitherto not studied sources, contemporary to the 1888 and 1889 earthquakes, have been retrieved, and read in extenso in their original languages. How these sources contributed to a full reappraisal of these two earthquake is discussed in the following.

The 9 September 1888 earthquake

Of the newspapers used to study the 1888 earthquake (Figure 7), six were published in Athens, namely *Akropolis* (1888a-o), *Ephimeris* (1888a-o), *Epitheoresis* (1888a-v), *Hora*

(1888a-g), *Nea Ephimeris* (1888a-m), *Palingenesia* (1888a-i), *Proia* (1888a-e), while *Phorologoumenos* (1888a-d) was printed in Patras. The most informative was reckoned to be *Epitheoresis*, published daily. This newspaper had a special correspondent from Aigio and Achaea, who supplied plenty of information about Aigio, and sketches of the five most damaged places, under the title “The earthquake in the villages”. Other newspapers, both printed in Greece (e.g. *To Astu*, 1888) and abroad (*The Times*, 1888a-c; *Stamboul*, 1888a-b; *Neue Freie Presse*, 1888) shortly mentioned this earthquake.

Table S6 in the electronic supplement to this article shows which independent press items and other types of sources have supplied records on the earthquake effects at each place. In particular, the records from the press contributed appreciably to the reconstruction of the 1888 earthquake effects, as they supply information on 14 out of the 20 places for which an intensity value was assigned.

The earthquake happened at about 5.15 p.m. on Sunday 29 August O.S./9 September N.S. 1888, was made of two or three consecutive shocks, with more shocks on the same day, and many more in the following days. The first comprehensive report from Aigio and nearby villages is dated 11 September 1888, two days after the earthquake (*Epitheoresis*, 1888c). It details the characteristics of the earthquake, the victims – one dead and 20 injured, the related phenomena, the damage to the buildings as well as the economic losses, the danger for the inhabitants, the needs and the government provisions, the visit of the Prime Minister, described at length in many other newspapers. It is only some days after, when tents had been sent, a special committee of engineers established for the survey, and after another strong shock on 13 September (*Epitheoresis*, 1888e) that the real entity of the damage in Aigio is described as follows: “out of the 1,300 houses of the town of Aigio,

each of the 1,000 affected has suffered on average damages for 5,000 drachmas” (Epitheoresis, 1888h).

Damage in five villages close to Aigio is summarized in Table 4, and effects are described as the most severe in Kouloura. In the same region, “apart from the villages mentioned before, there was important damage to villas and magazines where the raisins are stored” (Epitheoresis, 1888t).

Intensity values were assessed at 20 different places, with a maximum intensity of 8 to 9 EMS98 assigned at eight places, all of them in the regional unit of Achaea (Figure 8, and Table S6 in the electronic supplement to this article).

Phenomena of liquefaction and mud fountains accompanied by cracks in the ground were observed, especially between Selianitika and Valimitika (Epitheoresis, 1888c; Palingenesia, 1888g; Proia, 1888e) (Figure 8). In Aigio, the water flow was interrupted, and after coming back, continued to come and go for at least 24 hours; in an area close to the town, subsidence was observed (Akropolis, 1888d). A rotational phenomenon was observed too, with two copper statues of the house of Mr. Panagiotopoulos found turned to the opposite side (Akropolis, 1888d).

According to Forster and in support of his theory on the origin of earthquakes, “The earthquake had its center to the right of Aigio, due to the subsidence of the sea at depth, subsidence that caused the breakage of our cables. This confirms thoroughly the correctness of my theory about the earthquakes and my prediction, which an earthquake was going to happen in the Gulf of Corinth. As of today, there is no danger that more earthquakes are going to happen” (original in Greek) (Palingenesia, 1888b; Akropolis, 1888b). However, a careful re-reading of a later account of the same Forster (1890), together with the

information supplied by newspapers' items, by an independent eyewitness, i.e. the stationmaster of Temeni (Issel and Agamennone, 1894), and by Ornstein (1889), suggested the following observations:

- At Aigio “the sea became suddenly agitated and muddy in places at a distance of about one nautical mile from the west coast” (Forster, 1890).
- Forster (1890) was the only one to affirm that the tsunami had been observed “more especially over at *Galatedi*, fifteen miles NE of Vostizza (Aigio)”. It seems very much possible that Forster, who was in Zakynthos, and thus not an eyewitness of this phenomenon, had confused the “*Galaxidiotika*” district of Aigio with the settlement of *Galaxidi* (Phocis, see Figure 1). This misunderstanding, and a strong belief in all that had been written by Forster, has passed to modern studies (Figure 7).
- Forster (1890) wrote that the submarine cable of the telegraph between Patras and Athens, laid in 1884, was fractured by a submarine slide right off Aigio. The importance of the location of cable ruptures with respect to the earthquake “focus” within Forster’s theory on the origin of earthquakes, was passed over by modern studies, so that the data and the opinions by Forster have been overvalued.

It may thus be concluded that a submarine slumping was the cause of both the muddiness of the sea and of the cable ruptures, making it apparent that this earthquake did not trigger any tsunami (Figure 8).

The 25 August 1889 earthquake

Quite unanimously, Galanopoloulos (1953), Shebalin (1974), and Ambraseys and Jackson (1997), agreed in designating for the 13 August O.S./25 August N.S. 1889 earthquake two distinct and distant areas as affected by comparably high intensity effects,

namely today's regional units of Achaia, in the north-western part of the Gulf of Corinth, and of Aetolia-Acarnania, on the opposite and westernmost part of Central Greece (Figure 9).

Actually, Shebalin (1974) explicitly referred to Galanopoulos (1953) as his main source for the re-drawing of isoseismals, while Ambraseys and Jackson (1997) and later Ambraseys (2009) referenced Galanopoulos (1953) as one among many sources. The solution adopted by Ambraseys and Jackson (1997) was to locate the earthquake “outside the Gulf of Corinth”, and - without assigning any intensity value - to define it “a large magnitude, most probably subcrustal earthquake”. Both Ambraseys and Jackson (1997) and Ambraseys (2009) supported their conclusion by mentioning 15 out of 41 places mentioned by Galanopoulos (1953), and without adding any new affected locality. However, Papazachos and Papazachou (2003) and SHEEC (Stucchi et al., 2013) located the epicenter close to Aigio, thus attributing this event to the area of study.

For the 1889 earthquake, accounts were found in the same contemporary authors considered for the 1888 earthquake, and a set of local newspapers (*Akropolis*, 1889a-i; *Ephimeris*, 1889a-o; *Epitheoresis*, 1889a-c; *Hora*, 1889a-f; *Nea Ephimeris*, 1889a-i; *Palingenesia*, 1889a-e; *Proia*, 1889; *Phorologoumenos*, 1889a-c), larger than that used by Galanopoulos for this earthquake, but the same used here for the 1888 one (Figure 7, and Table S7 in the electronic supplement to this article).

The Greek and German versions of the account by Ch. Koryllos, or lost in an abridged translation

The original version of the account from Christos P. Koryllos was in Greek, written in the form of a long letter dated from Patras on 23 August O.S./4 September N.S.,

addressed to the Athenian newspaper “Ephimeris”, where it was published in the 10 September issue (Ephimeris, 1889m). The originality and accuracy of the report by Koryllos did not escape the Greek and German academic circles of that time, and within the same year 1889, his account appeared in a translation into German on a prestigious scientific journal of the time, “Petermanns Geographische Mitteilungen”. The translation is attributed to a “Dr. J. Nicolaides Cléanthe”, probably living in Athens, who sent it for publication to A. Philippson (1889b).

To make easier the comparison with the translation into German, the Greek version may be divided into three sections:

- a) Precise and detailed account of the earthquake effects in Patras, including a description of the injuries suffered, with the accuracy of a medical doctor, such as Koryllos was.
- b) A description of earthquake’s effects in some settlements in Achaea, with respect to their elevation and distance from the coast, attributed by Koryllos to previous “great and damaging earthquakes of Aigio [373 BCE is mentioned for Diakoptitika, but he could also refer to 1817 and 1888], Amphissa [probably that on 1 August 1870], Corinth [probably that on 21 February 1858]”
- c) Data and personal remarks on the location of the “focus” of the 1889 earthquake openly supporting the opinion by Forster (Akropolis, 1889f), in a telegram published three days after the earthquake, so that Koryllos should have had the occasion to read Forster’s opinion before writing his own account.

As it can be clearly seen in Figure 10, part (b) was loosely translated from Greek into German, and the translator heavily cut the pristine report by Koryllos. The long paragraph at the beginning of part (b) is written in a really convoluted language and cryptic manner,

as it is an attempt by Koryllos to distinguish effects to settlements close to the coast from those caused to places located two to six hours inland, to support Forster's theory and interpretation of the earthquake focus. This elaborate section probably challenged the translator, who decided to solve the problem with sharp and random abridgments of the original text, and especially of paragraph (5) in Figure 10.

Galanopoulos did not take into account the Greek version by Koryllos, and this is confirmed by the fact that he did not reference at all the newspaper *Ephimeris*, where the report was published, among the items he considered for the 1889 earthquake. Besides, when discussing the abridged German version of the report by Koryllos, Galanopoulos never cited him by name, perhaps attributing his report to Philippson (1889b).

In conclusion, the observations on all the places at which damage was assessed by Galanopoulos, and those who trusted his interpretation based upon Koryllos via the German version in Philippson (1889b) only, were considered unreliable, and discarded. The testimony of Koryllos as an eyewitness is here constrained to the first lines of his report (see above, point a), in which he described the effects at Patras. Such a restoration of the original value of the report by Koryllos is further supported by the fact that the enlarged set of contemporary newspapers do not supply any records about damaging effects at Pteri, Vela, Diakopto, but also at Aigio, Krathis, Akrata, Klimenti, Matzani, Kalavryta, Arphara. These are the ten settlements in Achaea forming one of the two "core pleistoseismic areas of degree 7-8 [... the one] leading up from the Gulf of Corinth to the northern Peloponnese mountains" according to Galanopoulos (1953,10.4, p.227, see Figure 9). The enlarged set of newspapers provided records on 24 places not mentioned by any other contemporary source (see Table S7 in the electronic supplement to this article for detail), and all confirm

the re-interpretation of the report by Koryllos here proposed, together with the new distribution of effects.

The severest effects of the Sunday 25 August 1889, 21:13 (Athens time) earthquake are reported at some settlements of Aetolia-Acarnania (Figure 11). According to official reports, as summarized in the press (*Ephimeris*, 1889g), at Aitoliko i) 36 houses broke down seriously; ii) 50 were in danger of collapsing; iii) 18 houses collapsed partly and were left completely unfit for habitation; iv) 37 collapsed and were no more inhabitable, and v) 9 buildings were fissured and in danger of becoming uninhabitable.

There is no public utility edifice missing from the engineer's survey of damaged buildings at Agrinio: the local branch of the National Bank, the police station, the army hospital, the garrison headquarters, the gymnasium, the boarding school, the public accounts offices, the courthouse, the telegraphic and municipal offices (*Ephimeris*, 1889g). The 81 buildings made "uninhabitable" by the earthquake are listed according to the owners' names in an appeal to the Prime Minister; all the others are said to have suffered relevant damage, except for 20 units which were either insignificantly or not damaged (*Akropolis*, 1889e).

Although the figures of damaged buildings in Aitoliko and Agrinio slightly oscillate in the newspapers, higher in *Akropolis* (1889h) than in the same day issue of *Nea Ephimeris* (1889g), a clear assessment of the grade of damage in EMS98 was prevented by the persistent use of the wording "unfit for habitation", focusing on the consequence rather than on the type of damage suffered.

Although details are scarce, damage was extensive also in Astakos, and in Patras. The outstanding testimony about Patras is Koryllos (*Ephimeris*, 1889m). Besides being an

eyewitness, he described the characteristics of the pendulum he had installed in his laboratory, together with his readings of the recordings. Coherently with items in the press, he wrote that “almost all the houses here [Patras] and many in the countryside and the neighboring villages were damaged in a more or less evident way, some were so affected to the point of being uninhabitable, one completely collapsed, with the fall of its southern and northern walls.” His education and professional skills as a medical doctor reveal in the detailed descriptions of the injuries suffered by “two women and one old man”.

Intensity values in EMS98 were assigned at 41 different places (Figure 11, and Table S7 in the electronic supplement to this article), with the highest located in Aetolia-Acarnania, that is 8-9 at Aitoliko, and 8 at Agrinio. In 28 places intensity did not exceed degree 4 EMS98.

This earthquake was widely felt in Greece, in an area stretching from Athens in the east to Volos in the north, and south at Kalamata (Messenia) in southwestern Peloponnese. It was also felt outside Greece, at some places on the coast of Apulia (Italy) to the west of the most damaged region (Bollettino, 1889) (Figure 11).

Forster (1890) reported that both telegraphic cables in the Gulf of Corinth ruptured, the one laid in 1884 completely fractured off Xylocastro, and the one laid in May 1889 off Nafpaktos, respectively. While some modern studies (e.g. Galanopoulos, 1953; Ambraseys, 2009) strictly linked both cable ruptures to the extension of the damaging area, according to Forster himself only the rupture off Nafpaktos should be related to the August 1889 earthquake.

Liquefaction phenomena close to Aitoliko are similarly described in seven newspapers: “Outside the town in the western part, at a distance of about forty meters from

the sea, the ground fissured, holes were formed in a line starting to the right of the road Aitoliko-Neochorios, crossing it, and ending to the left, of a length of 150 meters. The holes, from which spurt out water and sand, have the shape of a funnel, and their surface shows that water and sand were rotating while gushing out” (e.g. Palingenesia, 1889b).

Results and discussion

Macroseismic intensity data

In all, the observations of macroseismic effects retrieved amount to 543, supplied by 116 contemporary, independent sources of information, made of 90 press issues for 1861, 1888 and 1889 earthquakes, and 26 other types of sources. Figure 12 illustrates the relative contribution of records derived from press items, altogether 426 relative to the 1861, 1888 and 1889 earthquakes, versus 117 records from other types of sources.

These 543 records allowed us to assign 143 intensity values in EMS98, concerning 108 different localities, out of which only the 49 with ≥ 3 records are shown in Figure 12. Although four localities are in Apulia (Italy), and the remaining are mostly concentrated in Central Greece and northern Peloponnese, the geographic coverage of the 143 IDPs is much improved with respect to that of previously published studies, and in particular when considering the spatial distribution of the earthquake effects on the occasion of each earthquake (Figures 4, 6, 8, 11). The number of IDPs for each earthquake ranges from 3 for the 1748 earthquake to 72 for the 1861, with values from 9 to 2 EMS98. A total of 53 intensities are in the classes from 9 to 8 (including 8-9), while 90 are encompassed in all classes between 7-8 and 2.

Reappraised size and location

These new sets of IDPs were then processed to obtain the earthquake parameters, according to both the SISCOR and SHEEC strategies, presented in sub-section “Reappraising size and location”. As shown in Table 5, between the two strategies a preferred solution was chosen. For the location, the preferred estimate is the one resulting from the SHEEC strategy, for the following reasons: i) the results are quite similar (Figure 13, and Figure S1 of the electronic supplement to this article); ii) Boxer estimates location even with a few (i.e. less than 5-10) intensity data; and iii) for consistency with the most recent estimates of the SHEEC catalogue (Stucchi et al., 2013; Gomez Capera et al., 2014). However, the Boxer method used in the SHEEC strategy is not suitable for locating offshore epicentres (Gasperini et al., 2010), thus the offshore solution of the SISCOR strategy, consistent with both macroseismic and geological information, was selected for the 1861 earthquake (Figure 13). The preferred magnitude estimates correspond to the range of values resulting from the application of both strategies (Figure 14). In particular, in adopting the SISCOR strategy, the magnitude range results from testing two depth values (7 and 10 km), i.e. those in accordance with the seismogenic thickness of the study region (Lambotte et al., 2014). On the contrary, the Boxer method does not determine the depth of the events, but it has been developed and extensively tested with shallow earthquakes with an average depth of 10 km, compatible with the seismogenesis of the area. Table 5 contains the reappraised parameters for the five earthquakes. The results obtained are presented in Figure 13 and discussed earthquake by earthquake in the following.

14 May 1748: Since for this event there are just three IDPs, with a maximum intensity of 8 EMS98 at Aigio, its location remains highly uncertain, and the two strategies led to

magnitude estimates that range from 5.4 to 5.9 (Table 5). The tsunami interesting the town of Aigio suggests that an offshore location cannot be excluded.

23 August 1817: The effects of this earthquake have been evaluated by assigning a maximum intensity of 9 at Aigio, and intensity 8 at five nearby villages (Table S3 of the electronic supplement to this article). Uncertainties in the estimated magnitude ($M=6.0-6.5$) remain due to the fact that seven IDPs only are available (Table 5). Given the distribution of IDPs, both SHEEC and SISCOR strategies locates the epicenter on land to the south of Aigio (Figure 13a), and it appears plausible to assume a relatively shallow depth (< 10 km). However, the tsunami on both coasts of the Gulf of Corinth, with strong effects at Aigio and Trizonia (see Figure 4), suggests a possible epicenter offshore, although this would imply a magnitude higher than the estimated one.

26 December 1861: Out of the 72 localities at which an intensity was assigned, distributed both to the south and north of the Gulf of Corinth, the ten localities that experienced effects equal to 9 EMS98 (which is also the maximum intensity) are all located on the southern coast, to the east or south of Aigio (Figure 6). The SHEEC strategy locates the earthquake in the plain to the southeast of Aigio, according to the Boxer method at the barycentre of the IDPs with intensity 9. However, the epicentral location in the middle of the gulf, obtained with the SISCOR strategy, was preferred (Figure 13b and Table 5). Such a location is coherent with the tsunamis reported both in the north of the gulf, from Chrissa to Nafaktos, and to the south, with disturbances that have been discovered in the sedimentary record (Beckers et al., 2015 and 2016, and reference therein). In coherence with Lambotte et al. (2014), a depth between 7 and 10 km is assumed. Independently of the strategy, a magnitude of $M = 6.6-6.7$, a relatively strong one for the region, was estimated

(Table 5). This would be coherent with both a strong shaking over a wide region along the southern coast where the disturbances at the surface - sand cones and widespread fracturing - are reported.

9 September 1888: A maximum intensity of 8-9 EMS98 was assigned at eight out of 20 localities - all located in the regional unit of Achea - at which effects were estimated (Figure 8). Given the relatively limited extent of the damaged area, the depth of this earthquake was most likely less than 10 km. This moderate magnitude event $M = 5.9-6.1$ is located on land near Aigio (Figure 13c). This is suggested also by the liquefaction features reported along the coast from Selianitika to Valimitika, and is coherent with the muddy waters and the cable breaks observed in front of Aigio, phenomena most likely caused by a submarine slump, since no tsunami was reported.

25 August 1889: As explained at length above, the distribution of effects of this earthquake has completely changed with respect to previous studies. Of the 41 localities at which an intensity was assigned, the maximum value of 8-9 EMS98 was reached at Aitoliko and Agrinio, regional unit of Aetolia-Acarnania, mainland Greece (Figure 11), and, conversely, the area of Aigio was reckoned to be only slightly affected. This has implied a $M = 6.4-6.6$ (Table 5) and a very much different epicentral location, significantly moving the earthquake out of the area of study (Figure 13d), and more than 60 km to the west of both SHEEC (Stucchi et al., 2013) and Papazachos and Papazachou (2003) estimates. The new epicentral location is coherent with the liquefaction features reported near Aitoliko, and the absence of sea water related movements. Finally, the new IDPs distribution allowed dismissing the hypothesis of a deep event, shared by some previous studies and catalogues (Karnik, 1971; Ambraseys and Jackson, 1997; Ambraseys, 2009),

and to possibly relate the 1889 earthquake with the high slip rates recently assessed for the Katouna-Stamna Fault System (Pérouse et al., 2017), located to the north west of Aitoliko (Figure 13d).

The assessed locations of the 1817, 1861, and 1888 with their associated uncertainties are consistent with the activation of the north dipping faults in the western part of the Corinth Rift, either outcropping along the southern coast, as it is likely for the 1817 and 1888 earthquakes (Figures 13a and 13c), or offshore, as possibly the case of the 1861 earthquake (Figure 13b).

The magnitude estimates and their uncertainties obtained by applying the SISCOR and SHEEC strategies are compatible both with the highest instrumental magnitudes recorded in the area, and with the size of the known faults. Figure 14 compares the reappraised magnitudes with those supplied by the two most recent catalogues covering the area of study. For the 1748 and the 1817 earthquakes, magnitude values from the SISCOR strategy are systematically lower than those from the SHEEC strategy, and are affected by high uncertainties as a consequence of the availability of <10 IDPs. Both estimates are about 0.2 magnitude units lower than those by SHEEC (Stucchi et al., 2013), and Papazachos and Papazachou (2003). A similarly high uncertainty influences the magnitude estimated for the 1888 earthquake, although it was based on a set of 20 IDPs, larger but unequally distributed among intensity classes. For the 1861 and 1889 earthquakes, the SHEEC and SISCOR strategies give comparable, and robust, magnitude values, with low uncertainties. The 1889 earthquake's preferred magnitude is similar to the SHEEC one (Stucchi et al., 2013), but definitely much lower than the value of M 7.0 assessed by

Papazachos and Papazachou (2003), which so far was the highest magnitude available in literature for the area of study.

Conclusive remarks

The inherent and double-sided challenge of this study was to present in detail the scientific process of interpretation of descriptive sources of earthquake effects. Too often, the passage from a written observation to a macroseismic intensity value has been made in the past (and near past) without considering the appropriate context in which the observation was made. To use a simple analogy, such a cursory approach would be like interpreting an instrumental recording without taking into account the characteristics of the instrument that produced it. Unfortunately, parametric seismic catalogues for the pre-instrumental period are - still - full of pseudo-historical seismological interpretations, based on summaries of summaries, resulting in actual shortcuts in interpretation, to the point of creating false earthquakes, and assess unrealistic magnitudes and locations.

In conclusion, after having explained the approach adopted to pass from raw observations to earthquake records and intensity data, this study has succeeded in assigning robust intensity values at many different places. From these revised sets of IDPs, and after having tested the methods to assess the earthquake parameters, reappraised size and location of the five studied earthquakes were obtained, as follows: 14 May 1748 M 5.4-5.9, 23 August 1817 M 6.0-6.5, and 9 September 1888 M 5.7-6.2 earthquakes located not far from the town of Aigio, the 26 December 1861 M 6.6-6.7 earthquake to the east at sea, and the 25 August 1889 M 6.4-6.6 earthquake well outside the area of study.

These newly estimated magnitudes and locations will have important implications for constraining the seismic cycle of Corinth Rift's faults. However, attributing each event to a

specific fault remains a challenge, because the Corinth Rift system is made up of a complex network of interacting faults, which can rupture together as exemplified by the 1981 seismic sequence (Jackson et al., 1982), and shown by results of dynamic modelling of rupture propagation (Durand et al., 2016). Which fault will host the next event that will affect the region may very well be one that has not ruptured, yet, in the last 300 years. Thus, future studies should aim at improving not only the seismological knowledge of the other major earthquakes that have affected the Corinth Rift, but also at understanding and modelling the long-term behavior of the fault system as a whole.

Data and Resources

The original digitized version of the periodical press listed in the references as published sources were consulted at: i) online section of the Library of the Greek Parliament, Athens, available at <http://catalog.parliament.gr/> (last accessed March 2016); ii) the website of the “Press Museum”, Patras, at <http://www.mouseiotipou.gr/> (last accessed November 2015). The first edition of J. Schmidt in 1875 is freely accessible in digital format at <http://www.e-rara.ch/zut/content/zoom/5385175> (last accessed December 2015). The SHEEC catalogue is available at <http://www.emidius.eu/SHEEC/> (last accessed May 2016). Data of Papazachos and Papazachou (2003), and Papazachos et al. (2010) are accessible at http://geophysics.geo.auth.gr/the_seisnet/WEBSITE_2005/station_index_en.html (last accessed May 2016). Corinth Rift Laboratory website address is <http://crlab.eu> (last accessed May 2016).

Acknowledgments

This investigation was developed in the course of the project ANR-SISCOR (2011-2014), and the authors gratefully acknowledge Pascal Bernard and the SISCOR working group for their continuous support and fruitful discussions during this challenging project. The many languages in which the sources contemporary to the earthquakes were written could not be fully understood without the kindhearted help of as many friends and colleagues, Christa Hammerl, Micaela Mander, Christina I. Rouziou and her mother. We are grateful for the comments provided by Associate Editor Yann Klinger and two anonymous reviewers, and we greatly appreciated Editor-in-Chief Thomas Pratt for his assistance.

References

- *Coeval sources by earthquake*

* *14 May 1748*

ASVe (18th cent.) Archivio di Stato di Venezia (ASVe): - Cinque Savi alla Mercanzia, Prima Serie, Consoli, Patrasso, b. 728, 1720-1764; - Senato, Rettori, Filze, n.275, 1748 March-September.

Chandler, R. (1776). *Travels in Greece: or an account of a tour made at the expense of the Society of Dilettanti*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 304 pp. + 1 map, on pp.273 ff.

Lappo (1748). Letter of the Venetian consul Lappo to the Venetian Governor in Zante. In: Issel e Agamennone, 1894, *Cronistoria sismica di Zante*. Annali dell'Ufficio Centrale di Meteorologia e Geodinamica, XV, I, pp. 92-262, on p.104.

Pouqueville, F.C.H.L. (1820). *Voyage dans la Grèce*. Londres, vol. iii, 576 pp., on pp.553-554.

Relazione (1748). Relazione nuova, vera, e distinta dell'orrido terremoto, ed aquemotto successo li 14. Maggio 1748 nella città di Vostizza nel regno della Morea, dessunta da una lettera scritta da Soggetto degno di fede. In Venezia, 1748, Per Domenico Lovisa, con Licenza de' superiori, 4 pp.

* 23 August 1817

Anonymous (1817a). Correspondence from Aigio, 29 August 1817, in *Gazzetta Ionia* (1817).

Anonymous (1817b). Correspondence from Patras, 8 September 1817, in *Journal des Débats politiques et littéraires* (1817).

Anonymous (1817c). Correspondence from Constantinople, 15 September, in *Hermes o Logios* (1817).

Anonymous (1817d). Correspondence from Constantinople, 1 December, in *Journal des Débats* (1818).

Anonymous (1818). Correspondence from Constantinople, 27 December 1817 and 8 January 1818, in *Hermes o Logios* (1818).

Arady, A. (1817a-b). Archives Nationales de France, Affaires Étrangères, Correspondance consulaire, Patras, Série 241CCC, Vol. 3, MF P15738, 1816-1821: (a), Despatch n.97, Patras, 27 aoust 1817, ff.143r-v; (b) Despatch n.98, Patras, 2 septembre 1817, ff.144r-v.

Dodwell, E. (1819). A classical and topographical tour through Greece, during the years 1801, 1805 and 1806. London, 2 vols.

Fuller, J. (1829). Narrative of a tour through some parts of the Turkish Empire. London, John Murray, 560 pp., on pp. 31-32.

Gell, W. (1817). *Itinerary of the Morea: being a description of the routes of that peninsula.*

Rodwell and Martin, London, 248 pp.

Leake, W.M. (1830). *Travels in the Moréa: With a Map and Plans.* J. Murray, London, 3 vols.

Pouqueville, F.C.H.L. (1820). *Voyage dans la Grèce.* Londres, 3 vols.

- Press -

American Monthly Magazine (1818). *American Monthly Magazine and Critical Review*, New York, February 1818, Vol.2, n.IV, p. 302.

Gaceta de Madrid (1817). Madrid, Jueves 4 de diciembre de 1817, n.145, p.1313.

Gazzetta di Mantova (1817). Mantova, Sabato 6 dicembre, n.49, p.513, p.1, col.1; p.2, col.1.

Gazzetta di Milano (1817). Milano, Mercoledì 3 dicembre, n. 337, p.1, col.2.

Gazzetta Ionia (1817). Corfù, 20 September, n.169, pp.5-6.

Gazzetta Piemontese (1817). Torino, Sabato 29 novembre, n. 143, p.1, col.1.

Gentleman's Magazine (1818). London, *The Gentleman's Magazine and Historical Chronicle*. From January to June, 1818, vol. 88, part first, January, Foreign occurrences, Italy, p. 71.

Giornale della Provincia Bresciana (1817). Brescia, 11 dicembre, n.50, semestre II, p.1, col.1.

Hermes o Logios (1817). Vienna, 15 November, n. 22, p.597 (in Greek).

Hermes o Logios (1818). Vienna, 1 March, n. 5, p.101 (in Greek).

Journal des Débats politiques et littéraires (1817). Paris, 21 November, p.1.

Journal des Débats politiques et littéraires (1818). Paris, 10 Janvier, p. 1.

Leydse Courant (1817). Leiden, Woensdag den 26 November, n. 142, p.1, col.1.

Linzer-Zeitung (1817). Kaiserl. Königl. Privilegirte Linzer-Zeitung, Linz, Frentag den 5.

Dezember 1817, n. 97, Vermichte Nachrichten, p. 388.

Messaggere Tirolese (1817). Roveredo, Venerdì 5 dicembre, n.97, p.2, col.2; p.3, col.1.

Morning Chronicle (1817). London, 25 November, p.2, col.3.

Morning Post (1817). London, 25 November, p.3, col.2.

The Literary Gazette (1817). The Literary Gazette or Journal of Belles Lettres, Arts,

Politics, &c., London, Saturday 13 December, n. XLVII, Varieties, p. 383.

Weimarische Zeitung (1817). Oppositions-Blatt oder Weimarische Zeitung, Weimar,

Mittewoch 10 December 1817, n. 290 (vol.4), col. 2326.

* 26 December 1861

Schmidt, J. (1861). Letter to A. Perrey, published in: Perrey A., 1864. Note sur les

tremblements de terre en 1861, *Mémoires couronnés et autres mémoires*, Académie

Royale des sciences, des lettres et des beaux-arts de Belgique, t. 16, 2-112, on p. 110.

Schmidt, J. (1862a). Note sur les tremblements de terre. *Comptes Rendus hebdomadaires*

des séances de l'Académie des sciences, 1862/1, tome 54, pp. 669-671.

Schmidt, J. (1862b). Essay on the earthquake occurred on 26 (14) December 1861 in Aigio

(Πραγματεία περί του γενομένου τω 1861ω Δεκεμβρ. 26η (14η) σεισμού του Αιγίου).

Athens, National Printing House, 1867. 52 pp., + 1 map

Schmidt, J. (1864). The earthquake at Aegium (Vostitza), December 26th, 1861. In: T.

Wyse, 1865. An excursion in the Peloponnesus in the year 1858, edited by his niece

W.M. Wyse. London, 343 pp., on 339-343.

Schmidt, J. (1875). Studien über Erdbeben. Carl Scholtze, Leipzig, 324 pp. + 4 maps, on pp.69-83.

Schmidt, J. (1879). Studien über Vulkane und Erdbeben. Leipzig, 360 pp

Schmidt, J. (1881). Studien über Vulkane und Erdbeben, re-edition of the 1879 version, same page numbering and text. Leipzig, 360 pp.

Wyse, T. (1862). National Archives (UK), Public Record Office, Foreign Office, Political and other departments, 32/300, General Correspondence before 1906, Greece, 1 January - 18 March 1862, Consul in Athens, Thomas Wyse, Despatch n.1, Athens, 2 January, ff. 1r-5r.

- Press -

Aion (1861). Athens, 29 December (10 January 1862), no. 1992.

Aion (1862). Athens, 4 (16) January, no. 1994.

Anegersis (1861). Athens, 24 December (5 January 1862), no. 59.

Anegersis (1862). Athens, 1 (13) January, no. 60.

Arkadia (1861a-b). Tripoli. (a) 19 (31) December, no. 226; (b) 26 December (7 January 1862), no. 227.

Arkadia (1862a-b). Tripoli. (a) 1 (13) January, no. 228; (b) 16 (28) January, no. 230.

Avgi (1861a-h), Athens. (a) 14 (26) December, no.961; (b) 16 (28) December, no. 962; (c) 18 (30) December, no. 963; (d) 20 December (1 January 1862), no. 964; (e) 21 December (2 January 1862), no. 965; (f) 23 December (4 January 1862), no. 966; (g) 27 December (8 January 1862), no. 967; (h) 28 December 28 (9 January 1862), no. 968.

Avgi (1862). Athens. 3 (15) January, no. 970.

Elpis (1862). Athens, 1 (13) January, no. 1134.

Enosis (1862). Ermoupoli, 9 (21) January, no. 405.

Journal des débats politiques et littéraires (1862). Paris, 14 Janvier, p. 1, col.6 to p. 2, col.1, Nouvelles étrangères, Grèce, Athènes, 3 Janvier.

Merimna (1861). Athens, 18 (30) December, no. 249.

Phos (1861a-c). Athens. (a) 16 (28) December, no. 362; (b) 20 December (1 January 1862), no. 363; (c) 23 December (4 January 1862), no. 364.

Phos (1862a-b). Athens. (a) 1 (13) January, no. 365; (b) 6 (18) January, no. 366.

The Times (1862). London, 8 January 1862, n. 24137, p. 10, col. A.

Veltiosis (1861). Tripoli, 19 (31) December, no. 461.

* 9 September 1888

Des Graz, C. (1888). National Archives (UK), Public Record Office, Foreign Office, Political and other departments, 32/601, General Correspondence before 1906, Greece, August-December 1888, Substitute of the Consul in Athens, Charles des Graz, Despatch n. 208, Athens, 11 September, ff.89r-90r.

Forster, W.G. (1887). *Seismology: a Paper on Earthquakes in General; together with a New Theory of their Origin, developed by the Introduction of Submarine Telegraphy.* London, Waterlow and Sons, 63 pp.

Forster, W.G. (1890). Earthquake origin. *Trans. Seism. Soc. Japan*, 15, 73-92.

Ornstein, B. (1889). Das Erdbeben von Vostizza. *Das Ausland*, Stuttgart-München, Bd. 62, 15, 281-284, 310-314.

Philippson, A. (1889a). Über die jüngsten Erdbeben in Griechenland. *Petermanns Geographische Mitteilungen*, Gotha, Bd. 35, Kleinere Mitteilungen, 251-252.

- Press -

Akropolis (1888a-o). Athens. (a) 29 August (10 September), no. 2264; (b) 30 August (11 September), no. 2265; (c) 31 August (12 September), no. 2266; (d) 1 (13) September, no. 2267; (e) 2 (14) September, no. 2268; (f) 3 (15) September, no. 2269; (g) 4 (16) September, no. 2270; (h) 6 (18) September, no. 2272; (i) 7 (19) September, no. 2273; (j) 8 (20) September, no. 2274; (k) 9 (21) September, no. 2275; (l) 10 (22) September, no. 2276; (m) 11 (23) September, no. 2277; (n) 12 (24) September, no. 2278; (o) 13 (25) September, no. 2279.

Ephimeris (1888a-o). Athens. (a) 29 August (10 September), no. 242; (b) 30 August (11 September), no. 243; (c) 31 August (12 September), no. 244; (d) 1 (13) September, no. 245; (e) 2 (14) September, no. 246; (f) 3 (15) September, no. 247; (g) 4 (16) September, no. 248; (h) 5 (17) September, no. 249; (i) 6 (18) September, no. 250; (j) 7 (19) September, no. 251; (k) 8 (20) September, no. 252; (l) 9 (21) September, no. 253; (m) 10 (22) September, no. 254; (n) 11 (23) September, no. 255; (o) 13 (25) September, no. 257.

Epitheoresis (1888a-v). Athens. (a) 29 August (10 September), no. 242; (b) 30 August (11 September), no. 243; (c) 31 August (12 September), no. 244; (d) 1 (13) September, no. 245; (e) 2 (14) September, no. 246; (f) 4 (16) September, no. 248; (g) 5 (17) September, no. 249; (h) 6 (18) September, no. 250; (i) 7 (19) September, no. 251; (j) 8 (20) September, no. 252; (k) 9 (21) September, no. 253; (l) 10 (22) September, no. 254; (m) 11 (23) September, no. 255; (n) 12 (24) September, no. 256; (o) 14 (26) September, no. 258; (p) 15 (27) September 15 (27), no. 259; (q) 16 (28) September, no. 260; (r) 17 (29) September, no. 261; (s) 18 (30) September, no. 262; (t) 19

September (1 October), no. 263; (u) 25 September (7 October), no. 269; (v) 27

September (9 October), no. 271.

Hora (1888a-g). Athens. (a) 29 August (10 September), no. 661; (b) 30 August (11 September), no. 662; (c) 31 August (12 September), no. 663; (d) 2 (14) September, no. 665; (e) 5 (17) September, no. 668; (f) 8 (20) September, no. 671; (g) 9 (21) September, no. 672.

Nature (1888). Earthquakes in the Levant, March 29, p. 523.

Nea Ephimeris (1888a-m). Athens. (a) 29 August (10 September), no. 242; (b) 30 August (11 September), no. 243; (c) 31 August (12 September), no. 244; (d) 1 (13) September, no. 245; (e) 2 (14) September, no. 246; (f) 4 (16) September, no. 248; (g) 5 (17) September, no. 249; (h) 6 (18) September, no. 250; (i) 8 (20) September, no. 252; (j) 10 (22) September, no. 254; (k) 13 (25) September, no. 257; (l) 21 September (3 October), no. 265; (m) 22 September (4 October), no. 266.

Neue Freie Presse (1888). Vienna. 22 September, no. 8649.

Palingenesia (1888a-i). Athens. (a) 29 August (10 September), no. 7324; (b) 30 August (11 September), no. 7325; (c) 31 August (12 September), no. 7326; (d) 3 (15) September, no. 7329; (e) 5 (17) September, no. 7330; (f) 6 (18) September, no. 7331; (g) 7 (19) September, no. 7332; (h) 8 (20) September, no. 7333; (i) 9 (21) September, no. 7334.

Phorologoumenos (1888a-d). Patras. (a) 2 (14) September, no. 706; (b) 9 (21) September, no. 707; (c) 23 September (5 October), no. 709; (d) 30 September (12 October), no. 709.

Proia (1888a-e). Athens. (a) 30 August (11 September), no. 2900; (b) 31 August (12 September), no. 2901; (c) 1 (13) September, no. 2902; (d) 2 (14) September, no. 2903; (e) 8 (20) September, no. 2908.

Stamboul (1888a-b). Istanbul. (a) 11 September; (b) 12 September.

The Times (1888a-c). London. (a) 11 September, no. 32488; (b) 12 September, no. 32489; (c) 15 September, no. 32492.

To Astu (1888). Athens, 4 September, n.154, p. 3, col.1

* 25 August 1889

Bollettino (1889). Bollettino Meteorico dell'Ufficio Centrale di Meteorologia e Geodinamica, Roma, Anno XI, Supplemento, n. 245, 2 settembre; n. 259, 16 settembre.

Monson, E. (1889). National Archives (UK), Public Record Office, Foreign Office, Political and other departments, 32/608, General Correspondence before 1906, Greece, August-October 1889, Consul in Athens, Edmund Monson, Despatch n.218, Athens, 26 August 1889, ff.108a-b.

Philippson, A. (1889b). Das Erdbeben in Griechenland am 25. August 1889. *Petermanns Geographische Mitteilungen*, Gotha, Bd. 35, Kleinere Mitteilungen, 290-291.

- Press -

Akropolis (1889a-i). Athens. (a) 14 (26) August, no. 2608; (b) 15 (27) August, no. 2609; (c) 18 (30) August, no. 2612; (d) 20 August (1 September), no. 2614; (e) 21 August (2 September), no. 2615; (f) 22 August (3 September), no. 2616; (g) 25 August (6 September), no. 2619; (h) 26 August (7 September), no. 2620; (i) 29 August (10 September), no. 2623.

Ephimeris (1889a-o). Athens. (a) 14 (26) August, no. 226; (b) 15 (27) August, no. 227; (c) 16 (28) August, no. 228; (d) 17 (29) August, no. 229; (e) 18 (30) August, no. 230; (f) 19 (31) August, no. 231; (g) 22 August (3 September), no. 234; (h) 23 August (4 September), no. 235; (i) 25 August (6 September), no. 237; (j) 26 August (7 September), no. 238; (k) 27 August (8 September), no. 239; (l) 28 August (9 September), no. 240; (m) 29 August (10 September), no. 241; (n) 30 August (11 September), no. 242; (o) 8 (20) September, no. 251.

Epitheoresis (1889a-c). Athens. (a) 14 (26) August, no. 225; (b) 17 (29) August, no. 228; (c) 20 August (1 September), no. 231.

Hora (1889a-f). Athens. (a) 14 (26) August, no. 277; (b) 15 (27) August, no. 278; (c) 21 August (2 September), no. 284; (d) 27 August (8 September), no. 290; (e) 30 August (11 September), no. 293; (f) 7 (19) September, no. 301.

Nea Ephimeris (1889a-i). Athens. (a) 14 (26) August, no. 226; (b) 15 (27) August, no. 227; (c) 16 (28) August, no. 228; (d) 17 (29) August, no. 229; (e) 18 (30) August, no. 230; (f) 20 August (1 September), no. 232; (g) 23 August (4 September), no. 235; (h) 25 August (6 September), no. 237; (i) 26 August (7 September), no. 238.

Palingenesia (1889a-e). Athens. (a) 14 (26) August, no. 7624; (b) 15 (27) August, no. 7625; (c) 21 August (2 September), no. 7630; (d) 25 August (6 September), no. 7634; (e) 26 August (7 September), no. 7635.

Phorologoumenos (1889a-c). Patras. (a) 18 (30) August, no. 753; (b) 25 August (6 September), no. 754; (c) 8 (20) September, no. 756.

Proia (1889). Athens. 15 (27) August, no. 3197.

* 19th century Maps

Carte de la Morée (1832). Carte de la Morée rédigée et gravée au Dépôt de la Guerre d'après la triangulation et les levés exécutés en 1829, 1830 et 1831 ... sous la direction de M. le Lieutenant Général Pelet, 1:200,000, aka map of Bory de St. Vincent's "Expédition Scientifique de Morée".

General-Karte (1884-1885). General-Karte des Königreichs Griechenland, Map 1:300.000, K.u.K. Militärgeographische Institut, Vienna.

Modern studies

Ambraseys, N.N. (2009). Earthquakes in the Mediterranean and Middle East: A Multidisciplinary Study of Seismicity up to 1900. Cambridge University Press, 968 pp.

Ambraseys, N.N., and C. Finkel (1999). Unpublished Ottoman archival information on the seismicity of the Balkans during the period 1500-1800. In: E. Zachariadou (ed), Natural Disasters in the Ottoman Empire, Institute for Mediterranean Studies, Halcyon Days in Crete III, A Symposium Held in Rethymnon (Greece), 10-12 January 1997, 89-107.

Ambraseys, N.N., and J.A. Jackson (1997). Seismicity and strain in the Gulf of Corinth (Greece) since 1694. J. Earthquake Eng., 1, 3, 433-474.

Ambraseys, N.N. (2014). Ottoman archives and the assessment of the seismicity of Greece 1456–1833. Bull. Earthquake Eng., 12:5–43, DOI 10.1007/s10518-013-9541-5 (on p. 39).

Antonopoulos, J. (1980). Data from investigation on seismic sea-waves events in the eastern Mediterranean from 1000 to 1500 A.D. Ann. Geof., 33, 179-198.

- Armijo, R., B. Meyer, G.C.P. King, A. Rigo, and D. Papanastassiou (1996). Quaternary evolution of the Corinth Rift and its implications for the late Cenozoic evolution of the Aegean. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 126, 11–53.
- Bakun W.H., and O. Scotti (2006). Regional intensity attenuation models for France and the estimation of magnitude and location of historical earthquakes. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 164, 3, 596-610.
- Bakun, W.H., and C.M. Wentworth (1997). Estimating earthquake location and magnitude from seismic intensity data. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 87, 1502-1521.
- Beckers, A., Hubert-Ferrari, A., Beck, C., Bodeux, S., Tripsanas, E., Sakellariou, D., and De Batist, M. (2015). Active faulting at the western tip of the Gulf of Corinth, Greece, from high-resolution seismic data. *Marine Geology*, 360, 55-69, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2014.12.003>
- Beckers, A., C. Beck, A. Hubert-Ferrari, J.L. Reyss, C. Mortier, P. Albini, A. Rovida, A.L. Devell, E. Tripsanas, D. Sakellariou, C. Crouzet, and O. Scotti (2016). Sedimentary impacts of recent moderate earthquakes from the shelves to the basin floor in the western Gulf of Corinth. *Marine Geology*, available online 1 November 2016, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2016.10.018>
- Bell, R., L.C. McNeill, J.M. Bull, T.J. Henstock, R.E.L. Collier, and M.R. Leeder (2009). Fault architecture, basin structure and evolution of the Gulf of Corinth Rift, central Greece, *Basin Research*, 21, 6, 824–855, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2117.2009.00401.x
- Bernard, P., P. Briole, B. Meyer, H. Lyon-Caen, J.-M. Gomez, C. Tiberi, C. Berge, R. Cattin, D. Hatzfeld, C. Lachet, B. Lebrun, A. Deschamps, F. Courboux, C. Larroque, A. Rigo, D. Massonnet, P. Papadimitriou, J. Kassaras, D. Diagourtas, K.

- Makropoulos, G. Veis, E. Papazisi, C. Mitsakaki, V. Karakostas, E. Papadimitriou, D. Papanastassiou, M. Chouliaras, and G. Stavrakakis (1997). The Ms=6.2, June 15, 1995 Aigion earthquake (Greece): evidence for low angle normal faulting in the Corinth rift. *J. Seismol.*, 1, 131–150.
- Bernard, P., H. Lyon-Caen, P. Briole, A. Deschamps, F. Boudin, K. Makropoulos, P. Papadimitriou, F. Lemeille, G. Patau, H. Billiris, D. Paradissis, K. Papazissi, H. Castarède, O. Charade, A. Nercessian, A. Avallone, F. Pacchiani, J. Zahradnik, S. Sacks, and A. Linde (2006). Seismicity, Deformation and seismic hazard in the western rift of Corinth: New insights from the Corinth Rift Laboratory (CRL). *Tectonophysics*, 426, 7–30.
- Briole, P., A. Rigo, H. Lyon-Caen, J.C. Ruegg, K. Papazissi, C. Mitsakaki, A. Balodimou, G. Veis, D. Hatzfeld, and A. Deschamps (2000). Active deformation of the Corinth rift, Greece: results from repeated Global Positioning System surveys between 1990 and 1995. *J. Geophys. Res.* 105, 25605–25625.
- Caputo R., S. Sboras, S. Pavlides, and A. Chatzipetros (2015). Comparison between *single-event effects* and *cumulative effects* for the purpose of seismic hazard assessment. A review from Greece. *Earth Sci. Rev.*, 148, 94–120, [dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2015.05.004)
- Christomanos, C. (1870a). Peri tou en Parnassidi tin 20 Iouliou symvantos seismou. *Ephimeris Syzitiseon*, vol. 1, p. 3, Athens.
- Christomanos, C. (1870b). Oi en Parnassidi seismoi. *Aion*, n. 2589, Athens.
- Cornet, F.H., P. Bernard, and I. Moretti (2004). The Corinth Rift Laboratory. *C.R. Geoscience*, 336, 235-242.

- De Viazis S. (1891). Mega seismos en Aigio kata to 1748. *Parnassos*, En Athinais, 14, 66-67.
- Durand, V., Hok, S., Boiselet, A., Bernard, P., Scotti, O. (2016). Dynamic rupture simulations on a fault network in the Corinth Rift. *Geophys. J. Int.*, ggw466.
- Galanopoulos, A.G. (1953). Katalog der Erdbeben in Griechenland für die Zeit von 1879 bis 1892. *Annales Géologique des Pays Helléniques*, Athènes, Première Série, Tome cinquième, 114-229.
- Gasperini, P., F. Bernardini, G. Valensise, and E. Boschi (1999). Defining seismogenic sources from historical felt reports. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 89, 94-110.
- Gasperini, P., G. Vannucci, D. Tripone, and E. Boschi (2010). The location and sizing of historical earthquakes using attenuation of macroseismic intensity with distance. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 100, 2035-2066.
- Gomez Capera, A.A., A. Rovida, P. Gasperini, M. Stucchi, and D. Viganò (2014). The determination of earthquake location and magnitude from macroseismic data in Europe. *Bull. Earthquake Eng.*, 13, 5, 1249-1280, DOI:10.1007/s10518-014-9672-3
- Grünthal, G. (ed) (1998). European Macroseismic Scale 1998 EMS-98. *Cahiers du Centre Européen de Géodynamique et de Séismologie*, v. 15, 99 pp.
- Hemelsdaël, R., and Ford M. (2016). Relay zone evolution: a history of repeated fault propagation and linkage, central Corinth rift, Greece. *Basin Research*, 28, 1, 34-56.
- Hough, S.E. and S. Martin (2015). The 1868 Hayward Fault, California, Earthquake: Implications for Earthquake Scaling Relations on Partially Creeping Faults. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.*, 105, 6, 2894-2909, doi: 10.1785/0120140372

- Issel, A., and G. Agamennone (1894). Cronistoria sismica di Zante. *Annali dell'Ufficio Centrale di Meteorologia e Geodinamica*, XV, I, pp. 92-262.
- Jackson, J. A., Gagnepain, J., Houseman, G., King, G. C. P., Papadimitriou, P., Soufleris, C., Virieux, J. (1982). Seismicity, normal faulting, and the geomorphological development of the Gulf of Corinth (Greece): the Corinth earthquakes of February and March 1981. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 57(2), 377-397.
- Jolivet, L., L. Labrousse, P. Agard, O. Lacombe, V. Bailly, E. Lecomte, F. Mouthereau, and C. Mehl (2010). Rifting and shallow-dipping detachments, clues from the Corinth Rift and the Aegean. *Tectonophysics*, 483, 287–304.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.11.001>
- Karnik, V. (1971). Seismicity of the European area, Part 2. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland, 218 pp.
- Koryllos, Ch. P. (1903). *Chorographia tis Ellados, A' - Nomos Achaias*. Athens, 204 pp.
- Lambotte S., H. Lyon-Caen, P. Benard, A. Deschamps, G. Patau, A. Nercessian, F. Pacchiani, S. Bourouis, M. Drilleau, and P. Adamova (2014). Reassessment of the rifting process in the Western Corinth Rift from relocated seismicity. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 197, 3, 1822-1844, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggu096
- Lekkas, E., S. Lozios, E. Skourtsos, and H. Kranis (1996). Liquefaction, ground fissures and coastline change during the Egio earthquake (15 June 1995; Central-Western Greece). *Terra Nova*, 8, 648-654.
- Magocsi, P.R. (1993). *Historical Atlas of East Central Europe*. University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 218pp.+maps

Montessus de Ballore, F. de (1924). *La Géologie sismologique: les tremblements de terre*.

Librairie Armand Colin, Paris, 488 pp.

Mouyaris, N., D. Papastamatiou, and C. Vita-Finzi (1992). The Helice fault? *Terra Nova*, 4, 124-129.

Musson, R.M.W., and I. Cčić (2002). Macroseismology. In: W.H.K. Lee, H. Kanamori, P.C. Jennings, C. Kisslinger (eds), *International handbook of earthquake and engineering seismology*, Academic, San Diego, 807–822.

Nixon, C. W., McNeill, L. C., Bull, J. M., Bell, R. E., Gawthorpe, R. L., Henstock, T. J.,

and Ferentinos, G. (2016). Rapid spatio-temporal variations in rift structure during

development of the Corinth Rift, central Greece. *Tectonics*.

doi:10.1002/2015TC004026

Papazachos C., and C. Papaioannou (1997). The macroseismic field of the Balkan area. *J. Seismol.*, 1, 2, 181-201.

Papazachos, B.C., and C. Papazachou (2003). *The earthquakes of Greece*. Ziti Publ. Co., Thessaloniki, Greece, 286 pp. (in Greek).

Papazachos, B.C., P.E. Comninakis, E.M. Scordilis, G.F. Karakaisis, and C.B. Papazachos (2010). *A catalogue of earthquakes in the Mediterranean and surrounding area for the period 1901 - 2010*. Internal Report, Geophys. Laboratory, University of Thessaloniki.

- Peckham, R.S. (2000). Map mania: nationalism and the politics of place in Greece, 1870-1922. *Polit. Geogr.*, 19, 77-95.
- Pérouse E., M. Sébrier, R. Braucher, N. Chamot-Rooke¹, D. Bourlès, P. Briole, D. Sorel, D. Dimitrov, and S. Arsenikos (2017). Transition from collision to subduction in Western Greece: the Katouna–Stamna active fault system and regional kinematics. *Int. J. Earth. Sci.*, 106, 967–989. DOI 10.1007/s00531-016-1345-9
- Philippson, A. (1892). *Der Peloponnes*. Berlin ,Vol.1, 1-381; Vol.2, 382-644.
- Reilinger, R., S. McClusky, D. Paradissis, S. Ergintav, and P. Vernant (2010). Geodetic constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Aegean region and strain accumulation along the Hellenic subduction zone. *Tectonophysics*, 488, 22–30.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2009.05.027>
- Richter, C.F. (1958). *Elementary Seismology*. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, 768 pp., on pp. 616-617.
- Salvador, L. (1876). *Eine Spazierfahrt im Golfe von Korinth (A Walking Travel in the Gulf of Corinth)*. Prague, 291 pp.
- Sébrier, M. (1977). *Tectonique récente d’une transversale à l’arc égéen; le Golf de Corinthe et ses régions périphériques*. Thèse, Université de Paris XI, Paris.
- Shebalin, N.V. (ed) (1974). *Atlas of isoseismal maps. III, UNDP-UNESCO Survey of the seismicity of the Balkan region*. Skopje, 275 pp.
- Sibol, M.S., G.A. Bollinger, and J.B. Birch (1987). Estimations of magnitudes in central and eastern North America using Intensity and Felt Area. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* 77, 1635-1654.
- Stavropoulos, A. (1954). *Istoria tis poleos tou Aigiou*. Patrai, 658 pp., on pp.284-286.

Stucchi, M., A. Rovida, A.A. Gomez Capera, P. Alexandre, T. Camelbeeck, M.B.

Demircioglu, P. Gasperini, V. Kouskouna, R.M.W. Musson, M. Radulian, K.

Sesetyan, S. Vilanova, D. Baumont, D. Bungum, D. Fäh, W. Lenhardt, K.

Makropoulos, J.M., Martinez Solares, O. Scotti, M. Živčić, P. Albini, J. Batllo, C.

Papaioannou, R. Tatevossian, M. Locati, C. Meletti, D. Viganò, and D. Giardini

(2013). The SHARE European Earthquake Catalogue (SHEEC) 1000-1899. *J.*

Seismol., 17, 2, 523-544, DOI 10.1007/s10950-012-9335-2

Witmore, C.L. (2013). The world on a flat surface: Maps from the archaeology of Greece

and beyond. In: S. Bonde, and S. Houston (eds), *Re-presenting the Past: Archaeology through Text and Image*, Joukowsky Institute publication, 2. Oxford; Oakville, CT,

Oxbow Books, 215 pp.

Full mailing address for each author

Paola Albini

Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Milano, Via E. Bassini 15,
20133 Milano, Italy

Corresponding author: paola.albini@ingv.it

Andrea Rovida

Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Milano, Via E. Bassini 15,
20133 Milano, Italy

andrea.rovida@ingv.it

Oona Scotti

Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire, Bureau des Risques Sismiques pour la
Sûreté des Installations

B.P.17, 92262 Fontenay-Aux-Roses, France

oonascotti@irsn.fr

Hélène Lyon-Caen

Laboratoire de Géologie, Ecole normale supérieure/CNRS UMR 8538, PSL Research
University

45, rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris, France

Helene.Lyon-Caen@ens.fr

Table 1

Aigio: earthquake and tsunami on 14 May 1748

	Lappo, 1748 (<i>translated from Italian</i>)	Chandler, 1766 (1776 ed., p.273 ff)
Site of Vostizza / Bostitza / Aigio	The town is rather high above the sea, backed up in the north-west direction by a towering mountain range.	Above the sea is a town called Bostitza, which stands on or near the site of Aegium.
A plane tree on the shore of the Morea	The harbor is made of two points extending from north-west to east, about two miles apart, and in between there was the customhouse, the storehouses, and a plane tree, famous for its vastness and height.	A plane tree by the shore is remarkable for its vast size and height. It is sound flourishing, with huge limbs, affording a most capacious and thick shade.
Springs	[...] by the plane tree there was a spring of water, streaming from six fountains	[...] for by the plane-tree is a plentiful source of excellent water, streaming copiously from ten or more mouths of stone; and many transparent springs rise on the beach.
Earthquake	The first shocks were of moderate strength, and this gave way to the panicked inhabitants go out of their houses, and stay outside, in yards and large streets. When the earth started to shake more vigorously, most of the houses fell apart and down, together with the churches and the towers, but with not many casualties, because prudence had everybody already outside from their homes.	We were told that an earthquake and a mighty inundation of the sea happened not many years ago [...]
Tsunami	As the earthquake ceased, a very placid sea retreated from his ordinary place for a great extension, leaving the bottom of the harbor completely devoid of water, causing a sudden panic among those close to the beach [...]. After moving away for quite a distance, the water suddenly raised, to form a wave so high to conceal the mountains on the opposed [northern] coast. The wave became alive, fell onto the town, then regressed two times more, and came back higher and higher, so that the last time the "water machine" hid the plane tree, and went to die out on top the mountain above the town.	[We were told] that the water thrice mounted above this tree, and the tall cliff behind it; that some of the branches were torn off by its violence; and that the people fled to the mountains.

Table 2

Report on damage to the neighborhoods of Aigio in 1817 (Anonymous, 1817a)

Neighbourhood ("Contrada")	Seriously damaged buildings	Repairable buildings	Undamaged buildings	Churches and Mosque
[Turkish quarter]	2 houses of the kadı and voivode; 33 houses inhabited by Turkish people; 22 shops 4 ovens	24 houses 30 shops	5 houses; 2 ovens; "teke" (a Sufi monastic complex)	Mosque completely destroyed
B.V. detta Fanaromeni (Blessed Virgin Mary)	112 houses	73 houses	23 houses	Church of Blessed Virgin Mary was undamaged
S. Michel Arcangelo	218 large and small houses	45 houses	7 houses, only	Church of S. Michel split from top to bottom
S. Zorzi				Very old church and belfry of S.George were seriously damaged
"contrada detta dei Galaxidiotti" (natives from Galaxidi)	3 houses	45 houses	21 houses	
Issodia, or Madonna ton Xenon (Presentation of Mary)	82 houses (out of 83)		1 house	Church of Madonna ton Xenon was damaged
Total affected buildings	450 houses 22 shops 4 ovens	187 houses 30 shops	57 houses 2 ovens 1 monastery	

Table 3

Five relevant publications by Schmidt on the 1861 earthquake (see Figure 5)

Short reference	Short description
Schmidt, 1861	a brief communication sent to Alexis Perrey, shortly after the earthquake
Schmidt, 1862a	a report for the “Académie des sciences” written in February 1862
Schmidt, 1862b	a 52-pages report in Greek ready for publication in September 1862, including the first version of the map of effects in the plain of Aigio (map1 in Figure 5)
Schmidt, 1864	a 4-pages summary in English of the earthquake effects included in a posthumous volume of the memories of the British consul in Athens, Thomas Wyse
Schmidt, 1875	a 14-pages chapter on the 1861 earthquake, completed in 1874, in German, with the famous second version of the map of effects in the plain of Aigio (map2 in Figure 5)

Table 4

Location, number of buildings, and damage description for five villages close to

Aigio (see Figure 8)

Place name (as quoted)	Location and number of buildings	Macroseismic effects (as in the press accounts)
Kouloura	“located about half an hour from Aigio, made of about 50 houses” (Epitheoresis, 1888t)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •all the houses collapsed, people live in the open, and great losses concerned wine and other goods •the inhabitants have moved “their residence to another place, outside the town of Aigio, which is in reality a much nicer location” (Akropolis, 1888i)
Valimitika	“located about one hour from Aigio, made of about 50 buildings” (Epitheoresis, 1888o)	“[buildings] have suffered such a damage that they are beyond repair” (Epitheoresis, 1888o)
Agrideika	“located 20 minutes from Aigio is made of about 20 buildings” (Epitheoresis, 1888p)	“here none of [the buildings] was spared by the earthquake, all were cracked, and a few collapsed completely” (Epitheoresis, 1888p)
Temeni	“one of the most beautiful country villages of Aigio, located half an hour from it, and is made of about 150 buildings most of them recently built” (Epitheoresis, 1888q)	“the disaster was such that but for two or three houses all the others are in ruins, and mostly uninhabitable” (Epitheoresis, 1888q)
Mourla (today Rododafni)	“established only 25 years ago, and located 15 minutes from Aigio, is made of about 100 buildings, among which the best can compete with those in Aigio for their beauty. It is the only village that in a period of few years made giant advancements in letters and arts. But for a few, all the inhabitants are wealthy” (Epitheoresis, 1888s)	“the earthquake caused great damage and about 25 buildings almost ruined to the ground and more than half suffered important damage” (Epitheoresis, 1888s)

Table 5

Reappraised size and location of the five studied earthquakes

Date	Area	IDP	Imax	M pref	M unc	SHEEC strategy			SISCOR strategy		
						Lat	Lon	Mw*	Lat	Lon	Mw* (H = 10; 7 km)
14 May 1748	Aigio	3	8	5.9	5.4–5.9	38.25	22.08	5.89±0.25	38.25	22.10	5.55; 5.42
23 Aug 1817	Aigio	7	9	6.5	6.0–6.5	38.24	22.08	6.53±0.32	38.25	22.11	6.25; 5.99
26 Dec 1861	W Gulf of Corinth	72	9	6.7	6.6–6.7	38.21	22.13	6.68±0.12	38.28	22.24	6.68; 6.64
9 Sept 1888	Aigio	20	8-9	6.2	5.7–6.2	38.25	22.07	6.16±0.44	38.26	22.10	5.88; 5.70
25 Aug 1889	Aitoliko	41	9	6.4	6.4–6.6	38.52	21.38	6.37±0.24	38.50	21.33	6.43; 6.42

IDP, number of intensity data points; Imax, maximum intensity; M pref, preferred magnitude; M unc, uncertainty associated to M pref

*Magnitude values are shown with two decimal numbers to underline the fact that they are computed values.

List of Figure Captions

Figure 1. Gulf of Corinth and today Greek regional units with the epicenters of $M_w \geq 5.8$ earthquakes for the period 1000-1899 (SHEEC, Stucchi et al., 2013), and 1900-2010 (Papazachos et al., 2010 – Pap10 –, except for the 15 June 1995 earthquake, Bernard et al., 1997). The area of study is enclosed by a black box, together with the years of occurrence of the five studied earthquakes, and of the 1995 event, and epicenters and magnitudes according to the above mentioned catalogues.

Figure 2. The “Platanos” (plane-tree), and the fountains at Aigio (Salvador, 1876).

Figure 3. Independent sources of information (shaded boxes) on the 23 August 1817 earthquake. Some more contemporary sources are shown, which translate and/or summarize the independent ones. Numbers link the sources to their description in the text.

Figure 4. Intensity distribution in EMS98 and tsunami for the 23 August 1817 earthquake.

Figure 5. Sources and studies on the 26 December 1861 earthquake. Note that local newspapers dates are given in O.S.

Figure 6. (a) Overall intensity distribution in EMS98 for the 26 December 1861 earthquake, with the plain box showing the area covered by map1 and map2 by Schmidt (1862b, 1875). (b) Enlargement of the area enclosed by the dashed box in (a), detailing the earthquake related phenomena. (c) IDPs in the plain of Aigio, superimposed on Schmidt’s map2 (1875).

Figure 7. Contemporary sources and modern studies on the 1888 and 1889 earthquakes. *Left*, sources exclusively related to the 1888 earthquake; *center*, common sources; *right*, sources exclusively related to the 1889 earthquake.

Figure 8. Intensity distribution in EMS98 and earthquake phenomena related to the 9 September 1888 earthquake.

Figure 9. Isoseismals and epicenter (black star) for the 25 August 1889 earthquake, according to the interpretation by Galanopoulos (1953).

Figure 10. Comparison of part (b) in the report by Koryllos (*left*) in the original Greek version, dated Patras, 23 August 1889 O.S. (Ephimeris, 1889m) and (*right*) its abridged version, translated into German by J. N. Cléante (Philippson, 1889b).

Figure 11. Intensity distribution in EMS98 and earthquake phenomena related to the 25 August 1889 earthquake.

Figure 12. Distribution of records and types of sources for the 49 localities with ≥ 3 records, out of the 108 at which an intensity value was assigned. Different colors point to the five studied earthquakes. Press and other types of sources are distinguished by full and light colors respectively, according to data in Tables S1, S3, S5, S6, S7 of the electronic supplement to this article.

Figure 13. Intensity distribution, earthquake related phenomena, and epicenters, with associated uncertainty (coloured circles), assessed for the earthquakes of (a) 23 August 1817, (b) 26 December 1861, (c) 9 September 1888, and (d) 25 August 1889. Epicenters from SHEEC (Stucchi et al., 2013) and Pap03 (Papazachos and Papazachou, 2003) are shown for comparison. Active faults mapped in the Gulf of Corinth (1 - Marathias, 2 -

Trizonia, 3 - Psathopyrgos, 4 - Neos Erineos, 5 - Aigion, 6 - West Helike, 7 - East Helike, 8 - West Channel, 9 - East Channel, 10 - South Eratini, 11 - North Eratini) are from Beckers et al. (2015) and references therein, those north of Aitoliko (12 – Stamna) area from Pérouse et al. (2017).

Figure 14. Magnitudes of the studied events from the SISCOR and SHEEC strategies, together with the resulting preferred estimate. Magnitudes from SHEEC (Stucchi et al., 2013) and Pap2003 (Papazachos and Papazachou, 2003) catalogues are shown for comparison.

Figure 1. Gulf of Corinth and today Greek regional units with the epicenters of $M_w \geq 5.8$ earthquakes for the period 1000-1899 (SHEEC, Stucchi et al., 2013), and 1900-2010 (Papazachos et al., 2010 – Pap10 –, except for the 15 June 1995 earthquake, Bernard et al., 1997). The area of study is enclosed by a black box, together with the years of occurrence of the five studied earthquakes, and of the 1995 event, and epicenters and magnitudes according to the above mentioned catalogues.

Figure 2. The “Platanos” (plane-tree), and the fountains at Aigio (Salvador, 1876).

Figure 3. Independent sources of information (shaded boxes) on the 23 August 1817 earthquake. Some more contemporary sources are shown, which translate and/or summarize the independent ones. Numbers link the sources to their description in the text.

Figure 4. Intensity distribution in EMS98 and tsunami for the 23 August 1817 earthquake.

Figure 5. Sources and studies on the 26 December 1861 earthquake. Note that local newspapers dates are given in O.S.

Figure 6. (a) Overall intensity distribution in EMS98 for the 26 December 1861 earthquake, with the plain box showing the area covered by map1 and map2 by Schmidt (1862b, 1875). (b) Enlargement of the area enclosed by the dashed box in (a), detailing the earthquake related phenomena. (c) IDPs in the plain of Aigio, superimposed on Schmidt's map2 (1875).

Figure 7. Contemporary sources and modern studies on the 1888 and 1889 earthquakes. *Left*, sources exclusively related to the 1888 earthquake; *center*, common sources; *right*, sources exclusively related to the 1889 earthquake.

Figure 8. Intensity distribution in EMS98 and earthquake phenomena related to the 9 September 1888 earthquake.

Fig. 4. Das weitere Epizentralgebiet des schadenbringenden Erdbebens von Patras vom 25. August 1889. Bearbeitet von A. GALANOPOULOS.

Figure 9. Isoseismals and epicenter (black star) for the 25 August 1889 earthquake, according to the interpretation by Galanopoulos (1953).

Figure 10. Comparison of part (b) in the report by Koryllos (*left*) in the original Greek version, dated Patras, 23 August 1889 O.S. (Ephimeris, 1889m) and (*right*) its abridged version, translated into German by J. N. Cléanthe (Philippson, 1889b).

Figure 11. Intensity distribution in EMS98 and earthquake phenomena related to the 25 August 1889 earthquake.

Figure 12. Distribution of records and types of sources for the 49 localities with ≥ 3 records, out of the 108 at which an intensity value was assigned. Different colors point to the five studied earthquakes. Press and other types of sources are distinguished by full and light colors respectively, according to data in Tables S1, S3, S5, S6, S7 of the electronic supplement to this article.

Figure 13. Intensity distribution, earthquake related phenomena, and epicenters, with associated uncertainty (coloured circles), assessed for the earthquakes of (a) 23 August 1817, (b) 26 December 1861, (c) 9 September 1888, and (d) 25 August 1889. Epicenters from SHEEC (Stucchi et al., 2013) and Pap03 (Papazachos and Papazachou, 2003) are shown for comparison. Active faults mapped in the Gulf of Corinth (1 - Marathias, 2 - Trizonia, 3 - Psathopyrgos, 4 - Neos Erineos, 5 - Aigion, 6 - West Helike, 7 - East Helike, 8 - West Channel, 9 - East Channel, 10 - South Eratini, 11 - North Eratini) are from Beckers et al. (2015) and references therein, those north of Aitoliko (12 – Stamna) area from Pérouse et al. (2017).

Figure 14. Magnitudes of the studied events from the SISCOR and SHEEC strategies, together with the resulting preferred estimate. Magnitudes from SHEEC (Stucchi et al., 2013) and Pap2003 (Papazachos and Papazachou, 2003) catalogues are shown for comparison.