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ABSTRACT:

Coccolithophore blooms occur regularly from April to June in the Bay of Biscay where they have been observed for many years from ocean-colour imagery thanks to the ability of their calcite plates to scatter light. They are easily observed on interpolated images of non-algal Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM) derived from satellite reflectance data in May, at the time of the PELGAS (PELagique GAScogne) surveys. Over the springs 2012 to 2015, the PELGAS surveys provided \textit{in-situ} data on the turbidity throughout the water column and on the hydrological environment prevailing at the time of the blooms in the vicinity of the continental shelf break. The satellite-derived SPM in the area of coccolithophore blooms was closely related to measured turbidity in the euphotic zone. The deep maxima of coccolithophore-derived biomass were well observed in the turbidity signal throughout the water column. Despite the recurrence of SPM patterns due to coccolithophores in the well-mixed waters over the shelf break in spring the variability of the satellite-derived SPM and the turbidity profiles was particularly high during the four years studied. The year 2013 showed persistent blooms from mid-April to the end of May over large areas inside and outside the shelf sea, whereas no bloom was observed in 2014, neither on SPM images nor through turbidity profiles. The presence or absence of coccolithophore blooms during the years 2012-2015 seem related to the level of stratification of the water column, with more intense blooms occurring during mixed conditions. These results should improve classification of the PELGAS surveys.
surveys from those occurring in a coccolith-rich environment, synonymous with mixed and cold waters, to those occurring in a coccolith-poor environment where surface waters are more stratified and warmer.

**Highlights:**
- Offshore turbidity in May is associated with coccolithophores in the Bay of Biscay.
- Satellite-derived Suspended Particulate Matter and *in-situ* turbidity are well related.
- Turbidity profiles together with satellite data result in a better 3-D conception of the blooms.
- Absence of coccolithophores in May is associated with stronger stratification.
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1. **Introduction**

At the time of the May PELGAS surveys in the Bay of Biscay, phytoplankton-derived turbidity is often observed on different satellite products, such as the non-algal Suspended Particulate Matter (SPM) concentration (Gohin et al., 2005; Jafar-Sidik et al., 2017) provided by CMEMS (Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service, http://marine.copernicus.eu/) or Calcite concentration (Balch et al., 2005) provided by NASA GSFC (Goddard Space Flight Center).

Patterns of high turbidity occur in the vicinity of the continental shelf break during the season when resuspension by waves is limited to shallow waters in the Bay of Biscay (Gohin et al., 2015) and cannot be the cause of high SPM patches isolated offshore. This turbidity is induced by phytoplankton in such high concentrations that it can only be attributed to coccolithophores, a group of calcifying phytoplankton. Coccolithophores produce a shell comprised of calcite scales called coccoliths that detach from the cell throughout the development of the bloom (Fritz, 1996, Fritz and Balch, 1999) or during their senescent phase. Coccolithophore blooms have a strong reflectance signal due to the light-scattering quality of coccoliths (Groom and Holligan, 1987) and they can be identified and quantified from remote-sensing by applying dedicated algorithms (Gordon et al., 2001; Balch et al., 2005). On a global scale, coccolithophore blooms have
significant environmental impacts through the production of CaCO$_3$ and dimethylsulfide (DMS), the increase of water albedo, as well as their key role in the ocean carbon flux (Westbroek et al., 1993, Brown and Yoder, 1994). Coccolithophores belong to the *Prymnesiophyceae* phytoplankton algae class and the majority of the species have a size between 3-20 μm. *Emiliania huxleyi* is the most abundant coccolithophore in the modern ocean (Paasche, 2001) and the most important bloom-forming calcifier in the North Atlantic Ocean (Westbroek et al., 1993).

The phytoplankton phenology in spring in the Bay of Biscay (North-East Atlantic Ocean) is characterised by diatom blooms and, when dissolved silicate is depleted, by nanoplanckton (Raitsos et al., 2006; Guillaud et al., 2008; Leblanc et al., 2009). Coccolithophore blooms frequently follow those of the diatoms although they also co-occur with other phytoplankton (Hopkins et al., 2015).

The seasonal occurrence and the variability of coccolithophore blooms have been previously described in the northern part (Morozov et al., 2013) and along the continental slope of the Bay of Biscay (Beaufort and Heussner, 1999).

Due to their strong backscattering property, coccoliths act optically similarly to non-algal SPM commonly found over the continental shelf (Gohin et al., 2005, Gohin et al., 2015, Jafar-Sidik et al., 2017). As a consequence, satellite-derived SPM products can be used to investigate the variability of the coccolithophore blooms in the Bay of Biscay during a season (May) and a place (continental shelf break) where turbidity from resuspension of bottom sediment or from river transport of SPM does not occur at the surface layer.

Using satellite imagery, we can depict coccolithophore in the surface waters but the maxima abundance of coccolithophores in many oceanic regions is observed at the subsurface. During June 2004 in the northern Bay of Biscay high coccolithophore abundances were measured at the surface within the bloom patch (> 8.10$^6$ cells.L$^{-1}$), but maximum values are reached at sub-surface levels with peaks at 40 m depth (Harlay et al. 2010). Beaufort et al. (2008) have also shown that coccolithophore species in the Southeast Pacific (including *Emiliania huxleyi*, *Gephyrocapsa* spp. and *Crenalithus* spp.) grew preferentially at the deep chlorophyll maximum, and can be found at depth greater than 100 m. An accurate vertical distribution of oceanic physical and biological parameters that integrates a variable deep layer depending on the transparency of the water is still one of the greatest challenges facing remote sensing: no satellite surface signal does not mean
What are the hydrological conditions needed to drive a strong and extended coccolithophore bloom across the Bay of Biscay? In the Bay different physical mechanisms contribute to produce extended coccolithophore blooms. The Bay is a region where a complex interchange occurs between ocean features and local processes at different temporal and spatial scales (Costoya, 2015). It is also subject to a highly seasonal and variable water circulation over the continental shelf due to a combination of wind-driven, tidally induced, and density-driven flows (Charria et al., 2013; Costoya, 2015). Mesoscale eddies can also regulate the vertical structure of coccolithophore blooms in the euphotic zone (Jin et al, 2016). Moreover, on the one hand, coccolithophore blooms seem to occur as stratification of the surface layer and the solar irradiance increase (Boyd et al., 2010; Leblanc et al., 2009), and, on the other hand, along the shelf break where coccolithophore blooms have been shown to be triggered and/or sustained by internal-tidal wave-formation leading to enhanced vertical mixing and the injection of inorganic nutrients to the surface waters (Groom and Holligan, 1987; Lampert et al., 2002; Sharples et al., 2009; Harlay et al., 2010).

In this work, we investigated the variability of the satellite-derived turbidity available at the surface in the Bay of Biscay together with profiles of in-situ turbidity in order to obtain a 3-D conceptional framework of coccolithophore blooms and to assess hydrological and stratification conditions that could favour these blooms. To reach these goals, chlorophyll-a and SPM products derived from marine reflectance provided by MODIS-Aqua and VIIRS were used together with in-situ observations collected during the PELGAS (PELagique GAScogne) annual surveys. Those cruises provided physical (density, temperature and salinity) and biogeochemical parameters (chlorophyll-a, nutrients, phytoplankton and mesozooplankton) characterising the marine environment of the continental shelf. Since 2012, a turbidity meter has been added to the set of sensors deployed during the survey to complement the continuous profiles measurements of SPM and Particulate Inorganic Matter (PIM) carried out by the PELGAS team.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Remote-sensing data

4
Interpolated fields of chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) and non-algal SPM derived from satellite reflectance were used respectively to monitor the total phytoplankton biomass and the surface turbidity. Chl-a was obtained from the application of 2 look-up tables (LUT) to the spectral remote-sensing reflectance (Rrs) of MODIS-Aqua and VIIRS projected on a grid at a resolution of 1 km (Gohin et al., 2002). SPM was estimated following the semi-analytical algorithm proposed initially by Gohin et al. (2005) and validated on *in-situ* data collected in the coastal waters of the Bay of Biscay, the English Channel and the western Mediterranean Sea. This satellite product has been evaluated in details in Jafar-Sidik et al. (2017) through comparisons to a large set of *in-situ* measurements of turbidity and SPM captured at the location of the Liverpool Bay mooring in the coastal waters of the Irish Sea.

In this procedure, absorption and backscattering by phytoplankton were derived from preliminary estimations of Chl-a concentrations. Then, SPM was obtained from radiance at 550 nm and 670 nm. Depending on the level of the retrieved SPM, the final SPM was chosen at 550 nm if both SPM concentrations (at 550 nm and 670 nm) were less than 4 g.m$^{-3}$. In the cases where SPM concentration (at 550 nm and 670 nm) was more than 4 g.m$^{-3}$, SPM(670) was chosen. SPM was estimated following the method proposed by Gohin et al. (2005):

\[
(1) \quad SPM = \text{non-algal SPM} + 0.234\text{Chl-a}^{0.57} \quad (1)
\]

Interpolated images were used to investigate the variability of the blooms because of the presence of clouds that may hamper the processing of marine reflectance. Interpolation by kriging, widely used in the domain of spatial analysis, provides the best linear unbiased estimator given a covariance function. A space-time autocorrelation is considered for Chl-a and SPM. Interpolations are carried out at each pixel on the 1 km grid of the images. Satellite data observed within 5 days before and 5 days after the day of interest are used to build the data sets used for the interpolation (Saulquin et al., 2011).

Several methods are available for identifying coccoliths on ocean colour images when their signal is dominant on the remote-sensing reflectance. They can be detected purely from reflectance spectra (Moore et al. 2012) or from specific temporal characteristics. When mineral SPM in resuspension or river plumes are present in coastal waters, a purely spectral method is unable to
provide an identification of coccolithophores. It is therefore recommended to take advantage of the differences in the temporal variability of the reflectance between river outputs, resuspension and coccolithophore blooms, to discriminate their signatures on satellite imagery (Shutler et al., 2010).

165 In our study area in May, storms and other climatic events cannot be the reason for the high SPM patches observed offshore on satellite images. These very high levels of turbidity are therefore induced by phytoplankton. When these high turbidity levels are not associated with elevated concentrations of chlorophyll-a (generally lower than 2 mg m\(^{-3}\)), diatoms are unlikely to be the cause of these features that can only be attributed to calcifying species, and particularly to coccolithophores.

2.2 PELGAS observations

175 The \emph{in-situ} data were collected during the yearly PELGAS surveys and compared to the satellite products. These surveys cover the periods from April 27\(^{th}\) to May 23\(^{nd}\) in 2012, April 28\(^{th}\) to May 22\(^{nd}\) in 2013, April 26\(^{th}\) to June 3\(^{rd}\) in 2014, and May 1\(^{st}\) to May 29\(^{th}\) in 2015. 87 stations were sampled in 2012, 72 in 2013, 94 in 2014 and 99 in 2015 along transects from the coast to the shelf-break (Fig.1). The hydrological environment was described by continuous CTD vertical profiles of temperature, salinity and density (Seabird SBE 19+V2). Niskin bottles were closed at different depths for the following analyses. \emph{In-situ} SPM and PIM (Particulate Inorganic Matter) concentrations were derived from 1 to 2 liters of water filtered through 47 mm GF/F filters (Whatman, 0.7 µm) under a vacuum pressure <10 mm Hg. Filters were previously combusted at 490°C for 2 h to eliminate their subsequent organic carbon content and then weighed. After sample filtration, each filter was rinsed twice with distilled water to remove salt, dried at 60°C for 12 h and weighed. To determine the proportion of particulate inorganic matter (PIM) filters were combusted at 490°C for 2 h and then weighed.

180 Turbidity was measured by an Optical Backscatter Sensor OBS-3+ (Campbell Scientific), detecting scattered infra-red light between 140° and 160°, mounted on the CTD probe. The turbidity meter was calibrated in 2012 and 2016 by Campbell Scientific on styrenedivinyl benzene micro-spheres.
The turbidity is expressed in NTU (Nephelometric Turbidity Unit). The turbidity profiles were influenced by the scattering of sunlight by detached coccoliths and/or cccospheres in the offshore waters.

A fluorimeter (WETStar from WET Labs) was also mounted on the CTD probe to provide an indicator of the phytoplankton biomass throughout the water column. Yearly calibration was carried out on in-situ chlo-a measurements collected during cruises. These were determined using a fluorimeter Turner TD 700 (Aminot and Kérouel, 2005; Lorenzen, 1967).

Nutrients (silicate, phosphate and nitrate) were analysed according to the classical methods described by Strickland and Parsons (1972). The mesozooplankton community was collected by vertical trawls using WP2 nets (0.25 m² opening, 200-μm mesh-size) from 100 m depth (or maximum depth) to the surface and preserved in 10% formaldehyde (final concentration). The abundance (individuals.m⁻³) of mesozooplankton was determined following the identification proposed by Rose (1933), as detailed in Dessier (2015) and Doray et al. (2014).
Fig. 1. Sampling stations performed during PELGAS surveys.

2.3 Hydrological variables

The Mixed Layer Depth (MLD), with criterion of delta sigma = 0.1 (de Boyer Montégut et al, 2004), and the Density Gradient Layer (DGL) were calculated from the density profiles (Fig. 2).

Turbidity was integrated between the surface and the MLD ($T_{MLD} = \sum_{0}^{MLD} (t_{max} - t_{min})$), between the MLD and the DGL ($T_{DGL} = \sum_{DGL}^{MLD} (t_{max} - t_{min})$) and between the surface and the DGL as total integrated turbidity (TIT).

An index of stratification was calculated from the density profiles measured at each station. This index was defined as the mean of the density gradient between depth $z$ in the surface layer and the bottom of the Density Gradient Layer.
3. Results

3.1 Satellite data

3.1.1 The interpolated SPM images on coccolithophore blooms

Interpolations of Chl-a and SPM have been carried out routinely for years at Ifremer providing daily images to different categories of users for the purpose of monitoring and model validation. Fig. 3 illustrates the application of the kriging method for May 8th 2013, at a time when the coccolithophore bloom was at its largest extent during the four years studied. Looking at the 11 daily SPM images used for the interpolation, between May 3rd and May 13th, we observe a relatively slow evolution of SPM levels. Compared to the phytoplankton biomass that usually varies very quickly under sunny conditions or to resuspended SPM that is driven by waves and tides, the coccolith-derived SPM is more stable. This could be explained by the slow growth of the bloom and the continuous separation of coccoliths that gently sink down to deeper layers.
Fig. 3. The 11 daily satellite SPM images between May 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 13\textsuperscript{th} and the interpolated image of May 8\textsuperscript{th}, 2013 (bottom right panel).

3.1.2 Interannual variability of SPM and Chl-a between 2012 and 2015
The variability of the coccolithophore blooms was investigated using daily SPM images, as shown on Fig. 4. For each year, 3 phases (onset, peak, and decay) in the development of the bloom were identified. A coccolithophore bloom was visible in 2012 in the northern part of the Bay of Biscay north of 46°N along the shelf-break, starting on May 1st (Fig. 4a), with a maximum on May 10th (Fig. 4b), declining May 20th (Fig. 4c), and decaying around May 25th. 2013 showed the longest occurring bloom as well as the largest extent (between 44°N and 48°N). It started on April 15th (Fig. 4d), and reached its maximum on May 1st, 2013. The end of the bloom occurred on May 30th, 2013 (Fig. 4f). There was no SPM signal in the spring of 2014 as shown on the interpolated SPM images (Fig. 4g-i). The bloom in 2015 started earlier than the other years, with a limited extent when compared to 2012 and 2013 (Fig. 4j). It was observed to occur between May 1st and 20th in the north, between 47°N and 48°N (Fig. 4k to l).
Fig. 4. SPM daily interpolated images at the onset, maximum and decline of the bloom. (a-c) 2012, (d-f) 2013, (g-i) 2014, (j-l) 2015, (m) the core Coccolith area (black line) selected.

From the SPM images spanning 2012 and 2015, a core coccolith area can be defined around the margin of the eastern shelf of the Bay of Biscay where coccolithophore blooms occur and where resuspension of sediment in the surface layer and transport of mineral particles by river plumes are marginal in May. For purposes of comparisons between satellite products and PELGAS observations, only in-situ profiles located in the core coccolith area were considered (Fig. 4m).

Time-series of averaged satellite-derived Chl-a (OC5 algorithm) and SPM on the core coccolith area were calculated from January 2012 to December 2015 (Fig. 5). The SPM time-series shows two peaks every year, except in 2014, with a winter peak corresponding to resuspension of mineral SPM and a spring peak corresponding most probably to calcifying phytoplankton (as observed on Fig. 4). 2014 is particularly unusual: a strong SPM signal is observed in February 2014, with a maximum of 3.87 g.m$^{-3}$, and nothing is depicted in spring when coccolithophores are expected. This winter peak in SPM has been previously observed and explained by the resuspension due to waves generated by strong storms during the 2013/2014 winter (Gohin et al, 2015). This exceptionally windy winter ended suddenly at the beginning of March and was followed by a cyclonic and sunny period in mid-March that favoured the development of strong phytoplankton
blooms. The second SPM peak, due to coccolithophores, occurs on May 8\textsuperscript{th} in 2012 (1.33 g.m\textsuperscript{-3}), May 6\textsuperscript{th} in 2013 (2.89 g.m\textsuperscript{-3}) and earlier in 2015, on April 23\textsuperscript{rd} (1.45 g.m\textsuperscript{-3}).

The time-series of Chl-a (blue) shows moderately high level of chlorophyll for semi-coastal waters (Fig. 5). However, a narrow maximum blue peak is observed on March 30\textsuperscript{th}, 2012 (3.26 mg.m\textsuperscript{-3}, Fig. 5). It corresponds to a very strong and large bloom observed in the Bay of Biscay, probably diatoms as in March 2000 (Gohin et al., 2003). In spring, the peak of Chl-a occurs earlier than the peak of SPM with a delay of several days between the 2 peaks, 38 days for 2012, 16 days in 2013 and 7 days in 2015. Following our procedure based on the temporal succession of non-algal SPM and Chl-a, coccoliths are easily depicted in the vicinity of the shelf break. They are characterised in spring by their high level of non-algal SPM and their relatively low Chl-a concentration; which discards frustules of diatoms as source of SPM.

![Fig. 5](image.jpg)

Time series of SPM (red line) and Chl-a (blue line) between 2012 and 2015 over the core coccolith area, and the mean+2*standard deviations of the Chl-a time-series (1.07 mg.m\textsuperscript{-3}, in dashed red line).
3.2 Turbidity and fluorescence profiles in May (2012-2015)

Representative stations of the PELGAS surveys have been selected to investigate the state of the mixed surface layer in the presence or absence of coccolithophores on satellite images. Four stations at bloom locations were selected between May 17\textsuperscript{th} and 19\textsuperscript{th}, 2012 (Fig. 6a). A second transect of 5 stations across the continental shelf is also considered as it corresponds to a location where the strongest coccolithophore bloom occurred, between May 7\textsuperscript{th} and 8\textsuperscript{th}, 2013 (Fig. 6b). \textit{In-situ} data are also available on this transect in 2014 around the same date, between May 6\textsuperscript{th} and 9\textsuperscript{th}, 2014 (Fig. 6c) and for the year 2015 between May 11\textsuperscript{th} and 13\textsuperscript{th}, 2015 (Fig. 6d). Two stations inside an observed small coccolithophore bloom are also taken into account in 2015 in the northern part of the bay, at points 6 and 7 on May 29\textsuperscript{th}, 2015 (Fig. 6d).

The turbidity profiles at the stations of the transects are shown on Fig. 6e-h. The profiles from the stations inside the blooms, in 2012, 2013 and from the two northern stations in 2015, show similarities: the turbidity is higher than 0.6 NTU in the surface layer, to a depth that depends on the position of the station inside the area of the coccolithophore bloom. In 2012, the turbidity profiles from the surface to depth of 35-40 m for stations 1, 3 and 4 remain close to 0.7 NTU, before decreasing to a value of 0.3. The profile of station 2 in 2012, in the middle of the bloom, shows an homogeneous and higher turbidity around 1 NTU from the surface to a depth of 60 m. The turbidity profiles from the other stations inside the blooms have the same shape, as in 2013 for station 3 in the middle of the bloom where the turbidity remains higher than 1 NTU from the surface to 80 m depth. The profiles 1 and 2 of the same transect in 2013 show also a maximum sub-surface peak at 21 m depth (1.7 NTU) and 18 m depth (2.9 NTU), respectively. Conversely, no bloom was observed during 2014 in the Bay of Biscay, and the turbidity profiles in 2014 from the same transect remain homogeneous with values in the surface layer below 0.5 NTU (Fig. 6g). The turbidity profiles from the same transect in 2015 (profiles 1 to 5 on Fig. 6h) where no bloom was observed show also low values, below 0.6 NTU with homogeneous profiles. In 2015, only the two profiles in the northern Bay of Biscay, stations 6 and 7, show turbidity levels higher than 0.6 NTU. The maximum turbidity values along the transect in the core coccolith area in the coccolithophore bloom for May 7-8, 2013 are between 3 and 6.6, much higher than for May 6-9, 2014, when coccolithophores were absent; this highlights the optical response of turbidity in case of
cocolithophore blooms. The fluorescence profiles (except in 2014) for many of the stations are closely related to turbidity meaning that cocolithophores cannot be easily dissociated from the photosynthetically-active phytoplankton that may coexist with them (Poulton et al., 2013).

Fig. 6. In situ data along PELGAS transects on: a) May 17-19, 2012, b) May 7-8, 2013, c) May 6-9, 2014, d) May 11-13, 2015) with e, f, g, h) Turbidity profiles in NTU and i, j, k, l) Fluorescence profiles in mg.m$^{-3}$.

3.3 Comparison between satellite SPM and in situ turbidity, SPM and PIM

Fig. 7a shows the total SPM retrieved from marine reflectance using formula (1) versus the in-situ turbidity in the core coccolith area in 2013. In 2013, when strong cocolithophore blooms were observed, the satellite-derived SPM appears to be as well related to in-situ turbidity (Fig. 7a) than in-situ SPM to turbidity (Fig. 7b) despite the fact that the satellite SPM is interpolated over several days whilst in-situ measurements were collected concomitantly. Fig. 7c shows the strong relationship between in-situ PIM and SPM inside the core coccolith. In this case, SPM was derived
from mineral source (PIM). Fig. 7d shows the same parameters as Fig. 7a and 7b, but in 2014. In 2014, low turbidity (close to 0.5 NTU) corresponds to low satellite-derived SPM (<1 g.m\(^{-3}\) in blue points). However, \textit{in situ} SPM remains relatively high (between 0.5 and 3.2 g.m\(^{-3}\) in red dots). If turbidity is effectively low in 2014, the variability of the \textit{in situ} SPM observed on Fig. 7d is almost pure noise around a mean value as high as about 1.5 g.m\(^{-3}\). In contrast to \textit{in situ} SPM, satellite SPM is consistent with the low level of turbidity observed in 2014. These results corroborate the good relationship observed between satellite-derived SPM and \textit{in situ} turbidity at the Liverpool buoy in the Irish Sea (Jafar-Sidik et al., 2017).

Fig. 7. Comparisons, in the core coccolith area, between satellite SPM, \textit{in-situ} SPM, \textit{in-situ} PIM and turbidity at surface. a) Satellite SPM versus turbidity in 2013; b) \textit{in-situ} SPM versus turbidity in 2013; c) \textit{in-situ} PIM versus \textit{in-situ} SPM in 2013; d) Satellite (blue points) and \textit{in-situ} (red points) SPM versus turbidity in 2014.

3.4 Stratification of the water column
3.4.1 Density, temperature and salinity profiles

The mean profiles of density, temperature and salinity from the PELGAS stations located within the coccolithophore blooms and those in the core coccolith area for each year are shown on Fig. 8a-c. The mean surface density, temperature and salinity in the core coccolith area are shown in Table 1. A lower density (average in Table 1 and along the profile in Fig. 8) was observed in the coccolith-poor year, 2014, over the core coccolith area whereas the maximal averaged densities in the core coccolith area were higher in 2012 and 2013 (Table 1).

The temperature profiles reached the highest values for the core coccolith area in 2014 and 2015 within a 35 m depth layer for 2015, and 52 m in 2014 (Fig.8). Conversely, the mean surface temperatures were minimal in 2012 and 2013 in the core coccolith area. In that area, the maximum Sea Surface Temperature (SST) value in 2014 (14.82°C, in Table 1) was higher than in 2012, 2013 and 2015 (Table 1). The averaged surface temperature for the stations inside the coccolithophore bloom was minimal in 2012 at the surface and remained below 14°C for the 2 coccolith-rich years of 2012 (13.45°C, in Fig. 8b) and 2013 (13.96°C ). The results from the profiles shown in Fig. 8 show that coccolithophores flourished, within our core coccolith area, in the less stratified and more dense waters. From year to year, this result can be extended and generalized to the whole core coccolith area: the colder and the denser the waters in May, the more likely coccolithophore blooms occur.
Fig. 8. Yearly averages of in-situ a) density (sigma-t), b) temperature (°C), and c) salinity (psu) profiles. PELGAS stations in the core coccolith area.

Table 1. Yearly averages of satellite-derived SPM (g.m⁻³), in-situ surface turbidity (NTU), in-situ SPM (g.m⁻³), in situ PIM (g.m⁻³), surface in-situ Chl-a (mg.m⁻³), nutrients (μM), in-situ SST(°C), in-situ salinity (psu) and density (sigma-t) from stations in the core coccolith area between 2012 and 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPM g.m⁻³ (satellite)</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.03 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity NTU (surface)</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPM g.m⁻³ (surface)</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.11</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIM g.m⁻³ (surface)</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.29 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl-a mg.m⁻³ (surface)</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si μM (surface)</td>
<td>1.30 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.14</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃+NO₂ μM (surface)</td>
<td>1.68 ± 1.32</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.45</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.28</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.4.2 Stratification index

Table 1 shows the average values of the stratification index over the transects. The lowest values were reached in 2012 and 2013, and the maximum in 2014 (Fig. 9c). The same trend was observed for the mean index averaged over our reference transects (excluding the small northern transect in 2015), as the maximum values are reached in 2014 and 2015 (Table 2). As for the core coccolith area, the lowest index values averaged over the transects were reached in 2012 and 2013, and the maximum in 2015 (1.27 ± 0.2). The mean index in 2015 for the 2 stations located in a small coccolithophore bloom in the northern Bay of Biscay (Fig. 9d) was 0.53 ± 0.02, close to the mean index values in 2012 and 2013 over transects crossing a coccolithophore bloom. Among the 4 years, the averages of the stratification index were lower (indicative of a lower stratification) for the 2 coccolith-rich years 2012 and 2013, whereas the maximum average of the stratification index was reached in 2014 when coccolithophores were absent.
Fig. 9 Index of stratification from the PELGAS stations in a) 2012, b) 2013, c) 2014 and d) 2015. Transects from Fig. 6 are indicated in black boxes.

Table 2. Averages of the stratification index in the core coccolith area and on the transects indicated on Fig. 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ind (core coccolith area)</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ind (reference transects)</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4.3 Turbidity profiles and coccolithophore blooms in the water column

To investigate quantitatively the variability of the blooms in the water column, turbidity is integrated between the surface and MLD and between MLD and the maximal slope of the density gradient (DGL). The average of the integrated turbidity calculated over the selected stations of Fig. 6 reached its maximal value in 2013 (TIT=68.12 NTU, in Table 3) whereas the value was halved in 2012 (34.88 NTU) and 4 times lower in 2015 (15.61 NTU). The integrated values show that in 2012 and 2015, the coccolithophore blooms are mainly observed in the surface layer delimited by the MLD, whereas the blooms occurred more in sub-surface in 2013 with a higher SPM concentration than the other years. The highest turbidity levels were maintained above the maximum density gradient (DGL) for all the years.

Table 3. Averages of integrated turbidity for coccolithophore bloom stations, integration from surface to the MLD (criterion of delta sigma=0.1) and from MLD to the density gradient layer (DGL) depth (criterion of maximum slope of density gradient).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total integrated turbidity (NTU)</td>
<td>34.88</td>
<td>68.12</td>
<td>15.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of turbidity from surface to MLD (NTU)</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>25.43</td>
<td>10.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration of turbidity from MLD to DGL (NTU)</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>41.09</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD (m)</td>
<td>42.75</td>
<td>19.80</td>
<td>16.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGL (m)</td>
<td>50.25</td>
<td>56.00</td>
<td>43.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 PELGAS nutrients

The yearly averages of nutrients (Si, NO$_3$+NO$_2$ and PO$_4$) at the surface in the core coccolith area show that concentrations of phosphate and silicate remained low between 2012 and 2014 (Table 1). The highest contents of NO$_3$+NO$_2$ and Si in surface were reached in 2012 (1.68 ±1.32 μM NO$_3$+NO$_2$, 1.3 ± 0.15 μM Si) and in 2013 (1.27 ± 0.45 μM NO$_3$, 1.2 ± 0.2 μM Si). The stratified waters in 2014 were particularly depleted in all nutrients (0.84 ± 0.28 μM NO$_3$+NO$_2$; 0.67 ± 0.14 μM Si).
μM Si; 0.002 ± 0.002 μM PO₄).

4. Discussion

4.1 Turbidity during the PELGAS surveys

In surface waters, coccospheres and detached coccoliths increase ambient turbidity which would otherwise be low in this area at this time of the year. Turbidity profiles also give information on the vertical structure of coccolithophore blooms. In 2012, 2013, and 2015 vertical profiles that exhibited high turbidity levels were also observed at the surface by satellites. This relation was confirmed by the absence of coccolithophore blooms observed from satellite imagery in 2014 when turbidity was insignificant throughout the water column.

As a further illustration of the opposing findings between 2013 and 2014, 2013 corresponded with the maximum yearly averages of satellite SPM (2.03 ± 0.23 g.m⁻³), in-situ turbidity (0.79 ± 0.07 NTU) and in-situ SPM (2.37 ± 0.13 g.m⁻³), whereas 2014, the coccolith-poor year, corresponded with the minimum value of satellite SPM (0.47 ± 0.03 g.m⁻³) and in-situ turbidity (0.46 ± 0.01 NTU). Spatial changes in coccolithophore blooms from 2012 to 2015, with a coccolith-rich year in 2013 followed by a coccolith-poor year in 2014, are similar to observed changes from previous studies. High variability in bloom formation has also been observed in the North Atlantic between 1998 and 2005 (Raitsos et al, 2006). Morozov et al. (2013) have shown that the interannual variability of the coccolithophore blooms in the Bay of Biscay is different between the northern part and the central part. Blooms occur nearly every year in the northern part and irregularly in the central part. This is in agreement with the satellite and in-situ observations that prevailed during the PELGAS surveys: The bloom occurred in the northern part in 2012, 2013, and 2015 but without any central and southern component in 2014 and 2015. Moreover, 2014 appeared on the satellite imagery like a non-bloom year in the Bay of Biscay as well as in the Celtic Sea (ref?).

Turbidity profiles during coccolithophore blooms observed in the Bay of Biscay corresponded to vertical distributions shown to be common in many other oceanic regions. In our survey (2012 - 2015), we pointed out where and for how long the coccolithophore blooms lasted (between two
weeks to more than a month). In order to estimate the total mass of the blooms, it is necessary to know their vertical distribution, which can not be assessed from satellite imagery. The coccolith-induced turbidity was high in the first forty meters at station 1 in 2012, station 5 in 2013 and stations 6 and 7 in 2015 (Fig. 6). However, coccoliths are also detected as deep as 90 m in 2013 (Fig. 6f) and have even been reported down to 150 m depth in March 2004 (Schiebel et al., 2011). The strong year to year variability of the turbidity profiles observed in this study suggests that monitoring coccolithophore blooms by satellite data alone would bias their total biomass.

### 4.2 Impact of stratification on coccolithophore blooms

Temperature and salinity are generally considered as factors conditioning the development of coccolithophore blooms (Paasche, 2001; Tyrell and Merico, 2004). The optimal temperatures for coccolithophore blooms are considered between 2 to 15°C by Holligan et al. (1993b), Raitos et al. (2006), Merico et al. (2004), and between 3 and 15°C, in upper ocean by Iglesias-Rodriguez (2002). In this study, minimum SST values occurred in 2012 (13.6°C) and 2013 (13.8°C) and maximum SST values were reached in 2014 and 2015 (14.5°C and 14.6°C respectively; Fig. 8b and 8c).

Stratification has been described as an important parameter conditioning the development of coccolithophores (Tyrell and Merico, 2004). Density profiles (2012-2015) suggest that the years with a higher stratification are not favourable for coccolithophore blooms (Fig. 9 and Table 2). This can also be seen for 2012 and 2013 (Fig. 8a), where the surface density averages reach the maximum for coccolithophore bloom stations and for the core coccolith area, whereas the minimum density average is reached in 2014 when no coccolithophore bloom occurred. Except for 2014, the averages of density profiles from stations in coccolithophore blooms (solid lines, Fig. 8a) are higher than the averages of total density profiles from the core coccolith area (dashed lines, Fig. 8a).

Although coccolithophore blooms have been shown to take place in shallow mixed layer depths (<20 m, Raitos et al., 2006) and are thought to be favoured by stratification during the last stage of spring phytoplankton blooms (Holligan et al., 1983, 1993a; Balch et al., 1992; Kristiansen et al.,
1994; Van der Wal et al., 1995; Rees et al., 2002), other important physical and environmental conditions have also been reported to influence their distribution. For example, in the Equatorial Western-central Pacific, \textit{E. huxleyi} was abundant below the thermocline in a well-stratified region (Hagino et al., 2000) of temperate mixed-layer water. In the North Atlantic, at 47°N, in conditions of high turbulence during the spring bloom, \textit{E. huxleyi} flourished during an early stage of the phytoplankton succession when euphotic conditions allowed new production at deep mixing, low temperatures, and high nutrient concentrations (Schiebel et al., 2011). Two distinct environmental conditions were also reported (Giraudeau et al., 2016) over the Barents Sea shelf and slope, one in a well-stratified mixed-layer and the other in a well mixed cool layer close to the Polar front. In the Bay of Biscay from mid-April to the end of May, coccolithophores preferentially thrive on the margin of the continental shelf in less stratified areas. It is not surprising that from year to year their abundance is greater when the area of these mixed waters increases and extends toward the open ocean and over the continental shelf as in 2013.

Other hydrological parameters could impact the presence (2013) or absence (2014) of coccoliths. Coccolithophore are known to develop preferentially after the diatom-dominated spring bloom when silicate and phosphate are at limiting levels for further diatom growth (Balch et al., 2014). Phosphates could be therefore more limiting than nitrates (Townsend et al. 1994), with phosphate concentration measured from 0.02 to 0.16 μM in bloom stations versus 0.21 to 0.49 μM at non-bloom stations. However, it is also suggested that low concentration of phosphates is not an absolute requirement for the blooms (Tyrell and Merico, 2004). The bloom situations observed in this study is similar to that found during spring-summer in the Bay of Biscay (Loyer et al., 2006) when silicates and phosphates were depleted after the diatom-dominated spring bloom. The lowest concentrations of nutrients reached in 2014 in our study could be a result of earlier and longer phytoplankton bloom, supported by the low values of in situ Chl-a recorded in 2014 PELGAS survey (0.49 ± 0.08 versus 0.64 ± 0.07 in 2013 and 0.82 ± 0.09 mg.m$^{-3}$ in 2012) in a more stratified environment.

4.3 Non-algal SPM and coccolith abundance
No data were available during the period of this study (2012-2015) to quantify the coccoliths calcite mass nor to identify the coccolithophore taxa. What we have observed though is a good relationship between satellite-derived SPM (summing algal and non-algal SPM, the latter being the dominant component on the coccolithophore blooms) and turbidity; which has been observed at other locations and on other suspended materials (Jafar-Sidik et al., 2017). However, we observe an overestimation of SPM by satellite algorithm. This was expected as this semi-analytical algorithm is based on the hypothesis that all particles in the surface waters have the same mass-specific backscattering coefficient. In a coastal site, as the Liverpool mooring in the Irish Sea, the algorithm underestimates non-algal SPM in summer (Jafar-Sidik et al., 2017). This is explained by the presence of large particles aggregated in flocs, likely to have a lower backscattering coefficient by unity of mass. Conversely, non-algal SPM due to coccoliths could be overestimated by the algorithm because the small coccoliths (in the order of 3-5 µm) could be better light scatterers than the non-algal particles commonly encountered on the continental shelf. Non-algal SPM is indeed about 5 times higher than the calcite mass derived from the NASA team algorithm (not shown). The situation during May in the Bay of Biscay could be even more complex as many coexisting coccolithophore taxa may have comprised the blooms. Fig. 9 shows the dominant species observed by Scanning Electron Microscopy from samples collected on May 21st, 2016 and May 22nd, 2017, west of Brittany. In the 2016 bloom, of moderate concentration, 18 taxa belonging to 5 genera were observed (Perrot, 2017). Furst analyses show good relationships between the number of detached coccoliths and coccophores and turbidity (data non shown) but these relationships are species-dependent making the quantification of the calcite mass within the coccolithophore blooms even more difficult. At the end of May 2017 (Fig. 9b), the SPM concentration and the coccolithophore concentration were higher than in 2016. It is also worth noting that Emiliania Huxleyi was largely dominant in 2017 amongst coccolithophore taxa in the centre of the bloom, corroborating the role of this species as a major contributor to coccolithophore blooming in the northern Atlantic.
Fig. 9. The dominant coccolithophore taxa and cell densities observed at the end of the PELGAS surveys in 2016 and 2017
(a) non-algal SPM image of May 21st, 2016. (b) non-algal SPM image of May 22nd, 2017.

4.4 Is the presence of coccolithophores useful for rapidly characterising the biological
environment prevailing before the PELGAS surveys?

Because of our observation that the onset of coccolithophore blooms is enhanced in unstratified waters, coccolithophore blooms (area and intensity) could be used as an indicator of mixed waters. We should also expect an effect of the hydrological conditions on the upper trophic level in the Bay of Biscay at the time of the PELGAS survey. In 2013 mean abundance of spring mesozooplanktonic community was 2508 ± 1855 ind.m⁻³ with 66.6% copepods, and in 2014, 2355 ± 3908 ind.m⁻³ with 76.5% copepods. The high standard deviation observed in 2014 indicated a strong spatial heterogeneity compared to spring 2013, showing a stronger coastal to continental slope gradient in spring 2014 (data not shown; see Dessier et al, 2017). This observation suggests that the mesozooplankton community in 2014 was a more ”mature” community than in 2013. As shown by Dupuy et al. (2011), a more “mature” situation leads to an increase of the gradient in mesozooplanktonic abundance from the coast to the shelf break. This is consistent with the onset of the spring bloom that occurred about a month earlier in the coccolith-poor year in 2014, as shown in part 3.1, than in the coccolith-rich year of 2013.

5. Conclusion

A good agreement has been observed when coccolithophores thrive between satellite-derived SPM and surface turbidity during May in the Bay of Biscay. Moreover, the turbidity profiles confirm the representativeness of the surface observations provided from space. The turbidity and fluorescence profiles have also shown that the coccolithophores were active down to 60 m on the margin of the shelf break in poorly-stratified waters. Over the shelf break of the Bay of Biscay and its vicinity, spatially and throughout the years, in contrast to the common consensus, coccolithophore blooms occur preferentially in less stratified waters (lower temperatures and higher salinity). The environmental differences observed in the stratification between the two coccolith-rich years (2012 and 2013) and the two coccolith-poor years (2014 and 2015) could also impact other components of the pelagic food web such as the mesozooplankton. In May, coccolith-poor years show a late-spring ecological situation with higher stratification, lower nutrient concentrations, and a more “mature” community of mesozooplankton,
which is likely to have an influence on the growth of the larvae of small pelagic fishes targeted by the PELGAS surveys.

The good agreement between satellite SPM and turbidity for describing coccolithophore blooms suggests a more extensive use of turbidity data to monitor these blooms. To improve the quantification of calcite mass associated with coccolithophores we suggest a comparison between turbidity and the outputs of the Calcite NASA algorithm (Balch et al., 2005) taking into account coccolithophore species and the percentage of detached coccoliths. Pattern identification of coccolithophore blooms from space together with low-cost sampling schemes investigating the vertical distribution of the coccolithophore taxa in the deep maximum should further improve our ability to understand the role of coccolithophores in geochemical cycling. The PELGAS cruises give us an opportunity to build up such a scheme in the future.
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